TIGHT BINDING BOOK

PAGES MISSING WITHIN THE BOOK ONLY

UNIVERSAL UNIVERSAL OU_162686 NAV

QUP-881-5-8-74-15,000



EVOLUTION The Modern Synthesis

EVOLUTION The Modern Synthesis

by

,

•

.

JULIAN HUXLEY, M.A., D.SC., F.R.S.

LONDON George Allen & Unwin Ltd

FIRST PUBLISHED IN	1942
SECOND IMPRESSION	1943
THIRD IMPRESSION	1944
FOURTH IMPRESSION	1945
FIFTH IMPRESSION	1948

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY BRADFORD AND DICKENS DRAYTON HOUSE, LONDON, W.C.I Dedicated to T. H. Morgan: many-sided leader in biology's advance.

PREFACE

In 1936, I had to find a subject for the presidential address to the Zoology Section of the British Association. After some hesitation, I chose "Natural Selection and Evolutionary Progress", since it seemed to me that these were two interrelated topics of fundamental biological importance, yet on which much misapprehension existed. Even among professional zoologists the modern conception of natural selection and its mode of operation is quite different from that of Darwin's day, but much of the research on which the changed outlook is based is so recent that the new ideas have not spread far. The idea of evolutionary progress, on the other hand, has been undeservedly neglected. Thus it seemed to me valuable to attempt to give a broad account of the two concepts and their relation to each other.

The result exceeded my expectations. So many of my colleagues expressed interest and the wish that the address might be available in more extended and more permanent form, that I decided to essay expanding it into a book.

The result is the present volume. I am fully conscious of its limitations and imperfections, but I believe that it will serve a useful purpose. The writing of it has so much clarified my own thinking, and the discussion of the problems that arose with colleagues has resulted in so many ideas and points of view which were novel both to them and*to myself, that I am encouraged to believe it will be of general service. I also feel sure that a classification and analysis of evolutionary trends and processes as observed or deduced in nature, and the attempted relation of them to the findings of genetics and systematics, is of first-class importance for any unified biological outlook; and since others better equipped than I seem reluctant to attempt the task, I have tried my hand at it.

I owe a great deal to J. B. S. Haldane's *The Causes of Evolution*; but though our books overlap, they differ considerably in scope and treatment. Dobzhansky's, Waddington's, and Goldschmidt's valuable and distinctive books did not appear until much of the present volume was already in proof; but I have tried to take

advantage of them where possible. My debt to R. A. Fisher's work is obvious. Fisher has radically transformed our outlook on the subject, notably by pointing out how the effect of a mutation can be altered by new combinations and mutations of other genes. Any originality which this book may possess lies partly in its attempting to generalize this idea still further, by stressing the fact that a study of the effects of genes during development is as essential for an understanding of evolution as are the study of as essential for an understanding of evolution as are the study of mutation and that of selection. I may also claim that taxonomic data have not previously been analysed on so large a scale in the light of modern genetic and evolutionary views. Equally obvious is my debt to the Morgan school and to Goldschmidt; but clearly this would apply to any modern book dealing with evolution. I have taken for granted in my reader an acquaintance with the basic principles of Mendelian heredity and the major groups of the animal kingdom. With this equipment, the layman interested in biology will, I hope, find the book suited to his needs, though I hope that it will appeal mainly to professional biologists interested in the more general aspects of their subjects. I would like to record my special gratitude to Mr. E. B. Ford, of Oxford, who has read the book in typescript, and with whom I have discussed all the genetic problems involved: he has been fertile in suggestion and prodigal of assistance. To Professor

fertile in suggestion and prodigal of assistance. To Professor L. T. Hogben, F.R.S., I owe several valuable suggestions on the evolution of species. I should also like to thank Professor R. A. evolution of species. I should also like to thank Professor R. A. Fisher, F.R.S., Professor H. J. Muller, Dr. C. D. Darlington, F.R.S., Professor Hale Carpenter, Dr. W. B. Turrill, and Mr. Moy Thomas for help and advice; and particularly Mr. James Fisher for valuable assistance in revising the book for press. The time is ripe for a rapid advance in our understanding of evolution. Genetics, developmental physiology, ecology, system-atics, paleontology, cytology, mathematical analysis, have all provided new facts or new tools of research: the need to-day is for concerted attack and synthesis. If this hock contributes to

for concerted attack and synthesis. If this book contributes to such a synthetic point of view, I shall be well content.

THE ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY, LONDON March 1942

CONTENTS

Preface	•	•	•	•	•	•	7

Chapter 1. The Theory of Natural Selection

1. The theory of natural selec	tion	•		•		13
2. The nature of variation	•	•	•			17
3. The eclipse of Darwinism	•	•	•	•	•	22

Chapter 2. The Multiformity of Evolution

1. The heterogeneity of evolution	•	•	•		29
2. The paleontological data	•				31
3. Evolution in rare and abundant species	•			•	32
4. Adaptations and their interpretation •		•			34
5. Adaptation and selection .		•	•		37
6. The three aspects of biological fact	•	•			40
7. The main types of evolutionary process	5	•	•		42

Chapter 3. Mendelism and Evolution

. ت ز ر	Mutation and selection	Tr.	•	•		•	•	47
2.	Genes and characters,	۶.	•	•	•	•		62
J 3.	The alteration of genic of	express	ion	•		•	•	68
4.	The evolution of domin	ance	•	•	•	•	•	75
. 5.	Types of mutation .							87
- 6.	Special cases: melanis	m; p	olymc	rphisn	n; fl	uctuat	ing	
<i></i>	populations	•	. •		•	•	•	93
J. 7.	Mutation and evolution	.~	•	•	•	•	•	115

لمر م

Chapter 4. Genetic Systems and Evolution

	clusion	•	•	140
5.	Species-hybridization and sex-determination:		con-	
4-	The consequences of polyploidy \ldots \checkmark .	•	•	143
3.	The meiotic system and its adjustment .	•	•	136
2.	The early evolution of genetic systems	•	•	131
I.	The factors of evolution	•	•	125

^*

PAGE

PAGE

Chapter 5. The Species Problem; Geographical Speciation

The biological reality of species	15	I
The different modes of speciation; successional species .	17	0
Geographical replacement: the nature of subspecies .	17	4
Clines (character-gradients)	20	б
Spatial and ecological factors in geographical divergence .	22	7
Range-changes subsequent to geographical differentiation	24	3
The principles of geographical differentiation	25	9
	The biological reality of species	The biological reality of species15The different modes of speciation; successional species17Geographical replacement: the nature of subspecies17Clines (character-gradients)20Spatial and ecological factors in geographical divergence22Range-changes subsequent to geographical differentiation24The principles of geographical differentiation25

Chapter 6. Speciation, Ecological and Genetic

1.	Local versus geographical	diffe	rentiati	on	•			263
2.	Ecological divergence	•		•	•	•	•	265
3.	Overlapping species-pairs	•		•			•	284
4.	Biological differentiation				•	•		295
5.	Physiological and reprodu	ctive	e differe	entiat	ion	•		308
6.	Special cases		•	•		•		316
7.	Divergence with low com	peti	ion; o	ceanio	faunas	ι.	•	323
8.	Genetic divergence .	•	•	•		•	•	328
9.	Convergent species-formation	tion	•	•	•	•	•	339
10.	Reticulate differentiation	•	•	•	•	•	•	351
[].	Illustrative examples .		•	•	•	•	•	356

Chapter 7. Speciation, Evolution, and Taxonomy

1.	Different types of speciation and their results	•	•	•	382
2.	Species-formation and evolution	•	•	•	387
3.	Modes of speciation and systematic method	•	•	•	390

Chapter 8. Adaptation and Selection

1.	The omnipresence of adaptation	•	•	•	. ′	. 412
2.	Adaptation and function; types an	ıd exa	mples	of ada	ptatio	n 417
3.	Regularities of adaptation .	•	•	•	•	. 430
4.	Adaptation as a relative concept	•	•			. 438
s.	Preadaptation	•	•	•	•	• 449
6.	The origin of adaptations: the in	adequ	acy of	Lama	rckisr	n 457
7.	The origin of adaptations: natura	l sele	ction			. 466
8.	Adaptation and selection not ne	cessari	ily ber	eficial	l to th	c
	species .					. 478

CONTENTS

Chapter 9. Evolutionary Trends

I.	Trends in adaptive radiation	•		486
2.	The selective determination of adaptive trends			494
3.	The apparent orthogenesis of adaptive trends			497
4.	Non-adaptive trends and orthogenesis			504
5.	The restriction of variation			516
6.	Consequential evolution: the consequences of	differen	tial	
	development			525
7.	Other consequential evolutionary trends	•		543

Chapter 10. Evolutionary Progress

I.	Is evolutionary progress a scientific concept?		•	556
2.	The definition of evolutionary progress .		•	559
3.	The nature and mechanism of evolutionary	progress		562
4.	The past course of evolutionary progress		•	569
5.	Progress in the evolutionary future	•	•	572

Bibliography	•	•	•	•	•	•	579
011							

Index

Subjects .	•				•	•	•		615
Organisms.			•	•	•		•		623
Authorities		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	638

CHAPTER I

THE THEORY OF NATURAL SELECTION

I.	The theory of natural selec	tio	n	•	•	•	•	•		p. 13
2.	The nature of variation			•	•	•		•		p. 17
3.	The eclipse of Darwinism	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	p. 22

I. THE THEORY OF NATURAL SELECTION

Evolution may lay claim to be considered the most central and the most important of the problems of biology. For an attack upon it we need facts and methods from every branch of the science—ecology, genetics, paleontology, geographical distribution, embryology, systematics, comparative anatomy—not to mention reinforcements from other disciplines such as geology, geography, and mathematics.

Biology at the present time is embarking upon a phase of synthesis after a period in which new disciplines were taken up in turn and worked out in comparative isolation. Nowhere is this movement towards unification more likely to be valuable than in this many-sided topic of evolution; and already we are seeing the first-fruits in the re-animation of Darwinism.

By Darwinism I imply that blend of induction and deduction which Darwin was the first to apply to the study of evolution. He was concerned both to establish the fact of evolution and to discover the mechanism by which it operated; and it was precisely because he attacked both aspects of the problem simultaneously, that he was so successful.* On the one hand he amassed enormous quantities of facts from which inductions concerning the evolutionary process could be drawn; and on the other, starting from a few general principles, he deduced the further principle of natural selection.

^{*} This method is not, as has sometimes been asserted, a circular argument. See discussion in Huxley, 1938b.

It is as well to remember the strong deductive element in Darwinism. Darwin based his theory of natural selection on three observable facts of nature and two deductions from them. The observable facts of nature and two deductions from them. The first fact is the tendency of all organisms to increase in a geo-metrical ratio. The tendency of all organisms to increase is due to the fact that offspring, in the early stages of their existence, are always more numerous than their parents; this holds good whether reproduction is sexual or asexual, by fission or by budding, by means of seeds, spores, or eggs.* The second fact is that, in spite of this tendency to progressive increase, the numbers of a given species actually remain more or less constant.

The first deduction follows. From these two facts he deduced the struggle for existence. For since more young are produced than can survive, there must be competition for survival. In amplifying his theory, he extended the concept of the struggle for existence to cover reproduction. The struggle is in point of fact for survival of the stock; if its survival is aided by greater fertility, an earlier breeding season, or other reproductive function, these should be included under the same head.

Darwin's third fact of nature was variation: all organisms vary appreciably. And the second and final deduction, which he deduced from the first deduction and the third fact, was Natural Selection. Since there is a struggle for existence among indi-viduals, and since these individuals are not all alike, some of the viduals, and since these individuals are not all alike, some of the variations among them will be advantageous in the struggle for survival, others unfavourable. Consequently, a higher proportion of individuals with favourable variations will on the average survive, a higher proportion of those with unfavourable vari-ations will die or fail to reproduce themselves. And since a great deal of variation is transmitted by heredity, these effects of differential survival will in large measure accumulate f.om generation to generation. Thus natural selection will act con-stantly to improve and to maintain the adjustment of animals and plants to their surroundings and their way of life. A few comments on these points in the light of the historical

14

^{*} The only exception, so far as I am aware, is to be found in certain human populations which fall far short of replacing themselves.

development of biology since Darwin's day will clarify both his statement of the theory and the modern position in regard to it. His first fact has remained unquestioned. All organisms possess

His first fact has remained unquestioned. All organisms possess the potentiality of geometric increase. We had better perhaps say *increase of geometric type*, since the ratio of offspring to parents may vary considerably from place to place, and from season to season. In all cases, however, the tendency or potentiality is not merely to a progressive increase, but to a multiplicative and not to an additive increase.

Equally unquestioned is his second fact, the general constancy of numbers of any species. As he himself was careful to point out, the constancy is only approximate. At any one time, there will always be some species that are increasing in their numbers, others that are decreasing. But even when a species is increasing, the actual increase is never as great as the potential: some young will fail to survive. Again, with our much greater knowledge of ecology, we know to-day that many species undergo cyclical and often remarkably regular fluctuations, frequently of very large extent, in their numbers (see p. 110 Elton, 1927). But this fact, although it has certain interesting evolutionary consequences, does not invalidate the general principle.

The first two facts being accepted, the deduction from them also holds: a struggle for existence, or better, a struggle for survival, must occur.

The difficulties of the further bases of the theory are greater, and it is here that the major criticisms have fallen. In the first place, Darwin assumed that the bulk of variations were inheritable. He expressly stated that any which were not inheritable would be irrelevant to the discussion; but he continued in the assumption that those which are inheritable provide an adequate reservoir of potential improvement.*

As Haldane (1938, p. 107) has pointed out, the decreased interest in England in plant-breeding, caused by the repeal of the

^{*} Origin of Species (6th ed., one vol. ed., p. 9): "... any variation which is not inherited is unimportant for us. But the number and diversity of inheritable deviations of structure, both those of slight and those of considerable physiological importance, are endless. No breeder doubts how strong is the tendency to inheritance: that like produces like is his fundamental belief." And so on.

Corn Laws, led Darwin to take most of his evidence from animal-breeders. This was much more obscure than what the plant-breeders in France had obtained: in fact Vilmorin, before Darwin wrote, had fully established the roles of heritable and non-heritable variation in wheat.

Thus in Darwin's time, and still more in England than in France, the subject of inheritance was still very obscure. In any case the basic laws of heredity, or, as we should now say, the principles of genetics, had not yet emerged. In a full formulation of the theory of Natural Selection, we should have to add a further fact and a further deduction. We should begin, as he did, with the fact of variation, and deduce from it and our previous deduction of the struggle for existence that there must be a differential survival of different types of offspring in each generation. We should then proceed to the fact of inheritance. Some variation is inherited : and that fraction will be available for transmission to later generations. Thus our final deduction is that the result will be a differential transmission of inherited variation. The term Natural Selection is thus seen to have two rather different meanings. In a broad sense it covers all cases of differential survival: but from the evolutionary point of view it covers only the differential transmission of inheritable variations.

(Mendelian analysis has revealed the further fact, unsuspected by Darwin, that recombination of existing genetic units may both produce and modify new inheritable variations. And this, as we shall see later, has important evolutionary consequences.

Although both the principle of differential survival and that of its evolutionary accumulation by Natural Selection were for Darwin essentially deductions, it is important to realize that, if true, they are also facts of nature capable of verification by observation and experiment. And in point of fact differential mortality, differential survival, and differential multiplication among variants of the same species are now known in numerous cases.

The criticism, however, was early made that a great deal of the mortality found in nature appeared to be accidental and nonselective. This would hold for the destruction of the great majority of eggs and larvae of prolific marine animals, or the death of seeds which fell on stony ground or other unsuitable habitats. It remains true that we require many more quantitative experiments on the subject before we can know accurately the extent of non-selective elimination. Even a very large percentage of such elimination, however, in no way invalidates the selection principle from holding for the remaining fraction (see p. 467). The very fact that it is accidental and non-selective ensures that the residue shall be a random sample, and will therefore contain any variation of selective value in the same proportions as the whole population. It is, I think, fair to say that the fact of differential survival of different variations is generally accepted, although it still requires much clarification, especially on the quantitative side. In other words, natural selection within the bounds of the single generation is an active factor in biology.

2. THE NATURE OF VARIATION

The really important criticisms have fallen upon Natural Selection as an evolutionary principle, and have centred round the nature of inheritable variation.

Darwin, though his views on the subject did not remain constant, was always inclined to allow some weight to Lamarckian principles, according to which the effects of use and disuse and of environmental influences were supposed to be in some degree inherited. However, later work has steadily reduced the scope that can be allowed to such agencies: Weismann drew a sharp distinction between soma and germplasm, between the individual body which was not concerned in reproduction, and the hereditary constitution contained in the germ-cells, which alone was transmitted in heredity. Purely somatic effects, according to him, could not be passed on: the sole inheritable variations were variations in the hereditary constitution.

Although the distinction between soma and germplasm is not always so sharp as Weismann supposed, and although the principle of Baldwin and Lloyd Morgan, usually called Organic Selection, shows how Lamarckism may be simulated by the later replacement of adaptive modifications by adaptive mutations, Weismann's conceptions resulted in a great clarification of the position. It is owing to him that we to-day classify variations into two fundamentally distinct categories—modifications and mutations (together with new arrangements of mutations, or recombina-tions; see below, p. 20). Modifications are produced by alterations in the environment (including modifications of the internal environment such as are brought about by use and disuse), mutations by alterations in the substance of the hereditary constitution. The distinction may be put in a rather different but perhaps more illuminating way. Variation is a study of the differ-ences between organisms. On analysis, these differences may turn out to be due to differences in environment (as with an etiolated plant growing in a cellar as against a green one in light; or a sun-tanned sailor as against a pale slum-dweller); or they may turn out to be due to differences in hereditary constitution (as between an albino and a green seedling in the same plot, or a negro and a white man in the same city); or of course to a simultaneous difference both in environment and in constitution (as with the difference in stature between an undernourished pigmy and a well-nourished negro). Furthermore, only the second are inherited. We speak of them as genetic differences: at their first origin they appear to be due to mutations in the hereditary constitution. The former we call modifications, and are not inheritable.

The important fact is that only experiment can decide between the two. Both in nature and in the laboratory, one of two indisthe two. Both in nature and in the laboratory, one of two indis-tinguishable variants may turn out to be due to environment, the other to genetic peculiarity. A particular shade of human com-plexion may be due to genetic constitution making for fair complexion plus considerable exposure to the sun, or to a genetic-ally dark complexion plus very little tanning: and similarly for stature, intelligence, and many other characters. This leads to a further important conclusion: characters as such are not and cannot be inherited. For a character is always the joint product of a particular genetic composition and a particular set of environmental circumstances. Some characters are much

more stable in regard to the normal range of environmental variation than are others-for instance, human eye-colour or hair-form as against skin-colour or weight. But these too are in principle similar. Alter the environment of the embryo sufficiently, and eyeless monsters with markedly changed brain-development are produced.

In the early days of Mendelian research, phrases such as "in fowls, the character rose-comb is inherited as a Mendelian dominant" were current. So long as such phrases are recognized as mere convenient shorthand, they are harmless; but when they are taken to imply the actual genetic transmission of the characters, they are wholly incorrect.

Even as shorthand, they may mislead. To say that rose-comb is inherited as a dominant, even if we know that we mean the genetic factor for rose-comb, is likely to lead to what I may call the one-to-one or billiard-ball view of genetics. There are assumed to be a large number of characters in the organism, each one represented in a more or less invariable way by a particular factor or gene, or a combination of a few factors. This crude particulate view is a mere restatement of the preformation theory of development: granted the rose-comb factor, the rose-comb character, nice and clear-cut, will always appear. The rose-comb factor, it is true, is not regarded as a sub-microscopic replica of the actual rose-comb, but is taken to represent it by some form of unanalysed but inevitable correspondence.

The fallacy in this view is again revealed by the use of the difference method. In asserting that rose-comb is a dominant character, we are merely stating in a too abbreviated form the results of experiments to determine what constitutes the difference between fowls with rose-combs and fowls with single combs. In reality, what is inherited as a Mendelian dominant is the gene in the rose-combed stock which differentiates it from the single-combed stock: we have no right to assert anything more as a result of our experiments than the existence of such a differential factor.

Actually, every character is dependent on a very large number (possibly all) of the genes in the hereditary constitution: but some of these genes exert marked differential effects upon the visible appearance. Both rose- and single-comb fowls contain all the genes needed to build up a full-sized comb: but "rose" genes build it up according to one growth-pattern, "single" genes according to another.

This principle is of great importance. For instance, up till very recently the chief data in human genetics have been pedigrees of abnormalities or diseases collected by medical men. And in collecting these data, medical men have usually been obsessed with the implications of the ideas of "character-inheritance". When the character has not appeared in orthodox and classical Mendelian fashion they have tended to dismiss it with some such phrase as "inheritance irregular", whereas further analysis might have shown a perfectly normal *inheritance* of the gene concerned, but an irregular *expression* of the character, dependent on the other genes with which it was associated and upon differences in environment (see discussion in Hogben, 1933).

environment (see discussion in Flogben, 1933). This leads on to a further and very vital fact, namely, the existence of a type of genetic process undreamt of until the Mendelian epoch. In Darwin's day biological inheritance meant the reappearance of similar characters in offspring and parent, and implied the physical transmission of some material basis for the characters. What would Darwin or any nineteenth-century biologist say to facts such as the following, which now form part of any elementary course in genetics ? A black and an albino mouse are mated. All their offspring are grey, like wild mice: but in the second generation greys, blacks, and albinos appear in the ratio 9:3:4. Or again, fowls with rose-comb and pea-comb mated together produce nothing but so-called walnut combs: but in the next generation, in addition to walnut, rose, and pea, some single combs are produced.

To the biologist of the Darwinian period the production of the grey mice would have been not inheritance, but "reversion" to the wild type, and the reappearance of the blacks and whites in the next generation would have been "atavism" or "skipping a generation". Similarly the appearance of single combs in the fowl cross would have been described as reversion, while the production of walnut combs would have been regarded as some form of "sport."

20

In reality, the results are in both cases immediately explicable on the assumption of two pairs of genes, each transmitted from parent to offspring by the same fundamental genetic mechanism. The "reversions", "atavisms", and "sports" are all due to new combinations of old genes. Thus, although all the facts are in one sense phenomena of inheritance, it is legitimate and in some ways desirable to distinguish those in which the same characters reappear generation after generation from those in which new characters are generated. As Haldane has put it, modern genetics deals not only with inheritance, but with recombination.

Thus the raw material available for evolution by natural selection falls into two categories—mutation and recombination. Mutation is the only begetter of intrinsic change in the separate units of the hereditary constitution: it alters the nature of genes.* Recombination, on the other hand, though it may produce

Recombination, on the other hand, though it may produce quite new combinations with quite new effects on characters, only juggles with existing genes. It is, however, almost as important for evolution. It cannot occur without sexual reproduction: and its importance in providing the possibility of speedily combining several favourable mutations doubtless accounts for the all-but-universal presence of the sexual process in the life-cycle or organisms. We shall in later chapters see its importance for adjusting mutations to the needs of the organism.

Darwinism to-day thus still contains an element of deduction, and is none the worse for that as a scientific theory. But the facts available in relation to it are both more precise and more numerous, with the result that we are able to check our deductions and to make quantitative prophecies with much greater fullness than was possible to Darwin. This has been especially notable as regards the mathematical treatment of the problem, which we owe to R. A. Fisher, J. B. S. Haldane, Sewall Wright, and others. We can now take mutation-rates and degrees of advantage of one

* Strictly speaking, this applies only to gene-mutation. Chromosome-mutaion, whether it adds or subtracts chromosome-sets, whole chromosomes, or parts of chromosomes, or inverts sections of chromosomes, merely provides new quantitative or positional combinations of old genes. However, chromoiome-mutation may alter the *effects* of genes. Thus we are covered if we say that nutation alters either the qualitative nature or the effective action (including the mode of transmission) of the hereditary constitution. 22

mutation or combination over another, which are within the limits actually found in genetic experiments, and can calculate the rates of evolution which will then occur.

If mutation had a rate that was very high it would neutralize or over-ride selective effects: if one that was very low, it would not provide sufficient raw material for change; if it were not more or less at random in many directions, evolution would run in orthogenetic grooves. But mutation being in point of fact chiefly at random, and the mutation-rate being always moderately low, we can deduce that the struggle for existence will be effective in producing differential survival and evolutionary change.

3. THE ECLIPSE OF DARWINISM

The death of Darwinism has been proclaimed not only from the pulpit, but from the biological laboratory; but, as in the case of Mark Twain, the reports seem to have been greatly exaggerated, since to-day Darwinism is very much alive.

Mark Twain, the reports seem to have been greatly exaggerated, since to-day Darwinism is very much alive. The reaction against Darwinism set in during the nineties of last century. The younger zoologists of that time were discontented with the trends of their science. The major school still seemed to think that the sole aim of zoology was to elucidate the relationship of the larger groups. Had not Kovalevsky demonstrated the vertebrate affinities of the sea-squirts, and did not comparative embryology prove the common ancestry of groups so unlike as worms and molluscs? Intoxicated with such earlier successes of evolutionary phylogeny, they proceeded (like some Forestry Commission of science) to plant wildernesses of family trees over the beauty-spots of biology.

so unlike as worms and molluscs? Intoxicated with such earlier successes of evolutionary phylogeny, they proceeded (like some Forestry Commission of science) to plant wildernesses of family trees over the beauty-spots of biology. A related school, a little less prone to speculation, concentrated on the pursuit of comparative morphology within groups. This provides one of the most admirable of intellectual trainings for the student, and has yielded extremely important results for science. But if pursued too exclusively for its own sake, it leads, as Radl has pithily put it in his *History of Biological Theories*, to spending one's time comparing one thing with another without ever troubling about what either of them really is. In other words, zoology, becoming morphological, suffered divorce from physiology. And finally Darwinism itself grew more and more theoretical. The paper demonstration that such and such a character was or might be adaptive was regarded by many writers as sufficient proof that it must owe its origin to Natural Selection. Evolutionary studies became more and more merely case-books of real or supposed adaptations. Late ninetcenth-century Darwinism came to resemble the early nineteenth-century school of Natural Theology. Paley *redivivus*, one might say, but philosophically upside down, with Natural Selection instead of a Divine Artificer as the *Deus ex machina*. There was little contact of evolutionary speculation with the concrete facts of cytology and heredity, or with actual experimentation.

Divine Artificer as the Deus ex machina. There was little contact of evolutionary speculation with the concrete facts of cytology and heredity, or with actual experimentation. A major symptom of revolt was the publication of William Bateson's Materials for the Study of Variation in 1894. Bateson had done valuable work on the embryology of Balunoglossus; but his sceptical and concrete mind found it distasteful to spend itself on speculations on the ancestry of the vertebrates, which was then regarded as the outstanding topic of evolution, and he turned to a task which, however different it might seem, he rightly regarded as piercing nearer to the heart of the evolutionary problems. Deliberately he gathered evidence of variation which was discontinuous, as opposed to the continuous variation postulated by Darwin and Weismann. The resultant volume of material, though its gathering might fairly be called biassed, was impressive in quantity and range, and deeply impressed the more active spirits in biology. It was the first symptom of what we may call the period of mutation theory, which postulated that large mutations, and not small "continuous variations", were the raw material of evolution, and actually determined most of its course, selection being relegated to a wholly subordinate position.

and not small continuous variations, were the raw material of evolution, and actually determined most of its course, selection being relegated to a wholly subordinate position. This was first formally promulgated by de Vrics (1901, 1905) as a result of his work with the evening primroses, Oenothera, and was later adopted by various other workers, notably T. H. Morgan, in his first genetical phase. The views of the early twentieth-century geneticists, moreover, were coloured by the rediscovery of Mendel's laws by Correns, de Vries, and Tschermak in the spring of 1900, and the rapid generalization of them, notably by Bateson.

Naturally, the early Mendelians worked with clear-cut differences of large extent. As it became clearer that mendelian inheritance was universal, it was natural to suppose all mendelian factors produced large effects, that therefore mutation was sharp and discontinuous, and that the continuous variation which is obviously widespread in nature is not heritable.

Bateson did not hesitate to draw the most devastating conclusions from his reading of the mendelian facts. In his Presidential Address to the British Association in 1914, assuming first that change in the germplasm is always by large mutation and secondly that all mutation is loss, from a dominant something to a recessive nothing, he concluded that the whole of evolution is merely an unpacking. The hypothetical ancestral amoeba contained—actually and not just potentially—the entire complex of life's hereditary factors. The jettisoning of different portions of this complex released the potentialities of this, that, and the other group and form of life. Selection and adaptation were relegated to an unconsidered background.

Meanwhile the true-blue Darwinian stream, leaving Weismannism behind, had reached its biometric phase. Tracing its origin to Galton, biometry blossomed under the guidance of Karl Pearson and Weldon. Unfortunately this, the first thorough application of mathematics to evolution, though productive of many important results and leading to still more important advances in method, was for a considerable time rendered sterile by its refusal to acknowledge the genetic facts discovered by the Mendelians. Both sides, indeed, were to blame. The biometricians stuck to hypothetical modes of inheritance and genetic variation on which to exercise their mathematical skill; the Mendelians refused to acknowledge that continuous variation could be genetic, or at any rate dependent on genes, or that a mathematical theory of selection could be of any real service to the evolutionary biologist.

It was in this period, immediately prior to the war, that the

24

legend of the death of Darwinism acquired currency. The facts of mendelism appeared to contradict the facts of paleontology, the theories of the mutationists would not square with the Weismannian views of adaptation, the discoveries of experimental embryology seemed to contradict the classical recapitulatory theories of development. Zoologists who clung to Darwinian views were looked down on by the devotees of the newer disciplines, whether cytology or genetics, *Entwicklungsmechanik* or comparative physiology, as old-fashioned theorizers; and the theological and philosophical antipathy to Darwin's great mechanistic generalization could once more raise its head without fearing too violent a knock.

But the old-fashioned selectionists were guided by a sound instinct. The opposing factions became reconciled as the younger branches of biology achieved a synthesis with each other and with the classical disciplines: and the reconciliation converged upon a Darwinian centre.

upon a Darwinian centre. It speedily became apparent that mendelism applied to the heredity of all many-celled and many single-celled organisms, both animals and plants. The mendelian laws received a simple and general interpretation: they were due in the first place to inheritance being particulate, and in the second place to the particles being borne on the chromosomes, whose behaviour could be observed under the microscope Many apparent exceptions to mendelian rules turned out to be due to aberrations of chromosome-behaviour. Segregation and recombination, the fundamental mendelian tacts, are all but universal, being coextensive with sexual reproduction; and mutation, the further corollary of the particulate theory of heredity, was found to occur wen more widely, in somatic tissues and in parthenogenetic and sexually-reproducing strains as well as in the germitrack of bisexual species. Blending inheritance as originally conceived was shown not to occur, and cytoplasmic inheritance to play an extremely subsidiary role.

The Mendelians also found that mutations could be of any extent, and accordingly that apparently continuous as well as obviously discontinuous variation had to be taken into account 26 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS in discussing heredity and evolution. The mathematicians found that biometric methods could be applied to neo-mendelian postulates, and then become doubly fruitful. Cytology became intimately linked with genetics. Experimental embryology and the study of growth illuminated heredity, recapitulation, and paleontology. Ecology and systematics provided new data and new methods of approach to the evolutionary problem. Selec-tion, both in nature and in the laboratory, was studied quanti-tatively and experimentally. Mathematical analysis showed that only particulate inheritance would permit evolutionary change: blending inheritance, as postulated by Darwin, was shown by R. A. Fisher (1930a) to demand mutation-rates enormously higher than those actually found to occur. Thus, though it may still be true in a formal sense that, as such an eminent geneticist as Miss E. R. Saunders said at the British Association meeting in 1920, "Mendelism is a theory of heredity: it is not a theory of evolution", yet the assertion is purely formal. Mendelism is now seen as an essential part of the theory of evolution. Mendelian analysis does not merely explain the distributive hereditary mechanism: it also, together with selection, explains the pro-gressive mechanism of evolution.

Biology in the last twenty years, after a period in which new disciplines were taken up in turn and worked out in comparative isolation, has become a more unified science. It has embarked isolation, has become a more unified science. It has embarked upon a period of synthesis, until to-day it no longer presents the spectacle of a number of semi-independent and largely contra-dictory sub-sciences, but is coming to rival the unity of older sciences like physics, in which advance in any one branch leads almost at once to advance in all other fields, and theory and experiment march hand-in-hand. As one chief result, there has been a rebirth of Darwinism. The historical facts concerning this trend are summarized by Shull in a recent book (1936). It is noteworthy that T. H. Morgan, after having been one of the most extreme critics of selectionist doctrine, has recently, as a result of modern work in genetics (to which he has himself so largely contributed), again become an upholder of the Darwinian point of view (T. H. Morgan, 1925, and later writings); while his younger colleagues, notably Muller and Sturtevant, are strongly selectionist in their evolutionary views.

The Darwinism thus reborn is a modified Darwinism, since it must operate with facts unknown to Darwin; but it is still Darwinism in the sense that it aims at giving a naturalistic interpretation of evolution, and that its upholders, while constantly striving for more facts and more experimental results, do not, like some cautious spirits, reject the method of deduction.

Hogben (1931, p. 145 seq.) disagrees with this conclusion. He accepts the findings of neo-Mendelism and the mathematical conclusions to be drawn from them; but, to use his own words, "the essential difference between the theory of natural selection expounded by such contemporary writers as J. B. S. Haldane, Sewall Wright, and R. A. Fisher, as contrasted with that of Darwin, resides in the fact that Darwin interpreted the process of artificial selection in terms of a theory of 'blending inheritance' universally accepted by his own generation, whereas the modern view is based on the Theory of Particulate Inheritance. The consequences of the two views are very different. According to the Darwinian doctrine, evolution is an essentially continuous process, and selection is essentially creative in the sense that no change would occur if selection were removed. According to the modern doctrine, evolution is discontinuous. The differentiation of varieties or species may suffer periods of stagnation. Selection is a destructive agency."

Accordingly, Hogben would entirely repudiate the title of Darwinism for the modern outlook, and would prefer to see the term Natural Selection replaced by another to mark the new connotations it has acquired, although on this latter point he is prepared to admit the convenience of retention.

These objections, coming from a biologist of Hogben's calibre, must carry weight. On the other hand we shall see reason in later chapters for finding them ungrounded. In the first place, evolution, as revealed in fossil trends, *is* "an essentially continuous process". The building-blocks of evolution, in the shape of mutations, are, to be sure, discrete quanta of change. But firstly, the majority of them (and the very great majority of those which survive to become incorporated in the genetic constitution of living things) appear to be of small extent; secondly, the effect of a given mutation will be different according to the combinations of modifying genes present (pp. 68 seq.); and thirdly, its effect may be masked or modified by environmental modification. The net result will be that, for all practical purposes, most of the variability of a species at any given moment will be continuous, however accurate are the measurements made; and that most evolutionary change will be gradual, to be detected by a progressive shifting of a mean value from generation to generation.

In the second place, the statement that selection is a destructive agency is not true, if it is meant to imply that it is *merely* destructive. It is also directive, and because it is directive, it has a share in evolutionary creation. Neither mutation nor selection alone is creative of anything important in evolution; but the two in conjunction are creative (p. 475). Hogben is perfectly right in stressing the fact of the important

Hogben is perfectly right in stressing the fact of the important differences in content and implication between the Darwinism of Darwin or Weismann and that of Fisher or Haldane. We may, however, reflect that the term *atom* is still in current use and the atomic theory not yet rejected by physicists, in spite of the supposedly indivisible units having been divided. This is because modern physicists still find that the particles called atoms by their predecessors do play an important role, even if they are compound and do occasionally lose or gain particles and even change their nature. If this is so, biologists may with a good heart continue to be Darwinians and to employ the term Natural Selection, even if Darwin knew nothing of mendelizing mutations, and if selection is by itself incapable of changing the constitution of a species or a line.*

It is with this reborn Darwinism, this mutated phoenix risen from the ashes of the pyre kindled by men so unlike as Bateson and Bergson, that I propose to deal in succeeding chapters.

^{*} It should be added that Hogben was in 1931 concerned to stress mutationpressure as an agency of change—than a new and not generally accepted conception. Since then he has allowed much more weight to the joint role of selection and mutation in producing adaptive change (see Hogben 1940).

CHAPTER 2

THE MULTIFORMITY OF EVOLUTION

I.	The heterogeneity of evolution		•		p. 29
2.	The paleontological data				p. 31
3.	Evolution in rare and abundant species				p. 32
4.	Adaptations and their interpretation .				p. 34
5.	Adaptation and selection				p. 37
6.	The three aspects of biological fact .				p. 40
7.	The main types of evolutionary proces	s.			p. 42

I. THE HETEROGENEITY OF EVOLUTION

With the reorientation made possible by modern genetics, evolution is seen to be a joint product of mutation, recombination, and selection. Contrary to the views of the Weismann school, selection alone has been shown to be incapable of extending the upper limit of variation, and therefore incapable by itself of causing evolutionary change. Contrary to the views of the more extreme mutationists and the believers in orthogenesis, mutation alone has been shown to be incapable of producing directional change, or of overriding selective effects. The two processes are complementary. Their interplay is as indispensable to evolution as is that of hydrogen and oxygen to water. And, as we shall see in detail later, the third process, of recombination, is almost equally essential, not only for conferring plasticity on the species and allowing for a sufficient speed of evolutionary change, but also for adjusting the effects of mutations to the needs of the organism.

In this book I shall endeavour to analyse some of the main types of evolutionary change in terms of this dual responsibility, and then to disentangle the various main roles (for they are numerous and diverse) of selection. This analysis will lead finally to a discussion of the problem of evolutionary progress—whether any such process exists, whether it is explicable on selectionist
30 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS terms, and whether there is any prospect of its future continuance. In the first place, then, evolution is an alarmingly large and varied subject. The students of a particular aspect of evolution are prone to think that their conclusions are generally applicable, whereas in most cases they are not. The paleontologists unearth long evolutionary series and claim that evolution is always gradual and always along a straight course, which may be either adaptive or non-adaptive. However, as Haldane (1932*a*) has pointed out, their conclusions apply almost entirely to animals, and to animals which are mostly of marine type and all belonging to abundant species. In some land plants, on the contrary, we now have evidence of a wholly different method of evolution, namely, the discontinuous and abrupt formation of new species. And in rare forms, as Sewall Wright (1932) and Haldane (1932*a*) specially have stressed, the course of evolution, or at least of sumulant and dominant types (see also p. 387). Meanwhile the comparative physiologist and a certain type of naturalist will inevitably be struck by the adaptive characters of adaptations, the problem of evolution becomes synonymous with the problem of the origin of adaptation, and natural selection is erected into an all-powerful and all-pervading agency. This was the orthodox post-Darwinian view up to the end of the inneteenth century, as represented by Darwin himself in such books as the *Fertilization of Orchids*, by Wallace in his *Darwinism*, by Weismann in *The Evolution Theory*, by Poulton in *The Colours of Animals*.

Colours of Animals.

Colours of Animals. The systematist, on the other hand, and often the ecologically minded naturalist, struck by the apparent uselessness of the characters on which they determine species and genera, are apt to overlook other characters which are adaptive but happen to be of no use in systematics, and to neglect the broad and obviously adaptive characters seen in larger taxonomic groups and in palcontological trends. The result, as recorded for instance in Robson and Richards' book, *The Variation of Animals in Nature* (1936), and Robson's work on *The Species Problem* (1928), is

an undue belittling of the role of selection in evolution, and an over-emphasis on the origin of species as the key problem of evolutionary biology.

The paleontologist, confronted with his continuous and longrange trends, is prone to misunderstand the implications of a discontinuous theory of change such as mutation, and to invoke orthogenesis or lamarckism as explanatory agencies. Since there are more rare than abundant species, the biogeographer will have to discount the fact that he is dealing mainly with processes irrelevant to the major trends of evolution regarded as a long-range process; while the ecologist and the pure physiologist, appalled by the complexity of the phenomena which they study, are apt to give up the quest for any evolutionary explanation at all.

2. THE PALEONTOLOGICAL DATA

We may perhaps take up these points a little more in detail. There is first the point of the unrepresentative nature of the material upon which the paleontologist relies. The chief groups which have yielded detailed results of past evolutionary change by means of fossils are the molluses, the echinoderms, the brachiopods, the graptolites, and the trilobites. Among the vertebrates we have, of course, numerous important fossils which reveal the past history of the phylum; but for the most part they serve merely to show the general course of evolution and the broad relationship of the various groups: this is so with the famous *Archaeopteryx*, with the extinct orders of reptiles, with the reptilian forms ancestral to mammals, with the ostracoderms, whose primitive structure has been revealed by the work of Stensiö. Only in the placental mammals, however, and notably in the horses, the titanotheres, the elephants, and one or two other lines, do we meet with an abundance of fossil forms sufficient to give us what we may call (remembering the words of the psalmist, "a thousand years in thy sight are but as yesterday") the day-today progress of evolutionary change. And even here the abundance and the consequent detailed accuracy of the record are less than in the other groups mentioned. 32

All these others are aquatic and almost exclusively marine. The graptolites and trilobites endured only for a short period of the geological record. So, among the molluscs, did the ammonites and (so far as abundance and fossil preservation are concerned) the nautiloids. The lamellibranchs, on the other hand, and the sea-urchins and starfish among the echinoderms have remained abundant up to the present. This, however, does not appear to matter. In all cases where fossils are abundantly preserved over a considerable period, we find the same phenomena. The change of form is very gradual. It is often along similar lines in related types. And in general it appears that different characters vary independently: at any one horizon, for instance, the fossil sea-urchins of the genus *Micraster* include a few specimens showing characters reminiscent of the average of the horizon before, a few with the same characters anticipating the average of the horizon before, a few with the same characters anticipating the average of the horizon next deposited; but in general the average development of the various diagnostic characters will be nearly constant, though there is no rigid correlation and many specimens will show some characters in advance of and others behind the mean for the particular time (Hawkins, 1936). A similar state of affairs has been found in the history of the horses (Matthew, 1926). As showing the restricted nature of the material on which the

As showing the restricted nature of the material on which the paleontologist relies, it may be mentioned that Professor Hawkins (1936), in his presidential address to the Geology Section of the British Association at Blackpool, drew very far-reaching conclusions as to the method and course of evolution on the basis of echinoderms, molluscs, and brachiopods alone. No trends in vertebrates and no trends in land animals were discussed by him.

3. EVOLUTION IN RARE AND ABUNDANT SPECIES

It is furthermore obvious that only abundant and widespread species will be of any service in tracing the detailed course of past evolution. Now there are various peculiarities distinguishing rare from abundant species. In the first place, abundant species will have a larger reservoir of inheritable variation, both actual and potential. This can be deduced on theoretical lines from what we know of mutation (Wright, 1932). In addition, it has been demonstrated as a fact in several cases. Darwin, on the basis of qualitative inspection, asserted that it was so. And R. A. Fisher, using all the apparatus of biometric and probability technique, has now demonstrated that it holds for such diverse characters as the colour of moths' wings and the dimensions of birds' eggs (Fisher and Ford, 1928; Fisher, 1937a). This will obviously confer upon abundant species a greater evolutionary plasticity, a higher potency of adaptive change.

potency of adaptive change. Rare species, on the other hand, will not only possess less evolutionary adaptability, but will, as Sewall Wright (1932) has emphasized, be prone to have useless or even deleterious mutations become accidentally fixed in their constitution. When numbers are increasing after being abnormally low, a chance mutation may spread through a considerable proportion of the population (p. 61). Further, genes which are neutral or even deleterious have a chance of becoming incorporated in a small local population-unit. Such "accidental" divergence may continue to an indefinite extent. Furthermore, rare species will tend to become subdivided into discontinuous groups, and these, once isolated, will have a greater likelihood of differentiating into separate species, partly by the accidental accumulation of mutations, as we have just seen, and partly because selection can work on them unhampered by immigration from other areas inhabited by slightly different types. Haldane (1932a) draws attention to the fact that the rare fern *Nephrodium spinulosum* has no fewer than four well-marked local subspecies (or even species, according to some authorities) in isolated areas of Britain alone.

Many abundant species, on the other hand, will differentiate into subspecies in different parts of a continuous range; these will differ adaptively in accordance with the environment, but there will not be complete isolation between them (except as the result of climatic or geological change producing a barrier) and migration will keep distributing genes from one subspecies to its neighbours (Chap. 5, § 3).

When this is so, Sewall Wright (1931) points out that the

34

variability of a species will be at a maximum; for the agency of selection will have added partial local differentiation to the intrinsic variability of a large population, and migration will be ensuring new recombinations of the genes determining subspecific characters.

In abundant plant species, the chief tendency appears to be to differentiate into numerous ecotypes, many of which will co-exist in the same geographical region (pp. 275 seq.). These too will be

differentiate into numerous ecotypes, many of which will co-exist in the same geographical region (pp. 275 seq.). These too will be able to exchange genes, and thus to promote variability. Furthermore, as Haldane (1932a) has stressed, competition and therefore selection in rare species will be more between the species as a whole and its environment, or between it and other related species, while in abundant species they will be more between individual members of the same species. And this *intra-specific* selection has various peculiar results in evolution, many of them in the long run being harmful to the stock (p. 478). We must also mention the interesting work of Willis, summar-ized in his book, *Age and Area* (1922). In the first place, he points out that rare species are more numerous than abundant species. For instance, out of 809 species of flowering plants in Ceylon, 65 per cent are rare, including 37 per cent "extremely rare" (see p. 204). His figures apply chiefly to flowering plants, but even a casual acquaintance with systematics makes it clear that a situation of this type is general, and that the systematist and biogeo-grapher are dealing with many more scarce than abundant species. The discontinuous formation, *per saltum*, of new species in plants I shall treat of later in detail, in connection with the species problem in general. Suffice it to say here that the phenomenon is known in several groups of flowering plants, and may well prove to be considerably commoner than is now generally supposed, save by a few cytologists and geneticists.

4. ADAPTATIONS AND THEIR INTERPRETATION

On the subject of adaptation, also, I shall have more to say in a later chapter. But it is clear that, whatever value we allow to the deductive method and its implications as regards adaptation, it must not be allowed too free a rein. Speculation must be constantly checked by observation and experiment. A striking example of this comes from recent work on sexual

A striking example of this comes from recent work on sexual selection (see summaries in Huxley, 1938*a*, 1938*b*, 1938*c*). Under the impetus of Darwin's great work, *The Descent of Man*, what may be called the orthodox Darwinian view came to be generally held, namely, that all bright colours of higher animals which are restricted to the male sex, are, in the absence of definite evidence to the contrary, to be interpreted as owing their origin to sexual selection; the same was assumed for the songs of birds. When these bright colours were known to be conspicuously shown off in some special display attitude, the conclusion was regarded as incontrovertible; but even when this was not the case, as with most of the bright colours of male ducks, the presumption was regarded as sound. It was rather the opposite of the presumption of British law that a prisoner is to be regarded as innocent until definite proof of guilt is adduced. In reaction against this attitude, however, many biologists adopted an equally uncritical attitude of scepticism, and many even proclaimed that sexual selection had been "disproved" and that no masculune colour or other characters had any function in stimulating the female.

However, while this scepticism is wholly unjustified in face of the vast body of positive evidence, notably from field study, recent work, both observational and experimental, largely on birds but also on lizards (see Noble and Bradley, 1933), has shown that the Darwinian presumption in its sweeping form was erroneous. Only when the bright colour or other performance is solely or mainly used in display before the female can it hold. If so, however, the presumption is very strong that its origin is to be sought in sexual selection in the modern sense, which differs considerably from that in which it was originally employed by Darwin, and the burden of proof is on the other side. Song, on the other hand, as a result of detailed observation, is

Song, on the other hand, as a result of detailed observation, is now regarded as having its prime function as a "distance threat" to rival males and its secondary function as an advertisement, so long as the singer is unmated, to unmated females. The same is true of bright colours in the males of territorial species. Similarly bright colours have in many cases been proved to have the function of simple threat and not that of display. The most striking case is perhaps that of our common robin (*Erithacus rubecula*). It was known that the bright red colour of the breast is actually displayed very prominently in a special stiff erect pose during the breeding season, and it had been generally assumed that this was a display of the male towards the female. The observations of Burkitt (1924-5), however, and of Lack (1939), and experiments with stuffed birds have shown that this pose is one of threat and is used by members of either sex, but exclusively towards territorial rivals. It is noteworthy that in the robin, both sexes hold territories in autumn and carly winter, so that the marked development of threat action and threat colouration in the female as well as the male has an obvious adaptive significance.

Observation again has shown that one and the same colour or structure may be employed in different ways as a threat to rivals or as a display to potential mates—this holds for blackcock and ruffs, for instance; while in other cases, as in the train of the peacock, the display function appears to be the only one. Thus deductive speculation, though legitimate in its place, must be closely checked.

Precisely similar considerations apply to all other cases of adaptation. For instance, elementary observation shows a correlation between the prevailing colour and pattern of animals and that of their environment. This provides a *prima facie* case for the relation being an adaptive one. But this deduction is a first approximation only. The next step is to make detailed ecological observations on particular cases; to see whether alternative explanations may not be preferable (such as the view that there is a direct effect of the colour of the environment on the organism, or an indirect effect via the prevailing climate; see Dice and Blossom, 1937, for a case where the climatic interpretation can be rejected); and, where possible, to check the adaptive value by experiment. We shall then be able to reject a certain number of suggestions (such as that of Thayer (1909) that the pink colour of flamingos enables them to escape detection against the sunset sky), and to retain a certain body of firmly established fact and a considerable residuum of high probability. We need not be deterred by arguments of a negative nature,

such as that which maintains that a particular arrangement cannot be adaptive, because related species do not show it; for these can be shown to rest on a lack of biological logic (p. 466).

On the basis of such a step-by-step analysis, we shall obtain strong support for the view that adaptation is all-pervading and of major importance, even if it does not apply to flumerous details of the structure and function of organisms. And this will enable us to discount non-adaptive theories of evolution, such as, orthogenesis, as being based either on incomplete data or on deliberate rejection of the adaptational point of view.

5. ADAPTATION AND SELECTION

Finally, another and even more important point of method must be mentioned. It concerns the types of conclusions which can be drawn from different types of data. I will begin with an example. Various writers, naturally comprising a number of pale-ontologists, have advanced views on genetics and selection, which are based upon the data of paleontology. For instance, some have claimed that Lamarckian theories of inheritance and evolutionary change must be true, since paleontological change is in the majority of cases of a functional nature, suiting the stock pre-gressively to a particular mode of life. For instance, MacBride gressively to a particular mode of life. For instance, MacBride (1936), after reviewing certain evidence for the inheritance of habit, continues: "When fully documented evidence for evolution as displayed by a minute study of species and races of living forms or by the study of lineage series in fossils is carefully studied, this dependence of evolutionary change on change in habit and function becomes apparent." And he draws the further conclusion that the changed habit or function is the direct cause of the evolutionary change.

Others, while not going so far in a positive direction, insist that any selective theory based upon inheritable variation occurring at random, or at least in many directions, cannot be true.

The reason alleged is that the fossil record shows nothing of this randomness, but always advances along definite directions. Still others, more impressed by this fact of direction, and by the further fact that the directional change does not always seem to be functional, but may be of an apparently useless or even deleterious nature, assert not merely that selection cannot be responsible, but that the prime cause of evolution must be the inner momentum which in technical parlance is called orthogenesis (see e.g. Hawkins, 1936, and Chap. 9 of this book).

(scc c.g. Hawkins, 1936, and Chap. 9 of this book). Quite bluntly and simply, all such assertions are unjustified. They are unjustified on the score of simple logic and scientific method. Paleontology is of such a nature that its data by themselves cannot throw any important light on genetics or selection. As admitted by various paleontologists (e.g. Swinnerton, 1940), a study of the *course* of evolution cannot be decisive in regard to the *method* of evolution. All that paleontology can do in this latter field is to assert that, as regards the type of organisms which it studies, the evolutionary methods suggested by the geneticists and evolutionists shall not contradict its data. For instance, in face of the gradualness of transformation revealed by paleontology in sea-urchins or horses it is no good suggesting that large mutations of the sort envisaged by de Vries shall have played a major part in providing the material for evolutionary change. Even here, however, let us be careful to note the restriction imposed by the phrase "as regards the type of organisms which it studies". The main lines of evolution in the more abundant forms of sea-urchins, horses, and the like may depend upon gradual change: but this is no reason for assuming that this holds for all organisms. And as a matter of fact, as we shall set forth more in detail later, abrupt changes of large extent do play a part in certain kinds of evolution in certain kinds of plants.

It may be worth while to see why and how the assertions, positive and negative, that we have just been commenting on, are methodologically unjustified. In the first place to state that the functional nature of evolutionary change presupposes a genetic mechanism like that postulated by Lamarckism, which involves the inheritance of modifications in the individual brought about

by use and function, is a *non sequitur*. A functionally-guided course of evolution is consonant with a lamarckian method for evolution but it is also consonant with an anti-lamarckian Darwinism. For the natural selection of "spontaneous" variations which in their origin have nothing to do with the effect of use or disuse, provides a perfectly adequate formal explanation of the genesis of organisms adapted to their mode of life, and therefore of a functionally-guided course of evolution. The difference lies in the intermediary steps: in the one case the effect of use or function is supposed to be direct, in the other indirect, *via* the sifting mechanism of selection.

There is thus a *non sequitur* in the fundamental postulate of functionally-directed fossil lineages presupposing lamarckian evolution. MacBride (e.g. 1936), however, goes even further. He implies that all evolutionary change is functionally determined. But in the first place we shall later describe certain trends revealed by paleontology, notably in ammonites and lamellibranchs, for which no functional explanation has so far been suggested (p. 506). And, in the second place, the evidence on the differences between allied species, as collected by such authors as Robson and Richards (1936), indicates that many specific characters are non-adaptive. Even if we discount many of these as being in all probability useless consequences or correlates of useful characters, a residuum remains. Thus here again we find are brought out the multiformity of evolution and the impossibility of ascribing all kinds of evolutionary change to a single mechanism.

Similarly the argument that straight-line or directional evolution as revealed by paleontology rules out the natural selection of random variations is simply not true. On the postulate of natural selection, the overwhelming majority of the individuals which survive will clearly be of the adapted type. The likelihood of any obviously maladjusted types surviving to become fossilized is negligible. Further, at any one moment, if there is a constant pressure of selection, and if the raw material on which it acts is constituted by small mutations, as appears to be the case (pp. 51, 58 n.), the main alteration of the stock will be brought about by the slightly lower survival- and reproduction-rate of the types which, though already broadly adapted, are not so highly adapted as others. Thus for the most part the constitution of the stock, as revealed in the bulk of those individuals which reach maturity, will change by a gradual increase of more highly as against less highly adapted types, not by the selection of "the adapted" as against "the non-adapted".

Again, directional evolution does not necessitate orthogenesis, since, so long as it is functional and adaptive, natural selection will also provide a formal explanation of it. An orthogenetic theory will be necessary if studies on mutation show that mutation (a) is so frequent that it can override selective influences and (b) if it also tends to occur repeatedly in the same direction. It will also be necessary to account for directional evolution which is useless or deleterious, or is not correlated with adaptive function. These points we shall discuss later (pp. 504 seq.).

6. THE THREE ASPECTS OF BIOLOGICAL FACT

If we look at the matter in the most general light, we shall see that every biological fact can be considered under three rather distinct aspects. First, there is the mechanistic-physiological aspect: how is the organ constructed, how does the process take place? Secondly, there is the adaptive-functional aspect: what is the functional use of the organ or process, what is its biological meaning or value to the organism or the species? And in the third place, there is the historical aspect: what is the temporal history of the organ or process, what has been its evolutionary course? A couple of examples will illuminate the point. The auditory ossicles or small bones of our middle ear operate so as to transmit vibrations of the ear-drum to the fluid on the inner ear. Their functional significance is to enable us to hear. And historically they are derived from the inner portions of the upper jaw, the lower jaw, and the hyoid, which have changed their function in the course of evolution. Or again, the notochord, which appears transitionally in the development of all higher vertebrates, is historically a recapitulation of the stage when all ancestral vertebrates possessed no backbone but a notochord persistent through life. Mechanistically, it is developed by a process of self-determination from the central portion of the invaginated dorsal lip of the blaostspore. Functionally, it appears to serve as a temporary scaffolding around which the true backbone may afterwards be most conveniently laid down.

Sometimes a character may possess no present functional value, and can only be understood in the light of its evolutionary past. This appears to be true for the hind-limb bones of whales or the vestigial hairs on our own bodies. But in all cases the three aspects are distinct; each must be investigated separately by appropriate methods, which may have no relevance to the other aspects; and discoveries concerning any one aspect can only be of limiting nature, and not decisive or essential, with regard to the other two aspects. They represent three separate fields of discourse, which may overlap, but are of fundamentally different natures.

nature, and not decisive or essential, with regard to the other two aspects. They represent three separate fields of discourse, which may overlap, but are of fundamentally different natures. These considerations apply to evolution as to all other biological phenomena. Paleontology deals with the historical course of evolution. The machinery for the transmission of hereditary factors, together with any differential survival or reproduction of individuals of different types, constitutes the mechanism of the process. The adaptations of species or evolutionary lines, and the reasons for their spread or their extinction, constitute the functional aspect.

We have seen the illegitimacy of using data on the course of evolution to make assertions as to its mechanism; but the converse is just as indefensible. For instance, as we have already said, the assumption of the de Vriesian mutationists that discontinuous variations of large extent are the main source of evolutionary change is not consonant with the facts revealed by paleontology. Again, the demonstration that small mutations occur and can serve as the raw material on which natural selection may act to effect gradual evolutionary change, does not mean that this is necessarily the only type of evolutionary change possible. We have already mentioned that species may be formed abruptly, and other large variations are known to occur and to serve, in some cases, as building-blocks of evolutionary change (Chap. 6, 8, 9).

B★

The consideration of evolution thus demands data from the following branches of biology. As regards its historical course, directly from paleontology and indirectly from systematics and biogeography. As regards mechanism, from genetics and cytology, and, since the expression of a gene is important, from studies of development and growth; in addition, systematics may throw light on the types of variation to be found in nature. And as regards biological meaning, from physiology and ecology for the study of adaptation; from mathematics, selection experiments, and, indirectly, from paleontology, for the study of survival and extinction. All these are necessary, but none of them alone is sufficient.

7. THE MAIN TYPES OF EVOLUTIONARY PROCESS

If ve may anticipate some of the results of later chapters, we may summarize our conclusions briefly as follows. Evolution in biology is a loose and comprehensive term applied to cover any and every change occurring in the constitution of systematic units of animals and plants, from the formation of a new subspecies or variety to the trends, continued through hundreds of millions of years, to be observed in large groups. The main processes covered by the term are as follows. (I) Long-continued trends, as revealed by indirect evidence and in some cases by the immediate data of fossils. These are for the most part towards specialization (p. 486), a number of them

The main processes covered by the term are as follows. (I) Long-continued trends, as revealed by indirect evidence and in some cases by the immediate data of fossils. These are for the most part towards specialization (p. 486), a number of them towards that peculiar form of specialization called degeneration (p. 558), and a few towards that all-round biological improvement which may be styled evolutionary progress (p. 559). All these are essentially adaptive, or, if you prefer it, functionally guided. In addition, certain trends occur which cannot be interpreted adaptively, at least in the light of present knowledge, such as that of various lines of ammonites to greater complexity followed by progressive unrolling of the spiral and by other simplifications (p. 506).

Indirect evidence for similar trends, at least for those of adaptive type, is provided by comparative anatomy and embry-

ology. When a group is considered as a whole, it will be found in the early stages of its history to be radiating into a number of trends; in other words, its evolution is essentially divergent.

An important complication is provided by the fact that selection may have quite different effects according to the group of indi-viduals on which it acts. Thus selection in social insects like bees and ants in which most individuals are neuters and reprobecs and ants in which most individuals are neuters and repro-duction is concentrated in a small special caste, can produce characters in the species of quite a different type from those possible to animals of the usual type, in which all individuals are capable of reproduction (p. 482). Again, in higher mammals, the fact that the mother nourishes a litter within her body will lead

capable of reproduction (p. 482). Again, in higher mammals, the fact that the mother nourishes a litter within her body will lead to a special type of selection acting upon the unborn young, and this will have repercussions on the evolution of the species (p. 525). Similarly, the competition between pollen-grains in higher plants leads to a type of selection which is absent in higher animals (p. 481), while the necessity for internal fertilization in higher animals has led to the type of selection, with characteristic effects, known as sexual or inter-male selection (pp. 425 seq.). Again, the existence of growing-points and other regions of permanently embryonic tissue in higher plants gives them oppor-tunities for asexual reproduction and for taking advantage of mutations that are denied to higher animals. (2) Minor systematic changes, as revealed by detailed tax-onomy, ecology, cytology, and genetics. When we come to minor systematic change, we find some very different processes at work. Some processes of species-differentiation will, of course, form part of a major trend, whether by the direct evolution of a species into an altered form or by its divergence into two lines of incipient specialization. But many processes involving the formation of species and subspecies will be of a different character. Plant species may be produced discontinuously so that no selection is involved in their formation, but only in their subse-quent fate (p. 340). A large species may become broken up into slightly differentiated subspecies, each somewhat adapted to local conditions, but interbreeding to a certain degree with neighbour-ing species. If really small local groups are wholly isolated from ing species. If really small local groups are wholly isolated from

interbreeding with the rest of the species, their total variability will be insufficient to respond readily to selection, uscless or even deleterious characters due to chance (pp. 199 seq.) may crop up in them, and they will be more prone than larger groups to become extinguished when conditions alter. The same is true of once numerous species which dwindle until they become small. In certain conditions, as on the Galapagos archipelago, the few immigrants which have succeeded in reaching the place have blossomed out into an extraordinary array of species; it seems that local isolation, coupled with absence of biological competition, is involved (see Swarth, 1931, 1934, and Chap. 6, § 7 of this book).

it seems that local isolation, coupled with absence of theory ten-competition, is involved (see Swarth, 1931, 1934, and Chap. 6, § 7 of this book). It may be presumed, on somewhat indirect evidence, that "useless" non-adaptive differences due to isolation of small groups may be enlarged by the addition of further differences of the same sort to give generic distinction, though it seems prob-able that differences of family or higher rank are always or almost always essentially adaptive in nature. As we shall discuss more fully later (p. 478), both competition and therefore selection in abundant species are mainly intra-specific, between individuals of the same species; while with rare species they are mainly interspecific, between the species as a whole and its rivals, or as a struggle of the species as a whole to survive in its changing local environment. A species, besides becoming differentiated into local subspecies, may show polymorphism. In some cases, as with various mimetic butterflies, the different forms are highly adaptive and differ in many details, while in other cases, as with the existence of two or more colour-phases (e.g. in black and red squirrels, or pale and normal clouded yellow butterflies; pp. 96 seq.), the forms differ in some simple mendelian character and their adaptive significance is not at all obvious. In some such cases certain by-products of the mendelian mechanism of heredity and mutation products of the mendelian mechanism of heredity and mutation seem to be responsible for permitting this sharp polymorphism to occur, though in others there is a selective balance, weighted differently in ecologically different parts of the organism's range (pp. 103 seq.).

Again, in higher animals, mutual recognition may be at a premium. The recognition may be of one member by all others, as with the recognition marks of gregarious birds and mammals; or it may be between members of opposite sex, as in colours, sounds, or scents promoting the approximation or stimulating the coition of the sexes; or between members of the same sex,

sounds, or scents promoting the approximation or stimulating the coition of the sexes; or between members of the same sex, as with threat-characters. In most of these cases it is biologically desirable to prevent confusion with similar characters of related species occupying the same area (pp. 288 seq.). Recognition-characters accordingly are in most cases not only striking but strikingly different from species to species. Selection in these conditions operates to produce distinctiveness—difference for the sake of difference (see Lorenz, 1935, Huxley, 1938c). In still other cases, frequently in plants and rarely in animals, an interbreeding group (species or subspecies) has been produced by crossing between two or more incipient or fully differentiated species. The results differ according to the precise genetic and cytological mechanisms operative (Chap. 6, \S 8, 9); in some cases, however, an abnormal degree of variability is generated. All these different processes—the adaptive and the non-adaptive trends, and all the various types of specific, subspecific, and polymorphic divergences—are equally part of evolution. If the long-range trends are in the long view the more important, the minor changes probably concern a far larger number of species. It would seem clear that we cannot expect to find a single cause of evolution: rather we must look for several agencies which alone or in combination will account for the very various processes lumped together under that comprehensive term. Looking at the matter from another angle, we are beginning to realize that different groups may be expected to show different kinds of evolution.

kinds of evolution.

Only forms which are able to dispense entirely with bisexual reproduction will be able to establish new species by autopoly-ploidy; the establishment of new species by hybridization and allopolyploidy will in the main be confined to forms with un-limited growth of the type found in higher plants; purely apo-mictic forms will show a host of slightly different pure lines;

40 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS animal groups with wide powers of dispersal like birds will tend to develop characters for sex-recognition and discrimination to prevent intercrossing with other species; the type and amount of variation and differentiation will be different in cross-fertilizing as against self-fertilizing or non-sexual forms, in fertile polyploids as against diploids, in sedentary as against mobile forms (Chap. 4). Just as there is no one method of the origin of species, so there is no one type of variation. Different evolutionary agencies differ in intensity and sometimes in kind in different sorts of organisms, partly owing to differences in the environment, partly to differ-ences in way of life, partly to differences in genetic machinery. No single formula can be universally applicable; but the different aspects of evolution must be studied afresh in every group of animals and plants. We are approaching the time when evolution must be studied not only broadly and deductively, not only intensively and analytically, but as a comparative subject.

CHAPTER 3

MENDELISM AND EVOLUTION

Mutation and selection	•	•	•	•	•	•	р.	47
Genes and characters ⁴				•		•	p.	62
The alteration of genic expression	on	•		•	•		р.	68
The evolution of dominance .	•		•	•		•	p.	75
Types of mutation	•	•				•	p.	87
Special cases: melanism; polym fluctuating populations .	orț	phis:	m;				р.	93
Mutation and evolution	•	•	•	•	•	•	p. 3	115
	Mutation and selection Genes and characters [•] The alteration of genic expression The evolution of dominance . Types of mutation Special cases: melanism; polym fluctuating populations Mutation and evolution	Mutation and selection Genes and characters [•] The alteration of genic expression The evolution of dominance Types of mutation	Mutation and selection	Mutation and selectionGenes and characters*The alteration of genic expressionThe evolution of dominanceTypes of mutationSpecial cases: melanism; polymorphism; fluctuating populationsMutation and evolution				

I. MUTATION AND SELECTION

The essence of Mendelian heredity is that it is particulate. The genetic constitution is composed of discrete units. Each kind of unit can exist in a number of discrete forms. The hereditary transmission of any one kind of unit is more or less independent of that of other units, the restriction of independence being a partial one, concerned with the phenomenon of linkage. The units are the Mendelian factors or genes, while their different forms are called allelomorphs or alleles.

The particulate nature of inheritance enables calculations to be made as to the proportions of offspring of different types in different generations after a cross. Like the atomic theory in chemistry, it is the basis of quantitative treatment.

The hereditary particles or genes are located within the visible chromosomes, whose manœuvres distribute their contained genes equally to all cells of the body, and determine the quantitative details of Mendel's laws. Within a particular chromosome, each gene has its appointed place, which it keeps permanently (apart from rare rearrangements; pp. 89 seq.). Of recent years, the study of the giant chromosomes in the salivary glands has converted *Drosophila* from an unfavourable into an exceedingly favourable cytological object. It is now possible in this genus to check genetic prophecies cytologically and cytological prophecies genetically, in a remarkably complete and detailed way. (For the cytological basis of heredity see Darlington, 1937; briefer treatment in M. J. D. White, 1937.)

It used to be imagined that the precise arrangement of the genes within the chromosomes was biologically irrelevant. To-day, however, we know that this is not so. Genes (all or many of them) have somewhat different actions according to what neighbours they possess. This is the so-called position effect (p. 85), which, only recently discovered, will probably turn out to be widespread, although in some organisms (such as maize) it does not seem to occur. Where it occurs, it is likely to be of fundamental importance as well, since the rearrangement of blocks of genes (sections of chromosomes) within the chromosome outfit (p. 89), though considerably rarer than gene-mutation, is not infrequent in the long perspective of evolution; and this, through the position effects which it frequently causes, provides a large and previously unsuspected source of variability and potential evolutionary change. Its contribution, however, must be much less varied and much less abundant than that of gene-mutation (Muller, 1940).*

This does not affect the basic conception of the gene as particulate. Genes are in many ways as unitary as atoms, although we cannot isolate single genes. They do not grade into each other: but they vary in their action in accordance with their mutual relations. In this they are again like atoms: the chemical behaviour of a compound will be altered when we transfer an atom from one position to another in the molecule, even though the substantive constitution of the molecule remains unchanged. Thus the whole is not merely the sum of its parts: it is also their relation.

The discreteness of the genes may prove to be nothing more

^{*} A special case of position effect is the modification of variegation (mosaicism) of various sorts in *Drosophila*, exerted on various genes if translocated into proximity with heterochromatin ("inert" regions of the chromosomes). Schultz's summary (1941) makes it clear that this is caused by a change in the nucleic acid metabolism of the translocated regions, and that this exerts its effects in carly stages of development, by causing a process akin to inactivation of the genes involved. The degree of this inactivation decreases with the distance from the point of breakage and re-union with the unert region.

than the presence of predetermined zones of breakage at small and more or less regular distances along the chromosomes. For the independent hereditary behaviour of genes, from which their discreteness is deduced, is due to two facts. When the genes to discreteness is deduced, is due to two facts. When the genes to be tested are in different kinds of chromosomes, their independ-ence is due merely to the independent behaviour of the two chromosomes. But when they are both in the same kind of chromosome, their independence depends on what is known as crossing-over. Prior to the formation of reproductive cells, the two homologous chromosomes of each kind pair together. Where they touch, they may break and exchange segments; in the daughter-chromosomes the kinds of genes and their order remain as before, but one or more blocks of genes from one chromosome will have exchanged places with precisely corresponding blocks of genes from the other. The breaks do not always occur in the same place. If there is more than one break in a chromosome-pair, the second break is at a considerable in a chromosome-pair, the second break is at a considerable distance from the first; thus breaks can normally not occur on both sides of a single gene. What happens is thus that genes are separated from their erstwhile neighbours in a chromosome by these breaks; and it is the fact that breaks may occur at different places in the chromosome which makes it possible for any gene in a chromosome to be separated from its neighbours and thus to be inherited independently. Knowing this, we may put the matter the other way round, and say that the process of exchange of sections after breaking, and the fact that breakage only occurs at certain spots, determines what we call the gene (see discussion in Grüneberg, 1937); a gene-unit is thus a section of the chromo-some between two adjacent sites of potential breakage at crossingsome between two adjacent sites of potential breakage at crossingover *

The chromosomes may thus be looked on as "super-molecules". built up out of a series of regions, each region marked off by zones of potential breakage. The portions of these regions which we can recognize by their effects in inheritance are what we

^{*} It is possible, though not fully established, that the breaks underlying sectional rearrangements may not invariably coincide with the sites of potential breakage underlying crossing-over. If so, sectional chromosome-mutations may actually break genes in two (Muller, 1940; Raffel and Muller, 1940).

call genes. Rearrangement of the regions, as well as change within a single region, or the loss or duplication of a region or set of regions, can and normally will cause alterations in the action of the chromosome and its parts on the developing organism. Similarly the doubling of the whole chromosome-outfit, by pro-ducing a different relation between gene-outfit and cytoplasm, will also alter the characters of the organism.

will also alter the characters of the organism. The number of genes is much larger than was originally imagined. Drosophila is the only organism where adequate quantitative knowledge is available. Several recent estimates, based on different methods of approach (see summary in Gulick, 1938), vary from a minimum of over 2,000 to a maximum of well under 13,000, with a probable number of about 5,000, for this minute insect. The size of a Drosophila gene must be between 10^{-8} and $10^{-5} \mu^3$ and probably between 10^{-7} and $10^{-6} \mu^3$, equivalent to some 10 medium-sized protein molecules (see also Lea, 1940). In some other organisms (Lilium) the genes may be larger, and in others more numerous (e.g. in man, perhaps 4 to 6 times more so than in Drosophila). It will be seen what astro-nomical possibilities of recombination and mutual interaction are afforded by an assemblage of this magnitude. A gene-mutation will then be any intrinsic change in substance or structure, affecting the mode of action of one of these unit-regions.

regions.

regions. One of the notable biological discoveries of the last few years was that of Muller, on the effect of X-rays in producing gene-mutations. The ordinary rate of mutation can by this means be multiplied a hundred-and-fifty fold, and a certain number of wholly new mutations, in addition to many already known, are produced. It is, however, interesting, in view of our discussion, to note that X-rays also induce the rearrangement of chromo-some-sections by translocation, inversion, etc. In view of the assertions of certain biologists that mutation is of its essence pathological, it should be mentioned that X-ray treatment can produce reverse mutation—i.e. cause a previously mutated gene to revert to normal (Patterson and Muller, 1930; Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 19344, 1937). Comment is needless. Ressovsky, 1934a, 1937), Comment is needless.

Timakov (1941) in wild *Drosophila* has detected a gene increasing mutation-rate at least 40 times, and possibly to a level higher than that induced by X-rays.

The fact that the genes and their arrangement normally remain constant, until altered by some kind of mutation (after which they again remain constant in their new form until a further mutation supervenes), accounts for the resemblance between parents and offspring, The fact of Mendelian recombination, by which new combinations of old genes are produced according to Mendel's second law (and to the rules of crossing-over), accounts for the great majority of the differences between parents and offspring, and between members of a family or population. But gene-mutation, though a rare event, appears to account for most that is truly new in evolution. Under the head of gene-mutation, position-effect due to very small sectional rearrangements can legitimately be included, since it involves a structural change and a novel effect; further, it cannot for practical reasons be excluded, since there is at present in many cases no possibility of distinguishing between it and true gene-mutation. Recombination also may in certain cases produce evolutionary novelty, for instance after a cross between two previously isolated types. Finally, as we shall see later, hybridization, with no subsequent recombination, may sometimes be responsible for evolutionary change (Chap. 6, § 9).

However, gene-mutations (including position-effects) appear to be the most important source of novelty in evolution, and we must now say a little more about them.

In the first place, no trace has been found in *Drosophila*, where analysis has been pushed to an extraordinary pitch of refinement, of any characters not dependent on chromosomal (and therefore mendelizing) differences (Muller, 1940). Secondly, although complete proof cannot be offered, the presumption, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is that all mendelizing genedifferences owe their origin to mutation: up to the present we know of no other way by which they could have come into existence.

Finally, a number of mutations are known which are roughly

52 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS neutral, or actually or potentially useful (pp. 118, 449). In barley, Gustafsson (1941) induced by X-rays three mutations which increased yield, one of them markedly. Among those which are potentially useful a few cases may be mentioned here. Banta and Wood (1928) discovered a "thermal race" of the crustacean Daphnia longispina, which had its optimum $6^{\circ}-8^{\circ}$ C. higher and its thermal death-point 5° C. higher than the long-established parthenogenetic strain from which it originated. It was also immobilized more quickly by low temperatures. Thus it was potentially adapted to a warmer environment than its parent strain. Its origin from sexually inbred individuals showed that it depended upon a recessive mutation arising during the long preceding period of parthenogenetic reproduction. Other more immediately useful mutations arose in the same way, e.g. some causing greater fertility and others greater longevity (Davenport, 1928). 1928).

Very important results were obtained by Johannsen (1913) in following up his classical researches on pure lines for seed-weight in beans. As is well known (see Johannsen, 1926), he showed that the prime effect of selection in a mixed population was to isolate pure lines, and that further selection then had no further effect, in the absence of mutation. But he also showed that

turther effect, in the absence of mutation. But he also showed that mutations might occur in pure lines, and might then be selected. During his experiments, two mutations, one for higher and one for lower seed-weight, were detected and "captured" by his selection for high and low seed-weight respectively. Another interesting case is that of the variety of tobacco originated apparently by mutation, described by Garner and Allard (1920). This did not flower at all in its place of origin (Washington); but when the daily period of light was reduced to twelve hours, it flowered and set seed better than the stock from which it had arisen. In other words, it was potentially better from which it had arisen. In other words, it was potentially better

adapted than the parent stock to more tropical latitudes. Still another case is the mutation described by McEwen (1937) in *Drosophila*, which abolishes the fly's phototropism. This would be adaptive in dark surroundings. It is noteworthy that this last effect is produced by the same recessive mutation that

changes the body-colour to tan: thus here a useless visible effect is correlated with a potentially useful physiological effect (a "correlated character" in Darwin's usage).

Of mendelizing differences, alike in domestic and wild species, which are actually or potentially favourable, there is an abund-ance. We need only think of the genes producing small and large size in poultry (Punnett and Bailey, 1914); those producing the specific differences between the two species of snapdragon crossed by Baur (1923); the mimetic polymorphic forms of various butterflies (p. 101); the different forms of heterostyled flowers such as primrose (*Primula*) and loosestrife (*Lythrum*); the single-brooded and double-brooded condition in silkworms; and so on. An interesting case of a *Drosophila* mutant establishing itself in considerable numbers in the wild is the vermilion-eyed type of D. hydei (Spencer, 1932). This mutant must be very delicately balanced in its selective relations. The recent establishment of other marked mutant types, like the black hamster, the black Taumanian opossum, the simplex-toothed field-vole, etc., are discussed later (pp. 103-6, 203). In our own species, the work of Blakeslee and his collaborators (see Blakeslee and Fox, 1932) has established the existence of remarkable differences, apparently mendelian, in sensitivity of taste and smell in regard to various chemical compounds and natural odours. These seem under present conditions to be, in themselves, somewhat selectively neutral. Later work (Fisher, Ford and Huxley, 1939) has shown that in chimpanzees not only are the same differences found, but tasters and non-tasters occur in about the same proportions as in man-close to 3: 1. This appears to indicate a stable balance between the two conditions, and one depending upon some advantage, of unknown nature, enjoyed by the heterozygotes. The different blood-group genes would seem to fall into a some-what similar category, though here the proportions vary markedly in different populations: some of these genes occur also in various lower mammals.

Many differences between "good" species have also been shown to depend on mendelizing gene-differences (see Goldschmidt, 1928, Chap. 15; Haldane, 1932a, Chap. 3; Lamprecht, 1941). Further, an increasing number of characters once held to be non-mendelian are being shown to depend on mendelian genes (e.g. the multiple factors influencing the hooded pattern in rats, p. 65; the distinctive characters of wild subspecies of the deer-mouse *Peromyscus*); and indeed wherever F2 shows greater variability than F1, inheritance must be particulate. Thus it may be legitimately argued that the majority of all inherited characters must rest on a mendelian basis. Even in the present incomplete state of our knowledge, there are strong presumptive grounds for this assertion, so that the onus of proof now lies on those who

state of our knowledge, there are strong presumptive grounds for this assertion, so that the onus of proof now lies on those who would maintain the contrary in any particular case. In addition, initially deleterious factors can be rendered useful by genetic-evolutionary methods which we shall discuss in subsequent sections of this chapter. Finally, mutations, while they seem to occur more readily in certain directions than in others (Chapter 9), can be legitimately said to be random with regard to evolution. That is to say, the directions of the changes produced by them appear to be unre-lated either to the direction of the evolutionary change to be observed in the type, or to the adaptive or functional needs of the organism. Evolutionary direction has to be imposed on random mutation through the sifting and therefore guiding action of selection. It is, of course, possible that as the laborious technique of testing for mutation-rate is extended to more species, certain mutations may be discovered which show very much higher rates than others. However, the general agreement already found between organisms so different as a monocotyle-donous angiosperm, an insect, and a mammal would indicate that in most species we may expect to find some mutations occurring at a rate of I in 10⁵ individuals or even higher, and many genes with a mutation-frequency of about I in 10⁶. Occa-sional genes with a mutation-frequency of about I in 10⁶. Occa-sional genes with a mutation-frequency of certain genes (Harland, 1936). Mutation-frequency must in some way be balanced against length of life; otherwise the chromosomes of

long-lived species would become crowded with lethals before reproduction (Dobzhansky, 1937, p. 33). Again, the mutationrate for haemophilia in man is on the same scale as that for most *Drosophila* genes if computed per life-cycle, but much lower on the basis of time (Haldane, 1935b). Certainly species vary in mutation-rate: thus the fern *Nephrolepis exaltata* has produced many more mutants than any other species of the genus (Benedict, 1931). Zuitin (1941) in *Drosophila* finds that mutation-rate is increased by sudden environmental changes (see p. 137).

With mutation-rates of this order of magnitude, evolution must always be a somewhat slow process, judged in terms of years, but its speed in relation to geological time will be quite adequate. R. A. Fisher (1930*a*, 1932) has discussed the matter in a general way. He clears the ground by pointing out that "blending inheritance," which was currently postulated in Darwin's day, would be constantly annulling variability: to be accurate, the variance (in the absence of selective mating) would be halved in each generation. As a result, new genetic variations (i.e. what we should to-day call mutations) would have to be exceedingly abundant—far in excess of anything observed in actual fact to produce the variance actually observed; and any variability available for selection to act upon would have to be of very recent origin. It was largely for these reasons that Darwin ascribed so high an influence to "the direct effects of environment".

The discovery that inheritance is almost entirely particulate and non-blending removes these difficulties, so that in point of fact the rise of Mendelism, far from being antagonistic to Darwinian views (as was claimed, notably by the early Mendelians themselves, in the years immediately following its rediscovery), makes a selectionist interpretation of evolution far simpler. In mathematical language, it indefinitely conserves much genetic variance instead of rapidly dissipating it, and thus amasses material on which selection can work.* Further, if particulate inheritance

^{*} A certain number of rare mutant genes will be lost to the species by accidental elimination. In addition, genetic variance will be reduced by the selective elimination of deleterious mutant genes.

and discontinuous mutation as they are known at the present time are the general basis of genetics and variation, selectionist views also gain support over those strictly to be called ortho-genetic (Chapter 9), in which the direction of mutation itself is supposed to determine the course of evolution, and over those to be called Lamarckian, in which the effects of use and function arc supposed to be inherited. For no rate of hereditary change hitherto observed in nature would have any evolutionary effect in the teeth of even the slightest degree of adverse selection. Either mutation-rates many times higher than any as yet detected must be sometimes operative, or else the observed results can be far better accounted for by selection. A mutation with partial dominance occurring once in 10⁵ individuals will, if selectively neutral, take a period of somewhat over 105 generations to establish itself in half the individuals of the species. If there were the faintest adverse selection against it, it could never increase at all. But if it conferred an advantage of only I per cent-i.c. if an individual bearing one such mutant gene has an expectation of reproducing itself which is only I per cent higher than those without the mutant gene, then it would establish itself in half the individuals of the species in a period of only about 10^2 generations (R. A. Fisher, 1930*a*; Haldane, 1932*a*). Fisher (1937*b*) has also made interesting studies on the form of the wave by which advantageous genes advance.

Haldane (references and summary in appendix to Haldane, 1932a) has made a number of valuable theoretical studies on the rate of evolutionary change to be expected with various given degrees of selective advantage for autosomal dominants and recessives and other types of mutations. One important conclusion is that intense competition favours variable or plastic response to the environment rather than high average response. This presumably helps to explain the large variability to be found in many natural populations.

For ordinary natural selection involving a simple dominant with a selective advantage of 1 in 1,000 (i.e. where the ratio of dominant to recessive changes from 1 to 1.001 in each generation) it will take nearly 5,000 generations to increase the proportion of the dominant from I to 50 per cent, and nearly 12,000 more to raise it to 99 per cent. For an advantage of I in 100 the number of generations must be divided by 10. In the early stages of selection of a single mutation with constant effects, when the gene is still very rare, dominants can spread much more rapidly than pure recessives, unless a certain degree of inbreeding occurs.

These results may be actualized in certain cases: e.g. in dominant melanism (p. 93) when conditions alter so as to favour the melanic mutant, the rate of change in the constitution of the population is of the order deduced. However, Haldane's detailed conclusions are not likely to be so directly applicable to evolutionary problems as was thought at the time, since we now realize that dominance or recessivity are themselves in large measure a result of evolution, produced in response to the deleterious nature of most mutations (p. 75). The mutations that are of value for evolution will in most cases be of very small extent, of slight effect, and often at least of incomplete dominance or recessiveness. Further, we are now realizing that evolution will in general proceed, not by the selection of single mutations, but by the selection of mutations in relation to a favourable combination of existing small gene-differences, or in many cases by the selection of such new recombinations alone, to be followed later if occasion offers by appropriate new mutations (p. 124). According to R. A. Fisher, this process will be considerably quicker than that of the selection of single recessives, which are the commonest obvious mutations found, and accordingly were, when Haldane wrote, usually considered to be the main source of evolutionary variance.

It will be observed that the amount of variance provided by mutation will, with a constant mutation-rate, vary directly with the size of the population. In a given time, therefore, a rare species cannot lay hands on the same store of mutations as would be available to an abundant species. The problem of the relation of size of population to evolution is, however, much more complex than this (see p. 200; R. A. Fisher, 1930*a*, Chap. 4, and 1937*a*; SewallWright, 1931, 1932, 1940). We must consider how much variance a population can hold, as well as how much variance it is provided with by mutation.

Many rare mutations must be extinguished by mere random loss: the individuals or gametes containing them fail to reproduce. There must always be a tendency for "minority" genes, which are present in low frequencies, to be lost from the germ-plasm by such accidental extinction if of no selective advantage. Even with a definite selective advantage such as I per cent, which is of the order of magnitude for rapid evolutionary change, the chances are strongly against a lone mutation surviving in the species (see, e.g., Haldane, 1939b). Mutations with a deleterious effect will of course be lost through selection, the rate of loss depending on the intensity of the effect.

Thus repeated mutation (i.e. a definite mutation-rate) together with a considerable-sized population, are necessary for new mutations to have an evolutionary chance.* Such abundant species as have been analysed prove to be carrying a surprisingly large number of recessive mutations in their germ-plasm (see p. 75, and Dobzhansky, 1937, Chap. 3).

In addition, the probability of mere accident playing a part in the actual survival of particular genes or gene-combinations is enhanced in small populations. This has been especially emphasized by Wright, who points out that we should expect to see, in the case of small species or isolated subspecies, certain types of useless or even deleterious change, which would not occur in an abundant form, becoming incorporated in the constitution through chance recombination.

Already in 1912 Lloyd had discovered instances of this process of accidental multiplication and decline of mutant genes, but without realizing its full theoretical implications; and by 1918 Muller had drawn general attention to its importance. Sewall

* R. A. Fisher (1937a) points out that the number of the rare approximately neutral genes carried by a species increases roughly as the logarithm of its population-size. Such genes, however, will only cause observable variability of any magnitude if they can increase their *frequency*, as will occur if they are slightly favourable or if changed conditions cause them to become so. Thus, as Ford (1940c, p. 89) points out, increased variability ascribable to large population-size depends on genes actually engaged in causing evolutionary change, and the observed fact of such increased variability demonstrates the spread in nature of genes with small advantageous effects.

Wright later christened the process "drift", and worked out its consequences in full detail (see Wright, 1940). What may be regarded as the converse of the Sewall Wright phenomenon of drift in small populations is the impossibility of securing good results in artificial selection when only small numbers are employed. This, the general experience of poultry-breeders, has been confirmed by Hays (1940) in definite experi-ments designed to test the point. Using a flock never exceeding 50 birds, and often much smaller, he was unable in the course of eight generations to raise egg-production though marked proso birds, and often much smaller, he was unable in the course of eight generations to raise egg-production, though marked pro-gress can be obtained by using large flocks. Apparently the numerous genes needed for the requisite multiple gene-combina-tions are not available in such small populations. In some charac-ters involved in fecundity, indeed, the effect was contrary to the direction of selection—a result comparable with the deleterious changes sometimes seen in small populations in nature (p. 201). The smaller the size of a natural population and the more perfectly it is isolated the more likely is drift to proceed to its limit, resulting either in the complete loss of a mutation from the group, or its fixation in all the individuals of the group— accompanied, of course, by the complete loss of its normal allele. In larger and less isolated populations, however, drift will normally proceed only within limits, causing the frequency of a gene to fluctuate round a position of equilibrium. This equi-librium-frequency will be determined by the balance between the two opposing processes of mutation-frequency on the one hand and adverse selection on the other, while, as we have seen, population-size will also have an effect. Thus in large populations,

population-size will also have an effect. Thus in large populations, slightly deleterious mutations may be present with reasonable frequency, especially when recessive, and will then constitute a reservoir of potential evolutionary change, since their unfavour-able effects can generally be neutralized by appropriate combinations of modifiers (pp. 68 seq.).

In some cases, as with haemophilia and other sex-linked recessives in man, we know that the effect of a mutant gene is so deleterious that a comparatively high mutation-pressure must be postulated to account for its frequency. In other cases, changes

in external environment will alter the amount or even the sign of the selection-pressure on the gene. Thus an increase in temper-ature would favour the spread of the "thermal race" of Daphnia mentioned on p. 52, while the lowering of temperature during the glacial period has doubtless led to autopolyploids replacing diploids at high latitudes in many plant species (p. 337). The same will be true of changes in the internal environment. Genes may have their expression altered by modifiers so as entirely to change their selective value (pp. 68 seq.). Meanwhile it is important to realize that the frequency of mutant genes represents an equilibrium between mutation-frequency and selection, that variability represents a further equilibrium between recombination and selection, and that the size and structure of the population will have effects on both these equilibria. in external environment will alter the amount or even the sign

these equilibria.

these equilibria. We shall revert later to this last point. Here we will merely mention the important conclusion established by Sewall Wright (see Wright, 1940a), that the greatest amount of evolutionary potentiality is available to large species divided into partially discontinuous groups (subspecies etc.). The partial isolation between the groups favours diversity by local adaptation and also by drift and the establishment of non-adaptive recombinations; while the fact that it is only partial implies that the variance provided by all the diversity taken together is potentially available to the species as a whole. Recent work has emphasized the importance of studies of

Recent work has emphasized the importance of studies of population-structure for understanding the precise way in which evolution will operate in any particular species. Thus to take but two examples, Dobzhansky (1941) points out that certain theo-retical calculations as to the relation between the mutation-rate and the number of lethals actually found in a population will only hold in unlimited populations. As the size of the normally in-breeding population is decreased, the number of lethals goes up. In Drosophila pseudoobscura, using this method, he was able to show that the size of inbreeding population-groups was quite different in California and in Central America. A region with smaller size of population-groups will show greater divergence

between its constituent populations and these will each show greater variability in time; further, in such a region, the type as a whole can only change through the migration and selection of superior genotypes from small colonies. In general, the size of the constituent population-groups seems to be astonishingly small for an organism with such capacities for distribution (sce also pp. 371-2).

also pp. 371-2). In Drosophila hydei the situation is rather different (Spencer, 1941). This is a tropical species which has become widely estab-lished in U.S.A. as a hanger-on of urban man. Each city and town is the focus of a single population-group. Each such population-group passes through tremendous fluctuations in size, becoming quite small in winter. It is improbable that genetic equilibrium is ever reached within such markedly fluctuating groups, and the rapid increases in numbers give abundant opportunity for the spread of new genes even against selection-pressure. Analysis showed, as was expected, that different populations differed markedly in the type and number of mutant genes that they contained. contained.

As Dobzhansky points out, we may say on the basis of such analysis that one of the most important recent evolutionary events has been the merging in the human species of small population-groups in a more or less freely interbreeding whole. In general it seems clear that from the standpoint of mathe-matical theory, existing mutation-rates will in moderately abundant species suffice, with the aid of selection, for the dis-tinctly slow processes of evolutionary change to be observed in forcile **x** fossile *

This statement is a deductive one made on theoretical grounds from the standpoint of mathematical analysis. In the remainder of this chapter we shall deal with more concrete aspects of the relation between Mendelism and evolution.

^{*} In a stock like that of the horses, which shows a functional evolution that by geological standards must be called rapid, the time needed to effect a change of specific magnitude is of the order of 100,000 generations, and to effect one of generic magnitude of the order of 1,000,000 generations (Wells, Huxley and Wells, 1930, Book 4, ch. 8).

2. GENES AND CHARACTERS

A great deal of water has flowed under the bridges of biology since the early days of mendelian work, when mendelian factors were rigidly equated with mendelizing characters when only two states of a given gene were recognized, the dominant being supposed to represent its presence and the recessive its absence, and when all mutations and all mendelian genes were supposed to have considerable and obviously discontinuous effects.*

To-day the notion of mendelian characters has been entirely dropped (see, for instance, Sinnott and Dunn, 1932, p. 301). The term may occasionally serve as a useful piece of shorthand notation, but is in point of fact a false conception. In the first place, a single gene may affect a number of characters, a phenomenon known as pleiotropism. Grüneberg (1938), in an illuminating analysis, points out that pleiotropic effects may be realized in three different ways. In the first place, a gene may exert a direct effect on two or more distinct processes. The example of the effect of the series of white eye-colour allelomorphs in Drosophila, which also exert an effect on the shape of the spermatheca, is probably an example of this category. Secondly, a gene may exert a direct effect on a single process, but in many different sites and conditions. This holds for the primary action of the gene studied by Grüneberg (1938) in the rat, which causes hyperplasia and abnormal growth of cartilage in the ribs, trachea, and elsewhere. Another and even more striking case is the array of anomalies in such different organs as eyes and feet, found in a particular strain of mice, which Bonnevie (1934) has shown are due to alteration in a single developmental mechanism, namely the causing of embryonic blebs of fluid at a particular stage of embryonic development.

The most interesting examples for our purpose, however, belong to the third category, of indirect effects. A gene exerts a primary direct effect, and this then causes numerous secondary

^{*} A valuable summary of the modern outlook, which treats certain aspects of the problem more fully than is possible in 2 single chapter, is given by Ford in his little book, *Mendelism and Evolution* (1934).

effects. Grüneberg's gene in the rat has a hyperplastic anomaly of cartilage as its primary effect. But among the secondary effects are such varied "characters" as emphysema, hypertrophy of the right ventricle, blocked nostrils, and incompletely occluded incisor teeth.*

An equally good example is that of the frizzled breed of fowl (p. 118). Here the primary effect is entirely on the feathers; as the secondary effect of the resultant abnormal heat loss, we find (in temperate climates) marked thyroid and adrenal enlargement, subnormal body-temperature, and much increased food-intake.

Such secondary effects are excellent examples of what Darwin called "correlated characters", which may be of great evolutionary importance (pp. 188, 206, 533).

Furthermore, any given character represents the end-result of a great number of genes interacting with the environment during development, and is not inherited as such. What is investigated in any genetic experiment is the inherited basis for a constant character-difference. Thus a *character-difference* may be said to be inherited in mendelian fashion, while the *character* cannot but even so the differential effect of a particular gene on the character need not by any means always be the same. It may alter according to differences in the environment, and also according to differences in the remainder of the gene-complex. As an example of the first, we may take the well worked out case of "abnormal abdomen" in *Drosophila* (T. H. Morgan, 1915). This effect depends on a single partially dominant sex-linked gene: but it is only manifested in moist conditions. In dry conditions flies pure for the gene appear perfectly normal, while intermediates are produced by varying degrees of moisture (see Gordon and Sang, 1941, on the similar case of *antennaless*).

An equally striking botanical case is that of a type of albinism in barley (Collins, 1927), dependent on a single gene. When grown below 6.5° C. the plants entirely lack chlorophyll, while

^{*} Waddington (1941a) points out that certain ontogenetic events act as "epigenetic crises", in that quite slight modifications of their course will have a considerable effect on a number of characters. Thus alterations in the pupal contraction of *Drosophila* are involved in mutant characters of legs, wings, bristles; etc.

above 18° C. they are quite normal. Between these limits the mutants produce a graded amount of chlorophyll. Baur's classical case (1923) in *Primula sinensis* has now been shown to be due to faulty experimentation. For other plant cases see Lawrence and Price (1940). In Himalayan rabbits and Siamese cats (both simple recessives) black pigment is produced only below a certain threshold temperature. Normally only the extremities fall below this; but Iljin (1927, 1930) has experimentally produced pale extremities, and black on the body. Another *Drosophila* example is *short-wing*, a sex-linked recessive which at 27.5° C. markedly reduces wing-length and affects eye-development. However, the effect falls away with temperature and is absent at 14° C. (Eker, 1935). Thus environmental changes may either mask or bring out the results of genetic difference. We must therefore distinguish carefully between the *nature* of the gene and its *expression*. The gene itself can only alter by mutation; but its expression can be affected in a number of ways.

The most revolutionary change has come in regard to the way in which the expression of a gene can be altered by other genes. The discovery of this fact has given us the two fundamental concepts of genic balance and the gene-complex. Thus the internal or genetic environment of a gene may produce effects upon its expression which are as striking as those induced by the external environment, and of course very much more important from the point of view of evolution.

By genic balance we imply that individual genes act, not absolutely, in virtue solely of their inherent qualities, but relatively, in virtue of their interaction with other genes. The concept was first reached by studies on sex. It was at one time supposed that in *Drosophila* and other forms with male heterogamety, one X-chromosome automatically determined maleness, and two femaleness. It has since been shown, however, that it is the balance of the X-chromosomes to the autosomes (A) which is operative. A ratio of I X to 2 A produces maleness, of I X to I A produces femaleness; while one of I X to about $1 \cdot 5$ A produces intersexuality: Sterile "super-males" and "super-females" are produced by ratios of I X to over 2 A and under I A

respectively. Here we can deduce that sex-determination is effected by the quantitative ratio between sets of male-determining and female-determining genes, though we do not know how many separate genes are involved in each set.

The principle, however, appears to be of universal application: the effect produced by any gene depends on other genes with which it happens to be co-operating. The effects of modifying genes are the most striking examples. A classical case of the kind is the alteration in the hooded pattern

A classical case of the kind is the alteration in the hooded pattern of rats by modifiers (Castle and Pincus, 1928). The basic gene remains the same, but its effects may be reduced to a few specks of black on the head, or progressively extended over the whole back and most of the belly, by the agency of accessory genes. In cotton (Gossypium) differences in leaf-shape have been evolved in a precisely similar way (Silow, 1941). Extending this concept, we reach that of the gene-complex.

Extending this concept, we reach that of the gene-complex. The environment of a gene must include many, perhaps all other genes, in all the chromosomes. This gene-complex may be altered in numerous ways by mutation or recombination so as to modify the effects and mode of action of particular genes, whether wellestablished ones or new mutations. We can thus distinguish between the genetic and the somatic environment of genes. Further, it is now known that a gene can exist in a great variety of allelomorphic forms (alleles), up to a dozen or more being known for single loci. The effects of these usually differ in a

Further, it is now known that a gene can exist in a great variety of allelomorphic forms (alleles), up to a dozen or more being known for single loci. The effects of these usually differ in a quantitative way (though occasionally in a qualitative way as well), and the steps between the various alleles may be very slight. Multiple alleles are, in general, taken to represent different states of a homologous material unit. They thus constitute one type of gene-differences with quite small effects. Many modifiers and cumulative factors such as those involved in quantitative characters also have small effects. In many cases the actual origin of such small differentials by mutation has been observed. Further, where a gene's effect is small, the variations of expression, due to environment and to other genes, may readily cause an overlap with the phenotypic expression of an allele or of another gene with similar type of expression. Thus though genetic variability
66

must be discontinuous, its expression in measurable characters may become continuous.

must be discontinuous, its expression in measurable characters may become continuous. Even mutations which in one gene-complex are pathological, in another may be perfectly harmless, and in yet another advan-tageous. A striking example of this is provided by the work of M. Gordon (1931) on generic hybrids between the viviparous freshwater fishes *Platypoecilus* and *Xiphophorus*. Some strains of the former possess a gene for the production of large pigment-cells with black pigment, responsible for a certain type of spotted pattern. When, however, this gene interacts with certain genes in the sword-tail (*Xiphophorus*), the pigment-cells produce cancer-like melanotic tumours (Kosswig, 1929). Equally striking and curious results may occur as the result of the interaction of two gene-complexes in species-hybridization. As an example of this, we may cite an intergeneric pheasant cross recently described (Huxley, 1941b). The crosswas between a Lady Amherst pheasant (*Chrysolophus amherstiae*) and an Impeyan pheasant (*Lophophorus impeyanus*), and the hybrid was a male. The coloration of the males of both parent species is not only brilliant but varied. Thus the Lady Amherst cock has a black-and-white extensible "cape" on the head, a striking regional pattern on the body, and elaborately barred central tail feathers ; while the Impeyan cock is distinguished by brilliant patches of burnished bronze, green, and blue-back on its upper parts, with white rump and buff tail. The hybrid, however, has most of its upper parts uniformly black, with mere traces of green and bronze iridescence, but neither regional patterning nor brilliant colouring. The lower parts and central tail feathers are mottled with brown, grey and white in various ways. This simple colour-scheme, by the way, cannot be considered to have any rever-sionary or "atavistic" significance whatever: it is simply that the delicately balanced gene-systems responsible for the two elaborate patterns have cancelled out, so to speak, to produce a wholly differ

What we may call partial gene-complexes may also arise in relation to the separate chromosomes into which the total genecomplex is divided. This has been demonstrated by Mather (1941) as regards what he terms polygenic characters-i.e. quantitative characters dependent on the co-operation and interaction of numerous genes. In Drosophila, the number of ventral abdominal hairs can be changed by selection so as to trangress the limits of normal variability in both plus and minus directions (cf. Castle's hooded rats, p. 65). But the effect of selection is exerted in two main stages. During the first two generations, a marked change is effected, which Mather interprets as being due to recombination of whole chromosomes. Then, after a period of relative stability for two or three further generations, a further and more marked change is produced, continuing for a number of generations; this appears to be due to recombination of originally linked genes forming polygenic combinations for hair-number. Different polygenic combinations for this character have arisen in homologous chromosomes in different strains, each combination being balanced in that it contains both plus and minus modifiers of the character. Furthermore, a number of such combinations will tend to co-exist in a species with considerable out-crossing, since the delicacy of the balance (see below) is improved when the genes for a polygenic character are heterozygous. When selection is practised, crossing-over provides new and extreme combinations. (Cf. the more fully isolated partial systems of Darlington; p. 362.)

No such balanced polygenic combinations can be detected in the modifiers of abnormal mutant characters, such as bar eye. Mather suggests that they will arise by natural selection in respect of wild-type characters, in order to prevent too great deviation from the normal, while at the same time affording the possibility of change under selection, through crossing-over providing a limited number of extreme recombinations. Such polygenic combinations, like other features of genetic systems (cf. p. 136), are thus a compromise between immediate individual fitness and long-term evolutionary plasticity.

To sum up the evolutionary bearings of recent discoveries about gene-complexes, we may say that evolution not only need not occur by a series of sharp single steps, but is not likely to do so; each such step is immediately *buffered*, as it were, by ancillary changes in genes and gene-combinations which can act as modi-fiers for the major mutating gene and adjust it more or less com-pletely to the needs of the organism, though final adjustment may have to wait upon further mutation. In any case, what evolves is the gene-complex; and it can do so in a series of small, if irregular steps, so finely graded as to constitute a continuous ramp.

When we reflect further that it is theoretically possible for a gene to alter its character radically by mutating step by small step from one member of a multiple allelomorph series to another, we shall see that the discontinuity inherent in Mendelian genetics is no obstacle to the visible continuity revealed in paleontological evolution. Discontinuous germinal changes are perfectly capable

evolution. Discontinuous germinal changes are perfectly capable of producing continuous changes in somatic characters. Nor, as we shall set forth more fully later, is the pathological character of many mutations at their first appearance necessarily a bar to their final evolutionary utilization by the species. The divergence of two stocks will always involve the accumu-lation of different genes in the two lines, each buffered by special modifiers and adjusted in its own way to other genes; and this will inevitably lead to a certain amount of disharmony on crossing, the F1 or later generations being less fertile or less viable, or both (see discussion in Muller, 1939, 1940). The fact of internal adaptation within the gene-complex thus automatically helps to bring about the inter-sterility of species.

3. THE ALTERATION OF GENIC EXPRESSION

Let us take some examples of mutations, at first deleterious, being rendered innocuous. One of the most striking cases occurs in the meal-moth *Ephestia kuhniella*. Here a red-eyed mutant is known which shows considerably lowered viability. But when the recessive gene for red eyes is combined with another recessive gene for transparency of eyes, the double recessive is as viable as the normal wild type (Kühn, 1934).

A somewhat similar example comes from Drosophila. The

mutation *purple* (eye-colour) causes the duration of life to be considerably reduced. Another mutation, *arc*, affecting wing-shape, produces nearly as great a reduction. But the two in combination cause much less reduction than either separately (Gonzalez, 1923). The figures (for both sexes together) are as follows:

Genes				Length of life (days)
Purple	••	••	••	24.54 ± 0.18
Arc	••	••	••	26.81 ± 0.29
Purple/arc	••	••	••	33.71 ± 0.34
(Wild-type	••	••	••	39.47 ± 0.28

Considering what severe effects are exerted by the two genes separately, the favourable result of their combination is very striking.* Brierley (1938) has worked out a means of determining the "selective index" of any gene-combination, and has obtained some suggestive preliminary results, also in *Drosophila*, on the general viability interactions of numerous genes.

In a number of cases, the restoration of viability occurs gradually in the mere course of maintaining the mutant stock. The classical analysis of this phenomenon is that of the eyeless mutant of *Drosophila*.

Eyeless is due to a 4th-chromosome recessive gene. Its characteristics on its first discovery were that it considerably reduced the size of the eyes, in some cases to complete absence, decreased fertility markedly, and had a depressing effect on viability. After, however, a stock for eyeless had been inbred without any artificial selection for a number of generations, it was found that practically all the flics had normal eyes and showed little reduction in either fertility or viability. On outcrossing to the normal wild type and re-extracting the recessives in F2, it was found that these once more manifested the original characters of eyeless, though in even more variable degree (T. H. Morgan, 1926, 1929). The explanation of these facts is that the manifestations of

The explanation of these facts is that the manifestations of eyeless are readily influenced by other genes, and that in general

^{*} As the stocks used in this experiment were not "isogenic" in regard to their residual gene-complex, an alternative explanation is possible, by which the increased viability may have been due to modifiers and not to the specific combination of the two main genes.

those modifiers which make for normal viability and fertility also make for normality in eye-size. Thus natural selection acting upon the recombinations of modifiers present in the stock speedily saw to it that the combination making for the manifestation of reduced eyes was eliminated. In competition with its wild-type allelomorph, eyeless would be eliminated; but in stocks pure for eyeless, the genes to be eliminated will be the plus modifiers of the mutation. In broadest terms, there has been a selection of the most favourable gene-complex.

modifiers of the mutation. In broadest terms, there has been a selection of the most favourable gene-complex. A similar genetic modification of recessive mutations towards wild-type expression was found by W. W. Marshall and Muller (1917) in *Drosophila melanogaster* for the wing-characters *balloon* and *curved*. Still another example from *Drosophila* is the sexlinked mutation *vesiculated*, affecting the wings: this can be brought back to normal expression by means of autosomal modifiers (Evang, 1925). A very similar botanical case is recorded by Harland (1932) for chlorophyll deficiency in cotton; in a way this is even more striking, since the original manifestation of the genes (in this case three pairs are involved) was markedly lethal. Here again inbreeding and selection led to the production of a reasonably viable form, while outcrossing of this to normals caused the reappearance of lethal segregants. The genetic mechanism is similar to that operative in the classical case of Castle's hooded rats and the alteration of their pattern in either plus or minus direction by an accumulation of modifiers (Castle and Pincus, 1928), though the selective implications are of course different.

Selection of this type, it now appears, is a constant and indeed normal process. It has become almost a commonplace in animals used for genetic analysis to find that mutant types, which at first are extremely difficult to keep going, after a few generations become quite viable. This has repeatedly occurred in *Gammarus*, for instance (Sexton, Clark and Spooner, 1930), and Mr. E. B. Ford tells me that it has often occurred in his cultures of other mutant strains of the same species. A recently-described example from *Drosophila* is that of white eye in *D. obscura* (Crew and Lamy, 1932). This recessive mutant was at first very delicate but its viability improved progressively on inbreeding. A precisely similar course of events was observed in the hairless mutant of mice (Crew and Mirskaia, 1931), showing that the phenomenon occurs in mammals. In plants, we have referred to cotton: an analogous case has been found in the nasturtium (Weiss, quoted by R. A. Fisher, 1931, p. 350).

In all cases, the explanation is basically similar to that for eyeless. The experimenter, however, will also attempt to keep the mutant character sharp: he will therefore be selecting for combinations which keep the viability up without altering the visible expression of the gene, so that the process may take a little longer.* R. L. Berg (1941) finds that, owing presumably to this form of selection, dominance becomes more intense in laboratory stocks than in the wild (see p. 75 seq.).

A converse effect is found when a gene, which in one species or variety is harmless, becomes deleterious on outcrossing. The explanation is that the expression of the gene in its normal situation has become so conditioned by favourable modifiers that it exerts no ill-effects; on outcrossing, however, it finds itself in a genic environment lacking some or all of these modifiers, and consequently expresses itself in ways unfavourable to viability. We have mentioned a case of this sort in Gordon's fish crosses (p. 66). A stilking example, particularly relevant to our present discussion, comes from Stockard's work (1931, 1941) on dogs. The St Bernard breed shows various symptoms of hyperpituitarism that simulate the pathological condition known as acromegaly. Matings between St. Bernards give normal litters; but when the St. Bernard is crossed with the Great Dane, a breed that may be regarded as a simple giant type with no hyperpituitary characters, a considerable proportion of the FI

^{*} Another method by which viability may be improved is by mutation in the primary gene concerned. As an example, we may take the work of Mohr (1932). Two stocks of vestigial-winged fruit-flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) were maintained for a long time as inbred cultures. In both of them, the wings eventually became almost normal. Analysis showed that this was due to the selection of a less extreme allele of vestigial which had presumably arisen by mutation from full vestigial, and had then been favoured by selection because of its less extreme effects. An interesting point is that these nearly normal alleles were not identical in the two cases, but represented different steps in the multiple_series.

(and later) offspring show serious disturbances during their growth, notably hydrocephalus and paralysis of the hind limbs, these effects being clearly of genetic origin.

Man, it seems, has pushed the St. Bernard breed as far as it can go in the direction of large size, heavy jowl, and other effects of extreme or one-sided pituitary action; and in the process has amassed those combinations of modifiers which will protect the organism against the harmful effects of its exaggerated glandular development. When the breed is outcrossed, the protective genes are diluted to a greater or lesser extent, with corresponding illeffects. The modern show type of bulldog has similarly been produced by selection for genes causing abnormal thyroid structure and function; here the breed has been pushed still further towards the glandular limit, since a considerable proportion of males are partially or wholly sterile.

An example in which genic expression is altered without noticeable effects on viability is that of the hybrids between *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. About 50 per cent of these lack bristles present in both parent species—i.e. certain combinations of modifiers from the two parent species suppress the expression of certain genes controlling bristle development (Biddle, 1932).

Excellent examples involving artificial selection have resulted from the work of R. A. Fisher (1935, 1938), who by repeated back-crossing introduced dominant or semi-dominant genes from domestic breeds of poultry, into the unselected gene-complex of the wild jungle fowl. Polydactyly varies in its single-dose expression* in domestic breeds. Punnett and Pease (1929) found it to

* The term dominant has unfortunately been employed very loosely, some authors using it to mean that the heterozygote is indistinguishable from the homozygote, while others call a dominant any gene whose effects in single dose can be distinguished at all: e.g. Bowater (1914) called the melanic form of the moth Aplecta nebulosa a dominant, although the heterozygote, as he himself goes on to state, has an intermediate expression; and all the so-called dominant mutations in Drosophila melanogaster are either lethal in double dose, when, of course, the visible effect of the homozygote cannot be determined, or their heterozygous expression is less extreme than their homozygous (e.g. abnormal abdomen).

For this reason, and because it is in many ways unsatisfactory to have positive and negative terms, like dominant and recessive, to denote gradations in what is really a single scale of positive effects, I would suggest that some other term,

behave usually as a complete dominant, but sometimes as a recessive and sometimes as an irregular partial dominant. To explain these facts, they postulated one dominant inhibitor preventing the expression of the gene for polydactyly, and a second capable of suppressing the action of the first. Hutchinson (1931) pointed out that a simpler explanation is provided by Fisher's theory, according to which there is a single gene which remains constant, but whose dominance-relations differ in different genecomplexes. In the wild gene-complex, moreover, as Fisher showed, the homozygote can be definitely distinguished from the heterozygote by possessing larger extra toes, with more bones. What has happened is that an originally intermediate single-dose expression has, in most domestic breeds, become more single-uose expression has, in most domestic breeds, become more complete. The gene for barred plumage behaves in a somewhat similar way. Most interesting are the results with the gene for crest on the head. In the wild gene-complex the crested gene in single dose produces crest alone; in double dose, however, it produces not only an unusually large crest but a cerebral hernia of deleterious character. In the Japanese silky fowl, no hernia is ever produced, and the effect of the crested gene is the same in single and in double dose. Thus firstly, the gene has become fully dominant in domestication in place of intermediate; secondly, its effect on hernia has been suppressed by modifying factors (cf. pp. 70, 79); and thirdly, in the wild gene complex, its two effects are of different type, the harmful hernia being fully recessive, the neutral crest being partially dominant.

Another aspect of the adaptation of genic expression to the needs of the organism concerns the stability of expression of genes. Plunkett (1932), for instance, has analysed the fact, well known in general terms, that wild-type characters are usually much less modifiable by changes in environment than are those determined by mutant genes. His analysis was for the most part

such as single-dose expression (or heterozygous expressivity) would be more suitable. Full single-dose expression would then be equivalent to true dominance: zero single-dose expression to recessivity; and truly intermediate expression to absence of dominance in which the heterozygote is intermediate between the two homozygotes. Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1934b) deals with expressivity from a rather different angle.

restricted to temperature-effects in *Drosophila*, but the principle can be widely generalized. The explanation is based on the fact that genes are in most cases concerned with the rates of processes (see Goldschmidt, 1938*a*). The curves expressing the rate of the processes are in general obliquely S-shaped, tending to a final horizontal equilibrium-position (Ford and Huxley, 1929). In wild-type genes, the flattening is normally completed before the imaginal state (or corresponding definitive stage) is reached, whereas in the majority of mutant genes, the curve is still in the ascending phase at this stage. Thus quite small disturbances of the curve will have marked effects in mutant genes, but very slight ones on wild-type characters ones on wild-type characters.

ones on wild-type characters. There can be no doubt that selection has been at work to adjust the rates of gene-controlled processes so as to produce this result in wild-type genes, thus conferring a high degree of stability on the characters concerned. As Plunkett further points out, the evolution of complete dominance, with which our next section deals, can be regarded as a special case of this principle, viz. that in general natural selection favours the genotype which produces the most stable and therefore uniform phenotype. Where special circumstances demand the contrary effect, that different conditions shall be met by quite distinct phenotypes, selection has often operated to produce plasticity of genic expres-sion. This plasticity, however, is usually of a special type, operating by some sort of switch mechanism, so that two or a few con-trasted phenotypes, each of them relatively stable, are produced. The classical case is that of the environmental control of caste in

The classical case is that of the environmental control of caste in social hymenoptera, whereby the same genotype can be made to produce either neuter or fertile females, and intermediates are rare aberrations. The same sort of mechanism seems to be at work in regard to the winged and wingless condition of aphids, and in environmental sex-determination *

^{*} The same result can of course be secured genetically, either by special chromosomal mechanisms, as in genetic sex-determination, or by a selective balance resulting in polymorphism, as especially well illustrated by butterflies with polymorphic mimetic forms (see pp. 101, 122).

4. THE EVOLUTION OF DOMINANCE

R. A., Fisher (1928, 1931, 1934) has extended this concept of the alterability of gene-expression by modifiers to account for dominance in general, or at least for many features of dominance as found in nature. His argument runs as follows. Mutation is always throwing up new genes; the majority of these will inevitably be deleterious, since in a delicately-adjusted system like the gene-complex most changes are likely to be for the worse unless compensated. Further, we know as an empirical fact that the majority of mutations are repeatedly produced. Obviously the great majority of mutant genes will be carried in single dose, so that it will be an advantage to minimize any activity shown by them while in the heterozygous state. Thus, even when a harmful mutation at its first appearance shows considerable single-dose expression, i.e. manifests some or all of its effects when in the heterozygous state, then, if it be repeatedly produced (which is the case with most mutations), the way is open for it to be forced into recessivity by selection acting on the rest of the gene-complex. If it is relatively abundant (and recent studies of wild populations -e.g. C. Gordon (1936), Dubinin and others (1936), and Sexton and Clark (1936a)—have shown the surprisingly high incidence of various recessives which they carry in the fly Drosophila and the shrimp Gammarus respectively), selection may get to work on the homozygous condition, and render it also inactive. In such a way, as Fisher points out, mutations may be reduced to the rank of specific modifiers, normally inoperative, but exerting effects in abnormal gene-situations.*

* As showing the intensity of the selection acting against certain recessive mutations, C. Gordon (1935) liberated 36,000 Drosophila melanogaster in England, where they are not endemic. The population originally contained 50 per cent of the recessive gene ebony (25 per cent pure wild-type flies, 25 per cent ebony. and 50 per cent heterozygous for ebony). After 120 days (5 to 6 generations) the frequency of the ebony gene had fallen to 11 per cent. From the data it appears that some heterozygotes weré selectively eliminated in each generation, as well as the homozygotes; this is in accordance with the fact that ebony has a slight single-dose expression.

A further important fact is that in nature recessives are almost wholly absent from the X-chromosome of *Drosophila*, where, of course, they are exposed (in males) to selection in single dose (p. 117).

Dubinin and others (1936) found more than one detectable mutant (recessive)

76 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS In support of this view, we will cite some of the array of facts that show how readily the degree of dominance of a gene may be altered by the presence of other genes. The classical case is that of horns in domestic sheep (Wood, 1905). The difference between horned and hornless breeds depends on a single gene-difference, but whereas a single dose of the gene for horns will produce horns in rams, a double dose is necessary in ewes. The same gene is thus dominant in the internal environment of males, but recessive in that of females. In fowls, Landauer (1937) found that the gene for frizzled plumage, whose effects are normally incompletely dominant, is converted into an almost complete recessive by the presence of a particular recessive modifier in double dose. Dunn and Landauer (1934, 1936), with the gene *rumpless*, which reduces the tail and posterior end of the body, were able to go further and to show that this could be converted either into a dominant or a recessive by crossing to different stocks, followed by selection for dominance or recessivity respec-tively. In mice, on the other hand, the gene for black, which is normally a complete recessive, can be converted into an incom-plete dominant by modifiers (Barrows, 1934). In *Drosophila virilis*, the dominant gene for rounded wings converts the gene for ruffled bristles from a recessive into an incomplete dominant (Lebedeff, 1933). Mather and North (1940) describe a gene in mice whose only known effect is to modify the dominance-relations of the *agouti* gene. relations of the agouti gene.

relations of the *agouti* gene. A case of some historical interest is that described by Federley (1911) of the behaviour of white spotting in the larvae of the moth *Pygaera*. In *P. anachoreta* an unspotted variety is found, and this behaves as a simple recessive to the normal spotted condition. In *P. curtula*, however, only the unspotted condition exists. In the FI of a cross between the two species, using the spotted form of *P. anachoreta*, spotting is expressed in an intermediate form, i.e. its dominance has been partially abolished. Twenty-five years ago, this fact seemed so remarkable that an authority such as

allele in each wild fruit-fly! They also showed that various mutant genes altered in their frequency during three years, some becoming more and others less frequent.

76

Sturtevant (1912) was disinclined to accept it: to-day, however, such alteration of gene-expression by modifiers has become a commonplace.

We need not multiply examples. It is clear that the dominance of a gene can be radically modified according to the genic environment in which it happens to find itself.

The next step is to show that this undoubted fact of the modifiability of the degree of dominance has been utilized in the course of evolution to make most commonly-recurring mutations recessive, so as to reduce the degree of their heterozygous expression, including that of the decreased viability which accompanies most mutations at their first appearance.

In cotton, Harland (1933) and Hutchinson and Ghose (1937) have studied the mutation *crinkled dwarf*. This occurs in the Sca Island variety of *Gossypium barbadense*, and is there a complete recessive. When crossed to unrelated strains of the same species, it is not completely recessive but shows a low degree of single-dose expression.

When, however, the mutation was introduced from G. barbadense into the related species G. hirsutum (upland cotton) there proved to be a complete absence of dominance of the normal type. The FI is intermediate, so that at first sight we might imagine the single-dose expression to be about 50 per cent; but the fact that the F2 gives a large and unclassifiable range shows that the degree of dominance must be under the influence of a number of modifying genes. This is confirmed by the results of back-crossing the FI species-hybrid bearing the crinkled dwarf gene to various strains of G. hirsutum. In certain lines, complete or almost complete recessivity of crinkled dwarf was re-established, while in others the single-dose expressivity was rendered accurately intermediate, the heterozygote class being clearly separable from either homozygote. As Hutchinson and Ghose have clearly shown, the results entirely support R. A. Fisher's views. Later work (see summary by Harland, 1941) has shown that it also occurs as a very rare mutant in G. hirsutum. The barbadense crinkled shows intermediate single-dose expression in the FI with hirsutum, but is recessive-when transferred to a pure *hirsutum* gene-complex. When, however, *hirsutum* crinkled is transferred to a pure *barbadense* gene-complex, it behaves as an intermediate.

intermediate. Harland (1941) develops the thesis that the dominance of normal alleles in cotton may be built up in a considerable variety of ways. Thus the character *petal spot* in both *barbadense* and *hirsutum* is based primarily on a main gene which, however, exists in different allelic forms in the two species. But whereas in *barbadense* the action of this main gene must be reinforced by a number of plus modifiers to produce its full effect, in *hirsutum* the main gene is stronger, and requires no (or few) modifiers. As corollaries of these facts we find (1) that in *barbadense*, the modifiers exert some positive action (a small spot) even in the absence of the main gene; (2) the gene for *barbadense* spot trans-ferred to the *hirsutum* gene-complex has a very weak effect, and the petals are barely spotted; (3) the gene for *hirsutum* spot transferred to the hirsutum gene-complex has a very weak effect, and the petals are barely spotted; (3) the gene for hirsutum spot trans-ferred to the barbadense gene-complex is reinforced by the modifiers there present, and produces a spot which is larger and more intense than any previously known; (4) crosses of the spotted forms of the one species with the unspotted of the other give a graded F2 with all intensities of spotting; (5) the F2 from the unspotted forms of the same two species produces some spots as large as normal barbadense—i.e. due to recombination of modifiers only, presumably from both species. In G. arboreum yet a third method of producing the spotted character is found: there is no main gene, but spotting depends on the genes that in barbadense act as modifiers, but here must be called a multiple factor series or polygenic combination. factor series or polygenic combination.

factor series or polygenic combination. Harland suggests that if a character is of advantage to the species, it can be more readily retained, in spite of recessive mutation, if its expression depends on several genes. However, this conclusion does not seem justified. The advantage of the method of using a single main gene together with modifiers would rather seem to lie in keeping the expression of the character relatively constant in the normal range of environmental conditions, but retaining a considerable reserve of potential variability to meet new or extreme conditions. The single-gene control will

78

give greater stability, the purely multifactorial control (by "modifiers" only) will give greater plasticity. Single-gene control will permit the character to be more readily lost under the influence of selection or by drift. Presumably in correlation with this, in *hirsutum*, where spot depends on one gene only, the spot character has been lost in all but a few rare varieties.

To return to crinkled dwarf, the same principles apply. Normality (non-crinkled) in barbadense depends on a single strong allele, like spot in hirsutum. Normality in hirsutum, on the other hand, depends on a weaker main non-crinkled allele, together with a number of modifiers. These not only encourage the dominance of normal over crinkled, but make the pure crinkled forms more normal, both in appearance and viability. By rigorous selection, new combinations of modifiers have been obtained which render the hirsutum crinkled practically indistinguishable from normal. When the two species are crossed, using the normal of one and the crinkled form of the other, F2 ranges from forms which are phenotypically normal through all grades of crinkling to "super-crinkled" types which are almost lethal. The petal spot experiments demonstrate two types of dominance. In *hirsutum* the Fisher effect is clearly operative, with modifiers aiding a weak "normal" gene, and also modifying the recessive towards normality. Recessive modification is much harder where a nearly dominant main gene exists, as in barbadense. This may then be due to an extension of the Haldane effect (p. 82), by selection of "stronger" normal alleles. Harland and Atteck (1941) consider that this also operates for crinkled dwarf in some species, but the evidence is not decisive. They further point out that the Haldane effect is likely to be more important in self-fertilized forms, where the Fisher effect cannot so readily be produced (Haldane, 1939a). Where both types occur, as in *Gossypium*, doubtless "accidental" events such as the time of occurrence of suitable mutations, will determine which mechanism evolves (see also Silow, 1941).

An important empirical fact which was among those that led Fisher to promulgate his theory is that of the behaviour of multiple alleles. In almost every case so far investigated, the wildtype allele shows complete dominance over all the lower members of the series, whereas these when crossed with each other show intermediate expression. Thus the normal red colour of the eye in wild *Drosophila* is completely dominant over white, ivory, eosin, cherry, and all the other members of the white allelomorphic series: but white crossed with eosin, or ivory with cherry, gives an FI with eyes intermediate in colour between the two parents.

The exception proves the rule: and the exception to this rule, to which attention was first drawn by Ford (1930), concerns the effect of this same series of genes upon an internal character of apparently no selective value. Dobzhansky (1927) had shown that the genes of the white-eye series affect the shape of the spermatheca to a small but constant degree. Whereas, as we have seen, the genes are all recessive to wild-type as regards their effects on the eye, they show intermediate expression as regards this secondary effect on the spermatheca. The body-colour genes of the ebony-sooty series show the same effect on the spermatheca, and the same differential expression as regards their effect on the external and the internal character (except that ebony bodycolour is not wholly recessive). The most obvious explanation is that selection has been operative in modifying the expression of the disadvantageous external character, whereas no such effect was called for, or has been produced, with regard to the harmless secondary internal effect. We may also compare the different dominance-relations of the deleterious and harmless effects of the *crest* factor in fowls (p. 73).

It is worth noting that this differential expression of the gene as regards two characters affected by it cannot be reconciled with any rigid form of the "inactivation" theory of recessiveness. This extension of the old Presence and Absence theory, which is obviously untenable in its original form, claims that the degree of recessivity corresponds to the degree of partial loss or inactivation suffered by the gene in mutating to one or other of its recessive allelomorphs. It is clear from what we know of actual deficiency-mutations, in which a portion of the chromosome is missing, that loss may produce effects of the same nature as gene-mutations (see, e.g., Mohr, 1920, who showed that that loss of the *white* locus produces an *ultra-white* effect more intense than white itself), so that the inactivation theory may sometimes apply. But the demonstration that some genes can become dominant or recessive according to the gene-complex shows that it can at best have a partial application. It is also noteworthy that the true absence of a gene in *ultra-white* produces some effects (spermatheca shape) which are not recessive!

As R. A. Fisher (1931) points out, the majority of the characters of most domestic breeds, or at least of the most obvious characters, especially of pattern and colour, depend on mutations recessive to the wild type. It would seem clear that man has here taken advantage of two facts, first that more or less recessive mutations are commoner in nature and secondly that they can be readily fixed by mating two similar individuals, in order to utilize striking and more or less recessive characters during his selection. Furthermore the natural tendency to concentrate for breeding purposes on individuals showing a character in more extreme form will, in the case of genes originally largely recessive, then automatically encourage the production of complete recessivity. If, however, the gene had more than intermediate single-dose expression, selection would tend to make it more dominant. Thus in general man's artificial selection will tend to encourage either complete dominance or complete recessivity, though for reasons quite other than those operative in nature. But, as mentioned, the distinguishing characters of domestic breeds in most species are usually recessive.

The chief exception occurs in poultry, where the majority of "domestic" characters are partially or wholly dominant. Fisher (1931) suggests that this difference is due to the fact that in the countries of their origin, the domestic forms, even to-day and more so in earlier times, would frequently be mated by wild cocks. In such a case, recessives could not readily be fixed, whereas partial dominants would at least reappear in every generation; thus dominants would tend to be bred into the race by a natural selection of man's selective processes. A further effect would be that the degree of their dominance would be increased, through the new varieties being almost wholly heterozygous, and through man selecting the most striking individuals from which to breed.

We have seen (p. 72) that his experimental tests have confirmed this hypothesis.

Marchlewski (1941) has recently confirmed Fisher's theory in dogs. Here, black was originally dominant over yellow; however, in the dingo and in various domestic breeds, yellow has become dominant through the selection of modifiers.

The recessivity of characters in other domestic species is not so nearly universal as Fisher was at first inclined to think (Castle, 1934, on various species; J. A. F. Roberts and White, 1930, and J. A. F. Roberts, 1932, on sheep). It seems clear, however, that man, by his breeding methods, has modified the single-dose expression of wild-type genes in his domestic animals, accentuating the recessivity of those with low, and the dominance of those with high single-dose expression; and that while this will result in most species in a preponderance of recessive breed-characters, in poultry it will tend to a preponderance of dominant ones.

It should be stated that Wright (1934*a*) does not agree with Fisher's views on the evolution of dominance, but wishes to ascribe recessivity to partial inactivation of the gene (p. 80). An alternative hypothesis for the origin of the recessive character of most mutations has been given by Haldane (1930; and see 1939*a*). This is based on an interesting view as to the mode of action of genes, namely, that different multiple allelomorphs produce different amounts of some substance, but only up to a certain saturation value: beyond this they can produce no phenotypic effect. Thus any mutation in a minus direction below this level can be detected, but those in a plus direction cannot. In consequence a number of different multiple alleles of different strength, but all above that needed to give the saturation value, may readily accumulate without being phenotypically detected. If now minus mutations occur, Haldane suggests that, in order (I speak teleological shorthand) to prevent their visible and viability effects from being manifested in the heterozygote, those higher alleles will be selected which in combination with the mutation will not fall below saturation level.

In other words, higher members of the series will be selected, and visible dominance will be the result. Ford, however, has pointed out that the saturation level itself will be determined in relation to the residual gene-complex, so that even here the action postulated by R. A. Fisher may be operative, though in some cases in addition to the Haldane mechanism. In any case both suggestions involve selection acting upon other genes than the mutant. Since the above was written, Ford (1940b) has shown that the Fisher effect can be artificially produced. The currantmoth, *Abraxas grossulariata*, has a single-gene wild variety (*lutea*) with yellow instead of white ground-colour, which normally gives an intermediate FI with wild type. By four generations of plus and minus selection, Ford has conferred both complete dominance and complete recessivity upon the gene.

Sewall Wright (1929, 1934*a*) attacks Fisher's general conception on the ground that the selection-pressure available will be inadequate to achieve the results envisaged. However, there seems little doubt that dominance of the "normal" wild-type allele has been evolved; and Plunkett and Muller independently (see Muller, 1935) have shown how the need for stability of geneexpression in development will secondarily result in the evolution of dominance.

Whatever the precise method employed, it seems clear that dominance and recessiveness must be regarded as modifiable characters, not as unalterable inherent properties of genes. Dominant genes, or many of them, are not born dominant: they have dominance thrust upon them. Mutations may become dominant or recessive, through the action of other genes in the gene-complex. The evolution of dominance is thus seen to be in large measure an adaptation to the deleterious nature of most mutations.

R. L. Berg (1941) points out that the intensity of dominance will be selectively balanced against the accumulation of deleterious recessives which it makes possible, and that it will tend to be decreased in species consisting of incompletely isolated groups. The extra plasticity thus conferred upon such species will be in addition to that deduced by Wright (p. 229).

As another example of an adaptation of the genetic mechanism itself, Fisher (1930*a*, p. 15) cites the plasticity conferred by sexuality.

For one thing, it will permit evolutionary advance by the combination of new mutations. If several favourable mutations occur in a population in a given time, then in a sexual cross-fertilizing species they can be combined. But if the species is asexual, they will almost certainly remain isolated, each confined to one line; for them to be combined, one mutant must be selected until it has become the main type, and only then will a second favourable mutation have a chance of becoming combined with the first.

In the second place, it will permit recombination to throw up new gene-combinations and so to use the existing genetic variance of the species to alter the type quickly in relation to changed conditions. Thus it promotes both progressive specialization (see Chapter 9) and plasticity in response to the changes and chances of the environment. In addition, as Fisher (1932) stresses, it has a function to perform in relation to the deleterious nature of most mutations. For, by allowing recombination, it permits mutations to appear in homozygous form, and thus facilitates the elimination of the more deleterious. Elimination will be greater when the frequency of homozygosis is increased by inbreeding or selffertilization. Thus variations in the type of sexual reproduction will alter the emphasis of its evolutionary function (Darlington, 1939): evolutionary plasticity will be more encouraged by crossbreeding, evolutionary stability by inbreeding. Inbreeding will also promote both the rejection of unfavourable and the spread of favourable mutations (see also pp. 136, 140).

of favourable mutations (see also pp. 136, 140). Recombinational plasticity will be especially valuable when conditions vary and become less favourable. This is doubtless the reason why so many organisms adopt some method of asexual reproduction (which is more efficient qua reproduction) so long as environmental conditions are favourable, but resort to a sexual process as soon as they become unfavourable. This is so, for instance, with many protozoa, rotifers, lower crustacea, and aphids.

The biological meaning of this has been clearly brought out by careful genetic studies on *Paramecium* and other ciliates (Jennings, 1929). It has been found in general that conjugation causes an increase of genetic variability in the resultant population, and that while (as in higher organisms) the majority of the new type may be regarded as unfavourable, some are actually or potentially better-adapted than those prevailing before conjugation. Thus conjugation will in many cases provide an increased chance of throwing up a recombination better able to cope with unusual and unfavourable conditions. Precisely similar results have been obtained with lower crustacea by Banta and his colleagues (see Davenport, 1933).

Attention is elsewhere drawn (p. 113) to the action of the impulse to migrate in unfavourable conditions. This also confers plasticity on a species, but in this case by increasing the range of environmental opportunities available to a given hereditary constitution, instead of increasing the range of hereditary constitutions available to cope with given environmental conditions. This, however, can hardly be called an adaptation of the genetic mechanism.

On the other hand, the peculiar reactions of the crossingover mechanism to temperatures may well, as Mr. E. B. Ford has suggested to me, fall into this category. In *Drosophila*, the best-investigated case (Plough, 1917), crossing-over is least at temperatures close to the optimum for the species, and increases rapidly both with increase and with decrease of temperature. Increased crossing-over will, of course, have the effect of increasing the recombination of the genes located in a single kind of chromosome, and this will have a considerable effect in a form like *Drosophila* where the chromosomes are few in number. Unfavourable temperatures will thus increase the genetic variance available to a population.

The discovery of the position-effect (pp. 48, 92) allows us to deduce certain ways, previously quite unsuspected, in which the evolutionary mechanism must itself have evolved. If, as now seems established, it is the case with some or all genes that interaction with near neighbours in the same chromosome affects their expression in an important way, then it is clear that all the genes within a given chromosome must be delicately adjusted to each other so as to produce a harmoniously functioning whole.

Any given gene must be adjusted to its neighbours within a certain chromosome-distance either way; the genes at the limit of this range will be adjusted both to the gene at our hypo-thetical starting-point and to others further away, thus conferring a certain organization on the chromosome as a whole. This involves a new conception of chromosomes. Up till quite recently, it was possible and usual to regard them as mere vehicles for the carriage and distribution of the hereditary constitution, without any functional organization of the genes they contained. These were assumed to be arranged at random, like coloured beads picked up haphazard by a blind man and threaded on a string; and their positions in the chromosomes were not sup-posed to have any relation with their effects on visible or other characters. characters.

characters. With the discovery of the position-effect, however, this assumption, as a hard-and-fast principle, has gone by the board. Although many genes affecting one character are scattered irregularly through the chromosomes, and genes affecting different characters are often contiguous, yet some degree of non-random arrangement does occur (Morgan, Schultz and Curry, 1940). Basically, and in origin, their arrangement doubtless is random, and what we know of the frequency of sectional rearrangements (pp. 90, 362) shows that genes must often change their neighbours in an essentially accidental way. But this random-ness must then be given a functional polish: neighbouring genes must be adjusted to each other by new mutation and by recom-bination. To continue our metaphor, the blind man's necklace is looked at, and colour disharmonies are got rid of by choosing new beads of the same general colour but slightly different shade. shade.

The same general type of adaptation to position-effects has been necessary as with dominance in relation to gene-mutation. Indeed, the "weakening" of genes in abnormal positions (Dob-zhansky, 1936, p. 376) indicates that a disproportionate fraction of the single-dose expression of dominant genes is determined by their relations with their immediate neighbours. In addition, functionally-balanced groups of genes affecting polygenic

characters will be evolved within the separate chromosomes (Mather, 1941: see p. 67). We must to-day consider chromosomes not as being purely mechanical gene-vehicles, but to a certain degree as organic gene-arrangements.

5. TYPES OF MUTATION

So far, under the head of mutation, we have been considering only gene-mutation or point-mutation, i.e. the substantive alteration of a definite unit-region of the chromosome outfit. But various other kinds of mutation are also known to occur and we must devote a brief section to these.

In the first place, there are <u>genome-mutations</u>, involving one or more whole sets of chromosomes and therefore of gene-outfits. The normal diploid complement of chromosomes of a species may become doubled (autotetraploidy). Or reduction may fail to occur, and a diploid instead of a haploid gamete may be formed. Or, as a result of the union of a normal gamete with a diploid one, however formed, auto-triploid forms with three genomes may result.

Tetraploidy in nature may also result from a cross between two species, when the corresponding chromosomes from the two parents do not pair before meiosis and the hybrid is therefore originally sterile. If, however, the chromosomes of a cell divide but not the cell-body itself, all descendants of this cell will be tetraploid, and the two members of each kind of chromosome can act as mates at reduction. The result will be that the gametes have complete genomes from either parent. This is known as allotetraploidy, and its actual origin has been observed in *Primula kewensis*, the fertile hybrid between *P. floribunda* and *P. verticillata*. In this case the original hybrid was sterile, and the fertile type, with larger leaves and flowers, arises sporadically in cuttings, from a cell in which chromosome-doubling has occurred. Allotetraploidy is almost confined to plants, because of the favourable conditions provided by their vegetative growth for the rare chromosome-doubling to occur and to give rise to reproductive tissue, and because of their lack of the sex-chromosome mechanism normal to animals, which would not function

after chromosome-doubling (Muller, 1925; see also p. 142). For polyploidy in animals see Vandel (1937). The presence of six, eight, and more genomes in a strain or species is also known, again almost entirely in plants; presumably the condition is usually consequent upon species-crossing (Chapter 6; and Darlington, 1937, p. 65).

In all genome-mutations, the genome-units may be approxi-mate only, sometimes with loss and sometimes with gain of one

or a few chromosomes (aneuploidy). Triploid and other anisoploid strains with an odd number of genomes are relatively rare in nature, and cannot normally reproduce themselves sexually, since the chromosomes of one genome cannot find mates. But allopolyploids and other strains with an even genome-number can maintain themselves.* Such with an even genome-number can maintain themselves.* Such polyploidy has undoubtedly been of considerable evolutionary importance in plants. One method of species-formation is by allotetraploidy after crossing (p. 341). But apart from this, polyploidy of any kind, so long as not excessive, by multiplying the number of gene-pairs of each kind in the hereditary consti-tution, confers long-range potential variability and plasticity on the species. For different gene-pairs may mutate in slightly differ-ent ways, giving a gradation of new recombinations. High auto-polyploidy, however, by virtually suppressing the chance of manifestation of recessives, reduces plasticity. It appears that the phenomenon has been of importance in the evolution of higher plants, where series of related forms with two, four, six, and higher numbers of genomes often occur. Polyploidy has also undoubtedly contributed to the evolution of many cultivated plants, notably the cereals and cotton. (Sce also pp. 335 seq.). The second type of chromosome-mutation is that of the

The second type of chromosome-mutation is that of the iddition or subtraction of single chromosomes. This again appears to be much commoner in plants than in animals. It has been

^{*} Autopolyploids will originally produce many inviable gametes, owing to the aggregation of chromosomes by fours instead of by pairs before meiosis. But there is evidence to show that this condition, too, may be adjusted by selection, leading to reasonably true-breeding forms (Darlington, 1937; Müntzing, 1936).

thoroughly investigated in the Jimson weed, *Datura stramonium*, by Blakeslee and his collaborators (1928). The commonest case is where one kind of chromosome is represented three times instead of twice in the hereditary outfit. Such *trisomic* mutants, as they are called, show greater differences from normal than do ordinary gene-mutants. They also show reduced viability due to the quantitative upset of gene-balance which they cause.

In Datura, these types cannot become fixed, since no pollen with an extra chromosome is viable. Thus all viable pollen-grains will contain n chromosomes, while the ova will be either n or n+1.

It would seem, however, that in the evolution of some plants, the condition has become stabilized; but this is always in polyploid forms, where imbalance is not so readily brought about (p. 349). The third type of chromosome-mutation is the sectional,

The third type of chromosome-mutation is the sectional, involving only parts of chromosomes. For its occurrence and evolutionary bearings in *Drosophila*, see Muller (1940). One form of this is known as *deficiency* and involves the loss of a portion of a chromosome. This is known to occur not uncommonly in *Drosophila*, but is here and probably elsewhere of little evolutionary significance, since homozygous deficiency is usually lethal.

The converse is known as *diplication*, when a portion of a chromosome comes to be repeated, occurring twice instead of once, either in the form of a translocation to another chromosome, or of a "repeat" within the same chromosome, often immediately adjacent to its original position. Small "repeats" of this type have been shown by the salivary gland technique to be not infrequent in *Drosophila*, and are of considerable evolutionary importance. They are of immediate importance, since the alteration in genic balance would usually produce definite but not deleterious effects. They are of much greater ultimate importance, since they constitute the chief method by which the number of genes is increased, thus providing duplicate factors, and the opportunity for slight divergent specialization of homologous genes, giving great delicacy of adjustment. In this respect they would appear to be a good deal more important than

the earlier-detected and more spectacular process of duplicating whole genomes by autopolyploidy (see pp. 334 seq.). The next type of sectional chromosome-mutation includes

The next type of sectional chromosome-mutation includes all cases involving spatial rearrangement of sections of two kinds of chromosomes. The most important form of this is *reciprocal translocation* or segmental interchange. When this occurs, two chromosomes break and exchange fragments. The precise mechanism need not concern us. It can be induced with greater frequency by X-rays, and appears to occur where chromosomes actually or almost touch each other. It is known to occur or can be deduced to have occurred in a number of plants, and in *Drosophila* and certain other animals.

In <u>Datura</u>, over forty so-called "prime types", which differ from each other merely by rearrangement of segments of the chromosomes, and which appear to owe their origin to reciprocal translocations, are known to occur in nature; they do not show visible differences. Different prime types differ in their geographical distribution.

Owing to certain peculiarities of chromosome behaviour, these prime types in *Datura* tend to persist as such, even after a cross. This is in effect a form of isolation and should eventually give opportunities for mutation and selection to produce visible differences between the various chromosomal types.

After crossing between two prime types, the hybrid type will, owing to certain chromosomal peculiarities, be reproduced as such in later generations, without rearrangement due to crossingover, as well as the two pure types. If now a recessive lethal mutation occurs in one of the chromosomes which have suffered segmental interchange, the prime type containing that chromosome cannot be reconstituted, as a double dose of the lethal is *ex hypothesi* fatal. Since lethals are relatively common types of mutation, one may readily occur also in corresponding portions of the chromosomes derived from the other prime type. If so, and if we are dealing with an inbreeding group, we shall have a condition of "balanced lethals", and only the hybrid chromosomecombination will be capable of survival.

Wherever much segmental interchange has occurred, followed

by long-continued intercrossing between the resultant prime types, we may expect to find balanced-lethal and therefore permanently hybrid combinations. And once these come into existence, they can differentiate still further by the accumulation of gene-mutations.

This, in actual fact, is what appears to have occurred in the evening primroses, *Oenothera* (see Renner, 1925, and Cleland, 1928; and summary e.g. in Dobzhansky, 1937). One known species (O. *hookeri*) is of normal chromosomal behaviour, but all the others present balanced-lethal chromosome combinations of greater or lesser extent. The chief evolutionary significance of these phenomena would appear to lie in its providing a special method of species-formation (see pp. 139, 329), It is, however, of historical interest since occasional crossing-over will give apparent mutations (really recombinations of large blocks of genes); and on the basis of these de Vries advanced his mutation theory.

Small translocations of various types seem to occur quite frequently in *Drosophila*. They have probably been of some, though secondary, importance in initiating the differentiation of species (Dobzhansky and Tan, 1936; and see p. 362).

As final form of sectional chromosome-mutation we have inversion, in which one segment of a chromosome becomes reversed within the chromosome as a whole. Quite large or very small portions of the chromosome may become inverted. Here again the frequency of the process may be accelerated by X-rays. Crossing-over, of a type which will give viable offspring, cannot occur in the inverted section of a chromosome paired with

Crossing-over, of a type which will give viable offspring, cannot occur in the inverted section of a chromosome paired with a normal mate. This being so, inversion may produce a distinct type, homozygous for the inverted chromosome, in addition to the normal; in some cases, in fact, hybrids between the two types will not be able to reproduce so freely, because of the death of cross-overs. The resultant isolation of the two types of chromosome will permit their differentiation. More than that, selection will tend to erect barriers to intercrossing, so that the resultant waste due to the reduced fertility of the hybrids may be avoided. In consequence, the two types may develop into distinct species. This method of speciation is discussed in Chapter 6 (p. 329).

We may here again mention the curious and unexpected phenomenon of the position effect, according to which the mere fact of rearrangement of genes produced by inversion, etc., may cause a difference in their visible effects, thus simulating mutation cause a difference in their visible effects, thus simulating mutation (Dobzhansky, 1936). Indeed, studies like those of Muller (Muller, Prokofyeva, and Raffel, 1935) make it probable that a large number of the genetic changes in *Drosophila* previously ascribed to gene-mutation are in reality due to such "position-effects", produced by inversions of very small sections of a chromosome. It has been suggested by some authors that what are normally called gene-mutations are in reality only the effects of small rearrangements. However, Mackenzie and Muller (1940) have recently demonstrated that there is a real distinction between the two types of mutation since ultra-violet radiation can produce

two types of mutation, since ultra-violet radiation can produce two types of mutation, since ultra-violet radiation can produce true gene-mutation, but not the chromosome-breakage needed to effect sectional rearrangements, however small. This is prima facie evidence that the substantive changes due to true gene-mutation do (as is to be expected) play a part in nature, in addition to the organizational changes due to rearrangement of pre-existing units. From the point of view of evolution, however, the significance of such changes will be very similar to that of true or substantive mutation; the changes produced will be inherited according to Mendelian laws and will be of small extent

Mendelian laws, and will be of small extent.

Muller (1930) has also pointed out that if two homologous chromosomes with different but overlapping inverted regions are chromosomes with different but overlapping inverted regions are brought together by crossing, crossing-over will result in a new type of chromosome containing one region in duplicate. Such small duplications will have visible effects, and may also be em-ployed as sources of evolutionary change. Recently the discovery of the giant chromosomes in the salivary glands has converted *Drosophila* from a very bad to by far the best material for detailed chromosomal study, permitting the cytologist to produce a detailed map of the visible structure of its chromosomes and to detect even minute inversions and other rearrangements. It is as if an astronomer armed only with Galileo's telescope had been suddenly equipped with a 50-inch reflector. The use of this method has shown that rearrangements of

The use of this method has shown that rearrangements of

92

segments of the chromosomes are far commoner, and have played a much larger part in evolutionary processes, such as the differ-entiation of species, than was previously supposed. We have just mentioned the important role of small inversions within a single mentioned the important role of small inversions within a single species. When we compare related species (e.g. Dobzhansky and Tan, 1936; Dobzhansky, 1937), we find they are distinguished by numerous characteristic differences in segmental arrangement. *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and *D. miranda*, for instance, are so closely related that they will mate and produce healthy (but sterile) offspring. The chromosomes of the one are approximately homologous with those of the other, but some segments have been translocated to other chromosomes, and numerous segments have been inverted, so that some sections of certain chromosomes show "profoundly different patterns". Other sections, however, remain approximately similar. On the other hand, it is probable that such changes only pave the way for full separation, the later stages of speciation being effected by a series of single gene-mutations (see p. 359, and Muller, 1940).

In barley, however, Gustafsson (1941) finds that induced sectional mutations are most likely to give favourable results, as providing a new internal environment for the new gene (cf. pp. 67, 552). But in spite of the frequency of these larger types of mutation, it would seem that gene-mutation, together with the "pseudo-mutation" due to position effects, is the most important source

of evolutionary change.

6. SPECIAL CASES: MELANISM; POLYMORPHISM; FLUCTUATING POPULATIONS

Before proceeding further in our main argument, however, we must turn aside to discuss certain special cases which illustrate various points concerning neo-mendelism and selection.

(a) Melanism in moths

The first of these concerns the phenomenon of melanism in moths, which has played a prominent part in recent evolutionary discussions. The facts may be summarized as follows:

In the first place, there is no doubt that melanism among many species has become much more frequent during the last century, and that this change has been associated with industrialization: the predominance or abundance of melanics occurs in large cities and in industrial areas.* In some cases the entire population of an area has become melanic. Descriptions of the historical course of events have been given for Britain by Harrison (1920b, 1932), and for the continent by Walther (1927), and by Hasebroek (1934). A summary of their genetic basis is given by Ford (1937).

As regards their origin, Harrison (1928, 1935) claimed that he had been able to cause melanic forms to appear, in two species belonging to different genera, by means of incorporating lead and manganese salts in the food, and that the induced melanism behaved as a mendelian recessive, as does the naturally-occurring but very rare melanism of these species; (in a third species in which natural melanism is dominant, and has shown industrial spread, he abandoned the work after only preliminary results).

However, repetition of the work on a large scale by Hughes (1932) and Thomsen and Lemcke (1933) has failed to confirm these results. R. A. Fisher (1933*a*) has also criticized Harrison's views as involving a mutation-rate much higher than any obtainable by X-ray treatment. Further, all industrial melanism is due to dominant genes (see below). It would seem best to assume that some error has been at work, and that no true induction occurred. If so, then melanic mutations must, like other recurrent mutations, have been thrown up sporadically for a long period, but have spread owing to the altered selective conditions of an industrial environment. Numerous cases of sporadic melanism which have not become more frequent recently are known in moths as in

* It has been claimed by Harrison that melanism is also commoner in coastal areas: Ford (1937), however, shows that this conclusion is certainly not universal.

Hardy (1937) states that slight but definite darkening has occurred in the house-sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) in the Liverpool area. It will be of interest to see whether this change, too, will show progressive spread. Sporadic melanism has occurred in the passerine West Indian bird *Coereba flaveola*, producing four separate melanic island subspecies. In some cases the replacement of the normal by the black form has been followed during recent historic times. Furthermore, almost all island forms of the genus are somewhat darker than the mainland forms (Lowe, 1912). Two cases of recent spread of melanic mutants in mammals are considered later (pp. 103, 104).

94

other groups. An interesting case is *Boarmia extersaria*. This shows industrial melanism in Germany; but in Britain it does not enter industrial areas, and the melanic type has remained sporadic. A survey of industrial melanism reveals that the intensity of darkening varies from species to species. As regards its genetic basis, no case of recessive melanism is known to have shown industrial spread. Industrial melanism always depends either on a single dominant gene, or on multiple factors each exhibiting complete or partial dominance (Ford, 1937). As regards its physiological effects, numerous authors have

As regards its physiological effects, numerous authors have shown that dominant or partially dominant melanism confers extra hardiness and viability. Ford (1940b) has shown in *Boarmia repandata* that in highly unfavourable conditions (feeding on alternate days) the ratio of melanics emerging (on an expectation of 50 per cent) goes up from about 54 to about 70 per cent. He has also (1937) pointed out that, in spite of this physiological advantage, dominant melanic forms in non-industrial areas have not shown any spread or increase in frequency.

He accordingly concludes that recessive melanism is due to genes which have been forced to become recessive by selection of modifiers, on account of their deleterious effects on viability. Dominant melanism, on the other hand, has favourable effects on viability, but in normal conditions is kept in check by counterselection operating through natural enemies, the type forms being definitely cryptic in coloration, while the melanics stand out sharply against the normal background. A balance is thus reached, with a low percentage of melanics.

In industrial areas, however, the counter-selection in favour of the type is not so strong, since the background is darker. It has been suggested that in some cases ecological selection would here be reversed, and the dark forms become better protected by background resemblance. Detailed counts by Harrison, however, have shown that in some species at least this is not the case. In industrial areas it is further to be expected that many natural enemies of the adult would be reduced in numbers or absent, so that selection for concealment would be less stringent. As a still further possibility, it appears probable that in the chemically unfavourable environment of industrialism, the greater hardiness of melanics would have an increased advantage.

In any case, according to Ford's general hypothesis, the balance between opposed selective forces is in industrial areas tilted in favour of the melanic variety, with the result that this has speedily increased and in some cases has completely ousted the original type. The strength of the selection acting against melanics on account of their coloration is shown by the fact that in one species, although the melanic is more cold-resistant, and has spread in industrial areas, it is not found so far north as the normal.*

It would appear that on no other hypothesis can the lack of spread of dominant melanics in rural areas and their spread in industrial areas be reconciled. If so, we have one of the most striking demonstrations of the efficacy of selection.

(b) Genetic polymorphism

Genetic polymorphism, or the co-existence of two or more genetically-determined and well-defined forms ("phases") of a species in the same area, presents certain peculiar problems. We speak of polymorphism when the difference between the various forms is sharp, or at least expressed as a bi- or multi-modality in a frequency curve of variability; when the equilibrium between them is relatively stable; and when the frequency of the least abundant is high enough to make it certain that it is not due merely to mutation-pressure (see Ford, 1940a). Polymorphism must be clearly distinguished from normal variability, however extensive, which will be grouped in a single unimodal frequency curve. The existence of separate forms or distinct modes is an essential characteristic of polymorphism.

Since we are here concerned only with genetic polymorphism, we can neglect all such cases as those of the social hymenoptera, the seasonal forms of certain butterflies, etc., which are determined environmentally. We can also neglect the particular type of

^{*} In certain cases, the melanic form has spread from its original industrial area into surrounding non-industrial country, with a decreasing frequencygradient. If the selective balance in favour of non-melanics in non-industrial areas is slight, this is to be expected as the result of mere population-pressure: cf. Sumner's views on population-pressure in subspecies of *Peromyscus* (p. 187).

genetic polymorphism involved in the genetic determination of two sexes, since this is primarily maintained not by a selective balance but by the inherent nature of the genetic-reproductive mechanism: the same applies to heterostyly in plants. Paulian (1936) applies the term genetic to certain cases of polymorphism in male insects where the forms are discontinuous and not to be explained by simple allometry (heterogony). Until, however, the developmental basis of this phenomenon has been ascertained, it is better not to assume that it must be genetically determined: see also Huxley (1932, Chap. 2, § 5).

The interest to the evolutionist of genetic polymorphism within The interest to the evolutionist of genetic polymorphism within a freely interbreeding population is that, as R. A. Fisher (1930a) was the first to point out in general terms, it must always involve a balance of selective advantages between the different types. For, *ex hypothesi*, mutation-pressure alone will not account for the facts, and it can readily be shown that in the absence of selective balance, one type would rapidly oust the other from any considerable representation in the population. There are two distinct methods by which this balance is actually effected genetical and accounted.

effected-genetical and ecological.

(i) In the case of genetical balance, the heterozygote is more viable or enjoys some other selective advantage over either of the homozygotes. (For simplicity's sake we will consider only cases involving dimorphism: trimorphism will occur, as in certain species of foxes, when the heterozygote differs in appearance from either homozygote, or in other cases, as in Papilio ance from either homozygote, or in other cases, as in *Papilio* polytes and *P. memnon*, when two interacting gene-pairs are involved (Ford, 1937), and polymorphism when two or more non-interacting gene-pairs are involved.) This may occur in two ways. Either the gene itself is less viable or even lethal in homozy-gous condition; or it is closely linked with a recessive lethal, which exerts no effect in single dose but is lethal when homozygous.

Owing to the difficulty of proving a negative, no certain case of the former conditions is known, though the mutant curly in Drosophila melanogaster is a possible example. In the conditions of ordinary laboratory cultures, this maintains itself indefinitely, giving a dimorphism with wild-type. It does this because it is almost fully lethal when homozygous, but usually rather more viable than wild-type when heterozygous. As, however, it is located within an inversion, the possibility of linkage, either with a lethal or with the genes for the increased viability, is not excluded. Of linkage with a lethal, however, two examples have been thoroughly worked out. In the case of the butterfly *Argynnis paphia*, over most of its range an occasional second form of female occurs, known as *valesina*, in which the ground colour is dull green instead of rich brown. This form is usually rare, but in some localities constitutes 5 to 15 per cent of the female population. Goldschmidt and Fischer (1922) showed that *valesina* depends on a dominant gene closely linked with a recessive lethal; they were able to break the linkage, thus obtaining broods with all females of the *valesina* type. In China and neighbouring areas almost all the wild females are *valesina*. This well shows the relativity of the term *normal* as applied to organisms in nature.

relativity of the term *normal* as applied to organisms in nature. A similar situation exists in the American Clouded Yellow Butterfly, *Colias philodice* (Gerould, 1923). Here a white female variant exists, and normally constitutes 4 to 20 per cent of wild females. As with *Argynnis*, the rarer form is due to a dominant gene linked with a recessive lethal, and Gerould was able to separate the two genes. In one area the white type is the more abundant. The situation as regards selective advantage is Complex. The lethal must have some advantage (a) when heterozygous, as it is (in *Colias*) more widely spread in the population than the dominant colour-gene; (b) in association with the dominant colour-gene, since the linkage, though not very close, survives in nature in most areas. The dominant colour-gene must also have some advantage in association with the lethal to balance the wastage arising from homozygosity. Where it is homozygous in nature, the advantage must come from association with some other gene.

Numerous cases, as yet unanalysed genetically, are known in nature where polymorphism, as with Argynnis paphia, exists in one area of the range of the species but not in another. A familiar example is the common red squirrel, Sciurus vulgaris, which is always red in some areas, e.g. Britain, but both red and black in mountainous parts of Europe (Lühring, 1928). Other cases are mentioned in Chapter 5 (pp. 184, 203). In some it would appear that a new area has been colonized exclusively by individuals of one type, which presumably then is not linked with a full lethal, but either is linked with a gene somewhat reducing viability, or is the type which is less advantageous than the lethal-linked form. In other cases the lethal linkage may have been broken, as with Argynnis and Colias.

Highly polymorphic species exist in nature among land-snails, such as the common Cepaea nemoralis and C. hortensis (A. Lang, 1908), with their vast range of ground-colour and degree of banding; grasshoppers (grouse-locusts, etc., Nabours, 1925; grass-hoppers, Rubtzov, 1935*); and certain fresh-water fish such as hoppers, Rubtzov, 1935^{*}); and certain fresh-water fish such as Lebistes (Winge, 1927). In these cases the polymorphism appears to depend on the phenomenon of close linkage within each chromo-some or of the obligatory association of many whole chromo-somes to produce a similar effect to close linkage (Fisher, 1930b; Diver, 1932). In these circumstances, a recessive lethal will prevent the free recombination of any favourable mutations in the same chromosome. Thus, since recessive lethals are common types of mutation, whole chromosomes will have to compete with each other, instead of selection being able to act so as to produce an approximation to a single "best" combination of genes. Further, since homozygotes cannot live, there must be at least two different forms of each of the chromosomes which contain lethals: the forms of each of the chromosomes which contain lethals; the forms of each of the chromosomes which contain lethals; the different combinations of these will of necessity give a variety of forms; and this variety will itself be subjected to selection so as to give the best balance, and the least waste through excess mortality of one or some of the forms. In the land-snails, the interesting fact thas been discovered that the frequency of the different types of banding has remained about the same since the neolithic period (Diver, 1929), showing that the balance is an enduring one. The problem, of course, remains as to why the close linkage has become a characteristic of the species in the first instance, since no obvious advantage inheres in such a

^{*} Parallel variations occur here as in Cepaea, but in much more striking fashion, since they affect a large number of related species and indeed genera (p. 516).

condition. Haldane (1930; and see discussion in Ford, 1934, p. 84) has suggested that it is due to translocation of segments from one chromosome to another. The unusual phenomenon of the dominance of the mutant types over a "universal recessive" would then also be accounted for, since the mutants would possess the translocated segment in duplicate, both in its original and its new position. Further, if, as is to be expected and as appears actually to be the case, the translocation is less viable when homozygous, we could dispense with lethal genes as an explanation of the selective balance reached.

The common sea-anemone *Metridium senile* exists in a number of strikingly different colour-varieties. D. L. Fox and Pantin (1941) enumerate eight, including white, red, brown, grey, and various combinations of these; in addition, there is much variation in the intensity of the colours. The different forms occur in different proportions in different localities. The various colour-types depend on the interrelation of (1) lipochrome, giving colours from red to yellow; (2) brown melanin, restricted to the ectoderm; (3) black melanin, restricted to the endodern. There seems no doubt that the main types are genetically determined, and differ in their metabolic properties, and also that the colour is here adaptively non-significant, but correlated with some basic physiological difference. Fox and Pantin conclude that selection is weak as between the different colour-varieties, and that this will account for the existence of the numerous phases. We have seen, however, that a selective balance is required for this, and experiments on the physiological and ecological differences between the varieties should yield interesting results. There are the additional complications that asexual reproductions occurs, and that single individuals can persist for great lengths of time, perhaps even indefinitely.

In the Mexican fresh-water fish *Platypoecilus maculatus*, M. Gordon (1939) has found well over 120 patterns in a state of nature, mostly dependent on the recombination of 15 gene-pairs. This is a remarkable degree of polymorphism for a wild species, especially as collections dating from 1867 indicate that it is a balanced one. Furthermore, as in the other examples we have

cited, related species and genera (Xiphophorus) present much parallel variation. Some of the excessive variation, however, is apparently due to the fixation of "accidental" characters by drift (see pp. 58, 128). A quite different type of balance occurs in variable plant species which consist of numerous ecotypes (pp. 275, 276).

(ii) Ecological balance, on the other hand, depends either on a diminution in the degree of selective advantage due to increase of frequency of one or all of the polymorphic forms above a certain level, or on an alternation in the amount or type of selection due to alteration in the environmental conditions. The best examples of the former concern mimetic butterflies. Either all the forms are mimetic, or one is non-mimetic and the other or others mimetic. If a mimetic form happens to become too abundant relative to its model, the protection afforded by the resemblance will diminish. Where certain mimetic forms are wholly absent, the corresponding models are also found to be missing. In any area, a balance will thus be struck, depending on relative abundance of models, intensity of predation, and viability factors (p. 191).

Among the best-analysed examples are those of *Papilio polytes* and *Hypolimnas dubius*. In the former case, only the females are polymorphic, existing in three forms, two mimetic and one non-mimetic. The species is a successful one, able to live outside the range of its models: it is then, of course, monomorphic, all females being non-mimetic.

It seems clear that where models are available, minicry confers a definite advantage, but one which diminishes rapidly with increased frequency of the mimetic forms.

In Hypolimnas dubius, both sexes are alike, and are dimorphic, with two mimetic forms (see pp. 123-4). For the details of the genetic basis of the condition, readers are referred to Ford (1937). In general the equilibrium due to ecological selective balance may be broadly compared with the effects of mass action in chemistry.

As a matter of fact, *Papilio polytes* appears to illustrate a combination of genetic with ecological control, since the two mimetic
forms, both of which are dominant, are more viable in the

torms, both of which are dominant, are more viable in the heterozygous than in the homozygous condition. Various authors have regarded as a theoretical difficulty the fact that such enormous differences in pattern, and even shape and habit, obviously involving many independent characters, can be controlled by a single gene. It should, however, be clear that once a mutant type is established, conferring even a small mimetic advantage, the residual gene-complex can undergo evolution of the same nature as what we have discussed in previous sections (pp. 98 seq.). But here the extent of such modifi-cation may be pushed much further, with a result that is best described, not as a modification of the visible effects of the described, not as a modification of the visible effects of the original gene, but as an addition of various new effects, all of which, however, are dependent for their expression on the presence of the original gene. Presumably this change in the gene-complex will depend more on new mutation and relatively less on recombination of previously existing genes than in e.g. alteration of dominance (see Ford, 1937).

alteration of dominance (see Ford, 1937). We may put it in another way by saying that the original gene-difference comes to act as a switch controlling the action of numerous mutant modifying and modifiable genes, precisely as occurs in the case of the primary sex-difference in regard to genetically sex-limited characters. There is no reason for, and every reason against, postulating the sudden origin of the whole pattern by one mutation. Further, the frequent superficiality of the characters by which mimetic resemblance is achieved shows that the resemblance cannot have arisen through parallel muta-tions occurring and being preserved owing to similarity of conditions (see Punnett, 1915; Cott, 1940, p. 405). The apparently cryptic colour-polymorphism of certain stick-insects and mantids may have a similar ecological basis. The egg-colour polymor-phism of the cuckoo, *Cuculus canorus*, is largely related to the risk of ejection by the host (Jourdain, 1925; Huskins, 1934). The different egg-colour strains are presumably balanced in relation to host-abundance. relation to host-abundance.

In the ruff (Machetes pugnax) polymorphism is confined to males in the breeding season, and the number of distinctive

types is extraordinary. No other bird rivals it. Ford (1940a, p. 501) suggests that the cumulative effect on females of display-stimulation by numerous males at a common courting-ground, stimulation by numerous males at a common courting-ground, (p. 480 Darling, 1939; Huxley, 1938*a*) promotes maximum vari-ability. Mayr and Rand (1937) cite a peculiar and striking dimor-phism in tail-coloration in the bird *Rhipidura brachyrhyncha*. Polymorphism is much commoner than usually realized, e.g. in birds and mammals only, it exists among squirrels, foxes, bears, cats, owls, herons, hawks, skuas, etc.

Next we come to cases where changes in external environment determine the ecological balance, not those in internal conditions (relative abundance of the separate types). We have mentioned the common squirrel (p. 99. For plant ecotypes, see p. 275). Elton (quoted by Ford, 1934, p. 83) has found that in the red fox (*Vulpes fulva*), which shows trimorphism, the "cross" type

tox (Vulpes fulva), which shows trimorphism, the cross type being apparently a heterozygote between red and silver, the rare silver type changes in its relative frequency in a regular way during each of the 10-year cycles of abundance to which the species is exposed (p. 111). In this species, Cross (1941) finds a rough polymorph-ratio cline (p. 222), red being commoner to the S., silver and cross to the N. (and see p. 185).

The arctic fox (Alopex lagopus) is dimorphic in winter (blue or white). Only the white type occurs in Kamchatka, and only the blue in certain Alaskan islands; while on the Alaskan mainland a N-S gradient is found, the white type decreasing in fre-

and a N-S gradient is round, the white type decreasing in fre-quency with latitude. (See also p. 217.) Here any selective advantage afforded by the white coat in winter must presumably be offset by some disadvantage, probably connected with viability, for the blue type to be able to exist in numbers at all. The primary basis of the dimorphism (as in the red fox) is thus a genetic balance. But environment may somewhat alter this equilibrium, so that the balance is in part also ecological.

A remarkable case where the relation with environmental conditions is more direct is that of the hamster (Cricetus cricetus), as described by Kirikov (1934) and Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1940). About 150 years ago the naturalist-geographer Lepekhin noted that in a certain region of Russia black hamsters were unusually prevalent. Since then, the statistics of the Russian fur-markets have enabled biologists to trace the steady spread of the black type, until to-day, throughout a broad zone along the northern border of the range of the species, black forms are in the majority and in some areas are present to the total or virtual exclusion of the typical greys. This area coincides with the sub-steppe (woodsteppe) climatic zone, and is cooler and moister than the steppe region proper, which forms the main home of the species. In the steppes the black type occurs only as an occasional aberration. It seems clear that the black form enjoys some selective advantage in the sub-steppe area, while it is at a disadvantage in the drier steppes.

steppes. In the bird *Coereba*, the recent replacement of the typical by the melanic form on certain West Indian islands appears to be similar (pp. 94 n, 203). In other cases, although the actual process of spread has not been followed, we can be certain that it is taking place. For instance, in the brush opossum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), melanic variants are very rare on the Australian main-land. In the Tasmanian subspecies, however, they are common. Pearson (1938), from an examination of many thousand skins, was able to plot a contour ("phenocontour") map of the relative frequency of the black type, with contour lines ("isophenes") marking regions of a given frequency. In the first place, he was able to show that neither an isolated small island off the north-west nor another on the north-east on the course of the subwest. nor another on the north-east on the course of the submerged land-bridge from Australia, contained black animals merged land-bridge from Australia, contained black animals except as aberrations; this demonstrates that the abundance of the black type must have arisen after the isolation of the sub-species in Tasmania. The north-west corner of Tasmania contains only black opossums, while on parts of the east coast the pro-portion is under 25 per cent. There is no correlation of the frequency of blacks with climatic gradients. The suggestion that the black type appeared (whether by new mutation or by the crossing of two carriers of the black gene in single dose) in the north-west, and is gradually extending eastwards, is confirmed by conditions on the narrow-necked Tasman peninsula. This shows a markedly lower frequency of blacks than the adjacent zone of the main island: it seems clear that the extreme narrowness of its neck has hindered the spread of the black gene.

It is interesting to note that Tasmania is cooler and moister than Australia, so that the similarity to the case of the black hamster is very close. Humidity also favours melanism in *Coereba*.

hamster is very close. Humidity also favours melanism in Coereba. Another case where a phenocontour map of a mutant type has been plotted, and examination of the map shows that spread of the mutant is occurring and is being impeded by geographical barriers, is that of the simplex condition of the teeth in the fieldvole Microtus arvalis in north-central Germany (Zimmermann, 1935). The aberration here consists in the absence of the last ridge of enamel on the molar. Here the simplex condition occurs in over 90 per cent of individuals in Schleswig-Holstein, with zones of decreasing frequency to west, south, and east. The mountains of central Germany have proved a complete obstacle, while certain large rivers have obviously hindered the spread of the character. This case is genetically slightly more complex, since various gradations in the expression of the character occur, and not only is the character more frequent in the presumed centre of origin, but also more extreme in type. It is further worth noting that the gradient in simplex-frequency bears no relation to another character-gradient or cline (see p. 206) within the species, namely, the gradual east-west darkening and reddening of the coat-colour across the north German plain.

In the last two cases, the polymorphism may be regarded as transient, since the species or subspecies appears to be moving from a condition in which a given character is rare, maintained only by mutation-pressure, to one where it is universal, again apart from the rare and sporadic occurrence of its allele. This is theoretically to be distinguished from true polymorphism, in which a state of balance between the contrasting types is indefinitely maintained, but it will not always be possible to distinguish the one condition from the other (Ford, 1940a). Thus Southern (1939) has shown that the bridled variety of the guillemot (*Uria aalge*) increases northwards from 0.5 per cent or lower to well over 50 per cent of the total population, in a fairly regular gradient. There is, however, no way as yet of telling whether the two types are indefinitely balanced against a climatic gradient, as with the arctic fox, or whether the bridled type is spreading at the expense of the "normal". The owl *Megascops* shows a centrifugal cline between red and normal (grey) forms in U.S.A. (Hasbrouck, 1893); while that between dark and light fulmar petrels is rather more complex (p. 217).

Mather (1941) has recently propounded a possible genetic explanation of clines in such apparently non-adaptive characters. He suggests that the gene responsible is linked with one of two balanced polygenic combinations within homologous chromosomes (see p. 67), affecting some other character, and that this is related to some environmental condition. The mean development of the character will be adjusted by the relative frequency of the two combinations, and thus the frequency of the linked gene will also vary in relation to the environmental gradient concerned. One may add that the same result would be obtained if one of the genes in the polygenic combination were pleiotropic and also produced the visible effect (e.g. bridling).

A frequent condition found in nature is that of regional or geographical polymorphism, when two or more contrasted forms are confined to different regions. Thus in the moth Spilosoma mendica (Ford, 1937), the normal condition is for the male to be dark, the female white. In Ireland, however, the males as well as the females are white, and this condition (variety *rustica*) is known sporadically on the European continent. Such a state of affairs may represent the final stage of a transitory polymorphism, in an area which favours the spread of an alternative type (cf. the brush opossum, p. 104), or be due to colonization by one only of the two forms (and see p. 262). Elsewhere, the condition may represent the end of a dimorph-ratio cline. Thus, in Accipiter novae-hollandiae (p. 184) such a cline culminates at either end in an area exclusively inhabited by one of the two forms. Again, the white form of the palearctic moth Leucodonta bicoloria, abundant in the cast, decreases westwards and is absent in the extreme west (Suomalainen, 1941).

We may conclude by referring to the floral dimorphism of higher plants, even though that is solely or mainly environmental or developmental in its determination, not genetic. Floral dimorphism is concerned with the relation between cross- and selfpollination. (See Kerner and Oliver, 1902, for a good general but somewhat out-of-date account; Uphof, 1938, for cleistogamy; p. 140 for gynodioecism; Mather, 1941, for heterostyly.) Strictly speaking, the term dimorphism should perhaps only be employed when whole plants of distinct type are found. This

be employed when whole plants of distinct type are found. This occurs in most cases of gynodioecism, e.g. in the common plantain *Plantago lanceolata*, the viper's bugloss *Echium vulgare*, etc., where some plants produce normal hermaphrodite flowers, while others have only female (pistillate) flowers, which arc sometimes smaller than the hermaphrodite ones; in a few cases of cleistogamy, such as the balsam *Impatiens noli-me-tangere*, where in addition to plants exclusively producing the normal showy flowers, others may occur bearing only the inconspicuous and permanently closed cleistogamous flowers adapted solely to self-pollination (as well as still others with both types); and in heterostyly. Mather (1941) has an interesting discussion on the evolution of heterostyly (see also Mather and de Winton, 1941). He points out that an illegitimacy reaction appears to be compul-sorily associated with heterostyly, and is the chief bar to inbreeding. Homozygous thrum plants, which normally do not occur in nature, are less viable than the heterozygotes or the recessives (pins). This reversal of the usual relations of dominance to viability must be due to the accumulation of deleterious mutations, in the region adjacent to the thrum gene, which will only exist in nature in a heterozygous condition; this is similar to the accumulation of loss mutations in the Y-chromosome, which has led to its almost total inertness (p. 138).

If conditions demand greater stability and therefore increased inbreeding, in some cases selection may reverse the intensity of the illegitimacy reaction. Since commercial seed-raisers prefer selfing, this has occurred with cultivated species, e.g. *Primula sinensis.* Here the fertility of illegitimate relative to that of legitimate pollinations has almost doubled since the experiments of Hildebrand and Darwin in 1864 and 1877. Another possibility is the selection of mutants giving homostyle plants which are then capable of self-pollination. This has occurred in nature (see pp. 222, 313).

In a few cases of heterostyly, such as certain species of *Primula*, the two types of flowers also have different-sized corollas. In some cases the "pin", in others the "thrum" type is thus distinguished. The meaning of these last conditions is obscure. Kerner and Oliver (1902) suggest that the smaller size of corolla is associated with the type where autogamy is more prevalent, and cross-pollination accordingly less essential; but this Dr. Mather informs me is not in accord with experimental facts.

It is also quite logical to use the term dimorphism for types in which two distinct types of flower are produced on the same individual plant, as occurs in most cleistogamous forms (species of Viola, Glechoma, Lamium, Oxalis, Helianthemum, Juncus, many grasses, etc.). Further, it is perhaps even legitimate to extend the concept to cover the frequent combination, in one and the same flower at different times, of definite devices to secure crosspollination and self-pollination. This would then constitute what we may perhaps call a dimorphism in time, since in the great majority of cases the plant produces flowers which are adapted to ensure cross-pollination, but if this does not occur, it transforms these same organs into what is virtually a new type of flower adapted for self-pollination.

flower adapted for self-pollination. Furthermore, in all these cases, a selective balance is at work. However, the balance is a complex one. In plants whose flowers change from exogamy to autogamy, as well as those which produce both showy and cleistogamous flowers, it used to be supposed that all that was involved was what the experimental embryologists style "double assurance" (doppelte Sicherung) to secure pollination. Cross-pollination was assumed to be in some way better, but if, through lack of suitable insects or other reason, it chanced not to be effected, then the plant fell back on its second line of defence, in the shape of self-fertilization. This in itself would constitute a selective balance of an ecological nature. The advantages accruing from cross-fertilization are offset against the disadvantage of its not being always possible; the disadvantage of having to produce a second type of flower

(or to develop new adaptations within the original flower) is offset against the advantage of assured seed-production. Ecological factors may further complicate the picture. Thus certain species produce solely or almost solely cleistogamous flowers when growing in deep shade, where few insects are likely to visit them, but go to the reverse extreme when grown in sunny open localities. In *Viola*, cleistogamous flowers are much more abundant in high latitudes; this is due to a photo-periodic response (Borgström, 1939). Along these lines, the course of evolution of the cleistogamous condition is readily envisaged. Cleistogamy in the strict sense implies a special type of flower which not only does not open, but shows other adaptations; usually the petals and stamen are reduced in size, and the pollen-grains are not liberated from the anthers but germinate *in situ*.

usually the petals and stamen are reduced in size, and the pollen-grains are not liberated from the anthers but germinate *in situ*. This condition has doubtless followed on one of "pseudo-cleistogamy", where, in certain ecological conditions, the normal flowers simply fail to open and self-pollination occurs. This, however, is not the whole story. Autogamy, it is now realized, is not merely a *pis aller*. It has certain advantages, in perpetuating unchanged a vigorous and well-balanced genetic constitution once this has been evolved. This stability, however, will only be advantageous so long as conditions also remain unchanged: in addition, an entirely stable type loses the possi-bility of invading new environments. Thus the provision of devices for both cross- and self-fertilization constitutes a balance devices for both cross- and self-fertilization constitutes a balance devices for both cross- and self-fertilization constitutes a balance between the advantages of plasticity (with its disadvantage of wasteful production of less well-adapted recombinations) and of stability (with its long-term disadvantage of absence of adjust-ment to new conditions). Some forms are exclusively of one or the other type; but in a large number, probably the majority, of flowering plants, the two have been brought into balance, with

nowering plants, the two have been brought into balance, with consequent floral dimorphism of one sort or another. A third type of selective advantage concerns the degree of waste of gametes associated with a particular mode of repro-duction. This wastage is especially marked in monoecious plants, and its implications are discussed in reference to the selective balance involved in gynodioecism (p. 107).

It is difficult to evaluate the precise shares of these various advantages and disadvantages in floral dimorphism. We must be content to observe that a selective balance is involved, and that

content to observe that a selective balance is involved, and that the dimorphism is thereby maintained. In other cases the dimorphism is between sexual and vegetative reproduction. Dr. W. B. Turrill informs me in a letter that in the lesser celandine, *Ranunculus ficaria*, the following types of repro-duction occur: normal amphimixis, apomixis, abundant vege-tative multiplication (of two types; one variety is wholly or almost wholly vegetative in its reproduction), and plants with only male, only female, and only hermaphrodite flowers. Differ-ent populations show different proportions of these various types. Here, in the field of reproduction, we may perhaps have something akin to the balance of ecotypes in many plant species (p. 177). (p. 177).

(p. 177). We may in fact conclude that polymorphism always involves a selective balance, whether it is determined genetically, or environmentally, or internally by the processes of normal ontogeny, as when two or more kinds of persons or organs, adapted to different functions, are formed by the same colony or individual (for social hymenoptera see p. 482 n). Finally in view of its peculiar evolutionary interest as inevit-ably involving a selective balance and as in some cases leading by way of ecological regional differences, to sharp geographical differentiation, genetic polymorphism deserves the most intensive study, especially in cases where the ratios of the types are geo-graphically graded, since here we may hope not only to measure the intensity of the selective forces at work, but also to discover something as to their nature. something as to their nature.

(c) Selection in fluctuating populations

Elton (1930) has pointed out that the customary assumption of a population approximately constant from year to year is very far from the truth for many, if not most, species. A stable "balance of nature" does not exist. Fluctuation in numbers, rather than constancy, is the rule. This fluctuation may be broadly progressive

towards increase or decrease, it may be irregular, or it may be cyclic and regular.

cyclic and regular. Animal species subject to such regular or periodic fluctuations include lemmings (Lemmus), snowshoe rabbits (Lepus americanus), mice, voles, jerbils and other Muridae, foxes (Vulpes, Alopex), lynxes (Lynx), and other fur-bearing carnivores, certain birds, such as ptarmigan (Lagopus) in Labrador and nutcrackers (Nucifraga) in Siberia, some invertebrates, land and marine, and probably certain antelopes and other larger mammals. The period of the fluctuation from crest to crest of abundance varies from 3 to 4 years in the smaller rodents, to 10 to 11 years in the snowshoe rabbit, and probably a good deal longer in certain ungulates. The difference in abundance between crest and trough may be very great. In the snowshoe rabbit the ratio of high to low population numbers in extreme cases must reach at least 100 : I. An interesting point made by Rowan (1931, p. 62) for Canadian birds is that migrant species are not affected by these cycles. In years when the grouse population has been reduced to a minimum, the migrants are present in normal numbers. This fact must, in combination with others peculiar to migrants, have important evolutionary consequences restricted to migrant forms. However, it seems not to be of universal occurrence.

Elton has pointed out certain important evolutionary consequences of these facts. In the first place, both the intensity and the type of selection will vary continuously during the cycle. During the period of rapid increase, when numbers are low and conditions favourable, the intensity of selection will be very low. During the peak period, intraspecific selection due to pressure of competition will be high. Since the catastrophic fall in numbers is normally due to infectious disease, selection during this period will mainly concern disease-resistance. And in the subsequent period of unfavourable environmental conditions (for all these cycles seem to have an external determination) selection will be concerned with resistance to cold and hunger and similar aspects of the struggle for existence. To use Elton's metaphor, the species is put through a series of examinations, with easy times betweenwhiles, and the different examinations test different capacitics.

Apart from special resistances, such varying selection will promote a general elasticity of response. Elton also made the suggestion that periodic fluctuations would allow greater scope for chance in evolution, since if a rare mutation or gene-combination happens to be present in the much-reduced minimum population, it will be automatically reproduced in the same proportion during the period of rapid increase when

in the same proportion during the period of rapid increase when the struggle for existence is light and the intensity of selection low. His views have been criticized on mathematical grounds by Haldane. However, the proof of the pudding is in the eating, and the studies of Ford and Ford (1930) make it clear, first that selection-intensity may actually be relaxed during a period of rapid increase, and secondly that when it is once more tightened up, the resultant type may differ from that obtaining in the pro-ceeding period of abundance. They observed a sharply isolated colony of the small Greasy Fritillary butterfly, *Melitaea aurinia*, for thirteen years, and obtained records and specimens for a total period of forty-nine years. The population was increasingly abundant from 1881 to 1897; it then decreased, and became scarce by 1906 and extremely rare from 1912 to 1920. A rapid increase to abundance then took place to 1924, from when until 1930 it showed a progressive slight further increase. Variability was slight during the first relatively stable period

1930 it showed a progressive slight further increase. Variability was slight during the first relatively stable period of abundance. During the period of rapid increase after scarcity, however, (I quote from Ford, 1934, p. 77), "an extraordinary outburst of variation occurred. Hardly two specimens were alike and marked departures from the normal form of the species, both in size, shape and colour, were very common. A high proportion of these were deformed in various ways, the amount of deformity being closely correlated with the degree of variation." With the colony entered on its second period of abundance, the abnormal types and extreme variants practically disappeared

the abnormal types and extreme variants practically disappeared, and the population settled down again to a uniform type. This, however, was not the same as before, but recognizably distinct.

It seems clear that the relaxation of selection during the recovery period allowed an excess of variability; and that when it again became rigorous, the new stable type was slightly different,

owing to the accidental incorporation of different genes. R. L. Berg (1941) has demonstrated a similar effect in micropopulations of *Drosophila melanogaster*, aberrations increasing with decreased intensity of selection.

Sewall Wright (1932), in discussing such problems in more general terms, concludes that there must be available to most species a number of gene-combinations all of about the same survival-value; he compares them to peaks, separated by "valleys" of intermediate combinations which are less favourable. Normally ot intermediate combinations which are less favourable. Normally it is difficult or impossible for selection to shift the type from an established peak to another, although this might be equally satisfactory if reached: but when the intensity of selection is reduced (or when low size of population promotes the accidental survival of genes and gene-combinations: pp. 58, 199), many "valley" combinations are realized, the species can cross dryshod to other peaks, and it will be a matter of chance on which Ararat the type eventually remains perched when the rising tide of selection again floods out the valleys.

Elton draws a further interesting conclusion from the facts of periodic fluctuation. He concludes that it will have promoted the migratory impulse which is so strong in so many types of animals when in unfavourable conditions. At first sight, the existence of this impulse seems hard to explain on any selective hypothesis, since, in the morc spectacular mass emigrations, such as those of locusts, lemmings, or certain butterflies, all, or all but as those of locusts, lemmings, or certain butterflies, all, or all but a negligible fraction of the migrants perish, while the population is renewed from among those which do not manifest the impulse and stay in their original habitat. One would thus suppose that migratory tendencies would be strongly antagonized by selection. However, although such migrations are exceedingly striking and have thus received a disproportionate share of attention from biologists, they are, in fact, but extreme and in a sense abnormal manifestations of a much more widespread phenome-

non, namely, an impulse to react to unfavourable conditions by changed behaviour, notably by movement away from an environment which has become unfavourable. This does not normally result in mass migration on a vast scale, but in an

<page-header><text><text><text>

often mutually reinforcing processes, and both have their most important function in times of stress. Fluctuations in numbers, both irregular and periodic, thus may

have important evolutionary consequences.

7. MUTATION AND EVOLUTION

7. MUTATION AND EVOLUTION There remains the difficulty that most mutations so far investi-fated are deleterious. If mutations are the raw material of evolu-tion, it is clearly not enough that they should be as it were sterilized and rendered innocuous; some of them must sometimes be, or a first sight appears (pp. 68 seq.). Since the gene-complex is an thist sight appears (pp. 68 seq.). Since the gene-complex is an investion of the state of the state much more bloor at the change the less likely is it to be an improvement; Further, that invitably the geneticits will detect large changes more readily however, has revealed large numbers of gene-differences with they to not any possible building-blocks of evolutionary change is be sought the chief building-blocks of evolutionary change in that it is by means of small mutations, notably in the form of progressive and adaptive evolution has occurred. Indeed, in cases proficiency by recombinations and further small mutations, that is the basis for specific difference in *Antirthinum* (Baur, 1921), *Phaseolus* (Lamprecht, 1941), cotton (*Cosspium*; Silow, 1934), etc., and in wolf-dog crosses (Ijin, 1944). Many sub fortific characters have a similar genetic basis, e.g. in the plant *Ameline satio* (Tedin, 1925), in determice (*Peromysus*), gipsy-moths (*Lymantic*), etc. (see Dobzhansky, 1937, Change a), profise differences in *Drosophila* depend on many single genes.

The very large number of genes with small effects involved in the inheritance of quantitative characters has been stressed by "Student". With reference to Winter's experiments (1929) on oil-content in maize, R. A. Fisher (1933b) writes: "all commercial varieties must be segregating in hundreds, and quite possibly in thousands, of factors." With this amount of available variance, Winter was able to select high and low lines differing *sixfold* in oil-content. Silow (1941) estimates that in cotton (*Gossypium*) the closest species differ in over half their genes.

It must be admitted that the direct and complete proof of the utilization of mutations in evolution under natural conditions has not yet been given. Even the case of industrial melanism, apart from its concerning the results of man's interference, will not be complete until the induction of melanic mutations has been finally disproved. On the other hand, a complete and direct demonstration is inevitably very difficult to provide. The mutations concerned will normally have small effects. Thus the species concerned must be easily bred, and should have been subjected to detailed genetic analysis: otherwise small mutations will not be detected. The species must then be followed through a period of evolutionary change, and during this period selection must be proved to have been operative on certain mutations.

Thus it is inevitable that for the present we must rely mainly on the convergence of a number of separate lines of evidence each partial and indirect or incomplete, but severally cumulative and demonstrative.

These different partial lines of evidence may be summarized as follows:---

(1) The existence of small mutations. This has been proved in every organism subjected to detailed genetic analysis. While most of these are deleterious, it should be remembered that reverse mutations to wild type have frequently been demonstrated, both "spontaneously" and under the influence of the same agency (e.g. X-rays) used to induce the original mutation. Thus it cannot be maintained that the process of mutation is of its nature deleterious, since the "abnormal" can mutate to the "normal" Position-effects due to small sectional rearrangements (p. 92) must for our purpose be included in this category.

(2) The existence of mendelizing variations of small extent constituting the differential characters of subspecies and species. This has been shown in many cases, though it can, of course, only be demonstrated for species where fertility and segregation occur after an inter-specific cross. However, the cases of this are fairly numerous (see Haldane, 1932a, Chap. 3; Goldschmidt, 1928, Chap. 15; Dobzhansky, 1937, Chap. 3).

This point is important, since the presumption is very strong that all mendelizing variations owe their origin to mutation.

(3) The existence of selection-pressure against small unfavourable mutations. All cases of reduced viability in culture and of elimination of deleterious mutants in nature fall under this head. One of the best proofs is the low incidence of mutant genes in the sex-chromosome of wild-caught individuals as compared with their incidence in the other chromosomes (autosomes), as discovered by C. Gordon (1936) and by Dubinin and his co-workers (1934, 1936) in Drosophila.

Recessive mutations in the autosomes will not exert their effects unless in double dose, and they cannot occur in double dose unless two individuals heterozygous for the gene happen to mate, which will be a very rare event. Sex-linked mutations, on the other hand, will immediately exert their effects on a number of males, since these possess only a single sex-chromosome, so that any recessive genes located in this chromosome can exert their effects in single dose, not masked by their normal allelomorphs. If the effects comprise reduced viability, selection will at once be brought into play and will tend to eliminate the gene from the constitution of the species.

Thus both the recessivity of most mutations (pp. 75 seq.) and the scarcity of sex-linked recessives are consequences of selection.

A special case is the proof by Gerould (1921) that the normal grass-green larvae of the butterfly *Colias philodice* enjoy a selective advantage over the blue-green recessive mutant type in relation to the attacks of bird enemies, no doubt on account of their close resemblance in colour to the food-plant.

(4) The existence of mutations which from the outset are non-deleterious, and especially of those which are potentially favourable. In view of the recurrent nature of mutation, it is extremely unlikely that in an experiment mutations should arise which are markedly favourable at the outset, in normal conditions; for in most cases such mutations would long previously have been incorporated in the constitution of the species.

such inductions would long previously have been incorporated in the constitution of the species. Of mutations which appear to be potentially favourable— i.e. capable of being immediately utilized by selection in certain conditions (see pp. 52, 449 ff.)—there are numerous examples. We may mention the mutations in seed-weight of beans found by Johannsen (p. 52); those modifying hooded pattern in rats (p. 65); the mutation altering temperature-resistance in water-fleas by Banta (p. 52); and that in tobacco adjusting flowering to a different rhythm of light and darkness (p. 52). Some of the mutant genes found by Dubinin *et al.* (1936) in wild *Drosophila* might readily increase (or even become the "normal type") under slightly altered conditions. The higher variability of abundant species demonstrates this process in action (p. 58 n.). Zimmermann (1941) has found numerous recessive genes in the heterozygous state among populations of wild rodents, not only house-mice (*Mus m. musculus*), but also field mice (*Apodemus*) and voles (*Cleithrionomys*). Though some of these were for gross abnormalities, and others for partial albinism or spotting, still others determined characters which might readily be utilized in normal evolution, e.g. a darker type of agouti. In one case (*Cleithrionomys g. glareolus*) a dominant gene was found, changing the normal red of the back to the brown character typical of the alpine subspecies C. g. nageri.

alpine subspecies C. g. nageri.

An interesting example from domestic animals is that of frizzled fowls. These have a peculiar plumage, with upcurled feathers which do not retain heat well, and are at a great dis-advantage in temperate climates. The condition depends on mendelian genes (F. G. Benedict, Landauer, and Fox, 1932). In tropical climates, however, as in West Africa, the breed is extremely common: here the frizzled plumage is an advantage, since it enables the birds to keep cooler than normal birds

(pp. 63, 76; Haldane, 1935; Landauer and Dunn, 1930; Landauer, 1937; Landauer and Upham, 1936).

(5) The existence of genetic polymorphism within a species. This, as we have seen (p. 97), can only occur where there is a selective balance. Since both the visible and the lethal characters involved are known to mendelize in all cases properly investigated, the presumption is that they always do so, and the further presumption exists that they owe their origin to mutation.

Beautiful examples of the action of selection in causing the spread of favourable mendelian characters are seen in those cases of genetically-controlled polymorphism where one type, when freed from its linked lethal, has ousted the other (p. 98), as well as in those where a mutant or rare allele spreads in certain environmental conditions, as in the industrial melanism of moths, and in other cases (pp. 94, 104, 203).

Polymorphism must be distinguished from normal variability, however large, grouped in a single normal curve of error, or at least one without sharply defined modes. When, however, wide normal variability exists, it appears, in so far as it is genotypic, to depend on mendelizing factors and their recombinations, since when a cross is made between extreme variants, the F2 is much more variable than the F1. The adaptive reasons for the existence of high variability of this unimodal sort are unknown, though it would appear that in some cases they must exist. Possibly it supplies the same kind of plasticity in relation to a wide range of environmental conditions as is found in plant species with numerous intergrading ecotypes (p. 275).

(6) The effect of variation of conditions in altering the incidence of selection on (a) mutations, or (b) naturally-existing genetic differences. In some cases mutations, which in what may be described as normal conditions are deleterious, may become advantageous in other conditions.* A good example is that of the vestigial-winged mutant of Drosophila, studied by Spencer (1932). In conditions near the optimum, vestigial is much shorter-lived than wild-type. But if vestigials and normals are kept together without food

* When this is so they fall conveniently under the heading of pre-adaptations; this subject is expanded in Chapter 8, p. 449.

and water, the vestigials survive longer. Thus in environments which occasionally become very unfavourable the vestigial type might even oust the normal. It is worth noting that the advantage or disadvantage concerns the viability characters: the size of the wings would thus be a "correlated character" of no immediate selective value (pp. 63, 206). On the other hand, reduced size of wings may have a direct selective value in certain conditions. Thus L'Heritier, Neefs, and Teissier (1937) also working with vestigial, found that flies with this character survived better than wild-type *Drosophila* when subjected to constant wind. This, as they rightly conclude, has a bearing on the prevalence of insects with reduced or functionless wings on oceanic islands (p. 453).

Variation in the environment often leads to selection of certain types from among the range occurring naturally. This may refer either to continuous or discontinuous variations. An example of the former is the case described by Harrison (1920a) of the selection for depth of pigmentation in the moth Oporinia autumnata. The relative abundance of lighter and darker forms in a dark pinewood and an adjacent light birchwood was quite different, and so, but inversely, was the intensity of selection, as revealed by the number of wings left by birds. The result was that in the dark environment the darker types had become sixteen times the commoner, while in the light environment the lighter types were six times more abundant than the darker. (See also p. 469).

As an example of selection between sharply-delimited types we may mention the experiments of extreme interest carried out by Sukatschew (1928) on pure lines in dandelions (*Taraxacum*). He found that altering the density of total numbers of plants per plot might completely alter both the survival of the seedlings and the fertility of the survivors, so that a pure line which was inferior in one set of conditions would oust the rest if the conditions were changed.

We may also consider selection as between related species. Here, similar results to those with varieties of dandelions have been obtained by Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1933) with the competition between the larvae of two species of *Drosophila* at different temperatures; by Tansley (1917) on the varying results of competition between two species of bedstraw (Galium) according to the type of soil on which they are growing; and by Beauchamp and Ullyott (1932) on the decisive effect of temperature in bringing about the selection of one or other of two species of competing planarian worms.

Sukatschew's detailed analysis is entirely in accord with the elaborate ecological work of the Stapledon school, showing the effect of varying intensity of grazing on the survival of different species and strains of pasture plants.

In bacteria, the alteration of type with culture-medium appears not to depend upon any lamarckian or modificatory effect, but upon the selection of variants (to use a non-committal term), though the method of origin of these is still obscure. Again, selection has different effects on different pure lines of yeast according to conditions (Gause, 1934).

according to conditions (Gause, 1934). The diminution in size and other changes which occurred over a period of 150 years in a stock of horses placed on Sable Island, Nov[®] Scotia, appear almost certainly to be due to selection in relation to the somewhat unfavourable conditions (Gates, 1930). This doubtless has a bearing on the evolution of dwarf forms of large mammals on islands or near the limit of their range, for instance the very small Spitsbergen race of reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*), the pigmy elephants, now extinct, of Malta and other Mediterranean islands, the Corsican subspecies of the red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), etc.

(Cervus elaphus), etc. (7) The interaction of two or more unfavourable mutations to produce a neutral or beneficial effect. We have spoken of the cases of the mutations for red and transparent eyes in Ephestia and for purple and arc in Drosophila (p. 69). Another case, of a rather different kind, is that of the recessive facet-notched, which produces a notch at the free end of the wing in Drosophila. Its allelomorph facet, also a recessive, produces irregular ommatidia in the eye, together with a slight irregularity of the wing-types. When, however, the heterozygous combination of the two is synthesized, it is found to produce no visible effects. The combination of the two recessive alleles restores the appearance and viability of the wild type (Glass, 1933, who cites other cases of the same phenomenon). Such a state of affairs might lead to the establishment of geneticallyconditioned trimorphism.

conditioned trimorphism. (8) The effect of selection of the gene-complex in altering the expression of mutations, and especially in abolishing their unfavourable action while retaining other effects. We have dealt with numerous cases of this phenomenon earlier in the chapter, both as regards natural and artificial selection. The most striking cases are eyeless in Drosophila (p. 69), the crest character in fowls (p. 73) and the restored viability of red-eyed meal-moths when the mutant gene responsible is combined with another recessive gene (p. 68).

(9) The existence of genetically-determined adaptations. Once more the presumption is that these, if genetic, have arisen by mutation. If they are truly adaptive, the presumption is that they have arisen by selection (see Chapter 8 for a development of this

argument and for examples). (10) The correlation between the incidence and type of genetically-determined variations in different parts of the range of a species with variation in the conditions and with the incidence and type of selection. variation in the conditions and with the incidence and type of selection. Some of the best examples concern polymorphic mimetic butterflies. We have first the adjustment of the frequencies of the different mimetic forms to the frequency of their respective model species; secondly, the adjustment of the pattern of the separate forms to the geographical variation of the models, or to the replacement of one species of model by another species; and thirdly, the relaxation of close mimetic resemblance in areas where the mimic outnumbers the model (p. 101). None of these phenomena can be explained except on selectionist grounds. Another equally good example concerns the replacement of the "normal" type of the species in certain parts of the animal's range by a type which remains rare in other regions, e.g. black *Coereba*, black hamsters, black opossums, voles with simplex type of teeth, melanic moths, bridled guillemots, etc. In all such cases, there are general grounds (p. 97), and in some cases particular grounds (p. 95) for believing that selection is at work. These various lines of evidence all converge to support a neo-mendelian view, some showing that small mutations occur, others that selection is active, that some mutations are potentially

beneficial, that through selection of the gene-complex, mutations can be adjusted to the needs of the organism, and that adaptations are genetically determined and vary in type and accuracy with direction and intensity of selection.

direction and intensity of selection. Three further general points of considerable importance must be mentioned. In the first place, R. A. Fisher (1930*a*) has provided mathematical proof of the interesting theorem that the combin-ation of mutations to provide adaptive improvement in an organ or process in which the harmonious adjustment of many inde-pendently varying characters is required, is much more readily effected by mutations with small than by those with large effects

effected by mutations with small than by those with large effects. Secondly, both Fisher (1932) and Haldane (1932*a*) have shown the enormous superiority, in the light of existing knowledge, of selection to other suggested agencies of evolutionary change, such as true orthogenesis (Chap. 9, p. 509).* Even if genes were to mutate repeatedly in the same direction, this could have no evolutionary effect unless they had no influence on viability and general fitness. A reduction of one-tenth of one per cent in viability would result in adverse selection which would override mutation at the highest rate ever yet observed in nature. Similarly, if a mutation caused an increase of viability of only 0.1 per cent or over, its spread would of necessity be mainly due to favourable selection. The same argument applies to the slow accumulation of lamarckian effects postulated by some believers in the inheritance of acquired characters: if this is so extremely slow as to escape detection in the course of an experiment covering a few generations, as they often assert, it would be overridden by selection-pressure whenever any but the most trifling differences in viability existed (pp. 457 seq.). Thirdly, variability varies inversely with selection-pressure (pp. 324 seq.). The butterfly *Papilio dardanus* provides a striking example. This possesses several polymorphic female forms. Most of these are mimétic and highly invariable, except when, for special environmental reasons, they are able to live outside their * Haldane (op. cit., p. 142) qualifies this view by pointing out that the paths ore no selective guidance are limited by the nature of variation. This restrictive

^{*} Haldane (op. cit., p. 142) qualifies this view by pointing out that the paths open to selective guidance are limited by the nature of variation. This restrictive or subsidiary orthogenesis we shall discuss later (p. 510).

models' range. The rare form *dionysos*, however, is non-mimetic, and highly variable (Ford, 1936). In the field we are discussing, of the relations between genetics and evolution, perhaps the most important single concept of recent years is that of the adjustment of mutations through changes in the gene-complex. Before this had been developed by R. A. Fisher and his followers, notably E. B. Ford, the effect of a mutation was assumed to be constant. A given mutation, we may say, made an offer to the germplasm of the species, which had to be accepted or declined as it stood. And the data on laboratory mutants at the time indicated that the great majority would have to be declined. would have to be declined.

would have to be declined. To-day we are able to look at the matter in a wholly different way. To continue our metaphor, the offer made by a mutation to the species is not necessarily a final offer. It may be merely a preliminary proposal, subject to negotiation. Biologically, this negotiation is effected in the first instance by recombination and secondarily by mutation in the residual gene-complex. It can lead to a marked alteration in the effects of the mutation, which may make the proposal acceptable to the organism. Ten years ago evolutionary change, on the neo-mendelian view, depended on the co-operation of two processes only—the presentation of ready-made building-blocks by mutation, and the utilization of certain of them under the influence of selection. To-day we have been brought to realize that a third process

utilization of certain of them under the influence of selection. To-day we have been brought to realize that a third process is at work—change, primarily recombinational, in the residual variability of the gene-complex; and this can shape the building-blocks so as to enable them to fit in better with their neighbours and with the general plan of the building. Thus evolutionary change, in so far as Darwinian, is not due simply to the co-operation of mutation and selection; a third, intermediate agency is involved in the shape of the residual variability of the species. Adjustment intervenes between presentation and acceptance.

CHAPTER 4

GENETIC SYSTEMS AND EVOLUTION

3 T
31
36
43
46

I. THE FACTORS OF EVOLUTION

A discursive treatment of mutation, as adopted in the previous chapter, has at the present time a certain historical justification. Darwin's theory of the mechanism of evolution was extremely abstract and generalized. Next to nothing was known in Darwin's time of the nature of variations or of the mode of their inheritance, let alone of their differences in various groups of organisms. The idea of selection remained equally generalized. Darwin admitted but two types of selection, natural and sexual. We now realize that there are many kinds of selection, often antagonistic in their effects, and not all operative in the same way on all organisms.

Finally, Darwin had little inkling, apart from his reference to the greater variability of abundant species, of the evolutionary effects of differences in the nature of the evolving groups. We now know, however, that not only these, but also differences in environmental conditions, may be of the greatest importance.

The biggest blank on the evolutionary map, however, concerned variation and its inheritance. The theory of mutation on a mendelian basis is the first adequate attempt to fill the gap. It has met with great resistance, and has itself developed almost out of recognition during its rapid growth from its beginnings only a few decades ago. There is thus every reason in the present state of biology to devote a chapter to mutation treated broadly

as embodying Darwin's shadowy "heritable variations" and as representing the raw material of evolution in a generalized way. It is probable, however, that writers of books on evolution ten or twenty years hence will adopt a different method. They will begin by describing the nature of the physical basis of inheritance, its modes of change by mutation of various kinds and at various speeds, its remarkable general uniformity in all cellular organisms, and its important variations in detail. They will then point out how the nature of this mechanism governs or limits the evolutionary process, and how its variations affect the mode of evolution of their possessors. It is impossible for higher animals, whether arthropod or vertebrate, to evolve in the same way as do higher plants, owing to differences in their chromo-somal machinery: non-cellular and non-sexual organisms such as bacteria have their own evolutionary rules.*

It is not only the cytological mechanism of heredity, however, which influences mode of evolution: to use Darlington's useful phrase, there is involved the whole genetic system, meaning by this not only the chromosomal machinery, but the type of reproduction. Parthenogenesis, hermaphroditism, self- or cross-fertilization, in- and out-breeding—all introduce their own modifications.

Recognition of this fact broadens out into recognition that mode of life in general has its influence on evolutionary differ-entiation. A wide-ranging type will develop a different genetic structure (here we borrow a phrase from Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 1940) from one with limited powers of dispersal. Thus we need an index of genetic mobility, or of its inverse function, isolation. The spread of genes will be different in linear populations, as in those inhabiting rivers or shore-lines where range is essentially unidimensional, from what it is in the usual two-dimensional species (Sewall Wright, 1940, p. 172); it will be different, as Sewall Wright (1931, 1932) has also shown, in small and in large populations.

Competition by males for mates or for territory will have

^{*} These topics are discussed at greater length in recent books, such as Darlington's The Evolution of Genetic Systems (1939).

evolutionary results, some of them rather unexpected (see R. A. Fisher, 1930a, Chap. 6; Huxley, 1938a); so will competition between pollen-tubes in the higher plants, or that between littermates in higher animals (see Haldane, 1932a); so will the intensity of general competition, whether exercised through predators or rivals or through the inorganic environment (see pp. 324, 426, 469).

Nor will general organization and mode of development be without its evolutionary consequences. The meristematic growth of flowering plants permits a fuller evolutionary utilization of many types of mutation than is possible to higher animals. In animals, allometric growth has evolutionary consequences which in their turn must be differently adjusted according to whether general growth is limited or unlimited (Huxley, 1932; Goldschmidt, 1940; de Beer, 1940a). The simple fact that most genes must act by affecting the rate of developmental processes is reflected in the evolution of vestigial organs, in recapitulation, in neoteny (see Chap. 9, § 6; and de Beer, 1940b).

in neoteny (see Chap. 9, §6; and de Beer, 19400). The nature of an organism thus influences the mode of its evolution. This applies at every level. Within the individual, the microscopic machinery of genes and chromosomes, the mode of cellular aggregation and tissue-growth; at the individual level, the type of reproduction, the way of life, the level of behaviour, the method of development; beyond the individual, the size and structure of the group of which the individual is a unit, and its relations with other groups—all these, and many facts besides, have their evolutionary effects.

Evolutionary consequences of this sort were often so obvious that they forced themselves upon the attention of the earliest workers in the field. Darwin (1871), for instance, was fully alive to many of the evolutionary implications of differences in sexual relations in higher animals, and had noted the greater variability of large species (reference in Fisher and Ford, 1928). In the present century, more explicit attention has been given to particular aspects of the question. To take but a few examples, Muller early (1925) pointed out the restriction on polyploidy in animals due to their sex-determining mechanism. Wright (references in Wright, 1940) gave a detailed mathematical

128 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS analysis of the evolutionary consequences of differences in population-size. Haldane (1932a) discussed the different selective effects of different modes of reproduction, such as the develop-ment of a neuter caste in social hymenoptera or of polytocy in mammals; Huxley (1932) pointed out some evolutionary conse-quences of differential growth. However, there has been hardly any attempt to survey the problem as a whole. Darlington's *Evolution of Genetic Systems* (1939) is a notable essay in this direction, though limited to chro-mosomal and reproductive mechanisms (see also Darlington, 1940); and Goldschmidt's *Material Basis of Evolution* attempts the same for modes of development. A small but increasing number of writers realize that such a general approach is not only possible but necessary. Comparative Evolution is destined to become as important a branch of biology as Comparative Anatomy. In any such general survey, other aspects of evolution would demand the same comparative treatment as that accorded to genetic systems and other peculiarities of the evolving organism. The generalized treatment of selection, as originally developed by Darwin and redrafted on a mendelian basis by R. A. Fisher (1930a), must be particularized. Darwin (1871) made a significant beginning in his separation of sexual and natural selection, and Haldane (1932a) has carried the process a stage further by dis-tinguishing various forms of intraspecific from interspecific selection. The analysis could, however, be extended on a fully comparative basis, with every effort to introduce quantitative treatment at the same time. Selection will act differently in auto-polynloids because of the reduced availability of recessive mutatreatment at the same time. Selection will act differently in autopolyploids because of the reduced availability of recessive muta-tions (p. 143). The balance between selection, mutation, and chance recombination will be quite different in large and in small interbreeding groups, the difference in some cases being so great that mutation may exert a directive effect (Wright, 1940a, his p. 173). Certain types of reproductive mechanism or population structure may lead to an immediate rapid differentiation and evolutionary success, to be paid for later by loss of plasticity and widespread extermination of types, as in *Crepis* (p. 376), others to accidental non-adaptive change or to extinction, as in small

GENETIC SYSTEMS AND EVOLUTION 129 isolated groups (p. 58); male haploidy will purge the germ-plasm of many recessives; the development of a reproductive caste will permit selection for altruistic qualities; familial selection will promote rapid growth and large size (for both points see Haldane, 1932b); inter-male competition when success may mean more than one mating will produce male characters of display or combat which may be deleterious in the individual struggle for life (p. 426; Huxley, 1938b). The development of social life, with consequent inter-group struggle within the species, may produce the most peculiar selective results, as is especially to be seen in our own species (see R. A. Fisher, 1930a, Chaps. 10 to 12). Isolation from potential enemies or rivals may permit unusual specialization, as in flightless island birds, or encourage variability and degree of adaptive radiation, as in the fish of certain African lakes or the marsupials of Australia (p. 324). According to environmental conditions and to the genetic structure of the group, selection may act either as a stabilizing force or as an agent of change, and may decrease or increase internal variability. We need a comparative study of selection as well as of genetic systems. Our last examples remind us that the environment, too, has its evolutionary effects. Ecology has listed and analysed the chief types of environments, major and minor habitats, and ecological inches. It has also pointed out one evolutionary result of environ-mental difference, in the adaptive correlation between organisms and the environments they inhabit (p. 430; Hesse, Allee, and Schmidt, 1937). But it has not undertaken detailed analysis of the effects of environmental difference on evolution. Here and there a period—the extinction of some stready of the results of the glacial period—the extinction of some stready of the results of the glacial period—the extinction of some stready of the results of the glacial period—the extinction of some stready of the results of the

effects of environmental difference on evolution. Here and there a beginning has been made. The study of the results of the glacial period—the extinction of some species, the disjunction of others, the subsequent divergence of their separated portions, and their behaviour on re-meeting—has already thrown a flood of light on the evolutionary results of violent climatic changes, and revealed to us that we live in a time when evolution is operating at exceptional speed (p. 243). The study of the marginal zones of species is showing that they are often characterized by a peculiar genetic structure of the population (Vavilov, 1927) or

by special adaptations (Reinig, 1937), and is also throwing light on the evolutionary functions of the harmoniously stabilized genecomplex (Huxley, 1938d, 1939a and b). The so-called geographical rules, when analysed in detail, reveal that the sharp discontinuities of species and subspecies are often superposed upon continuous gradients of change (clines, p. 206), delicately adjusted to the external gradients of the inorganic environment (p. 208). Differences in ecological preference may isolate groups as effectively as geographical barriers or mere spatial distance, often with the production of cryptic species (p. 299). Perhaps most important of all, we are beginning to realize that the effective environment of an organism may be and usually is altered by genetic change: as Darlington (1939) pithily puts it, "a dwarf bean does not meet the same environment as a scarlet runner."

meet the same environment as a scalet runner. Pre-mendelian evolutionary theory arranged its facts and ideas under three main heads: variation, heredity and selection. This was necessary to clarify the generalized theorems of evolution —natural selection and consequent adaptation; it is still necessary to-day. But to-day we can go further. Evolution can no longer be a matter of generalized theorems only: it is itself a major field for comparative study. The comparative study of the reagent the varying, evolving organisms: the comparative study of the medium—the graded, fluctuating environment: and the comparative study of their interaction—the processes of selection and their consequences: it is along some such lines as these that the evolutionary text-book of the future must be written.

The time is not yet ripe, however, for such a treatment of the subject. In this volume, all that can be attempted with regard to selection and environment will be some incidental comparative discussion in later chapters. With regard to the nature of the reagent, however, the situation is rather different. The spectacular advances of cytology in the last two decades now permit the use of deductive methods on a large scale. Our knowledge of chromosomal machinery and of mode of reproduction allows us to make prophecies concerning genetic detail which may take years to verify empirically, and to draw accurate conclusions as to the type of selection which will operate. Thus it seems worth while to give a brief summary (largely based on Darlington's book (1939) and the relevant chapters in those of Dobzhansky, 1937, and Waddington, 1939) of the evolutionary effects of differences in genetic-reproductive systems. In a later chapter other effects of the nature and mode of development of the reacting organism will be given (p. 525), though a full treatment of this type of consequential evolution is not yet possible.

2. THE EARLY EVOLUTION OF GENETIC SYSTEMS

There is an astonishing similarity in the genetic systems of the great majority of organisms. Their hereditary machinery is organized into discrete chromosomes of definite size, shape and genic make-up. The chromosomes divide normally by mitosis, and at one point in the life-cycle undergo meiosis which is accompanied by crossing-over. This applies to all higher plants and all higher animals and to many quite lowly forms as well. The genetic system must have had a long evolution behind it before it reached what we may call the meiotic stage, with its elaborate mechanism. Two prior main stages may be distinguished, the pre-mitotic and the mitotic, and organisms still survive which are equipped with genetic systems of these earlier patterns.

patterns.

patterns. Bacteria (and *a fortiori* viruses if they can be considered to be true organisms), in spite of occasional reports of a sexual cycle, appear to be not only wholly asexual but pre-mitotic. Their hereditary constitution is not differentiated into specialized parts with different functions. They have no genes in the sense of accurately quantized portions of hereditary substance; and therefore they have no need for the accurate division of the genetic system which is accomplished by mitosis. The entire organism appears to function both as soma and germplasm, and evolution must be a matter of alteration in the reaction-system as a whole. That occasional "mutations" occur we know, but there is no ground for supposing that they are similar in nature to those of higher organisms, nor, since they are usually reversible according to conditions, that they play the same part in evolu-

tion. We must, in fact, expect that the processes of variation, heredity, and evolution in bacteria are quite different from the corresponding processes in multicellular organisms. But their sccret has not yet been unravelled (p. 302). One guess may be hazarded: that the specificity of their constitution is maintained by a purely chemical equilibrium, without any of the mechanical control superposed by the mitotic (and meiotic) arrangements of higher forms. We may also guess, with Darlington (1939, p. 121), that the so-called "plasmagenes" which have been detected in a few higher plants, and which also seem to be controlled in their reproduction only by a chemical equilibrium, are survivals, though possibly specialized in their own way, from the pre-mitotic level of evolution. The mitotic but pre-meiotic stage is represented to-day by a

equilibrium, are survivals, though possibly specialized in their own way, from the pre-mitotic level of evolution. The mitotic but pre-meiotic stage is represented to-day by a few Algae and Protozoa. These may be degenerate in having abandoned sex and meiosis, or they may never have acquired them. In any case, the rarity of such cases implies that this stage must have been somewhat transitory. Apparently once the detailed differentiation of the germ-plasm into accurately-divisible chromosomes had been accomplished, it was compara-tively simple to alter the timing of the various processes involved in one cell-division, so as to produce meiosis; and this was fraught with such advantages that it was all but universally adopted. It is in any case interesting to reflect on certain peculiarities of this stage, which must indubitably once have been passed through. The existence of mitosis, of however simple a nature, presupposes the need for accurate mechanical division of the hereditary substance; and this in its turn would not be necessary unless the hereditary substance were differentiated into specialized parts each with their appropriate functions. Thus the mitotic organism has reached a stage of particulate inheritance, based on spatial differentiation of the germplasm. Yet it would be improper to speak of the organism possessing genes, in the sense of definitely speak of the organism possessing genes, in the sense of definitely quantized units. Such units may have existed, in the sense that there was a real division between two adjacent regions of a chromosome performing different functions. But no method which we can yet envisage would be able to detect this. Genes as

we know them are mechanically delimited by the lines of potential crossing-over (p. 49), so that the attainment of meiosis is a prerequisite for their detection. It may be that another type of subdivision existed in the chromosomes of pre-meiotic organisms. If so, we can only say that it is likely to have been profoundly modified by the superposition of a mechanical jointing, for we can safely deduce that selection would tend to adjust the two functions, and convert the mechanically-determined genes into physiological units as well.

Purely mitotic organisms may have enjoyed a more elaborate genetic constitution, with its parts more accurately adjusted, than pre-mitotic ones. But from the evolutionary standpoint their behaviour will be similar. They are compelled to forgo most of the advantages of their genetic complexity for lack of the sexualmeiotic process which permits the recombination of the genetic units.

The attainment of the meiotic stage was thus the most important single step in the evolution of genetic systems, comparable in its evolutionary effects to that due to the attainment of a cumulative tradition, and thus of a new form of heredity, in our own species.

Mere numerical reduction of the chromosomes to prevent doubling of their number in each sexual cycle could perfectly well have been secured simply by a failure of chromosomes to divide at the first meiotic division. This may have been the first step towards true meiosis, and something of the sort occurs (though doubtless secondarily) in the meiosis of the heterogametic sex of some organisms, such as *Drosophila*.

Such a process would also secure recombination, but a recombination of whole chromosomes only—in other words a recombination of perhaps a few tens of units instead of one of hundreds or even of thousands. The evolutionary advantages of a greater degree of recombination are so great that this condition, if it ever existed, has been entirely supplanted by true meiosis, which implies crossing-over as well as numerical reduction. It is the merit of Darlington (see Darlington, 1937) to have shown that crossing-over is not merely the occasional accompaniment of meiosis, but its invariable and necessary condition. It is through crossing-over that the bivalents are held together after each member of a pair has divided into two chromatids: if it were not for the mechanical union thus provided, they could drift apart, since attraction only operates between pairs of homologues, and could not hold four together. Chiasmata thus have both a mechanical and a genetic function: they provide at one and the same time the cross-junctions needed for the cytological process of meiosis, and the sectional separations which give rise to mendelian recombination of genes.

of meiosis, and the sectional separations which give rise to mendelian recombination of genes. Meiosis at its first origin was without doubt a process inserted into the life-cycle immediately after fertilization. Not only are various primitive organisms haploid throughout their existence except for the brief moment after syngamy, but it can be deduced on general grounds that any mechanism for reducing the diploid number of chromosomes would in the first instance be likely to come into action as soon as and whenever that diploid number was reached (Darlington, 1939). Its delayed onset in all higher organisms must have been secondarily evolved. Thus diploidy, far from being the normal inevitable condition we are apt to imagine, was originally an embarrassment to be got rid of as soon as possible. Measures had to be taken to prevent the doubling of chromosome-number at each fertilization, and the simplest way was to reduce it again as soon as possible. But this apparently commendable promptness had its draw-backs. For diploidy has a manifest advantage over the haploid state. It endows the stock with a much higher degree of plasticity by permitting it to carry a store of recessives in its germplasm. In a haploid, thanks to the full evolution of dominance which diploidy must automatically have brought about (pp. 75 seq.), they can be carried in evolutionary reserve in reasonable quantity without being phenotypically expressed, and so exposed to selection, except in a trifling number of individuals. If conditions change, some of them may be employed, either unaltered in ex-pression, or "improved" by combination with other reserve genes.

GENETIC SYSTEMS AND EVOLUTION 135 So it came about that pure haploidy is now confined to certain Protozoa and simple Algae, and diploidy has been prolonged elsewhere for a number of cell-generations—about 10 in rotifers, about 50 in our own species. Diploidy has arisen in two ways. In the Basidiomycete fungi, the two gametic nuclei do not fuse, but persist side by side: as Darlington (1939, p. 11) puts it, the organism is diploid although all its nuclei are haploid. In all other forms, the haploid gametic nuclei fuse to form diploid zygotic nuclei, which persist through the rest of the diploid phase. The haploid phase is reduced in all Metazoa to the resting-stage of a single cell-generation. In plants, this extreme is never reached. In Bryophyta the haploid stage is the main phase of the life-history, both in size and duration. In the Pteridophyta it is still independent, but it is now both smaller and briefer than the diploid. In seed-plants it has become much reduced and confined to one or two cell-generations. But even here a fundamental distinction from the metazoan condition remains, notably on the male side. While the nuclei of spermatozoa appear, to be quite distinction from the metazoan condition remains, notably on the male side. While the nuclei of spermatozoa appear, to be quite inactive genetically, so that they merely transport their freight of genes without being affected by its peculiarities, this is not true for pollen-grains, in which particular genes may cause marked differences in capacity for germination, rate of growth of pollen-tubes, etc. Doubtless the great majority of genes in higher plants have no or negligible effects upon the haploid phase, since most of them will have been primarily selected for their effects on the much more elaborate diploid phase: none the less, haploid selection will definitely curtail total plasticity. It appears that lethals may act during animal meiosis and the early part of the transformation of the reduced cells into sperma-tozoa; but once formed the sperms are not affected in their

early part of the transformation of the reduced cells into sperma-tozoa; but once formed the sperms are not affected in their function by particular genes. In this respect the genetic systems of animals are more advanced than those of plants, since the reserve of recessives which they are able to carry must be some-what larger. By similar reasoning we may deduce that in regard to evolutionary plasticity seed-plants must be somewhat superior to ferns and horsetails, and these in turn to mosses and liverworts -a conclusion which seems borne out by the facts.

3. THE MEIOTIC SYSTEM AND IT'S ADJUSTMENT

In general, however, the diploid meiotic system is remarkably uniform throughout its very wide range of occurrence. Once established, various internal adjustments are effected between the parts of the system. In the first place, two conflicting advantages must be balanced. It is in general an advantage to an organism to have its normal constitution as harmonious as possible, with its main genes buffered by modifiers to a maximum efficiency and viability (p. 67) and mutually adjusted to each other's activity, and neighbouring genes harmonized through an optimum position-effect (p. 85). Even with organisms that show polymorphism or excessive variability, it will be an advantage for the central core of the constitution to be buffered and adjusted in this way. But it is also in general an advantage to an organism to possess a considerable amount of evolutionary plasticity. The former is a short-term advantage, giving the closest possible adaptation to existing conditions, the latter a long-term advantage, coming into play if conditions in which it can thrive. Stabilization of internal adjustment can be achieved by decreas-

Stabilization of internal adjustment can be achieved by decreasing recombination, plasticity by increasing it. Low recombination is best effected by keeping a large number of genes (here regarded as mutational units) together in mechanical union —in other words by a reduction in the number of chromosomes and a low chiasma-frequency. High recombination implies the reverse—an increase in chromosome-number and in crossingover. Extreme reduction of chromosome-number is difficult owing to the mechanics of meiosis and mitosis—a single centromere cannot efficiently cope with more than a certain length of chromosome (Darlington, 1939, p. 77), and in point of fact we find a negligible fraction of species with a haploid number below 4. Similarly, chiasmata normally have an essential function in keeping bivalents together in the later stages of meiosis, so that there will be a minimum crossover-recombination due to this mechanical cause. There is also a mechanical upper limit set to the number of chiasmata within a single chromosome, which

is revealed genetically by the phenomenon of interference. For this and other reasons we shall not expect the adjustment of what Darlington (1939b, p. 77) calls the recombination-index to the conflicting evolutionary needs of the organism to be at all close. That it is not so is shown by the variations in mode of life shown by organisms with similar recombination-indices, and conversely by the variations in recombination-index shown by closely-related organisms with similar mode of life.

None the less, some adjustment undoubtedly exists. Chiasmafrequency in general tends to lie below its mechanical upper limit, thus reducing possible recombination. On the other hand, those forms in which recombination is markedly reduced or absent (e.g. translocation-hybrids such as Oenothera; high auto-polyploids such as Rumex lapathifolium; apomicts, hybrid or otherwise, as in Taraxacum and Crepis) are for the most part doomed to eventual extinction as conditions change and they suffer in competition with more plastic rivals. Thus in the evolutionary long run the forms with reasonable recombination will survive to constitute a majority, and at any given time those with recombination markedly reduced or absent will be new and relatively short-lived types, and will be in the minority.

Adjustment of the two conflicting needs thus tends to be effected in two rather different ways. The need for stability will be met by keeping chromosome-number and chiasma-frequency below the maximum possible; the need for plasticity by the differential extinction of the less plastic types.

Plasticity will obviously be affected by mutation-rate as well as by recombination-index. We know that genes exist which affect the mutation-rate of other genes (see Sturtevant, 1937, for summary), even though the number yet described is very small. Though detailed mathematical analysis is desirable, it is clear in principle that in a slow-growing organism like an elephant or a tree, mutation and recombination will give a much lower pro-duction of novelty and plasticity than in an insect like Drosophila with a dozen or more generations annually. We may thus expect with reasonable assurance that mutation-

rate also will be in some degree adjusted to evolutionary needs.
On the other hand, here too there is bound to be a great deal of lag, and much of the adjustment will concern life as a whole, operating by the eventual extinction of the inherently less plastic, instead of concerning the separate species and operating by changing their separate mutation-rates. Comparative studies in this field will be of the greatest interest. Meanwhile, we can point to the much greater observed diversification of herbs than of trees, of insects than of fish or mammals, as a probable evolutionary consequence of high plasticity due to more rapid succession of generations. If the slower-breeding forms have attempted to compensate for their disadvantage by increased mutation-rate (see p. 54), the compensation has been a very imperfect one. Interesting results are observed when single chromosomes or

Interesting results are observed when single chromosomes or chromosomal regions are debarred from recombination with their homologues. The most obvious case is that of the differential segment of the Y-chromosomes in organisms with a specialized chromosomal sex-determining mechanism. Here, the homologue (X) behaves as a normal chromosome, since it can cross-over in the homogametic sex. Thus not only do Y-chromosomes suffer a loss of plasticity, but degenerative mutations, if recessive, can accumulate in them, shielded from selection by their dominant alleles in the partner chromosome or region. This process has much more intense effects than mere loss of evolutionary plasticity and leads rapidly to the region becoming converted into genetically "inert" material (though it may retain important metabolic functions: Schultz, Caspersson and Aquilonius, 1940). As Muller pointed out in 1918, the fact that (part or all of) the Y is debarred from recombination by absence of crossing-over has allowed "loss" nutations to accumulate in it until it has become genetically vestigial. In man and *Drosophila* it still contains a few active genes; in Drosophila XO males are sterile (abnormal vas deferens); "bobbed" and ever-sporting eye-colour (Gowen and Gay, 1933) are also Y-borne.

As with somatic vestigial organs, the Y-chromosome is very variable in size in closely related species and even within the same species. Further, once it ceases to contain effective genes, a mechanical accident at mitosis or meiosis may cause its loss without this bringing any untoward consequences in its train. Thus the XO condition has frequently evolved from the XY. Somewhat different conditions are provided when recom-

Somewhat different conditions are provided when recombination is equally reduced in all representatives of a chromosomal region. This occurs generally, and perhaps universally, in the portion of the chromosome immediately adjacent to the centromere. For simple mechanical reasons, breakage and interchange is unlikely or impossible within a certain distance from the centromere. It is interesting to find that here, too, complete or considerable inertness has been a frequent result. Evidently, the shielding of recessives from selection, while it is bound to accelerate the tendency to inertness, is not the only condition for it to occur; the complete or almost complete debarring of a region from recombination may be sufficient condition for it to become inert.

On the other hand, in other circumstances, it may not. This is clearly so whenever structural hybridity, whether dependent on inversion or translocation, has become a characteristic feature of a species. In the regions adjacent to the centromere, there is always a reduction in crossing-over. But with sectional rearrangements, only the heterozygote is affected: crossing-over continues undiminished in both homozygote types. The heterozygous combination will therefore not become the sole or the dominant type unless it is endowed with some countervailing advantage. Such an advantage may very well accrue from heterosis, since, granted that an inversion or translocation persists at all, its genetic isolation from its homologous region will force it to diverge and allow selection to differentiate it further as a regional stabilized system (p. 362). Once heterozygosity is endowed with selective advantage, it will become the dominant or sole phase; and further, inertness will no longer be encouraged in one or both of the partner regions, since the selective value of the condition depends on the activity of both regions in combination.

In such cases, the loss of plasticity due to lack of recombination will be adjusted, if at all, by the extinction of the type. The great variability in the degree of interchange hybridity in Oenothera seems to be evidence of the recent development of the condition in this genus; while the state of affairs in Rhoeo, where all the chromosomes form a ring, and only a single species remains, "restricted in distribution and almost invariable in form" (Dar-

"restricted in distribution and almost invariable in form" (Dar-lington, 1939b, p. 92), indicates that this genus has been paying the penalty for its loss of plasticity. Mather (1940) has recently discussed the evolutionary impli-cations of monoecism and dioecism. Complete separation of the sexes promotes outbreeding, but leads to wastage of gametes except where, as in higher animals, discriminatory mating occurs. In higher plants minimum wastage is best secured by monoecism combined with devices to prevent self-fertilization. Such sub-sidiary devices are more readily changed if increased inbreeding is required (cf. Lewis, 1941, on the flexibility of cytoplasmic as against genic control of male-sterility). In gynodioecism, purely female individuals occur as well as

against genic control of male-sterility). In gynodioecism, purely female individuals occur as well as monoecious ones. As in other cases of dimorphism (p. 97), this rests on a flexible selective balance, determining the proportion of female plants (p. 107). The advantage of outbred offspring is set off against the disadvantage of producing only one kind of gamete. Inversions and translocations can be considered from another

angle—as one of the aberrations can be considered from another machinery is subject. Some of these aberrations need not be considered here, since, like the production of acentric or dicentric chromosomes, they are lethal, and so cannot play any part in evolution. Those which interest us are the types which are capable of survival and therefore of being promoted from aberration to norm.

aberration to norm. The most obvious of such changes is polyploidy, and the most obvious fact about polyploidy is its rarity in higher animals as opposed to its abundance in higher plants. It appears probable that nearly half the species of flowering plants are polyploids. Permanent anisopolyploidy is inevitably associated with some form of non-sexual reproduction, since triploids and the like-are incapable of perpetuation by sexual means. Thus, since both vegetative reproduction and apomixis are very much commoner in plants than animals, the same is true of anisopolyploids. This holds also for tetraploids and other isopolyploids. It is

at first sight less clear why this should be so. However, there are several reasons. In the first place a single autotetraploid individual has much less chance of establishing a tetraploid strain in animals owing to the rarity of self-fertilization in them: autotetraploids are often sterile with the corresponding diploids, and even if fertile, the offspring are triploid and therefore sexually sterile. In cross-fertilized species, vegetative reproduction and apomixis will also tend in the same direction. When facultative, they may multiply the original single tetraploid many times, and so increase the chances of two meeting when sexual reproduction supervenes. This, however, will not wholly account for the facts. Autotetraploids are always initially handicapped by reduced fertility, since the four homologous chromosomes of a set by no means always separate in pairs at meiosis, and when three separate from one, chromosomally unbalanced types result which often display reduced viability or fertility. Autotetraploids are thus most unlikely to establish themselves except in types with some form of non-sexual reproduction—in other words, except in plants.* The rare cases found in animals are usually parthenogenetic (e.g. the moth *Solenobia* and the crustacean *Artemia*).

Allotetraploids are not always restricted to forms which can carry on by means of non-sexual reproduction. Thus in certain moth hybrids (e.g. *Pygaera*), pairing does not occur at meiosis, but the chromosomes all remain as univalents which divide twice by mitosis, giving diploid gametes. However, this is irrelevant from the evolutionary point of view since behaviour-barriers to mating prevent hybridization in nature.

In various plant species-hybrids, too, pairing fails to occur in all or almost all chromosomes at meiosis, and unreduced gametes thus result (though one of the two meiotic divisions is suppressed). This occurs, for instance, in the celebrated radish-cabbage hybrid *Raphanobrassica*. In other cases, however, as in *Primula kewensis*,

* In higher plants there are of course great variations in the degree to which non-sexual reproduction is available. Thus, as Darlington points out (1939, p. 105), since autotetraploids are very unlikely to establish themselves in nature except where such methods of reproduction are available, "by discovering their occurrence among plants, we are therefore indirectly discovering the degree of importance of sexual fertility in the life of the species, a matter to which little attention has been paid in the past."

the diploid hybrid is sterile because its chromosomes show regular pairing. The chromosomes of the two parent species, P. floribunda and P. verticillata, have differentiated sufficiently (largely we may

pairing. The chromosomes of the two parent species, P. floribunda and P. verticillata, have differentiated sufficiently (largely we may presume, through translocations) for them to be no longer functionally equivalent, so that a haploid set consisting of mixed chromosomes from the two species will not be viable. Fertility can here only be restored by means of somatic doubling of chromosome-number, resulting in a tetraploid shoot. The pairing attraction between chromosomes of the same species is greater than that between those of different species, so that diploid gametes each with two complete or functional haploid sets are produced, and fertility is restored. But the production of a tetraploid shoot is a rare phenomenon: in P. kewensis it did not occur until after many generations of vegetative propagation. Nothing of the sort could have occurred in a higher animal. Finally, there is the existence in all or almost all higher animals of a chromosomal mechanism of sex-determination. This, as Muller pointed out many years ago, will in most cases fail to function in a tetraploid individual, giving numerous intersexes or other sterile forms. It is true that in the white campion Melan-drium, which has an XY sex-determining complex, this still functions in the tetraploid (Warnke and Blakeslee, 1939); but this is due to special quantitative relations between the sexual valency of the X-chromosome and the autosomes, which are unlikely to occur generally.

valency of the X-chromosome and the autosomes, which are unlikely to occur generally. But animals are not wholly debarred from enjoying any of the benefits polyploidy may bring. They do so through the method of "repeats" or reduplications of small sections of chromosome, which bring about what may be called a partial polyploidy. As M. J. D. White says (1937, p. 107), "that part of their gene-complex which is tetraploid is possibly less subject to the con-servative effect of natural selection and is consequently in more active evolution." This was not discovered until recently, and the extent to which it occurs has, up till now, been investigated only in *Drosophila* and other Diptera in which the enlarged salivary gland chromosomes permit direct examination. However, its widespread existence in these forms, coupled with general

theoretical considerations on sectional rearrangements, makes it possible for such an authority as Muller (1940) to assert that even in plants it must have played a more important evolutionary role than straightforward polyploidy.

4. THE CONSEQUENCES OF POLYPLOIDY

We must now consider the evolutionary effects, immediate and secondary, of polyploidy (pp. 334 seq.). In autopolyploidy, an obvious immediate effect will be the restricted evolutionary function of recessive mutations. In most cases these will not exert any phenotypic effect unless they are present in all four of the homologous chromosomes (though cases exist where three recessives dominate over one dominant), so that the chance of a recessive character reappearing after a cross is reduced to that for a double recessive combination in diploid organisms. This effect increases by powers of two for successive steps in chromosome-doubling, so that in high autopolyploids with 16n or higher number of chromosomes, recessives virtually cease to have any effect on the organism, either in regard to their single effect or in recombination. Such forms have their stability-plasticity balance tilted over in favour of stability, and cannot be expected to survive if environmental conditions change to any considerable extent.

Meanwhile, however, if repeated chromosome-doublings do not take place too rapidly, and if the species does not rely solely or mainly upon vegetative reproduction, counteracting tendencies are likely to operate which will convert the phylogenetic autopolyploid into a functional allopolyploid, at the same time restoring its sexual fertility (p. 335).

One method by which this is achieved is by reducing the number of chiasmata to one per chromosome, which automatically operates to prevent the formation of quadrivalents as in *Tulipa* (Upcott, 1939). If any genes exist or later appear which alter the differential pairing attraction of either of the two members of any chromosome-pair of the original diploid set, not only will fertility be restored but some degree of genetic isolation will arise between the two chromosome-pairs of the tetraploid and will tend to be self-reinforcing. Presumably this effect, if strong enough, could operate *ab initio*, without prior reduction of the chiasma-frequency, but cases of this are not yet known. In any case, once such genetic isolation has been estab-lished, it will open the door to a functional differentiation between the two homologous pairs as regards their externally adaptive effects. Another method involving adjustment of the number and behaviour of chiasmata is found in *Dahlia*, in this case permitting multiple pairing but compelling regular segregation (see Darlington, 1939, p. 39).

Darlington, 1939, p. 39). In any event, sexual reproduction in an autopolyploid implies natural selection for fertility, and this automatically tends to convert the species into a functional allopolyploid. Polyploidy may be expected to increase delicacy of genetic adjustment in certain respects, by increasing the number of multiple factor systems. In an octoploid, for instance, every kind of gene has four homologous representatives. Where all con-tribute something to a phenotypic result, a very flexible system is available (see Winge, 1938, on genic replication in general). In general, the evolutionary consequences of polyploidy may be roughly compared with those of metameric segmentation in Annulata: a number of homologous parts are available, between which functional division of labour is then possible. The fact that the division of labour is genotypic instead of phenotypic is

which functional division of labour is then possible. The fact that the division of labour is genotypic instead of phenotypic is irrelevant. The chief difference is that in metamerism the parts are initially repeated a large and indefinite number of times, and their later divergence is accompanied by a reduction and definition of their number. In polyploidy, on the other hand, the parts are never repeated indefinitely, nor, indeed, many times over, and functional divergence may and does begin when they are merely doubled. Nevertheless, the analogy is a real one. In allotetraploids, some degree of functional autopolyploidy often remains. The reason for the fertility of a form like the tetraploid *Primula kewensis* is not any inability of the homologous chromosomes of the two parent species to pair, for they do pair regularly in the diploid hybrid. It resides in a differential pairing affinity as between identical and merely homologous chromo-somes: where tetraploidy has provided two identical chromo-somes of each sort these will normally begin to pair with each

other rather than with either of their two homologues from the other species, and the rapidity of pairing usually does not allow other chromosomes to be drawn in to form multivalents.

Occasionally, however, some mechanical accident permits the pairing of non-identical chromosomes or the formation of quadrivalents. In such cases, a new *type* of variation occurs. Segregation takes place between chromosome-segments of the two ancestral species (pp. 343, 345; Darlington, 1939, p. 38). Since the ancestral species may be phylogenetically quite remote, the variational consequences may be unusual and considerable. Here again, it is to be expected that selection will automatically step in to reduce the frequency of such behaviour, since the extreme variants are likely to be less viable than normal. Accordingly, the "interspecific segregation" is more frequent in relatively recent alletraploids, such as *Triticum vulgare* or *Nicotiana tabacum*.

The interaction of the two gene-complexes will also produce various new effects, sometimes unfavourable, sometimes favourable (pp. 66, 341 seq.).

able (pp. 66, 341 seq.). It remains to mention one other selective adjustment which occurs in both auto- and allotetraploids, namely, the abolishing of much of the physiological effect of polyploidy. Polyploids at their first formation appear invariably to show some degree of gigantism, and often vary from the diploid in respect of their general vigour, temperature-resistance, and flowering period. These latter properties have often proved to be pre-adaptive, in that through them polyploids have extended their range beyond that of their ancestral diploids. With respect to their gigantism, however, the general rule has been for this to be reduced or wholly abolished in the course of evolution. Even octoploid forms exist which are identical in size and appearance with the diploid (e.g. in *Silene ciliata*: see Darlington, 1939, p. 39). This fact can only be due to adjustment through selection, and is strong evidence for concluding that the mean size of a plant species is an adaptive characteristic. Darlington suggests that the absence of polyploidy in certain genera (e.g. *Ribes*) may be due to the failure of these secondary genetic adaptations.*

* The absence of polyploidy in Gymnosperms and in various Angiosperm genera is apparently due to its being mechanically impossible where chromo-

5. SPECIES-HYBRIDIZATION AND SEX-DETERMINATION: CONCLUSION

It remains to consider other modifications of the basic meiotic system, and their consequences. Fertile species-hybrids, quite apart from any questions of polyploidy, appear to be much commoner in nature among plants than among animals. This is due to two quite different causes. In the first place, they are much more readily formed in plants, owing to their passive methods of cross-fertilization by wind or insects, and to the consequent absence in them of reproductive barriers based on matingpreferences, which are all but universal in higher animals. In the second place, they are more likely to be fertile owing to the absence of the delicately adjusted sex-chromosome mechan-

In the second place, they are more likely to be fertile owing to the absence of the delicately adjusted sex-chromosome mechanism. In animals, the heterogametic sex in species-hybrids is often wholly or partially sterile (Haldane's rule) owing to imbalance between the single sex-chromosome derived from one parent species and the autosomes derived from both.*

Where the ranges of diverging plant species overlap, selection will normally step in to erect genetic barriers between them. But where they have differentiated in isolation from each other, then fertility on crossing will often remain. Species-hybrids are thus only likely to occur on a large scale where circumstances cause species to be brought together secondarily. The recent geological past is a period when this has been happening on a very large scale, owing to the high degree of range-change consequent on the alterations of climate since the beginning of the last glacial period. During the recent historical past an additional agency promoting species-hybridization has been at work, in the shape of human interference. This may be direct and intentional as when new species are deliberately introduced; or direct and unintentional, as when they are accidentally transported to new areas (cf. the production of the hybrid species *Spartina townsendii*

146

^{*} This is by no means universal, as is shown by the high fertility of specieshybrids in, e.g., ducks and pheasants. Here, however, the formation of specieshybrids in nature is prevented by mating barriers. In other cases, such as freshwater fish (Hubbs and Hubbs, 1933), species-hybridization occurs not infrequently in nature, in spite of resultant infertility and upset of sex-ratio.

owing to the accidental importation of an American species of the genus to Europe; p. 341); or indirect, as when species meet owing to changed ecological conditions caused by man's interference. Deforestation in the Balkans, for instance, has provided opportunities for many plant species to meet and hybridize (p. 258); the extension of cultivation has allowed many weeds of cultivation to spread far from their original home.

These two causes taken together have resulted in a degree of species-hybridization which must be unprecedented in evolutionary history. Confining our attention for the moment to fertile species-crosses, one result has been the production of "hybrid swarms". These have been described on a large scale in the New Zealand flora (p. 355; Allan, 1940), but it is probable that this is primarily due to the accident of the existence of New Zealand botanists interested in the problem, and that equal attention would reveal comparable phenomena in other parts of the world.

Sometimes the hybrid swarm has a mean which is intermediate between the parents, though of course with excessive variability. In other cases, as with *Centaurea* hybrids in Britain (p. 258), the result in some localities is the virtual disappearance of one parental type, save for the modification and enrichment of the other by a certain number of its genes. In any case, we have here another example of a mode of evolution to all intents confined to higher plants.

When species-hybridization is combined with polyploidy and apomixis, more complex phenomena result. When hybridization is solely or mainly initial, the result is the formation of numerous collections of apomicts each centring round a certain mean, as discussed for *Crepis* on p. 375; and see Turrill (1938c) for *Taraxacum*. Where, however, some of the products of initial hybridization continue to cross, we obtain elaborate complexes such as those of *Rosa*, *Rubus*, etc. (p. 351), in which a number of initial forms are combined in an evolutionary reticulum. Reticulate evolution in this form appears to exist only in plants. In animals, it occurs on a much more modest scale and at a lower taxonomic level, being usually restricted to the formation of "hybrid swarms" between a limited number (usually only two) subspecies. The only case in which it has reached larger scope is in our own species, where excessive migration, coupled with a breakdown of purely instinctive mating-barriers, has caused it to operate on a world-wide scale, producing a phenomenon not found elsewhere either in plants or animals.

We have several times found the presence of the chromosomal sex-determining mechanism operating to prevent the occurrence in animals of this or that phenomenon found in plants. Its presence, however, also has certain positive consequences. Some of these, like dosage-compensation of the effects of sex-linked genes, or indeed the phenomenon of sex-linkage itself, do not seem to have further evolutionary effects. There are, however, other effects. For instance, the need for suppressing crossing-over between the differential segments of X and Y has brought with it, apparently as secondary consequence, a lower cross-over value in all chromosomes of the heterogametic sex. The reduction may be slight, or it may be total as in *Drosophila*. Unless this is compensated for by an increase of crossing-over in the homogametic sex, the evolutionary plasticity of the species will be correspondingly lowered.

The genetic isolation between X and Y leads to a progressive increase of inertness in the Y, and often to its total disappearance. Especially in early stages of differentiation, an XY may switch over to a WZ (female heterogamety) mechanism, as is seen in cyprinodont fishes. Even in highly specialized forms such as *Drosophila*, the role of sex-chromosome may be taken over by different parts of the whole chromosome-complex in different species of the same genus (see Muller, 1940; Waddington, 1939).

different parts of the whole chromosome-complex in different species of the same genus (see Muller, 1940; Waddington, 1939). In such an essentially unstable system situations often arise by which there are more than one pair of either X's or Y's produced, and in some cases astonishing complications such as that found in the fly *Sciara* (with its elimination of whole chromosomes, in different lines producing broods of different sexual types, etc.; see e.g. Metz, 1938). But none of these effects is important from the evolutionary point of view.

There is another method of sex-determination, however, which does have interesting evolutionary consequences, and that is the method of male haploidy, where the haploid condition determines maleness, the diploid condition femaleness. This is best known in the Hymenoptera, where it is certainly widespread and possibly universal, but has been independently evolved in Thysanoptera, in two families of Hemiptera, and one of Coleoptera, in certain mites, and in rotifers (tabulated in M. J. D. White, 1937). The origin of this mechanism is still obscure, though work on the parasitic wasp *Habrobracon* shows that it here operates in conjunction with female heterogamety and differential fertilization.

Its consequences, however, are obvious enough; all recessives will be subject to much more stringent selection through being robbed of any shelter from their dominance whenever they pass into the male sex. We might at first sight expect this to result in the purging of virtually all recessives from all the chromosomes, in the same way that unisexual haploidy of the sex-chromosome has led to the virtual absence of sex-linked recessives in natural populations of animals with an XY mechanism (p. 117); which in its turn would reduce the evolutionary plasticity of the type to a very low level.

to a very low level. This may have been the effect in certain cases, but it is difficult to believe that it has occurred in the Hymenoptera, where forms showing this method of sex-determination have achieved a great amount of adaptive radiation and have given rise to some of the highest and most successful types known among animals. We should hesitate to believe in the general value of diploidy if it had been in truth almost entirely sacrificed in this group. Doubtless male haploidy does very speedily purge the germplasm of obviously deleterious recessives; and this, combined in social Hymenoptera with the intense mating competition among

Doubtless male haploidy does very speedily purge the germplasm of obviously deleterious recessives; and this, combined in social Hymenoptera with the intense mating competition among the males, must result in a genetic constitution that is extremely efficient for immediate purposes. Meanwhile recessives can still be carried by the diploid females, which, be it remembered, usually enjoy an actual or an effective predominance over the males, either through the existence of temporary parthenogenesis or through the social organization in social forms. We must accordingly presume that recessives of evolutionary value are retained in the constitution through some form of dosagecompensation analogous to that which obtains within the Xchromosome in forms with an XY mechanism.

*

*

There remain certain essentially minor types of evolutionary modification of the genetic system. One of them, that culminating in the production of true-breeding translocation hybrids, has received a great deal of attention owing largely to the historical accident that its existence in *Oenothera* led de Vries to enunciate his theory, which later proved to be erroneous, of evolution by large mutations. We now know that this method, for all the complications of its working and the intense interest which its analysis has provided, is both rare and of restricted evolutionary importance, since it condemns the types which practise it to loss of plasticity and so to eventual extinction (p. 139; Darlington, 1939).

1939). The analysis could be pushed much further. Facultative and obligatory apomixis, facultative and obligatory self-fertilization each impose their own evolutionary consequences; so do the various degrees of gametic and zygotic mobility and other factors affecting the freedom of movement of genes within a population; so, as we have already pointed out, do the different intensities of selection to which a type is subjected. Space, however, will not permit us to pursue the subject. Enough has been said to show that each major group, and various minor groups within the major, will have their own peculiarities of genetic system and accordingly their own characteristic modes of evolution. We must not expect plants to evolve along the same lines as animals. Flowering plants will differ from mosses in their modes of speciation, trees from herbs, Hymenoptera from Crustacea, corals from higher vertebrates. The variety of genetic systems and of modes of evolution is as important a fact of biology as the variety of morphological types.

CHAPTER 5

THE SPECIES PROBLEM; GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION

I.	The biological reality of species	p. 151
2.	The different modes of speciation; successional	
	species	p. 170
3.	Geographical replacement: the nature of subspecies.	p. 174
4.	Clines (character-gradients)	p. 206
5.	Spatial and ecological factors in geographical diver-	
	gence	p. 227
6.	Range-changes subsequent to geographical differ-	
	entiation	p. 243
7.	The principles of geographical differentiation	p. 259

I. THE BIOLOGICAL REALITY OF SPECIES

Our third chapter was in the main concerned with the *modus* operandi of natural selection in a mendelian world. We must, however, beware of thinking that the conclusions thus arrived at cover the whole field of evolution. There is a danger that the undoubted and in some ways spectacular success of mathematical and deductive methods in clarifying our vision and defining the course of one type of evolutionary process may distract attention from others of equal or at least of major importance.

Deduction and mathematical generalization can only achieve valuable results with the aid of a firm foundation of fact: the history of science abounds with examples. Indeed, the history of this particular subject is especially instructive on the point. The biometrical school, inspired by Galton and carried on by Karl Pearson and his disciples, such as Weldon, applied mathematical methods of extreme delicacy and ingenuity to the study of evolutionary problems. But the foundation on which they built was one of assumptions. When these were not simply erroneous, like the assumption of blending or of non-particulate inheritance, they were extremely incomplete or partial, like the assumption of genetic regression, or that of the truth of Galton's so-called Law of Ancestral Inheritance, which have validity only as statistical formulations and even at that are no more than first approximations. As a result, it is not unfair to say that on the biological side (as opposed to the mathematical, where definite progress occurred) no fundamental advances were registered through the employment of the biometric treatment. This is in strong contrast with the rapid and steady advances which followed on the discovery of the mendelian facts of segregation and recombination.

The more recent fruits of evolutionary mathematics have been of far greater value, because mathematical treatment has in this case been applied to a firm basis of fact. This basis of fact, however, has been for the most part confined to the elementary behaviour of genes-segregation and recombination; dominance and recessiveness and their possible origins; gene-mutation and its frequency, in relation to total numbers.

There is no doubt that the conclusions deduced from these data are of extreme importance: but it is equally certain that they do not cover the whole field. It has been known for some time that genome-mutations (polyploidy) play a considerable role in higher plants. Later research has shown that aneuploidy, hybridization, segmental interchange, and other processes affecting the chromosomal mechanism of heredity are also of importance in plants, and the most recent work on *Drosophila* has shown that many of them have had their part to play in animals too. These points have been dealt with in the preceding chapter.

So far, almost the only attempt to generalize these facts and to use them as a basis for large-scale deduction is that of Darlington (1937): it seems clear, however, that this is a necessary next step. Evolutionary mathematics in the pre-mendelian era was little more than a chimera bombinating in a biological vacuum. In the transitional period, with which the name of R. A. Fisher is especially associated, genes have been the grist for its mill. The time is now approaching when the chromosomal and genic apparatus in its entirety, with all the peculiarities of its behaviour, can be utilized as factual basis. Meanwhile discovery has already progressed far enough to show that these peculiarities of chromosomal behaviour are of great importance in evolution. We may discover eventually that they have something to say in regard to long-range evolutionary trends, to the initiation of new evolutionary possibilities, and other major processes. So far, however, their chief importance appears to lie in producing diversification through species-formation; and it is to this process of species-formation that we must now turn.

Darwin himself happened to confuse the issue by calling his greatest book the Origin of Species, though this is but one aspect of evolution. Evolution must be dealt with under several rather distinct heads. Of these one is the origin of species—or, if we prefer to beg no questions, we had better say the origin of biologically discontinuous groups. Looked at from a rather broader angle, this problem presents itself as the origin of minor systematic diversity, including the origin of what taxonomists call varieties and subspecies, species, genera, and perhaps families. Another is the origin of adaptations. A third is extinction. And a fourth, and in many ways the most important, is the origin and maintenance of long-range evolutionary trends.

in many ways the most important, is the origin and maintenance of long-range evolutionary trends. It is, of course, true that these all overlap and interlock. A longrange evolutionary trend cannot take place without involving the origin and apparently the extinction of many species, or without involving the origin and improvement of many adaptations. Most adaptations themselves involve at least subspecific or specific change, and many subspecific and specific characters are adaptive. None the less, the distinctions are real and important. The origin of minor systematic diversity in general seems to have little to do with the major processes of evolutionary change; and, as various authors have shown (see especially Robson, 1928; Robson and Richards, 1936), specific and other minor systematic characters frequently have no discernible adaptive significance.* Accordingly, I propose to deal with each of the topics in turn.

^{*} I say discernible. This is partly because much of speciation is concerned with invisible, physiological characters; partly because taxonomists deliberately prefer to base their diagnoses on non-adaptive characters; and partly because many non-adaptive characters are correlated with adaptive ones. But even so, a number of non-adaptive specific characters would seem to remain.

First, then, we have the problem involved in the origin of species. As a preliminary to that, logic demands that we should define the term. It may be that logic is wrong, and that it would be better to leave it undefined, accepting the fact that all biologists have a pragmatic idea of its meaning at the back of their heads. It may even be that the word is undefinable. However, an attempt at definition will be of service in throwing light on the difficulties of the biological as well as of the logical problems involved.

In the first place, although, as we shall see later, the degrees of discontinuity represented by good species and by certain types of subspecies constitute favoured equilibrium-positions in the process of taxonomic differentiation, so that borderline cases are rendered less frequent than we should otherwise expect, yet there cannot be any hard-and-fast distinction between a species and a subspecies or variety, since in many instances one arises gradually out of the other in the course of evolution, and it must often be a matter of taste and convenience where the line is drawn.

often be a matter of taste and convenience where the line is drawn. Secondly, a very important fact for our discussion, there are a number of quite different *kinds* of animal and plant species, differing in their mode of origin and in their biological characteristics.) The remainder of this and of the following chapter will be mainly concerned with amplifying the evidence for this fact and drawing conclusions from it. Here we will merely mention a few points. In so far as species are biological units, markea off from related units by partial or complete discontinuities, they may originate in several different ways (see e.g. Rensch, 1939*a*): the most important are the geographical, the ecological and the genetic. With geographical differentiation, spatial separation is the primary factor, paving the way for biological divergence and subsequent discontinuity. With ecological differentiation the primary factor is divergence in functional specialization, which may lead to full speciation with complete biological discontinuity even within one and the same geographical area. And with genetical differentiation, the primary factor is some alteration in the genetic machinery underlying heredity, sex, and reproduction. This acts at once and automatically, either to prevent inter-

crossing between the two types, or to render them or their hybrid offspring partially or wholly infertile. Each of these main types of speciation produces species with somewhat different biological characteristics. Related geographical species tend to be distinguished by broad and general adaptations to climate, and to lack special genetic or behaviour mechanisms evolved for the prevention of intercrossing: when geographical accidents produce complete spatial discontinuity, this will tend to produce a greater degree of biological discontinuity than would otherwise have occurred.

would otherwise have occurred. In addition, when isolation is relatively complete and when, in addition, the isolated populations are small, non-adaptive is super-imposed upon adaptive divergence, often to a marked degree, chiefly owing to what we have called the Sewall Wright effect, or drift. Related ecological species tend to be characterized by detailed adjustment to special habitat and mode of life, and often by special adaptations to prevent intercrossing. And genetic species, especially those which are biologically more or less com-pletely discontinuous from the outset, will owe their success initially to general and intrinsic characters like vigour, not to gradually-evolved adaptations, whether general or special; further, the differences in morphological, and other, "characters" by which they are distinguished from their closest relatives will often be, relatively speaking, small (see p. 385). Species will also differ from group to group and from area to area, both for intrinsic and extrinsic reasons. The nature of the

area, both for intrinsic and extrinsic reasons. The nature of the area, both for intrinsic and extrinsic reasons. The nature of the reproductive and sexual mechanisms found in a group will have an influence on the nature of species that constitute it. When asexual reproduction exists, either exclusively or side by side with sexual, certain possibilities of species-formation are open which are not available to exclusively sexual forms. Similarly the typical animal method of sex-determination by dissimilar sex-chromosomes almost entirely rules out certain methods of speciation found in plants (p. 142). Again, sedentary and less mobile forms will differ, especially as regards the degree of geographical speciation, from more mobile types (p. 239). And ecological speciation is encouraged

by a decrease of biological competition (p. 323). Of course, these various differences of origin, nature, and environment may overlap and combine, so that there will be great variation in the size, discontinuity, and distinguishing characteristics of species in different groups and different regions. It is this fact, of the existence of different kinds of species and of different degrees of speciation within each kind, which makes it difficult to give a satisfactory definition of a species, and makes us sometimes wonder whether the term itself should not be

abandoned in favour of several new terms, each with a more precise connotation. However, we may here reflect that the term species has a practical as well as a theoretical aspect. It is necessary for the museum systematist to have some criterion by which he can allot specimens to the pigeon-holes of named taxonomic units. Frequently he has to give an opinion on a few preserved specimens sent for identification. His work may often have important practical bearings: it is necessary for practical reasons to be able to distinguish between a mosquito that transmits malaria and one that does not, or between two plant species in only one of which the essential oil is commercially valuable. Thus, whatever refinements of method he may call to his aid in regard to favourable material, whatever niceties of ecology, genetics, or cytology he may wish to evolve in his theoretical studies, the fact remains that for his practical routine he must have some rule-of-thumb criterion for distinguishing related forms and deciding when they deserve separate names. It is inevitable and right that minor systematics shall be a compromise between the complexity of biological fact and the logic of practical convenience. species has a practical as well as a theoretical aspect. It is necessary practical convenience.

One of the most important tools of taxonomy is nomenclatorial terminology. Incomplete or incorrect nomenclature may indeed involuntarily distort the factual data. For instance, if, as at present, current taxonomic practice operates almost exclusively by giving names to areal groups, and does not provide terms for continuous gradations, then what are really arbitrary stages in a gradation will often be given names, implying that they are uniform groups with a definite distribution (p. 226). The basic theoretical aim of taxonomy is obviously the accurate description of organic diversification in nature. Although for reasons of convenience it is desirable to have a general terminology, like that of species and subspecies, applicable to the majority of organisms, yet it must be recognized that this does not apply at all in certain exceptional cases (p. 353), and that it must in many groups be supplemented by additional terminology. However, although certain new terms should probably be incorporated into the nomenclature, yet practical reasons dictate that most of such additional termin-ology should be purely supplementary, adopted as an additional means of analysis for this or that special purpose (p. 405; Turrill, 1028d) 1938a).

means of analysis for this or that special purpose (p. 405; Turrill, 1938a). A quite reasonable definition of the term species is that given some years ago by Dr. Tate Regan when Director of the Natural History Museum at South Kensington—namely, that "a species is a community, or a number of related communities, whose distinctive morphological characters are, in the opinion of a competent systematist, sufficiently definite to entitle it, or them, to a specific name" (Regan, 1926). The difficulty with this definition lies in the term *competent*, which is what we have recently learnt to call the "operative" word. And experience teaches us that even competent systematists do not always agree as to the delimitation of species. Furthermore, in view of what we have previously said as to the existence of different kinds of species, it is clear that the competence of a systematist in this respect must be in the main confined to groups which he himself has studied in detail; for other groups may differ in their prevalent mode or degrees of speciation, or in other characteristics of the species of which they consist. It is no good asking a systematist who has drawn his experience from a higher animal group such as birds to apply his competence directly to a plant group such as the Compositae, still less to one like the brambles or the roses, in which, as we shall see (p. 351), wholly different processes are operating to produce group-differentiation. And even in groups with the same general biological character-istics and therefore the same central ture of specietion experience

And even in groups with the same general biological character-istics, and therefore the same general type of speciation, experience

is needed to decide what characters are of value to the practical systematist in separating his groups. Sometimes these appear arbitrary enough. For instance, in the group of fossil fish known as Paleoniscoids, it is 'customary to use differences of body-scale ornament as diagnostic of species, those of head-scale ornament as diagnostic subspecies. In fish, again, the fusion of the lower pharyngeal bones to form a single plate is used in the perches as a generic diagnostic, while it is used as an ordinal character for the order Synentognathi (see Norman, 1936). Such examples once more remind us of the pragmatic aspect of taxonomy involved in the need for quick and simple pigeon-holing. In general, systematists prefer non-adaptive (or apparently non-adaptive) characters as bases for their diagnoses, so long as they are readily visible. Such characters are less likely to be obscured by parallel or convergent evolution in response to selection-pressure (p. 357). In passing, we may note that this very

obscured by parallel or convergent evolution in response to selection-pressure (p. 357). In passing, we may note that this very natural preference goes a considerable way towards explaining the assertions of the non-adaptiveness of speciation that are made by many systematists. But what precise characters shall be chosen as predominantly suitable for classificatory diagnosis must in each case be discovered anew by experience. What works in one group may have no pragmatic taxonomic value in another, even though closely related. Chapman (1924) has studied the question carefully in birds. He considers that hard-and-fast rules should not be followed. The variability and evolutionary plasticity of the group and the degree of its adaptability in habit, must be taken into account, and differences in voice and behaviour are to be regarded as of equal or sometimes greater importance than those into account, and differences in voice and behaviour are to be regarded as of equal or sometimes greater importance than those in morphological characters. If so, then even in the absence of adequate collections throughout the whole range, the systematists should be able to classify specimens much more successfully by such comprehensive methods than by rule-of-thumb procedure. None the less, even when the differences between groups and the claims of practical pigeon-holing have been allowed for, this definition of Regan's must be taken into account, for there *is* some reasonable measure of agreement among competent systematists as to the criteria they adopt for classifying organisms

in different species. These are first, visible (morphological) resemblance between members of a group, of such a nature as to be consonant with the view that the group is actually or potentially an interbreeding one; secondly, lack of intergrading with other groups; thirdly, a geographical area of distribution consonant with the idea of a common ancestry for the group; and fourthly, where data are available, infertility on crossing with related forms.

The first three criteria can be evaluated on the basis of preserved specimens and records of their provenance. They may be modified in various ways according to special circumstances. For instance, as regards resemblance, experience has taught that in some cases large differences in appearance are possible within an interbreeding group. The colour-phases of some birds and mammals (p. 184) are examples; but the most striking cases are those of polymorphic mimicry in butterflies (p. 102). The older entomologists were shocked at the idea that such diverse types might belong to a single species. Thus Hewitson (1874) wrote with regard to *Papilio merope* (now called *P. dardanus*) and its polymorphic female forms, each then regarded as a distinct species:—

"Mr. Rogers has sent me a second collection of butterflies from Fernando Po, containing *P. merope* and *P. hippocoon* taken by him in copulation, another illustration of the saying that 'truth is stranger than fiction'. I find it very difficult even with this evidence to believe that a butterfly, which when a resident in Madagascar has a female the image of itself, should in West Africa have one without any resemblance to it at all."

But breeding tests have proved that the older entomologists were wrong.*

Systematists have also learnt to discount occasional mutant forms, though here again, in the absence of actual breeding

* Actually, the difference between the two sexes of one and the same species may be far more extraordinary, as in the worm *Bonellia*, or in certain anglerfishes. But we are so accustomed to this type of difference that it no longer strikes us as remarkable, although in point of fact the genetic and developmental mechanisms by which this difference is maintained shed light on the origin and maintenance of other kinds of intra-specific variation such as mimetic polymorphism. experiments, individual opinion must enter into practice (see Chapman, 1923, 1928; Stresemann, 1923-6; Bateson and Bateson, 1925). A constant average morphological difference from other groups is thus the first criterion (Regan, 1926), though, as we shall later sec, it is not an indispensable one, and, as Mayr (1940) has pointed out, subspecies may differ visibly more than do good species.

As regards intergrading, a number of quite different situations present themselves.* What we may call simple intergrading is shown by subspecies inhabiting a continuous land area, when these intergrade by freely interbreeding in narrow zones at the margins of their ranges. In some of these cases careful analysis has shown that there exists a cline or continuous gradient of change in subspecific characters, which is gradual within the main areas of the subspecies, but much steepened across a narrow intermediate belt (p. 187): it is possible that the majority of cases of true intergradation will prove to consist in such a steepening of general gradients of change (p. 209).

Sometimes, owing to physical barriers, there is little or no interbreeding at the margins of the group-areas. This may lead to complete discontinuity of type, as with island forms such as the St. Kilda wren (*Troglodytes t. hirtensis*), although in other cases the mean differences between the two populations may be no greater than when intergrading occurs. In some cases, however, complete physical and genetical isolation may exist with slight or even no character-difference between the types.

In still other cases there is an interbreeding zone in which, instead of the phenotypically simple gradation between two not

* The term intergrading is here used in the sense of geographical intergrading, usually along a marginal zone delimiting populations of distinct mean type (although irregular types of intergradation mentioned also occur). Such geographical intergradation appears always to rest on genetic mixture of types. In systematic literature, however, the term is sometimes used to denote that two populations of different mean type overlap in their visible characters, irrespective of whether one population actually passes into the other by means of a change in mean character. To avoid confusion, this should rather be styled *morphological overlap*. Marked morphological overlap may occur between two quite discontinuous populations (e.g. an island and a mainland form), where accordingly there is no geographical intergrading, and genetic intergrading is absent or negligible. THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 161 wery dissimilar types, which we have just been considering, we find obvious mendelian recombinations involving the characters of two markedly distinct types on either side of the zone, as in flickers and other birds (p. 250). If we want a special term, we may call this a zone of recombination (though we must remember that recombination must also be at work in the zones of simple intergradation between subspecies that differ only slightly and in quantitative ways). When it occurs, it may be taken as evidence that two groups which have undergone considerable differentia-tion in complete isolation from each other have later extended their ranges so as to come into contact, owing to climatic or geographical changes. A still further complication is provided by forms such as the brambles or the hawkweeds (pp. 352, 372), in which irregular reticulation, apparently due to widespread trossing, recombination, and apomixis, occurs between various main types over a large area and not only along a marginal zone between the areas of two uniform types. A quite other form of intergradation is seen when two groups of ffer in the percentage of two or more strikingly different forms or "phases". Thus the different band- and colour-types of the nails *Cepaea nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* exist in different propor-tions in different localities, as do the percentages of white and guillemots (*Uria aalge*; Southern, 1939), etc. In some of these cases, such as the guillemot, there exists a regular geographical gradation (cline) in the ratio of the two forms (pp. 105, 217), whereas in others, e.g. *Cepaea*, the distribution of types appears to be wholly random. Limiting cases are also known, where a type exists in two forms in some parts of its area, but in only one of them in other regions (p. 184).

type exists in two forms in some parts of its area, but in only one of them in other regions (p. 184). Finally, gradual clines in modal character (not in the ratio of sharply distinct types) may be exhibited over considerable areas (p. 220). In some cases the presumptive evidence supports the view that the phenomena are due to hybridization, but is more often against it. Classical taxonomy has for the most part con-cerned itself only with the intergradation to be observed in narrow zones; but, as we shall see later, large-scale clines of

various types, though of different significance, are probably of equal importance.

various types, though of different significance, are probably of equal importance. With regard to the criterion of geographical area, matters are in most cases fairly simple. Difficulty arises, however, when there is considerable crossing between well-differentiated forms. Before evaluating these criteria further, we must mention the classical criterion of infertility, which of course is not available for most museum specimens. It was at one time considered that this was crucial. "Good species" were those which were either directly infertile, or yielded infertile hybrids: fertility between two types proved that they were not species but only varieties. This view, however, is no longer tenable. Undoubted species may cross and yield fully fertile hybrids (see Goldschmidt, 1928, p. 392), while forms which are partially or wholly infertile with each other may be so similar in appearance as to be barely distinguishable (*Drosophila simulans* and *D. melanogaster*, p. 333; the two "races" of *D. pseudoobscura*, p. 323; certain "biological races", p. 295; the peculiar "races" of mosquitocs, p. 317; etc.). Dobzhansky, in his recent book (1937, p. 310), seeks to overcome the inherent difficulty of definition by substituting a dynamic for a static concept of taxonomic categories. For him the species is "that stage of the evolutionary process, at which are physiologically incapable of interbreeding". The dynamic point of view is an improvement, as is the substitution of incapacity to exchange genes for the narrower criterion of infertility: but even so, this definition cannot hold, for it still employs the lack of interbreeding as its sole criterion. "Interbreeding without appreciable loss of fertility" would apply to the great majority of animals, but not to numerous plants. In plants there are many cases of very distinct forms hybridizing quite competently even in the field. To deny many of these forms specific rank just because they can interbreed is to force nature into a human definition, instead of adjusting your de

If they are not to be called species, then species in plants must be deemed to differ from species in animals in every characteristic save intersterility (see also p. 342).

Dobzhansky is perfectly aware of these difficulties, but is inclined to minimize them. He concludes that if groups at this level of evolutionary definition are not to be called species, they do at least demand some name. This may be granted, yet it may be preferable to employ subsidiary terminology for such one-criterion categories (cf. the term *commiscuum* proposed by Danser, 1929). We have just noted that certain authorities have attempted to

We have just noted that certain authorities have attempted to crect infertility on crossing into an absolute criterion of species. Others have done the same for lack of geographical and genetic intergradation, irrespective of the degree of visible difference between the two types. This, indeed, is a common practice of many American systematists. It is, however, very difficult to justify any such hard-and-fast rule as a matter of principle, since it can only be a mere rule of thumb. There are many cases where the extremes of a chain of intergrading varieties are far more different than, say, an island and a mainland form which happen for geographical reasons to be unable to intergrade. It appears quite illogical to erect the latter to the rank of species while leaving the former as subspecies: the one may be more likely than the other to differentiate later into a full species, but that is another matter. The question has been ably discussed by Chapman (1924), who emphasizes the need for a broad biological outlook in minor systematics. Stresemann (1927) adopts the same biological standpoint.

As regards geographical variation within the species, modern practice is tending more and more towards the adoption of the principle embodied in the German term, introduced in 1926 by Rensch, of the *Rassenkreis*.* This may be stated as follows. When one form is replaced by another very similar form in a different

* For a discussion of this and similar terms see Rensch (1934). As Renschpoints out, the term *Formenkreis*, proposed by Kleinschmidt, suffers from various disadvantages in that he includes under it undoubted species as well as subspecies, and does not insist on the principle of replacement. Mayr (1940) refutes the view of Kinsey (1937) that the title of species should be given to the lowest distinguishable systematic category, which will in fact usually be the subspecies. geographical area, the two should be considered as subspecies, whether they show intergradation or not, unless the difference between them is so marked that we should be justified in presuming that they would not cross if present in the same area in nature, or that they or their hybrids would be infertile on crossing. Even so, we may find our rules inadequate. Sometimes the end members of a single chain of intergrading subspecies will not breed together (see p. 244). Such cases merely emphasize the fact that there can be no sharp line between subspecies and species, and that discontinuity between groups may arise gradually. The converse fact that forms showing much less difference in visible characters than that between undoubted subspecies may live in the same area without interbreeding and must therefore be regarded as good species, shows that we must not make a hardand-fast rule on the basis of visible differentiation either.

In general, it is becoming clear that we must use a combination of several criteria in defining species. Some of these are of limiting nature. For instance, infertility between groups of obviously distinct mean type is a proof that they are distinct species, although once more the converse is not true.

although once more the converse is not true. Thus in most cases a group can be distinguished as a species on the basis of the following points jointly: (i) a geographical area consonant with a single origin; (ii) a certain degree of constant morphological and presumedly genetic difference from related groups; (iii) absence of intergradation with related groups. Where evidence is available, infertility with related groups will be extra evidence for specific distinctness, but its absence will not be conclusive as evidence against such distinctness. The actual absence of interbreeding in nature is in some ways of greater importance than infertility. The lack of interbreeding may depend on mere geographical separation, on psychological barriers, on ecological separation, on difference in breeding dates, etc.; but such absence will in point of fact isolate groups, whether or not in abnormal circumstances they can be made to mate and their matings are then fully fertile. The absence of interbreeding connotes absence of intergradation; and both can be summed up under the head of isolation. Our third criterion above, if translated from the terminology of the museum to that of the field, may thus be formulated as a certain degree of biological isolation from related groups. When two morphologically and geographically distinguishable groups will under no circumstances produce fertile offspring, the biological discontinuity is both complete and absolute. When they produce a reduced number of offspring, or offspring with reduced fertility, the discontinuity, though absolute, is partial. When, however, they do not normally interbreed, though they are capable of free interbreeding under changed geographical, ecological or other circumstances, the discontinuity, as found in normal circumstances, is complete but relative.

In most cases a species can thus be regarded as a geographically definable group, whose members actually interbreed or are potentially capable of interbreeding in nature, which normally in nature does not interbreed freely or with full fertility with related groups, and is distinguished from them by constant morphological differences.

This is in general satisfactory, but difficulties sometimes arise. These difficulties differ with different methods of species-formation. With geographical speciation, one difficulty concerns the extent of morphological difference: there are bound to be borderline cases. Another difficulty arises when forms which have differentiated in separate regions or habitats are enabled to rejoin each other. Intercrossing productive of obvious recombination involving numerous characters may then result (p. 249), rather than phenotypically continuous and simple intergradation. It is in such cases that the criteria based on interbreeding and interfertility may both break down, and we must lay chief weight upon degree of difference.*

* This must be unusually prevalent at the present time, partly due to the violent changes of climate since the beginning of the glacial period, partly to the postglacial rise of man to biological dominance. Owing to the activities of man, many species and other groups which could otherwise have remained completely isolated from each other, have met and hybridized, often with full fertility. This may be due to indirect results of a changed ecological balance, to deforestation, cultivation, or accidental transport, to deliberate introduction or deliberate hybridization. The results of the sweeping range-changes produced by fluctuating climate must have been almost as extensive (see pp. 146, 258 seq.). At the opposite extreme are cases where related groups are entirely isolated from each other in nature, and normally never cross, but yet show very little morphological difference, in some cases indeed none whatever (p. 296 seq.). Other striking examples are those where genetic isolation (p. 333) has occurred: here, more attention must be paid to criteria such as geographical distribution, but even so, doubtful cases will remain. Here convenience may dictate the verdict: if it is impossible to distinguish forms on the basis of preserved specimens, it is of dubious utility to give them separate specific names separate specific names.

basis of preserved specimens, it is of dubious utility to give them separate specific names. In plants, polyploidy and asexual reproduction complicate the picture. Most botanical authorities to-day would classify forms differing solely in the number of chromosome-sets as "varieties" or genetic subspecies, not as species, even if their inter-fertility is lowered or absent. Similarly, authorities differ greatly as regards their treatment of forms with purely asexual reproduction, like the majority of the dandelions (*Taraxacum*). Some wish to designate every recognizable form as a species; this, however, if pushed to its logical conclusion, would imply that each new surviving mutation should be accorded specific rank. Turrill (1938b) suggests that for practical convenience a number of well-marked forms should be recognized as species (*agamospecies*), each comprising a number of separate asexual lines to be desig-nated by the non-committal term *biotype*. Degree of mutual resemblance and of distinctness from related populations here become the main criteria of species, while the idea of the inter-breeding group has completely disappeared. Where ecological divergence of two forms has occurred within the same geographical area, spatially overlapping groups may be kept from interbreeding by slight differences in mating habits, food-preferences, or breeding dates, and so remain separate in spite of the complete or almost complete absence of morpho-logical differences. In many such cases again (e.g. in "biological" or "physiological" races), the allocation of specific rank must be a mere matter of opinion and convenience. Finally, where free hybridization occurs, as in roses and brambles, the ordinary categories of systematics, which are adapted to divergent and

not to reticulate evolution, break down (p. 353). If the term *species* is to be retained in such groups, it must be employed mainly or merely on a basis of convenience.

not to relative evolution, order down (p. 535). In the error species is to be retained in such groups, it must be employed mainly or merely on a basis of convenience. Thus we must not expect too much of the term species. In the first place, we must not expect a hard-and-fast definition, for since most evolution is a gradual process, borderline cases must occur. And in the second place, we must not expect a single or a simple basis for definition, since species arise in many different ways. On the other hand, if we ask whether there is any greater biological reality corresponding to the term species than to higher systematic units such as genus, family, or order, we must reply in the affirmative. Thus Dobzhansky (1937) is in entire agreement on this point. As he writes (p. 306): "There is a single systematic category which, in contrast to others, has withstood all the changes in the nomenclature with an amazing tenacity. . . . In most animal and plant groups, except in the so-called difficult ones, the delimitation of species is subject to no dispute at all." And again (p. 309): "Despite all the difficulties encountered in classifying species in certain exceptional groups of organisms, biologists have continued to feel that there is something about species that makes them more definite entities than all other categories. W. Bateson has expressed this vague feeling quite concisely: 'Though we cannot strictly define species, they yet have properties which varieties have not, and . . . the distinction is not merely a matter of degree.'' Diver (1940) confirms this from the angle of the ecologist, and Mayr (1940) from that of the taxonomist: ''It is quite amazing that in well-worked groups there is hardly ever any doubt what is a species and what is not''; and investigation has steadily reduced the number of cases where there is doubt as to the objective existence of specific or subspecific groups. The number of "difficult" species in birds is below 1 per cent. Again, of 755 birds listed as species by the American O

We cannot give any single reply such as that a species is an interbreeding group completely isolated from breeding with other similar groups: that would be an over-simplification. But we can say that living things, instead of showing continuous intergradation, as might perhaps be expected a priori, tend to be broken up into discontinuous group-units, distinguishable by recognizable genetic differences in their characters, and that practical convenience demands that these units, even though they are of several types, originating in different ways and differing in character and magnitude, be given specific names. The scale on which this process of speciation operates to intro-duce discontinuity into the vital continuum, may be better appreciated if we give a few figures concerning the approximate

duce discontinuity into the vital continuum, may be better appreciated if we give a few figures concerning the approximate number of species already described in different groups. Linnacus in the 10th edition of the Systema Naturae described under 4,400 species of animals. This number has now been increased two-hundred-fold. Hesse (1929), in a careful review, estimates the total number of metazoan animal species recognized in 1928 as between three-quarters of a million and slightly over one million. Of this figure, the single class Insecta accounts for a minimum of 500,000 and a maximum of 750,000. The estimates for other main groups are as follows: main groups are as follows:-

Sponges	••	••	••	••	4,500
Coelenterates	••		••	••	9,000
Echinoderms	••			••	4,200
Annelids	•••			••	7,600
Other Worms	i			••	9,000
Molluscoidea	••	••	••	••	3,300
Molluscs	••	••	••	••	80,000-104,000
Crustacea		••		• .	15,500
Myriapods	•••	••			8,100
Arachnids	••			••	28,000
Vertebrates				• •	40,000-70,000

The variation in the estimates depends chiefly on whether the principle of geographical replacement (p. 174) is adopted or not. If adopted, the number of species is reduced, but many become

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 169 polytypic. The number is being steadily added to by the process of discovery at an increasing rate which is already over 10,000 per annum in insects alone! (Smart, 1940). In the well-worked birds, however, Mayr (1940) estimates that under 100 undis-covered species remain to be added to those already described. Usually the identity of the discontinuous group and its delimit-ation from other groups is preserved by interbreeding, though in some cases, as in non-sexual forms like dandelions, the delimitation is presumably achieved by selection-pressure. Some-times the group is only potentially an interbreeding one: in other cases the discontinuity which separates it from other groups is not complete. In general, however, such discontinuous groups, characterized by a particular area of distribution, and by discon-tinuity in interbreeding or in degree of resemblance or in both, do exist: and to them we can legitimately apply the term species. An interesting analysis could be made of the general problem of discontinuity in biological phenomena. Life is and must be a continuum because of its basic process of self-reproduction: in the perspective of time all living matter is continuous because every fresh portion of it has been produced by pre-existing living matter. However, discontinuity. The chief of these discontinuity

introduced into the continuity. The chief of these discontinuities are those of the cell, the multicellular individual, the species, and are those of the cell, the multicellular individual, the species, and the ecological community. The last-named type of unit is very instructive: in spite of continuous variation in environmental factors, ecological communities are quite sharply separated, as any one knows who has passed from the tree zone to the treeless zone above it in mountain country (for a discussion on this point, see Elton, 1927, Chap. 2). This type of discontinuity was a constant source of preoccupation to Bateson (e.g. 1913, Chap. 8), who also drew the attention of biologists to many others, such as magistic variation as meristic variation.

Longley (1933) points out that if the quantitative relation found by Willis (1922) between the frequency of genera in a given group and the number of species they contain, can be generalized on a firm basis, it would provide independent evidence for the biological reality of species.

In all cases, the discontinuity, though fundamental, is never absolute. Every biologist knows the limitations of the cell-theory and the impossibility of giving a rigid definition of organic individuality, yet cells (Wilson, 1925) and individuals (Huxley, 1912) remain as essential biological units. The same applies to species. Just as syncytia constitute an exception to any rigid cell-doctrine, so large multiple inter-breeding groups, such as those found in willows or in man, form exceptions to the usual rule of specific discontinuity. Intercellular protoplasmic bridges find a parallel in the occasional exchange of genes between otherwise discontinuous groups. The problem of individuality in colonial organisms with moderate division of labour between the zooids is matched by the problem of speciation in groups intermediate between a *Rassenkreis* and an *Artenkreis* (pp. 179 n., 407). Yet species, too, remain as essential biological units. units.

units. Owing to the historical and philosophical association of the word *species*, it might be thought desirable to employ some other term in biological nomenclature. Owing to the fact that various types of species exist, and that they exist in various degrees of differentiation, it might be thought more scientific to replace one by many technical terms. But *species* is hallowed by long usage and so ingrained in practice that it would be virtually impossible to replace it. Species, envisaged in this way as largely or wholly discontinuous groups, are thus normally, though not universally, realities of the biological scene: and it is our business to see what is known of the methods by which they originate and by which their distinctness is maintained.

2. THE DIFFERENT MODES OF SPECIATION; SUCCESSIONAL SPECIES

It is logically obvious, on the postulate of evolution, that every existing species must have originated from some pre-existing species (sometimes, as we shall see, from more than one), but equally clear on the basis of recent research that it may do so in one of several quite different ways. A single species as a whole may become transformed gradually to such an extent that it

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 171 comes to merit a new specific name. Or it may separate, also gradually, into two or more divergent lines whose divergence eventually transcends the limits of specific distinction: sometimes the separation into mutually infertile or otherwise distinct groups may occur suddenly, but the subsequent divergence may yet be gradual. Or it may hybridize with another species and their hybrid product may then, by chromosome-doubling, at one outset: here, instead of one species diverging to form two, two rowsrge to form one. (It is possible that such sudden origins) may also occur without hybridization, from a single instead of a dual origin.) Finally, in certain groups of plants, the minor systematics are in an inextricable tangle, so that no two author-ties agree even approximately as to the number of species involved and their limitations: in these cases hybridization, apparently involving many more than two forms, together with back-crossing, recombination, chromosome-doubling, and apparently involving the types of species-formation in various apparently involving the types of species-formation in various dual thus both convergent; abrupt and convergent; or what, following a recent writer (Turrill, 1936), we may call *reticulate*, dependent on repeated intercrossing between a number of lines and thus both convergent and divergent at once. We may thus classify the types of species-formation in various may be continuous or sudden and shupt; whether they are gradual and continuous or sudden and prupt; whether they are divergent or convergent; what kinds isolation has been operative; what barriers to fertility have been developed; and to what environmental factors, if any, the conduction is related.

We can distinguish four main kinds of factors which have been decisive in bringing about the discontinuity leading to speciation. These four factors are time, space, function, and in-trinsic mechanism. The four resultant modes of speciation are transformation in geological time, geographical divergence, ecological or adaptive divergence, and separation through genetic accident. Thus, if we wish, we can distinguish four main kinds

of species, the successional, the geographical, the ecological, and the genetic. Naturally, the decisive agency in each case may be assisted in a subsidiary way by the other agencies. In geographical speciation, for instance, there is normally an adaptive element, while lapse of time and changes in genetic mechanism are inevit-ably involved; but the factor of separation in space is primary and decis.ve, that of adaptive functional change subsidiary, and those of temporal and genetic transformation merely conse-quential and secondary.

quential and secondary. Let us deal with these four modes of speciation in more detail. The first three are always gradual, while the fourth may be, though it is by no means always, abrupt. Our first major factor is time, producing successional speciation. In this process a given stock gradually changes its characteristics, so that forms meriting different specific and generic titles succeed each other in time. Paleontology provides numerous evidences of really gradual specific transformation; these have been pre-served almost exclusively in aquatic animals such as ammonites and other molluses, sea-urchins and other echinoderms, though also in a few land vertebrates such as the horses and titanotheres; but similar changes putt it is clear have been generally at work but similar changes must, it is clear, have been generally at work. In some cases, as in the shift of the mouth of the sea-urchin In some cases, as in the shift of the mouth of the sea-urchin Micraster, the change seems definitely to have been an adaptive improvement—except possibly during the last phase, when some authoritics maintain that the original trend was prolonged orthogenetically although by this time useless or deleterious (Hawkins, 1936). Furthermore, whenever the species-trans-formation is part of an adaptive trend, as in the horses or elephants (Chap. 9) it must itself be essentially adaptive. We cannot be completely certain that a given trend as revealed by fossils is unilinear and not divergent, since this would presume a knowledge of other areas that we cannot expect to possess. We may, however, reasonably presume that unilinear trends have occurred in certain abundant and widespread types. In any case, the important point is that a long-continued trend exists, in the course of which types meriting systematic distinction succeed each other in the same stock, whether or no the stock also splits

to form other species showing broadly parallel evolution: the type is successively transformed. The subject of long-range trends is of such importance that we deal with it in a separate chapter (Chap. 9). Our analysis there will show that the great majority of such trends are adaptive. Thus the main agency here in producing successional speciation is selection, though it is possible that orthogenesis (p. 504) may in some cases be at work. It might accordingly be considered that time can never be the primary factor in speciation. If orthogenesis is at work, the primary factor would be genetic: it would be ecological when the transformation is adaptive. It is true that time can never operate alone to produce speciation in the way that is possible

primary factor would be genetic: it would be ecological when the transformation is adaptive. It is true that time can never operate alone to produce speciation, in the way that is possible with alterations in genetic mechanism. Nevertheless it can rightly be regarded as the major factor, or one of two major factors working in combination, in all cases where we are considering the transformation of a single stock. The transformation of the horse stock from the three-toed into a one-toed type was un-doubtedly in the main adaptive. Nevertheless, what separates the forms along the single transforming line is time. It is the length of time that has elapsed between the genesis of one form and of the next form meriting a separate name that has permitted their specific distinction. This is because, in successional speciation, we are dealing with stages in an evolutionary trend, not with mere divergence in relation to peculiarities of the local environ-ment or of the genetic constitution; and evolutionary trends are normally long-continued, involving steady change in a single direction over long periods of time. To put it in another way, the distinction between two related successional species is primarily a function of their separation in time; while that between two related geographical species is primarily one of their separation in space; that between ecological species, of their divergence in mode of life; and that between genetic species, of changes in their genetic mechan-ism. Of course here, as in every aspect of evolution, we are dealing with processes of multiple causation. For instance, successional adaptive tránsformation within a trend cannot pro-ceed at all when a certain limit of specialization has been reached
(p. 494); and its rate will be dependent on the stage of specialization reached by the evolving type, as well as on environmental conditions, in the same sort of way as the degree of ecological speciation is dependent on predator-pressure (p. 324). In any case, long-range evolutionary trends, considered as affecting groups and manifested as adaptive radiation (p. 487), are primarily affairs of ecological divergence. But each trend, considered separately, is primarily an affair of successional transformation, in which the successive forms owe their distinctness to the lapse of time by which are separated their positions in the evolutionary trend.

According to certain authorities, successional speciation often proceeds, partly or wholly, by discontinuous changes of small or moderate extent. These are usually called "Mutations of Waagen" after the paleontologist who first drew attention to them. However, as Rensch (1933*a*) has pointed out, a much more probable explanation of these is that a climatic or other environmental change has produced a shift in geographical distribution, causing a given stage in the stratigraphical sequence to be replaced by a related subspecies or species which has differentiated in another region.

region. There are comparatively few cases in which environmental conditions appear to have remained constant over a long period in one area. But whether this be so or not, the change in the fossils may be continuous, as with the sea-urchin *Micraster* during a considerable portion of the Cretaceous; in such cases we must be dealing with intra-specific selection towards a higher degree of adaptation. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, we are probably right in thinking that successional transformation, in the abundant species which alone can provide satisfactory fossil series, is always or at least normally a gradual and continuous process.

3. GEOGRAPHICAL REPLACEMENT: THE NATURE OF SUBSPECIES

Next we come to cases in which divergence subsequent to some :ype of isolation is the primary fact leading to the formation of

new species. The divergent splitting of species and genera must clearly be postulated to have occurred on a large scale in the past, if only to account for the rapid increase with geological time of the number of types and taxonomic units in newly-evolved groups, such as the orders of higher placental mammals. Most of the divergence seen in such adaptive radiations of groups (p. 489) is ecological, concerned with adaptation to different environments and especially to different modes of life. It is, however, not easy to obtain from paleontology *direct* evidence of divergence, since this demands good series in at least two separate but crucial areas.

We shall return in a later chapter to ecological divergence as illustrated from existing organisms. Here, however, we will begin by dealing with geographical isolation, since a study of geographical distribution reveals what are without question all stages of geographical divergence. Furthermore, the data on this subject are extensive, and have been subjected to thorough analysis.

In all cases, the basis on which we presume geographical divergence, i.e., the evolution of a common ancestral form into two or more different forms in different geographical areas, is what has been called the principle of geographical replacement (see, e.g., Rensch, 1929, 1933*a*). Under this we include the numerous cases where closely-related but distinct forms (species or subspecies) are found in different areas of the world's surface, but do not (with certain exceptions to be discussed later) overlap; on the contrary, one replaces the other as we pass from one area to another.

Such forms which replace each other geographically show all stages of diversity, from dubious and intergrading to sharply defined subspecies,* and thence on to species and genera. As we should expect, the percentage of groups which, though clearly owing their origin to geographical differentiation, do not exhibit

^{*} It is highly desirable to restrict the term *subspecies* to groups that are isolated geographically or in other ways (e.g. physiologically) and also not to use the term *variety* as synonymous with subspecies, but, if it be employed at all, to restrict it to forms which occur together within the same geographical or other group, as in polymorphic species (p. 99).

EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS strict geographical replacement, but have overlapping areas of distribution, is very low among subspecies (pp. 273, 291), but may be considerable in higher taxonomic units which are intersterile and have had time for extensive range-changes (pp. 241, 243 ff., 285). Almost every group of organisms investigated reveals some examples. Geographical divergence has been, perhaps, most carefully worked out in birds: our own avifauna provides excellent examples, with the St. Kilda and the Shetland wren (*Troglodytes t. hirtensis* and *T. t. zetlandicus*), the British sub-species of tit (*Parus*), jay (*Garrulus*), wagtail (*Motacilla*) and many other forms, and the specific distinction of our red grouse, *Lagopus scoticus* (see Witherby, 1938–41). This last form, it should be noted, has not only diverged specifically from the willow grouse (*L. lagopus*) but has itself differentiated into a separate subspecies in Ireland. Hartert's classical work on palaearctic birds (1903–35) illustrates the use of the systematic principle for a wide range of forms, while Lynes' exhaustive and elaborately illus-trated study of the passerine genus *Cisticola* (1930) provides an example of its application to a single type. In this single genus he recognizes 40 species, with 154 subspecies. The genus is of sedentary habits, so that the number of subspecies per species is about 50 per cent higher than in related but migratory genera (see p. 239) such as *Phylloscopus* (studied by Ticehurst, 1938) or *Sylvia*.

or Sylvia. Mammals, however, provide as good an array of examples. We shall later refer to the thoroughly-investigated case of the deermice (*Peromyscus*) (pp. 186, 188, etc.), but squirrels and other rodents (e.g. Grinnell, 1922, on the kangaroo rats, *Dipodomys*). antelopes, monkeys, and many other types behave in just the same way. Insects, notably butterflies, have also received much attention from this point of view. As an exhaustive study we may refer to Warren's monograph on *Erebia*, in which 69 species, many with marked subspeciation, are recognized (Warren, 1936); while Ellers (1936) has made an elaborate investigation of the subspecies of a single species, the swallowtail *Papilio machaon*, and Zarapkin (1934) and Endrödi (1938) of the beetles *Carabus* grapulatus and Oryctes nasicornis respectively. Zarapkin's study is

based on quantitative measurements of over 100 characters. We shall later also have occasion to refer to the geographical variation of various mimetic and other butterflies, of moths, beetles, reptiles, amphibia, snails, crustacea (see Chevais, 1937) and other animals.

beetles, reptiles, amphibia, snails, crustacea (see Chevais, 1937) and other animals. Plants do not seem so prone to geographical subspeciation as animals, but a number are known which show the phenomenon. Among plants an excellent example is *Gentiana lutea*, the large yellow gentian. G. l. lutea, with free anthers, is widespread in central Europe, while G. l. symphyandra, mainly distinguished by its united anthers, is limited to the Balkans and their neigh-bourhood: there is a slight amount of intergradation in an inter-mediate zone. Gregor (1938a) has found geographical (as well as ecological) differentiation in Plantago maritima (p. 223). Fish are just as susceptible to the process as other animals. Even deep-water species may show geographical differentiation, as has been shown by Hubbs (1930), who finds that three forms of *Hymenocephalus striatissimus* can be readily distinguished, inter-grading at the margins of their areas. The "races" of herrings appear to be geographical subspecies, although the differences between them have to be evaluated by biometrical as opposed to ordinary taxonomic methods (see Schnakenbeck, 1931); and marine littoral types may be markedly differentiated into sub-species. Again, according to Schilder and Schilder (1938) all the 165 species of living cowries (*Cypraeidae*) are divisible into geographical subspecies, the number per species ranging from two to seven or eight. Similarly the marine gastropod *Turbinella pirum* shows well-defined geographical variation (Hornell, 1916). Naturally, however, the process is better illustrated by types with geographically discontinuous ranges, for instance, by the differentiation of the tree senecios in Africa, where nearly every high mountain has its own characteristic form (Fries, 1922). An admirable example from animals is provided by the different forms of char (*Salvelinus*) which inhabit various British and Irish lakes. Where the char is still migratory, living in the sea and ascending rivers to spawn, as in the northernmost p

and landlocked in a lake, geographical differentiation sets in. Regan (1911) distinguishes fifteen forms in Great Britain and Ireland. All these we should to-day classify as subspecies; for, as Regan says in a later paper (1926), "Once you begin giving specific names to lacustrine forms of char you never know where to stop." On the other hand, "if we were to exterminate the char in our islands and on the continent, except in a dozen sclected lakes, we should have left a dozen well-marked forms which it would be convenient to recognize as species."

The biologically more or less irrelevant differences arising from isolation are in this case sometimes associated with certain adaptive differences. For instance, the Loch Rannoch char, inhabiting a very deep lake, has unusually large eyes; the habitual bottomfeeders have blunter snouts and more rounded mouths. Thus, the differentiation is partly geographical, partly ecological (see p. 227). The whitefish (*Coregonus*) and the cisco (*Leucichthys*) also show geographical differentiation in different lakes (see Worthington, 1940).* This lacustrine subspeciation of freshwater fish can only date back to glacial times, when the lakes were formed. The differences between trout and sea-trout and their local differentiations are also of interest in this connection, though too complex to summarize here.

Again, a large number of subspecies of rainbow trout are restricted to single lakes or rivers in the western United States. These last are described by J. O. Snyder (1933) as separate species. This is a result of his adopting the principle we have already noted (p. 163), of employing lack of intergradation between geographical forms as an absolute criterion of specific rank. This, however, must lead to the pigeonholing of types which are in point of fact at similar stages in the process of evolutionary divergence, in different systematic categories. Absolute isolation of groups will facilitate divergence: but that is a different point.

* Some of the variants which have been given subspecific rank may prove to be purely modificational forms. Thus Hile (1936), working on the North American cisco (*Leucichthys artedi*), finds that allometric growth, together with its alteration owing to seasonal differences in food-supply, etc., may induce formdifferences as great as some of those found in named subspecies. However, while this points the need for more careful analysis, we can be certain that the majority of the described forms have a genetic basis. We shall here accordingly adopt the view, which is becoming increasingly the basis of modern taxonomic practice, that forms which replace each other geographically and the differences between which do not transcend those between intergrading varieties, are (unless they are proved infertile by experiment) best regarded as subspecies of a large species. The application of this principle has much reduced the number of species recognized in well-investigated areas. Thus the twenty-six palaearctic forms of wagtails (*Motacilla*) originally accorded specific rank are now classified in four species with thirty subspecies: and instead of nine species of palaearctic jays (*Garrulus*) one only is now recognized (Rensch, 1933a). The total number of bird species has been rather more than halved by the application of this principle (Hesse, 1929).

When related and obviously "good" species replace each other geographically we must conclude that the process of geographical divergence has continued until the differences are of specific magnitude. For groups of species related in this way Rensch (1933*a*) proposes the name of *Artenkreis*, which we may perhaps in English call a geographical subgenus.*

In English call a geographical subgenus.* The Artenkreis is a novel concept in systematics, but according to Rensch it is a widespread fact of nature. Stresemann (1931) applied the principle to the bird genus Zosterops (white-eyes). In the genus as a whole he distinguishes twenty-two polytypic species (Rassenkreise, or species with geographical subspecies) and thirty monotypic species (without geographical differentiation). Of these, he grouped fourteen polytypic and cighteen monotypic species into six geographical subgenera (Artenkreise). Similar phenomena are known in plants Turrill (1020) gives

Similar phenomena are known in plants. Turrill (1929) gives a number of examples of apparently good species from Crete which are represented by closely allied species on the mainland.

^{*} Some English-speaking authors translate Artenkreis by the term superspecies or supraspecies. However, this should be restricted to intermediate cases, in which the majority of the forms in a Kreis of groups showing geographical replacement are clearly subspecies of a polytypic species, but a few have diverged further until they are probably or certainly to be regarded as separate monotypic species. It is in any case quite illegitimate to equate Rassenkreis and Artenkreis, as is done by Schilder and Schilder (1938, p. 189), or, as they also do, to use the term superspecies for polytypic species composed entirely of obvious subspecific groups.

Examples of "geographical species" from North America are the Canada and the Oregon jays (*Perisoreus canadensis* and *P. obscurus*); and the mourning and MacGillivray's warblers (*Oporornis philadelphia* and *O. tolmei*). The two members of either pair are both very similar, differing almost solely in details of colour, and they inhabit different areas; they thus jointly constitute an *Artenkreis*.

A similar example from Europe is that of the meadow and red-throated pipits (Anthus pratensis and A. cervinus). The common and Carolina chickadees (Parus atricapillus and P. carolinensis) are borderline cases: in some regions they overlap without intergrading, but in central New Jersey do interbreed (Chapman, 1924).

Although in general, systematists who adopt the same principles of classification will classify groups in the same way, there are bound to be dubious cases. A well-known example is that of the Japanese pheasant, characterized by metallic green coloration. This is by some authors classified as a separate species, *Phasianus versicolor*, but by others as a marked subspecies of the widelyranging common pheasant, *P. colchicus* (discussion in Rensch, 1933a, p. 28).

1933a, p. 28). Numerous examples are to be found of *Rassenkreise* whose extreme subspecies are so distinct that they would rightly be classified as separate species if the intergrading connecting types were not known. The char provide a case of this (p. 177). Among the numerous further examples cited by Rensch (1933a) we may mention the beetle, *Carabus monilis*. Here the different subspecies, in addition to large differences in size, shape, colour, ornamentation, etc., are characterized by differences in copulatory organs, which should prevent interbreeding. Some examples are known when migration has brought extreme subspecies of a *Rassenkreis* together and they prove not to interbreed. These are cited on pp. 243 seq.

A borderline case from plants is that of the bugles, Ajuga chamaepitys and A. chia (Turrill, 1934). Here, cultivation ecotypes seem to have been selected out and to have spread with agriculture to the N.W., until the extreme types have become THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 181

radically different from the original Near-Eastern polymorphic forms (p. 267).

The divergence of the marine fish fauna on either side of Central America since the last union of the North and South American Continents, probably in the early Miocene, provides examples of a larger degree of divergence. In this case (Regan, 1906-8) the fish are hardly ever identical on the two coasts. Usually a given form is represented by a pair of species, one from either side, but sometimes the differences are so slight that the two forms can only be accorded subspecific rank. It is of considerable interest that although all the forms have been separated for the same length of time, the degree of visible divergence varies considerably from one species-pair to another. The independent development of certain elements of the fauna in large isolated lakes such as Baikal (see, e.g., Korotneff, 1905-12) and Tanganyika (see Yonge, 1938*a*; Worthington, 1937) provides examples of another kind of differentiation, in which certain groups branch out into many types which have not evolved elsewhere (pp. 324, 492). In such cases geographical isolation, notably when combined with reduced selective pressure from predators or competitors, opens the door to further differentiation of the original type by means of ecological, especially ecobiotic, divergence. Central America since the last union of the North and South

divergence.

Different major groups, and different minor groups within them, show differences in their proneness to diverge geographic-ally; doubtless due to differences in their modes of life and their environments; but it is clear that geographical divergence is a general evolutionary phenomenon.

In wide-ranging species, different geographical races, or sub-species as they are now generally called, may occur over a large land-mass, intergrading genetically at the margins of their areas. Where there are definite barriers, such as mountain ranges or deserts, the intergradation may be absent, just as inountain ranges of deserts, the intergradation may be absent, just as it often is with island forms. All stages in the restriction of gene-flow between adjacent groups may of course be observed. The house-wrens (*Troglodytes*) of South America, studied by Chapman and Griscom (1924), provide a good example. Note-

worthy in many subspecies of this group is the wide degree of individual variation found. Distinctions between subspecies may be based simply on alterations in the means of such varying characters. Thus the subspecies often overlap in regard to their characters and are definable only on the basis of long series. Steep character-gradients (genoclines; p. 253) occur in the mixed zones along the borders of contiguous subspecific ranges. Numerous cases of subspeciation in birds have been analysed with great thoroughness. We refer later to A. H. Miller's work on shrikes (p. 236). Here we may mention that of Swarth (1920) and of Linsdale (1928) on the fox sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). Linsdale studied the skeletal characters and found that these show just as truch variation (often in the form of geographical

Numerous cases of subspeciation in birds have been analysed with great thoroughness. We refer later to A. H. Miller's work on shrikes (p. 236). Here we may mention that of Swarth (1920) and of Linsdale (1928) on the fox sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). Linsdale studied the skeletal characters and found that these show just as much variation (often in the form of geographical character-gradients or clines; see p. 206) as do the plumage and the general size. Some of these, e.g. those subscrving flight, appear to be adaptive: in every case the sedentary or relatively sedentary subspecies have smaller bones in the wings and pectoral girdle than do those with long migration routes. Linsdale could not assign any adaptive significance to the considerable differences in skull and bill, though these may be "correlated characters" (p. 206). No part of the skeleton was exempt from geographical variation, and there was a considerable though not complete correlation between the geographical variation of skeletal and of plumage characters. In all these cases, the subspecies are relatively constant over

of plumage characters. In all these cases, the subspecies are relatively constant over large areas, and the subspecific areas are separated by relatively narrow intergrading zones. This state of affairs may be taken as the ideal pattern of geographical subspeciation. Frequently, however, full details are unknown, and subspecific names are assigned to forms from different areas simply because they are different. In some cases, however, we know that there is no sharp delimitation of subspecies by means of an intergrading zone, but only a gradual delimitation; and, further, the "subspecies" itself may be by no means constant, but merely represents the mean of many differentiated local populations. This is so with some forms of deermice (*Peromyscus*), as shown by Dice (1939), although in other cases in the same genus the ideal condition is realized (see below). Eventually it will be desirable to distinguish these two types of intraspecific differentiation by appropriate terminology. The geographical variation in song demonstrated by Promptoff

(1930) in the chaffinch, Fringilla coelebs, appears to concern local populations rather than being of true subspecific type (p. 3°).

populations rather than being of true subspecific type (p. 3c). In other cases, excessive taxonomic zeal applied to insufficient material in variable species has resulted in individual varieties being erroneously named as subspecies. This is well instanced by the spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*). No fewer than 19 forms of this have been named, most of them originally as full species; but the detailed study of Matthews (1939a) has shown that none of these can be regarded as valid, though it is possible that two or three geographical subspecies may be established later if sufficient material is forthcoming.

sufficient material is forthcoming. Warren (1937) draws attention to the fact that in the large butterfly genus *Erebia*, different subspecies show very different degrees of variability. Facts of this sort clearly merit detailed study in relation to ecology, selection, and population-size; we need not at the moment accept Warren's hypothesis of an inherent recurrent cycle of variability. Isolation of land forms by water, as occurs with groups inhabit-ing islands, often leads to greater divergence, such subspecies being unusually distinct (as with the St. Kilda wren) or having developed into full species (as with the British red grouse). It is worth mentioning that among the fifty-six species and subspecies of mammals found in Scotland, more than half show a degree of difference meriting taxonomic distinction from their continental relatives—eight as full species and twenty-two as subspecies (Ritchie, 1930). (Ritchie, 1930).

(Ritchie, 1930). The effect of complete isolation in promoting divergence is especially clear in archipelagos where different islands often harbour distinct forms (see p. 324). Examples of this fact occur on the Galapagos (see, e.g., Swarth, 1931, 1934), and on the Hawaiian Islands (e.g., in the birds known as sicklebills, *Drepani-didae*, p. 325; Lowe, 1936, discussions in A. Gulick, 1932, and Mordvilko, 1937). Again, G. S. Miller (1909) points out that the Malayan mouse-deer (*Tragulus*) exhibits only one form in the

whole of Sumatra and Borneo, whereas in the Rhio Linga Archipelago off the tip of the Malay Peninsula, with 1/150th of the land area and with less rather than more diversity of environmental conditions, seven subspecies are to be distinguished. In a subsequent section (p. 295) we deal with similar cases where the barriers are of different nature. The high degree of differentiation in these cases is doubtless due to the small size of the island populations, which promotes "drift" and non-adaptive divergence (cf. p. 200).

gence (cf. p. 200). An interesting type of geographical divergence is one arising out of the fact of dimorphism (or polymorphism). A species which in most of its range exists in two (or more) distinct forms, shows only one (or fewer) in certain restricted areas (see also p. 104). For instance, the common squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*) of the European continent exists in two forms, black and red, but the British subspecies, besides showing certain quantitative peculi-arities, is monomorphic, without any blacks (p. 98). Stresemann (1923-26) refers to several analogous cases among birds. The South American hawk *Accipiter ventralis*, for instance, occurs in its "normal" phase over the whole of its range, in a lighter and reddish (phaeomelanic) phase over the whole range except for a limited area, and in a dark (eumelanic) phase in a limited area only. An even more clear-cut case is that of *Accipiter novae-hollandiae*. Here a N-S dimorph-ratio cline in the proportions of dark and white birds extends across Australasia; but on certain islands to the extreme north only dark forms occur (Mayr, islands to the extreme north only dark forms occur (Mayr, 1931-40, no. 41), and only white forms in Tasmania (p. 106; Stresemann, 1923-6). In such cases we must assume that the dark form is the original: it is accordingly interesting to find that in the snow goose Anser coerulescens the dark form is now restricted

in the snow goose Anser coerclescens the dark form is now restricted to a very small area of the total range. The phenomena of local melanic subspecies of Coereba, etc., are referred to elsewhere (p. 203). A further refinement of differential geographical dimorphism is seen in the cases where the proportions of the two types vary regularly in space (dimorph-ratio clines: see pp. 104, 161; the case of Accipiter novae-hollandiae falls into this category, though the proportions here change abruptly). <page-header><text><text><text><text>

environmental conditions are similar, is immense; and when the

environmental conditions are similar, is immense; and when the two forms are subjected to different conditions, the divergence from identity will be more rapid and more obvious. Similarly, the internal adaptations of the germ-plasm will not be identical, and disharmonies will arise leading to partial and eventually to total reproductive disharmony between the two groups, either by way of reduced mating, reduced fertility of P1 or F1, or reduced viability of F1 or later generations (p. 360). It is worth while recalling that under conditions of artificial selection, isolation may frequently lead to divergence. Darwin (1868) gives several examples of this phenomenon in Chapter 20 of his Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication. The most striking concerns two flocks of sheep, both bred from Bakewell's pure stock; after half a century, they had "the appearance of being quite distinct varieties". In such cases, a form of Sewall Wright effect (p. 58) may operate, as well as uncon-scious selection; but the effect of isolation is beyond question. (See also D. S. Jordan, 1909, pp. 75 seq.)

scious selection; but the effect of isolation is beyond question. (See also D. S. Jordan, 1909, pp. 75 seq.) Analysis also shows that mere separation in space of two parts of a population, even when biologically continuous, with free or only slightly reduced gene-flow between them, will lead to morphological differentiation when the environmental conditions are sufficiently distinct in the two areas, since here divergent selection will operate. The fact of relatively free gene-flow, however, halts the process at the stage of partial biological dis-continuity, resulting in intergrading subspecies (p. 209). Summer (1022) following up the notable taxonomic study of

continuity, resulting in intergrading subspecies (p. 209). Summer (1932), following up the notable taxonomic study of Osgood (1909), has made a detailed analysis of subspeciation in *Peromyscus*. Perhaps the most striking case concerns three sub-species of *P. polionotus* in Florida. *P. p. polionotus* is dark in colour and inhabits the interior, where the soil also is dark. *P. p. leuco-cephalus* is extremely pale, and inhabits an island reef of pure white quartz sand; and *P. p. albifrons* is somewhat pale, although inhabiting beaches of the same white sand, but on the mainland. Here we undoubtedly have an example of the value of isolation in counteracting the effect of migration and in permitting selec-tion to act unchecked. In general Summer finds it necessary to

assume some selective interpretation of the colour of these subspecies, on the basis of its protective (cryptic) value, although he is careful to point out that selection cannot be very intense, since adaptive coloration is not always present in other subspecies of the genus, the coloration often being apparently correlated with other advantages which may outweigh that of cryptic resemblance. It may also be, of course, that certain groups have not been long enough in their present habitats to permit the requisite mutations to appear: with a low mutation-rate, mere chance might make a great difference in the time needed to throw up the required mutations.* On this hypothesis, selection of low intensity is acting on all inhabitants of the white sand; but on the mainland its effects are partly counterbalanced by intermixture with the dark inland race.

There is little doubt that this is part of the truth. On the other hand, statistical investigation reveals that the mainland forms, coastal and inland, not only intergrade but that they both show a gradient of colour-change. This is moderate as the coast is left for the interior. Then, about forty miles inland, follows a narrow strip a few miles wide where the gradient is very steep, and finally a region where the gradient is very gradual indeed. The zone of rapid change must be regarded as the boundary between the two subspecies; interestingly, it does *not* occur at the same place as does a geological change involving a darkening of soil-colour.

To account for these and similar facts in other races, Sumner assumes that each race has a main area, and is subject to large periodic fluctuations in abundance, such as Elton has shown to occur in most small mammals. In periods of over-population, migration will be initiated (Elton, 1930), and will presumably

* A case bearing on this point is that of the local population of housennice (Mus musculus) on a small sandy island in Ireland, studied by Jameson (1898). The average coloration of the population was considerably lighter than normal, but with great variability: the paler animals' colour matched the sandy background. Predator-pressure was intense owing to the lack of cover. From a careful study of maps Jameson estimated that the island could not have been isolated for more than 100 to 125 years. Meinertzhagen (1919) mentions that the introduced goldfinch (Carduelis carduelis) in the Bermudas now merits subspecific rank, and that the introduced starling (Sturnus vulgaris) in Cape Colony has already lost the migratory habit, though this may be only a modification be most intense in directions away from the main centre of the population, or as Sumner puts it, in the direction of a falling gradient of population-pressure. Two contiguous subspecies will thus be pressing against each other like two inflated rubber bags, and the boundary between the two will shift according to the relative degree of population-pressure. Just at the boundary, migration will be producing intercrossing. Owing to the principle of harmoniously-stabilized gene-complexes, the zones of inter-crossing will remain narrow even when their location is shifted (p. 209). It is thus quite possible that a subspecies which originally differentiated in relation to some particular area will spread over a much larger area. Thus the type of adaptation which we actually find, namely, a rough general correspondence between adaptive characters and habitat but with numerous exceptions of detail, is to be expected. is to be expected.

characters and nabitat but with numerous exceptions of detail, is to be expected. It is worth pointing out that such zones of rapid change with intermixture have been found in numerous other cases where sub-specific distribution has been thoroughly investigated—e.g. with numerous types of birds in Lower California (Grinnell, 1928), as well as the wrens and sparrows already mentioned (p. 182). In general Sumner's hypothesis seems to fit many of the facts very well. As further consequence, it may turn out that certain subspecies occupying a large and diversified area represent the sum of a number of originally separate races which have united by migration: if so, in some cases subspecies may be of poly-phyleticorigin, as regards their evolution within the species (p. 291). Thus it seems clear that some characteristics of the subspecies of *Peromyscus*, such as coloration in some form, nust be directly adaptive (see Dice and Blossom, 1937). Others, however, such as absolute and relative tail-length, have no obvious adaptive value. They may be accidental by-products of isolation; or they may be correlated with less obvious but deeper-seated physio-logical adaptations. Yocom and Huestis, for instance (1928), have shown that a coastal and a desert subspecies of *P. maniculatus* differ in important characters of their thyroids, the coastal variety having less active glands with greater accumulations of secretion. These differences in glandular make-up are quite

possibly the direct cause of the differences in colour, which would then be non-adaptive "correlated characters". Sumner (op. cit., p. 98) states that later work shows it to be "just as easy to distinguish these two subspecies by the thyroids as by the pelages". He also finds that certain subspecies are distinguished by constant differences in general activity. Grinnell (1928), on the basis of great experience, believes that the differentiation of subspecies (in birds) is basically adaptive.

In some cases the subspecies are polymorphic, e.g. in *P. mani*culatus blandus, buff, grey, and all intermediate types are found (see Dice, 1933a). Here all the colours cannot well be adaptive, but some selective balance must be operative (p. 97).

However, the principle of the correlation of visible and apparently non-adaptive characters with deep-scated adaptive properties is undoubtedly widespread. Dewar and Finn (1909, p. 357) cite a case from domestic pigs and sheep in America. The lightcoloured breeds are poisoned by various plants, while the dark breeds are immune. Black pigs, for instance, are not injured by eating the paint-root *Lachnanthes*.

A classical case is that of the *rubrinervis* mutant of the evening primrose *Oenothera lamarckiana*. This, as its name implies, is distinguished by the red colour of the veins on its leaves and elsewhere. But it also shows accelerated pollen-tube growth and increased resistance to cold.

Haldane (1932a, 1932c) draws attention to other cases in which genetical experiment has proved the dependence of two or more very distinct phenotypic characters on a single gene. Thus in stocks (*Matthiola incana*) hairiness depends not only on two special genes for hairiness, but also on a gene for colour in the flowers (Saunders, 1920). Thus selection for hairiness would, in certain heterozygous populations, automatically eliminate white-flowered plants. A still simpler case is that of the gene increasing the size of the central "eye" in the flowers of *Primula sinensis*: this also reduces the style-length in genetically long-styled plants, producing a homostyle in place of a "pin" flower. Thus the normal arrangement for bringing about cross-fertilization can only operate in small-eyed flowers. Again, many genes in *Drosophila*

produce multiple effects, e.g. on bristles and wings. We have already mentioned (p. 80) the effect of the white series of eye-colour alleles on certain internal organs. Haldane also recalls the fact that apparently irrelevant genes may restore physiological balance and viability. We have given examples of this in Chap. 3 (pp. 68 seq.). A further probable case is the increased viability of "arc"-winged mutants when an axillary spot is added. Sometimes the correlated characters are merely modifications, which apparently in certain environments. The heat encode Sometimes the correlated characters are merely modifications, which appear only in certain environments. The best example of this is the frizzle fowl (p. 118), in which the thyroid is enlarged as well as the feathers altered. Investigation shows, however, that the thyroid effect only occurs in cool climates, and is a reaction to the excessive heat-loss caused by the inadequate feathering, which is the only direct genetic effect. (See also p. 533).

Whenever a genetically-determined cline or character-gradient (p. 206) exists in visible characters, even if these are apparently non-adaptive, and is correlated with a gradient in the environ-ment, we are justified in assuming a further correlation between the visible characters and adaptive physiological properties. In all such cases, the onus of proof is on those who would deny the direct or indirect adaptiveness of the graded characters.

direct or indirect adaptiveness of the graded characters. It is interesting to note that Sumner began his laborious investi-gations with a bias in favour of the subspecific characters of deer-mice being due to the hereditary fixation of the direct effects of the environment, and against the view that they were determined by mendelian genes. In the course of time, however, the facts induced him to abandon this position, and he now believes that natural selection has been an important agency in establishing subspecific differences, and that most subspecific characters are not only "genetically determined" but mendelian.* The long-tailed field-mouse, *Apodemus*, fills the same eco-logical niche in the old world that *Peromyscus* does in the new. Though it belongs to the murine section of the mouse family, as opposed to the cricetine, it is very similar to *Peromyscus* in * So recently as 1921, it was possible for distinguished ornithologists to

^{*} So recently as 1921, it was possible for distinguished ornithologists to express the view that most subspecific characters in birds were mere environmental modifications (Lowe and Mackworth-Praed, 1921). To-day all would agree that the great majority are genetically determined.

appearance, and also shows marked geographic variation. Mr. Hinton tells me that he believes this genus would show a very similar correlation of type of geographic variation with climate and soil, but the detailed analysis has not yet been made.

In general, it appears that some at least of the distinctions between subspecies are adaptive, but, when not obviously cryptic, in relation to local background, are usually of a general nature, in some relation to climate. Such a relation may be direct, as in cases of differing temperature-resistance, or indirect, as in the greater prevalence of migratory habit in bird subspecies from higher latitudes. Goldschmidt, in an exhaustive series of studies (summary and references in Goldschmidt, 1934), has shown that trivial and apparently useless differences between geographical races of the gipsy-moth *Lymantria dispar* are accompanied by physiological and reproductive differences of great significance in relation to climatic conditions (p. 436).

In relation to climatic conditions (p. 430). Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1935) has shown that the widespread population of *Drosophila funebris* in Európe, though showing no visible subspecies, is geographically differentiated in regard to temperature-resistance. The adaptation is a delicate one. Thus the Western European strains are especially susceptible, the Russian and Siberian ones especially resistant, to the extremes both of heat and of cold, while those from the Mediterranean are resistant to heat but susceptible to cold.

A curious case is that of the chat Oenanthe lugens. In Egypt both sexes are alike, with conspicuous coloration; but in the Algerian subspecies, though the males are very similar, the females are of a sandy colour. Here there seems to be a local protective adaptation of the female only. This case from birds is paralleled by various butterflies, notably the swallow-tail Papilio dardanus. In the subspecies inhabiting Madagascar, both sexes are alike, resembling the male of the other subspecies. In those from the African mainland, however, the females are nearly always mimetic, often polymorphically so (p. 123), except in a few special areas (Eltringham, 1910). It would appear that where the struggle for existence is more intense, the female, with her greater biological value, is often protected before the male (as undoubtedly occurs in many birds: Huxley, 1938c), although it would also appear likely that selection is acting to keep the male uniform, so that any stimulative or recognitional function exerted by his coloration in regard to mating may be preserved, unimpaired by any break-up into several forms of different appearance.

appearance. The view that subspeciation is in any large measure adaptive is not universally held. Only recently D. M. S. Watson (Watson and others, 1936) wrote, "It is probable that the differences between geographical races (which have only a statistical meaning) have no adaptive significance," a statement which is only a little less sweeping than his earlier one: "I do not know of a single case in which it has been shown that the differences which case in which it has been shown that the differences which separate two races of a mammalian species from one another have the slightest adaptive significance." Quite apart from the statement concerning the merely statistical nature of the dis-tinction between subspecies, which is by no means always or even usually true, this dictum would not correspond with the consensus of biological opinion (see, for instance, Grinnell, 1928). It is unlikely that mammals and birds would differ in this respect, and apart from the mammalian case of *Peromyscus*, we have that of the crested larks (*Galerida*) and other birds of semi-desert country in which Meinertzhagen (1921) has shown a strong correlation, undoubtedly protective, between colour of plumage and colour of soil. Moreau (1930) finds similar phenomena in some Egyptian bird subspecies. Again, in the African buffaloes, the gradual reduction of body-size and of relative horn-size shown by C. Christy (1929) to occur with increased density of forest is clearly adaptive. It is noteworthy in this case that skull-size is little affected: the difficulty of moving rapidly through dense forest would depend much more on body- and horn-size than on this. than on this.

In the African squirrel *Heliosciurus gambianus*, Ingoldby (1927) has shown a marked correlation between climatic conditions and visible characters, the forest forms being saturated in colour and larger, the savannah forms pale and smaller, and with all gradations between. The adaptive nature of these particular characters

is not apparent, but the close correlation of environmental and character gradients makes it impossible to believe that the characters are the result of chance: they are presumably, when not mere modifications, correlated with non-apparent adaptations (pp. 63, 206).

In this case, by the way, Ingoldby maintains that many forms do not have definite geographical areas, but recur sporadically as the climatic conditions dictate. This would be contrary to our experience in cases like that of *Peromyscus* which have been very thoroughly worked out, and it is likely that in the squirrels, in addition to these more obvious characters of pigmentation and size, others will be detected which will enable a truly geographical (as well as an ecological climatic) distribution to be worked out. The squirrels of the genus *Callosciurus* of Lower Burma (Thomas and Wroughton, 1916), which also show great colour-variation, do conform to such a scheme, certain species being sharply separated by the Chindwin River. Curiously enough, differentiation has been much more active on the east than on the west bank of the river; the differentiation, however, appears to take the form of a number of clines instead of well-defined subspecies (p. 219). The increase in wing-length of open-country subspecies when contrasted with forest forms of the same species, as found by

The increase in wing-length of open-country subspecies when contrasted with forest forms of the same species, as found by G. L. Bates (1931) in West African birds, is a clear case of adaptive difference. Similar adaptive differences in wings and tail have been found in the subspecies of fox-sparrows and shrikes by Linsdale (1928) and A. H. Miller (1931) respectively (pp. 182, 236). Bates also found various cases of clines or character-gradients

Bates also found various cases of clines or character-gradients correlated with environmental gradients. We shall give further examples of such character-gradients later (p. 206). As already pointed out, these, in so far as they are genetic, must be either directly adaptive or correlated with some internal physiological adaptation.

Undoubtedly genetic accident plays a part in determining the characters of subspecies; but its role will be most important in small and entirely isolated groups, whereas with groups showing continuous distribution over larger areas it will tend to be overshadowed by the influence of selection. We refer elsewhere to

194EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS504Some examples of the Sewall Wright effect, or drift (pp. 200, 242).
From the wealth of facts available, we cite a couple more here.
(Regulus) in the Azores, one generally distributed except
on the island of San Miguel, where alone the second form exists.
Using elaborate genetic analysis, Dobzhansky (1939a) finds that
Drosophila pseudoobscura has smaller effective breeding popu-
ations in the north of its range than in Mexico and Guatemala,
actions in the north of variation (pp. 200, 324; and below),
the degree of divergence is proportional to the time that has
ince elapsed, as well as to the effectiveness of the isolation of an
since elapsed, as well as to the effectiveness of the isolation. It is
a perfectly legitimate deduction that geographical variation
of the type we have been considering provides us with a cross-
section of a temporal process and that isolational divergence has
been considering provides us with a cross-
section of a temporal process and that isolation of a temporal process on the age of various Egyptian
basis of the known facts concerning post-glacial changes in
solo years, while less. He is inclined to put 5,000 years or slightly less. He is inclined to put 5,000 years, while less that subspecies on only have been colonized
in put systematic diversity. Moreau (1930) on the
sistence during the last 5,000 years or so, shown sn endemic
provides would hold for the subspecies of birds and mammals
provide would hold for the subspecies of birds and mammals
provide would hold for the subspecies of birds and mammals
provide would hold for the subspecies differentiated races
of frogs (p. 235). However, goldfinches (Carduelis cardwelis)
introduced, aparently recently, into Bermuda are now appreci-
provide would hold for the subspecie differentiation may
and the facts concerning ras and
provide would

In particular, the Faeroe house-mouse, *Mus musculus faeroensis*, which was introduced into the islands not much more than 250 years ago, is now so distinct that certain modern authorities have assigned full specific status to it (see Evans and Vevers, 1938).

assigned full specific status to it (see Evans and Vevers, 1938). Rabbits have been isolated on Skokholm island (S. Wales) for about six centuries. They now average 120 g. below mainland weight, and are blacker above. This is moderately rapid differentiation, though the result does not yet merit subspecific naming (Lockley, 1940).

Temperature must influence the rate of differentiation to a certain extent. Thus Hubbs (1940b) finds that the subspeciation and speciation of fish populations isolated by the desiccation of the American desert is more rapid in warm springs than in pools at normal temperature.

Accidental "drift" in small populations may, of course, rapidly bring about slight differentiation. Thus a colony of the heath fritillary butterfly (*Melitaea athalia*) deliberately introduced into Essex within the present century, is already noticeably smaller and darker than the Kent strain, from which it was derived and darker than the Kent strain, from which it was derived (Stovin, 1937). Harrison (1920a) showed that in the moth Oporabia autumnata two local populations inhabiting ecologically distinct woodlands, became quite distinct in size, colour, and certain physiological characters in a very short period of years. Salomon-sen (1938) gives evidence to show that the white-headed form of the barbet Lybius torquatus, which is localized to the east and south of Lake Nyasa, has spread westwards in the last forty years. This form (originally described as L. zombae) appears to have originated by at least two mutational steps, as pink-headed types, intermediate in various degree, are also found. At Somba in the eighteen-nineties about half the population still had light red heads, though no dark-red birds were present. In 1933, however, no light reds occurred, and, apart from an occasional light pink, all the birds were white. Salomonsen considers this as evidence of the transformation of a whole population by the spread of mutant genes, though Meise (1938, p. 68) thinks it represents the shifting of a zone of hybridization between two well-marked subspecies as a result of population-pressure. An extremely interesting point is brought out by Swarth (1920, p. 106, map), concerning the migratory habits of the fox-sparrow, Passerella iliaca. The unaleschensis group of subspecies breeds along the north-west coast of North America. Five well-marked subspecies succeed each other as we pass northwards along the coast. The southernmost (P. i. fuliginosa) is to all intents and purposes a resident. The others are migratory, but in their migrations play leap-frog over the intervening forms. Thus No. 2, reckoning in breeding range from south to north, winters just south of No. 1 (fuliginosa). No. 3 breeds north of No. 2, but winters to the south of No. 2's winter range; and Nos. 4, 5, and 6, whose breeding ranges succeed each other to the north-west of No. 3's, winter together in the extreme south of the winter range of the group.

The obvious explanation is that the resident subspecies persisted in its present range throughout the last glacial period. As the ice receded, No. 2 invaded new breeding territory, but was forced to winter south of the already occupied range of No. 1: Nos. 3, 4, 5, and 6 repeated the process, but the last three were crowded together into a single winter area close to the southern limit to which the species is adapted. If so, the differentiation of the northernmost subspecies must have been effected during the last 10,000 years or less.

In numerous instances, forms meriting classification as species are found geographically isolated from their nearest relatives, and must be presumed to have owed their origin to an extension of the divergence that leads to subspeciation. Naturally, they will tend to occur more often where the isolation is more thorough. We have mentioned the red grouse of Britain, *Lagopus scoticus*, whose nearest relative is the willow grouse of Scandinavia, *L. lagopus*; it should be recalled that one of the most important specific distinctions in this case is adaptive, namely the willow grouse's winter change of plumage to white, and the absence of this feature in the less extreme climate of Britain. The ptarmigan (*Lagopus mutus*), which is a bird of higher latitudes and altitudes, becomes white in winter in both regions.

Another case is that of the snail, Truncatellina britannica, closely

allied to and doubtless derived from the continental *T. rivierana*. Excellent examples from plants are found in the European Gesneriaceae, notably in the genus *Ramondia*—e.g. *R. serbica* from Serbia and neighbouring areas, *R. heldreichii* from Thessaly, and *R. pyrenaica* from the Pyrenees. These would thus constitute an *Artenkreis* (p. 179). Numerous other plant cases may be found in books such as Willis's *Age and Area* (1922) or in phytogeo-graphical works such as Turrill's *Plant Life of the Balkan Peninsula* (1929). In some cases the geographical variation appears adaptive, but in others, as for instance the marked fruit variation in *Clypeola jonthlaspi*, no adaptive interpretation can be given (Turrill, in Watson and others, 1936). We must, however, mention the view of Goldschmidt (1922) allied to and doubtless derived from the continental T. rivierana.

jonthlaspi, no adaptive interpretation can be given (Turrill, in Watson and others, 1936). We must, however, mention the view of Goldschmidt (1932, 1935, 1940) that the formation of geographical subspecies and that of true species are wholly distinct processes. The former, according to him, involves only quantitative modifications of a basic genetic pattern, while the latter involves the formation of a new inherent pattern. This production of a new type of equi-librium, he is inclined to think, is achieved abruptly. While this may apply in some cases (though there is no direct evidence for it as yet) it would appear impossible to deny that the divergence which produces subspecies does in fact often lead on to the pro-duction of species, more especially since the distinction between subspecies and species is not (and indeed cannot be) a sharp or universally agreed one (see p. 456). A rather different type of geographical subspecies may occur in rare species. Rare species will not normally be spread more or less continuously over a wide area, but will often exist in pockets here and there, whether because they have not been able to spread or because they are in process of being ousted by other species. In such cases there will already be considerable isolation of groups. Thus any selective agencies can work without being counteracted; further, even new non-adaptive mutations and recombinations can establish themselves much more readily in a small group (Wright, 1931, 1932, 1940). Indeed, in certain cases, the course of evolution may possibly be determined by mu-tation-pressure (Wright, 1940). We may distinguish these as *local*

198 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS subspecies from the areal or regional subspecies of abundant species, and it may be expected that they will owe their diver-gence more to chance recombination and less to selection; their distinctions will tend to be trivial and useless rather than adaptive. Bateson (1913) gives numerous examples of both types. We have already mentioned the case of the fern, Nephrodium spinulosum (p. 33). A slightly different example, since the range covered is greater, is afforded by the rare moth, Rhyacia alpicola. This occurs only in small restricted areas, in each of which considerable differentiation has occurred. One subspecies exists in Lapland, another in Ireland and Scotland, a third in the Shetlands, and a fourth in the Carpathians. Some of the local groups of the genus Sorbus (service-trees, etc.) seem to be local subspecies in this sense (Wilmott, 1934). One well-marked form, for instance, occurs only in the Avon Gorge, another in the Wye Valley, another only near Minchead, and so on.

and so on.

and so on. An interesting case of local variation, presumably mutative, is given by Salaman (Watson and others, 1936). The wild potato-like plant, *Solanum demissum*, in one part of its range is genetically resistant to common blight (*Phytophthora infestans*), but is sus-ceptible in another area. The resistant strain occurs in a region where blight is not found, so that we have here an example of potential pre-adaptation (pp. 450 seq.). The jimson-weed, *Datura stramonium*, shows geographical differentiation in regard to its chromosomal structure, various "prime types" produced by segmental interchange (p. 90) having a well-defined distribution (Blakeslee, Bergner and Avery, 1937). It is possible that they may all originally have shown geographical replacement, but the fact that the species is a readily-distributed weed has confused the distribution; in any case, some regions now contain two or more chromosomal races (p. 329). Some abundant species show highly localized varieties which

Some abundant species show highly localized varieties which may also be called local subspecies—for instance the common thyme, Thymus serpyllum, and the sea-campion, Silene maritima. The reason for such localized differentiation in these cases is obscure, as is the reason for the local existence of obvious single-

gene mutants, such as white-flowered plants, in patches or in isolated valleys.

Among birds where a presumed large mutation has become diagnostic of a taxonomic form is *B. brunneinuchus*, a wide-ranging species of sedentary habits (Chapman, 1923). *B. inor-natus* differs only in its slightly smaller size, and in the absence of the black breast-band characteristic of the former. Its habits and ecological preferences appear to be the same. It exists in a rather isolated valley in the centre of the range of *B. brubbeinu-*chus and there replaces its relative. There can be little doubt that chus and there replaces its relative. There can be little doubt that it represents a geographical form (probably a subspecies, not a species, however) of which the chief characteristic is the presum-ably abrupt (mutational) loss of the breast-band. In *B. assimilis* sporadic individuals of one well-marked subspecies show characters diagnostic of other subspecies or species: see also Chapman (1927). In the Papuo-Melanesian bird *Formenkreis Lalage aurea* (Mayr and Ripley, 1941, Amer. Mus. Novit. no. 1116) barred plumage of underparts has been independently lost at least five times, and independent mutation seems to have occurred in other clear-cut characters such as eyestripe. The buttercup *Ranunculus allegheniensis* appears to have differentiated in a way essentially similar to *Buarremon inornatus* (Gates, 1916), since it is found abundantly in a comparatively small area within the range of the widely-distributed *R. abortivus*, which it there replaces and from which it differs by a few minor characters and one striking, probably mutational distinction in

characters and one striking, probably mutational distinction in the shape of its achenes.

In passing, a curious case of geographical difference in Dro-sophila may be mentioned. Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1932a) finds that the wild-type alleles of the white-eye series in European and American D. melanogaster are not identical. The American allele mutates nearly double as often with the same dose of X-rays, and gives a higher proportion of full white genes among its mutations. Here we have a geographical difference in intrinsic capacity to vary.

The proof given by Wright, that non-adaptive differentiation will occur in small populations owing to "drift", or the chance

fixation of some new mutation or recombination, is one of the most important results of mathematical analysis applied to the facts of neo-mendelism. It gives accident as well as adaptation a place in evolution, and at one stroke explains many facts which puzzled earlier selectionists, notably the much greater degree of divergence shown by island than mainland forms, by forms in isolated lakes than in continuous river-systems. We have given numerous examples of such phenomena. Turesson (1927) uses the term "seclusion types" for such forms in plants. Recently Kramer and Mertens (1938a) have provided a quantitative demonstration of the principle, in their work on Adriatic lizards (*Lacerta sicula*). Surveys were made of the lizard population of a number of islands, and the degree of their divergence from the uniform mainland type was determined on an arbitrary scale. At the same time, the depth of water between each island and the mainland was noted; this can be regarded as a measure of the time during which the population has been isolated, since the islands fixation of some new mutation or recombination, is one of the mainland was noted; this can be regarded as a measure of the time during which the population has been isolated, since the islands have been formed by subsidence. Further, the area of the island can be used as a measure of population-size. It was found that degree of divergence showed definite partial correlations, both directly with length of isolation, and inversely with size of island. The table opposite, based on Kramer and Merten's data, brings out the point. Island-size is denoted on a logarithmic scale, subdivided in its lowest part, since the intensity of the Sewall Wright effect increases rapidly with decreasing size of population population.

population. o indicates identity with the mainland form, 4 the greatest divergence found. The least divergence is shown on large islands, the greatest on rather small islands after long separation; very small islands may show considerable divergence after very short separation (see also pp. 187 n., 195). In the white-eyes of the wide-ranging bird genus Zosterops (see p. 179 and Stresemann, 1931) the degree of differentiation of island species (or subspecies) appears to be correlated with a considerable number of factors—(a) directly: with (1) the age of the island, (2) the inherent mutability of the stock; (b) in-versely: with (3) the size of the island, (4) the predator-pressure

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 201

and degree of competition from related forms, (5) the degree of migration.

It should be noted that if a population is subjected to cyclical fluctuations of abundance, the determining factor is the size of the *minimum* effective breeding population. In extremely small populations, the Sewall Wright effect may even fix deleterious mutations, and so result in extinction. Various of the cases where protection of the remnant of a once-abundant species have failed

area (arbitrary	<u> </u>	6-12	12–18	18-24	24-30	30-36	depth
units) 0•5	21		2 1				(m)
0.2	I	I					
1-5	I, 2	I, 2	2, $2\frac{1}{2}$, $2\frac{1}{2}$, 3	3		4	
5-10	I						
10-100	0		2				
100-1,000	ţ		0				

DIFFERENTIATION IN ISLAND LIZARDS

to prevent further decline and eventual extinction are probably due to this cause. The best-documented example is the extinction of the subspecies of the prairie chicken known as the heath hen (Tympanuchus c. cupido), in spite of the most elaborate protective measures (Gross, 1928). Conservationists should take note of this. If their efforts to save a dwindling remnant of a species do not bring about a rapid increase of numbers, they are likely to be in vain: early action is essential.

Geographical differentiation may be carried far beyond the stage of broad subspecies to a high pitch of local detail. When small populations are completely or almost completely isolated

G*

from each other, almost every such population may develop its own distinctive characters. This is so, for instance, with *Partula* and other snails of the Pacific Islands (p. 232), with the insular lizard populations of the Adriatic and elsewhere (p. 200), with certain flightless grasshoppers of arid regions (Uvarov, *in verbis*), etc. Hubbs (1940b) finds marked differentiation in quite small populations of freshwater fish (a few hundred individuals), isolated in pools as a result of the desiccation of the American desert. Differentiation is then often apparently non-adaptive. Frequently the differences, though definite, are not considered by experienced taxonomists to merit a subspecific name: e.g. some of the insular lizards; various insular birds, such as the Fair Isle wren (see discussion in Huxley, 1939a, and in J. Fisher, 1939a) and others cited by Mayr (1931-38), etc. But in other cases, as in the grasshoppers just mentioned, the differentiation is considerable, and the only difference from ordinary subspecies lies in the small size of the groups. If a general term is needed for such cases, *microsubspecies* is perhaps preferable to that of *micro-race* proposed by Dobzhansky (1937). Goldschmidt (1940) uses the rather awkward term "subsubspecies".

Microsubspecies are preferably not to be given names subject to the international rules, since this would complicate the nomenclature unnecessarily.

Even finer differentiation may occur. Thus Diver (1939) in the snail Cepaea finds that the proportions of the various types of colour and banding vary from colony to colony, almost always in an arbitrary, non-graded way; he also gives similar examples from other land and freshwater molluscs. Lloyd (1912) and Hagedoorn and Hagedoorn (1917) found that among the rats of India and Java respectively there occurred highly localized groups with distinctive characters, often consisting of a few individuals only. Sometimes the distinctions seemed to be monofactorial, sometimes to depend on several different genes; in some cases the groups disappeared after quite a short time. In this case we have to do apparently with the effect of chance inbreeding on one or a few recessive genes; it is of interest, however, in demonstrating the high potential of variation available, through which geographical differentiation may appear in the event of complete isolation or of partial isolation accompanied by differential selection.

Gilmour and Gregor (1939) have recently proposed the term deme for "any specified assemblage of taxonomically closely related individuals". This should be useful to replace such cumbersome phrases as "local intrabreeding populations". The ultimate natural unit in sexually reproducing species is then the deme, and analysis is needed to show to what extent demes are isolated from each other (see also Buzzati-Traverso *et al.*, 1938).

In some instances, new types have been thrown up which spread from their centre of origin owing to some selective advantage, thus causing local differentiation of a peculiar type. When this occurs in an isolated population, the new type may oust the old within the area. This has happened with the melanic form of certain species of the bird *Coereba* in the West Indies (p. 94 n; Lowe, 1912), and is in progress with the melanic type of the opossum *Trichosurus vulpecula* in Tasmania (p. 104). It may, however, also occur in large or continental populations, as with the *simplex* tooth-character of *Microtus arvalis* in N. Germany (p. 105). Whether the resultant gradient in proportions of *simplex* and normal teeth will reach an equilibrium, or the *simplex* character will infect the whole species, remains for future generations of taxonomists to determine (p. 105).

In general, we may be sure that the analysis of invisible physiological characters, and the more intensive study of visible ones, will reveal that species are much more diversified geographically than is now generally recognized (for further examples, see Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 1940).

Wherever there is any appreciable isolation, not only will non-adaptive distinctions accumulate, but adaptation to local conditions will be able to proceed to a further pitch than where counteracted by free gene-flow. Further, internal (intra-group) clines (p. 220) will doubtless be revealed within populations of species which are not too mobile.

Out of these minor local differences, the processes of differentiation will create the obviously distinct groups which we call subspecies and species, and the obvious regularities of inter-group clines. But those which merit taxonomic naming will form but a small fraction of the total amount of geographical diversification. Mention should here be made of the views of Willis (1922,

Mention should here be made of the views of Willis (1922, 1940). Chiefly on the basis of studies of geographical distribution, he entirely rejects the selectionist view, and concludes that evolution is a largely automatic affair of differentiation produced by large mutations, followed by spread of the new type at a more or less constant rate, and by further differentiative variations in due course.

Unfortunately most of Willis' conclusions are vitiated by his Unfortunately most of Willis' conclusions are vitiated by his failure to take account of modern work. Thus he continues to accept Fleeming Jenkin's criticism of Darwin, namely, that new variations will be swamped by crossing, whereas, as R. A. Fisher in particular has shown (see p. 55), this objection has been entirely obviated by the discovery that inheritance is particulate. He adopts, in exaggerated form, de Vries' idea of large mutations, and appears to be unaware of the modern conception of the adjustment of mutations to the needs of the organism (p. 67). He does not refer to polyploidy as an evolutionary agency in higher plants. He makes a sharp distinction, which is quite un-justified on general biological grounds, between structural and functional adaptations. He concludes that, since localized endemic forms, e.g. on islands or mountain-tops, appear to have no adaptive value, they must have arisen by sudden mutation, whereas "drift" due to accidental recombinations in small popu-lations will clearly account for a great many of such cases (p. 58). It seems, further, that he has not adopted the principle of geo-graphical replacement as a basis for taxonomy. If this were done, many of his endemics would doubtless turn out to be, not new full species, but new subspecies produced by "drift", and it would be much easier to distinguish between such products of recent diversification and true relicts. He practically ignores zoological facts, notably in paleontology, which contradict some of his general conclusions such as that gradual adaptive improve-ment does not occur, that no important change is to be found in major groups during geological time, and that the distinctive failure to take account of modern work. Thus he continues to

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 205 characters of moderately large taxonomic units are not adaptive. He does not distinguish between euryplastic and stenoplastic for fight of protected by virtue of general vigour and viability. In conclusion, he neglects all the evidence that new types may arise in several quite distinct ways, and maintains that there is only one mode of evolutionary differentiation. If the extensive data which he has assembled could be analysed in the light of modern knowledge, instead of being lumped together to produce a heterogeneous mass from which purely statistical consequences can be drawn, it is probable that certain valuable conclusions could be reached. It is likely, for instance, that his general idea of "age and area", or progressive increase of range with time, would prove to hold for a number of forms, and to have interesting consequences. The further conclusions rived at in conjunction with Yule (Yule and Willis, 1922) that differentiation is also a function of time, and that genera tend to split into two at more or less regular intervals, may also be of importance, though, as examples such as *Lingula* or *Nautilus* (monstrate, it is certainly not universally valid. We have any endper of species per genus in flowering plants (apparently without taking into account the principle of geo-graphical replacement) is 14 or 15. But there are in all families a very large proportion of unispecific genera. Thus more than a third of the genera of Compositae (446 out of 1143) and of those of Caryophyllaceae (29 out of 78) are monotypes, with only one species each, indicating a very peculiar form of differen-tion. Further, the largest genus of a family is always relatively indicon. Further, the largest genus of a family is always relatively indicon. Further, the largest genus of a family. Facts such as these demand the most careful consideration. However, we can be suce that their meaning will not be clucidated by the purely demand the most careful consideration. However, we can be sure that their meaning will not be elucidated by the purely statistical methods used by Willis, but must wait upon the fullest analysis, notably ecological and cytological.

4. CLINES (CHARACTER-GRADIENTS)

The delimitation of named subspecies in different areas, each with their own distinctive mean and range of variability in respect of a number of characters, provides one means of pigeonholing the data of geographical differentiation. But, as we have already seen in discussing such cases as that of *Peromyscus*, this method does not cover a certain aspect of the facts, namely the frequent tendency of characters to change gradually and continuously over large areas.

In point of fact these character-gradients, or *clines*, to give them a convenient technical name (Huxley, 1939*a*, 1939*b*), appear to be much commoner than is generally supposed. Indeed, on any general Darwinian view, we should expect to find them as one of the general features of organic variation. Natural selection will all the time be moulding life adaptively into its environment; and since gradients in environmental factors are a widespread feature of the environmental mould, we should expect organisms to show corresponding adaptive gradients in their characters.

to show corresponding adaptive gradients in their characters. The adaptive characters directly affected may be visible characters such as absolute size, or relative ear-size in warmblooded animals; or they may be invisible, physiological features with no outward sign in the characters usually employed in taxonomy (e.g., the difference in temperature-resistance in different regional populations of *Drosophila* (p. 191); or the temperature-preferences of the races of the beetle *Carabus nemoralis* (Krumbiegel, 1932); or, as with the phototropism of the same species, they may be associated with slight differences in eycstructure; or finally, and it appears most frequently, they may be physiological features reflected in non-adaptive but taxonomically convenient correlates such as proportion of parts, or colour.

structure; or finally, and it appears most frequently, they may be physiological features reflected in non-adaptive but taxonomically convenient correlates such as proportion of parts, or colour. Broad environmental gradients exist in numerous general climatic factors, in relation primarily to latitude and altitude. Such graded climatic factors include temperature, humidity, solar intensity, relative day-length, and so forth. More restricted gradients are found in ecological factors, in relation to the change from one habitat to another-gradients in salinity or watercontent, in height of vegetation, in edaphic conditions, and so on. There is, of course, no sharp line to be drawn between geographical and ecological gradients. The gradient up a steep mountainside may be better styled ecological; but in many features it will repeat the general geographical gradient from the base of the mountain to higher latitudes. The point is that such gradients exist, and that they are of every size, from those of largest scale between the equatorial and polar regions, to those of extremely small scale like that in decreasing moisture round a pond.

How may we expect life to accommodate itself to these graded features of its environment? In the first place, their scale has an influence. Because of the rate of gene-flow through a population, a cline cannot usually establish itself as a characteristic of an interbreeding group unless the group covers a considerable area. The only way by which clines on a genetic basis may be established over small distances is by having a highly variable population of which different types are adapted to different ecological conditions. Selection will then automatically see to it that different proportions of the various types are found along the environmental gradient, even when this is quite short. Short clines of this type do exist, as we shall see later, in certain plants, e.g., *Plantago maritima* (p. 223). They are not, however, enduring characters of the species, but come and go within its plastic framework with the changes in ecological conditions. They will also tend to be repeated, *con variazioni*, in many localities, while large-scale climatic clines will be few in number, and will constitute characters of the species as definite and enduring as its measurements or its geographical range.

With regard to large-scale clines, the biological peculiarities of the species will of course have an influence, large size and high mobility tending to make them less prominent, and vice versa (see p. 239).

Any continuously-graded variation will tend to be broken up by various factors. In the first place the accidents (biologically speaking) of complete or almost complete geographical isolation will introduce discontinuities. These will interrupt gene-flow, and so not only allow local selection to act more effectively (as we saw with the island subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus*, p. 186), but also permit the Sewall Wright effect of non-adaptive differentiation to occur wherever the isolated populations are small. The first effect will tend to break up a continuously sloping cline into sharp steps, while the second will impose non-adaptive features upon it, sometimes quite obscuring any underlying regularity.

teatures upon it, sometimes quite obscuring any underlying regularity. Biological discontinuities will also break up the continuity of clines. Here again, neo-mendelian principles have pointed the way to important deductions. We have shown in an earlier chapter how the effects of major genes are selectively adjusted, individually and mutually, by means of combinations of modi-fiers to suit the needs of the organism, notably in giving maximum vigour and fertility. There is an internal adaptation of the gene-complex as well as an external adaptation of characters. This extension of the principle of genic balance we may call the principle of harmoniously-stabilized gene-complexes. Let us now consider what will happen within a continuous population spread over a large area in which markedly different climatic conditions occur in different regions, but with the extremes connected by environmental gradations. Selection will then be operative and will tend to adapt the population locally; however, this local adaptation will be impeded and graded by gene-flow. But wherever some accident, such as temporary or partial isolation, allows selection full scope, local adaptation will be intensified, and the major adaptive genes will be fortified by internal adaptation until a local harmoniously-stabilized gene-complex is built up. Once this occurs, the resultant extra vigour and fertility will permit the bearers of this gene-complex to spread beyond the area to which they were originally adapted. adapted.

If several such gene-complexes arise within the area of the species, they will tend to spread until they meet. As Summer (1932, p. 76) has stressed, local groups must be regarded as in a dynamic equilibrium based on relative population-pressures. He compares them, to a series of balloons in contact, the population-

pressures being here represented by the gas-pressures in the balloons. Groups with high population-pressure, resulting from successfully stabilized gene-complexes, will spread, and groups whose relative population-pressure is below a certain threshold may actually be extinguished, their remnants being incorporated into and transformed by the more successful groups (see p. 187). What his simile does not explain, however, is the permanence of the skin of the balloons—as represented in nature by the relatively sharp delimitation of subspecific groups. As we have seen (pp. 182 seq.), in many cases, adjacent subspecies are separated by a relatively narrow zone of intergradation. What maintains this zone? Why does not gene-flow broaden it and break down the sharp distinction between the two subspecies? On the principle we have been following out, the answer is

the sharp distinction between the two subspecies? On the principle we have been following out, the answer is simple. Crosses between two harmoniously-stabilized gene-complexes will give relatively disharmonious gene-combinations. The zone of intergradation will constantly be renewed by inter-crossing; but it will as constantly be prevented from spreading by selective elimination in favour of the better internal adaptations on either side, even though it may shift its position (p. 249). This principle doubtless also explains why the zone of recombination between two markedly distinct yet interfertile forms which have met after differentiating in isolation, in some cases remains so narrow, notably in the crows (p. 248). We here meet with a new type of biological discontinuity—a *partial discontinuity*, as opposed to the complete discontinuity found between full species. Where the "biological tension" between different portions of a widespread species is sufficient, a condition of equilibrium will be reached, represented by a series of distinct subspecies passing into each other by inter-breeding at narrow zones of intergradation.* This will be facilitated by partial environmental discontinuities

This will be facilitated by partial environmental discontinuities such as partial barriers, or unfavourable zones where population-

^{*} A suggestive ecological parallel exists in the way in which relatively uniform biotic communities pass into each other across narrow intermediate zones (see Elton, 1927, ch. 1). Such zones are sometimes styled "tension zones" (cf. Elton, 1938). In both cases, environmental continuity is reflected in partial organic discontinuity.
<page-header><text><text><text><text>

instance, by Rothschild and Jordan, 1903): some arc, and some arc not.

instance, by Rothschild and Jordan, 1903): some are, and some are not. The breaking up of a continuous population into subspecies by the physical discontinuities of geographical barriers and the biological partial discontinuities of narrow intergrading zones will profoundly modify any cline systems present. The continu-ously sloping character-gradient that simple *a priori* considerations might lead us to expect is converted into a staircase or a stepped ramp, the separate subspecies corresponding with the treads, flat or gently sloping, and these being either united by steep slopes —the zones of intergradation—or, in the case of completely isolated subspecies, remaining unconnected. The mean or modal values for the several subspecies will often fall on a gradient. This may be called an external or intergroup cline; when the characters of a subspecies change slightly or gradually across the area of its distribution, giving a sloping tread in the staircase, we may speak of its showing an internal cline. Intergroup clines are a very frequent feature of geographical differentiation, and appear usually to be correlated with corre-sponding gradients in environmental features, though Mayr (1940) cites some clines in tropical birds where no such corre-lation can be found. A summary of the chief generalizations concerning them is to be found in Rensch (1933*a*, 1938*a*), and an excellent discussion in Goldschmidt (1940). The most impor-tant of these have been called Bergmann's Rule, Allen's Rule, and Gloger's Rule, after their most important proponents. They lead to much parallel variation in related species, though all of them are broad correlations only; with a considerable number of exceptions.

exceptions.

exceptions. Borgmann's rule may be stated thus. Within a polytypic warm-blooded species, the body-size of a subspecies usually increases with decreasing mean temperature of its habitat. A detailed statistical study by Rensch showed that in the great majority of cases this rule holds good for birds. For Corvidae and Picidae there are hardly any exceptions, and in general the rule applies in 70 to 90 per cent of cases. The rule also applies to mammals, though here the exceptions are more numerous. It is

212 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS clear that size may be modified in other ways, e.g. by selection in relation to type and abundance of food. We have already noted the fact that the size-gradient between forest and open-country forms runs in opposite directions in buffaloes and squirrels in Africa. The reason for the greater number of exceptions in mammals is doubtless to be found in various biological peculi-arities of the group, such as hibernation, temperature-regulation by means of greater or less growth of hair, nocturnal habit, use of burrows and dens, etc.; thus the burrowing *Microtus* behaves contrary to Bergmann's Rule (Dale, 1940). Rensch has shown (1939b) that the correlation in temperate regions is with winter minimum temperature. This is what would be expected, selection being exerted by the most rigorous conditions. It may be prophesied that in semi-tropical areas the correlation will be with the maximum temperature in the hot season. Recent studies (Salomonsen 1933, Huxley 1939a) have enabled

propresed that in semi-tropical areas the correlation will be with the maximum temperature in the hot season. Recent studies (Salomonsen 1933, Huxley 1939a) have enabled a beginning to be made with a quantitative study of Bergmann's rule. Thus for three polytypic species of birds in Western Europe, a change of I per cent in wing-length requires a difference of 2° N. latitude in the redpolls (*Carduelis flammea*), of just over 1° in the puffins (*Fratercula arctica*), and of only a little over $0 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ in the wrens (*Troglodytes troglodytes*). Other measures of size (beak in puffins, tarsus in wrens) show approximately the same rate of change as the wing, indicating that the effect is on the animal as a whole. The total relative change is least in the wrens (about 12 per cent of lowest wing-length), and highest in the puffins (nearly 50 per cent), but the range of the last-named is from Majorca to Spitsbergen, whereas the size-cline in the wrens is only exhibited between the N. of Scotland and Iceland, the wren population of mainland Britain and Western Europe being very stable. Such differences presumably result from differences in selective intensity, but it is difficult at the moment to see why, e.g., there should be less effect in the tiny redpolls than in the relatively large puffins.

relatively large puffins. In cold-blooded animals, matters are more complex, types often appearing to have an environmental optimum where they attain their maximum size. In frog species, forms from colder

climates seem to be larger, with relatively shorter hind legs (Porter, 1941; and see Pflüger and Smith, 1883). Allen's rule also is correlated with temperature. It states that

Allen's rule also is correlated with temperature. It states that in warm-blooded species, the relative size of exposed portions of the body (limbs, tail, and ears) decreases with decrease of mean temperature. We have already noted this for *Peromyscus* species. Statistical treatment showed that it applied in 80 to 85 per cent of small mammals investigated by Rensch, and to almost the same extent for wing-length in birds (five families of non-migratory North American birds).

This rule also appears to hold for related species as well as related subspecies: e.g. for ears in foxes (Hesse, 1924). When the temperature is at all extreme, ear-size is of considerable adaptive value, small ear-size reducing heat-loss in cold climates, large car-size facilitating heat-loss in hot climates.

car-size facilitating heat-loss in not climates. Rensch (1938*a*) has shown that Allen's rule is in part purely a consequential effect of the negative allometry of the parts con-cerned, but that this must in many cases have been accentuated by selection in relation to heat-loss (see p. 547). Both Bergmann's and Allen's rule may be included under the more general principle that in homothermous forms body-surface relative to bulk tends to decrease with decreasing outer

temperature.

These effects prove to be genetic in every case as yet tested. It is noteworthy, however, that temperature also has a direct effect of the same type on such organs, but the modification is not permanently inherited (Przibram, 1925).

Pigmentation also shows marked geographical gradients, but these are rather more complex. Gloger's rule applies to pigmen-tation in warm-blooded species. In its modern formulation it states that intensity of melanin pigmentation tends to decrease with mean temperature (though the operative factor may possibly be light rather than temperature); however, humidity also has an effect, great humidity together with high temperature promoting the formation of the black cumclanins, while aridity together with high temperature promotes the substitution of the yellowish-or reddish-brown phaeomelanins. Phaeomelanins tend not to be

found in cooler conditions even if arid. Thus the maximum depth of pigmentation will be found in humid and hot climates, the minimum in arctic climates. Heat and aridity, as in subtropical deserts, will promote yellowish and reddish browns, while lower temperature and aridity, as in steppes, will promote greys and grey-browns.

grey-browns. Among numerous examples, the studies of A. Roberts (1935, 1938) on S. African birds may be cited, though he is inclined to find geographical regularities also in other colours and in striping. Rensch's statistical investigations showed Gloger's rule to apply in 85-90 per cent of cases. We have seen a good example of the results in African squirrels (p. 192). The African buffaloes (C. Christy, 1929), with their red forms in forests and black forms in open country, constitute an exception. Lipochrome pigmenta-tion tends to be of lower intensity in hot arid regions. Invertebrates also show pigmentation-gradients, e.g. bumble-bees, wasps, beetles, butterflies; but these are complex (see p. 262). Lizards (*Gerrhonotus*) in western North America show distinct clines (Fitch, 1938), size and relative tail-length decreasing with decrease of temperature. Dobzhansky (1933), by genetic analysis in lady-beetles, has made it possible to demonstrate a genetic cline underlying geographical variation in *Harmonia axyridis*. In all such cases, since related forms will tend to show similar effects, parallel evolution often results. Vogt (1909 and 1911)

In all such cases, since related forms will tend to show similar effects, parallel evolution often results. Vogt (1909 and 1911) gives numerous cases among bumblebees (Bombus), and G. L. Bates (1931) among West African birds. Aldrich and Nutt (1939) find that in Newfoundland all resident birds which exhibit any geographical variation are exceptionally dark, often more so on the more humid castern coast. An excellent example is given by Mayr and Serventy (1938) from birds of the Australian genus Acanthiza. Several species show a concentric arrangement of subspecies, those in the arid interior being pale, while those on the S.W. coast and a small part of the less humid S.E. coast are very dark. An interesting feature of this case is that the boundaries of the subspecies do not always overlap exactly in different species, but may run parallel at some distance from each other. Mayr and Serventy are inclined to interpret this on the basis of

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 215 differing rates of evolutionary adjustment to environment. It is, however, just as likely that the pigmentary expression of what-eye physiological adaptation is involved, may differ from used to species. See also Rensch (1936). The interesting case concerns the crested larks (*Galerida*) of N. Africa and S. Europe (Rothschild and Hartert, 1911). Two closely allied species, *G. cristata* and *G. theklae*, largely overlap in range, but are ecologically differentiated. Both have numerous subspecies, which show parallel variation in coloration correlated theklae). *G. theklae* also shows a cline in song, which becomes more prolonged as one passes from north to south in Africa. Thumerous other geographical clines appear to exist. The numerous other geographical clines appear to exist. The within bird species, and the form of the wing becomes more or prolonged as one passes from north to south in Africa. The species in salinity (e.g. in the Baltic; but this may be only a non-genetic modification); the number of fin-rays and vertebrary in many fish varies inversely with temperature; relative heart-weight decreases with temperature in warm-blooded species; to in species of birds with a mixed diet, etc. The selective interpretation of such clines gives a rational have just discussed; and to the consequent parallel variation. This is well discussed by Goldschmidt (1940), p. 83, who sub-sumes all the Rules under the head of "parallelism of subspecifi-fines". This parallelism may lead to forms which are taxono-mically indistinguishable being evolved independently in several reas. Under current taxonomic practice, these are lumped ogether under one subspecific name. Thus the woodpecker pically indistinguishable being evolved (1938) state that in the fully *Messia argentauris sanguiniceps* appears to have evolved in the Western Himalayas, Southern Malacca, and Cochin China (Jais, 1937); and Mayr and Greenway (1938) state that in the is many fash varies inverse populations

216 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS clear case for subsidiary taxonomic terminology (p. 405), whether specified in the form of clines or descriptive ecological terms. In addition to such general or widespread gradients, mani-fested in many related and unrelated species, others appear to exist which apply only to limited groups (Rensch, 1933*a*). From among the wealth of possible examples we may adduce a few more concrete instances to illustrate the principle. We have mentioned the geographical variation of the gipsy-moth, *Lymantria dispar*. Goldschmidt (1934, p. 170) summarizes the geographically-varying characters which he has investigated genetically. These include (i) characters which, in his view, are definitely adaptive:—the male and female sex-factors, which differ in potency; the length of larval development; the length of the diapause; (ii) characters which Goldschmidt considers undoubtedly to be correlated with other distinctions which are adaptive:—the number of moults (four in both sexes); the total size (weight) of the animal; the larval pigmentation; the colour of the imaginal abdominal hair; and (iii) characters which seem to have neither direct nor indirect (correlated) adaptive value:— the imaginal wing-colour. the imaginal wing-colour.

Clines appear to exist in regard to many of these characters: in general these are very gentle in the main holarctic land-mass but much steeper, and with more tendency to sharp breaks, in the eastern Asiatic region. However, the clines for different phenotypic characters are not always coincident.

phenotypic characters are not always coincident. This species is the only one in which a full mendelian analysis has been made of the genetic basis for geographically-varying characters. It is interesting to find that most are controlled by a series of multiple alleles, whose effect is often reinforced by cytoplasmic influence. Length of diapause, however, and colour of abdominal hair are determined by a set of independent multiple (polymeric) genes; and wing-colour appears to be determined partly by a series of multiple alleles, partly by four other inde-pendent genes. (In *Peromyscus*, Summer found that almost all subspecific differences depended on several genes, which he considered to be independent multiple factors.)

A peculiar cline is found in the insular populations of the deer-mouse, *Peromyscus maniculatus*, on the islands of Georgia Strait, British Columbia (Hall, 1938), in relation to distance from the mainland. Within a mere fourteen miles, body-length increases from 84 to 103 mm., and tail-length decreases from 94 to 66 mm., almost halving the tail-body ratio. It seems impossible to correlate this with any of the usual geographical rules. The small copper butterfly, *Heodes phloeas*, analysed by Ford (1924), shows distinct gradients in certain regions, while in others the distribution is irregular, and in still others, such as North

the distribution is irregular, and in still others, such as North America, there is hardly any variation over large areas. Ford considers that this last fact is due to the species having only recently colonized the region, so that there has been inadequate time for geographical differentiation. Certain characters of the swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio dardanus*, show a graded distribution (Ford, 1936), as do some of *Acraea johnstoni* (Carpenter, 1932). In this and other butterflies with polymorphic females, a poly-morph-ratio gradient in the proportions of the forms may often be observed (Eltringham, 1910; Carpenter, 1932), as is also the case in the polymorphic foxes (p. 103), the guillemot (p. 105), etc. The fulmar petrel, *Fulmarus glacialis*, shows a condition intermediate between the dimorph-ratio cline (in the propor-tions of two sharply distinct forms), and the continuous gradation (J. Fisher, 1939b). Here there is a primary distinction between pale and dark (blue) forms, but the blue types exist in various degrees of intensity, and there is a cline towards a greater pro-portion of the deeper blue types in the far north.

degrees of intensity, and there is a cline towards a greater pro-portion of the deeper blue types in the far north. The fox-sparrows studied by Swarth (1920) show character-gradients, but these are by no means simple. The sharp steepening of the gradients at the zones of intergradation between subspecies is again prominent. In some regions, different trends occur in different directions. Finally, there are certain apparent anomalies in the trends. Swarth suggests that these depend upon migratory habit, since the type would be influenced (whether by selection or otherwise) by conditions in their winter range as well as by those in their breeding quarters. The zebras of the Burchell's zebra group (*Eauus burchelli*) show

The zebras of the Burchell's zebra group (Equus burchelli) show

an interesting cline (see Shortridge, 1934). The equatorial forms, covering two-thirds of its north-south range, are fully striped; south of the Zambesi the striping is progressively reduced, first on the tail and legs (*E. b. burchelli*), and then on the hinder half of the body (E. b. quagga, the true quagga, now extinct, and often regarded as a separate species). Here a threshold value for striping has been reached at a certain latitude.

An interesting case is that of the cole tit, *Parus ater*. One of the characters by which the Irish subspecies, *P. a hibernicus*, is distinguished from the British, *P. a. britannicus*, is the amount of yellow lipochrome pigment in the plumage, manifested especially in the yellowish colour of its under-parts. Occasional specimens, however, lack this feature and these are more common towards the north-east of Ireland; and occasional specimens from Wales show varying degrees of the characters of the Irish form (Witherby, 1938-41). It would seem that the Irish Channel has introduced a considerable discontinuity into a coloration-gradient (see Huxley, 1939a).

In this case, it is interesting to note, the various forms appear to differ primarily in regard to rate-genes (p.528 ff) affecting the rate of deposition and final amount of lipochrome pigment. This seems also to be the case in the African buffaloes just mentioned, though here the pigment concerned is melanin (see discussion in Huxley, 1939a). A similar case is that of the *Rassenkreis* of the palearctic goshawk *Accipiter gentilis* (Gladkov, 1941). The subspecies to the N. and E. are lighter, and in them the young birds, which are always darker than the adults, show an earlier onset of the lightening process. This species also obeys Bergmann's rule.

rule. In the case of *Parus ater* we have apparently an approximation to the condition of the stepped ramp, in which the subspecies show internal clines. A similar example has already been men-tioned in *Peromyscus polionotus* (p. 187). In both these cases the internal clines of certain subspecies appear to be confined to the margins of the areas of distribution, while in *P. polionotus* a pigmentary cline is continuous across the whole subspecific area. Among the silver pheasants (Beebe, 1921), Gennaeus shows

large-scale colour-clines in all the main species. In addition, there has been considerable hybridization along the boundaries of species or marked subspecies, producing irregular genoclines. The internal cline in the moth *Platysamia* (Sweadner, 1937) appears to be a genocline, due to hybridization between two distinct forms brought together by post-glacial migration. The clines in the frequency of blood-group genes in man across the Palearctic (Haldane, 1940) are also undoubtedly due to migration; where natural barriers occur, the slope of the clines is much steepened.

Løppenthin (1932) describes a continuous cline in the colour of the under parts (from chestnut to pure white) in the common nuthatch (*Sitta caesia*) from several hundred miles from west to east across north-central Europe. At either end, the cline passes over into forms which are stable over considerable areas—i.e. geographical subspecies. It is possible that here, too, we are dealing with a genocline resulting from hybridization between two distinct forms which have met subsequently to differentiation; possibly, however, it is a true geographical internal cline related to an environmental gradient, and such clines may turn out to be commoner than now supposed. The differentiation of the squirrel *Callosciurus sladeni* along the Chindwin river is considered by Thomas and Wroughton (1916) to be into numerous subspecies: their own data, however, make it probable that it is really into two colour-clines, separated by a tributary (see p. 227). Again, Fleming and Snyder (1939) in the song-sparrow *Melospiza melodia* find a continuous N.W.-S.E. colour-cline across Ontario.

L. L. Snyder (1935), in a study of the sharp-tailed grouse (*Pedioecetes phasianellus*) of North America, finds that, in addition to distinct subspecies of the usual type, there is a colour-cline from north to south over the Great Plains. He hesitates whether to give trinomials to various forms within this cline. Although, as he says (p. 59), this would be quite a normal procedure according to present taxonomic practice, this does not mean that it would be justified (see p. 226).

would be justified (see p. 226). We may be sure that many forms have been accorded subspecific rank because the conferring of a trinomial was the only

220 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS accepted method of distinguishing them, whereas in reality they represent only points on a continuous cline. Once the idea of clines is generally accepted, we may safely prophesy that an increasing number of cases of clines will come to light, often replacing series of subspecies. Examples of continuous (internal) geoclines within extensive populations are as yet infrequent, doubtless because they are less readily detected. However, a very interesting case is that of the honey-bees, studied by Alpatov (1929). In the plain of European Russia, a gradient occurs in tongue-length. This increases from north to south so regularly that the change can be reasonably well represented by a mathematical equation connecting tongue-length and latitude ($\gamma = 10.3219 - 0.07559x$, where $\gamma =$ tongue length in mm., and x = degrees of N. latitude). The tongue-length ranges from 5.726 mm. to 6.733 mm. A north-south gradient towards smaller absolute body-size,

A north-south gradient towards smaller absolute body-size, larger relative leg-size, relatively broader wings, lighter abdomen-colour and other characters, including certain points of behaviour, is also apparent.

is also apparent. In the Caucasus, this gradient is continued with decreasing latitude for tongue-length and relative leg-length, but is reversed (presumably in relation to decrease of temperature with altitude) for abdomen-colour and relative size of wax-glands. This shows how valuable the specification of character-gradients may be as an additional method of taxonomic description, as does the fact previously cited (p. 217) that in fox-sparrows (*Passerella*) different character-gradients run in different directions; the same is true for *Lymantria* (Goldschmidt, 1940, pp. 69–70, 84). No such graded variation is to be found in North America: this is due to the fact that the honey-bee is there a recent importa-

this is due to the fact that the honey-bee is there a recent importa-tion. The geographically-graded characters are all or mostly genetic. Some of them appear to be adaptive, e.g. the tongue-length in relation both to the type of flora and the average level of nectar in the flowers.

Another interesting case is that of the lady-bird beetles (Coccin-ellidae) studied by Dobzhansky (1933). In many of these, poly-morphism exists, several qualitatively distinct non-intergrading

types being found within the species. Character-gradients of two sorts are found in the group, one concerning the frequency of the different qualitative types, the other affecting the quantitative development of a single character of a particular type or types. Humidity, and to a certain degree low temperature, appear to favour depth of pigmentation, though the correlation is by no means complete. Various species show well-marked pigmentation clines around centres of light and of dark forms.

This example is interesting as combining the two types of internal or intra-group clines—in quantitative characters and in polymorph ratio (p. 103).

A similar combination seems to exist in the gyrfalcon (Falco rusticolus). Its various forms may prove to be better represented by a single cline (involving an increase of size and in percentage of light-coloured birds with increasing N. latitude) than by the usual method of subspecific naming. Witherby (1938-41) distinguishes a very dark subspecies from Labrador, a moderately dark (typical) subspecies from the north of the western palearctic, a moderately light subspecies from Iceland, and a very pale subspecies from N. Greenland, as well as others from Siberia and Arctic Canada.

However, in some localities a certain number of contrasted types occur. Thus a minority of N. Greenland birds are indistinguishable from the typical Iceland form. In Iceland, there is a considerable range of variation, and a typical Iceland form and one similar to the pale Greenland type have been recorded in the same brood. The S. Greenland population is indistinguishable from that of Iceland. The "subspecies" from Siberia and Altai show great variation, and can only be separated on the basis of the relative abundance of the various types they contain. Bird and Bird (1941) state that the very dark forms are in the great majority in Labrador, but that some occur in S.W. Greenland. Variation is at its lowest in N.E. Greenland, and they wish

Bird and Bird (1941) state that the very dark forms are in the great majority in Labrador, but that some occur in S.W. Greenland. Variation is at its lowest in N.E. Greenland, and they wish to restrict the N. Greenland subspecies to this area, while admitting that some birds from Arctic Canada are as pale. They lump all the birds from Labrador, Arctic Canada, and S. Greenland into one subspecies, in spite of the great local variation, and in spite of the identity in colour of the S. Greenland with the Iceland birds.

It would appear much more logical to include the whole population in a single cline; the relative lack of variation in the N.E. Greenland birds would then be due to their being close to the limit for pale colour.

Juveniles are always darker than adults, but those forms with lighter adults have lighter juveniles. The variation is therefore quite possibly genetically dependent, like those in buffaloes and cole tits (p. 218), on rate-genes affecting the rate of deposition of pigment.

Polymorph-(dimorph-)ratio clines have a special interest for the selectionist, since the continued existence of two or more sharply marked types within a population implies a selective balance between them (p. 97; and see Ford, 1940a). When a cline exists in the proportion between the two, the geographical conditions along it may give a clue to the selective factors involved.

A recent study of primroses (*Primula vulgaris*) by Crosby (1940) shows how the origin of a mutation with positive selective value may give rise to a temporary polymorph-ratio cline. Primulas normally show heterostyly with the two types, pin and thrum, approximately equal in frequency. In one area, however, large numbers of long homostyles were found. If, as seems probable, these are normally self-fertilized, their numbers will increase, and those of the other types decrease, thrum more so than pin. If the mutation arose in one centre, it would spread, and the ratios of the three types would change with distance from the centre and with time. The preliminary counts so far made are not conclusive, but do not contradict this hypothesis (see p. 313).

A remarkable cline in regard to sexual dimorphism, but affecting species instead of subspecies, is found in the flycatchers (*Pomaea*) in the Marquesas. The northernmost species, *P. iphis*, has pied black-and-white males and brown females; the central *P. mendozae* has black males and probably pied females; and the southernmost *P. whitneyi* is black in both scxes (Murphy, 1938).

Colman (1932) has made careful measurements on the shells of the periwinkle, *Littorina obtusata*. He finds great variability in size and form, but the populations from the two sides of the Atlantic cannot be distinguished statistically. Here and there, distinct gradients occur. For instance, in passing up the New England coast a marked change in shape occurs along a portion of Maine, the shells becoming thinner, with taller spires. Biometric investigations of this sort on molluscs with a wide range should provide useful data linking ecology with systematics. The application of the principle of geographical replacement to plants is revealing intergroup clines. Thus Rensch (1939c) finds a west to east increase in the divided condition of the leaves

in a Rassenkreis of the pasque-flower, Pulsatilla.

We may next consider ecological clines (ecoclines). In general, as already pointed out, these will tend to be repeated, with variations in slope, form, and extent, in numerous regions of a distribution area. The increase of shell-thickness with aridity in land snails appears to be one such example (see instances in Rensch, 1932). There appear to be numerous examples of altitudinal clines, notably in size, in bird species: see Chapman and Griscom (1924) for wrens (*Troglodytes*), Danis (1937) for wood-peckers (*Picus*), Dementiev (1938) for various genera, and Mayr (1931-40, No. 41) for the honcy-buzzard (*Henicopernis*). Schmidt (1918) demonstrated a gradient in number of vertebrae

in the sedentary fish Zoarces viviparus in various Norwegian fjords, the number decreasing with distance from the open sea. Vertebral number and other characters in fish appear often to

show a broadly graded distribution (Regan, 1926; Hubbs, 1934). In many plants, very short ecoclines may exist. Gregor's investigations on *Plantago maritima* (1938*a*, 1939) indicate that these are produced anew in each generation by selection from these are produced anew in each generation by selection from among a wide range of ecotypes present in the species—an important general conclusion. The differences involved may be considerable; thus scape-length runs from just above 20 cm. in-waterlogged coastal mud types to nearly 50 cm. in those from maritime rock. In addition, large-scale geographical clines (which Gregor calls *topoclines*) exist for certain characters which do not show ecoclines, e.g. the ratio of scape-length to spike-length increases from west to cast from western America (3.2) via **eastern** America and Iceland to western continental Europe (4.9). Topoclines have been shown to exist in *Pinus* (Langlet, 1937) and *Iris* (Anderson, 1928), and will doubtless prove a common feature of plants as more attention is directed to the subject.

A case of abrupt steepening of a gradient is seen in the silver pheasant, Gennaeus. The areas of two well-marked forms, one pheasant, Gennaeus. The areas of two well-marked forms, one with dark and the other with vermiculated plumage, are separated by a more sparsely populated region where no two individuals seem to be alike. Baker (1930, p. 295) puts this down to the rapid variation in geographical and climatic factors in the inter-mediate region. It is more probable, however, that these are two forms which have met after differentiating in separate regions (pp. 243 seq.): they are in any case so different that Baker places them in different species (Gennaeus h. horsfieldii and G. lineatus oatesi). See also Ghigi (1909), Beebe (1921), and p. 218. Gennaeus, as a form open to genetic analysis, merits intensive investigation. Numerous other examples of clines of various types will be found in Robson and Richards (1936); but enough will have been said to demonstrate their widespread existence and their impor-tance in many groups of organisms.

said to demonstrate their widespread existence and their impor-tance in many groups of organisms. As Rensch points out, the various empirical rules concerning grad-ients enable us to prophesy with considerable accuracy what will be the appearance of subspecies from areas as yet uninvestigated. Although some of these effects (pigmentation; altered propor-tion of extremities, etc.), may be induced experimentally as pure modifications, it appears certain that most of the differences seen in nature are determined genetically. As regards their biological meaning, while some of them, such as change in relative size of heart, of digestive organs, and of ear-size, appear to be, in whole or in part, directly adaptive, many must be presumed to be correlated with less obvious but more fundamental adaptive changes in metabolism and activity, such as those evidenced by the thyroid of *Peromyscus* subspecies (p. 188). It is clear that, since humidity and temperature often vary in different ways, gradients in pigmentation will often run across each other. Doubtless many other character-gradients may run in different directions. A. H. Miller (1931) has demonstrated this independence of character-gradients for some characters of the

shrikes (Lanius) that he studied, and so has Swarth (1920) for the fox-sparrow, Passerella (see pp. 182, 196, 217, 220).

It should be mentioned that geographical clines do not always occur. When a population is thus uniform over a large area, the uniformity may be correlated with uniformity in environmental conditions, e.g. in the wood-mouse *Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis* (Dice, 1937).

Again, marked gradients sometimes exist for some characters, but not for others. Thus in South American wrens (*Troglodytes musculus*), Chapman and Griscom (1924) find a distinct increase of size with altitude (doubtless a temperature effect), but little correlation of colour with any environmental factor. This latter fact they put down to the supposedly very recent date of the extension of the species over the continent (cf. *Heodes* in North America: p. 217). If so, then selection for increased size in low temperatures must be more intense and therefore more rapid in its effects than selection, e.g. in humid areas, for whatever characters produce changes of coloration as their correlates.

temperatures must be more intense and therefore more rapid in its effects than selection, e.g. in humid areas, for whatever characters produce changes of coloration as their correlates. It should be mentioned that Reinig (1939) has criticized Rensch's views as to the adaptive origin of the clines connected with the Geographical Rules, and substitutes a theory according to which they are due to selective elimination of genes during postglacial migration from glacial "refuges". While this explanation may hold good for some forms, such as the red decr *Cervus elaphus*, or the swallowtail *Papilio machaon*, it would seem certainly not to be of general application. His views, however, are another reminder that clines are of common occurrence, and originate in numerous distinct ways.

The general existence of character-gradients within species and groups of related species is a fact of major biological importance which has been fully established only within the last few decades. As detailed work proceeds, and is backed by genetical and ecological study, we may prophesy that the mapping of charactergradients will provide an important method of taxonomic analysis, complementary to that afforded by the characterizing of named subspecies. It should, for instance, be possible to show on a map the lines of maximum change for different characters. If the extreme values for different populations of the species are designated 0 and 100, the plotting of intermediate values (pheno-contours or *isophenes* (p. 104) will give a contour map of the character-change.

character-change. Such mapping will obviously permit of important studies in comparative systematics—the determination of regularities and differences in the correlation of character-gradients with environ-mental gradients, the tendency for subspecific boundaries to occur in certain regions (Reinig, 1938; Grinnell, 1928), the relative variability of different species, and so forth. The specification of inter-group clines will permit biologists to obtain a much clearer picture of the inter-relationships of the subspecies of a polytypic species, especially when (as will probably prove to be the rule) clines for different characters run in different directions.

species, especially when (as will probably prove to be the rule) clines for different characters run in different directions. In most cases, clines should be employed as a terminology which is purely subsidiary to that of the trinomial naming of genera, species, and subspecies. The description of clines can provide a clarification of the taxonomic picture, as well as greater detail of analysis, but must follow and supplement the description of species and subspecies, not in any way replace it. Occasionally, however, clines must be regarded as taxonomic categories in their own right, to be employed as part of the nonnenclature, in place of subspecies. This will be so when a well-marked gradation of characters extends without sharp break over a considerable area, as in the nuthatches mentioned on p. 219. Løppenthin, it is true, assigns subspecific names to arbitrary stages in the cline, but this would appear to be quite indefensible. Subspecies, by definition, should mean something of the same general nature as species—i.c. unique groups, with definite characters shared by the whole population, and definite areas of distribution; the distribution may be either geographical or ccological. Clines, on the other hand, may be repeated a number of times; and even when they have a definite single area of distribution, by definition show a gradation, not a uniformity, of characters. It is suggested (Huxley, 1939*a*) that when a cline has a large single distribution area, and thus constitutes an infra-specific category equivalent to a subspecies, it should be denoted

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 227 by a hyphenated Latin name, preceded by the abbreviation d. It is further suggested that where doubt exists as to whether a series of forms represents a single internal cline or a set of sub-species which can be arranged in an intergroup cline, they should be provisionally named as clines. Thus in the Burmese squirrels (*Callosciurus sladeni*) referred to on p. 219, the northern series of forms, instead of being divided into four separate subspecies *C. s. shortridgei, fryanus, carcyi,* and *harringtonii,* as is done by Thomas and Wroughton (on the basis of collections from six stations only, one of which yielded types intermediate between two of the "subspecies"!), should, pending further investigation, be styled *C. s. cl: shortridgei-harringtonii*. The cline concept can also be employed in a formal sense, to even when no geographical gradation exists. Such clines have been called hybrid clines or *nothoclines* by Mclville (1939), and have been used by him in his analysis of the bewildering variety of forms found in the elms (*Ulmus*). The giving of a name to a particular group inevitably tends of endow it with greater fixity and uniformity than may be warranted; and if one infra-specific group be just sufficiently stinct to merit subspecific naming, another not, the named group will tend to be thought of as having a greater "reality". The employment of clines in taxonomic description will tend to correct this, by stressing gradational changes and the orderly inter-connexions of groups, and will help towards providing a truer and fuller picture of organic diversity.

5. SPATIAL AND ECOLOGICAL FACTORS IN GEOGRAPHICAL DIVERGENCE

We may now consider in more detail the various methods by which geographical isolation may operate. It is clear that, when-ever the areas inhabited by different geographical groups differ either in their physical or their biological environment, then adaptive changes may, and usually will, occur, superposing some degree of ecological divergence on what we may call the pure

geographical, due to non-adaptive changes. In order to discuss these adaptive processes adequately we must anticipate some of the later conclusions and point out that ecological divergence may be of three main types. There is first, adaptation to the broad physical features of a region, including climate: this we may call ecoclimatic. Secondly, there is adaptation to the detailed features of a particular type of habitat within a region, which may be called ecotopic. And in the third place there is ecobiotic adaptation, to a particular mode of life within a habitat to a particular mode of life within a habitat.

In ecological divergence, adaptive differentiation is primary, whereas in geographical divergence, spatial separation is primary. Naturally, there are many borderline cases; but the distinction is

Naturally, there are many borderline cases; but the distinction is often a real one. Ecological divergence may be superposed upon geographical, e.g. in cottons of the genus Gossypium (Silow, 1941). In this section we shall confine ourselves to geographical divergence, where the primary factor permitting or promoting partial or complete speciation is the spatial separation of the groups concerned. This, however, may operate in various ways. (I) In the first place, geographical changes may introduce a discontinuity into a previously continuous range. This will occur when subsidence isolates groups of a land form on islands; when elevation separates groups of a marine form on two sides of an isthmus; when a change of climate isolates groups on mountain-tops; when ecological conditions cause a discontinuity of a necessary food-plant; or when an anadromous fish species becomes land-locked in several separate lakes. becomes land-locked in several separate lakes.

Such barriers are non-biological accidents superposed on the biological continuum. They may be ecologically neutral, when the environment is similar on both sides of the barrier. If, however, it differs on the two sides, the barrier will be ecologically signifi-cant, and, by preventing gene-flow, will facilitate greater divergence than would otherwise have occurred.

The discontinuity may erect a complete barrier to the inter-breeding of the two groups, as with the case of the isthmus or the lakes; or the barrier may be partial, as with a bird population on an island close to the mainland. Divergence in small isolated populations may depend solely or mainly on the isolation, and

be due to the "accidental" incorporation of non-adaptive mutations and new chance recombinations-the Sewall Wright effect of "drift".

effect of "drift". (2) A similar state of affairs may arise when sharp geographical barriers, such as rivers or mountain ridges, exist *ab initio* in the path of a species which is extending its range. The species may be able to surmount the barriers by migration, but the migration is of small extent: thus the resultant groups remain essentially isolated, as with *Partula* (p. 232). (3) A somewhat different picture is afforded by wide-ranging species whose range is not cut up by sharp physical discontinuities. In such cases, the whole can form a single interbreeding group without any marked barriers, even though mere distance pre-vents the intermingling of the remoter portions of the population. Divergence may then occur, as with *Peromyscus*, in relation to the broad features of various regions—humidity, temperature, colour of background, etc. Essentially adaptive subspecies will be pro-duced, but further divergence into full species is prevented by interbreeding at the margins of the subspecific areas. The sub-species may of course differ also for accidental "isolational" reasons. reasons.

reasons. Such subspecies will remain dependent, as parts of a single evolving *Rassenkreis*. They have reached the equilibrium-point of partial biological discontinuity, which is maintained thanks to the establishment of harmoniously-stabilized gene-com-plexes in the subspecific populations, with consequent restric-tion of interbreeding to narrow zones (p. 210). This condition, as pointed out by Sewall Wright (1940), is the most favour-able for the adaptive evolution and plasticity of the group as a whole whole.

This type of divergence may readily be combined with the types outlined under (1) or (2) above, and (5) below. In such cases, full species may arise, and divergence proceed further. (4) When a species has been widespread and becomes restricted, or when it is very local, interbreeding between local groups becomes reduced, and accidental divergence, fostered by isolation and by reduction of numbers, can play a greater part.

230 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS (5) When ecologically very distinct regions within a larger area are colonized, distinct subspecies or species may be formed in each such area. We may think of woodland as against open country, upland as against lowland, desert as against well-watered country, sea-coast as against inland. Groups diverging in this way will in general be spatially separated, but the process differs from ordinary geographical subspeciation, as under (3) above, in certain important ways. In the first place, such ecological regions may each be markedly discontinuous (e.g. regions over a certain height), whereas those inhabited by typical geographical sub-species are normally each well-defined as a continuous single area. Secondly, the principle of geographical replacement may break down, distinct groups within a region being kept apart by eco-logical preferences (pp. 270 seq.). Thirdly, the ecological adapta-tion is here on the whole primary, the spatial separation secondary. These facts may have a further consequence, namely that there may be relatively more zones where the two groups may come into contact, though there will be sharper adaptive distinctions between them. Then, as we shall see, selection will promote barriers to interbreeding, so that full speciation is more likely to result. likely to result.

likely to result. This type of divergence thus forms the transition to ecological divergence, and is on the whole on the ecological side of the dividing line. We shall accordingly treat of it in a later section. (6) When the biological environment of an area inhabited by a group is very different from that of other areas inhabited by related groups, the type of divergence which results from geo-graphical isolation may be quite distinct. On oceanic islands, for instance, a very restricted fauna and flora is usually found, so that selection will act in quite a different way from the original habitat of the species on the mainland (pp. 324 seq.). The same may apply to large and well-isolated lakes.

In such cases the struggle for existence will in general be less intense, both as regards competitors and as regards enemies. This will allow greater play both to accidental divergence and to ecobiotic differentiation of a rather special sort (p. 325). In addi-tion, the environmental factors will often be so different that

ecoclimatic and ecotopic divergence also will be promoted above the ordinary.

(7) The nature of the group that is spatially isolated may also play a part in determining the type and extent of divergence, in addition to the nature of the isolation and the nature of the physical and biological environment in which it is isolated. For instance, migratory forms are less likely to show geographical divergence than sedentary ones (p. 239).

divergence than sedentary ones (p. 239). (8) Next, there are the effects of migration. Sometimes we have the simple expansion or contraction of the distributional area of a group. A particularly interesting process is that of the migrations of two or more distinct groups subsequent to their divergence. A process that is in a sense the converse of (1) occurs when geographical change, such as elevation or change of climate, permits subspecies or species that were differentiated in complete isolation to meet once more (p. 243). The result will be quite different according to whether they are or are not still capable of breeding together. Of rather a different nature are the alterations in range of subspecies that have always been in contact at the margins of their areas, as a result of changing populationpressure (p. 209).

(9) Finally, we have numerous range-changes due to human interference, such as introductions, deliberate or accidental, of alien types. It is probably fair to say that most biologists are unaware of the number and extent of such range-changes now actually in progress.

We may now consider these general points in the light of actual examples. Cases where divergence appears to be largely non-adaptive, due either to the accidental after-effects of isolation, or to the equally accidental initial process of colonization by a non-representative sample, are seen in various island forms, in the differentiation of different races of char in European lakes (p. 177), and in the divergence of the flora of the high mountains of East Africa. In this last case, it appears that during the pluvial period the present high mountain forms occurred at much lower levels and accordingly had a continuous distribution: with increased aridity, they were pushed up the mountain-sides into

isolation. As a result the giant senecios, lobelias, tree-heaths and other plants usually differ specifically or subspecifically from one mountain to another. The same occurs with birds in South one mountain to another. The same occurs with birds in South America, two well-marked subspecies of the mountain humming-bird Oreotrochilus chimborazi being found on Chimborazo on the one hand and Cotopaxi and neighbouring mountains on the other. The ranges of the two forms are separated by about sixty miles. A rare intermediate form, however, appears to exist on a ridge midway between the two: if so, this provides a beautiful example of partial isolation (Chapman, 1926, p. 301). In these cases, differentiation, whether accidental or adaptive, appears to have occurred wholly or mainly subsequent to isolation, not by initial sampling not by initial sampling.

not by initial sampling. A similar phenomenon, here apparently altogether due to non-adaptive subsequent differentiation (the Sewall Wright effect), was described by Kammerer (1926) for lizards on isolated islands in the Adriatic. In one case, the two halves of one island are biologically isolated through the isthmus being exposed to salt spray: and the lizards on the two halves are of a different colour. A well-known case is that of the special variety of lizard found on the isolated rocks known as the Faraglioni close to Capri. We have referred (p. 200) to the quantitative evaluation of geographical differentiation in insular lizards recently undertaken by Kramer and Mertens (1938*a*). The most remarkable cases, however, are those of various land-

The most remarkable cases, however, are those of various landsnails in the Pacific, as described by J. T. Gulick (e.g. 1905), snails in the Pacific, as described by J. T. Gulick (e.g. 1905), Pilsbry (1912-14), and Crampton (1916, 1925, 1932). We may take *Partula* on the Society Islands as an example. The interior parts of the islands are mountainous, cut up into deep wooded valleys separated by knife-edge ridges. Snails can and do migrate from one valley to the next, but this is an occasional phenomenon only, since they are adapted to warm, moist conditions, and are normally not found either in the dry coastal strip, the cold peaks, or the cold dry ridges between valleys. Thus the populations of different valleys are virtually isolated in a genetical sense, except for rare and more or less accidental migration. Numerous species of *Partula* are distinguished, with different

degrees of intra-specific variation. The most complex species described is *P. otaheitana*, with eight subspecies and their varieties of primary, secondary, and tertiary degree. These varieties include dextral and sinistral types, giant and dwarf types, and numerous types differing in colour and form of shell. The different characters and varieties occur in different pro-

The different characters and varieties occur in different proportions in different valleys, some often being wholly absent in particular areas. In certain cases the course of migration can be deduced. For instance the population of Fantaua Valley shows a remarkable degree of variation, and appears to have been the source for the colonization of a number of other neighbouring valleys: for the populations of all of these show a reduction in the number of "unit-characters" as against the Fantaua assemblage, but each possesses a different combination of these characters. Thus local reduction of variability by colonizing through small random non-representative samples seems here to have been an important method of increasing geographical diversity. On the other hand, other evidence points strongly to some of the diversity being due to the "accidental" incorporation of new mutations or recombinations, in the populations subsequent to their isolation.

their isolation. An important feature of Crampton's work is that he was able to demonstrate the process of change in operation (Crampton, 1925). He himself had been collecting since 1908, and a detailed record had been made by Garrett from 1861 to 1888. During this period, several changes have occurred. Extension or alterations of range have been not infrequent. Colour-types and giant and dwarf forms unrecorded by Garrett have become well-established in certain valleys. Forms showing reversal of spiral have become established in colonies recorded as exclusively dextral or sinistral in the nineteenth century.

The largest change occurred with another species, *P. clara*. Garrett described this as "very rare", and restricted to a small southern area of Tahiti. By 1909 it had covered almost four-fifths of the island, and both in its old and its new areas showed a much greater degree of variation in size, shape, and colour.

Similar phenomena were found with P. suturalis in Moorea

Island. Here we have a curious fact. While the species in Garrett's time was almost exclusively dextral, and remains so to-day in its original area, it becomes progressively more sinistral in the newly-colonized areas. (The physiological peculiarities of sinistrals (p. 316) may favour their spread in certain conditions.) Again, *P. mirabilis*, so rare in Garrett's time as to have escaped detection by him, now covers quite a wide area, and exhibits

detection by him, now covers quite a wide area, and exhibits an extremely high degree of general and local variation. Com-parison of Crampton's earlier and later data show that the process of spread and differentiation deduced for the period before 1907-9 had been continued in the further sixteen to eighteen years. Very similar results have been found by J. T. Gulick (1905; and see Pilsbry, 1912-14, and Welch, 1938) with the Achatinellid land-snails from the Hawaiian Islands. It appears that a similar, though not quite so excessive, differentiation has occurred among the amphibia of the mountainous islands of the Antilles (see Barbour and Shreve, 1937). In other parts of the world, where these peculiar conditions favouring isolation are not operative, the geographical differentiation of land-snails proceeds along more normal lines (see, e.g., Rensch, 1933b). An interesting case from mammals is that of the African

normal lines (see, e.g., Rensch, 1933b). An interesting case from mammals is that of the African cob-antelopes (Kobus) studied by Hamilton (1919). On the east bank of the Nile there is a gap between two distinct forms (well-marked subspecies), while on the west bank the two grade into each other both geographically and in appearance. The reason for the greater isolation on the east is not clear. The ecological and geographical factors in the distribution and differentiation of birds are interestingly discussed by Palmgren

(1938).

By far the commonest method of geographical divergence and probably of divergence in general, is that which we may call eco-geographical, in which the primary fact of spatial separation of groups is combined with adaptation to the peculiarities of the areas in which they find themselves.* We have already given examples of this from a mammal

* Rensch (1933a), indeed, considers this the only important method of speciation, but he fails to deal with genetic isolation, and unduly neglects ecological divergence or includes certain aspects of it under the geographical head.

(Peromyscus) and a moth (Lymantria). What is probably another example comes from amphibia. Witschi (1930) has studied sexdifferentiation in the common frog of Europe. In this animal, different geographical races have different methods of gonad development. In the differentiated races, sex-differentiation is clear-cut from the outset. In the undifferentiated races, all individuals develop as females until metamorphosis, after which 50 per cent become transformed into males. In the semi-differentiated races all individuals start as females, but the transformation of the genetic males to phenotypic maleness occurs earlier.

A study of types from many localities brought out the fact that the undifferentiated races are confined to the regions of Central and Western Europe, which were not glaciated in the Ice Age, while the differentiated types are found both in the north and in Alpine valleys, with the semi-differentiated in an intermediate zone. The divergence of the various races must then have taken place since the end of the Ice Age. In addition, the races show obviously adaptive difference in habits. On arriving at a pool or being brought into tanks in the laboratory, the differentiated or short-summer races lay eggs immediately, while the undifferentiated may not lay for one or two weeks. The timerelations of spermatogenesis are also adaptive. Witschi believes the differences in sex-differentiation to be determined in some orthogenetic fashion, but they are probably correlates of fundamental adaptive processes such as rate of metabolism, promptness of egg-laying, etc. Local colour-varieties occur, but have no relation to type of sex-development. The physiological differentiation of the beetle *Carabus nemoralis* has already been mentioned (p. 206).

mentioned (p. 206). Witschi's case is similar in its general evolutionary significance to that of the geographical adaptation to different temperatureconditions found in *Drosophila funebris* (p. 191). It is probable that further research devoted to this point will reveal numerous other cases of such climatic adaptation in morphologically invisible but biologically important characteristics. Porter (1941) has demonstrated different egg-cytoplasm effects on early development in two geographic races of frogs. It will be interest-

ing to discover whether such differentiation normally shows the phenomenon of partial discontinuity with relative uniformity of character over considerable areas (p. 209). Baily (1939) has exhaustively analysed the physiological peculiarities of two morphologically indistinguishable local populations of the water-snail *Limnaea columella*, and finds that they differ quite considerably in inherent mortality and longewity, fecundity, and rate of growth. One very curious fact is that, under the optimum conditions provided by laboratory culture, one type only was able to grow regularly into a large form of peculiar shape, which conchologists dignify as a separate variety: here we have a good example of inherent difference in develop-mental potentiality. Further research will be needed to show whether such physiological differentiation is sporadic and local, or if well-marked types (physiological subspecies) extend over large regions. large regions.

large regions. A case where isolation has enforced new habits, but not as yet new genetic adaptation, is that of the situtunga antelope (*Trage-laphus spekii*) on Nkosi island in the Sese archipelago of Lake Victoria (Carpenter, 1925). This species is normally an inhabitant of papyrus swamps; but there are no swamps on Nkosi, so the buck on this island have become virtually bush-buck in habits. Their hoofs are short, not elongated as is normal, but this is pre-sumably a mere modificational difference in wear; they do not bark in the usual way, and are exceedingly tame. If the Nkosi situtunga should eventually become a genetically-adapted subspecies, we should have an example of organic selection (p. 304) following on isolation. Somewhat similarly, the feral camels of southern Spain, released over a century ago, have become restricted to marsh life, and have not colonized neighbouring sandy areas (A. Chapman and Buck, 1893). An excellent example from birds is the widespread North

(A. Chapman and Buck, 1893). An excellent example from birds is the widespread North American shrike, *Lanius ludovicianus*, the distribution and ecology of which has been thoroughly investigated by A. H. Miller (1931). Of this species he describes eleven subspecies, distin-guished by differences in colour, size, proportions, and habits; apart from correlation of colour with climate (see above, p. 213)

he finds certain features which appear to be definitely adaptive. Certain subspecies are migratory, while the others are not; the former are more efficient fliers, as measured by a higher ratio of wing-length to tail-length (differences of 4 to 5 per cent). One subspecies is migratory in the northern part of its range, resident in the southern: the same type of difference is shown here, though as would be expected the differences are much less (about 1.5 per cent in the ratio).

Then some subspecies inhabit more wooded country, others more open and more arid country. The latter must fly longer distances from perch to perch and in pursuit of prey (a deduction checked in two subspecies by field observation). In correlation with this they have greater manœuvring capacity, as evidenced by greater length of both wings and tail relative to total weight (e.g. in the best worked-out case about 3 per cent longer wings and tail with a 6 per cent lighter weight). The island races show slightly reduced wings and tail, and larger feet: this is in accord with the character of island birds and insects in general, which in extreme cases are wingless. An interesting point is that the size of the breeding territory varies, sometimes markedly, in different subspecies, in relation to habitat and food supply: it would be interesting to ascertain if this is a genetic trait. In this species Miller finds that isolation ner se has little effect

In this species Miller finds that isolation per se has little effect compared with spatial restriction to ecologically different areas: this is well illustrated by one of the island subspecies.

In general, he concludes that there are three factors governing the magnitude of the subspecific differences found: first, and most important, the degree of difference in the environment; secondly, the effectiveness of isolation against interbreeding at the margins of the area; and thirdly, the migratory or non-migratory nature of the group and of neighbouring groups. Sewall Wright's work has made it clear that the size of an area also has an influence, small size of area implying smaller population and therefore greater scope for accidental variation. We have noted this effect at work in mouse-deer (p. 183) and in lizards (p. 200). Stresemann (verbal communication) has given me another example of this. Java, Sumatra, and their outlying small islands were all isolated from the mainland and from each other at approximately the same time in the quite recent geological past. While numerous distinct bird subspecies exist on the small islands, the corresponding forms of the large islands show no or much less divergence from the mainland types. Here the accidental type of change must be decisive, since mere size of area should not inhibit adaptive change.

change. An important problem is raised by the empirical fact that some species or genera show greater geographical variation than others. That exhibited by Lanius ludovicianus, for instance, is characterized by Miller as "only moderate". Miller and McCabe (1935) have studied this question in the Lincoln sparrow (Passerella lincolnii), which shows much less geographical differentiation than its close relative the song-sparrow (P. melodia) and the fox-sparrow (P. iliaca). It has only three subspecies as against over fifteen in the same area for each of the other species. Miller and McCabe reach the interesting conclusion, which might have been deduced by the selectionist on theoretical grounds, that this is not due to a lack of inherent variability in the more uniform species. Its actual variability is in point of fact quite high; but the variations have not been sifted out into markedly different combinations by selection. Miller and McCabe ascribe the difference chiefly to a difference in what must be called temperament, P. lincolnii tending to remain confined to a narrow ccological niche, while the other two species are "adventurous" in relation to range and habitat expansion; in addition, P. lincolnii is more migratory. addition, P. lincolnii is more migratory.

In general, ducks show comparatively little subspeciation. This is correlated with a high "activity-range", as Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1940) styles the area within which individuals of a single genera-tion may move. For instance, common teal (*Nettion crecca*) bred in England were recovered next year as far west as Iceland, as far east as the Urals (Timoféeff-Ressovsky, l.c., p. 112). This species has only two subspecies, one holarctic, the other nearctic. The name "abmigration" has been given by A. L. Thomson (1923) to describe northward departure in spring, for a new summer area, on the part of birds which have made no corresponding southward journey in the previous autumn. Abmigration is found in other ducks besides the teal, such as mallard (Anas platyrhyncha), tufted duck (Nyroca fuligula), and shelduck (Tadorna tadorna). A high activity-range must clearly be, in part, a corollary of this habit of abmigration.

Rensch (1933*a*) has approached the problem on broader lines than Miller and McCabe. Taking Hartert's standard work on palaearctic birds (1903-22) as source, he has tabulated the ratio of monotypic to polytypic species (i.e. those without and with geographical subspeciation), and also the number of subspecies

Type of animal	Per cent monotypic of total no. of species	Number of subspecies per polytypic species*
1. Large birds	54.2	1.0
2. Small birds, migratory	39.9	3 • 2
3. Small birds, non-migratory	29.6	7 • 2
4. Bats	82.5	2.6
5. Insectivores	71 • 9	3.2

* The number of subspecies refers to the palaearctic area only. Some of the polytypic species are polytypic when their whole range is considered, but have only one subspecies within the palaearctic.

per polytypic species, in groups which differ in habit. He took (1) large birds, with consequently a greater mobility, and in general also a smaller population-size per given area (five families —herons, storks, ibises, bustards, and cranes—with forty-four species); (2) migratory small birds (nine families, including shrikes, warblers, thrushes, swallows, flycatchers, and wagtails, with 288 species); and (3) non-migratory small birds (six families -crows, tree-creepers, nuthatches, tits, wrens, and woodpeckers -with 115 species). He applied the same method to flying versus related non-flying mammals (bats and insectivores, from G. S. Miller (1912, 1924). The results are striking (p. 239). Schaefer (1935) shows that the distribution areas of races are much smaller in small mammals than in birds. (See also p. 176.)

Similarly Stonor (1938) has shown that in Birds of Paradise, the excessive development of display plumes has resulted in an unusually high degree of geographical speciation, by restricting

FREQUENCY	OF	DIFFE	RENT	TYPE	S OF	SPEC	TES II	N HABE	rats	AFFORDING
1	DIFF	BRENT	TYPE	S OF	RANG	зв. (Л	AFTER	MAYR,	194	o)

	Specific Ranges				
Type of Species	Almost all continuous (Manchuria: 107 species)	Both continuous and discontinuous (New Guinea: 290 species)	All discontinuous (Solomon Islands: 50 species)		
 Monotypic species with restricted range	% 1·9 <u>14·0</u> }15·9	% 11·7 7·2 18·9	$\frac{18 \cdot 0}{2 \cdot 0} $		
species (3b) Polytypic species with markedly differentiated subspecies	$\left.\frac{55\cdot I}{28\cdot 0}\right\}\frac{83\cdot 0}{28\cdot 0}$	40·7 69·7 29·0	22·0 46·0 24·0		
(4) Superspecies	1.0	11.4	34.0		

the power of flight and rendering the birds more sedentary. On the other hand, the very mobile ducks (*Anatidae*), as we have just seen (p. 238), with few exceptions show no subspeciation, The same is true for other active birds such as snipe (*Gallinago*, etc.) and for the very large and mobile whalebone whales (Discovery Committee, 1937). Again, degree of subspeciation is inversely correlated with powers of dispersal in the rabbits (Orr, 1940).

Mayr (1940) has made similar tabulations, but in this case in

relation, not to peculiarities of mode of life, but to the geographical features of the environment (see Table, above). In the continental area of Manchuria, where almost all specific

In the continental area of Manchuria, where almost all specific ranges are continuous, polytypic species are in the great majority. The internal differentiation of subspecies, however, is not carried very far, doubtless because of the number of intergrading as opposed to isolated subspecies, so that species with markedly differentiated subspecies are only half as numerous as those with slight subspecific differentiation, and superspecies are very rarely produced. Of monotypic species, those with restricted range are very rare.

very rare. On the other hand, where an old tropical archipelago provides the extreme of geographical discontinuity, as in the Solomon Islands, the category of superspecies is the most abundant, and monotypic species are not only more abundant than in Man-churia, but it is those with extended ranges which now are rare. The promotion of differentiation through isolation is shown not only by the frequency of superspecies (pp. 179 n., 407), but by polytypic species more often showing marked than slight sub-specific differentiation. Once a superspecies has differentiated into an *Artenkreis* (p. 179), its constituent forms will come to overlap, and will be listed in one or other of the stages of a tabulation such as Mavr's. such as Mayr's.

such as Mayr's. New Guinea, where islands and mountains introduce a con-siderable degree of range-discontinuity, provides an intermediate picture. The only exception is the slight excess of markedly polytypic species over that seen in Manchuria: however, in the *ratio* between markedly and feebly differentiated polytypic species, New Guinea preserves its intermediacy. Such work is an important contribution to the as yet embryonic science of Comparative Systematics, which is undoubtedly destined to yield results of the greatest importance for general biology

biology.

The same type of conclusion, though not expressed in numerical terms, has been arrived at for the fish of American rivers (Thomp-son, 1931). Large, strongly-swimming and actively migratory species of fish show great uniformity of character. Smaller fish

242 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS show much greater diversity when populations from different localities are compared, and the differences are greater when such species are restricted to small head-water streams than when they occur in streams of all sizes. The differentiation is not graded along the course of the rivers, but is random, presumably a result of the Sewall Wright "drift" effect. Although, as we have said, the most frequent mode of geo-graphical differentiation is broadly adaptive, there are many cases in which apparently non-adaptive differentiation has occurred, either predominantly or superposed on a general adaptive diver-gence, or as a correlate of invisible physiological adaptation. The diversification of the Hawaiian land-snails and probably that of the Galapagos ground-finches appears to be largely "accidental" in the biological sense. The colour polymorphism of various *Peromyscus* races (p. 189) shows that colour in these forms is not always of direct selective value. The markings of the local species (or subspecies) *Buarremon inornatus* (p. 199) appear to be non-adaptive, and in any case show no intergradation with the normal type. the normal type.

There are quite a number of cases in which subspecies of a *Rassenkreis*, or geographical species of an *Artenkreis*, show sharply contrasted colour-distinctions which are apparently non-adaptive and mutational. For instance, the northern and southern Indian and mutational. For instance, the northern and southern Indian robins (*Thamnobia*), which do not appear to interbreed in their zone of overlap, are sharply distinguished by the colour (brown versus black) of the back of the males (Dewar and Finn, 1909, p. 378). Chapman (1927) and Stresemann (1923-6) give other examples. Spatial isolation, we may say, permits a varying degree of accidental divergence to be superposed on the complex geographical grid of broadly adaptive character-gradients. A number of different effects are all illustrated by the fauna of the Galapagos (Swarth, 1931, 1934; Lowe, 1936). Here, the mocking-birds (*Mimidae*) and ground-finches (*Geospizidae*) illus-trate the extreme of mere isolational divergence, while in the latter the release from competition has permitted what can only be described as an abnormal variability and multiplicity of forms

described as an abnormal variability and multiplicity of forms (p. 326). The land-tortoises also illustrate isolational divergence, THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 243 while their gigantism is ecological, an evolutionary response to island life and its absence of predators and competitors, as is the flightlessness of the Galapagos cormorant and the genetic tame-ness of almost all the endemic birds. The flightlessness of so many insect inhabitants of oceanic islands is similarly an example of ecological differentiation, after divergence was made possible in the first instance by isolation; but the type of differentiation is here more directly in relation to the physical than to the biological environment, winglessness in insects constituting an adaptation to prevent being blown out to sea. Thus while geographical divergence always depends for its initiation on spatial isolation, it may subsequently be linked in varying degrees with ecological divergence of an adaptive nature, and also, in small populations, with non-adaptive divergence due to the genetic accident of "drift".

6. RANGE-CHANGES SUBSEQUENT TO GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENTIATION

DIFFERENTIATION As geographical changes may isolate groups and thus permit them to diverge, so, after a certain degree of divergence, further geographical changes may permit the differentiated groups to meet again. (We are using the term geographical in the broadest sense, to denote climatic changes as well as elevation and subsi-dence or physiographic alterations.) This phenomenon appears to have had very widespread effects upon existing forms, as we should expect from the rapid changes of climate and of sea-level that have occurred since the beginning of the Pleistocene, and still more those which have taken place since the end of the last glacial period, some 20,000 years ago. Some of its results are at first sight very surprising. In what follows, we shall consider not only eco-geographical divergents, but also those produced in relation to regional ecolo-gical (ecoclimatic) differentiation, since the effects of subsequent migration are essentially similar in both. In the first place, range-changes may bring together end-members of a chain of subspecies. A striking example of this, cited by Rensch (1928, 1933*a*), concerns the great tit (*Parus*

major). There are three main groups of subspecies of this large *Rassenkreis*, extending from west to east across the Old World —the *major* group in Europe and Western Asia, the *bokharensis* group from Persia to Malaya, and the *minor* group from China to Japan: each is well characterized, but the central *bokharensis* type intergrades with both its neighbours along broad marginal zones at either end of its range.

type intergrades with both its neighbours along broad marginal zones at either end of its range. However, the western or major group also extends far to the eastwards, along a strip north of the areas of the other two groups and quite separated from the bokharensis group by desert and mountain regions, and finally overlaps with the area of the minor group near its northern boundary in the Amur region. This eastward extension doubtless is secondary and has only become possible through the amelioration of climate since the end of the last Ice Age. However, where the major and minor groups meet, they do not interbreed, but live side by side as perfectly distinct "species". Nothing could better illustrate the relativity of the terms species and subspecies. Rensch also points out that the end forms of a chain of subspecies may be much less alike than good species living side by side. Again, Larus argentatus (Stegmann, 1934; Schweppenburg, 1938) forms a circumpolar chain of subspecies. But the herring-gull (L. argentatus sensu stricto) now lives in W. Europe as a "good" species side by side with the lesser black-backed gull ("L. fuscus") though occasionally interbreeding. The two differ markedly in temperament as well as appearance (Richter, 1938). An equally good case is that of the buck-eye butterfly of America, Junonia lavinia (Forbes, 1928, 1931). This species shows marked geographical subspeciation. There are three main groups of forms—North American, Central American (including a northern strip of South Ámerica), and South American. These intergrade at their boundaries. However, the island of Cuba is inhabited by two types between which intergradation does not occur, and which do not appear to interbreed. Among several other distinctions, these differ in the presence or absence of a red setnicircle round the upper "eye-spot" of the hind-wing, a character diagnostic of all the North American group of forms.

Apparently the island has been colonized from the north by the North American group, and from the south by the Central American group (by two subspecies, which do intergrade with each other on Cuba). Thus members of the two groups remain as separate species in Cuba, while in northern Mexico they interbreed. Here the distribution is in the form of a chain bent round into a circle: in the centre of the chain the two types intergrade, but the two ends have differentiated far enough to become biologically discontinuous. Other similar examples are given by Rensch (1929). Similarly the warbler "species" *Phylloscopus plumbeitarsus* and *P. viridanus* are the overlapping but non-interbreeding end-forms of an intergrading chain (Ticehurst, 1938). These examples also illuminate numerous cases from Central

These examples also illuminate numerous cases from Central Europe, which on first inspection appear very puzzling, where extremely similar species live side by side in the same area. The most striking case is that of the two species of tree-creeper, *Certhia familiaris* and *C. brachydactyla*. The latter has a longer beak, a shorter but more bent hind claw, and is rather darker. There are also differences in the colour of the eggs. The two forms are so alike that their distinctness was for long disputed. However, they appear to behave definitely as two separate species, and not to interbreed, in spite of much individual variation (Hartert, 1903-35). *C. brachydactyla* appears to be more plastic, judging from the degree of subspeciation.

C. familiaris (which alone is found in Britain) is a more northern and mountain form, while C. brachydactyla has a more southerly distribution; but the two overlap over a large part of their range. The more northerly form alone exists in North America. This occurs also with the marsh and willow tits (p. 270), and it may prove that these too owe their separate differentiation and later overlap to the same causes (see below, p. 246), though their overlap region is more extensive.

It is of interest that elsewhere one of the two species of treecreepers just mentioned shows an incipient stage of the same phenomenon. Dementiev (1938) mentions that *Certhia familiaris* in Persia and neighbouring areas exists as a well-marked subspecies, *C. f. persica*, while to the north the type subspecies is
found. In the region of the Caucasus, however, the two subspecies have re-met, presumably after some degree of glacial isolation, with consequent intercrossing and great variability. Similarly, we have the true nightingale (Luscinia megarhyncha)

Similarly, we have the true nightingale (Luscinia megarhyncha) and the northern nightingale or sprosser (L. luscinia). Although these will cross if kept together in captivity, they remain perfectly distinct in the region between the Vistula and the Oder where they overlap. The yellow-bellied and red-bellied species of the fire-bellied toad Bombina (Bombinator) behave in a similar way, and so do the two closely-allied land-snails, Clausilia dubia and C. bidentata.

C. bidentata. The explanation of all such cases appears to be simple. In the last Ice Age the extensions of the northern ice-sheet of the Alpine glaciers isolated many species into a western or southern and an eastern or north-eastern group. The exact type of separation would have been different for different species. This permitted eco-geographical divergence in adaptation to a mild or oceanic and a severe or continental climate respectively. Divergence proceeded so far that when later the ice receded and the two forms were able to extend their range so as to meet, they did not breed together. Doubtless this failure to cross depends mainly on psychological barriers; the two species of tree-creeper and of nightingale have distinctive notes. Further, the two nightingales will mate if kept together in captivity: none the less, they do not in actual fact mate in nature and must therefore be regarded as "good" though very closely-related species (see also p. 254).

Probably the common and mountain hare (Lepus europaeus and L. timidus) differentiated in a similar way after glacial isolation and were afterwards able to colonize the same areas. In this case, however, the two species are separated ecologically, even where they overlap geographically, the mountain hare, as its name implies, being an upland animal as contrasted with the lowland common hare. A fact which throws an interesting light on regional restrictions of an ecological nature is that in Ireland, which was isolated as an island before the common hare could reach it, the mountain hare is found in the lowlands as well as the uplands. Habitat may thus be as much a matter of competition as of close physiological adaptation. However, the Irish form has differentiated into a distinct subspecies, which may perhaps have been adapted to take advantage of the habitat left open by the absence of its competitor.

• The past differentiation and present distribution of the very distinct northern and southern forms of the water-beetles *Deronectes* and *Gyrinus* (see Omer-Cooper, 1931) appears to be due to the same cause. These both occur separate in certain parts of their ranges, but intergrade in central Britain. It is interesting that on the continent the two types of *Gyrinus* occur together without intergradation, as if differentiation had here proceeded further, to full speciation.

✓ Other interesting examples come from monkeys of the African rain-forest. According to Schwarz (1928, 1929), groups were here isolated by the large inland lake that previously filled the Congo basin. When this disappeared, they were able to meet after previous differentiation. One small area of overlap occurs between two markedly distinct types of Mona monkeys in the Cameroons, and two similar overlap areas, one of moderate and one of large size, between two well-differentiated types of Colobus monkey, each with several subspecies, in the Lower Congo and in the forest region between Ruwenzori and the Congo river. Schwarz puts all the Mona monkeys into the one species *Cercopithecus mona*, and all the forms of Colobus into one species, *Colobus polykomas*; but since no intermediates or hybrids have been found in these areas of overlap, it seems clear that we should consider the differentiation to be of specific rank in both cases. It is fair to state that some authorities do not agree with Schwarz's taxonomic groupings.

Doubtless with the progress of faunistic work, many similar examples will come to light.

But, clearly, differentiation need not always have gone so far as to prevent the two divergent forms from interbreeding when they meet again. This, it appears, is what has happened in Europe with the subspecies of the long-tailed tit, *Aegithalos caudatus*, and the bullfinch, *Pyrrhula pyrrhula*.

As regards the bullfinch, Stresemann (1919) distinguishes a

northern and eastern form, P. p. pyrrhula, from west Siberia, northern Russia and Scandinavia, and a southern and western form, P. p. minor, from north Italy and western Europe, including western Germany. In addition, there is the bullfinch population of central Germany and the north of the Alps. This appears to intergrade with both the other forms, and shows an unusually wide range of variation in size. Stresemann considers it as the product of mixture between the other two subspecies, over a broad area into which they have re-immigrated after isolated differentiation.*

The longtailed tits, Aegithalos caudatus, show similar behaviour. On the continent of Europe and Asia there is a northern and north-eastern subspecies, A. c. caudatus, with white head, and a southern and western subspecies. A. c. europaeus, with dark headmarkings. Stresemann (1919) and Jouard (1929) have studied these forms. There is a broad zone in west central Europe where excessive variability occurs, apparently due to intercrossing of the two types on meeting.[†]

Stresemann also considers the cline between the eastern and western European nuthatches (p. 219) to owe its origin to crossing of differentiated types, while other authorities consider that it differentiated, in direct relation with an environmental gradient, within a continuous population. A. H. Miller (1938, 1939) finds that various "subspecies" of birds of the genus *Junco* are the product of fusion between two or more subspecies which have met after preliminary differentiation; subspecific hybridization may here also produce striking recombinations, and small stable populations of new type (see also p. 291).

In these cases, the differences between the two groups are not very great. In the crows, however (Meise, 1928), the differences

* It should be noted, however, that Hartert (1903-35, suppl. vol., p. 53) assigns the mixed form entirely to *P. p. minor*. This may make for systematic convenience, but the geographical distribution suggests that Stresemann's view is in principle correct.

⁺ Again it is to be noted that Kleinschmidt (1929) disagrees with this conclusion, and considers that the species as a whole is very variable and that the mixed race does not show abnormal variability. This only shows how hard it is to arrive at final decisions except in clear-cut cases such as the crows and flickers (see below). THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 249 are-striking, the carrion crow, Corvus c. corone, being entirely black, while the hoodie crow, C. c. cornix, has a light grey mantle. So distinct are they at first sight that many ornithologists (e.g. Hartert, 1903-35, suppl. vol., p. 6) still prefer to regard them as full species. It should be noted that if they are to be regarded as subspecies, then we must introduce a still further category, since each of them shows definite geographical differentiation into "regional races". According to Meise, they exhibit no essential differences in behaviour, voice, or ecological preferences, and should therefore be better regarded as subspecies. In any case, where their breeding ranges overlap, they interbreed, and the hybrid population shows what appear undoubtedly to be the results of mendelian recombination, the offspring of a single pair often differing a great deal in regard to the amounts and distribution of black and grey. The geographical distribution of the two forms is at first sight curious, with three zones of inter-breeding, as defined by field observation in the breeding season of birds of obviously mixed origin: one of these runs across central Scotland; a second from near Genoa, along the south side of the Alps, and then passing northwards to reach the Baltic in eastern Schleswig-Holstein; and a third in Asia from near the mouth of the Yenisei, southwards to the Altai, then south-west and west towards the Aral Sea. The total length of these zones and west towards the Aral Sea. The total length of these zones is over 5,000 km. and their average width quite narrow, from 75 to 150 km. (Meise considers that the breadth would prove to be considerably greater if an intensive study of skins were to replace field observation.) These zones, it appears, can shift their position; see pp. 188, 209.

position; see pp. 188, 209. Since these crows appear to be ecologically dependent on the presence of trees, it appears quite reasonable to suppose that during the last glacial period the crow population of the Eurasiatic land-mass was segregated into three discontinuous groups, one in the south-west of Europe, a second in southern and south-eastern Europe and the Near East, perhaps as far as the Caspian, and a third probably in eastern Siberia. If we assume that the central group evolved the hoodie pattern, the spread of the three groups subsequent to the retreat of the ice could perfectly well

bring them into contact as indicated by the present mixed zones. The hoodie's colonization of northern Scotland from Scandinavia and of Ireland from northern Scotland, fits in with other eco-geographical facts (cf. the distribution of the mountain hare: p. 246).* An almost more striking example comes from North America,

An almost more striking example comes from North America, and concerns the eastern and western species of the woodpeckers known as flickers, *Colaptes auratus* and *C. cafer* (Allen, discussed by Bateson, 1913). These are by most authorities regarded as good species and both exhibit distinct geographic subspecies, *C. auratus* ranges over most of the continent east of the Rockies, and in the north extends westwards to Alaska. *C. cafer* is from the Pacific coast. Between the regions in which they are found almost pure is a band, 1,200–1,300 miles in length and at least 300–400 in width, where the majority of specimens exhibit characters from both species in various combinations. Some of the characters in question are striking: for instance, quills yellow *versus* red (in every case the character of *C. auratus* is put first); male "moustache" black *versus* red; female "moustache" absent *versus* grey; top of head grey *versus* brown. The characters of the "mixed" birds, as Bateson very clearly

The characters of the "mixed" birds, as Bateson very clearly points out, are only explicable on the hypothesis of crossing followed by the recombination of a number of independent genes. Even birds from the same nest may show marked recombinatory variation (as with human families).

It seems clear that the two species originally diverged at a period when the glaciated Rockies provided a complete barrier between them. With the regression of the ice, the two types could meet along the zone of the Rockies, and *C. auratus* could extend northward and westward in Canada until there too it met *C. cafer*. The meeting is secondary to the divergence, and the intergradation and interbreeding have not always existed, as seems to be the case with many subspecies of wide-ranging forms like *Peromyssus* (see also p. 291).

^{*} Meise (op. cit.) believes that the black (carrion crow) type is the laterevolved. His reasons, however, are of no genetic or evolutionary validity, and his conclusion would imply the independent evolution of the black type in one American and two separate Eurasiatic areas, which is most unlikely.

THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 251 Later work (Taverner, 1934, and verbal information from A. H. Miller) indicates that sporadic "mixed" birds are found over a much wider range than earlier supposed. Taverner believes that *auratus* is more "aggressive" and that its characters are spreading westwards faster than those of *cafer* eastwards. Another North American example concerns the two warblers *Vermivora pinus* and *V. chrysoptera*. These are sharply distin-guished by their markings; the former is a southern, the latter a northern form. These show a mixed zone of interbreeding at the junction of the ranges from northern New Jersey to the Connecticut Valley, and casually to eastern Massachusetts: here a wide range of segregants occurs. It is interesting to note that intergrading and segregation also occur in regard to the songs of the two forms. (Chapman, 1924; Bateson, 1913.) Here the history of the two forms and the reason for their initial separation is not so clear (see also p. 254). Chapman, though stating that no ornithologist would question the specific distinctness of the two warblers and the two flickers, points out that in notes and habits the flickers are very much, the warblers fairly alike—i.e. no or slight psychological barriers to mating have been developed. This is in contrast to the eastern and western meadowlarks *Sturnella magna* and *S. neglecta*. Here differentiation has given rise to quite unlike calls and songs, and where the two overlap after coming together again subsequent to the Ice Age, they do not form a zone of general mixture, though occasional intermediates, apparently due to sporadic hybridizing, do occur.

hybridizing, do occur.

hybridizing, do occur. Another clear-cut case is that of the grackles (Quiscalus) in eastern North America (see Chapman, 1936, 1939, 1940). Chapman now regards all the forms as subspecies of one species, Q. quiscula. Apparently two populations were isolated during the glacial period, Q. q. aeneus in south-eastern Texas and Q. q. quiscula in southern Florida. The latter, in its post-glacial spread to the north and west, has differentiated into a further subspecies, Q. q. stonei. The western form appears to have extended its range more rapidly, now being found in the northern New England scaboard. It has met and hybridized with Q. q.

252 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS stonei over a long belt extending from western Louisiana north-castwards to Cape Cod, a distance of some 1,500 miles. The intermixture is similar to that of the flickers, except that the two parent forms are not differentiated by such sharply contrasted single characters, so that the hybrids present a more regular and finely-graded series of intermediates. The hybrid population has been christened Q. q. ridgwayi. An interesting feature is that the width of the interbreeding zone increases steadily from about forty miles in the south-west to almost two hundred miles in the north-east. This, it may be suggested, is a time effect. The hybrids may be presumed to be at a slight selective disadvantage as com-pared with the pure parent forms, which would lead to a restric-tion of the hybrid zone. But the two types must have met earliest in the south-west, so that selection has not operated for so long in the north-east (see p. 287). A curious minor point is that at the castern end of Long Island, the great range of hybrid variation the castern end of Long Island, the great range of hybrid variation is absent, and 90 per cent of the population are sharply inter-mediate. (For chickadees, see p. 180.)

mediate. (For chickadees, see p. 180.) The red-tailed hawks (*Buteo borealis*) of N. America (Taverner, 1927) present an amazingly complex picture. Two main sub-species exist, in the east and the west respectively, the latter diphasic and also very variable. Both show what Taverner con-siders incipient geographical differentiation in certain regions. In the north-west, presumably by post-glacial range-change, both the major and both the minor types have come to overlap and interbreed, giving profuse recombination. Finally the bird's romadic habits appear to disseminate individuals far from their original home (see p. 355). The species would repay exhaustive investigation investigation.

Dementiev (1938) gives numerous examples from castern palacarctic birds. In the shrike *Lanius collurio* in particular, there exists a large region peopled by hybrids between *L. c. collurio* and *L. c. phoenicuroides*.

Stuart Baker (1930) gives similar examples in pheasants. Bateson (1913, p. 160) cites further examples in birds and butter-flies, namely the zones of hybridization between two distinct species of roller (*Coracias*) in India, and between two very distinct

species of white admiral (*Limenitis* or *Basilarchia*) along the quite narrow line of junction of their ranges.

In mammals similar phenomena occur in the hartebeest antelopes (Alcelaphus) in the rift valley region. Ruxton and Schwarz (1929) give graphs which show that the hybrid forms exhibit bimodal frequency curves, as would be expected, for certain characters. Banks (1929) gives facts which support the idea of hybridization among certain monkey species in Borneo. Here the different forms are separated altitudinally.

In a considerable area of the north-central U.S.A. (Sweadner, 1937), the whole population of the moth *Platysamia* appears to have been produced by hybridization between two distinct species, which again have met owing to post-glacial rangeextensions after differentiating during the glacial period. The area here is a triangular one, expanding towards the north. The characters of the hybrid population appear to be graded: if so, we should then have a *genocline*, dependent on a balance between two opposed streams of gene-flow.

Dr. A. P. Blair (1941b) has investigated similar though more complex phenomena in the toads *Bufo fowleri* and *B. woodhousi* in the U.S.A.

A case from butterflies that seems very similar to the flickers is that of Aricia a. agestis and A. a. artaxerxes. After the Ice Age, the two must have met along the coast of Northumberland and Durham. Here marked segregation occurs, giving striking recombinations along a rough genocline (Harrison and Carter, 1924). It is uncertain where the two subspecies originally differentiated. Harrison and Carter suggest Ireland for artaxerxes, but there is no evidence for its occurrence there to-day (Donovan, 1936).

A somewhat different phenomenon is recorded by Carothers (1941) for two species of North American grasshopper, *Trimetropis citrina*, a form from sandy river banks, and *T. maritima* from coastal sands. Both of these are remarkably constant in their characters. An intermediate and very variable form has been described from the north shore of Lakes Erie and Ontario, an area separated from the range of either pure species. Carothers has now synthesized all known variants of this in the F2 and backcrosses from crosses between the two pure species. It may be hazarded that the Great Lakes form has been produced by hybridization, but at some earlier date when conditions were different and permitted the two pure species to meet and cross in this locality. When conditions changed, the hybrid form must have been able to maintain itself in this locality, while the others were compelled to retreat. This, however, is purely speculative, and further investigation of this peculiar case is desirable.

Lack (1940b) has an interesting discussion of the role of habitatpreference in speciation, which, in addition to its intrinsic interest, has a bearing on some of the problems we have just been discussing. The origin of a marked difference in habitat-preference must be, in his opinion, due to historical accident—e.g. through a group of a woodland species being isolated in a region with only one particular sort of woodland available, and its behaviour then becoming gradually adapted to this type of habitat. He comes to the conclusion that habitat-preferences are not of significance in originating the isolation leading to speciation, but that, once evolved, they may play a part (together with mating reactions) in maintaining the distinctness of forms which have re-met after differentiation.

Thus the nightingale and sprosser (p. 246) not only differ in their songs, but frequent quite different habitats, dry and very damp woodland respectively. These behave as "good species"; but in the case of the bullfinches and the longtailed tits (p. 248) the habitat-preferences of the two groups have remained similar, and they have therefore remained as subspecies, and interbreed where they have come to overlap.

The North American warblers of the genus Vermivora (p. 251) intercross regularly where they overlap, in spite of considerable differences in plumage and song. Their habitat-preferences isolate them partially, V. chrysoptera preferring higher slopes, but they overlap considerably. If their habitat-preferences had differentiated somewhat further, they would not have had the opportunity of intercrossing. We shall meet with similar cases in mammals (see pp. 271, 283-4).

Differentiated forms may come to occupy the same area not

only by the process described on pp. 243 seq. but by immigration at different times. This "double invasion" (Mayr, 1940) is commonest on oceanic islands. However, the weasels (*Mustela*) probably carried out a "double invasion" of S. America (E. R. Hall, 1939); and the case of *Parus major* (p. 243) is similar. If sufficient differentiation has occurred between the waves of immigration, the forms will behave as "good species", like the two chaffinches on Teneriffe, *Fringilla coelebs canariensis* and *F. teydea*,* or the three species of white-cye (*Zosterops*) on Norfolk Island. If, on the other hand, differentiation has been slight, the phenomenon will not be noticed, as the new immigrants will blend with the old. If it has been of moderate extent, obvious hybrid populations will result, as in a species of brush turkey, *Megapodius* (Mayr, 1931-40, No. 39).

It is interesting that in certain cases the zones of interbreeding, notably in the crows, are so narrow and apparently so stable in position. That of the flickers, on the other hand, is much wider and its width is quite possibly still increasing. It is clear that a theoretical analysis of the genetical problems arising from the meeting of two distinct types capable of free interbreeding would be of great interest.

In general, we should expect that the development of regionally stabilized gene-complexes, together with the effects of isolation in accumulating differences impairing fertility or viability (p. 360; Muller, 1940), would account for the restriction of the zones of recombination. The greater the impairment of fertility or viability suffered by the hybrids, the narrower and sharper will be the intergrading zone.

According to an interesting verbal communication from Mr. J. Dunbar of Spynie, Morayshire, fifty years ago all the breeding crows near Elgin were hoodies. Soon after this he remembers the first nesting of the carrion crow in the district. To-day the breeding birds are all carrion crows, implying that the zone of interbreeding has moved north-westwards. If so, this would

^{*} These two forms are of further interest, since both, in correlation with the oceanic climate, show similar colour-changes, the later immigrant (the subspecies of *F. coelebs*) to a lesser degree than the earlier, which has had time to achieve full speciation (see Meinertzhagen, 1921).

236 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS indicate, first that the carrion crow in Scotland enjoys a slight selective advantage as a breeding species over the hoodie, and secondly that those zones of hybridization, as suggested for the genetically similar zones of intergradation between contiguous subspecies, may alter their position without losing their sharpness. A curious case is provided by the sparrows (Meise, 1936; verbal information from the late F. C. R. Jourdain). Without going into detail, we may say that in Spain the house-sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) and the related *P. hispaniolensis* exist as well-defined and well-localized species, the former near human habitation, the latter in open country. On the other hand, in North Africa and also in parts of the Near East the two are found together, interbreed, and produce every kind of intermediate over considerable areas. The plausible suggestion has been made by Kleinschmidt that *P. hispaniolensis* is a later immigrant and, being more of a parasite of man, has there remained more urban. In Africa and the Near East, on the other hand, he suggests that both arrived more or less simultaneously and began to cross at once, before ecological segregation occurred, and that the mixed types show no sharp habitat-preferences. In any event we have the interesting phenomenon of two good species differ-entiated in different regions but intercossing freely when brought together by circumstances (see p. 258).

The two common species of rat provide interesting examples not only of migration after initial differentiation but of further not only of migration after initial differentiation but of further differentiation consequent on migration. A useful summary is given by Hinton (1920). The black rat (*Rattus rattus*) was origin-ally a more or less tree-living animal, yellowish or reddish-brown above and white below, from India, Burma, and neigh-bouring regions. The brown rat (*R. norvegicus*), on the other hand, had its original home in Asia north of the great mountain-chains, and is typically grey or brown above, with a silvery-grey belly. The two may be regarded as having originally been differentiated as mutually-replacing species of a geographical where the silver of the second secon subgenus (Artenkreis). To-day the black rat is found in three main varieties or sub-

species: the roof rat, which is the typical original form, characteristic of India and the Western Mediterranean; the Alexandrine rat, a darker form, with brownish-grey back and dingy belly, characteristic of Asia Minor, North Africa, and certain Indian provinces; and the true black rat, characteristic of cold-temperate Europe, with black back and smoky-grey belly. A black variety of *R. norvegicus* is also known, this too from Western Europe.

Commerce and navigation have carried both species all over the world. The black rat was probably not introduced into Western Europe until the Crusades, the brown rat certainly not until the eighteenth century. The competition between the two types is complex, the brown rat being more vigorous but more dependent on water, while the black rat is favoured by warmth and by environments where climbing is needed. Thus the latter remains the dominant species in countries like India, and also on shipboard, but the brown rat has almost completely ousted it from temperate countries. However, of recent years the black rat is obtaining a foothold in temperate ports, where it obtains access from ships and where modern tall buildings put a premium on climbing ability, while also discouraging the brown rat.

Perhaps the most interesting fact concerns the development of the colour varieties. It seems clear that in the rats (which thus constitute an exception to Gloger's rule), lower temperatures and indoor life both favour darker coloration, and that the Alexandrine rat differentiated from the original *R. rattus* in moderately cool regions, the true black rat in still cooler climates. The full black form appears to have differentiated in Western Europe by 1530—i.e. in less than five hundred years from its first introduction. Once differentiated, the three forms (one may perhaps call them subspecies, though of a rather unusual type) have been still further disseminated by man, and mixed groups of two or of all three types may occur; mendelian segregation may then be found in a single litter.

Most striking is the fact that a black variety of the brown rat has differentiated within the last two hundred years. First described from Ireland in 1837, it has since become commoner and has extended its range in Britain. In E. Africa, *Rattus (Mastomys)* coucha has recently produced black forms, but only in modern

coucha has recently produced black forms, but only in modern buildings (Hinton, 1920, p. 4 n., and *in verbis*). Undoubtedly many cases of species-hybridization in plants are due to migration bringing differentiated forms together. A good example is that of the knapweeds *Centaurea jacea* and *C. nigra* in Britain (Marsden-Jones and Turrill, 1930). The former is a more recent immigrant, largely introduced by human agency. In various localities extensive crossing has taken place, producing segregants and back-cross types of all kinds, sometimes to the extent that the pure *C. jacea* type has been eliminated, and only its genes remain, in various recombination and degrees of dilution. Turrill (1020; and in Watson and others, 1936) mentions the

Its genes remain, in various recombination and degrees of dilution. Turrill (1929; and in Watson and others, 1936) mentions the fact that the deforestation of the Balkan Peninsula by human agency has not only enabled many non-forest plants to extend their range, but has frequently permitted numerous species that were originally differentiated in separate areas to meet, "and so to hybridize with the production of a wealth of new phenotypes which are the bane of the taxonomist but make material for natural selection"

natural selection". A wholesale case of crossing after originally separated types had been brought together, in this case wholly by human agency, is afforded by the introduced flora of New Zcaland. In the new environment, hybridization has occurred on a considerable scale (cf. the sparrows mentioned on p. 256). Here, however, the position is complicated by the existence of even more widespread hybridization among the indigenous flora (see p. 355), a fact for which it is difficult to give any satisfactory explanation, unless it be correlated with low intensity of selection by herbivores. Similar cases in animals, but concerned with subspecies, have already been referred to (n. 248)

Similar cases in animals, but concerned with subspecies, have already been referred to (p. 248). Besides extensive range-changes of this type which alter the degree of isolation, contact, or overlap between forms, we have those which are concerned merely with the extension or retraction of areas of forms which remain throughout in contact with each other. We have already discussed these in *Peromyscus* subspecies (p. 208), and shown that they are probably dependent on varia-tions in population-pressure. Such occurrences are doubtless

widespread and when they occur will reduce the closeness of adaptation between subspecies and habitat. Migration and changes of range have unquestionably been extensive in periods of rapid climatic change like the recent past, and bring many complications into the field of systematics. In some cases we can deduce what has happened; but in others we some cases we can deduce what has happened; but in others we must remain uncertain. It is, for instance, theoretically possible that many cases which we shall discuss under the head of ecological (ecoclimatic and even ecotopic) divergence are in reality due to geographical isolation, followed first by regional adaptive differentiation, and later by migration. In any event range-change has often been extensive and important, and has contributed to systematic diversity both by introducing pairs of species that normally do not cross (such as the European tree-creepers or the *major* and *minor* forms of great tit) to countries where, apart from such isolation, differentiation, and reunion, the ecological niche would have been filled by one species only; and also by allowing differentiated subspecies to cross and so to produce a wide range of new segregant recombinations.

7. THE PRINCIPLES OF GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENTIATION

Out of the accumulation of taxonomic and micro-evolutionary data, illustrated by genetic and mathematical theory, certain general principles of geographical differentiation are now emerg-ing. First, isolation is *per se* a cause of differentiation (Muller, 1940). This is due to the nature of the evolutionary process, which proceeds by the presentation of numerous small mutative steps, and the subsequent incorporation of some of them in the consti-tution by selection, or in some cases by Sewall Wright's "drift". The improbability of the mutative steps being identical in two isolated groups, even if they be pursuing parallel evolution, is enormously high, so that reproductive incompatibilities will in the long run automatically arise between them. If the direction of selection differs for the two groups, visible divergence will also automatically result, even in the absence of divergence due to drift. to drift.

Secondly, non-adaptive or accidental differentiation may occur where isolated groups are small. This "drift", which we have also called the Sewall Wright phenomenon, is perhaps the most important of recent taxonomic discoveries. It was deduced important of recent taxonomic discoveries. It was deduced mathematically from neo-mendelian premises, and has been empirically confirmed both in general and in detail (pp. 58, 200, etc.). Thirdly, and almost equally important, there is the principle of stabilized gene-complexes. R. A. Fisher's extension of the theory of genic balance enables us to deduce that we may expect to find, in addition to the complete biological discontinuity exhibited by species, a condition of equilibrium which may be called *partial biological discontinuity*. In this condition, which will occur in populations spread continuously over a large region, groups showing relatively uniform characters over a relatively large area will be separated by narrow intergrading zones where interbreeding occurs. This is the condition actually found in the subspecific differentiation of many forms. The existence of partial geographical or ecological barriers will promote and accentuate this type of subspeciation, but it may occur even in their absence, provided that the region concerned is large enough, and that there is enough ecological difference between different areas within it (p. 208). within it (p. 208).

As corollary to this, it becomes clear that geographical subspecies are of two biologically distinct types—those that may differentiate into full species, and those that, unless geographical or climatic conditions alter, will remain as interconnected parts of a polytypic species. We may call them *independent* and *dependent* subspecies respectively.

A further corollary is that, since the intergrading zone is automatically kept narrow by selection, dependent subspecies may be maintained in spite of considerable range-changes: the areas of the different subspecies may expand or contract, but the subspecific groups will maintain their distinctness and the intergrading zones will remain narrow.

Fourthly, we have the phenomenon of graded differentiation, which may be subsumed under the head of *clines*. A priori selectionist considerations would suggest that, wherever environmental THE SPECIES PROBLEM: GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIATION 261 agencies vary in a graded way, organic variation would be forced into a corresponding gradation. The matter, however, is not so simple. It is complicated by two facts—first, the fact of partial biological discontinuity just discussed, and secondly the fact of migration and range-change. The fact of partial biological discontinuity prevents the realization of a continuous gradation of characters running parallel with the environmental gradient, and substitutes a staircase or stepped ramp for a uniform slope. The mean values for the environmentally correlated characters of the treads of the stair—the subspecies—then show gradation, and constitute an external or inter-group cline. This type of cline has been the subject of the "geographical rules" of Gloger, Allen, etc. The gradation within the several subspecies has been much flattened, and in most cases still awaits empirical verification; when present, it constitutes an internal cline. It is important to note that clines for different characters may run in different directions. Thus specification by clines permits the construction of a new and more complete picture of variation within the species.

within the species.

The above statement applies mainly to animals. In plants, broad geographical clines of this type appear to be absent or subsidiary, while there is a much greater prevalence of less extensive ecoclines, which come into existence by selective climination from a large range of genetic types adapted to different ecological requirements. This distinction seems to be due to the more random and broadcast methods of fertilization

due to the more random and broadcast methods of fertilization and dispersal found in higher plants (p. 276). Range-changes will clearly tend to obscure the regularity of graded differentiation. If extensive enough, they may obscure it altogether; if moderate, they will destroy the regularity of correspondence between intergroup clines and environmental gradients. Where hybridization occurs, it introduces an additional source of disturbance. A special type of range-change is the cyclical, produced by periodic fluctuations in population-size. These may cause the population to spill over at the margin of its area of distribution and then recede again (see Timoféeff-Researchy, 1040) Ressovsky, 1940).

262 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS Fifthly, within the large areal or regional groups of species or subspecies, a much greater degree of localized differentiation occurs than was previously suspected. Where a species is distri-buted in isolated colonies, each colony may differ from every other, sometimes sufficiently so to deserve the name of micro-subspecies. But even where distribution is fairly uniform, a surprising degree of local variation is to be found if search is made for it, both in visible characters and in the complement of invisible recessives carried. Such incipient geographical differ-entiation may be more or less stable or permanent, or may fluctuate unstably with time. When local differentiation is com-bined with periodic population cycles, peculiar results may occur, periods of great variability (during recovery after a population minimum) alternating with periods of stability, but during each period of stability with the type showing new characters (see p. 112 and e.g. Dobzhansky, 1939a). It is in this field, of population studies on the genetical structure of species, that the most valuable results, for evolution as well as for taxonomy, may be expected in the near future.

of species, that the most valuable results, for evolution as well as for taxonomy, may be expected in the near future. POSTSCRIPT.—1. A further possible cause of geographical polymorphism (p. 106) is mutation restricted to an isolated area. This is found in *Corvus corax varius*, the Faeroes subspecies of raven (Salomonsen, 1935). This subspecies was dimorphic, a partly whitish form existing in addition to the normal black. The piebald form was fairly common in the sixteenth to eighteenth centuries, but has now become extinct owing to the depredations of collectors. Here mutation leading to balanced dimorphism results to have occurred in the Faeroes alone seems to have occurred in the Faeroes alone.

seems to have occurred in the Faeroes alone. 2. Hovanitz (1941) shows that pigmentary clines in butterflies (p. 214) present "astounding regularities", but differing for different pigments. We have (1) melanin pigments in all families, (2) ground-colour pterins (orange to white) in Pieridae and Papilionidae, (3) tawny ground-colours in other families. To decreased temperature and solar radiation and increased humidity and rainfall these react thus: group 1, by darkening (increased intensity and extension); group 2, by lightening; group 3, by darkening in intensity, but either increased or decreased area.

CHAPTER 6

SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC

Ι.	Local versus geographical	dif	erei	ntia	tion					p.	263
2.	Ecological divergence									p.	265
3.	Overlapping species-pairs									p.	284
4.	Biological differentiation									р.	295
5.	Physiological and reprodu	acti	ve c	liffe	ren	tiati	on			р.	308
6.	Special cases									р.	316
7.	Divergence with low con	npe	titic	n;	ocea	nic	fau	nas		р.	323
8.	Genetic divergence .									р.	328
9.	Convergent species-forma	atio	n							р.	339
10.	Reticulate differentiation									р.	351
11.	Illustrative examples .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	р.	356

I. LOCAL versus GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENTIATION

When we examine the question of evolutionary divergence more closely, we shall find that two rather distinct problems are involved. We may perhaps begin by looking at the matter historically, and from the point of view of pure taxonomy. Two phases may be distinguished in the history of modern, as opposed to Hellenistic and Moorish, taxonomy. In the first, which begins with Gesner, Gerard, and Caesalpinus, the primary motive was medical. In large measure it sprang from the need for identifying the plants prescribed in mediaeval medicine. Though reinforced and broadened, first by the emergence of commercial seed-production for horticulture in the eighteenth century, and secondly by the deliberate policy of reporting on the flora and fauna of the new English and Dutch colonies (see various reports in the Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc., New York Colonial Documents, Hakluyt's Voyages, the official Hortus Malabaricus of the Dutch East India Company, etc.), its predominant characteristic and achievement was its preoccupation with the local situation. The major contributions were made by naturalists concerned with the animals and plants of their own country.

This regional or local phase reached its climax in the work of Morrison, Ray, and Linnaeus.

The second phase, stimulated by an intensification of the colonial motive and the extension of horticultural enterprise (e.g. the foundation of the Royal Horticultural Society by Thomas Knight), begins with the voyages of Banks and the collections of Raffles. Its special characteristic is the impact of the Australian and remote Oriental fauna and flora on the scientific consciousness of Europe. The subsequent development first of steam navigation, and then of the new colonial policy which followed the break-up of the great trading monopolies, and was more distinctively national in character, conspired to produce a new orientation, in which local preoccupations were swallowed up in a study of broad geographical distribution. This led eventually to the establishment of the great museums, with their vast collections and their staff of professional classifiers and describers. This phase of taxonomy had its social roots, first in the desire to introduce new and useful plants and animals, and later in the need, from the standpoint both of health and of agriculture, especially in the tropics, for identifying disease-bearing animals, insect pests, noxious weeds, and potentially useful crop-plants and trees.

The first phase we may style that of the herbal, the second that of the museum. The first is essentially regional, the second essentially world-wide.

essentially world-wide. Now let us see what taxonomic problems emerge as a result of these two approaches. In the regional phase, the classifier is confronted with related species either occupying quite distinct ecological habitats or found together over much of their range. In the former case, spatial isolation clearly facilitates ecological divergence. In the latter case, it is generally found that the overlapping species show definite though often slight ecological differences in habitat-preference or in mode of life. This may give us an insight into the adaptive basis for the differentiation of the related forms, but we are immediately confronted by the problem of how they are kept distinct and separate in nature, and still more how they were prevented from breeding together in early stages of their divergence. A frequent feature of overlapping local differentiation is the sharpness of certain characters differentiating the related forms.

Quite other facts confront the museum systematist investigating the world-wide distribution of a group. What strikes him most forcibly is the phenomenon of geographical replacement, as described in the preceding chapter. Geographical forms, whether species or subspecies, are normally not distinguished by obvious qualitative characters, but by small or general differences, in colour, size, and proportion. Where the differentiation appears to be adaptive, the adaptation is usually or mainly to some broad regional influence such as climate or soil; it is often physiological, and then accompanied either by no obvious morphological distinctions, or by morphological characters which are purely consequential on the physiological adaptation, and not themselves adaptive.

The question of preventing intercrossing between groups of this type does not arise, since geographical separation provides the requisite barriers. On the contrary, the major biological problem has been that of accounting for that fraction of the divergence which is not adaptive, and this would now appear to have been settled in principle, as due to the Sewall Wright phenomenon of drift.

The main preoccupation of taxonomy in the past half-century has been geographical. To-day, however, now that the principles of geographical differentiation have come to be generally recognized and in broad outline understood, attention is once more being focused upon the local situation, but in the light of the new discoveries of cytogenetics and ecology.

2. ECOLOGICAL DIVERGENCE

We shall return later to the basic question of the prevention of crossing between spatially overlapping species. Our immediate problem is the study of systematic diversity which is based primarily upon ecologically adaptive divergence.

We have already pointed out that ecological and geographical

265

divergence overlap,* and have mentioned the main different types of ecological divergence. These may be summarized as follows -

- With geographical isolation primary: ecogeographical.
 With ecological specialization primary:

 (a) ecoelimatic: adaptation primarily to distinct regions, differing in climatic and other general environmental

 features.
 - (b) ecotopic: adaptation primarily to distinct local habitats.(c) ecobiotic: adaptation primarily to distinct modes of life.

We have also stressed the role which may be played by rangechanges subsequent to differentiation.

Ecogeographical divergence has been treated at length. Where marked climatic difference between two areas is associated with geographical barriers to migration and interbreeding, ecological divergence will proceed more rapidly and good species may differentiate in place of mere subspecies. The primarily ecological divergences we cannot discuss in such detail, since much less is known, or can be deduced, about their early stages.

The most obvious examples of ecoclimatic divergence are those where two species replace each other altitudinally within the same main area. Examples from Britain are the ptarmigan and the red grouse (Lagopus scoticus and L. mutus), the twite and the lineat (Carduchia during the same the sam linnet (Carduelis flavirostris and C. cannabina; the ring-ouzel and the blackbird (*Turdus torquatus* and *C. cannabina*; the fing-ouzel and the blackbird (*Turdus torquatus* and *T. merula*); the mountain and the common hare (*Lepus timid s* and *L. europaeus*) over most of their range; the alpine and the common lady's mantle (*Alchemilla alpina* and *A. vulgaris*). An example from Switzerland is that of the black and common redstarts (*Phoenicurus ochrurus* and *P. phoenicurus*). The difference between a maritime and an inland region provides opportunity for the same type of divergence. We may instance the rock and meadow pipits (Anthus spinoletta petrosus and A. pratensis), or the two species of bladder-campion, Silene maritima and S. vulgaris (see p. 268).

* Plate (1913) has a valuable discussion of the subject in which he treats the different modes of isolation from a somewhat similar viewpoint.

At first sight it would appear very difficult to maintain any real difference between such ecoclimatic adaptation of distinct environmental regions within a single geographical area, and ecogeographical adaptation to environmentally distinct geo-graphical areas. However, a theoretically important distinction is possible. It may be that in an originally continuous population, those groups inhabiting climatically very distinct regions became closely adapted to the conditions of those regions. If selection in favour of such adaptation were intense, selection would also act to areast harriage to the interbranding of the groups window to crect barriers to the interbreeding of the groups, since such interbreeding would hinder the adaptive change. There is a real distinction between cases in which spatial isolation, brought about by purely geographical barriers, is primary, and ecologically adaptive divergence is subsequent and secondary; and those in which ecological divergence is primary (even if it occur in different regions of a range) and tends to erect barriers to free interbreeding. This will be reflected in the distribution. In cases interbreeding. This will be reflected in the distribution. In cases where geographical divergence is primary, the range of a geo-graphical group (subspecies or species) will in general be a whole area. Where, however, ecological divergence is primary, the range of each divergent group will in general constitute a type of regional habitat—all mountains above a certain height for ptarmigan, all rocky coastal areas for rock pipits—which will not constitute a single geographical area but will be discontinuous. An example which well demonstrates the interconnection

An example which well demonstrates the interconnection between ecological and geographical divergence is that of the two species of bugle, Ajuga chamaepitys and A. chia (Turrill, 1934). A. chia is a highly polymorphic species found in the eastern Mediterranean and castwards into Persia, in various natural habitats. A. chamaepitys, on the other hand, is found in Central and Western Europe and parts of North Africa, is on the whole very uniform, and over a great part of its range is a weed of cultivated land. The two forms are connected by every intergradation over a zone of very considerable width. In addition there is a character-gradient (cline) traversing both forms from north-west Europe to the Near East. As one passes in this direction, the plants tend to have a longer duration of life, become

nore bushy in habit, with shorter leaf-lobes and larger flowers the gradation reaching from 10 to 28 mm. corolla-length), and end towards corrugated instead of pitted seeds; the gradient ppears to be steepened in the zone of intergradation. Turrill concludes that the original home of the two forms vas in the Near East, and that *A. chamaepitys* has arisen by an extension north-westwards in relation to the spread of human griculture, selection having operated to reduce the variability of the stock and to adapt it more closely to the status of a weed of arable land. On this supposition the zones of intergradation re not due to interbreeding between two differentiated forms, out to incomplete selective differentiation of the more uniform rom the more variable form. On distribution alone the two rom the more variable form. On distribution alone the two tom the more variable form. On distribution alone the two orms could be regarded as geographical divergents, but since 1. chamaepitys is so sharply characterized in its ecological require-nents, it seems easier to suppose that it was the new ecological iche provided by human agriculture which was the primary actor in stimulating its differentiation. However, this differen-iation could not occur in the original range of the species, but nly where agriculture was combined with other climatic con-litions: thus geographical separation here resulted from ecological liferentiation lifferentiation.

lifferentiation. It must be, as Turrill stresses, a matter of opinion whether the wo forms should be regarded as species or subspecies: their nany important differences, however, make it more convenient o give them specific rank. The detailed analysis of cases of ecoclimatic divergence has arely been undertaken. However, a careful study has been made of the two species of bladder-campion previously mentioned p. 266), by Marsden-Jones and Turrill (1930).* Both are highly olymorphic, notably *Silene vulgaris*, as would be expected from he greater ecological variety of inland habitats. *S. maritima* is nore restricted ecologically: over most of its range it is confined o the coast, but towards its northern limit it may penetrate far nland, especially on mountains. *S. vulgaris* does not extend so

* This case could equally well be regarded as one of ecotopic divergence. The different categories of course overlap.

far north as S. maritima. Much of the natural variation is parallel in the two species, but certain variants are found only in S. vulgaris. It appears likely that in Britain S. maritima survived the Ice Age, while S. vulgaris was a post-glacial immigrant.

Although under experimental conditions the two species can be crossed, and then yield fully fertile hybrids, they rarely cross naturally in the main portion of the area of their geographical overlap. Spatial isolation, due to their ecological preferences, thus keeps them apart, and they must be regarded as good species. However, in some smallish northern areas the available evidence suggests that the two have come to overlap regionally, and that here they have been fused to form a still more polymorphic mixed population. If so, this would be parallel to the case of the sparrows mentioned on p. 256.

The two speedwells, *Veronica spicata* and *V. hybrida*, are very similar in appearance, but are kept separate by the adaptation. of the former to a continental, of the latter to an occanic climate (Salisbury, 1939).

It will obviously in many cases be difficult to distinguish ecoclimatic from ecotopic divergence. A case in point is the crested tit (*Parus cristatus*), which is confined to coniferous forests and to a certain range of environmental conditions. In many areas it thus becomes limited to mountain regions, but elsewhere (e.g. Scotland) it descends lower. The special (ecotopic) rather than the general (ecoclimatic) ecological conditions of the habitat, however, appear to be much the more important.

The evolution of the crested tit in Britain affords an interesting contrast with that of the cole tit (*P. ater*). As has been pointed out by Lack and Venables (1939) and J. Fisher (1940c) both species are typically restricted to coniferous woodland. During the last glacial maximum, coniferous woodland extended across what is now the English Channel into southern Britain, and both species were presumably restricted to this habitat, as on the continent to-day. Later, Britain was cut off from the rest of Europe, and its coniferous forest receded northwards, being replaced by deciduous woodland in the south. The British cole tit adjusted itself to the new conditions by becoming adapted to deciduous as well as to coniferous woodland, so that it is now

to deciduous as well as to coniferous woodland, so that it is now found over the whole of Britain. The crested tit, however, was for some unexplained reason less plastic, so that the British sub-species is now restricted to the central highland region of Scotland. Various complications of simple coolimatic divergences occur. For one thing, altitudinal separation is, of course, often translated into geographical separation at the margins of the range, the form adapted to high altitudes extending to low levels in regions which are too cold for the other form. Numerous examples of this are given in Chapman's notable monograph (1926) on South American bird-life American bird-life.

A remarkable example of divergence in ecoclimatic preference without morphological differentiation is that of the lesser white-throat (Sylvia curruca) cited by Oldham (1932). In Britain this is a lowland bird, never nesting above 1,000 ft.; but in the Swiss Alps it is not found in the valleys at all, and breeds only above 4,500 ft., in pine forests.

4,500 ft., in pine forests. A peculiar case is that of the marsh and willow tits (*Parus palustris* and *P. atricapillus*). These are in many parts of their range extremely similar in appearance. The chief plumage distinction is that the black of the crown is glossy in the former species, dull matt in the latter. The marsh tit rarely if ever excavates its nest-hole, while the willow tit always or normally does so. Further, the notes are distinct. The ranges of the two *Formenkreise* are by no means identical, *P. palustris* not being found at all in America where the asympton chickadea is the found at all in America, where the common chickadee is the

found at all in America, where the common chickadee is the subspecific representative of *P. atricapillus*. In Western Europe, *P. atricapillus* ranges further north than *P. palustris*, the latter, for instance, being absent from Scotland. In general *P. atricapillus* is the more northern form, and ranges higher in mountainous regions. In certain parts of the range, as in England, the two are found within the same area, and are extremely similar in appearance. Elsewhere, however, they may be distinct both in distribution and in appearance: for example in the Alps the willow tit is found much higher, and is larger and paler than the marsh tit. Presumably they are ecogeographical species, probably separated in the glacial period (see p. 246). In any case they must have

spread extensively since their separation, and under certain conditions now compete within the same area. The distinction in nesting habits is a mark of ecological specialization: in some areas this is also indicated by difference in habitat-preferences, for instance in regard to type of woodland frequented.

A somewhat different case exists in mammals. In the longtailed field-mice (Apodemus) two closely-related species, A. sylvaticus and A. flavicollis, are generally recognized. The latter is slightly but distinctly larger, and has more yellow on the chest and neck. The osteology also presents some definite distinctions. There is partial ecological isolation, flavicollis being restricted to woods and scrub, while sylvaticus prefers more open habitats (Zimmermann, 1936). They are found within the same area in much of Western Europe, though sylvaticus has a much wider distribution. In some regions, as in Scandinavia, intermediates are found; but here sylvaticus is a lowland form, flavicollis an upland form, with the intermediates found in the transitional region (Barrett-Hamilton and Hinton, 1910-, p. 545). However, as the two forms are traced eastwards across the Eurasiatic continent they become less distinct, until in Eastern Asia only a single type can be distinguished (verbal information from Mr. M. A. C. Hinton).*

In many cases of apparent ecoclimatic divergence, we must allow for the possibility that the differentiation was in origin ecogeographical, and that subsequent migration later brought the two forms into the same area, where, however, their different ecological requirements segregate them into different regions. We have given examples of this in a previous section. From what we know of the common and mountain hares (p. 246), we must be prepared for the possibility that similar cases, like that of the red grouse (or alternatively the willow grouse) and the ptarmigan, may be of this nature. The fact is that comparatively little is known on the matter; and it would be extremely valuable to be able to distinguish the results of ecogeographical

^{*} Sviridenko (1940) has recently shown that in Russia there is an ecobiotic difference, A. flavicollis consuming more green food and fewer insects than A. sylvaticus.

divergence followed by migration from those of ecoclimatic divergence in situ. Systematic mapping of the actual ranges of a number of species and subspecies as found to-day, together with their probable ranges during the last glacial maximum, would shed much light on this problem. In general, as pointed out by Mayr (Stanford and Mayr, 1940), higher-altitude subspecies of birds are larger and darker than mid-mountain and lowland forms. In S. America and New

mid-mountain and lowland forms. In S. America and New Guinea the evidence strongly suggests that most altitudinal races have differentiated *in situ*; the types are accordingly often con-nected by "a graded series of intermediate populations". In two cases in New Guinea, however, the local altitudinal represen-tatives seem to have differentiated in separate localities and to have come into their present close proximity by subsequent range-change (cf. the marsh and willow tits in the Alps, p. 270). The types are then usually sharply distinct, without intermediates. This type of origin seems to have been particularly frequent in N. Burma N. Burma.

N. Burma. In Nyasaland the two white-eyes Zosterops virens and Z. sene-galensis are separated both altitudinally and ecotopically, the former being restricted to the borders of evergreen forest at high elevations, the latter occurring at lower altitudes and often in the interior of open woodland as well as the borders of ever-green scrub (Benson, 1941). A rather similar difference holds for two species of Cinnyris, C. manoensis and C. zonarius, and for two subspecies of tit, Parus n. niger and P. n. insignis. We now come to ecotopic divergence. This clearly overlaps with ecoclimatic. The ecological adaptation of the rock pipit (Anthus spinoletta petrosus) is in one aspect ecoclimatic, to a mari-time zone, in another ecotopic, to rocky ground (p. 279). The other two common British pipits show ecotopic divergence, the meadow pipit(A. prateusis) being a bird of moors and rough mea-dows, the tree pipit(A. trivialis) demanding partially wooded areas. A case of ecotopic subspeciation in birds where the two forms are kept separate by their ecological preferences is afforded by the very distinct salt-marsh and dry hillside subspecies of the song-sparrow (Melospiza melodia) in San Francisco Bay. The

king rail (Rallus elegans) and the clapper rail (R. longirostris) of the U.S.A. are restricted to fresh-water and salt-marshes respectively; here the divergence has reached species level. (Examples from Mayr, 1940.)

The caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) exists in Canada in woodland and barren-ground subspecies. The former is considerably larger, but has smaller antlers, in adaptation to the obstacles of its habitat. It migrates south in summer, the barren-ground form north. The two are kept sharply apart by their ecological preferences. In fish, two subspecies of bream (*Abramis brama*) differ also in time of spawning; the spring form has a much more restricted distribution (Velikokhatko, 1941).

Ecotopic divergence seems to be considerably rarer in vertebrates than in insects (p. 322).

Plants provide numerous examples. Divergence in relation to the calcium content of the soil is not infrequent, leading to the production of calcicole and calcifuge species (or subspecies). Examples of such species-pairs from Europe include the bedstraws Galium saxatile and G. sylvestre (the calcifuge type is in each case mentioned first), the gentians Gentiana excisa and G. clusii, the anemones A. sulphurea and A. alpina, and cases from Rhododendron, Achillea, etc. (Salisbury, 1939). It is interesting that the anemones are physiologically buffered against the environmental difference in calcium-content, the ash of the calcicole species containing slightly less Ca. In a somewhat similar way, animal species may be buffered with regard to temperature conditions, forms adapted to regions of higher temperature having, at any given temperature, a lower inetabolism than close relations living in cooler conditions (p. 435; Fox, 1939; Fox and Wingfield, 1937). In the gentians, however, no buffering has developed, and the calcicole species contains considerably more calcium.

Dr. Turrill tells me that an even greater number of species (or subspecies) pairs are differentiated in relation to serpentine or its absence.

The two bedstraws can both be grown in a wide range of soils, but in nature they are rather rigidly calcicole and calcifuge

<page-header><text><text><text>

the zone of intercrossing apparently advancing into the oxlip area. On the continent, the oxlip has not such a restricted ecolo-gical preference, and is correspondingly more abundant. The distinction of the oxlip from the cowslip (*P. veris*) is maintained by hybrid sterility, not by ecological preference. In discussing clines (p. 223) we have mentioned the ecoclines found in such plants as *Plantago maritima*, in which a wide range of genetically different forms is differentially adjusted by selective balance (p. 103) to different parts of a wide range of ecological conditions. These conditions may be artificially imposed, e.g. by the degree of grazing by sheep (see Gregor, 1938b). This typical plant mode of intraspecific differentiation (which

the degree of grazing by sheep (see Gregor, 1938b). This typical plant mode of intraspecific differentiation (which of course may, through subsequent isolation, lead on to full speciation) is usually manifest in a broader way, over larger areas. Turesson especially has developed this view. According to him, most or at least many plant species consist of numerous ecotypes, each adapted to a certain range of environmental con-ditions. Usually the differentiation is ecotopic or ecobiotic, related to habitat conditions. To take but one example, Turesson (1927) finds that the grass *Poa alpina* in Scandinavia comprises alpine, sub-alpine and lowland ecotypes, highly selected in regard to such features as earliness and water-requirements. From his analysis he draws some interesting biogeographical conclusions.

to such features as earliness and water-requirements. From his analysis he draws some interesting biogeographical conclusions, e.g. that the lowland ecotypes are not glacial relics. See also Turesson (1930) for more general discussion. In still other cases, the differentiation may be of the same general nature but with still broader basis, in relation to climate. Numerous examples of this are given by Sinskaja (1931) for Russian plant species. Thus in grasses like *Bromus inermis* there are definite "climatypes" (climatic ecotypes) which each charac-terize a particular climatic zone. "Outliers" of one climatype within the main zone of another may occur, and are also associ-ated with regional peculiarities of the habitat which make it approximate to the zone normally inhabited by the "outlier" type. Presumably, as in *Plantago maritima*, but over a much vaster area, selection sifts the array of ecotypes present in the species in accordance with the climatic and other peculiarities

of the habitat. The difference, though of great significance, is

of the habitat. The difference, though of great significance, is essentially a quantitative one. In many forms, several ecotypes may coexist in one area, each adapted to slightly different habitats. But where conditions are exacting, only a single main ecotype may survive over a con-siderable area (see e.g. Stapledon, 1928, on cocksfoot grass). This multiple-ecotype species-structure of higher plants is to be contrasted with the regional differentiation typical of higher animals, and leading to geographical subspecies: the difference is doubtless due to the random methods of fertilization and distribution in plants. distribution in plants. Another type of species-structure is pro-vided by the existence of seasonal ecotypes, each adapted to flowering and fruiting at a different time of year: see e.g. Clausen, Keck and Hiesey (1937, p. 15), for the tarweeds *Hemizonia*. The ecocline mode of differentiation revealed by Gregor's

The ecocline mode of differentiation revealed by Gregor's work may also, it appears, sometimes be pushed further until the range of groups is broken up into well-marked ecological subspecies or even distinct species. Salisbury (1939) gives two examples, both interestingly enough from a similar range of environmental conditions. Thus the glassworts Salicornia dolicho-stachya, S. herbacea (sensu stricto), S. gracillima, and S. disarticulata are found at progressively higher and drier levels of salt-marshes. An interesting ecotopic complication is seen in that the moder-ately low zone is characterized by *S. herbacea* when more muddy, but by a closely allied species, *S. ramosissima*, when more sandy. A similar but less complex series is provided by the sea-lavenders, *Limonium (Statice) rariflora, L. vulgare (sensu stricto)*, and *L.* pyramidale.

Ecological succession may be the agency which keeps such forms sufficiently separate for group-differentiation and eventual speciation to occur, one and the same salt-marsh at different stages in its career being habitable by one only of the types. Frequently, however, conditions are such that two or more of the forms are found at different levels of the same marsh, so that it is difficult to envisage the precise nature of the isolating barriers which must have been operative to effect speciation. In certain cases there may be a marked segregation of ecotypes

adapted to highly specialized habitats. Thus we have prostrate "varieties" of bittersweet (Solanum dulcamara), broom (Cytisus scoparius), and of the hawkweed Hieracium umbellatum, restricted (and highly adapted) to shingle, cliff-ledge, and shifting sand habitats respectively. All three retain their characters in cultivation, side by side with the normal form. In the Solanum the prostrate form has a complex multifactorial basis (results shortly to be published by Mr. Marsden-Jones); we may expect the breeding experiments now being carried out by Dr. Turrill to reveal the same general state of affairs in the Cytisus.

In the *Hieracium*, Turesson (1922) showed that the dune-type occurs all along a long stretch of dunes, except near the few spots where woodland comes down close to the dunes. He interpreted this as meaning that in these localities, cross-pollination from the woodland form has wrecked the specialized constitution of the shifting-sand form sufficiently to prevent it maintaining itself at all in its difficult habitat (cf. also p. 187).

The considerable gap in characters between the other two prostrate forms and the type would imply that they too are what we may call "all-or-nothing" forms, which may with some justice be called ecological subspecies.

The distinction between them and the less specialized ecotypes would then be that they can only maintain themselves as a relatively pure population, whereas in cases such as that of Gregor's *Plantago maritima*, each population contains numbers of ecotypes, none of them very sharply defined, and all intercrossing and connected by intermediates. In this latter case, the species is polymorphic, the selective balance needed to maintain the polymorphism (see p. 97) being a balance between the selective effect of a wide range of habitats. In the former case, however, true polymorphism is absent, and a highly adapted type is maintained as an ecological subspecies in a special habitat by means of a considerable degree of isolation.

This type of species-structure could readily evolve out of the more usual multiple ecotype structure; doubtless various intermediate conditions will come to light as research proceeds.

The two forms of Ajuga (p. 267) have shown us how the

peculiar conditions of cultivated land may combine with climatic selection to cause a partly ecological, partly geographical diver-gence. In other cases, cultivation may induce purely ecotopic divergence. Thus in some plants, e.g. the weeds *Caucalis arvensis* and the fool's parsley *Acthusa cynapium*, dwarf strains charac-terize stubble-fields. The taller strains which also regularly appear earlier in the scason in the same fields are eliminated each year through decapitation of the flower-heads by the reaping machine before ripening (Salisbury, 1939). It is quite conceivable that good dwarf species may eventually evolve, restricted to the autumnal stubble habitat.

A point of great importance is that, with ecotopic differen-tiation, the spatial overlap between related divergents may be very extensive. Overlap may occur with ecoclimatic divergence: for instance, the red grouse and the ptarmigan overlap in certain parts of their range over a zone of up to 300 m. in vertical height. But such forms as the chiffchaff and the willow warbler (*Phyllo*-

But such forms as the chiffchaff and the willow warbler (Phyllo-scopus collybita and P. trochilus), for example, though the latter has a preference for more open situations, overlap extensively and irregularly over most of their range; so do the meadow and tree pipits (p. 289), though to a somewhat smaller extent. Again, though the bell-heather (Erica cinerea) is adapted to drier mean conditions than the waxbell (E. tetralix), the two are quite commonly found growing together. It is not uncommon to find a species in the atypical conditions at the margin of its range adopting a peculiar habitat. Thus the reed bunting (Emberiza schoenichlus) in north-west Scotland and the Isles is found nesting on small islands in lochs, among low birch scrub (personal observation). This is due to the absence of the marshy willow coverts which it normally frequents, and to its general preference for low shrubby vegetation near water, which here is largely confined to islets, since it is elsewhere grazed down by sheep. The swallow-tail Papilio machaon, normally an ordinary open-country butterfly, inhabits fens on the edge of its ordinary open-country butterfly, inhabits fens on the edge of its range, in Britain. In this and other cases, the reason for the changed habitat is unknown—e.g. for the extension inland in the north-western regions of Britain, and notably on St. Kilda, of the rock

pipit, which normally is rigidly confined to the maritime zone (Nicholson and Fisher, 1940). As with Silene (p. 269), the change of habitat causes an overlap of range with a related species, the meadow pipit. Gammarus duebeni, mainly brackish water in Britain, is the chief fresh-water species of Ireland (Beadle and Cragg, 1940).

The rock pipit is of great interest, since this itself is an eco-logically differentiated subspecies of *Anthus spinoletta*, most of whose numerous subspecies are styled water pipits, owing to their preference for streams. All are confined to barren country: some, like A. s. spinoletta, to alpine areas; others, like A. s. rubescens. to mountains or to low barren areas in the far north. This lastnamed subspecies shows a transition towards the habitat-pre-ferences of the rock pipit (A. s. petrosus), since it is rather frequently found on steep slopes above sea-cliffs. The combination of

cotopic and geographical divergence is thus well illustrated by the forms of this polytypic species. Sometimes the ecological isolation is concerned primarily with breeding-places, the forms often mingling while feeding. This occurs, for instance, with the British Hirundinidae, the swallow (*Hirundo rustica*) nesting only under shelter, usually inside build-ings, the house martin (*Delichon urbica*) only on houses (or rarely on cliffs), the sand martin (*Riparia riparia*) only in sand-cliffs, usually along rivers. The house martin, by the way, provides an example of the effect of human agency in altering range. This species was originally confined to cliffs as breeding-places, but its adoption of houses has both changed its type of nesting behieve and march here is a biaribution. habitat and much extended its distribution.

The reverse condition is exemplified by the terns, Sterna, where several species may show similar nesting habits, but are differentiated in regard to their feeding. Formosov (in Gause, 1934, p. 19) cites a case where four species nest in a single crowded colonial breeding territory (though the species tend to keep separate within the colony), and all co-operate in driving away intruders. But their feeding habits are quite distinct; three fish in different types of water, and the fourth feeds excusively on land. The house and tree spectrows (*Beser domesticus* and *B. matterus*)

The house and tree sparrows (Passer domesticus and P. montanus)

280 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS may also be mentioned, the former essentially a parasite or commensal of man, the latter restricted to open country away from buildings. However, in certain eastern palearctic regions, outside the range of the house sparrow, the tree sparrow has taken the other's ecological role and constantly associates with man. This shows how ecological distribution may alter in rela-tion to the absence of related competitors, just as was shown for regional distribution in the case of the mountain hare (p. 246). As mentioned later (p. 322), the small size and rigid instincts of insects appear to favour ecotopic and ecobiotic differentiation to a much greater extent than in higher vertebrates. Before returning to the biological problem raised by the existence of overlap and the consequent absence of spatial isola-tion, we will briefly deal with ecobiotic divergence, where the main adaptation is to a mode of life rather than to a habitat. Here the opportunities for overlap are greatest. One may, for instance, find half a dozen good species of *Geranium* or of *Veronica* in one hedge or bank, five good species of blue butter-flies (Lycaenidae) on a single chalk down. All the six common species of British titmice may frequently exist together in a single wood, although here they also show ecotopic preferences, a coniferous wood being quite likely to harbour only the cole tit (*Parus ater*), an alder grove by a swamp only the willow tit (*P. atricapillus*), while the longtailed tit (*Aegithalos caudatus*) is somewhat local and tends to frequent rather open bushy country. country.

Sometimes size is the decisive factor. The greater and lesser spotted woodpeckers (*Dryobates major* and *D. minor*) are extremely similar in appearance and habits, but the one is three to four times the weight of the other. Such differences in size are doubt-less correlated with difference in the food taken: this holds for the similar case of the two very similar falcons, the large peregrine (Falco peregrinus) and the small merlin (F. columbarius). Similar examples from North America include the common and fish crows, Corvus brachyrhynchos (corone) and C. ossifragus, the latter having also well-marked ecological preferences, and the hairy and downy woodpeckers, Dryobates villosus and D. pubescens. Mr. M. A. C. Hinton tells me that the same holds for shrews (Soricidae). In Britain we have the common and pigmy species, Sorex araneus and S. minutus; other size-differentiated sets of species occur within the family. In Hemicentetes (p. 287) the differentiation is by tooth-size, not body-size. Other obvious examples are to be found in bats, foxes, toads, Mustelidae (stoat and weasel, Mustela ermineus and M. nivalis), cats (e.g. jaguar and ocelot, Felis onca and F. pardalis) and the curlew and whimbrel (Numenius arguata and N. phaeopus). That the size of predator and prey is often closely adjusted is shown by the experiments with fish and water-boatmen mentioned on p. 469. Presumably the sizedifference between pairs of species differentiated on this basis must reach a certain level before the two types cease to overlap appreciably in regard to the prey taken, and so gain maximum advantage by their differentiation. It is relevant that in the woodpeckers, the ratio of size of the smaller to the larger species is very similar in Europe and America, as if a certain degree of size-divergence were necessary to secure the optimum exploitation of the environment by species-pairs of this type. A quantitative comparative study on such size-differences in various groups should yield interesting results.

With reference to woodpeckers it is interesting that in North America the group shows a much greater range of ecological divergence than in the Old World. For instance, acorn-storing, catching insects on the wing, egg-stealing, sap-sucking, etc., are characteristic of American species only (see Bent, 1939). It would be interesting to try to discover the reason for this and other similar cases of differential ecological radiation.

Feeding habits are the commonest source of ecobiotic divergence. For instance, among the British finches, the goldfinch (Carduelis carduelis) is the only one to prefer thistle-heads, the bullfinch (Pyrrhula pyrrhula) to prefer fruit-buds: the hawfinch (Coccothraustes coccothraustes) enjoys berries and green peas, while the crossbill (Loxia curvirostra) is almost confined to pine-seeds. Such dietary specialization is naturally often reflected in structure: the huge beak of the hawfinch and the remarkable crossed mandibles of the crossbill are obvious examples.
282 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS The birds of prey afford equally good examples. Almost every British bird of prey is, by its wing-shape, mode of flight, beak, claws, size and general instincts, specialized for capturing a distinct type of prey—the peregrine (Falco peregrinus) for large birds such as ducks and pigeons, the merlin (Falco columbarius) for smaller birds, the buzzard (Buteo buteo) for young rabbits, mice and other small animals, the kestrel (Falco tinnunculus) for voles and insects, the sparrowhawk (Accipiter nisus) for small passerine birds, the hobby (Falco subbuteo) for the swiftest victims, including even dragonflies and swallows, the osprey (Pandion haliaetus) for fish. An interesting case of incipient ecobiotic differentiation is cited by Noble (1930) in the common Japanese tree-frog, Rhacophorus schlegelii; this normally lays its eggs in holes in the banks of rice-fields, but one form deposits them in frothy masses on leaves overhanging water. There is a very slight degree of morphological difference, but the two types appear to be geneti-cally isolated by their breeding habits, and may be expected to diverge into good species. Among plants, differentiation of a clearly ecobiotic nature is

diverge into good species. Among plants, differentiation of a clearly ecobiotic nature is on the whole rarer than among animals, but adaptation to special modes of pollination, by hive-bees, bumblebees, flies, moths, butterflies, etc., is a case in point. The different degrees of facul-tative or obligatory parasitism found in the eyebrights (*Euphrasia*) and their relatives provides another example, linking up with the facts discussed in section 4 of this chapter.

the facts discussed in section 4 of this chapter. The lampreys (*Petromyzon*) show an unusual type of ecobiotic divergence. As Hubbs and Trautman (1937) point out the original mode of life in this group appears to be for the animal after metamorphosis to develop strong sharp teeth and to feed in a semi-parasitic fashion on other fishes, usually in the sea. They grow to a considerable size, and eventually reascend small streams to spawn and die. Several species of this type are known. A second set of species, however, entirely cease feeding after metamorphosis. Their gut becomes functionless, and the teeth are reduced in size and sharpness and become fragmented. They live in the smaller streams in this dwarf condition for under a year, and then spawn and die.

The dwarfed non-parasitic but degenerate type is thus an adaptation to an adult existence in small streams. Different dwarf species appear to have originated independently from several parasitic ones.

A very different but equally interesting case is afforded by the koala (*Phascolarctus cinereus*). This marsupial exists in several subspecies. The northern, more tropical one is smaller than the southern (Bergmann's rule, p. 211), and is restricted to other species of eucalyptus. According to Pratt (1937) the leaves of the eucalyptus species preferred by the more tropical subspecies are rich in cineol and poor in phellandrene, while the reverse is true of those eaten by the higher-latitude form. Pratt further maintains that this has an adaptive physiological significance, cineol tending to keep body temperature down, and phellandrene keeping it up. If this proves to be correct, we have here a case of geographical subspecies which are strongly differentiated physiologically and therefore ecologically.

An instructive case of the apparent ecological differentiation of geographical subspecies comes from lizards (Kramer and Mertens, 1938b). In Istria, Lacerta muralis occurs in two subspecific forms, L. m. muralis and L. m. maculiventris, the range of the latter confined to the west of the peninsula, and its habitat almost entirely restricted to the neighbourhood of human habitations where refuse is to be found, while the former, a wideranging form, is found on the east of Istria in woods and thickets far from houses or villages. However, m. muralis becomes an associate of man in other areas, but only where the countryside is naturally very bare, and also highly cultivated—as is the case with the part of Istria inhabited by m. maculiventris. Thus the ecological differentiation of the two forms in Istria is apparent only, caused by the climatic and edaphic peculiarities of the geographical area of m. maculiventris.

Again, the jirds (*Meriones*) of the Arabian desert are differentiated into three ecological species "distinguished from each other by characters of skull and pelage, which appears to be closely correlated in such cases with special habits" (Cheesman and Hinton, 1924). Two are truly desert forms, one nocturnal, and

therefore with larger auditory bullae, thicker fur, and less pallid colour, the other diurnal, with opposite characteristics, and the third, less specialized, is ecologically restricted to the cultivated fringe. Examples of this sort could be multiplied almost indefinitely. They show how widespread is the tendency to ecobiotic and ecotopic differentiation—in other words to a specialized sharing out of the environmental habitat and ways of exploiting it among different related species. At the same time they are a challenge to biologists, since the method by which such differen-tiation originates is by no means clear. The chief clues are the facts concerning "physiological races" in certain animals and plants (p. 295), and the existence of local or sporadic variations in behaviour in certain animals. in behaviour in certain animals.

3. OVERLAPPING SPECIES-PAIRS

Numerous puzzling cases are presented by extremely similar species which overlap over much of their range and yet remain distinct.

Some of these puzzles, like that of the two European trec-creepers (p. 245), we have already shown to receive their solution in the fact of migration and overlap subsequent to divergence in isolation. It is probable that other examples, like that of the marsh and willow tits (p. 270) and of the pied and collared flycatchers (*Muscicapa hypoleuca* and *M. albicollis*), are of the same nature.

nature. A striking case is that of the two crested larks of North Africa, Galerida cristata and G. theklae, already referred to on p. 215. These differ only in certain apparently trivial characters, such as the length of bill, and whether the song is given from the ground or on the wing. Their overlap is extensive, but by no means complete. It is quite possible that here, too, migration after ecoclimatic differentiation in isolation has been responsible. Slightly different cases of overlap arise when two waves of invasion have occurred from different direction at different times

(see p. 255). This has been particularly studied in the islands of the Pacific; thus on the Marquesas, Mayr (1940) finds two

forms of fruit-dove, which ordinary taxonomic practice would be inclined to regard as subspecies, living together without any hybridization. Then we have overlap due to the end members of a chain of subspecies meeting and behaving as species (p. 244). In all, ten or a dozen examples of this phenomenon are known from birds alone. The distribution of the three subspecies of *Rana esculenta* is very peculiar (H. W. Parker, *in litteris*). Usually they are kept from interbreeding by differences in breeding-times, but in certain zones hybridization occurs. Four-fifths of the species of gall-forming Cynipidae infest the oak (Hogben, 1940). Three species, including two of *Neuroterus*, often occur on the same leaf. Hogben suggests that agamic reproduction has facilitated this divergence.

An interesting case is afforded by the blue butterflies. The chalk-hill blue (Lycaena corydon) and the Clifton blue (L. thetis) must be ecologically slightly different in their requirements, since the latter is found more commonly near the sea, and even where they overlap, one is usually more abundant than the other in particular spots. On the other hand, they do overlap to a considerable extent, and, although their times of emergence are not identical, they are frequently to be found flying together. The brownish females of the two species are so similar that no entomologist would undertake to assign a single specimen to its correct species merely on its appearance. The males, however, are strikingly distinct, that of L. thetis being a rich azure, of L. corydon a very luminous pale blue.

An example which has been a source of confusion to ornithologists for over a century is that of the genus *Tachyeres* or steamer ducks, so called from their habit of racing over the surface of the water, churning its surface with their wings like paddlewheels. In addition, some can fly; and these have long been known to be smaller and to have larger wings.

For many years the belief was firmly held by many authorities that only one species existed, and that the flying stage was passed through in youth. However, Murphy (1936) has definitely established that three distinct species exist—one flightless form from the west coast of southern South America, a second from the

Falkland Islands, and a flying form with a range including that of both the others and also spreading some distance up the east coast. The three forms are distinguishable at all stages. The coast. The three forms are distinguishable at all stages. The flightless forms are considerably heavier, especially the mainland type, the males of which are almost double the weight of flying males. An interesting point (derived from an analysis of Murphy's data, but not noted by him) is that the sexual disparity in size is much greater in the flightless species. The 3/9 weight-ratio in the mainland flightless form is 1.47, in the flying form only 1.17. One may conjecture that this is due to sexual selection in favour of more powerful males being no longer counteracted by natural selection in relation to efficiency of flight. Though the wings of the flightless forms are smaller, the wing-muscles are normal, since a great amount of energy is needed for the "steaming", which is rather faster than in the flying species. The calls of the three species appear to be different and the Falkland flightless species shows genetic tameness. There is an ecotopic as well as an ecobiotic distinction between the flightless and flying forms, the latter being found on fresh

the flightless and flying forms, the latter being found on fresh water as well as on all regions of the coast, while the flightless species are restricted to the coast, and to such regions of it as are not subject to strong tidal fluctuation.

It would seem clear that both flightless forms have been derived from the flying form by further specialization of the curious form of surface locomotion which is already well developed in it. But whether they have been independently derived or have differentiated into the mainland and Falkland form subsequent to losing their powers of flight, is uncertain, as is the mode by which isolation between flightless and flying forms occurred in the first place.

The two shearwaters *Puffinus griseus* and *P. tenuirostris* are closely similar except that the former is larger. In New Zealand, only *P. griseus* is found, while *P. tenuirostris* breeds in southern Australia. Wood-Jones (1936) considers that both species breed on the same island off Tasmania. This finding would indicate that the two species have remet after geographical differentiation. Two close and overlapping species of the insectivore Hemi-

centetes seem to be ecobiotically differentiated in relation to size of prey, one having considerably smaller teeth (Butler, 1941).

We now return to the extremely important question of how related species which overlap spatially are in nature prevented from intercrossing. It is clear on general grounds that wherever such crossing is possible, whether it results in fully fertile, partly fertile, or infertile offspring, or is itself wholly sterile, its occurrence will usually be a biological disadvantage. This is obvious whenever loss of fertility is involved: on the average, individuals whose mating produces no offspring, or offspring which themselves show partial or complete infertility, will be less fully represented in later generations than individuals whose matings are fully fertile. But even when the offspring of two distinct types are fully fertile, their production may be a disadvantage. This will be so when the parent types are ecologically well adapted to distinct environments or modes of life: for *ex hypothesi* their hybrid products will be less well adapted.

Accordingly we may expect natural selection to operate to prevent the crossing of related but distinct forms under the following conditions: (1) when the two forms overlap spatially and consequently have the opportunity of interbreeding; and (2) either, (a) when divergence has proceeded far enough for crossing to be attended with reduction in fertility, of whatever nature; or (b) when the two forms are subject to strong selection adapting them to distinct environments or modes of life.

We shall, on the contrary, not expect such special barriers to mating to be crected when (1) the two forms do not overlap spatially; or (2) they overlap spatially but are capable of producing fully fertile offspring, and are further not subject to strong selection promoting adaptive ecological divergence.

Let us see how these deductions work out in practice. The simplest method by which related forms can be prevented from crossing is by the possession of distinct breeding seasons, and this is frequently found to occur. An inspection of the breeding seasons of the marine animals of the Gulf of Naples shows many examples, and a number of cases are known among flowering plants, moths, etc. This, however, is not always practicable. In temperate an arctic climates, it is inevitable that the bulk of the bird species for instance, will breed at almost the same period, and the sam will often apply to flowering plants. With flowering plants various alternatives are possible. Either the flowers may open at different times of day; or a sharp distinction in colour or form of flower may be evolved, which, since bees tend to visit in number of similar flowers in series, will reduce the chance o

of flower may be evolved, which, since bees tend to visit number of similar flowers in series, will reduce the chance o cross-pollination; or the two forms may become adapted to pollination by different species of insects. Factors making fo reduced fertility of foreign pollen may also be encouraged. With higher animals, the most obvious method will be the encouragement of specific mating reactions. Numerous inter-esting cases of this exist. In *Drosophila*, no example is known where different species will mate as readily as do individuals of the same species. Races A and B of *D. pseudoobscura* almost certainly differ in the males' stimulating scent. The sprosser and the nightingale will mate in captivity, but do not do so in nature (pp. 246, 254). In general, it will be found that among birds and other higher animals overlapping and related forms frequently differ markedly in regard to some character connected with recognition. These recognitional characters may be auditory, visual or olfactory, and they may be common to the group as a whole, or confined to one sex. In any case, they often have a function in relation to keeping the group defined and preventing interbreeding with other groups, though they may and normally will have other functions as well. For instance, in gregarious animals, recog-nitional characters common to all individuals may serve to keep the group together on migration or to give warning on occasions of danger, or may enable the young to recognize their parents or others of the species, or prevent mating with members of closely-related species. Recognition characters confined to one sex, in addition to facilitating recognition by offspring, may serve for recognition between the sexes, or between members of the same sex, or both (e.g. the "moustache" of the male flicker, *Colaptes auratus*, Noble and Vogt, 1935; and see dis-cussion in Huxley, 1938c); or have some sexually selective func-

tion, either in regard to choice of mate, as in ruff or blackcock, or in promoting readiness to copulate, as apparently with most monogamous birds. In all such events, however, any marked difference between the recognition characters of related forms will have a function which we may call that of *group distinctiveness*, in that it will promote the unity of the group which it characterizes and give it a sharper biological delimitation from other related groups (see also p. 545).

Lorenz (1935) has emphasized the biological value of distinctiveness as such in all characters serving to clicit behaviourreactions in other individuals (allaesthetic characters: Huxley, 1938c); but he has not emphasized this frequently superadded function of group distinctiveness, which is of biological value only in so far as it keeps groups apart: see also Lack (1941).*

tunction of group distinctiveness, which is of biological value only in so far as it keeps groups apart: see also Lack (1941).* As examples of distinctive characters serving as barriers against intercrossing, we may note the fact that bird species that are closely similar in appearance and overlap spatially frequently differ strikingly in their calls and songs. This is best exemplified in the songs of the three British species of *Phylloscopus*, the chiffchaff (*Phylloscopus collybita*), willow warbler (*P. trochilus*), and wood warbler (*P. sibilatrix*), notably the first two. It was by their songs that Gilbert White in 1768 was able to be the first to distinguish all three. Other good British examples are the meadow and tree pipits, and the marsh and willow tits (p. 270). As Mayr (1940b) writes of certain almost indistinguishable overlapping species of minivet (*Pericrocotus*), *Cisticola*, etc., "the birds themselves are apparently not deceived, though the taxonomists are", since hybridization seems not to occur.

Song in these forms thus has a dual function. Since its primary function is to advertise the possession of territory, it must be striking; but since a secondary function is to advertise the fact only to members of the same group, the song of related and overlapping forms must be markedly different. It is both distinctive *per se* and also group-distinctive.

^{*} Molony (1937) in a recent book has done useful service by drawing attention, from the standpoint of a field-naturalist, to the group function of recognition marks, especially in keeping the young in the group and within the group tradition.

Heinroth and Heinroth (1924-6; vol. 1, p. 49) have a general discussion of the songs of the thrushes (*Turdus*), which illustrates the same principle.

discussion of the songs of the thrushes (*Turdus*), which illustrates the same principle. It is interesting to note that the marked differentiation of the various species in song bears no obvious relationship to their equally striking differentiation in visual appearance. In visual appearance, the original thrush type (brown with spotted underparts) has been modified in various species (primarily in the males) by the addition of striking characters like the black head and chestnut breast of the American robin (*Turdus migratorius*) or by a total transformation as in the blackbird (*T. merula*) or the ring ouzel (*T. torquatus*). This striking differentiation in visual appearance due to the need for specific distinctiveness is seen in many other birds, either in the males only or in both sexes. Obvious examples include that between the whinchat and stonechat (*Saxicola rubetra* and *S. torquata*); the special colorations of the goldfinch (*Carduelis carduelis*) or the redpolls (*C. flammea*) compared with other members of the genus; the white nape-patch of the cole tit (*Parus ater*) as against its absence in the marsh and willow tits; the colour of the crest in goldcrest and firecrest (*Regulus regulus* and *R. ignicapillus*) respectively; the general colour of the blackbird (*Turdus merula*), as against the more typical brown and spotted song-thrush (*T. philomelus*), and the white breast-crescent of the ring ouzel (*T. torquatus*) as against its absence in the blackbird; the strikingly different coloration and pattern of the males in related species of duck—these are all presumably examples of this difference-function. Lack (1940a) has recently shown that one important function of beak-size and -shape in the ground-finches (*Geospizidae*) of the Galapagos is to facilitate specific recognition for mating purposes. It is tempting to suppose that the striking difference in coloration between the males of the chalkhill and Clifton blue butter-

flies (p. 285) serve as sexual recognition characters of this type; but experimental evidence is lacking in this case, and what exists for most other Lepidoptera makes it appear that any preparatory visual recognition is of females by males (except in *Hepialus*). A more directly sexual character keeping related species from

crossing is found in the dart or spiculum amoris in the overlapping snail species Cepaea hortensis and Cepaea nemoralis. That of nemoralis is bigger and more powerfully ejected than that of the other; as a result, if members of the two species attempt to pair, the weaker fails to provide adequate sexual stimulus, while that of the other is so powerful that it causes the weaker to shrink away (Diver, 1940, p. 326).

In the deermice *Peromyscus*, several cases occur of distinct sub-species sharing the same geographical area but being adapted to different habitats. Thus in *P. maniculatus* (Dice, 1931) members of short-tailed prairie-dwelling subspecies may overlap with mem-bers of various long-tailed woodland-dwelling forms. Speci-mens of *P. m. osgoodi* and *P. m. artemisii* may even be caught in the same traps. We may conjecture that in this last case the discontinuity is here preserved by some difference in mating discontinuity is here preserved by some difference in mating reactions. The same appears to be true for the two good species *P. leucopus*, the wood-mouse, and *P. gossypinus*, the cotton-mouse, the former northern (and western), the latter southern (Dice, 1940a). Though interfertile in captivity, the two remain perfectly distinct in the small area where their ranges overlap. Dice considers that this psychosexual type of isolation is the major one in *Peromyscus*; ecological isolation may occasionally remfore sexual, but tends to break down at the margins of habitat zones where the ecological relations are somewhat abnormal. This is where the ecological relations are somewhat abnormal. This is doubtless not general, though Spencer (1940) regards psycho-sexual and reproductive barriers as primary in the differentiatior of *Drosophila*. In the garter-snake, *Thamnophis ordinoides*, eco-logical differentiation, largely related to terrestrial or aquatic habit, may be primary (Fitch, 1940); aquatic habit, which arises in arid areas, permits much larger size; different ecological

subspecies frequently come to overlap geographically. Where neither psychosexual nor ecological isolation is opera-tive, two (or more) subspecies which meet owing to range-changes may fuse into one. Both Dice and Sumner, the two chief authorities on the biotaxonomy of the genus Peromyscus, consider that such polyphyletic subspecies are not infrequent in it (see p. 248). Besides such sexual characters which act as barriers to inter-

crossing by promoting assortative mating, non-sexual recognition characters may have a similar effect by keeping members of a species together. The distinctive wing-bars of various related birds (e.g. sandpipers, etc.), or the striking and diversely coloured specula on the wings of different species of ducks will serve as visual examples, while there are innumerable cases of groupdistinctive but non-sexual call-notes.

Olfactory characters, of course, will play a part in groups like mammals and insects where the sense of smell is more important than it is in birds. It is probable that such characters are group-distinctive in *Drosophila*.

It must be admitted that there are many cases where no wholly satisfactory explanation of the absence of intercrossing between overlapping and related species is as yet forthcoming. Diver (1940) has enumerated some of these; for instance, that of the numerous and often scarcely distinguishable species of the grassmoths of the genus *Crambus*, many of which may be found on the same ground. We may presume that slight but distinctive olfactory stimuli control the mating-reactions, but this is purely hypothetical; and the problem of initial divergence remains. See also A. P. Blair (1941) on overlapping species of tree-frogs. These examples will serve to substantiate our deduction that

These examples will serve to substantiate our deduction that mating barriers of special type, often psychological, will tend to be created between closely-related species which show spatial overlap. But we also drew the converse deduction, namely, that such spatial barriers will *not* tend to arise between closely-related forms which have differentiated in separate geographical areas. For the adaptations involved will not, in general, be of so specialized a nature; and further, since during the early stages of divergence intercrossing will not occur at all, or only at the margins of the range (where environmental conditions also will be intermediate) there will be little or no opportunity for selection to act. We shall accordingly expect a similar degree of character-divergence to be accompanied by fewer and lower barriers to intercrossing.

An important fact supporting this deduction is that when forms which have differentiated in different regions later extend their geographical range so as to overlap, intercrossing may occur freely, and the offspring be fertile.

We have already mentioned examples of this, notably in the flickers in America, the crows and other birds in the Old World, and various plant species (p. 115).

Another excellent case concerns the red grouse (Lagopus scoticus) of Britain and the willow grouse (L. lagopus) of Scandinavia. These, though generally recognized as "good species", are closely allied, and their considerable differences are clearly the result of geographical divergence. However, there has been no pressure of selection operating to erect barriers to inter-crossing, and accordingly, when either species is introduced into the geographical range of the other, the aliens, contrary to the expectation of the sportsmen responsible for the introduction, have not maintained themselves, but have quickly become incorporated into the indigenous species. A similar lack of barriers may exist between wholly unrelated moths. Mr. Ford tells me he has found male burnets (Zygaena filipendula) attracted by female oakeggars (Bombyx quercus). Here the waste arising from the actual production of hybrids is absent, and ecological preference normally isolates the two forms.

Dobzhansky (1937, p. 258, and, more emphatically, 1940) is of the opinion that restriction on interfertility (in the broadest sense) will be brought about *only* by selection, whereas most authors believe that random accumulation of differences in stocks isolated from each other will in the long run inevitably lead to some restriction, whether by reducing the frequency of unlike matings or the fertility or viability of their offspring (p. 371). There is no question that, even if an initial reduction of interfertility may be due to the accidents of divergence, it may subsequently be increased by selection (p. 360). In this connection it is worth noting that in the case of the crows (p. 248) and the grackles (p. 251), the zone of intergradation is narrowest where the two forms are presumed to have been longest in contact, and where therefore selection aimed at the reduction of biological waste will have had more chance to exert its effects. It would be of the greatest interest to test individuals from the most recent and the longest-established areas of contact to see whether they are in point of fact generally different in their psychosexual reactions or in the viability or fertility of their offspring.

SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC 293 energi character-divergence (excluding characters promoting assortative mating and acting as barriers to interspecific crossing), types which have diverged in geographical isolation will show ess effective barriers, direct or indirect, to fertility, than those which show ecological divergence in the same area. But secondly, that as regards characters promoting assortative and impeding interspecific mating, the ecological type of speciation within the same region will accentuate the degree of character-divergence, largely by promoting the evolution of characters accentuating group-distinctiveness (p. 289). This last point is clearly valid, since such characters are only serviceable if they are immediately recognizable, or at least sharply and qualitatively distinct. It is further likely that ecological but spatially-overlapping differentiation will promote a more rapid and thorough divergence in general characters, since more complete adaptation to the two ecological niches will be difficult to attach precise meaning to comparisons as to degree of diver-gence between the ecological and the geographical types of speciation. What we can say, however, is that when the degree of general character-divergence between two overlapping species is slight, and we yet find considerable barriers to intercossing, we shall expect to find lower barriers between two non-over-apping (geographically isolated) species with the same degree of character-divergence. And this, so far as we can judge on the evidence at present available, is true.

4. **BIOLOGICAL DIFFERENTIATION**

A special type of ecobiotic divergence, and one which from its practical bearings has recently received a great deal of attention, is that usually known as biological (or physiological) differen-tiation. By this is meant the divergent adaptation of separate groups of parasites or phytophagous animals to particular hosts or food-plants. Reviews of the subject have been given by Thorpe (1930, 1940), from which we select most of our examples. (References given only for cases not cited from Thorpe.)

The most striking points about this kind of differentiation are as follows. First, all gradations are found from incipient physiological subspecies to full species characterized by complete intersterility and morphological differences. Secondly, however, the visible morphological divergence lags further behind the invisible physiological (including the reproductive) than in any other type of differentiation. Thirdly, barriers to intercrossing, largely it would appear on a psychological basis, appear to be speedily set up by selection between the diverging groups. And finally, the biological differentiation in its early stages appears usually to depend upon an interesting form of organic selection (the Baldwin and Lloyd Morgan principle; p. 304) operating in its modificational phase through olfactory conditioning. Let us now examine some well-analysed cases in the light of

modificational phase through olfactory conditioning. Let us now examine some well-analysed cases in the light of these principles. The maggot of the Dipteran known as the apple fly (*Rhagoletis pomonella*) is very destructive to certain fruits. It appears originally to have been a parasite of a species of hawthorn (*Crataegus*) in North America, but this genus is now but rarely attacked. It exists in two main forms, differing in no visible characteristic except size, but confined to different host-plants, the larger attacking apples and related fruits, the smaller blueberries and huckleberries. The difference in size averages about 30 per cent, and there is no overlap. In some states, e.g. Maine, the "blueberry maggot" has been immemorially established, while the date of introduction of the "apple maggot" and the course of its subsequent spread are known. It is extremely hard to raise one form on the host-plant of the other. Crosses can be obtained artificially between the two forms, but only with difficulty, though the offspring are viable. There seems no question that the two forms are, reproductively speaking, good species, in spite of their morphological similarity. The original differentiation may have been into hawthorn and blueberry forms, the hawthorn type later becoming adapted to apples, but this is uncertain.

The two forms of the Homopteran *Psylla mali* provide a very similar case. Here again the adults of the two types differ morphologically only in size, but are exclusively confined to apple and

hawthorn respectively. However, the differentiation has gone a little further than in *Rhagoletis*, for it has so far proved impossible to make the one race lay eggs on the other's food-plant, or to obtain cross-breeding. In addition, slight morphological differences have developed between the two types in the nymphal stage. An interesting point is that the hawthorn race is parasitized by certain Chalcids and Proctotrypids, but the apple race is not. Such forms, though for museum purposes it is convenient to leave them in the category of "biological races", must be considered by the evolutionary biologist as distinct species.

A much smaller degree of divergence is shown by the biological races of the ermine moth *Hyponomeuta padella*, one being adapted to apples, the other to hawthorn and blackthorn. No structural features separate the two, although there are slight colour differences; the colour of the forewings in the species as a whole ranges from dark grey to pure white, and the dark forms are more abundant on hawthorn, the white form on apple. The apple form is usually a leaf-miner in its first larval instar, the other not; the pupae of the apple race are usually to be found in neat packets or rows, with a dense cocoon, while those of the hawthorn and blackthorn race are generally scattered, and the cocoon is very flimsy.

If the moths are given the choice of food-plants, they show a decided preference for their normal host (80 per cent in the case of the apple race, 90 per cent in that of the hawthorn one). Although the hawthorn and blackthorn "sub-races" are indistinguishable in most ways, they are actually separable on the basis of egg-laying preferences (80 per cent and 70 per cent for the hawthorn and blackthorn forms respectively).

The food-preferences of the larvae also, though marked, are not fixed; they can be induced by starvation to feed on the "wrong" food, though the resultant imagines are generally undersized and often infertile. Finally, the mating-preferences are only relative. Elaborate and large-scale experiments showed that the attraction between individuals of the same race was about twice as strong as that between those of different races.

Owing to these various preferences, the different races must

к*

keep themselves fairly distinct in nature, although occasional crossing probably occurs. The races are thus "biological subspecies", and deserve trinomial recognition.

Some remarkable results have been obtained on crickets. Thus in Oregon the snowy tree-cricket Oecanthus nivalis exists in two forms. The one race is a tree-dweller, and lays its eggs singly on the bark of apples and similar trees; the other lives in bushes, and lays its eggs in dense rows inside the pith of raspberries and similar shrubs. The two types show no visible differences, but are immediately distinguishable by ear, the shrub race uttering its repeated notes with a frequency only about half that characteristic of the other. The form found in the eastern United States resembles the tree race in its habits, but is characterized by a distinctive song of its own. It is always difficult and sometimes impossible to make the shrub form lay its eggs on trees, and vice versa.

Another cricket, *Nemobius fasciatus*, is in Iowa divided into several races readily distinguishable by song-frequency. Again, each race has its own ecological niche, but shows no or negligible morphological or colour differentiation. In various regions, the different races are found side by side. In this case crosses have been made between members of distinct races, and the results indicate that the song-difference is genetically determined, and is dependent on several interacting genes.

Since the song of crickets is an epigamic character, and since recent work (cf. Pumphrey and Rawdon-Smith, 1936) indicates that insects must rely specially upon differences in song-frequency (rather than pitch) for auditory discrimination, this seems a clear case of the evolution of a special barrier against intercrossing between ecologically-differentiated groups (pp. 287, 385). The different types are perhaps best regarded as well-marked subspecies, though well on the way to complete independence.

In wood-boring beetles of the family Cerambycidae, results were obtained very similar to those in *Hyponomeuta*. Various species are differentiated into "biological subspecies", normally confined to one or a few kinds of wood. In every case (e.g. the hickory and wild grape strains of *Cyllene pictus*) the larvae can be made to abandon their preferences and to live on and even-tually even to prefer a different type of wood; but the difficulty of inducing altered habits, and the initial mortality, differed very greatly in different species. In one case at least moderate assortative mating preferences were exhibited.

In Hawaii, in the beetle *Plagithysmus*, the process of biological differentiation appears to have proceeded further, to the stage of good species. Three species, each confined to its own foodplant, and apparently never crossing, may occur together within the space of a few yards. Distinct morphological differences the space of a few yards. Distinct morphological differences between them exist, but are so slight that one entomologist has written, "It is hardly conceivable that species can be more closely allied than these and yet remain distinct." Such a judgment reflects the natural preoccupation of the taxonomist with visible structural diagnostics: we now know that groups may remain perfectly distinct though morphologically indistinguishable. Many forms of gall-producing insects (e.g. *Cynips*) are distin-guishable solely or mainly by the type of gall to which they give rise. These will probably turn out to be adaptive "biological races". Sometimes these biologically adapted forms are also geo-graphically separated. Thorpe (1930) mentions the following illustration. In the Orient, the red scale *Chrysomphalus aurantii* is parasitized by a chalcid wasp. *Camperiella bifasciata*. But when

is parasitized by a chalcid wasp, Camperiella bifasciata. But when this was introduced into California to cope with the scale pest there, it was found to be useless. Although C. aurantii in Cali-fornia is indistinguishable from C. aurantii in the Orient, it must be different physiologically, for though the chalcid parasite lays its eggs on it, the larvae are always destroyed by phagocytosis, instead of developing freely at its expense, as in the Orient.

It is interesting that the concept of biological races in wood-boring and phytophagous insects was advanced sixty-five years ago by Walsh, but that his conclusions remained virtually unnoticed until 1923.

Biological races of this type are not confined to insects, but are found also in many other groups, such as Arachnida, Nema-toda, Protozoa, Bacteria, Fungi, and some higher plants. Among the latter, the different races of mistletoe may be mentioned,

each characterized by ability to parasitize a particular host (p. 308).

In Arachnida, the evidence, though not fully conclusive, makes it very probable that the mange-mites of the species Sarcoptes scaber are split up into biological races each adapted to a par-ticular host—goats, sheep, camels, dogs, horses, guinea-pigs, rabbits, men, etc.

In the free-living mites of the genus Paratetranychus, the process has continued to full speciation, P. pilosus attacking only fruits of deciduous trees, and *P. citri* only citrus fruits. Though cross-mating occurs readily, it is never fertile; there are very slight morphological differences (so slight that the two forms were long regarded as belonging to a single species), and also slight differences in habits, egg-laying and food preferences, and in distribution.

distribution. The "red spider" (*Tetranychus opuntiae*) introduced into Aus-tralia to combat the spread of prickly pear (*Opuntia*) is morpho-logically identical with the "red spider" of orchards and gardens, but is entirely confined to *Opuntia*, and appears always to starve to death on any other food-plant. Here we have complete bio-logical separation, but no visible divergence. Among Nematoda, *Tylenchus dipsaci* appears to be well-differentiated into biological races, e.g. the strawberry race and the narcissus race. In *Heterodera radicola*, on the other hand, the various biological races can be made to adapt themselves to new

various biological races can be made to adapt themselves to new hosts without much difficulty.

Among Protozoa, the trypanosomes show well-marked "bio-logical races" adapted to different hosts (see e.g. Duke, 1921), but in these unicellular forms it is not certain to what extent the phenomenon is due to Dauermodifikationen induced by the different conditions.

In Myxosporidia, similar physiological races also exist (Fan-tham, Porter and Richardson, 1939). The phenomenon of biological differentiation seems to be of common occurrence in certain fungi. The few examples which follow are taken from the summary by Ramsbottom (1940). The changes known to mycologists as *saltation* seem probably to be akin to the so-called "mutations" of bacteria (p. 131), and

in some cases at least to the Dauermodifikationen of Protozoa. In any case, in pathogenic forms they often result, as in bacteria, in changes of virulence towards particular host-strains. In the rusts (Uredineae), what appear to be true biological races are widespread. Sometimes the parasitism is so strict that host-species may be identified by its means, as when, in the difficult group of willows, one species was identified by its reaction to the rust Melampsora ribesii-purpurea. Similarly some rusts occurring on separate but related hosts, and with specific life-histories, often show close morphological resemblances. In such cases we are clearly dealing with biological differentiation which has passed the species-level.

The Puccinia of grasses show the same phenomenon at the level of "biological races", but pushed to an extraordinary degree of diversification. Thus from one originally recognized "species" *P. graminis*, a second, *P. phlei pratensis*, parasitizing *Phleum*, was divided off some thirty years ago. The restricted *P. graminis*, it was then found, could be divided into six "forms", according as the host-plant was wheat, oats, ryc, or various grasses. Each of these has now been shown to consist of numerous minor biological races, varying in regard to their infective specificity for various strains of the host-plant; thus some seventy "physiologic forms" have already been detected within the main wheat form. Ramsbottom considers that the "species" *P. graminis* includes at least a thousand separate biological strains, each conserving its physiological peculiarities with "remarkable constancy" (see also below, p. 308).

for various strains of the host-plant; thus some seventy "physiologic forms" have already been detected within the main wheat form. Ramsbottom considers that the "species" *P. graminis* includes at least a thousand separate biological strains, each conserving its physiological peculiarities with "remarkable constancy" (see also below, p. 308). It is of great biological interest to find that this veritable army of biological races, which in one phase of its life-history is specifically adapted to several genera and a great many full species of grasses and cereals, is restricted during its other phase to quite a few species of the two genera *Berberis* and *Mahonia*. Two strains which cannot live on the same grass can and do live on the same barberry. This unequal specialization is doubtless due to the unequal taxonomic differentiation of the two types of host-plant, and must assuredly have been accentuated by the artificial production by man of new strains for the grass phase

to invade. It is an excellent example of adaptation localized in time to a particular part of the life-history (cf. p. 424). Of biological differentiation in bacteria we shall not speak, since it is not certain, owing to the absence of sexual reproduction in members of this group and their consequent different type of evolution, whether it really represents the same phenomenon as in higher organisms (p. 131). This at least can be said—that strains differing in virulence and in various important biological and biochemical properties do exist within types that appear homo-geneous by ordinary criteria, and that the phenomenon in bacteria and higher organisms must rest on a common and fundamental capacity for physiological adaptation of strains within a group. It remains to discuss the evolutionary origin of biological differentiation in animals. For a considerable time it was sup-posed that this was a lamarckian phenomenon, and various

differentiation in animals. For a considerable time it was sup-posed that this was a lamarckian phenomenon, and various experiments apparently supporting this view were adduced. To take but one instance, J. W. H. Harrison (1927) studied a sawfly, *Pontania salicis*, whose larvae produce galls on willows. This "species" contains a number of distinct biological races, each normally confined to a particular species of willow, and each with specific egg-laying preferences. However, he was able to convert the biological race normally confined to *Salix andersoniana* into one adapted to *S. rubra*, by restricting specimens for four years to plants of the latter species. The experiment was continued for three further years, during which a choice of both species of willow was provided, but the strain remained true to its new host. It is to be noted that the mortality in the first generation was very high, and that only gradually was a race established which could be said to be adapted to *S. rubra*. Thorpe cites numerous similar cases, but this appears to be the most thorough of what we may call the preliminary researches on this point. Lately, however, Thorpe himself has carried out beautiful experiments which demonstrate that the lamarckian interpretation is neither necessary nor tenable. He first of all (Thorpe and Jones, 1937) reared the ichneumonid *Nemeritis canescens*, which normally parasitizes only the larvae of the meal-moth *Ephestia kuhniella*, on those of the wax-moth *Achroia* posed that this was a lamarckian phenomenon, and various

grisella. This resulted in a significant change in the responses of the adult females. All female imagines of the species possess a genetically-determined response to the smell of *Ephestia*; but those which have been reared as larvae on *Achroia*, or have been brought into close contact with it immediately after emergence, show in addition an attraction to *Achroia* which those from normal hosts altogether lack. Later work showed that this result of larval conditioning depends on a general tendency to be attracted by any olfactory stimulus characteristic of a favourable environment (Thorpe, 1938).

Further work with Drosophila melanogaster (Thorpe, 1939) has extended these results and shown their general applicability to non-parasitic as well as parasitic insects. Whereas adult fruitflies are normally repelled by the smell of peppermint, those which have been reared on a synthetic food medium to which peppermint essence has been added, are markedly attracted by the smell of peppermint when tested in an olfactometer. Further, this response is not abolished (though it is somewhat reduced) by washing the fully-fed larvae or newly-formed puparia free of all traces of the medium and of the peppermint essence, thus proving that influences operative only during the larval phase can influence adult behaviour. If not reinforced, the influence gradually disappears and becomes extinct after about a week. Finally, in Drosophila as in Nemeritis, it was found that exposure of the adult insects only, immediately after emergence, to the smell of peppermint brings about positive conditioning even if the smell is not associated with any favourable aspect of the environment-the mere fact of the occurrence of the stimulus at this time brings about subsequent attraction to media con-taining the same substance.*

To use Thorpe's own words, "the theoretical importance of such a conditioning effect is that it will tend to split a population

^{*} As Thorpe (1939) suggests, these results may also explain the interesting results obtained by Sladden and Hewer (1938) on the food-preferences of stick insects, for which, prior to Thorpe's work, a damarckian interpretation seemed almost inevitable (see p. 459). It will be of the greater interest to test Sladden's results in the light of Thorpe's methods, and with a species capable of sexual reproduction.

into groups attached to a particular host or food-plant, and thus will of itself tend to prevent cross-breeding. It will, in other words, provide a non-hereditary barrier which may serve as the first stage in evolutionary divergence". We have here a beautiful case of the principle of organic selection (p. 523), as enunciated by Baldwin (1896, 1902) and Lloyd Morgan (1900), according to which modifications repeated for a number of generations may serve as the first step in evolutionary change, not by becoming impressed upon the germ-plasm, but by holding the strain in an environment where mutations tending in the same direction will be selected and incorporated into the constitution

by becoming impressed upon the germ-plasm, but by holding the strain in an environment where mutations tending in the same direction will be selected and incorporated into the constitution. The process simulates lamarckism but actually consists in the replacement of modifications by mutations (see also Osborn, 1897). That such a replacement has actually occurred in the formation of biological races in insects is strongly indicated by the high mortality that, in Harrison's experiments with *Pontania* and many other cases, often attends transference to a new host. Harrison was able to transfer his sawflies to a new host-plant by means of their olfactory conditioning mechanism, but only at the expense of eliminating those that were genetically best adapted to the old host. Had previous genetic adaptation gone further, olfactory condition-ing, while it might still have induced oviposition on the strange host, could not have given rise to a viable strain upon it. Once genetic adaptation to a particular host has begun, selec-tion will step in to prevent the biological waste which would be caused by the desposition of eggs on other hosts. The mechan-ism of olfactory conditioning provides a certain reserve of plasticity; but this plasticity will become hedged about by genetic safeguards. Genetically-determined attractions to the normal host will become established, and also specific assortative mating reactions to prevent cross-mating. Thus ecobiotic isola-tion here has the same general effects as geographical or ecotopic isolation, but operates by rather a different mechanism, and follows a somewhat different course as regards the degree of divergence in morphological, physiological, and reproductive characters respectively. Organic selection, but of a quite different type, appears prob-

Organic selection, but of a quite different type, appears prob-

SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC 305 ably to be operative in lice (*Pediculus*; summary in Thorpe, 1930). As is now well known, though the human body-louse and head-louse are so distinct morphologically that they have received different names, yet head-lice can be transformed into the body-louse type by being kept on the body for four genera-tions. Unfortunately no data exist as to the initial mortality, though the change seems to have been readily effected. The two types also exhibit biological differences. Head-lice feed more frequently but take smaller meals, are more active at lower temperatures, climb more actively, and exhibit egg-laying pre-ferences for hair as against cloth. The two types must be con-stantly exchanging members by migration. It would seem that we are here witnessing the incipient phase of a process of organic selection, in which most of the quite well-marked differences between the two forms still depend on modification. However, anything which intensifies selection for closer adaptation would, we may prophesy, speedily bring about genetic and reproductive divergence. Nuttall suggests that, if man becomes progressively more hairless, body-lice alone will survive. If so, many of their adaptive peculiarities should become genetically fixed by selection (bur see also Buxton, 1940; Parasitol: **32**: 303). Organic selection may also operate in song-birds. Some basis

(bur see also Buxton, 1940; Parasitol: 32: 303). Organic selection may also operate in song-birds. Some basis for song is certainly fixed genetically in all birds, and in some species this is the whole story. In others, however, there is con-siderable plasticity, and much of the song is learnt by the young birds from their parents or other adults. Thus Baltimore orioles (*Icterus galbula*) reared in isolation developed a song totally unlike the normal, and retained it throughout their lives. Other Baltimore orioles reared with them learned this song and sang it exclusively, even after their foster-parents' death (W. E. Scott, 1901-2). By isolating young canaries and allowing them to hear only the song of the nightingale (on gramophone records) it has been possible to produce a strain with a song intermediate between the canary and nightingale type.* Numerous data on the subject are scattered through the * A brief reference to this experiment is made in J. Orm. 75: 248 (1927).

* A brief reference to this experiment is made in J. Orn. 75: 248 (1927). Dr. E. Mayr tells me that it was carried out by a fancier named Reich, but that complete proof was not supplied.

306 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS Heinroths' monumental work (1924-6); see also O. Heinroth (1924), Stadler (1929). In the blackbird (*Turdus merula*), the chiffchaff (*Phylloscopus collybita*), the grasshopper warbler (*Locus-tella naevia*) and the short-toed treecreeper (*Certhia brachydactyla*) song is innate, and is quite normal even in males reared without hearing others of their own species sing, whether in isolation or exposed to the songs of other species. On the other hand, the whitethroat (*Sylvia communis*), the tree and meadow pipits (*Anthus trivialis* and *A. pratensis*), the green-finch (*Chloris chloris*), and the chaffinch (*Fringilla coelebs*) have to learn their songs. Young males if kept in isolation produce a quite abnormal song, e.g. those of the untaught tree pipit and meadow pipit resemble the natural songs of grasshopper warbler and serin funch (*Serinus canarius*) respectively, while that of the untaught chaffinch is not unlike that of a lesser whitethroat (*Sylvia curruca*). It is not easy to discover on how many cases the Heinroths'

It is not easy to discover on how many cases the Heinroths' conclusions are based, and possibly the reality is not quite so clear-cut. However, the general conclusion that some species

clear-cut. However, the general conclusion that some species have to learn their song seems inescapable. Forms in which song is not innate will, if kept with other species, learn from them. Thus a whitethroat and a linnet (Car-duelis cannabina) reared together both had an identical song, resembling a mixture of a robin's and a skylark's. There seems to be a predisposition to learn the normal song; thus a nightingale which mimicked the songs of various species with which it had been reared, very rapidly learnt its normal cong on hearing it part war

with which it had been reared, very rapidly learnt its normal song on hearing it next year. Other species if kept isolated will produce an imperfect version of the normal song, and will learn more or less thoroughly from other species. Thus the untaught yellowhammer (*Emberiza citrinella*) never develops the complete natural "phrasing": one kept with a normal linnet developed a song extremely like a linnet's! The robin (*Erithacus rubecula*) and blackcap (*Sylvia atricapilla*) fall into this category. The song of the song-thrush (*Turdus ericetorum*) is almost wholly innate, but can be slightly modified by "learning"; the skylark (*Alauda arvensis*) has a song which must be almost wholly learnt.

It would be expected that simple songs would be innate, elaborate songs learnt. But while this is true for simple songs like the chiffchaff's and for more elaborate songs like the whitethroat's, the elaborate song of the blackbird is innate, and the relatively simple song of the chaffinch has less innate basis than the blackcap's very elaborate song. Members of the same group may differ radically; thus the worklass (Subritana) include all three turns are chiffich of (innate)

Members of the same group may differ radically; thus the warblers (Sylviinae) include all three types, e.g. chiffchaff (innate), blackcap (partly innate) and whitethroat (wholly learnt). In most Oscines the call-notes are genetically determined, but

In most Oscines the call-notes are genetically determined, but in the whinchat (*Saxicola rubetra*) and several finches some or all must be learnt. A goldfinch kept with a budgerigar developed call-notes entirely of budgerigar type. In all other groups of birds, the call-notes are wholly innate.

One might further expect that learnt songs would be more variable in nature than innate ones; but this does not seem to be the case (except possibly for the chaffinch).

The need for distinctiveness gives a possible clue to the origin of this extraordinary phenomenon. Granted the widespread capacity to imitate the notes of other species, which appears to be widespread among Oscines (though to a very varying degree), the character of a song could be much more rapidly altered modificationally, by learning from exceptional performers, than genetically; and this would be advantageous with two related species, originally with very similar songs, inhabiting the same area (cf. p. 289). The new learnt type of song might later be rendered partly or wholly innate by mutation (organic selection; pp. 304, 523).

Barking in dogs and its absence in wolves are both non-genetic (Iljin, 1941); in certain conditions dogs cease barking, in others captive wolves begin barking like dogs.

In fungi, no conditioning mechanism (p. 303) can operate, as it obviously depends on a high degree of nervous specialization. Recent research (T. Johnson and Newton, 1938) on the wheat form of *Puccinia graminis* (see p. 301) show that the inbreeding (by selfing) of biological races brings about the appearance of many new types, apparently by the bringing to light of mendelian recessives. Some of the new characters concern colour, others are semi-pathological, while still others cause a change in the life-cycle or an alteration in virulence. The authors point out that homozygosity in rusts like *Puccinia* must be rare. Thus the variety of biological races would here appear to be main-tained through a wide range of variability for those properties concerned with adaptation to various hosts, coupled presumably with widespread mortality of the non-adaptive combinations. If so, then we have a close parallel to the method by which ecoclines are established in higher plants (p. 275), and another case of marked divergence between plants and animals as to the mechanisms underlying adaptive differentiation. True physiological races do occur in parasitic higher plants, e.g. the common mistletoe *Viscum album* (see A. W. Hill, 1930). Here, however, the mechanism of differentiation seems to be similar to that in insects. This species comprises three main races recessives. Some of the new characters concern colour, others

similar to that in insects. This species comprises three main races or groups of races, one parasitizing deciduous trees, one firs (Abies) and one pines (Pinus). These are so strongly differentiated that the seeds of a fir mistletoe, for instance, will not grow on a pine or vice versa: visible differences between the races, however, are negligible.

5. PHYSIOLOGICAL AND REPRODUCTIVE DIFFERENTIATION

Biological races provide the best-analysed cases of evolutionary divergence which is wholly or primarily concentrated on physio-logical as opposed to morphological characters. However, there are numerous other examples. E.g. subspecies of rattlesnakes may show marked differences in toxicity of venom (Baily, 1941): see also p. 273. We have also referred to the preponderance of vocal divergence in ecologically differentiated species-pairs of birds with inconspicuous habits (p. 289). Geographical differen-tiation in song is, however, quite a general phenomenon in birds. For instance, Promptoff (1930) and Howard (1900, 1902) have studied the geographical variation in the song of the chaffinch (*Fringilla coelebs*), and find it quite marked. An interesting point stressed by Promptoff is that the characteristic differences in the

song of chaffinches are in part learnt by the young birds (Heinroth considers them entirely learnt; p. 306). Thus the different geographical groups will tend to maintain their song-differences in spite of a considerable amount of exchange of populations through the wanderings of young birds—a rather special example of the principle of organic selection. Howard also noted geographical variation in the song of several other species. In general, he concludes that a more humid environment is correlated with a lower pitch. In some species, e.g. blackbird (*Turdus merula*), cuckoo (*Cuculus canorus*), great tit (*Parus major*) and sedge-warbler (*Acrocephalus schoenobaenus*), he found marked geographical variation, while in others, such as yellowhammer (*Emberiza citrinella*) and cole tit (*Parus ater*) it was slight, and in still others, e.g. willow warbler (*Phylloscopus trochilus*), he could detect no differences.

The Shetland subspecies of wren (*Troglodytes t. zetlandicus*) differs more obviously in song than in size or colour from the type subspecies, while the reverse is true for the St. Kilda form (*T. t. hirtensis*). We have mentioned the vocal divergence of crickets (p. 298). Without doubt similar phenomena await discovery in all groups in which sound is concerned with sexual recognition or stimulation.

The recent intensive field study of birds has also brought to light many interesting examples of biological differentiation in habits. Thus the common robin (*Erithacus rubecula*), which is proverbially tame and an associate of man in Britain, elsewhere in its range frequents quite other habitats and may exhibit a very different temperament. For instance, in many parts of central Europe it frequents pine woods, and is not specially tame. In fact Heinroth and Heinroth (1924–1926; vol. I, p. 10) are surprised at what they regard as the legend of its tameness, and say that robins in nature are almost invariably shy and suspicious. Mr. H. F. Witherby informs me that both in Spain and in Corsica it prefers woods remote from human habitation, but whereas even in these situations it is tame in Corsica, in Spain it is very shy.

Tameness may be genetically fixed in regions where normal

predators are absent. Thus in the Galapagos islands Mr. D. Lack tells me that a tyrannid flycatcher, *Myiarchus*, hopped all over him, endeavouring to remove hair from his head, beard, and armpits as nest-material; and Beebe (1924, p. 285) records that the local buzzard (*Buteo galapagensis*) can be approached to within two feet, and specimens have been captured in a butterflynet!

net! Individual and local peculiarities in such habits as nest-building have been noted in many species of birds. As an example we may cite Herrick (1939) on the American robin (*Turdus migratorius*). Thus in New Hampshire and Ohio the species never uses leaves in the construction of its nest, in spite of their abundant availability. In New England, where leaves are employed, a particular individual showed a marked preference for those of the silver maple. While these differences appear to be genetically determined, others depend on the availability of particular materials. Thus in the northern part of its range, where the birds are confined to stunted spruce woods, they construct a dense large frame of spruce-twigs, moss, and lichens, and are driven to use grass-blades or moss as lining in place of the customary mud or clay. In northern Maine, twigs are employed for the frame in place of the customary grasses and weeds, and leafmould for the lining. Such differences in nest-construction, dependent on availability of material, provide yet another example of organic selection. Genetically determined preferences are likely to be selected for later, to accentuate and fix the differences imposed by the environment.

tomary mud or clay. In northern Maine, twigs are employed for the frame in place of the customary grasses and weeds, and leafmould for the lining. Such differences in nest-construction, dependent on availability of material, provide yet another example of organic selection. Genetically determined preferences are likely to be selected for later, to accentuate and fix the differences imposed by the environment. The choice of nest-site itself may be changed by the environment. Thus to take only a few from the wealth of possible examples, on the treeless island of Texel off the Dutch coast, kestrels (*Falco tinnunculus*) breed on the ground instead of in branches (Van Oordt, 1926), and stockdoves (*Columba oenas*) in holes in the ground instead of holes in trees. Cormorants normally breed on rocky ledges; but in various places they have taken to nesting in trees. All such differences in habit, while originally mere modifications, afford a basis for further geneticallydetermined divergence of an ecological type. J. Fisher (1939a, Ch. 11) gives various examples of this plasticity both in regard to nest-site and nest-material. Instinctive habitat-selection tends to isolate bird species ecologically, but Lack (1940b) considers that it plays little part in primary speciation, though it may help to keep differentiated forms from meeting and intercrossing (see p. 254).

Many birds occasionally lay eggs in the nests of other species. This aberration of reproductive instinct has without question formed the basis for the evolution of the various cases of reproductive parasitism seen in cowbirds, cuckoos, etc. Cuckoos may show further differentiation into strains adapted to different fosterer species (Jourdain, 1925). Among insects, the slave-making ants provide a parallel example of reproductive specialization.

In migratory species of birds, differentiation may be promoted by individuals remaining in their winter quarters to breed, and eventually establishing isolated breeding-groups. Among examples cited by Meinertzhagen (1919) are the breeding colonies of the bee-eater Merops apiaster in S. Africa, and of the sandpiper Totanus hypoleucus in E. Africa. The common European swallow, Hirundo r. rustica, is suspected of breeding in Uganda. However established in the first instance, such groups would be reproductively isolated and might readily come to show visible differentiation.

differentiation. A remarkable combination of biological with geographical divergence is seen in *Trichogramma* (summarized in Thorpe, 1940). The American forms of this hymenopteran egg-parasite have been carefully studied, and prove to be characterized by biologically important differences in length of life-cycle (due to differences in temperature-optimum), accompanied by slight colour-differences. Though the various forms are primarily geographical, there is considerable overlap of distribution, proving the existence of some physiological or reproductive barrier to intercrossing.

The biological differences are highly modifiable by environment, so that rearing under standard conditions is needed to demonstrate them. The differential diagnosis of natural forms is consequently a matter of extreme difficulty. One authority on the genus is reduced to describing a certain form as that "which has distinctly lemon-yellow females during the warm part of the active season"!

This, like most cases of true biological races, has not been brought to light by taxonomists, but by workers in applied biology. It is the economic importance of divergence in physiological characters in pests and counter-pests which has led to the discovery of this new type of taxonomic diversification.

An interesting physiological divergence occurs in the termite Formenkreis Nasutitermes guayanae (Emerson, 1935). This can be divided into two distinct groups (considered by Emerson as good species) according to whether the nests contain one or another set of staphylinid beetle species as nest-parasites. The distinction is absolute, and is correlated with slight differences in the soldiers' head-size, though the sexual forms are indistinguishable. Emerson (1934) also reviews cases where termite speciation is accompanied by speciation of the contained protozoan symbiotes.

An even more curious case is that of the leaf-hopper Cicadulina mbile, which is divisible into two races solely on ability or inability to transmit the virus of "streak disease" in maize (Storey, 1932). The difference in this case depends on a single gene (a sex-linked dominant), and is concerned with the penetrability of the gutwall by the virus. Here we would seem to have an "accidental" character present for unknown reasons in dimorphic balance with another. It is easy to see how, through the effect of the virus on the food-plant, it might become the basis for adaptive biological differentiation. But the two forms cannot yet be regarded as true biological subspecies.

Numerous infra-specific groups differing in life-cycle and reproductive mechanism also exist. Thorpe (1940) gives examples of these. Thus the spurge hawk-moth comprises some individuals which are subject to an obligatory diapause in development, while others do not; some authorities maintain that the cockchafer is divisible into groups characterized by three-year and four-year life-cycles; and so on.

A peculiar type of differentiation has been analysed by de Larambergue (1939, 1941) in the pulmonate molluse Bulinus contortus. Here some specimens lack a penis, and are therefore obligatorily self-fertilizing. In certain localities such aphallic individuals constitute the vast majority of the population, in others they are almost absent. Aphallism has a genetic basis, but artificial selection in the laboratory has as yet been unable to produce stocks in which *all* individuals either lack or possess a penis. The condition may possibly be one of balanced selective advantage, comparable with that of gynodioecism in plants (p. 140; and see Mather, 1940).

(p. 140; and see Matner, 1940). Reproductive divergence as a cause of speciation is discussed by Hogben (1940). It may characterize related species. Thus in five species of the sea-anemone genus Sagartia five distinct methods of reproduction exist (Stephenson, 1929). It may also be of preadaptive advantage. Then we have the recent very rapid extension of range in the gastropod Paludestrina (Potamopyrgus) jenkinsi in the fresh waters of this country, while elsewhere it seems to be restricted to brackish waters (Robson, 1923). Later work (Sanderson, 1940) shows that both British and continental types are parthenogenetic. The British form, however, appears to be tetraploid, and this may be the cause of its greater ecological tolerance.

A somewhat similar case, but one in which the reproductive advantage seems to be causing the replacement of one type by another, not the extension of range of the species as a whole, is described by Crosby (1940) in the primrose, *Primula vulgaris*. In this normally heterostyled species, long homostyle plants (with pin style and thrum anthers) have been found in abundance in an area in Somerset, the abundance decreasing round a centre. If, as seems to be the case, these homostyle plants are normally self-fertilized, it can be calculated that, owing to the peculiarities of reproduction in heterostyle forms, the homostyles will increase at the expense of the two normal heterostyle types (see p. 222).*

^{*} The problem remains as to why the homostyle condition has not everywhere become normal, since occasional homostyles are found, presumably as mutants, in numerous natural populations. Possibly the homostyle type which has become abundant is exceptionally fertile. In any case heterostyly has a long-term advantage in promoting out-crossing (see p. 107).

<page-header><text><text><text><text>

The case of G. zaddachi (Spooner, 1941, and see J. Mar. Biol. Ass., 24: 444) is even more interesting. This is essentially a brackish-water species, which in short estuaries in the west of England exists only in a low-salinity form. In long estuaries, however, a high-salinity form also exists, nearer the sea, and exhibits visible differences in a few minor characters. Though the two types are so similar, and though their zones somewhat overlap, there is no intergradation in nature, and in captivity, though they will mate and occasionally produce eggs, they are intersterile. These are clearly incipient physiological species, but the origin of the genetic barrier between them is as yet obscure. It is possible that in Germany the ecological relation of the species, and its differentiation, may be somewhat different.

the origin of the genetic barrier between them is as yet obscure. It is possible that in Germany the ecological relation of the species, and its differentiation, may be somewhat different. The non-migratory (land-locked) and migratory forms of salmon, as well as the non-migratory brook and lake forms and the migratory form of the trout (Tchernavin, 1939) provide us with another type of physiological differentiation. The distinction between the non-migratory and migratory forms seems in some cases to have been compulsorily imposed by geographical changes resulting in some types becoming land-locked, and further differentiation, some of it adaptive, to have occurred subsequently; in others, however, no such isolation can have taken place, and the behavioural divergence must be primary. As we have already scen (p. 282), a somewhat similar divergence has occurred in lampreys.

has occurred in lampreys. "Preadaptations" which might give rise to physiological differentiation are probably not uncommon. Thus Gause and Smaragdova (1939) find that the sinistral form of the snail *Fruticicola lantzi* loses weight more rapidly than dextrals when starved. A species of American salamander contains two types differing in the size of their red blood-corpuscles (Finn J. B., 1937; J. Hered., 28: 373). The frizzled fowl (p. 118) provides an excellent example occurring under domestication where the preadaptive mutation has actually been utilized. See Chap. 8, § 5, for further examples.

We may conclude with a very extraordinary example of reproductive divergence, described in detail by Meyer (1938) after 316 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS discovery by Hubbs and Hubbs (1932). They found a cyprinodont fish (Mollienisia formosa) which was characterized first by being always associated with one or other of two closely-related species, M. sphenops and M. latipinna, and secondly by consisting solely of females. Investigation revealed that the eggs of this species were activated by the sperm of the males of the other species! The one species is thus a reproductive parasite on the others, and mating occurs normally between it and them, though there is no resultant true hybridization. M. formosa itself, however, appears to be itself a natural hybrid, formed where the other two species meet, and maintaining itself in this peculiar fashion. These examples will suffice to show how widespread are various forms of essentially physiological (non-morphological) evolutionary divergence. Thorpe (1940) concludes that certainly in most phyla, and probably in all, "there exist . . . groups of individuals which are undoubtedly distinct species in every sense except the accepted morphological one". We have given numerous instances showing the phenomenon in its incipient stages. And a survey of any group will reveal many cases in which physiological and ecological divergence must have been primary, morphological distinctions having been added in the course of later evolution.

course of later evolution

6. SPECIAL CASES

In this section we shall refer to certain peculiar types of taxo-nomic groups which do not seem to fit into any of the normal categories of evolutionary differentiation. The most interesting is that of certain mosquitoes and gnats. The existence of these groups, like that of biological races, was first detected owing to their practical importance—in this case, for human health. The intensive study of malaria had led to two apparently opposed views as to the methods to be used in eradicating the disease. The one, basing itself on the indubitable fact that malaria is transmitted by mosquitoes, urged that the insect vector must be eliminated; the other, adducing the equally indubitable fact

that improvements in housing, notably in separating stables from human dwelling-places, often resulted in a marked drop in malaria incidence, was all for concentrating on "bonification" and the general raising of standards of living. Both have now been proved right—and both wrong: each method applies only to certain forms of malarial mosquito.

The insect vector of human malaria in Europe is generally stated to be a single species of mosquito, Anopheles maculipennis. Recent work (see Hackett and Missiroli, 1935; Hackett, 1937; Swellengrebel and de Buck, 1938) has shown that this "species" in reality consists of at least eight distinct groups, each with their own characteristics. No structural or colour differences between the adults of the various forms have yet been detected, or in the pupae; the larvae show slight structural differences, which in any case are valid only when tested statistically. But each form can be immediately diagnosed by egg-characters, both its colour and pattern, and the size and structure of the egg-float, and these are completely correlated with striking differences in habits and ecological preferences. In addition, each form has its own characteristic distribution, though there may be considerable overlap. Thus, to take but four of the forms, race *typicus*, with light grey eggs barred with black and large rough floats, breeds in fresh, pure, and usually running water, shows complete hibernation, refuses to breed in captivity, and neglects man entirely if other sources of blood (e.g. cattle) are available. It is mainly an inhabitant of mountain ranges. Race elutus, on the other hand, has an unpatterned egg, without floats; it breeds in shallow stagnant waters, often brackish or quite salty, as it is the most tolerant to salt of all the races.* In its feeding habits it is the most strictly adapted to man, and prefers human blood even when animals are also present. Geographically it is a southern form, confined to the Mediterranean region. Thirdly, we have atroparvus, a northern form with dappled eggs, and small smooth floats. It breeds by preference in cool and slightly brackish waters, and mates readily in small cages; it is unique in that the males

* This race shows a further physiological subdivision, since in Palestine it appears to lack this high tolerance, and is there confined mainly to fresh water
do not assemble in swarms. It winters in rather warm places, showing only partial hibernation, and feeds at irregular intervals during this period. It tends to have rather short wings (averaging about 10 per cent less than in race *messeae*). It will bite both man and animals, and a considerable proportion may be attracted away from man to animals, notably pigs and horses. In Holland, which has been carefully investigated, it and *messeae* are the two races chiefly present (with *typicus* occasionally found in the cast). Their distribution overlaps considerably, but in regions of more brackish water *messeae* is rare or absent, while in fresh water it is in the majority, though not preponderantly so.

Finally, race *labranchiae*, with pale, broad eggs and very small but rough floats, is an inhabitant of brackish and salt marshes in warm regions. Its hibernation is both short and very imperfect, and it will bite man as well as animals, with rather more preference for man than *atroparvus*.

As a result of these peculiarities, *elutus* is always associated with intense malaria, which can only be eradicated by destroying the mosquito or its breeding places, or preventing the insect's access to man. *Typicus*, on the other hand, is of very little importance as a malaria vector, and faising the standard of life, by increasing the number of domestic animals and providing separate accommodation for them, will deviate it almost entirely away from man. *Labranchiae* is a serious malaria vector, which can only be partially deviated to animals; while *atroparvus* is a source of mild endemic malaria, and can be to a considerable extent deviated away from man by improving conditions.

of mild endemic mataria, and can be to a considerable extent deviated away from man by improving conditions. The different races are also separated by sterility barriers. These are in some cases complete, but the stage at which they operate varies. Thus in some cases no eggs are obtained, or the larvae all die soon after hatching; in the *atroparvus-elutus* and *atroparvus-messeae* crosses the larvae die, but at a later stage, while the *typicus-atroparvus* cross gives healthy but sterile adults. In other cases, the barrier is only partial; sometimes all males and some females are sterile, in others all females and some males are fertile. Thus biologically these forms are full species.

Swellengrebel and de Buck (1938, p. 90) have shown further

that even within a single race (attoparvus) considerable diversification may exist, different strains showing different ecological preferences and different resistance to salinity, and broods occasionally turning up with unusual characters of eggs or larval hairs. They consider that many other races will show similar intra-group variation.

intra-group variation. The practical needs of human health having brought these facts to light, a similar differentiation into ecological races has been looked for and discovered in other forms, both of Anopheles and of the common gnat Culex pipiens (see summary in Thorpe, 1940).

Finally, it is of some interest to note that the malaria parasites, is well as their vectors, are differentiated into physiological races. Thus *Plasmodium vivax*, the tertian parasite, exists in at least two forms (see Swellengrebel and de Buck, 1938, pp. 227 seq.) differng in number of merozoites, incubation period, type and gravity of symptoms produced, latency, susceptibility to temperature ind anti-malarial drugs, and in showing an incomplete reciprocal mmunity. Different strains may in some cases be capable of hybridization within the insect vector (Manwell, 1936).

ind anti-malarial drugs, and in showing an incomplete reciprocal mmunity. Different strains may in some cases be capable of hybridization within the insect vector (Manwell, 1936). This case has been dealt with at some length because of its numerous points of interest. From the evolutionary standpoint, the type of differentiation is unique in that the races, while well-defined ecologically and physiologically, and to a considerible extent geographically, yet show much overlapping, and are only kept distinct by genetic (reproductive) barriers. It is for the present extremely difficult to understand what has been the actual cause and mechanism of their evolutionary differentiation. Equally puzzling though in quite a different way is the case

Equally puzzling, though in quite a different way, is the case of the common limpets (*Patella*) of Europe and North Africa, investigated by Fischer-Piette (1935). Here, again, two apparently contradictory opinions were prevalent, one that they constituted but a single species, the other that they should be divided into at least three species. Again, both views were partially right. Fischer-Piette, on the basis of extensive collections over a large area, has been able to show that in certain regions the assemblage of limpets falls into three discontinuous groups, characterized both structurally (differences in radula-teeth, etc.) and ecologi-cally, each having a preferred zone of the intertidal area. In other regions, however, no such separation is possible, and the assemblage of limpets forms a continuous whole, the different types intergrading completely with each other. Dr. Fischer-Piette has, however, informed me verbally that the distribution will probably turn out to be trimodal, the three modes of the curve coinciding with the modes of the three separate types of other regions. These general conclusions have been confirmed by Eslick (1940) at Port St. Mary (Isle of Man), where, how-ever, only two types can be distinguished.

ever, only two types can be distinguished. Here we would seem to have an ecological divergence, which in some regions has led to complete speciation, in others only to a partial separation of adaptive types. But whether the con-dition of a single continuous trimodal group is primary and constitutes a step towards complete separation, or whether it is secondary, resulting from hybridization of three previously differentiated types, it is at present impossible to say, and both interpretations present obvious difficulties. So far, no experi-mental work has been undertaken on the very interesting problems raised problems raised.

problems raised. Examples somewhat recalling the state of affairs in mosquitoes are provided by various insects, notably Hymenoptera. Here we may find "races" differing slightly in visible characters, in just the same way as do typical geographical subspecies, and showing no intergradation or other signs of interbreeding, yet overlapping geographically to a greater or less extent. In the overlap area they may be found quite close to each other, so that their dis-tinctness cannot be brought about by spatial separation as occurs in some cases of ecotopic divergence. Thus Bequaert (1918) describes "races" of the wasp *Eumenes maxillosus*, characterized solely by colour-characters, which, while possessing characteristic geographical ranges, are none of them nutually exclusive (see map in Robson and Richards, 1936, p. 68). A few appear to have an ecological basis, e.g. one is confined to deserts and subdeserts, another to tropical rain-forest and its neighbourhood, still another to typical savannahs. One

might be regarded as a geographical race in that it is confined to Madagascar; but this region is shared by another form which extends there from the African continent. Intermediates are extends there from the African continent. Intermediates are sporadic, and not confined to the zones where two ranges meet. An interesting feature is the recurrence of a number of the colour-patterns (some of them very striking) in related species. There is a possibility that this may be due to synaposematism (Mullerian mimicry); on the other hand, it also recalls the "homologous series" of parallel colour-variations found in various "homologous series" of parallel colour-variations found in various grasshoppers (p. 516), where genetic analysis has been possible and has revealed the existence of a selective balance as primary cause of the polymorphism (p. 99). Interestingly, in another African wasp, Synagris connuta, the equally striking colour varia-tions are connected by more frequent intermediates, and several may occur in a single colony. The careful studies of Richards (1934) on another genus of wasp (Trypoxylon) have shed new light on the subject. To take but one set of three "species", T. salti, T. spinosum, and T. armatum: the first two are extremely similar, and intergrading forms occur. Their ranges overlap in Central America, though T. salti extends much further south. The third form, armatum, is more readily distinguishable, and less closely resembles salti,

is more readily distinguishable, and less closely resembles salti, with which it overlaps, than spinosum, with which it does not. As Richards says (p. 243), "the present resources of entomological nomenclature are insufficient to deal with a group of forms such nomenclature are insufficient to deal with a group of forms such as these". Salti and spinosum intergrade, so cannot be regarded as full species; their ranges overlap and intermediates occur over a considerable part of the joint range, so they are not geographical subspecies; nor are they mere varieties (aberrations) or examples of simple dimorphism, since "in a large part of their range each form seems to maintain a homogeneous population". Richards reaches the interesting conclusion that "geographical segregation in insects is often of a different nature to the more familiar process observable in birds and mammals". He suggests that non-geographical—i.e. ecological or physiological—forms of isolation can be much more effective in insects—a conclusion home out by the abundance of "biological races" in the group as

borne out by the abundance of "biological races" in the group, as

322 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS contrasted with their total absence, in any strict sense, in higher vertebrates. Experimental analysis of such cases is urgently needed. If this is correct, a special type of ecobiotic divergence, com-bined with considerable geographical differentiation, is frequent in insects. Richards cites other examples, e.g. in hornets, which seem to fit in with this idea, and the case of *Eumenes* just cited may depend in part on this. He informs me that the same phenomenon, of "non-geographical speciation", also occurs in various beetles. These general conclusions seem to be borne out in other insects, e.g. in ants. The numerous forms (subspecies or species according to taste) of *Myrmica rubra* seem to conform quite closely to Richards' views, since they are very similar morpho-logically, have distinct ecological preferences, and do not normally cross in spite of extensive spatial overlap. Particularly interesting is Diver's summary (1940, p. 317) of our knowledge concerning two forms of *Lasius niger*, *L. n. niger* and *L. n. alienus* (considered by a minority of authors as good species). Morphological distinctions between the two are very slight, being confined to the presence or absence of a few small hairs on antennae and tibiae. Differences in behaviour, however, are more definite, and usually permit identification in the field. hairs on antenhae and tibiae. Differences in behaviour, however, are more definite, and usually permit identification in the field. The geographical range of *alienus* appears to be wholly confined within that of *niger*. Ecologically, the two forms show distinctive preferences. *Niger* has much the greater range of tolerance, from sand-dunes to wet sphagnum bog, from grass to dead trees, while *alienus* is (in the Dorset area investigated by Diver) almost confined to dry heath, with some overflow onto moist heath and turf. Even within the single type of habitat represented by dry heath there are differences, *alienus* preferring blown sand. Sometimes the two species nest only a few feet apart. In regard to swarming dates there is an extensive overlap, providing opportunities for crossing, though *niger* tends to be slightly later. Very occasionally forms are found which are intermediate between the two types, not only morphologically but in behaviour and ecological preferences. These, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, must be assumed to be produced by intercrossing, which must accordingly be rare. We seem here to be dealing with fine ecotopic divergence which has just reached the stage of speciation; but it is extremely difficult to envisage by what means the distinctness of the two types was first brought about.

Diver gives other puzzling examples—e.g. three apparently distinct species of hoverfly (Syrphus) with extremely small morphological differences, with the geographical distributions of one species including that of the other two, and of the second including that of the third, with general similarity in ecological preferences, and extensive overlap in the periods in which adults are on the wing. Diver suggests that the two species with more restricted distributions have arisen by the segregation of small discontinuous groups, followed by accidental divergence and later expansion of range, but this is quite speculative. We must also remember the remarkable case of the two

We must also remember the remarkable case of the two 'races" of Drosophila pseudoobscura (p. 369). These differ in various physiological characters such as temperature-resistance, are intersterile, and are further characterized by sectional chromosome-rearrangements which could only have originated in isolation. They have different geographical distribution, but overlap considerably. It seems clear that the divergence leading to intersterility first occurred in an isolated local group, which later was able to invade the other's range. Within each race, "strong" and "weak" forms are found which differ in their sex-determining mechanism like those of Lymantria, and also resemble those of Lymantria in showing some gradation in their distribution (see p. 359, and Dobzhansky, 1937, p. 284). In any case, the taxonomic differentiation of invertebrates

In any case, the taxonomic differentiation of invertebrates clearly provides a vast and almost virgin field for experimental analysis. A number of general principles have emerged as a result of intensive work on various organisms, plant and animal, vertebrate and invertebrate; but their relative share in causing differentiation may differ markedly from group to group.

7. DIVERGENCE WITH LOW COMPETITION; OCEANIC FAUNAS Decreased selection-pressure permits increased variation. This is true not only for species or subspecies but for entire groups. In 324 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS the former case the result is higher variability, in the latter more extensive evolutionary divergence and radiation. An excellent example comes from the Cichlid fish fauna of the African lakes (Worthington, 1937, 1940; summary in Huxley 1941a). In some of the lakes, their chief predators (the large fish Lates and Hydro-cyon) are wholly absent. Where this is so, the Cichlid radiation, as measured by the number of endemic species, and as shown by the greater variety of ecological niches occupied, is far greater. Thus of the lakes isolated during the second pluvial or later, Victoria-Kioga and Edward-George are without large predators, and contain 58 and 18 endemic species respectively, while Albert and Rudolf, where the predators are present, contain but 4 and 3; the larger number of endemics in Victoria-Kioga is due to greater environmental diversity. Nyasa and Tanganyika were isolated during the early Cenozoic; the former lacks predators and con-tains 171 endemic species, while the latter, where predators are present, contains only about 90 endemics in spite of its greater environmental diversity. The principle can be generalized in relation to competitor-

environmental diversity. The principle can be generalized in relation to competitor-pressure as well as to predator-pressure. This is well shown by the Australian marsupials. These also illustrate the fact that the total radiation of the fauna is normally not increased: among them some of the chief placental types are missing, and various adaptive characters, notably intelligence, are below placental standard. However, the best examples are found on oceanic islands. Here, the number of types which have established them-selves is much restricted, and under these conditions of biological low pressure, the few favoured groups may differentiate into a surprising variety of forms surprising variety of forms.

surprising variety of forms. Perhaps the most remarkable example of oceanic radiation is afforded by the sicklebills (*Drepanididae*) of the Hawaii archi-pelago. General accounts are given by Gulick (1932) and Mord-vilko (1937). The *Drepanididae* are passerine birds, according to Gulick derived from a tropical American honey-creeper, accord-ing to Mordvilko from a finch related to the goldfinches (*Car-duelis*). In any case, they are now restricted to Hawaii and to Laysan Island, 800 miles further west, and have produced a

quite astonishing variety of types, meriting division into no less than 18 genera. There are small insect-eaters and finchlike seed-eaters, some with small and some with heavy bills; a very large-billed nut-eater; a peculiar woodpecker type (*Heterorhynchus*) with long upper mandible for prying away bark, and short lower mandible for probing out wood-boring grubs; nectar-suckers (with special tongues); forms which combine nectar-eating with searching for insects in flowers; and others. No other bird family shows such adaptive diversification; at first sight one would say that half a dozen distinct families were represented.

A characteristic of many genera is the curvature of the beak, from which the family name of sicklebill is taken. In connection with this, the bird-pollinated plants of Hawaii have curved corolla tubes, while those of their mainland relatives are straight.

Mordvilko stresses the analogy of the evolution of a group like the sicklebills with the excessive radiation of domesticated animals. Gulick points out that the bill and habits of a form like *Heterorhynchus* are true evolutionary novelties, which have not been evolved elsewhere. A similar example is afforded by the freshwater gobies of the same area, which have evolved unique sucker-like fins for clinging to the rocks in rushing torrents.

The ancestral sicklebill must have been the first bird immigrant to the archipelago. The remaining passerine fauna belongs to four families only—crows, thrushes, flycatchers, and honeyeaters. Differentiation here is not nearly so marked, though endemic genera have in some cases been evolved: presumably these were all later arrivals.

A very similar case is that of the groundfinches (Geospizidae) of the Galapagos. Here, again, we have a family confined to an oceanic archipelago and an outlying island (one monotypic genus, on Cocos Island). This family is highly differentiated (five well-marked genera on the Galapagos); the remaining passerine birds are much less distinctive, though endemic genera have been produced, and must have arrived later than the ancestral geospizid, when there was not only less time available, but many niches had been filled. In most archipelagoes, however, many endemic forms are differentiated, they do not usually overlap geographically. However, in the Geospizidae (like the sicklebills) several distinct species (up to 10 in the groundfinches) may coexist on one island.

The Geospizidae have been subjected to an exhaustive taxonomic analysis by Swarth (1934), while Lack (1940a) has been able to draw important general conclusions from his study of the group in the field. Most Geospiza species are large-billed and eat seeds, some having the most powerful bills of any passerine birds.* Platyspiza is mainly a leaf-cater, Camarhynchus mainly insectivorous, while Cactospiza has evolved from Camarhynchus in the direction of a woodpecker: it also has the unique habit of using a twig as a tool to pry out insects, thus making up for the incomplete specialization of its beak. Finally Certhidea resembles a warbler both in beak and habits.

In addition to this "minor adaptive radiation", as Lack calls it, numerous non-adaptive specific differences exist, presumably due to the Sewall Wright effect (p. 58). In addition, some of the beak differences are concerned not with adaptation to mode of life, but with specific recognition for mating purposes. Lack concludes that the virtual absence both of competitors and of predators has permitted this remarkable radiation. Elsewhere an island has been colonized by two closely-related species which will not interbreed (p. 255). Apparently the rapid differentiation of the Geospizids in peculiar conditions has permitted this phenomenon to be intensified. Large-scale hybridization does not seem to have contributed (see p. 356).

He also draws attention to the partial or total loss by many

* The interesting point may here be mentioned that insular land birds tend to have larger bills (either longer or more robust) than their nearest continental relatives (Murphy, 1938). This applies to non-oceanic as well as to oceanic islands. Murphy has checked this statistically for North American passerine species and finds that it holds for all insular full species, and for 78 per cent of the insular subspecies. Chapman (1940) confirms the fact for central and South American subspecies on the Galapagos may illustrate the same phenomenon. Its significance is at present quite unknown. SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC 327 of the species of the typical male plumage, the juvenile plumage type being prolonged into the adult phase. This is a common tendency in the land birds of oceanic islands. As another example we may cite the hen-feathered subspecies of bullfinch (*Pyrrhula p. murina*) found on the Azores (Murphy and Chapin, 1929). This Lack suggests to be due to the absence of related forms with which a female might hybridize: the need for specific distinctiveness, which is such a feature of secondary sexual char-acters, then disappears. In the Geospizidae, as differentiation has proceeded, distinctiveness has been reacquired in respect of the non-sexual beak characters. The converse of this process, as D. Lack suggests, in an unpublished paper which he kindly allows me to cite, may be seen in such forms as the ducks and some pheasants, in which, owing to the looseness of the bond between the mated pair, there is an unusual tendency to natural hybridization. Here, the females of related species are often very similar, demonstrating close relationship, but the males show strikingly distinctive characters. strikingly distinctive characters.

Other groups, too, show increased radiation on oceanic islands. As Buxton (1935) says, "one characteristic of the [insect] fauna of such an archipelago as Hawaii is the development of complex groups, many of them containing a very large number of closely related species". Other areas with a less lengthy history, such as Samoa, show the same phenomenon, but to a lesser degree.

As Gulick points out, the difficulties of immigration make the land faunas of oceanic islands "disharmonic", in the sense that they lack the normal balanced ecological diversification of types, being restricted to types pre-adapted to long-range dispersal across salt water, and to a chance assortment of these. But the longer the fauna persists, the greater will be the tendency for it to become secondarily harmonic, through adaptive radiation of the earlier immigrant stocks. Thus oceanic faunas represent very peculiar special cases, but at the same time they conform to the

general rules of evolutionary divergence. As we should expect, precisely similar phenomena may occur in lakes which have been long isolated. The most striking example

is Baikal, the zoogeography of which is discussed by Berg (1935). Here the Gammarids show excessive radiation; e.g. the one genus *Echinogammarus* is represented by some 40 species, and the three species found elsewhere are probably examples of conver-gence and should not be placed in this genus, which then would be endemic to the lake. In the fresh-water oligochaete genus *Lamprodilus*, 12 of the 16 species are found only in Baikal.

8. GENETIC DIVERGENCE

Next we come to a group of several methods of species-formation which have this in common, that the primary separation of the new type is not spatial but genetic. A further common feature is that our knowledge of all of them is quite recent. First we may take genic separation. In maize, two strains have been found, differing only in a single gene-pair, which will not cross. This shows that a single mutation may effect the separation of one interfertile group into two. Such occurrences appear to be very uncommon; and for the moment the evolu-tionary bearings of this fact are not clear. We can only say that single gene-mutations, if they affected either mating-reactions or the delicate machinery of meiosis, might be of importance in breaking up animal species also. Dobzhansky (1937, p. 263) has a discussion of genic effects on reproduction; see also Stern (1936). (1936).

We mention elsewhere how the randomness of mutation We mention elsewhere how the randomness of mutation will lead to intersterility in isolated groups (pp. 186, 360): but here the genetic differentiation is secondary. A similar example is given by Wolf (1909; and see discussion in Jennings, 1920) for Myxo-bacteria, where non-sexual fusion of colonies from a single strain occurs. But after prolonged culture, substrains incapable of colonial fusion may be produced. Most genetic separations, however, may be called chromo-somal, as they are concerned with alterations not in genes, but in their chromosomal vehicles (see Darlington, 1931, 1940).*

* See Darlington and Upcott (1941b) for a discussion of variation in types of breakage and reunion of chromosomes to be found in different forms.

First come those cases in which the barrier to crossing, whether more or less complete, does not produce any visible differentiation, so that any taxonomic divergence follows later, as with geographical isolation.

We may begin with segmental interchange (reciprocal translocation) between different chromosomes, as described on p. 90. Here the same gene-complex is merely rearranged. The various "prime types" thus produced can cross with each other, but owing to peculiarities of the chromosomal mechanism tend to maintain themselves; for the heterozygotes are less fertile than the homozygous types, and further, crossing-over between chromosomes which have interchanged segments is restricted so that recombination is almost abolished. Further differentiation and separation of the prime types into subspecies or species could then occur by the accumulation of different mutations in different types, and by the development of other barriers to crossing, which would be advantageous (as preventing waste) if two or more types occurred together.

In Datura, only the first stage has been reached; different prime types occur in different regions, but are not visibly distinct. This, it is probable, is due to D. stramonium having in recent times spread rapidly as a weed of civilization, so that insufficient time has elapsed for differentiation.

A quite different development, however, may occur if recessive lethal mutations occur in both interchange chromosomegroups. In that case, the homozygotes will be inviable and only the hybrid will survive. This is the condition of *balanced lethals*. Since lethal mutations are common, and since the heterozygote will enjoy increased advantage in various ways as soon as one homozygote has become inviable, we may expect this condition to develop out of segmental interchange at least as readily as that of differentiated prime types.

The classical case is that of the evening primroses (Oenothera). Here abundant genetic and cytological evidence converge to show that almost all the species are balanced-lethal heterozygotes, the original pure types having disappeared. Elaborate subsidiary mechanisms ensure the production of the heterozygotes with the minimum of wastage. This type of speciation has probably occurred in other plants, e.g. *Hypericum* and *Rhoeo* (see Darlington, 1937). Sokolov and Dubinin (1941) have discovered a wild *Drosophila* species with balanced-lethal heterozygosity based on inversions.

Although probably not widespread or of great evolutionary importance, the balanced-lethal heterozygote type of speciesformation has great historical interest, since it was de Vries' investigations on *Oenothera* that led him to propound his mutation theory of evolution. We now know that most of the "mutations" which he described were not mutations at all, in the strict sense of substantive changes in the germ-plasm, but merely recombinations of a peculiar sort, to be expected only in balanced-lethal heterozygotes, and due to occasional crossingover. Gene-mutations of this order of magnitude do not seem to occur.

Blakeslee and his school have been able to produce various interchange types artificially by X-rays, and then, in certain cases, by means of crossing to synthesize quite new strains which possess certain sections of the gene-complex in duplicate as compared with the normal. These show numerous characterdifferences from the type, and can be regarded as artificial incipient species (Blakeslee, Bergner, and Avery, 1936).

compared with the normal. These show numerous characterdifferences from the type, and can be regarded as artificial incipient species (Blakeslee, Bergner, and Avery, 1936). Translocations, both reciprocal and non-reciprocal, also occur quite frequently in *Drosophila*, and will normally produce some reduction of fertility in the FI hybrid (see Stern, 1936, for a discussion of the different possible types of translocation). This may be the first step towards speciation, though it is apparently much less important than inversion in this respect (Dobzhansky and Tan, 1936).

The next method of chromosomal separation is inversion (p. 91). Here, too, a mere rearrangement of parts of the chromosome outfit has occurred, but in this case by a reversal of a portion of one chromosome, so that the order of the genes is here inverted. Affairs are here slightly complicated by the fact that such inversions often produce a visible "position-effect" (p. 85). This is presumably due to genes exerting some of their effects in virtue

33I

of a special type of interaction with their immediate neighbours: the genes at either end of an inverted section will of course be interacting with new neighbours.

Inversions were first inferred from genetic analysis. Later they were detected cytologically at the prophase of meiosis in maize. To-day, thanks to the discovery of the giant chromosomes in the salivary glands of *Drosophila*, they need not wait to be detected by their abnormal behaviour at crossing-over and its results, but can be directly observed; for chromosome-segments can be seen in which the normal band and line pattern is reversed, and these are then found to cause abnormalities of pairing and crossing-over at meiosis when opposite a non-inverted segment.

Among the properties of inversions is that they interfere (of course in the heterozygous condition only) with chromosomepairing and crossing-over, and it is in virtue of this fact that they exert their effect on breaking up species. But this effect will be quite different according to the magnitude of the inverted segment. When it is very small, the disturbance will be small; and crossing-over between genes one or two units apart is in any case of very rare occurrence. Thus the main effect of very small inversions will be via their visible position-effects, which will be similar in magnitude and nature to the effects of small true gene-mutations. Small inversions will thus merely add to the internal variability of a species, and will not tend to break it up into separate groups.

Large inversions, on the other hand, will have two important effects. They will reduce the fertility of heterozygotes, so that the pure types—that with two normal chromosomes, and that with two chromosomes both with an inverted section—will be at an advantage: and the impossibility of crossing-over between an inverted and a non-inverted section will effectively isolate these two regions of the gene-complex from each other. Recombination can no longer take place between them, so that any mutation taking place in an inverted section cannot be transferred to its non-inverted homologue, or vice versa. Darlington (1937) was the first to grasp the full implications of this fact, and to point out that such "chromosomal isolation" was of equal importance with other more obvious kinds of isolation, such as that due to geographical separation (v. infra; and p. 362).

Single inversions may thus cause a certain reduction of hybrid fertility between types. Fertility would be still further reduced by further inversions in other kinds of chromosomes, while two or more inversions in each of two or more chromosomes would produce very considerable sterility (Stern, 1936).

produce very considerable sterility (Stern, 1930). A large inversion may thus pave the way for the separation of a species into two non-interbreeding groups. For one thing its isolating effect may be accentuated by further inversions; and in addition, once any degree of hybrid sterility has occurred, natural selection will operate to produce other sterility barriers, in the shape of different mating reactions, so as to prevent the waste caused by crossing, with its production of relatively infertile individuals. Still further genetic sterility-barriers are also likely to arise by gene-mutation of various kinds. Inversions may also lead to the production of visible diversity by the accumulation of different mutations in homologous inverted and non-inverted sections.

If several favourable mutations occur in an inverted section, and are therefore prevented from crossing-over and recombination with the homologous non-inverted section, the spread of chromosomes with the inverted section will be favoured by selection. The two homologous sections, inverted and noninverted, will in fact each become an isolated partial genetic system; within this there will operate the same phenomenon of mutual genic adjustment discussed for total genetic systems in Chap. 3 (see also Malinovsky, 1941). These segmental harmoniously-stabilized gene-complexes will continue to evolve within the less thoroughly stabilized total gene-complex. Something of this sort has undoubtedly occurred in the divergence of Avena sativa from A. fatua and Triticum vulgare from T. spelta: in either case the two members of the pair differ essentially in a group of characters all located in a region of one chromosome, which in one species has suffered inversion (Huskins, 1927, 1928). SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC 333 In general, it appears that sectional rearrangements are rarely if ever the sole cause of evolutionary divergence (cf. Muller, 1940). For one thing they have a negligible prospect of becoming established, except by chance in a small and relatively well-isolated group. And in the second place their presence in non-interbreeding groups is normally accompanied by numerous single-gene differences, which are often responsible for much of the group-incompatibility. They can therefore only be regarded as secondary agents in bringing out speciation, though their role may be quite important in species which are normally broken up into small isolated population-units, and still more in those (among which various species of *Drosophila* are to be included) which are subject to violent fluctuations in numbers with small, isolated groups at the low point of the cycle. It is, for instance, probable that inversion has had a good deal to say in the separation of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, though the single-gene effects must have played the major role, since important effects on the sterility of the hybrids are determined by them (Stern, 1936; and p. 359).

p. 359).

p. 359). Whenever inversion has played an important part in species-formation, the two species may be expected to remain very similar in appearance, since they will overlap in their ranges, and will both possess almost the same genetic constitution, well-adapted to a common environment. A gradual ecological diver-gence may occur later. The same will apply to cases of divergence initiated by translocation. Thus the two "races" (incipient species) of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* can only be distinguished by statistical analysis, which brings out, in males only, certain differences in wing and leg measurements, and in the numbers of the teeth on the sex-combs (Mather and Dobzhansky, 1939). Such species will only be detected by refined and detailed systematic methods, and will often not be recognized by sys-tematists who are not alive to the implications of genetics. It will be of great interest to discover whether species-pairs of this type occur in higher vertebrates. A peculiar method of forming new types is that of asexual

A peculiar method of forming new types is that of asexual

segregation in certain parthenogenetic plant species of hybrid origin. Parthenogenesis in such cases is due to a suppression of the reduction of the chromosomes. But even in the absence of the reduction of the chromosomes. But even in the absence of reduction, any corresponding chromosomes from the two original parents which are able to pair will be subject to crossing-over, since we now know that crossing-over takes place by two stages, and not only as an accompaniment to reduction. And such crossing-over will produce new types, which will maintain themselves, subject to selection, save for further cross-overs (see also pp. 352-3).

also pp. 352-3). Such a process should lead to the formation of numerous closely-related true-breeding types. Some of these will doubtless be at a disadvantage and will disappear, while others will main-tain themselves. Further divergence between the types may occur, though slowly, by gene-mutation. It is probable that some of the numerous species of hawkweed (*Hieracium*) and blackberry (*Rubus*) owe their origin to such asexual segregation. Apparent mutations due to this process have been detected in both forms (Darlington, 1937, pp. 296, 475, for *Hieracium*; Crane and Thomas, 1939, for *Rubus*; and p. 352 of this volume). Next we have the various phenomena of polyploidy in which a multiplication of whole chromosome-sets occurs (see p. 143). As already mentioned, polyploidy is of two fundamentally dis-tinct types: autopolyploidy in which the chromosome sets are all of the same kind, derived from the same species, and initial allopolyploidy, in which they are of different kind, derived from two distinct species. The actual doubling is in both cases due to the suppression of division of a cell after division of the chromo-somes has taken place, but whereas this is the primary event in

somes has taken place, but whereas this is the primary event in autopolyploidy, in allopolyploidy it is subsequent to hybridization. As previously mentioned, polyploidy is widespread in plants, but very rare in animals (pp. 140 seq.). We there pointed out the *a priori* reason for its non-existence in bisexual forms. M. J. D. White (1940) points out that this might be expected not to apply in hermaphrodite animal groups. However, his investigations of chromosome-number show that in one such group (pulmonate molluscs) it appears not to occur at all, in another (Rhabdocoela)

it occurs to a moderate extent, and in two others (Hirudinea and Oligochaeta) the meagre data suggest its possibility as a rare phenomenon. His conclusion is that polyploidy has not occurred in the hermaphrodite groups of animals to anything like the same extent as in higher plants. This may be due to the rarity of selffertilization in hermaphrodite animals, or to some as yet unknown cause. Polyploidy may possibly occur in Hemiptera (p. 370).

In this section, only autopolyploidy concerns us, as allopolyploidy connotes convergence, not divergence. Chromosomedoubling will usually occur through failure of cell-division but not of chromosome division. If the tetraploid cell forms all or part of a growing point, a totally or partially tetraploid shoot will result. Such a shoot will not be fully fertile, since at meiosis there will be four of each kind of chromosome instead of two, so that in addition to pairs, groups of three and four chromosomes will be formed. Many gametes will, therefore, not possess two entire genomes, but will be unbalanced, with some chromosomes represented in triplicate or only in single dose; and such gametes will often be inviable.

The continuance of the species can be ensured either by concentrating on asexual reproduction, or, if fertility is not much reduced, by means of a differentiation of the chromosomes, presumably through mutation, so that instead of four similar members of each kind, two slightly dissimilar pairs are found. Instead of AAAA,BBBB, etc., we would have AIAI,A2A2, BIBI, B2B2, etc. So long as the dissimilarity is sufficient to prevent pairing between members of different pairs (e.g. AI and A2, or BI and B2) complete fertility will be restored. In this case the initial autopolyploidy will have been converted into a secondary functional allopolyploidy (p. 143; Darlington, 1937, his pp. 183, 226).

Doubling can of course be repeated, leading from tetraploid to octoploid and higher forms. This is likely to occur especially in types which have specialized in parthenogenetic reproduction, leading to the establishment of series with 2n, 4n, 8n, 16n chromosomes.

Autotriploid (3n) forms may arise from diploids by fertiliza-

tion between a diploid (unreduced) and a haploid (normat reduced) gamete. Triploids are sexually sterile and can only reproduce by non-sexual methods. Hexaploids (6n) may arise in similar fashion from 4n plants. They may also originate by doubling in a triploid form, and then of course reacquire sexual fertility. An interesting case of this sort is given by Perlova (1939). The wild triploid and sterile potato species Solanum vallis-mexici was grown at high altitudes, and there, presumably as a result of the low temperature, produced a fertile hexaploid form. As this species is more resistant to frost and drought and certain diseases than other potato species, this result should be of considerable importance. of considerable importance.

of considerable importance. Darlington (1937, p. 216) gives a table of autopolyploid species and mutants. Various wild triploid species are known, all repro-ducing vegetatively, e.g. in tulips and narcissi. Extremely few cases are known in animals; e.g. the land crustacean *Trichoniscus*: here reproduction is parthenogenetic (p. 314; Vandel, 1937). Other triploid types have been experimentally produced by crossing 2n and 4n forms. Of great interest is the fact that auto-polyploidy may give rise to forms which are not associated with any systematic visible differences. For instance, *Anemone montana* occurs in diploid (2n), tetraploid (4n), and hexaploid (6n) forms, *Silene ciliata* in 2n, 4n, and 16n forms, all similar.*

Silene ciliata in 2n, 4n, and 16n forms, all similar.* In other cases, the polyploid forms differ slightly in visible characters, but are still classified within the limits of a single species. There are, for instance, five such forms of Viola kitaibeliana (some of them aneuploid) and of Prunus spinosa, and three of Erophila (Draba) verna. Such forms were at one time included under the term "elementary species". The most interesting evolutionary fact concerning autopoly-ploids, however, is that different members of a series may and often do have different geographical distributions. In general, tetraploid forms seem better adapted to difficult environmental conditions. Many are more cold-resistant than their diploid * On the other hand. Müntzing (1936), who has studied autopolyploidy

^{*} On the other hand, Müntzing (1936), who has studied autopolyploidy very extensively, has stated that members of a polyploid series always show some visible distinguishing characters, though these may admittedly be slight.

relatives. Accordingly we find many tetraploid forms in the far north and in mountain regions. Almost all the grasses in Spits-bergen are polyploids (see Haldane, 1938). The sharper climatic zoning produced by the glacial period must have encouraged the survival of tetraploids and promoted the formation of tetra-ploid subspecies. Others are adapted to the extreme temperatures and great aridity of deserts—e.g. various forms of *Enagrostis* in the Sahara (Hagerup, 1932). Tetraploid forms are also in many cases more generally vigorous, a fact reflected in their distri-bution, which is frequently wider (often considerably so) than that of the diploid variety. Many widespread weeds of cultiva-tion and waste land are also tetraploid forms, the diploid types having quite restricted distribution. (For general discussion see Müntzing, 1936, Tischler, 1941.) In experimental tetraploids in tomatoes, Fabergé (1936) finds

Müntzing, 1936, Tischler, 1941.) In experimental tetraploids in tomatoes, Fabergé (1936) finds that the tetraploid is less variable phenotypically than the diploid. This cannot be due to diminished segregation of recessives; Fabergé suggests that it is due to the greater effectiveness, in certain cases, of four as against two homologous genes, resulting in greater stability of early developmental processes. In colchicine-induced tetraploidy, Badenhuizen (1941) finds that long chromo-somes diminish fertility and viability. It is also more likely to be of economic value for quantitative than subtle qualitative character characters.

characters. A few examples will serve to illustrate these general points. In the difficult genus *Potentilla*, numerous "species" are apomictic. Some of these are allopolyploid (see below), others autopolyploid (Müntzing, 1931). For instance, *P. argentea* (n = 7) exists in 2n, 6n, and 8n forms. Doubtless 4n types will also be discovered. In general the high polyploids were more vigorous. One diploid type was very small and prostrate, while one hexaploid, growing only a dozen miles away, was tall and erect.

In the Central European crucifer *Biscutella laevigata* (Manton, 1934), the distribution of the diploid forms is restricted and discontinuous, of the tetraploids continuous and much more extensive. The diploids seem to be relict forms, confined to areas which were not covered by the ice during the glacial period.

338 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS On the other hand, most of the area now inhabited by the 4n types was under ice during the periods of maximum glaciation. Thus we can safely conclude that the tetraploid races were evolved in response to the onset of colder conditions, and have been, in virtue of their greater vigour and cold-resistance, able to colonize large areas either unavailable *per se* to the diploids, or where the diploids cannot compete successfully with the tetraploids to which they have given rise. An almost precisely similar state of affairs is found in the North American genus *Tradescantia*, but here in several species (Anderson, 1937; and see Dobzhansky, 1937, p. 196). In many cases, it seems clear that the advantages enjoyed by autopolyploids have enabled them to supplant their diploid progenitors entirely. Thus autopolyploidy, regarded from the evolutionary standpoint, in general seems to provide a method by which a type may become adapted to new and especially to less favourable on have undergone the necessary internal genetic adaptation (p. 145) as well as further external adaptation, they will often extend their range far beyond the original diploid distribution, and may frequently restrict the range of their diploid accestors through competition. In other cases the formation of an extended autopolyploid series may enable a type to occupy a greater variety of ecological niches. Crossing sometimes takes place between members of a series, producing new polyploid types, which then may be preadapted to still other conditions. Longterm plasticity, however, is reduced by polyploidy (pp. 143, 374). 374).

374). In one sense, the different members of close autopolyploid series should be regarded as species, since they are kept quite distinct by genetic barriers. The morphological differences between them, however, are usually very slight, so that for taxonomic purposes it is undesirable to give them separate specific names, and the totality of the forms may be named as one "polyploid species". From what has been said above, it is probable that the majority of 4n and higher autopolyploid forms of such polyploid species are of geologically very recent origin. With the passage

of time, we may prophesy that the morphological differences between them and their '2n ancestors will become more marked, until they merit specific naming. It is presumably by such means that some of the polyploid series of obviously good species in various plant genera have been evolved. (See also pp. 347-8).

In general we may say that divergence based primarily on genetic isolation has been of less evolutionary importance than other types of divergence, its only major achievement being the autopolyploid series of various plant groups. It has often been of the greatest secondary importance, however. Once geographical or ecological isolation has separated groups, it is largely the accident of genetic divergence, genic or chromosomal, which eventually render the two types intersterile.*

9. CONVERGENT SPECIES-FORMATION

The most important type of diversification produced primarily by genetic isolation is the origin of new true-breeding forms,

* A peculiar condition has recently been described in the wild millet Sorghum purpureo-sericeum (Janaki-Ammal, 1940). This is a diploid (2n = 10), but 40 per cent of wild plants have from 1 to 6 (mostly 2 or 1) extra so-called "B-chromosomes" in their floral parts; these are, however, absent from the roots.

The B-chromosomes do not pair at meiosis, and have a marked effect in reducing pollen-fertility. To offset this reproductive disadvantage, there must clearly be some considerable somatic advantage accruing from their presence in the floral tissues. But how it operates, why they are absent in the roots, and what the origin of the condition may have been—these points all remain obscure.

Darlington and Upcott (1941*a*), investigating a somewhat similar state of affairs in maize, have come to some more general conclusions as to the function of these so-called inert or B-chromosomes. These, though variable in number, exist with a definite mean size and frequency in various strains. Since various agencies involved in the mechanics of mitosis and meiosis are constantly operating to reduce both their size and the numbers present, some counter-selection must operate in the opposite direction. Darlington and Upcott conclude that this counter-selection is concerned with their special activities in nucleic acid metabolism.

In the domestication of maize, the B-chromosomes appear to have taken over and enlarged the metabolic function originally carried on by the heterochromatic knobs which form part of some of the normal chromosomes. They appear to provide a more elastic means of adjusting the plant's nucleic acid metabolism to the increased demands made on it by agriculturists in selecting for higher yield.

Similar arguments seem to apply in other cases, both in plants (e.g. Fritillaria, Ranunculus, and Secale) and in animals (various Heteroptera).

sufficiently distinct both morphologically as well as reproduc-tively to be styled good species, by hybridization between two

tively to be styled good species, by hydridization between two pre-existing species. When polyploid forms arise after hybridization, they will be allopolyploid from the start, so that we here speak of initial as against secondary allopolyploidy. In addition, new forms are here produced by convergence, not by divergence. Summaries of the evolutionary effects of polyploidy are given by Darlington (1937) and Tischler (1941).

of the evolutionary energy of polyptolidy are given by Darnington (1937) and Tischler (1941). The classical case of species-formation by allopolyploidy is that of *Primula kewensis*. This arose from a spontaneous cross at Kew between two well-known species, *P. verticillata* and *P. floribunda*, both with 2n = 18 chromosomes. The hybrid was originally entirely sterile. The chromosomes of the two parental species were able to pair and segregate in spite of their dis-similarity, but the resulting combinations of genes were so abnormal that all the offspring were inviable.* The hybrid was cultivated vegetatively for some years, until a shoot appeared which was fertile. On cytological examination this was shown to possess thirty-six chromosomes. The sterile hybrid possessed one set from each parent—Av, Af, Bv, Bf, ... R^v , Rf. The fertile shoot possessed two sets: AvAv, AfAf, ... R^v Rv, RfRf. Pairing could now occur between identical chromo-somes. Every gamete thus possessed a complete set of chromo-somes from both original parents, and viable offspring were accordingly formed. Reproduction is not entirely normal, since sometimes groups of four chromosomes instead of pairs are formed at meiosis, leading to reduced fertility. In the course of time we may expect selection to operate to reduce such superof time we may expect selection to operate to reduce such super-numerary association, and so to increase fertility. In any case, however, the tetraploid is not only capable of maintaining itself, but is sterile when crossed with either parent species, so that it must be regarded as a new species. Species-formation is here abrupt, and is also convergent.

^{*} In other cases the chromosomes of the two forms are so dissimilar that meiosis is interfered with. For further discussion of sterility due to gene-interaction causing abnormality of reproductive processes, see Patterson, Stone and Griffen (1940).

SPECIATION, ECOLOGICAL AND GENETIC 341 In this case, the sterile hybrid would probably not have main-tained itself until the chromosome-doubling occurred, without human interference. However, that new species can be formed by this method in nature is shown by the striking example of the rice-grass *Spartina townsendii* (Huskins, 1931). There seems to be no doubt that this is an allopolyploid derived from the crossing of the European S. stricta with the imported S. alterniflora. The basic haploid number (x) of the genus is 7. S. stricta itself appears to be an octoploid (2n = 56) and S. alterniflora a deca-ploid (2n = 70). S. townsendii has 2n = 126 = 18x. Most inter-esting from the evolutionary standpoint is the fact that the new species is in some as yet obscure way better equipped than either of its parents; it not only kills them out in competition, but is extending its range beyond theirs. It is now being employed by the Dutch for reclaiming land from the sea. This favourable result of the interaction of two gene-complexes is the reverse of that described on p. 66. It also demostrates the role of range-changes in this type of speciation (p. 348). Two species of horse-chestnut are known to have originated by hybridization. It is interesting that one of them is a parent of the other. From the two tetraploid species, the European *Aesculus hippocastanum* (the common horse-chestnut) and the American *A. pavia* (the red buck-eye) the pink-flowered octo-ploid garden species *A. cannea* has arisen. This, on crossing with *A. hippocastanum*, gave rise to *A. plantierensis*. In this latter case, a hexaploid was produced, which at once bred true without further doubling. These and other examples are enumerated by Dalington (1937, p. 234). A very pretty example is the experimental synthesis of a wild

Darlington (1937, p. 234).

Darlington (1937, p. 234). A very pretty example is the experimental synthesis of a wild species of hemp-nettle, Galeopsis tetrahit. On various grounds this tetraploid species was presumed to be the result of a cross between G. pubescens and G. speciosa, both ordinary diploids. After crossing these, an allotetraploid was produced, which is almost identical with the wild form (Müntzing, 1932, 1937). Undoubtedly, the wild species did originate from this cross, but has since its origin undergone slight further differentiation by mutation and selection.

342 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS Occasionally what merits the title of a new true-breeding species is formed by hybridization without subsequent chromo-some-doubling. This may happen when, as in the Aesculus case just mentioned, an isopolyploid is produced between two other isopolyploids of different chromosome number. E.g. $4x \times 8x$; gametes 2x + 4x = 6x zygote. Otherwise, the process can only occur when both parents have the same chromosome number, and when the hybrid enjoys certain advantages over the parents. If capable of sexual reproduction, the hybrid will of course be exceedingly variable, as independent assortment between the members of the two parental genomes will occur. The best case is that of the hybrid between two species of Medicago, the imported purple-flowered lucerne (M. sativa), and the yellow-flowered sickle medick (M. falcata) of Europe (see Gilmour, 1932). The hybrid, originally described as a distinct species under the name of M. sylvestris, has strange greenish-black flowers, is exceedingly variable, and is both more vigorous and more fertile than either parent. In Britain this hybrid may be dated back with reasonable certainty to the seventeenth century, when lucerne was first introduced. One would conjecture that its initial variability would have been somewhat reduced by selection, but there is no direct evidence for this. In one region of France, where lucerne has not been reintroduced for some of France, where lucerne has not been reintroduced for some time, the hybrid appears to have ousted both parent forms entirely.

entirely. Ledingham has recently shown (1940) that M. falcata exists both in a diploid and tetraploid form (2n = 16 and 32), while M. sativa always has 2n = 32, and is thus presumably tetraploid. "M. sylvestris" involves the tetraploid form of M. falcata. Homo-logous chromosomes of the two species pair and segregate freely in the hybrid. Ledingham wishes on this account to classify M. falcata and M. sativa as "varieties of one highly polymorphic species", but Mr. J. Gilmour assures me that no plant taxono-mists hold this view. The two types have different distribution, and differ in numerous characters, both morphological and physiological. If one makes interfertility the sole criterion of species, then the diploid M. falcata would have to be put

in a distinct species from its almost identical tetraploid, while this latter would be classed specifically with the quite distinct M. sativa!

"*M. sylvestris*" is in one sense a hybrid swarm, on account of its high segregating variability, but a hybrid swarm which is capable of permanent existence as a group-unit apart from either parent, and thus a new species, albeit one with peculiar properties (see pp. 147, 355).

either parent, and thus a new species, albeit one with peculiar properties (see pp. 147, 355). We may also cite the case of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *P. multi-florus*. These are two well-defined species, crosses between which have recently been investigated by Lamprecht (1941). Both species have n = 17 chromosomes. The cross only succeeds with *vulgaris* as female parent, and the F1 is almost sterile. However, by breeding from the few seeds produced, a number of constant lines were obtained in F5-F9, some very close to one or other pure parent species, others intermediate, showing all possible combinations of the parents' characters. Fertility was originally low, but could gradually be raised to a high level. Here is an excellent example of species-differences depending solely or mainly on gene-differences. Further, the gene-complexes of the two forms have gradually become largely, but not quite, incom-patible, so that selection is still able to restore viability and fertility in the hybrid. Some of the hybrid lines can properly be regarded as artificial species, since they are wholly or largely sterile with pure *P. vulgaris*. Allopolyploidy has undoubtedly played an important role in the evolution of many plant genera. The careful analysis that has been made in *Nicotiana* will serve as a good example. Kostoff (1938) has experimentally produced a new allopolyploid by hybridizing *N. glauca* (n = 12) and *N. langsdorffii* (n = 9). An allotetraploid (n = 21) arose by parthogenesis. This showed rather poor fertility, but fertility rose gradually in successive generations, until it approximated to normal. The allotetraploid, though possessing unique characters, was by no means constant, throwing forms that differed in numerous characters, both morphological and physiological. This was due to the relative frequency of heterogenetic pairing—i.e. pairing and consequent

segregation of chromosomes belonging to the two parental species, though homogenetic pairing was naturally the rule. This inconstancy also decreased in the course of generations, but not to any great extent.

inconstancy also decreased in the course of generations, our not to any great extent. Kostoff also produced an allotetraploid (2n = 80) between *N. multivalens* (n = 24) and *N. suaveolens* (n = 16). In this case the new species was remarkably constant, owing to the absence of heterogenetic pairing. Another synthetic species, *N. digluta*, was manufactured ten years previously by Goodspeed and Clausen, by crossing *N. tabacum* (n = 24) with *N. glutinosa* (n = 12); the hybrid became tetraploid, with 72 as its somatic chromosome-number. Still another was produced in 1933, *N. diglutosa*, between *N. glutinosa* and another 12-chromosome species (references and discussion in Goodspeed, 1934; Babcock, 1939). This experimental production of new allopolyploid species by hybridization is only the human continuation of a natural process in this genus (Goodspeed, 1934; summary in Dobzhansky, 1937; p. 214). Cytogenetic analysis has made it certain that the 24-chromosome American species are allotetraploids resulting from the hybridization between members of the 12-chromo-some group. *N. tabacum* in particular, the source of tobacco, can be demonstrated, on the basis of the pairing attraction of its chromosomes in species-hybrids, to be the product of a cross between a form similar to *N. sylvestris* and one in the *N. tomentosa* group. group.

N. tabacum is highly polymorphic, like the artificial species glauca \times langsdorffii mentioned above, and apparently for the same reason. During the time since its first origin, its chromosomes and those of its progenitors have altered their genic composition somewhat, but not enough to disguise their ancestral affinities.

Similarly N. rustica, another highly variable 24-chromosome species, can be shown to be derived from the crossing of two members of the N. paniculata group, though here the subsequent genetic divergence of the original progenitors and the new species has been greater. And one progenitor of N. nudicaulis

must be sought in a form related to *N. trigonophylla*. Nothing is known as to the reason for the widespread occurrence of allopolyploidy in *Nicotiana*, but we may safely suggest that the opportunity for the necessary species-crosses was provided by extensive range-changes in relation to alterations of climate and land-level.

The wheats provide an equally striking example, with certain different features (summarized by Dobzhansky, 1937, pp. 215 seq.). Wheats fall into three groups with n = 7, 14, and 21 respectively. Broadly speaking, the 21-chromosome forms (vulgare group) have three distinct genomes, A, B, and D, of which the 14-chromosome form (emmer group) have two (A and B), and the 7-chromosome forms (einkorn group) the Agenome only. Allopolyploidy appears to have occurred twice, once with an unknown form providing the B-genome, and subsequently with an Aegilops-like form introducing the D-genome.

and B), and the 7-chromosome forms (emkorn group) the Agenome only. Allopolyploidy appears to have occurred twice, once with an unknown form providing the B-genome, and subsequently with an Aegilops-like form introducing the D-genome. Complications have been introduced by the genetic divergence of various types. Thus one of the emmers, T. timopheevi, has a B-genome which differs considerably from the normal. This may mean that its B-ancestor was not identical with that of other emmers, but a related species;* or possibly the differentiation may have occurred subsequently. Again, heterogenetic pairing between members of the different genomes takes place to a different extent in different cases. Obviously, a twicerepeated allopolyploidy such as has here occurred provides the opportunity for great diversification.

opportunity for great diversification. In willows (Salix), Nilsson has been able to build up artificial species of an amazingly synthetic nature. One artificial species (Nilsson, 1936) contained genetic elements from no less than eight wild forms. Similar cases are known in orchids. The hybrid "genera" Potinara and Burrageara have been built up artificially from four different species belonging to four distinct natural genera (Sander, 1931).

Recently, the discovery that polyploidy may be artificially

^{*} That allotetraploidy has occurred in more than one way is made probable by recent Russian work; e.g. *T. persicum* ($n \times 28$) seems to be an allotetraploid derived from a cross between *T. dicoccoides* and *Aegilops triuncialis*, both with n = 14 (see Waddington, 1939, p. 323).

produced by colchicine has opened up new possibilities in this field, since sterile hybrids can often be immediately converted into more or less fertile allopolyploids by this means, instead of waiting for the lucky chance of natural chromosome-doubling. As an instance, we may take the recent work of Harland (1940) on cotton. For example, he has synthesized an allotetraploid between the Old World Gossypium arboreum and the New World G. thurberi (both n = 13). This can then be crossed with com-mercial tetraploid forms (which themselyes appear to be the product of allotetraploidy between Old and New World dip-ploids), and the immunity to pink bollworm carried by G. thurberi can thus be introduced into cultivation. thurberi can thus be introduced into cultivation.

pioles), and the immunity to pink boloworm carried by G. thurberi can thus be introduced into cultivation. Again, he has synthesized allohexaploid forms between the commercial G. barbadense (n = 26) and various New World diploids (n = 13). The addition of the wild genome confers increased resistance to drought and to various pests, as well as great vigour and sometimes high quality of lint.* Allopolyploids, like autopolyploids, often differ in physio-logical and ecological peculiarities from their diploid ancestors, and therefore come to occupy different ranges; and, again as with autoplyploids, their ranges are usually more extensive. To take but one example, G. H. Shull (1937) has summarized our knowledge of the species of the crucifer genus Capsella. Here the basic chromosome-number (x) is 8. The diploid species with 2n = 16 are, with few exceptions, found in the Mediter-ranean area, which appears to be the original centre of distri-bution of the genus. This region is also inhabited by various tetraploid forms; but these, taken together, are world-wide in their extension. Although Shull has not been able to detect any differences in vigour between the 2x and 4x forms, he is con-vinced that some such differences must exist, together probably with differences in adaptability, to account for the observed distributional difference. While autopolyploidy may have occurred, Shull is convinced that hybridization and allotetra-* The use of colchicine has of course other application. It permits the building we four other permits the building

^{*} The use of colchicine has of course other application. It permits the building up of autopolyploids. These may themselves show valuable new characteristics, or they may sometimes give fertile crosses with other polyploid species of the same chromosome-number, and so produce new recombinations.

ploidy has been the chief source of 4x forms. In one area of Texas, two 4x forms, *C. occidentalis* and *C. bursa-pastoris*, which are restricted to the region west and east of the Rockies respectively, have met and crossed, producing a more or less stable new type; Shull does not give cytological data for this form.

new type; Shull does not give cytological data for this form. The genus Potentilla is one in which both auto- and allopolyploidy have occurred. We have already referred to the autopolyploid series in P. argentea (p. 337). In P. collina and P. crantzii on the other hand, Müntzing (1931) finds strong evidence for allotetraploidy, in the shape of anisoploid (5x and 7x) forms, Apomictic reproduction has enabled these heterozygous forms to remain in permanency. Most collina biotypes, however, are hexaploid. Müntzing considers that the very variable "collective species" P. collina arose through a cross between P. argentea and a form close to P. tabernaemontani. In general, the species of Potentilla "which are regarded as old and primitive . . . are characterized by low chromosome numbers and a relatively limited and decreasing géographical distribution", whereas the dominant and aggressive types have a high chromosome number (up to 12x), mainly due to allopolyploidy. See also Christoff (1941).

A curious case is cited by Tischler (1941), where a gigasform of the normally hexaploid *Aloe ciliaris* turns out to be pentaploid.

One final case deserves to be mentioned, since it shows that new species can arise by this means even after intergeneric hybridization. This is the radish-cabbage hybrid Raphano-Brassica (Karpechenko, 1928). This is the product of a cross between the radish (Raphanus sativus) and the cabbage (Brassica oleracea), both with 2n = 18 chromosomes. The 18-chromosome hybrid was at first sterile, as with Primula kewensis, but became fully fertile on achieving allotetraploidy.

That allopolyploidy after species-hybridization has been an important agency in evolution in giving rise to new species in nature is shown by the large number of cases in which allied species within a genus or group of genera show chromosome numbers which are all multiples of some basic number. For instance, the basic haploid number (x) in the genus Chrysan-themum is 9, and 2x, 4x, 6x, 8x, and 10x species are known. In wheat and oats x = 7, and 2x, 4x and 6x types occur. Similar series occur in every large genus of flowering plants as yet investigated, with the exception of *Ribes* and *Antirrhinum*. The evidence goes to show that while some of these series may be due to the occurrence of autopolyploidy (p. 335), the great majority, notably of sexually reproducing types, are due to initial allopolyploidy

great majority, notably of sexually reproducing types, are due to initial allopolyploidy. Kinsey (1936) suggests that species-hybridization (presumably followed by allotetraploidy) has played a considerable role in the evolution of the gall-wasp family Cynipidae, but his con-clusions are unsupported by experimental evidence. In general it appears unlikely that this mode of speciation has occurred to any extent in any animal group. It has been suggested that polyploidy might be commoner among hermaphrodite animals on account of the absence of the X-Y sex-determining mechan-ison but M L D. White (1040) has shown that even here it is on account of the absence of the X-Y sex-determining mechan-ism, but M. J. D. White (1940) has shown that even here it is much rarer than in plants. In moths, Federley has shown that allotetraploid hybrids may arise through non-reduction of the chromosomes in gametogenesis. But new species do not appear to arise in this way, partly because mating preferences keep normal species apart, partly because the hybrids are not fully fertile (see Federley, 1932). Species-hybridization occurs in fish, but we do not know the cytological phenomena. Hubbs (1940) has described cases in which desiccation in the American desert

has described cases in which desiccation in the American desert has brought together in one pool two species originally differen-tiated in relation to lake and stream life. E.g. with chub, two pure species and many hybrids were found in a single section of creek. Such cases would repay further investigation. Considering the general role of allopolyploidy in plant evolu-tion, we may conclude that it is likely to occur when changes of climate bring about range-changes, these then providing oppor-tunities for hybridization between plant species which have developed in isolation and between which no reproductive barriers have therefore been evolved, such as different flowering seasons or adaptation to different insect pollinators. But, once

induced, allopolyploidy often provides the opportunity for taking advantage of the new conditions. This is partly due, as with autopolyploidy, to increased vigour and resistance, partly to the fact that quite new types, some of which are pre-adapted to various ecological conditions, are produced (pp. 336, 351), and finally to the plasticity conferred by a certain degree of heterogenetic chromosome-pairing.

This plasticity is due to the fact that heterogenetic chromosomepairing produces a unique type of variation. The mechanism of meiosis produces segregation and recombination. The characters segregated and recombined are in the vast majority of organisms dependent on single-gene mutations which form part of the general constitution of the species. However, in allopolyploids with some degree of heterogenetic pairing, what are segregated and recombined are not single genes, but groups of genes which have evolved for long periods, often millions of years, in isolation from each other, so that such species possess a new kind of recombinational variation in their genetic stock-in-trade. We must finally consider the cases of so-called aneuploidy

We must finally consider the cases of so-called an euploidy or secondary polyploidy, in which some kinds of chromosomes are represented more often than others in the total complement (polysomy). Different strains within Viola kitaibeliana include not only polyploids, and polyploids lacking one chromosome (monosomics), but polyploids with some chromosomes polysomic (Clausen, 1927). The analysis here is not, however, so clear-cut as in the species of dahlia, D. merckii. All species of the genus Dahlia save this one have n = 8 or some multiple of 8; D. merckii, however, has n = 18. Cytological evidence proves that this must be interpreted as a tetraploid in which two kinds of chromosomes are represented by three pairs instead of two; i.e. whereas most kinds of chromosomes will exist in the form F^1F^1 , F^2F^2 ; G^1G^1 , G^2G^2 , two kinds will exist as A^1A^1 , A^2A^2 , A^3A^3 ; B^1B^1 , B^2B^2 , B^3B^3 . The species is thus mainly tetraploid, but partly hexaploid. It is noteworthy that this species shows more striking differences from the rest of the genus than does any other. This is to be expected, since the balance between the genes contained in different chromosomes is upset. Such 350 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS cases must have originated suddenly by duplication of whole chromosomes, those forms surviving which have a proper genetic balance. They are not known for certainty in diploids: the reason here is presumably that the upset of balance would be more considerable (2 : I instead of 3 : 2).* Collins, Hollings-head and Avery (1929) produced a secondarily balanced "species" of *Crepis*, *C. artificialis*, by crossing the tetraploid *C. biennis* (2n = 4x = 40) and the diploid *C. setosa* (2n = 8). In the FI, the 20 biennis chromosomes formed IO pairs by autosyndesis, but the 4 setosa chromosomes segregated at random. However, after some generations of selfing, a true-breeding strain was produced in which two of these had been completely lost, while the other two had become paired. Here, then, the secondary polyploid has been produced by loss of chromosomes. Accord-ing to Sikka (1940) secondary polyploidy has played a con-siderable role in the cabbages (*Brassica*). The basic chromosome number is x = 5. Straightforward tetraploids (2n = 20) exist, together with both *plus* and *minus* secondary tetraploids (2n =20+4; 2n=20-2; 2n=20-4). From these various forms allopolyploidy has produced new species, with 2n = 34, 36, 38, and 48 chromosomes respectively. Darlington (1937) has given cogent reasons for believing that

and 48 chromosomes respectively. Darlington (1937) has given cogent reasons for believing that the whole Pomoideae section of the Rose order, comprising the apples, pears, medlars, etc., are of similar constitution, derived from a basic number of x = 7, by tetraploidy followed by extra representation (six times instead of four) of three chromo-somes. They then have 2n = 34, four of the original seven chromosomes being represented by two pairs each, the remain-ing three by three pairs. It is probable, though by no means certain, that this condition has been reached by the loss rather than the addition of chromosomes after a cross. On paleonto-logical grounds, this condition must have originated not later than the early Tertiary period.

^{*} An aneuploid form with extra representation of one kind of chromosome has been experimentally produced in tobacco (*Nicotiana*) by Webber (1930); the result here followed five generations of in-breeding after a cross, and involved various complex processes which need not concern us here. Lammarts (1932) has, by similar methods, produced another "species" of this type.

Thus in addition to the various evolutionary implications of polyploidy already mentioned, we see that it permits a new type of initial variation, in the shape of alterations in the numerical balance between different kinds of chromosomes.

10. RETICULATE DIFFERENTIATION

We must now briefly consider the extremely complicated state of affairs to be found in certain plant groups like the roses (*Rosa*), brambles (*Rubus*), willows (*Salix*), and hawthorns (*Crataegus*), resulting in a network of forms (reticulate evolution).

resulting in a network of forms (reticulate evolution). In all these groups, matters are complicated by a combination of polyploidy and various methods of non-sexual reproduction. In the *Caninae* section of the genus *Rosa*, what has been called *subsexual reproduction* occurs. The species of this group typically possess 35 chromosomes, 7 being the basic number for all roses. In the formation of ova, 14 of these normally pair at meiosis, while the remaining 21 all go to one pole of the spindle. This results in cells with 7 and with 28 chromosomes respectively, and from the latter the ova are formed. In the formation of pollen, on the other hand, no such differential behaviour of the unpaired chromosomes is observed, but most of them are eliminated from the nuclei by lagging during division. The result is that the majority of the viable pollen-grains have the complete that the majority of the viable pollen-grains have the complete single set of 7, together with 0, 1, or 2 others. The 21 unpaired chromosomes are thus generally handed down ascxually, while the few that appear in viable pollen grains provide a certain amount of excess variability. The *Caninae* group has undoubtedly arisen through hybridization—either by repeated crosses between different types of diploid species or by a cross between hexaploid species—after which the special peculiarities of the system must have arisen adaptively. It is noteworthy that whereas self-pellingtion had to accurate a period species of the system must pollination leads to sexual reproduction, cross-pollination nor-mally acts as a stimulus to parthenogenetic development. In other sections of the genus, all the even-multiple polyploids (and even certain of the diploids) appear to have arisen as species-

351

hybrids. In some cases these hybrids are interchange heterozygotes, with the result that segregation produces forms resembling the presumed original parents. Crosses between different species often occur, and may be viable and capable of reproduction (Darlington, 1937, pp. 460 scq.).

ton, 1937, pp. 460 scq.). It will thus be seen that hybridization is not uncommon in the genus *Rosa*, and that as the result of it, in addition to true-breeding polyploid species, a certain amount of segregation, either of single chromosomes or genomes, takes place. The group thus forms a network, in which convergent species-formation has not merely led to new species, but also to their partial or total dissociation; and some of the new types produced by this dissociation will maintain themselves. The course of events can

dissociation will maintain themselves. The course of events can be represented as a network, so that we can speak of the evolution of the group as *reticulate* (Turrill, 1936). The same absence of sterility-barriers between related species as is shown by *Rosa* occurs also in *Rubus*, though here the position is complicated by the fact that whereas crosses between closely-related species usually yield true hybrids, those between more distantly related forms yield "false hybrids". These are produced entirely apomictically, although the stimulus of the foreign pollen appears to be necessary. A remarkable fact is that in New Zealand, though reticulate evolution is frequent in the flora, it does not occur in *Rubus* (Allan, 1940). Some hybrid *Rubus* forms breed true as new polyploid species (this is also true of the loganberry, a species artificially produced by allopolyploidy after a cross between raspberry and black-berry). In nature, species occur with 2x, 3x, 4x, 5x, 6x, and 8x chromosomes (x = 7, as in *Rosa*), and apparently divergent segregants as well as convergent hybrid forms are produced. Crane and Thomas (1939) have shown that reproduction in

Crane and Thomas (1939) have shown that reproduction in the polyploid species may be entirely sexual, entirely apomictic, or partly sexual and partly non-sexual. In addition segregation may occur even in apomictic reproduction by means of crossing-over, the apomictic embryo presumably arising *after* the first meiotic division. Some of the distinctive types thus produced

breed true and maintain themselves in nature (and see p. 334). Thus "many of the species and micro-species of *Rubus* are evidently clones and subclones, produced by segregation and maintained by apomixis".

The willows (Salix) show the same bewildering multiplicity of "species" in nature as do Rubus and Rosa, and almost certainly for the same general reasons (see e.g. Nilsson, 1930), and synthetic species have been artificially created (p. 345). Bewildering hybrid swarms are found in New Zealand (e.g. in Aleuosmia, etc. p. 355; Allan, 1940), but cytogenetic investigation is needed before we can say if they are of the true reticulate type, or merely show mendelian gene-recombination. Similar but less extreme "reticulation" appears to occur in one section of the genus Viola.

As a result of these processes, the classification of such groups according to ordinary criteria is rendered all but impossible. We may quote what an experienced plant systematist has to say on the matter (Turrill, 1936): "The taxonomy of the British genus *Rubus* is in such a state that specialists sometimes cannot agree in more than one determination in ten. It is probable that in such genera a totally different scheme from that of species and varieties will have to be evolved before stability of expression is reached."

The case of the hawkweeds (*Crepis*) is dealt with elsewhere (p. 372). Babcock and Stebbins (see Stebbins, 1940*a*) propose the term *polyploid complex* for groups in which self-perpetuating secondary hybrids between auto- and allopolyploid forms are produced, so that "there arises a complex network of interrelated forms, which defies classification according to the usual concepts of the species"—i.e. which shows reticulate evolution. Reticulate polyploid complexes of this sort occur not only in *Crepis*, *Rosa* and *Rubus*, but in scores of other plant genera.

This "convergent-divergent" type of reticulate evolution may be contrasted with the "recombinational" type found in man. Here, a reticulate result has been achieved by quite other means. Instead of the initial crossing being between distinct species, and the divergent variability being due to segregation of whole chromosomes or genomes, the crossing appears to have taken
place between well-marked geographical subspecies,* and the divergent variability is thus due to ordinary gene recombination. So far as we know, no polyploidy and no formation of specially stable types has occurred, but the progressive increase of migration and crossing has led to a progressive increase of general variability (see general discussion in Huxley and Haddon, 1935; Huxley, 1940).

Man is the only organism to have exploited this method of evolution and variation to an extreme degree, so that a new dominant type in evolution has come to be represented by a single world-wide species instead of showing an adaptive radiation into many intersterile species. Doubtless this is due to his great tendency to individual, group, and mass migration of an irregular nature, coupled with his mental adaptability which enables him to effect cross-mating quite readily in face of differences of colour, appearance, and behaviour which would act as efficient barriers in the case of more instinctive organisms.

Keith and McCown (1937) refer to the extraordinary variability of Palestine man some sixty thousand years ago. As a "neanderthaloid type can be distinguished in this population, it may be suggested that the variability is partly dependent on crosses with *H. neanderthalensis*.

It is interesting that in the animal group with the largest powers of irregular dispersal, the birds, adumbrations of the same process occur. We have already spoken of the hybridization of the two species of flickers (p. 250), but in addition to the "mixed zone" where the two species have come into contact by extending their ranges, obviously hybrid birds are found sporadically in the areas of the pure species, the frequency of such forms naturally diminishing with distance from the mixed zone (Taverner, 1934).

We have also (p. 252) mentioned the somewhat similar picture

^{*} Some authors, such as Gates (1930), prefer to call them distinct species: the difference is here largely a matter of convenience, but since they are clearly of geographical origin and completely or reasonably interfertile, so that the resultant largely hybrid group constitutes a single interbreeding unit, it seems better, and more in accord with modern practice, to style them subspecies of a single large species or *Rassenkreis* (p. 163).

presented by the red-tailed hawks of the genus *Buteo* in Canada. Here the number of sporadic hybrids occurring within the areas of the various normally pure types appears to be more considerable (Taverner, 1936). Taverner points out that the occurrence of sporadic individuals of the general type of one subspecies or species within the range of another occurs in a fair number of birds.

A slightly different effect is shown by the water-thrush (Seiurus noveboracensis), a migratory species. McCabe and Miller (1933) find that this species shows "incipient geographic differentiation" into three statistically separable subspecies, but "even in the geographic centres of one of these races individuals may appear that show a considerable approach to the other race. Geographic segregation and correlation of characters . . . are incomplete not only at the borders or zones of intergradation, but to some extent throughout each race".

We have already referred to the hybrid swarms produced by the crossing of plant species in nature (pp. 147, 353). These may be so extensive and so successful that they constitute a definite element in the flora of a country. Evolution in such cases is also reticulate, though the meshes of the biological network will not be so large as in *Rubus* or *Rosa*, and the result is more like that obtaining in man. The best-investigated cases come from New Zealand, where no fewer than 491 hybrid groups have been recorded (Allan, 1940). Allan refers to "colonies of *Hebe* that present a multitude of forms none of which can at present be separated out as belonging to a 'good species'". The same sort of thing occurs in *Leptospermum* and *Senecio*. In *Aleuosmia* there is an extraordinary multiplicity of forms, many of them hybrids, in the northern part of North Island, N.Z.; the complexity diminishes with increasing latitude, until in the southern part of the island only a single well-characterized species is found.* As Allan says, new methods of nomenclature must be devised to deal with such situations.

In many cases the hybrid swarm arises as a result of human

^{*} We have here a very unusual form of cline-in degree of interspecific crossing.

interference (see p. 258). This probably applies to the kidney vetch, Anthyllis vulneraria (Marsden-Jones and Turrill, 1933), where what seem originally to have been well-marked geographical varieties (subspecies) have hybridized in numerous areas to give rise to complex hybrid swarms, each with its own characteristics (see also pp. 247, 291).

characteristics (see also pp. 247, 291). It has been suggested (Lowe, 1936) that the state of affairs to be found in the ground-finches of the Galapagos and the sicklebills of Hawaii is to be explained as the result of large-scale crossing and reticulation. However, we have seen (p. 327) that this is not supported by more careful analysis. Hybridization does seem to occur occasionally, however. Lack (1940*a*) mentions Geospiza cinerostris darwini, which occurs on a single island and appears to have arisen as a hybrid between G. c. propinqua and G. magnirostris: as would be expected it is exceedingly variable. One other such case is also known in the Geospizids. It will be seen that reticulate evolution, though uncommon.

variable. One other such case is also known in the Geospizids. It will be seen that reticulate evolution, though uncommon, is not so uncommon as was until very recently supposed. There is a natural reluctance among systematists to recognize its existence and its implications, since these run counter to the generallyaccepted basis of taxonomic practice. The fact that this basis is largely unconscious merely enhances the reluctance to change. It may be that once the necessity of admitting the existence of reticulate differentiation has been recognized in principle, it will be detected, in large or small degree, in a much greater number of instances, especially among plants, but also among animals. In the latter case, it is likely to be of the small-meshed or recombinational type only, while in plants both this type and that of the polyploid complex are to be expected.

II. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

To illustrate how different the methods of speciation may be in higher animals and higher plants, two concrete examples arc here presented of genera which have recently been subjected to the fullest analysis—the fruitflies (*Drosophila*) and the hawkweeds (*Crepis*). Drosophila is unique in being the only genus among either plants or animals in which we have at our disposal not only the results of intensive taxonomic study of the usual type and of work on ecology and population-structure, but of an astonishingly complete genetic analysis and of what we may call ultracytology (of salivary gland chromosomes). Furthermore, it has a very wide range, and comprises a large number of species. In what follows, the accounts of Muller (1940) and Spencer (1940) have been mainly drawn upon, while Dobzhansky's book (1937) has also proved a mine of information, and M. J. D. White (1937) gives a brief but useful summary.

A recent careful taxonomic study by Sturtevant (1939) has been undertaken in forty-two species of the genus available for detailed examination. Twenty-seven characters were selected which could not be regarded as due either to similarity of developmental processes, or to selective (adaptive) agencies, and their correlations tabulated. Further work is in progress, but on the basis of the results to date it was found that the accepted taxonomy should be modified, and the genus should be divided into three subgenera, one so far containing only a single species (D. duncani), one (subgenus Drosophila) containing such species as funebris, hydei, repleta, and virilis, and the third (subgenus Sophophora) containing other well-analysed species such as melanogaster, simulans, athabasca, azteca, ananassae, miranda, obscura, pseudoobscura, and subobscura.

The two main subgenera differ in such points as the fusion or separation of the posterior pair of Malpighian tubes, the number of filaments on the egg, and the shape of the dark posterior bands on the abdominal segments.

A considerable time ago Sturtevant in his monograph (1921) showed that many of the specific characters in the genus could be matched among the mutant characters which appeared in experimental cultures.

The conclusions reached on the basis of cytogenetic work are as follows. Firstly, *Drosophila* is as yet the only organism in which sufficiently detailed tests can be carried out to decide whether, in addition to obviously mendelizing characters, the vague types of variation, more fluid and more continuous in their phenotypic variation and their inheritance, also mendelize and are therefore dependent on chromosomal and particulate inheritance, or are due to some quite different type of process (cytoplasmic inheritance, organismal relations, etc.). The answer is decisive; with one possible exception, perhaps due to a virus (L'Héritier and Teissier, 1938), all heritable differences in *Drosophila* are chromosomal. The further important conclusion can be drawn that "small" mutations, with slight effects, many of them often affecting the same character, are more frequent than large ones, and much more important in evolution (Muller, 1940).

Another important general result (p. 75; Dobzhansky, 1939b) is that wild populations are full of gene-differences, mostly recessives in single dose, to an extent much greater than originally thought possible. These gene-differences must be presumed to have originated by mutation of the same type as has been studied in the laboratory, though recent work (p. 55; Zuitin, 1941) indicates that, owing to the rapid changes in temperature, etc., in the wild, the mutation rate in nature may be considerably higher than in standard laboratory conditions.

Detailed population analysis has also revealed the important fact that populations from different areas, though superficially alike, often differ in regard to their content of recessive genes and also of chromosome rearrangements. Further, different species of the genus show different degrees of this local differentiation, doubtless owing to differences in behaviour and ecology (see Dobzhansky, 1939b, N.W. and E. A. Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 1940).

Quite recently Spencer (cited by Muller, 1940) has shown that a limited fertility exists in crosses between two species of the genus—D. virilis and D. americana.* The genetic analysis thus made possible revealed that all the character-differences investigated were due to multiple genes, each having a small effect.

^{*} The latter is sometimes referred to as a subspecies of *D. virilis*, but the differences between the two in ordinary taxonomic characters, in polyploidy, and-in chromosome morphology are, according to Spencer, as great as those between various pairs of forms within the genus, which are universally recognized as "good species": but see below, p. 367.

Although the hybrids between *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* are wholly sterile, Muller, by an ingenious method (Muller and Pontecorvo, 1940), has recently been able to obtain flies with combinations of the chromosomes of the two species which are equivalent to the results of a back-cross between a hybrid FI and the *melanogaster* parent. The results show that in both species each major chromosome (X, II and III) contains interacting genes affecting viability and another interacting system affecting fertility. The small IVth chromosome of *simulans*, when transferred into an otherwise pure *melanogaster* peroduces various into an otherwise pure *melanogaster* genotype, produces various new genetic effects. Again, the abnormality of abdominal band-ing and bristles shown in the normal FI turns out to be due to interaction between a sex-linked *simulans* gene and one or more autosomal *melanogaster* genes, a result reminding us of the melanotic tumours produced by species-hybridization in certain

melanotic tumours produced by species-hybridization in certain cyprinodont fish (p. 66). In general the results thus support the view that once groups become isolated they start to diverge in respect of a number of genes, and that these are interlocked in a harmoniously-stabilized system or systems. After a certain time, apparently not of great duration, the specific systems become mutually inharmonious or even incompatible through the sheer accumulation of difference. It has sometimes been suggested that sterility between species depends on special factors. Here, again, the evidence from *Drosophila* is to the contrary. The two "races" (well-differentiated genetic subspecies) of *D. pseudoobscura* show a marked lowering of fertility on crossing, the F1 males being wholly sterile, the females very slightly fertile. By an ingenious method (only possible in a genetically well-analysed form), Dobzhansky has shown that, in later generations from back-crosses of the hybrid females to either pure species, the fertility of the males depends shown that, in later generations from back-crosses of the hybrid females to either pure species, the fertility of the males depends wholly on the particular combination of "fertility genes" (or, if the term be preferred, "sterility genes") which they happen to receive. These genes are distributed through all the chromo-somes, and furthermore (as was also noted for the *virilis-americana* cross) different strains of each parent type differ in their cross-ability, owing to their containing different complements of

fertility genes. Thus the special criterion of most animal species, their mutual infertility, appears in *Drosophila* to depend largely on gene-mutations (together with sectional chromosomal mutations, as mentioned later).

tions, as mentioned later). In addition, the intensive genetic work carried out on single species of the genus, notably *D. melanogaster*, has shown that they all contain the genetic potentiality for developing strains with reduced interfertility if evolutionary occasion should offer. The most interesting and relevant cases are those in which a combination of genes exerts an effect on fertility which is not exerted by any of the genes singly. Thus *curly wing* and *moiré eye* when in combination give males with almost complete infertility. An opposite effect is found in relation to *deltex*, which in most stocks thickens the wing-veins and also produces complete male sterility. However, Bridges has found three separate "deltexsuppressor" genes, two autosomal and one sex-linked, which almost wholly suppress both the morphological and the sterility effects of deltex.

effects of deltex. Similar effects are known for viability (see Chapter 3). Muller points out that such effects, of reduced fertility and viability after crossing, are bound to arise sooner or later in strains that are in any way reproductively isolated from each other. "For, given enough mutational differences, some at least of the genes, in recombination, will give non-additive effects on viability or fertility, and, as is always the case with effects not yet subjected to the sieve of selection, these effects will far oftener be adverse than beneficial." Thus any partial genetic isolation will automatically tend to become more complete with time. Furthermore, natural selection will also operate to reduce the wastage caused by any degree of lowered fertility after crossing. FI sterility will be favoured as against F2 sterility, F1 inviability as against F1 sterility, and mutations preventing P1 crossing at all (psychological and reproductive barriers) as against those concerned with effects on F1.

The same general principles apply to viability, to normality of development, and to normality of chromosome-conjugation in meiosis. Genetically isolated groups are bound to develop their own characteristic systems of genes adapted to harmonious development and function, but these gene-systems are equally bound to become more or less disharmonious vis-a-vis each other, so that crossing will produce some reduction of harmonious functioning in FI or later generations. In particular, certain genes which on their first incorporation were merely advantageous deviations will become converted into necessary bases for later stages of the genetic system.

bases for later stages of the genetic system. It is extremely unlikely that hybrid sterility in higher animals can ever be brought about by a single gene-mutation or a single sectional rearrangement. On the other hand, reduction of crossability might be brought about in this way, and would then lay the foundation for the development of intersterility.

ability might be brought about in this way, and would then lay the foundation for the development of intersterility. The existence of a highly differentiated sex-determining mechanism, as in *Drosophila*, provides an extra cause of hybrid sterility. In the first place, the X-chromosome (in *Drosophila*) contains a disproportionately large number of fertility genes; and secondly, sex-linked genes must have especially strong expression, since in the heterogametic sex one dose of these genes must be balanced against a double dose of any complementary autosomal genes. Thus in crosses between incipient or full species, sex-linked genes in the male F1 are especially likely to be in imbalance with their autosomal complements, resulting in lowered fertility of this sex. This is the basis for Haldane's rule of the reduced F1 fertility of the heterogametic sex in wide crosses; as Muller points out, similar or even larger effects may be exerted in later generations. Here again the species of higher animals may be regarded as being more highly differentiated, and more sharply delimited genetically, than those of plants.

Drosophila, owing to its giant salivary gland chromosomes, is especially favourable material for studying sectional chromosomerearrangements. Recent work has made it possible to evaluate the degree of their importance in speciation with some degree of assurance. The first point to notice is that sectional rearrangements tend to impede crossing-over. Wherever crossing-over is thus interfered with, and the sectional rearrangement is fairly widespread within the species, the genes in the rearranged section are effectively isolated from those in the corresponding "normal" section. Thus, as Darlington especially has stressed, there are produced within the species two isolated partial genetic systems which may diverge from each other like two distinct species, by the accumulation of different mutants (see p. 332; also 67, 139).

It was at first thought that large rearrangements were common causes of speciation in *Drosophila*, but Muller points out that in this regard they must be quite secondary to gene-mutation. This is shown by the fact that the types of rearrangement which most commonly characterize related species also exist commonly within species.

In any case, they are much rarer than gene-mutations, and can hardly ever recur identically, as happens with numerous of these latter. Furthermore, the above-noted fact of the genetic isolation of the rearranged section from its normal homologue will mean that, so long as the rearrangement remains rare, it will not have the same evolutionary plasticity, so that its possessors will be handicapped if adaptive change is demanded. Thus rearrangements are only likely to become established through the accidental process that Sewall Wright calls "drift", which will be favoured by the existence of small more or less isolated populations. Their maintenance may also be favoured by their betaroois affect in the heterographic calls in a wignur and heterosis effect, in the heterozygous condition, on vigour and

heterosis effect, in the neterozygous condition, on the productivity. Finally, individuals heterozygous for sectional rearrangements are destined, owing to their peculiar behaviour at meiosis, to give rise to a certain proportion of gametes with an unbalanced gene-complement (included by Muller under the term *aneuploid*), which give rise to inviable offspring. The resultant reduction of productivity is especially marked with translocations, increasing with the size of the translocated section; within this type of rearrangement, mutual translocated section, within this type of rearrangement, mutual translocations of practically entire chromo-some-arms suffer least. It also occurs with pericentric inversions, which include regions on either side of the centromere, and then is more or less proportional to the length of the inversion. On the other hand, paracentric inversions, which do not include

the centromere and lie wholly within one chromosome-arm,

do not suffer this reduction of productivity. Sturtevant and Beadle (1938) have shown that this depends on the fact that in *Drosophila* the polar bodies are all formed in a straight line extending radially outwards, and that this causes the ancuploid chromosome-sets to remain in the two central polar bodies, the egg nucleus and the outer polar body receiving normal genecomplements.

Small "repeats" (including "shifts" of the repeated section into another part of the same individual chromosome) will not cause any serious loss of productivity.

The changes that lead to the resolution of a V-shaped chromosome into two rods, or to the reverse process, also occasion little or no loss of productivity. It was originally supposed that such alterations were readily brought about, but a proper understanding of the chromosomal mechanism has shown that they require a combination of several relatively rare events, and must themselves thus be much rarer than ordinary sectional rearrangements (pp. 365-6).

The analysis of sectional rearrangements as found in nature, both within species and as characteristics of closely allied species, confirms the expectations derived from what we have just set forth. In many thousands of chromosomes from wild populations of several *Drosophila* species Dubinin and his associates (1934, 1936) found only thirty-five sectional rearrangements. Thirty-three of these were paracentric inversions (many of them widespread), one was a small shift, and one a small translocation. This emphasizes both the difficulty of other types of rearrangement becoming established, and the rarity of rearrangements in nature as compared with gene-mutations.

Again, D. simulans and D. melanogaster differ sectionally in respect of one large and one very small inversion; but they are characterized by a large number of visible character-differences which must be ascribed to gene-mutations. A large number of gene-differences have been shown to exist between D. virilis and D. americana, while sectionally they differ only in two inversions and probably one shift.

A very interesting point, however, is that in some species of

the genus sectional rearrangements are much more numerous. This is so in *D. pseudoobscura*, which has yielded twenty-five sectional differences (almost all intra-arm inversions), as against seven discovered in *D. melanogaster*. In this case, different combinations of sectional differences are found in different regional populations, and each such population shows the same degree of prevalence of sectional rearrangement as characterizes the entire population of species such as *D. melanogaster*. The local groups also differ in regard to numerous gene-mutations. It is thus probable that *D. pseudoobscura* differs biologically from other species of the genus in being split up, owing to some ecological peculiarity, into relatively isolated local groups, which will facilitate the local accumulation of sectional rearrangements through Sewall Wright's "drift". The same cause has doubtless operated to divide it into the two more or less intersterile subspecies, "races" A and B. *D. montium* is also divisible into similar "races"—at least two and probably more. In its race B one arm of one of the V-shaped large chromosomes is absent; possibly it is genetically inert in the other race (Kikkawa, 1936).

"races"—at least two and probably more. In its race B one arm of one of the V-shaped large chromosomes is absent; possibly it is genetically inert in the other race (Kikkawa, 1936). So far, only very closely-related species have been considered. When a greater degree of divergence has occurred, many more sectional rearrangements have accumulated. Thus, although D. pseudoobscura is quite closely related to D. miranda, Dobzhansky and Tan (1936) have shown that at least forty-nine chromosomebreaks, and probably more like one hundred, must have occurred in the course of their differentiation from a common ancestor. Patterson and Crow (1940) point out that the small size of the breeding-units of D. miranda would allow a large number of rearrangements to become irreversibly fixed, whereas the larger and less isolated groups of D. pseudoobscura will promote a smaller number of rearrangements floating through the population, and fluctuating in frequency. D. athabasca and D. azteca differ in a still greater number of rearrangements, and, though they are not widely remote systematically from D. pseudoobscura and D. miranda, show no recognizable homology with either of these in the banding of their salivary gland chromosomes. It is thus probable that all these four species share the biological peculiarities of D. pseudoobscura, whereby sectional rearrangements are accumulated with greater frequency than in forms like D. melanogaster. There is again no recognizable similarity in chromosome-banding between D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura.

One interesting bearing of sectional rearrangements on taxonomy results from their relative rarity, and from the fact that most of those occurring in a single chromosome-arm, especially if they involve sections of the chromosome-map which overlap, cannot undergo recombination with each other by crossingover. It is accordingly possible in certain cases to deduce with certainty or high probability the phylogenetic course of events by which two related species showing a number of differences in sectional arrangements diverged. The only restriction on the method is that the seriation of steps can be read in either direction: to decide which is the origin and which the terminus, we must rely on other data, such as morphological resemblances and geographical distribution.

As an example we may take the rearrangements found in the third chromosome of D. pseudoobscura. It is found that all the rearrangements of race A must have a common origin, and so must all those of race B. These two original types are both removed by one step only from a configuration which no longer appears to exist, but which was presumably ancestral. In addition, from this presumed ancestral type, the rearrangements found in the related D. miranda can also be derived. Corresponding studies on other chromosomes, together with data on the geographical distribution of the various rearrangements, should add considerably to the accuracy of the results (Sturtevant and Dobzhansky, 1936; and see Muller, 1940, p. 233).

It is well known that the different species of *Drosophila* differ in the gross morphology of their chromosomes. Thus the haploid *melanogaster* has 2 V's, I rod (X), and I dot (microchromosome); *willistoni* has no microchromosome, 2 V's and I rod, but the X is here a V; *virilis* has 5 rods and a microchromosomes; *immigrans* I V and 3 rods; etc.

It was at one time thought that this would throw light on the taxonomic relationships of the genus. Later research, however,

has made it clear that this is not so. As Muller (1940) puts it, "Evidently the species wander back and forth between one metaphase picture and another, so that quite closely related species may show very different chromosomal pictures. Even such close forms as *D. virilis* and *D. americana* differ in such an

such close forms as *D. virilis* and *D. americana* differ in such an important respect as whether the X is a V or a rod." The chief processes at work in changing the metaphase picture are: (1) fusion or separation of whole arms—union of two rods to form a V or *vice versa*. This is more readily accomplished between autosomes than between the X and an autosome. (2) The acquisition or loss of microchromosomes (dots). This may occur comparatively readily because of certain technical reasons, whereas the formation or loss of a new chromosome of considerable dimensions would be impossible. (3) Marked change in the size of a given arm: This is the least frequent of the three. All these changes are likely to be much rarer than ordinary rearrangements, but not so rare as not to occur and become established with some frequency when geological time is considered.

Certain of the changes have consequential genetic effects. Thus when an X-rod becomes attached to a previously auto-somal rod, the dosage relations of the genes in the new X-system must undergo alteration, implying a modification of the whole gene-complex. Incorporation of autosomal material in the Y will lead to its gradual genetic degeneration to the status of inert material.

Recently Stone and Griffen (1940) have experimentally altered the chromosome pattern in *D. melanogaster* by translocation. In one stock, the chromosome-number was reduced. The dot-like one stock, the chromosome-number was reduced. The dot-like IVth chromosome was translocated (possibly apart from a very small residual portion) to the X-chromosome and thus became hemizygous in the male sex. Three different sub-types have been produced. In one, IV was transferred to one end of the X, resulting in a J-shaped chromosome, in another to its other end, and in a third it was inserted into the body of the X. In another stock, an additional small chromosome-pair was produced by an elaborate process, resulting in part of the X-

chromosome now being represented in excess (sectional hyperploidy) as a new small autosome.

These and other conversions were all at a disadvantage against the normal as regards viability, but the disadvantage was not very great and Stone and Griffen anticipate that full viability may be fairly soon restored by selection for modifiers, mutational or recombinational.

Other recent studies from the University of Texas are of interest in throwing light on the various modes of speciation in the genus.

Patterson, Stone, and Griffen (1940) have studied the forms allocated to D. virilis. The species falls into two groups, (a) forms with red pupae, pupating at the edge of the food, and with adults highly susceptible to ether, (b) forms with grey pupae, pupating on the side of the container above the food, and with adults more resistant to ether. The "red" group includes two subspecies, D. v. americana (regarded as a full species by Spencer: see p. 358), and D. v. texana; the "grey" group includes but one subspecies, D. v. virilis, but this shows some differentiation even within the U.S.A., and its Asiatic form is also somewhat distinct. The species is rare and local in America, but abundant in eastern Asia. D. virilis is unusual in showing marked chromosomal differences between its subspecies. D. v. virilis possesses 5 rods and a dot as its haploid complement; in D. v. texana Nos. 3 and 4 of D. v. virilis are fused to form a V; and D. v. americana has Nos. 2 and 3 and also X and 4 fused to form 2 V's (in the female; in the male there is no Y-4 fusion). There are also some inversions as between the different subspecies. But the main causes of isolation between the forms are sexual (behaviour) isolation, low viability of FI eggs, and complicated fertility relations. Thus in male hybrids between "red" and "grey" forms, those containing a "red" Y-chromosome must also contain 2nd and 5th chromosomes from the same parent strain if it is to be fertile. This relationship causes high sterility in the subspecific crosses that go easily, namely with "red" males, whereas the reciprocal cross, though it can only be made with difficulty, is fertile. This applies only when "grey" forms from northern

U.S.A. are used; the south-western and Asiatic types will not cross at all with "red" forms.

cross at all with red forms. The net effect is that in some regions a certain amount of gene-transfer is likely to occur between the two subspecies, or, as we had better call them, semispecies. And this, as Sewall Wright has shown for ordinary subspecies (p. 229), will be beneficial in conferring greater plasticity in evolution, though the loss of productivity due to crossing will act as an immediate

offset against this long-term advantage. A curious fact is the high degree of sterility found in pure cultures of both "red" subspecies. The home of the species (or supraspecies) appears to be eastern Asia, and the forms of the "red" group we may con-jecture have differentiated owing to "drift" in the sparse populations found in less favourable areas.

The case of *D. mulleri* and its relations (Patterson and Crow, 1940) is equally interesting, but quite different. The group consists of *D. mulleri*, with the two subspecies *D. m. mulleri* from Mexico and Texas and *D. m. mojavensis*, a pale desert form from the desert area of California, and *D. aldrichi*, also from Texas (but probably from Mexico as well), but with a rather more restricted distribution than *D. m. mulleri*.

but with a rather more restricted distribution than D. m. mulleri. The mulleri-aldrichi pair are very similar to the melanogaster-simulans pair, in resembling each other closely (there are a few minor but diagnostic character-differences), and in overlapping considerably in their distribution. In both cases there is com-plete genetic isolation between the members of the pairs in nature, but the isolation has proceeded a stage further in the mulleri group, since the cross between the two can only be made one way. Ecobiotically there is more differentiation, aldrichi taking considerably longer for its development than mulleri. A few F1 male hybrids (all hybrids are sterile) have been dis-covered in nature, showing that some reproductive waste still occurs. The gross chromosome-structure is similar and there are few if any large sectional rearrangements. It would appear that the ancestor of D. aldrichi developed genetic incompatibility with D. mulleri while isolated, that the incompatibility was due in the first instance to the progressive accumulation of gene-

mutations (though it may have been strengthened later by selection: see p. 287), and that, once present, it permitted *aldrichi* to spread and to exist side by side with *mulleri*.

D. m. mojavensis appears to be a true geographical subspecies. The distributional centre of the species appears to be Mexico, and it is a warm-climate form unable to tolerate low winter temperatures. Its spread northwards into U.S.A. was thus restricted to the warm plains on either side of the Mexican-Rockies mountain system, and the western group, reaching the Californian desert, there evolved into a markedly distinct subspecies, D. m. mojavensis. This shows several large sectional rearrangements which were able to establish themselves owing to isolation; but it still produces fertile offspring with D. m. mulleri, at least in one of the reciprocal crosses.

Patterson and Crow compare the mulleri to the pseudoobscura group (D. pseudoobscura A and B and D. miranda). In each case there are three forms, one of which behaves as a good species while the other two are best regarded as highly differentiated subspecies. There are some differences. Thus the miranda-pseudoobscura cross is almost but not quite sterile. Further, the ranges of pseudoobscura A and B overlap, and probably still exchange genes in nature; and the visible ecoclimatic differentiation of D. m. mojavensis (pale colour) is not found in D. pseudoobscura. The chief difference is in regard to sectional rearrangements, of which there are many between pseudoobscura A and B and still more between either of these and miranda. This appears to be correlated in part with the abundance of the mulleri forms and the greater size of their breeding populations. Patterson and Crow suggest further that sectional rearrangement in the mulleri forms may be accompanied, as in D. melanogaster, by breakage effects, in the shape of visible and lethal pseudo-mutations. This would tend to keep rearrangements down to a minimum. Such effects must be negligible or absent in the pseudoobscura group. These examples illustrate vividly the unexpected modes of taxonomic differentiation to be found in insects.

Interspecific grafting (Stubbe and Vogt, 1940b) has revealed that different Drosophila species differ both quantitatively and qualitatively in regard to the precursor substances involved in eye-colour differentiation.

An interesting point for which no adequate explanation has yet been found is that, whereas in most *Drosophila* species, many clear-cut characters with sharp dominance are found, in *D. virilis* most characters are determined by multiple factors, often with incomplete dominance. It was a lucky chance that *D. melanogaster* and not this species was first chosen for genetic work.

Muller is careful to point out that other groups of animals (let alone plants) may have genetic mechanisms which do not favour the same kinds of evolutionary change as in Drosophila. We have already mentioned the fact that having the polar bodies all in one line, while no crossing-over occurs in the male, permits Drosophila to accumulate intra-arm inversions with comparative case. This would not be the case either where the polar bodies were not formed in line, or where, as in mammals, crossingover occurs in both sexes. Where crossing-over is absent in certain regions, other types of inversions could easily become established. On the other hand, translocations would be much more readily established in any animals whose chromosomes behaved like that of Oenothera and Datura.

The amount of inert material near the centromeres will also have its influence, an increase favouring the detachment or attachment of whole arms and *vice versa*.

There is also evidence (Slack, unpublished, cited in Muller, 1940) that polyploidy may occur in some animal groups, e.g. Hemiptera-Heteroptera. This will wholly alter the evolutionary possibilities of a group.

In conclusion, Muller draws attention to the fact that in closelyrelated well-analysed pairs of forms different characters may show different degrees of divergence. Thus serological differences, though usually agreeing with morphological ones, occasionally give quite aberrant results—e.g. in regard to the relationships of *D. hydei*. Again, *Drosophila simulans* and *melanogaster* are the least alike of five pairs of closely related forms in regard to morphological characters, but most alike in regard to sectional rearrangements. In the same study it was found that

Drosophila virilis and americana were least alike as regards metaphase chromosome-picture, but most alike in respect to their fertility on crossing. It seems clear that the element of accident is considerable. On the other hand, while complete parallelism in regard to divergence in different characters is not to be found, evaluation of the average divergence of numerous characters of several different kinds does give a reasonable measure of the relationship between related types.

Summing up, we may say that speciation in Drosophila appears to have been brought about mainly by the accumulation of gene-mutations as a result of some sort of isolation. The isolation operative appears to have been mainly geographical. Once in existence, it will favour the origin of sterility barriers, which in their turn will both permit and favour the increase of morphological divergence.

Certain types of sectional chromosomal rearrangements are also favoured by the genetic mechanism of the genus. Although these have played some part in speciation, it appears to have been essentially a secondary one, the consequence rather than the cause of primary divergence.

On the other hand, the extent to which such rearrangements have proceeded (often rendering it impossible to trace any resemblance between the salivary chromosome-structure of morphologically not very remote species) shows what a large number of such differences accumulate within even a somewhat uniform genus like Drosophila; while the known fact of their rarity compared with gene-mutation proves that the single-gene differences between species must be enormously numerous. Gone is any notion of species in higher animals arising by a single mutation, or even by a few steps. Even closely-related species will differ in scores, possibly hundreds of genes, and the longer they remain in existence the greater are the number of genic and sectional differences that are likely to arise between them. Evolution consists in the accumulation and integration of very numerous and mostly small genetic changes (p. 360). In Drosophila as elsewhere, mode of life appears to modify

evolution. Drosophila pseudoobscura is more differentiated geo-

graphically (both as regards sterility-barriers and sectional re-arrangements) than most species of the genus, which is almost certainly to be ascribed to greater isolation between its local groups (see pp. 60, 61). In the production of its "races" A and B, isolation appears to have been the first step, genically-determined sterility the second, and sectional rearrangements the final step in evolutionary divergence. Even in *Drosophila*, where the species originally seemed excep-tionally well delimited, careful analysis has revealed the existence of all grades in speciation, both as regards geographical sub-speciation and the formation of sterility barriers. All its species so far investigated carry large numbers of recessive mutants in nature, and are thus provided with an adequate reservoir of variability for future adaptive change and possible further speciation.

further speciation.

It seems probable that speciation in most large genera of higher animals is essentially similar to that in *Drosophila*, though with minor differences connected with consequential effects of their chromosomal mechanism and mode of life.

* * * * * * * * The genus Crepis (hawkweeds) has differentiated in an entirely different manner (see the monograph by Babcock and Stebbins, 1938; also Stebbins, 1940; Jenkins, 1939). Here various reproductive peculiarities are at work which are available only in higher plants, and we are given a very interesting picture of the varying roles of selection, environment, and polyploidy in a facultatively apomictic plant genus belonging to one of the most advanced groups, the Compositae. The old-world species of *Crepis* have basic haploid chromosome-numbers ranging from 3 to 7, together with polyploid forms. Two of the American forms belong to this group, with x = 7, one a circumpolar form found also in the old world, *C. nana*, the other a closely-related type, *C. elegans*, which appears to have diverged from *C. nana* in America, and to have become adapted to less extreme climatic conditions. All the other American forms have the basic chromosome-number x = 11. There are seven distinct diploid forms (2n = 22)

number x = 11. There are seven distinct diploid forms (2n = 22)

together with a large number of polyploids, all apomictic (though often with slight facultative sexual reproduction), with diploid chromosome-numbers ranging from 33 to 88, 44 being the commonest. In addition, a few aneuploids are found with chromosome-numbers differing by I or at most 2 from a eupolyploid number.

The evolution of this group of forms is deduced to have been as follows. The original ancestors were produced by hybridization between 4-chromosome and 7-chromosome old-world types in the Siberian portion of the land-bridge which once existed between Siberia and Alaska. This can be deduced from the resemblance of the American forms to old-world species with these chromosome-numbers. The hybrids underwent chromosome-doubling to become fertile allopolyploids with 2n = 22 chromosomes. They did not spread westwards into the old world, partly because they were there confronted with the competition of the original and already established types, while the area to the eastward had not yet been occupied by *Crepis*; and partly because the prevailing winds are westerly, and this, in forms like *Crepis* with air-borne fruits, would encourage easterly spread.

From a consideration of the morphological divergence of the various American 22-chromosome species from old-world species and from their present climatic and geological ranges, it can further be deduced that different species were evolved at different times—the two earliest during the Miocene, the next set (two species) in the early Pliocene, the last (four species) in late Pliocene or early Pleistocene times.

These eight fertile species, though allopolyploids in origin, have acted functionally as the diploid basis for later polyploidy. in America. We can call them the American diploids. They seem first to have become specialized to particular climatic conditions, and the ranges of the earlier species were much restricted by the climatic changes that followed.

As regards their later history, those forms fall into two groups. The first consists of a single species, C. runcinata. This is the only American Crepis adapted to moist stream-bank habitats. It thus tends to follow valleys rather than mountain ranges. Accordingly it has spread more widely to the east of the Rockies, where the drainage basins are more continuous. Also, being ecologically isolated from the other species with their preference for more arid habitats, it has not hybridized with them to form allo-polyploids. Instead, it has differentiated to form a polytypic species or *Rassenkreis*, with well-marked geographical subspecies in certain regions, and considerable variability in others. For some as yet unexplained reason, it has not produced any auto-polyploid varieties.

some as yet unexplained reason, it has not produced any auto-polyploid varieties. All the other diploids appear to have hybridized to form allopolyploids, sometimes with three or more components, and in addition also to have produced autopolyploids. In some cases, diploid geographical subspecies have also been differentiated. All the polyploid forms are apomictic, some entirely, others prepon-derantly so. The ancestry of the polyploids can in general be in-ferred by the degree of their resemblance to the various diploids. The formation of allopolyploid apomicts is favoured by climatic and physiographic changes, which bring originally separate species into contact. Once in existence, however, the apomicts have less evolutionary plasticity, on account of their total or considerable lack of recombination. Thus it is highly probable that the intense environmental changes during the glacial period will have encouraged the formation of many new apomicts, while causing the extinction of the majority of those produced in earlier periods. The effect has been to produce what Babcock and Stebbins call a largely agamic *polyploid complex*, in which all the original and qualitatively differentiated diploid types are connected by an enormous array of intergrading forms. These differ from the original diploids either in purely quantitative ways (e.g. effect of polyploidy on size), or by combining their characteristics. The divergent evolution of the group, which had given rise to the ecologically specialized and morphologically distinct diploids, came practically to a standstill, to be replaced by *gigas* pheno-mena, recombination of characters, and the segregation of innumerable apomict "microspecies".

The number of apomict types is very large near the main centres of distribution, but much reduced in outlying areas. New types are doubtless being constantly produced near the distribution centres, and are still in process of being "tried out", so that many of them are likely to disappear. The outlying forms are those which have survived and spread after earlier origin, and therefore tend to have larger areas of distribution. The production of polyploids has undoubtedly enlarged the range of the group as a whole, while the ranges of the original diploids have in general been reduced by competition with closely similar polyploids equipped with greater vigour. Babcock and Stebbins also discuss the taxonomic treatment

Babcock and Stebbins also discuss the taxonomic treatment Babcock and Stebbins also discuss the taxonomic treatment of the group. They come to the conclusion that, while any such agamic complex is in reality of a wholly different nature from a group of non-interbreeding true species, yet for practical reasons it is best to continue to employ the classical nomen-clature. They accordingly recognize a series of "species", each corresponding to each of the original diploid groups together with its geographical subspecies and its autopolyploid derivatives, and attach to each such species those apomicts which show a preponderant resemblance to its diploid form. The Latin names of the apomicts, however, are not regarded as forming part of the nomenclature subject to the international rules; following Turesson, they are preceded by the abbreviation *apm.*, for *forma apomictica*. In addition, two other "species" are recognized, con-sisting wholly of apomicts which are of such complex origin as

sisting wholly of apomicts which are of such complex origin as not to be attachable closely to any diploid type. This procedure is purely pragmatic and artificial, and, as subsidiary terminology is evolved, may perhaps be superseded (see also Turrill, 1938c, for *Taraxacum*).

One or two special points may be noted. In the American agamic complex of *Crepis*, the pure autopolyploids are much less widespread than the partially or wholly allopolyploid types, contrary to the general rule in plants (see Müntzing, 1936), and their range as compared with that of the diploids is not nearly as great as in such genera as *Tradescantia* or *Galium*. This is to be ascribed to an ecological reason—namely the preference

of Crepis for arid habitats. The greater vigour of most autopolyploids might thus cause the plants to demand more water than is normally available, while the frequent prolongation of their flowering would, in regions of summer drought, also often be a disadvantage. Thus in Crepis the chief advantage of polyploidy has come from allopolyploidy, which provides new combinations of characters, permitting their owners to invade new habitats.

new nabitats. In general Babcock and Stebbins regard the production of numerous polyploid forms as an evolutionary short cut by which a genus may adapt itself more rapidly than by gene-mutation and recombination to a rapidly changing environment. On the other hand, in the long run, both polyploidy and apomixis constitute a barrier to the more important evolutionary process of divergent specialization, the former because the duplication of gene-pairs makes it more difficult for recessive characters to come into action, the latter because sexual recombination is impossible.

Furthermore, the immediate plasticity conferred by allopolyploidy will only continue so long as the sexually reproducing forms of a complex continue to be present and to cross. Thus in western America, where *Antennaria* exists in a polyploid complex still containing sexual as well as apomictic polyploid forms, it is an aggressive and dominant form. In Newfoundland, on the other hand, the genus is represented only by obligatory apomicts. These are all relict forms, not at all aggressive, and often very localized in their distribution (Fernald, 1933). Eventually, groups of apomicts separated from their sexual ancestors will be doomed to extinction as they can no longer meet changing conditions.

One effect of polyploidy is to spread the polyploids at the expense of the diploids. Thus, while the bringing together of diploids by climatic change will encourage an outburst of allopolyploid forms, the very success of the polyploids will, if conditions later become stabilized, gradually remove the conditions in which the continuance of their new formation is possible.

Babcock and Stebbins consider, first, that the present high

<page-header><page-header><text><text><text>

^{*} The fact that Crepis runcinata has, owing to its ecological peculiarities, escaped from the agamic complex and undergone a more normal type of evolution, is another proof of this

Dubyaea, but probably from a section now extinct, and perhaps polyphyletically. It also is restricted to the Sino-Himalayan area. It appears to have originated in Tibet, at a time of desiccation, presumably in the later Middle Tertiary. During the Pleistocene, glaciation isolated various groups, thus providing the basis for the differentiation of the numerous closely related species and subspecies now found in the genus. It is interesting to find how different the mode of evolution has been in these primitive genera from that in Crepis.

different the mode of evolution has been in these primitive genera from that in *Crepis*. The state of affairs in *Crepis* may be briefly contrasted with that in *Tulipa*, recently monographed by A. D. Hall (1940). In this genus there appears to have been considerable diver-gence, not associated with polyploidy, into a number of main sections. Within the sections, however, autopolyploidy has been frequent, giving 3n, 4n and occasional 5n forms, the anisoploids showing vegetative reproduction. In some types, tetraploids have originated separately in different parts of the range, giving forms which show slight quantitative differences as well as size-differ-ences associated with the chromosome-doubling. In some types there is considerable geographical differentiation, giving rise to forms which zoologists would certainly recognize as subspecies. There is no evidence of allopolyploidy or reticulate evolution. In the garden tulips polyploidy is unknown, apparently owing to their large chromosomes (Darlington, 1937, p. 84). Similarly, the state of affairs in *Drosophila* may be profitably contrasted with that in the bird genus *Zonotrichia*, one of the New World finches or "sparrows (see Chapman, 1940b). It comprises only five species. Four are North American, one confined (in the breeding season) to a central region of northern Canada, another to western and southern Alaska and neighbouring islands. A third (*Z. albicollis*) is essentially an eastern species, breeding as far south as Wisconsin and Pennsylvania, but reaching almost to the Arctic and the Pacific oceans in the N.W. None of these

to the Arctic and the Pacific oceans in the N.W. None of these three species, not even the last-named with its large range, shows any subspeciation. The fourth, however, with a larger (and rather more westerly) range, from Greenland and the St. Lawrence to the Pacific, and from the northern tree-limit to southern California, has differentiated into 4 well-marked subspecies.

Finally Z. capensis, a Central and South American form, boasts no fewer than 22 subspecies. Chapman considers that it was the southernmost representative of this originally northern genus, was forced southwards across Central America by the onset of glacial conditions, and then continued to spread wherever the climate was cool enough, until finally it colonized all suitable habitats in South America, down to Cape Horn. Its distribution now covers 4,000 miles from N. to S., 3,000 miles from E. to W., and 15,000 feet of altitude—a much larger range than that of any other member of the genus.

Once it reached South America, its further spread must have been due not to climatic influences, but solely to natural increase, which appears to have been rapid in the new territory thus made available to a hardy form differentiated in the more rigorous conditions of the northern hemisphere.

The original migration through Central America must have been at sea level, but with the post-glacial amelioration of climate it moved up to higher altitudes, thus becoming restricted to discontinuous upland areas in various more tropical parts of its range. There are two exceptions: certain groups early colonized some islands on the Pacific coast of Central America and others off the north coast of South America, and thus could not move to higher altitudes when the climate grew warmer. The forms on the South American islands overlap with those of the adjacent mainland in character, but are paler, and distinct enough to merit subspecific naming; but the Central American insular populations show no visible distinctions from the neighbouring mainland forms, though separated from them by a minimum of 2,500 feet of altitude. It would, however, be of great interest to see whether they show special physiological adaptations to the unusual climate of their enforced habitat.

Some further points of interest are as follows. All forms of the species appear to be residents, except for the southernmost subspecies, which is definitely migratory. Here is a good example of local adaptation, which must be of recent origin, since this

379

subspecies must clearly have been the last to differentiate. It also subspecies must clearly have been the last to differentiate. It also possesses the longest and the most pointed wing of any of the subspecies. Though this must in part be regarded as adaptive, Chapman points out that it is in part the culmination of a cline in wing-size, which increases more or less steadily southwards through the continent, and is presumably a "correlated character", non-adaptive *per se.* Adaptive change would here have been superposed on non-adaptive in the migratory subspecies. There is also a general N.-S. intergroup size-cline within South America, but there are exceptions to it, and there is considerable independence in the variation of the size of different parts. The different subspecies show a good deal of geographical variation in song

in song.

An interesting barrier is found at one spot in the mountainous interior of Venezuela. Here isolation has allowed a subspecies to differentiate from the main Venezuelan form; but the tableland at the summit of Mt. Roraima is separated from the area below by a 1,400-ft. vertical cliff, and this in turn has permitted the summit population to differentiate into a darker form just distinct enough for subspecific recognition. Accidental "drift" in isolated populations has also clearly contributed to differentiation. One curious feature is that, whereas

all the North American species have some yellow on the bend of the wing, this is present in only four of the subspecies of *capensis* —one form from the Antilles, and three adjacent subspecies from the centre of the east coast of the continent. In the central of these latter the yellow is all but universal, but in the subspecies to N. and S. it is sporadic, and in one of them only faint. In the distant Antilles race it is universal but faint. Here, as Chapman points out, we appear to have the partial resuscitation (or less probably the preservation) of an original generic character which has been lost in the main body of the species.

In this genus, differentiation thus seems to have been brought about *via* geographical isolation followed by adaptive and accidental character-divergence. We have the somewhat puzzling fact of the absence of subspeciation in one wide-ranging North American form, a moderate degree in another, and a high degree

in the one South American species. This last fact is probably due to the very large range of habitats thrown open to the species once it had been pushed through the Central American bottleneck by the onset of a glacial climate. *Zonotrichia* shows no obvious trace of the genetic isolation to be seen in *Drosophila*; and though genetic analysis (if it were possible) might possibly reveal that it had occurred, it cannot well have played more than a very minor role in this genus.

minor role in this genus. As a parallel illustration from plants, of the principle that differentiation may vary considerably with local conditions (see also the case of *Crepis*), we may take the peonies, *Paeonia* (Barber, 1941). The pre-glacial species appear to have been diploids. In Europe and the Caucasus, the majority of modern species are tetraploids, but in China and Japan there are only a few tetraploids. The reason appears to be as follows. In the former area, the diploids were for geographical reasons unable to retreat far to the south before the advance of the ice, and they were exterminated except in a few "refuges". Any tetraploids which arose then had a field almost free of competition, in addition to any advantages due to extra hardiness (p. 337). In the Far East, however, the original diploids simply retreated southwards before the ice, and advanced again in mass on its retreat,* so that there was much greater competitor-pressure against any tetraploid forms (cf. the case of *Crepis*, p. 373). Finally in California, for reasons unknown, structural hybrids of the *Oenothera* type, based on segmental interchange and balanced lethals (pp. 90. 329) are found.

Postscript—Since first printing E. Mayr has published his valuable Systematics and the Origin of Species (New York 1942). Reference must be made to his important conclusion that, in higher animals at least, with the exception of "biological" differentiation (my p. 295), the only factor permitting group divergence is geographical isolation; neither ecological nor genetic isolation is ever primary. I am bound to say that Mayr has convinced me on this point.

^{*} A similar mass retreat and advance was possible for the pre-glacial forests in North America, but not in Europe, leading to a great impoverishment of the European forest tree flora as compared with that of the U.S.A.

CHAPTER 7

SPECIATION, EVOLUTION, AND TAXONOMY

1. Different types of speciation and their results..

1. DIFFERENT TYPES OF SPECIATION AND THEIR RESULTS

So far, we have been considering the different methods by which species may originate. It should be remembered that the type of origin may have effects upon the subsequent type of variation shown by the species. Thus in vegetatively reproducing polyploids, variation will be much restricted since no recombination of mutations can occur. In parthenogenetically reproducing allopolyploids, on the other hand, crossing-over may give rise to pure-breeding segregants (p. 334), so that we may expect a number of sharply defined but closely related purebreeding types. In balanced-lethal heterozygote species, crossingover will also operate to give large apparent mutations. Sexuallyreproducing polyploids will show a different type of variation from diploids, since each gene will be represented in four or more identical or closely similar forms instead of two. This will give a greater supply of similar mutations and thus a greater evolutionary flexibility, but less opportunity for single mutations to excrt any considerable effect. Darlington (1933), looking at the matter from the comparative, not the evolutionary, point of view, distinguishes six kinds of species according to their genetic-reproductive mechanism: (1) the habitually self-fertilized diploid; (2) the habitually cross-fertilized diploid; (3) the sexuallyreproducing fertile polyploid; (4) the mixed species containing both diploid and polyploid forms; (5) the complex-heterozygote species (balanced lethal type), as in Oenothera; (6) the clonal species not reproducing sexually at all. This last category could be divided further into the parthenogenetic forms showing

asexual segregation, and the rest which do not. To this list we may add (7) the subsexual species like *Rosa canina* (p. 351); and (8) those animals such as Hymenoptera (and certain beetles: A. C. Scott, 1936) with diploid females but haploid males. Still further types might be added, e.g. those with close linkage promoting polymorphism (p. 99). Darlington concludes his paper: "Genetics leaves no doubt that each of these types will have certain characteristic properties of variation. It is for the taxonomist, armed with the cytological information, to find out what these are."

Apart from this, selection may be expected to act in quite different ways and with quite different intensities according to the method of speciation. Our analysis has enabled us to distinguish in principle between the causes of their isolation and those of their divergence—between the factors making for isolation between groups within an original single species, and those making for difference in the structural and functional characters separating new species from their parents or nearest relatives.* Groups separated by geographical isolation are originally species only *in posse*. Their separation into good species is a subsequent process, accompanying the process of characterdivergence. This divergence is normally slow, but occasionally, as on oceanic islands and other places where the intensity of selection is relaxed, it may be much more rapid and more extensive than usual.

Elsewhere, as apparently in the case of Drosophila simulans and D. melanogaster, the isolation is of such a nature that the two groups must be regarded as separate species even when still almost indistinguishable in any characters save those which isolate them. Indeed it is conceivable that in such species, characterdivergence may not subsequently occur: in Drosophila simulans it has at least been minimal. At the opposite extreme are those cases in which the factor inducing isolation simultaneously produces character-difference, of an order which will—or at least may—be accepted as of specific magnitude by the systematist.

^{*} Plate's (1913) chapter on isolation is still very well worth reading in this connection.

This is so in *Spartina townsendii*, and most cases of convergent and reticulate species-formation. Further character-divergence may, of course, occur later, as with *Galeopsis tetrahit* (p. 341), but this is irrelevant to our argument.

From the standpoint of the mode of action of natural selection, species will then fall into two contrasted categories. On the one hand, we have those in which natural selection can have had nothing to do with the evolution of the basic specific characters, but merely acts upon the species as given, in competition with its relatives. These include all species in which character-divergence is abrupt and initial. On the other hand, we have those forms in which character-modification is gradual. Here natural selection may, and on both deductive and inductive grounds often does, play a part in producing the characters of the species (and by characters we, of course, mean not only those which are employed by the systematist, but all those which do in point of fact distinguish it from its nearest relatives). These include not only all forms in which the separation of groups occurs by geographical, physiological, or ecological isolation, but also those in which the initial separation is genetic but involves no visible differentiation.

From the point of view of the intensity of selection, the successional evolution of species will, *ex hypothesi*, be directed by selection wherever the trend of evolution is towards some adaptive specialization (p. 494). Then it is clear that groups separated ecologically will be exposed to a considerable intensity of selection to adapt them fully to their different modes of life When they overlap spatially with closely-related groups, selection may also be expected to act upon them to produce barriers to mating (p. 287). This latter mode of selection will not operate in the case of geographically separated groups, but selection towards divergent general adaptation will occur if the environmental conditions in the two areas are different. When, however, the two areas are similar in the environment they provide, there will be reduced scope for selection, and if divergence occurs, it will be primarily of an accidental and often of a biologically non-significant nature. This will also apply to species which overlap spatially, but owe their origin to a genetical mode of separation which does not cause visible differentiation, such as large inversions or asexual segregations: in the former case, however, selection should operate, as with overlapping ecologically divergent species, to produce barriers to interbreeding.

We may present the chief results of the two previous chapters in tabular form (see p. 386).

In the first column we distinguish between the four major types of species-formation—successional transformation, divergence, convergence as a consequence of species-crossing, and reticulate evolution.

In the second column we distinguish the main factors leading to the separation of two species. In successional transformation, time is the factor at work. In geographical, ecological, and physiological divergence there is always some topographical isolation. We may call this type of separation spatial, contrasting it both with the temporal and the genetic; but the scale of the spatial factor is different in the three sub-types. If we preferred, we could equally well call it environmental, since it is concerned with something outside the organism, in contrast with constitutional separation, depending on genetic factors.

Genetic separation operates in the remainder of the divergent and in all the convergent types.

In the third column we note whether the actual formation of species, regarded as distinctive or intersterile groups, is gradual or abrupt; and in the fourth we consider the same distinction with regard to their visible differentiation. It should be noted that the two do not always run parallel. In column 4, the phrase *initially abrupt* means that some visible difference occurs with the first abrupt origin of the species, but that further gradual divergence may supervene later.

Finally, in the last column we consider the actual barriers to fertility, including under these barriers to cross-mating between the pairs of species. *Consequential* implies that these barriers are, in some way (p. 359; Muller, 1940), the consequence of the differences that have gradually arisen between the two species. *Initial*

385

386 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS

implies that the new species is automatically, by its genetic constitution, unable to cross with its nearest relatives, or that

BAK JERS TO FERTILITY	!	consequential.	initial. initial. partly initial. partly initial. initial.	initial. initial.	partly absent. absent.
VISIBLE DIFFERENTIATION	gradual.	gradual. gradual; distinctive characters frequent. gradual, slight.	gradual. abrupt. gradual, slight. gradual, slight. mainly gradual.	initially abrupt. initially abrupt.	, abrupt. (increased varia- bility).
SEPARATION OF NEW SPBCIES	gradual.	gradual. gradual. gradual.	abrupt. abrupt. gradual. gradual or abrupt.	abrupt. abrupt.	abrupt. not found.
ISOLATION OF GROUPS	1. Temporal	 Spatial (a) large-scale: (b) medium-scale: (c) small-scale: biological (c) small-scale: biological 	 Genetic (a) genic. (b) accrual segregation. (c) segmental interchange. (d) inversion. (e) autopolyploidy. 	 (f) allopolyploidy. (g) ansuploidy (secondary polyploidy). 	(genetic, various). (geographical, reduced by migration).
MODE OF SPECIATION	I. Successional	II. Divergent		II. Convergent	 IV. Reticulate (i) Convergent-divergent (polyploid complexes) (ii) recombinational

the offspring of such a cross are either infertile or of reduced fertility. *Selective* implies that selection will operate to erect special barriers to cross-mating or cross-fertility.

2. SPECIES-FORMATION AND EVOLUTION

Since the origin of species has occupied the centre of the biological stage since the time of Linnaeus, it is to this problem that we have devoted the bulk of the two previous chapters. One point at least emerges clearly: if Darwin were writing to-day he would call his great book *The Origins*, not *The Origin, of Species*.

But we may conclude by looking at the matter from a still broader point of view, in the perspective of evolution in general. Evolution may be regarded as the process by which the utilization of the earth's resources by living matter is rendered progressively more efficient. Early in the process, living matter became organized into cells, evolved a particulate hereditary constitution arranged in chromosomes, and developed the sexual process. The reason why the sexual process (which in its inception was not connected in any way with reproduction) occurs in the great majority of animal and plant types alike, is that it confers a greater potential variability on its possessors, and therefore a greater plasticity in evolution. It does this by being able to combine mutations which have occurred in different strains, and which in an asexual form would have to remain separate.

The exploitation of the earth's natural resources progressed in two complementary ways—by improvements in basic mechanisms of exploitation, and by adapting a given basic mechanism to every possible kind of environment. We shall discuss the former more in detail in our chapter on Evolutionary Progress: here we may give as illustrative examples the colonization of the land by plants, and the evolution of considerable size and of rapid locomotion by means of limbs in animals.

We then come to the second method. The green plant exploits light and air and water in every conceivable habitat, appearing here as floating diatoms in the surface layer of the sea, there as giant forest trees, here as prairie grasses, there as duckweed in a pond. Again, in animals the fish type exists in the deep sea, in its surface layers, on sandy and rocky shores, in rivers, in lakes, in caves. There is operative a selection-pressure forcing life to

occupy every geographical area and every ecological niche within each area. (See also Chapter 8). Now it is clear that, living matter being what it is, mere difference will quite soon make breeding impossible between diverging groups. Chromosomes will not pair at meiosis unless reasonably similar, and unless they pair at meiosis, sexual repro-duction cannot occur; and see p. 359. With still further divergence, the two sets of chromosomes are unable to combine in the work of building up a new organism: hardly any case is known of offspring resulting from a cross wider than intergeneric.* Living matter thus inevitably becomes broken up into a large number of non-interbreeding groups, the majority of which coincide with taxonomic species.

Coincide with taxonomic species. On the other hand, there would seem to be no *a priori* reason why a single species should not range over a very wide geo-graphical area, varying somewhat from region to region, but with all such varieties forming, actually or potentially, part of one interfertile group, nor any *a priori* reason why more than one species of the same family or genus should occur in the same ecological habitat.

same ecological habitat. However, we find that in neither case is our expectation justified: very large numbers of species occur for whose existence there seems at first sight no reason or meaning. On looking further into the matter, we see that this depends on two sets of facts, one connected with the relation of the organisms with their environment, the other with their genetic basis. The environment is subjected to changes which create barriers between one region and another, and thus isolate groups belong-ing originally to the same species. And complete isolation permits differences, both of an adaptive and of a chance non-utilitarian character, to accumulate relatively fast in the two groups, until in many cases they become new species.

in many cases they become new species. Then the chromosomal basis of heredity is subject to accidents, such as inversion, segmental interchange, hybridity, and poly-

^{*} Dr. W. B. Turrill in a letter states that the widest cross he knows is between the rushes Cyperus dentatus and Rhynchospora capitellata, which are placed in different sub-families of the Cyperaceae. The hybrid is entirely sterile.

ploidy, which sooner or later will reduce or abolish fertile mating between the new and the old type. In this way large numbers of new species essentially similar to those from which they arose are brought into being, and the new and the old come to compete with each other in identical or (often as the result of subsequent migration) in overlapping habitats.

The formation of many geographically isolated and most genetically isolated species is thus without any bearing upon the main processes of evolution. These latter, as we shall see in later chapters, consist in the development of new types endowed with mechanisms of higher all-round biological efficiency; in the adaptive radiation of these types to take advantage of all available types of environment and modes of life; in the colonizing of new regions of the globe's surface; in the tapping of new resources for exploitation; and in a more rapid turnover of the resources tapped.

These major processes in evolution thus consist essentially in a greater extension of life's activities into new areas and into new substances; in a greater intensity of exploitation; and in a progressive increase of life's control over and independence of the environment. Superimposed upon these processes, and having little or no bearing upon them, are the processes of species-formation we have just described which are the consequences of accidents in the environment or in the genetic machinery of life. Much of the minor systematic diversity to be observed in nature is irrelevant to the main course of evolution, a mere frill of variety superimposed upon its broad pattern. We may thus say that, while it is inevitable that life should be divided up into species, and that the broad processes of evolution should operate with species as units of organization, the number thus necessitated is far less than the number which actually exist. Species-formation constitutes one aspect of evolution; but a large fraction of it is in a sense an accident, a biological luxury, without bearing upon the major and continuing trends of the evolutionary process.
3. MODES OF SPECIATION AND SYSTEMATIC METHOD

Having now discussed modern work dealing with the different modes of speciation, we must now consider its bearings upon taxonomy and systematic method. Historically, we may distinguish three main phases in the history of modern taxonomy, each with a different principle serving as its main philosophic basis (see Turrill, 1936; Gilmour, 1937). In the first or Linnaean period, the underlying principle was the separate creation of species. In the second or Darwinian phase, it was the doctrine of descent with modification. And in the third, the Mendelian period upon which we are now entering, it is selection based on the cytogenetic theory of particulate inheritance and mutation. Let us amplify these points a little further. Linnaeus, in the

Let us amplify these points a little further. Linnacus, in the latter part of his career, was a firm upholder of the immutability of species: "Species tot sunt, quot formae ab initio creatae sunt." This doctrine of the fixity of species was in one aspect the rationalization, or at least the reflection, of the practical need for identifying plants for medicinal purposes (see p. 263). Once accepted, it lent itself to the furtherance of easy identification.

If species are immutable and distinct entities, the chief aim of systematics becomes that of distinguishing between them. This naturally led to the codification of artificial "laws" and "systems", of which that of Linnaeus for higher plants is the classical example. This was really no more than a key to the identification of larger entities, based on arbitrary and for the most part biologically almost non-significant features such as the number of stamens and pistils.*

The artificiality of such unnatural systems was in part corrected by an instinctive logic which led man to search for a basis of classification that should take into account both the number of the points of resemblance between groups, and the intrinsic importance of the points of resemblance chosen as diagnostic. We can accordingly trace the abandonment of purely artificial systems for those based on general likeness. Still later, as it was

* On lower taxonomic levels, such as the generic and specific, Linnaeus's common-sense and natural intuition led him to remarkably modern groupings.

realized that superficial resemblance (as between a porpoise and a true fish) may mask basic difference, we may see the substitution of likeness in fundamental structural plan as chief criterion, in place of mere superficial likeness. Pre-Darwinian nineteenthcentury classification, as practised by Goethe, Cuvier, Oken, Owen, T. H. Huxley, etc., worked on this assumption.

But although this method, at least for larger groups, was identical with that practised in the latter half of the century, it lacked any real theoretical basis grounded in biological justification. The analytic but less speculatively-minded, like Huxley (e.g. 1853, 1854), simply assumed that structural homology (or common archetypal plan) was the right key to unlock classificatory secrets: the idea that it was right because it implied genetic relationship did not enter their minds, or at least was not allowed to enter their conscious minds, until after the publication of Darwin's Origin in 1859. The more theoreticallyinclined, such as Goethe and Oken, regarded the existence of structural plans common to a large number of animals as evidence of some form of planning in the act of creation. In extreme form, this theoretical view found the basis of homology in the existence of a limited number of archetypal ideas in the mind of the Creator.

With the coming of the Darwinian epoch, however, all this was changed. Homology, instead of being essentially a descriptive term implying nothing more than the sharing of a common archetypal plan, became an explanatory term implying the sharing of a common plan on account of descent from a common ancestor. The basis of classification became, in theory at least, phylogenetic. Degree of resemblance was taken as index of closeness of relationship, and taxonomic categories were defined on the assumption that each represented a branch of higher or lower order on a phylogenetic tree.

This way of looking at the facts provided what was on the whole a very satisfactory basis for the delimination and arrangement of larger classificatory groups down to orders, sub-orders, and even families: but it was not always easy to apply it to the minor systematics of genera and species. In practice, minor systematics was still ruled by an outlook which in some respects remained Linnaean. In spite of the theoretical belief that species were mutable, they were usually defined by the aid of criteria which tacitly assumed immutability, or by arbitrary characters frankly based on mere convenience. This point of view is still employed by many taxonomists to-day, and the result is often an arbitrary compromise between practical convenience and the desire to give a specific name to every recognizably distinct form. This is perhaps less so in zoology, where subspecific naming in accordance with the principle of geographical replacement is now the practice in most well-worked groups. Even here, however, as mentioned in the section on clines (p. 206), subspecific names are often allotted on the basis of an arbitrary degree of difference in a continuous series, not on that of the existence of natural selfperpetuating groups with relatively uniform characters.

allotted on the basis of an arbitrary degree of uniterence in a continuous series, not on that of the existence of natural self-perpetuating groups with relatively uniform characters. In botany, however, procedure is often still quite arbitrary. To take one recent example, Cowan (1940) divides the rhodo-dendrons of the sanguineum series into eight species and thirty-eight subspecies. This is done on certain arbitrary diagnostic characters. "It must now be decided whether each of these eight groups is to be regarded as a single variable species or as a section including a number of specific units." . . . "It must be under-stood that the species vary within the widest limits in characters not taken as diagnostic. The same argument applies with even greater force to the subspecies." No attempt is made to employ geographical distribution as a taxonomic character. Although there is "abundant evidence of the distribution of these (diag-nostic) characters upon mendelian lines", and "many of the possible combinations do occur in nature", there is no discussion as to whether this state of affairs may not be due to hybridization and reticulate evolution; the only criteria used are morphological separability and practical convenience: "Even if all these variants can rightly be regarded as species, the multiplication of specific names to this extent is so obviously undesirable that one turns at once to the alternative course of modifying the standard. It is equally undesirable to regard all the plants within this group

as forms of a single very variable species, a not unreasonable view, but they differ too widely." In other words, taxonomy in cases like these makes no pretence of describing the facts of nature concerning the distribution and relationships of natural groups, but is concerned solely with the arbitrary distinction of forms.

It is clear that distinguishable forms should receive some designation; but this should not be a specific or subspecific Latin name unless there is some ground for supposing that the distinguishable form is also a natural group-unit. Other forms should be distinguished by some type of subsidiary nomenclature, as Turrill (1938a) proposes.

Botany also lags behind zoology in another point of taxonomic practice, which, though small, makes for convenience. I refer to the convention by which all specific names are spelt with a small initial letter. This is now universal in zoology, and I have deliberately adopted it in this volume. The elaborate conventions of botanical practice occasionally make for confusion and have nothing to recommend them save historical tradition.

The value of employing every possible type of character in taxonomy is illustrated by recent work on the related plant genera *Hebe* and *Veronica*. The two genera were separated according to the mode of dehiscence of their capsules, and on this basis a number of New Zealand species were assigned to *Veronica*. However, they have now been found (Frankel, 1941) to have the same basic chromosome-numbers as *Hebe* (two polyploid series, with x = 20 and x = 21), in this differing from all typical *Veronica* species. Re-examination of the capsule then showed that the mode of dehiscence is much more similar to that of typical *Hebe*. The species have accordingly been transferred to *Hebe*. Similar corrections of faulty taxonomic observation by new methods, in this case the utilization of chemical data on pigments, have been made by Ford (1941) in Lepidoptera. Metcalf (1929) has pointed out the value of parasites for taxonomic purposes.

In spite of all efforts to draw the taxonomic consequences of the geographical replacement of forms, efforts dating from Gloger's pioneer work in the second quarter of the nineteenth

393

century and continued by such men as Allen and Gulick in the '70's, Eimer in the '80's, and Kleinschmidt and the Sarasins in the '90's, the determination of species down to the beginning of the present century was usually undertaken on the assumption that they were all well differentiated by a series of diagnostic characters, and separated from their nearest relatives by sharp gaps.

Determination was made almost exclusively, and often rather arbitrarily, on the basis of morphological characters of structure and appearance. As research brought to light more and more geographical or other forms, populations which could be clearly distinguished from the populations of other areas were generally accorded specific rank.

The last decades of the period of phylogenetic classification, roughly from the beginning of the present century onwards, may be distinguished as a definite sub-period, characterized by the use of geographical distribution as a taxonomic criterion, in addition to morphological characters. From what we have just said, it should be clear that this also meant the abandonment of the last traces of a subconscious "Linnaeism", and the adoption of a thoroughgoing phylogenetic outlook, in minor as well as in major systematics. In the battle between the "splitters" and the "lumpers", the "splitters" represented the last survival of the Linnaean outlook, the "lumpers" the geographical phase of the Darwinian.

The first result of the refinement of detailed systematic methods was thus to force the geographical criterion into prominence and to introduce the Darwinian idea of plasticity into the taxonomics of species.

To-day, however, the discoveries of cytology and genetics, together with the mass of detailed systematic data which they are illuminating from a new angle, have shown us that we must adopt additional and in a sense other criteria.

A classification based on the idea of phylogenetic descent must at best remain highly speculative, for, save in a few fossil lineages, we do not and cannot know the actual course of events in the evolution of a group. In most groups, the only data we possess on which to base our classificatory scheme, are those

394

395

concerning the species, subspecies, and genotypic variants as they exist at the present time, for these are the only groups with concrete biological existence. These obviously represent the *results* of evolution, but often tell us little about its past course. From what we now know with regard to the different methods by which new species are produced, and the genetical and cytological mechanisms underlying their production and maintenance, we can see the problem in a new light. We are beginning to realize that a new basis for classification will be necessary for dealing with minor systematic diversity, although the phylogenetic method will remain applicable to major groups. Let us see in what main ways a scheme with such a genetic basis for taxonomy will differ from one with a phylogenetic basis. In the first place, we have the undoubted existence of parallel mutations (see p. 510). When these occur and are pre-

parallel mutations (see p. 510). When these occur and arc pre-served in stocks which are already specifically distinct, the Darwinian concept of homology breaks down. For the homo-logy, though perfectly real, no longer implies descent from a common ancestor showing the common feature. Two whitecommon ancestor showing the common feature. Two white-eyed mutant strains in two species of *Drosophila* are not descended from any common white-eyed ancestral strain; and the same doubtless holds for various wild-type characters of related species. It is true that where a number of separate characters are involved, as in the plan of construction of the body as a whole or of any complex organ, the phylogenetic concept of homology will still hold. It is impossible to maintain the independent evolution, on hold. It is impossible to maintain the independent evolution, on more than one separate occasion, of such structures as the penta-dactyle limb of land vertebrates, or the crustacean appendage, or the chordate notochord. Phylogenetic classification based on the idea that the possession of such organs by a number of organisms implies their descent by modification from a common ancestor remains as valid to-day as it did when the principle was applied by Kovalevsky to prove the vertebrate affinities of the Tunicates. In plants, on the other hand, the organization of the body is on the whole so much simpler that structural plans of such complexity as to rule out close parallel evolution are rare; it is for this reason that the phylogeny of plants is much

396 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS more uncertain than that of higher animals, and botanists as a whole correspondingly more pessimistic than zoologists as to the possibility of phylogenetic classification in general. In certain long-range evolutionary trends in animals, parallel changes appear to have played a greater part than was earlier supposed. It is for instance probable on *a priori* grounds, and certain on the basis of fossil evidence, that many adaptive features in a type undergoing specialization are due to the selection of parallel but independent mutations. This is brought out clearly in the case of the horses (Matthew, 1926). Here, quite distinct lines, including some which eventually become extinct, show the same general changes, though some may be in advance of the average in one specialization (e.g. teeth), and behind it in another (e.g. feet). Something similar occurs in the more finely-documented evolution of *Micraster* (p. 32). Presumably the general direction in which selection-pressure is being exerted on the group remains constant, and thus all mutations and recombinations favouring change in this direction are selected. It is not necessary (and indeed highly improbable) that the parallel mutations should be strictly homologous, in the sense of being changes in the same gene; the parallelism of evolution and consequent upset of the classical concept of homology will occur just the same, if they merely exert similar effects. It is possible that parallel specializations or parallel progress of this sort occurs also in larger groups. W. E. Le Gros Clark, for instance (1934), believes that it has played a large role in the evolution of the Primates as a whole.

evolution of the Primates as a whole.

evolution of the Primates as a whole. It is, however, in minor systematics that the greatest difficulties occur. In the first place, we have the fact that parallel mutations, including a number that are fully (genically) homologous, occur in related species of *Drosophila* and other organisms. They are conspicuous where fixed in domesticated forms (see Haldane, 1927a, on mammals), but occur also in wild populations. This makes natural the presumption that certain characters actually found established in some of the species owe their origin to parallel mutation and not to common descent. It is clear that the distribution among a group of related species of characters the distribution, among a group of related species, of characters

due to parallel mutation might be quite different from a distribution dependent on phylogeny. Similarity of mode of life, with consequent preservation of similar mutations, would be more influential than common ancestry (though parallel mutation is only likely to occur in closely related forms). Sturtevant (1939), for the Drosophilinae, is probably the only taxonomist who has consciously endeavoured to discount this possibility (p. 357).

Quite frequently characters will form a mosaic pattern. Character A will in one species be combined with B and C, in another with B and D, in yet another with C and E, and so on (e.g. in *Drosophila*; p. 370). In such cases we must be content to let the phylogeny of species elude us.

In general, taxonomic "relationship" will in many cases be quite different from relationship in human affairs, as between members of a large family. In the first place, the one is essentially an affair of groups, the other of individuals. In the second place, the facts concerning mutation, such as its recurrent nature, and indeed the necessity (if we are to account for the variance actually found in nature) for some recurrence to balance the wastage due to random loss of mutant genes from the germplasm, make it clear that while human relationship is based on physical continuity by reproduction, taxonomy is essentially concerned with the number of characters or genes shared in common.

Let us amplify these points a little. The taxonomist is not concerned, or is concerned only in a very minor degree, with rare individual variants. These may, in certain cases, constitute the raw material out of which taxonomic units are shaped, as with dominant melanism in moths (p. 93), but in themselves deserve notice, if at all, merely as "aberrations" from the type of the group. It is only when a group is involved, whether in the form of a single localized unit, multiple localized units, or a distinct and common type scattered through the population (as with genetic polymorphism: p. 96) that taxonomy is involved. In human relationships, on the other hand, we deal primarily with individuals: A.B. is the son of C.D., the nephew of M.N., the-cousin of X.Y.

And the basis of these human relationships is reproductive

³⁹⁷

descent. First-cousinship implies common grandparents, second-cousinship common great-grandparents, and so on. But in taxonomic group-relationships, descent may play a blurred or incomplete part, or even no part at all. To take an obvious example, numerous wild plants have white-flowered varieties in nature; but all the members of "var. alba" in bluebells no more constitute a single group with common descent than do all the albinos in human beings. Wherever we find sporadic groups of variants differing from the type in a single main character, the same will apply. Many such examples are known, both from plants and animals.

character, the same will apply. Many such examples are known, both from plants and animals. Where, however, a group is characterized geographically as well as genetically, as, for instance, with most animal subspecies, the hypothesis of descent from a common ancestral group is usually tenable, especially when numerous separate genes enter into the characterization. But even here it is not necessary. With changed climatic or other ecological conditions, only certain types and combinations within a highly variable popu-lation may be able to spread into new areas. They will then constitute a single geographical and genetic group, but will not have a single common origin. This has been postulated by Turrill for the origin of *Ajuga chamaepitys* from *A. chia* (p. 267), and doubtless will be found to hold for many other cases as investigators bear this possibility in mind. Even in the commoner case of the differentiation of a local group *in situ*, the picture will be complicated by migration and intercrossing with members of other groups. This may be fre-quent, as with many continental subspecies; but only rarely, as on oceanic islands, is it likely to be wholly absent. In any case, with biological groups "common ancestry" does not imply descent from a single ancestral pair, as in human relationships; it means the gradual modification of a more or less sharply delimited group by the progressive substitution of some genes for others.

for others.

The parallel with individual human relationships is particu-larly misleading in the case of human groups, for the obvious

reason that migration, reticulate crossing, and consequent recombination are more widespread in man than in any other organism. So-called "racial types" may be mere recombinational segregants, thrown up from a highly mixed population, without any continuity of descent through the same phenotype or genotype from the original stock which they are held to represent; the most abundant types in a mixed group may well be new recombinations, different from any found in any of the parent stocks from whose crossings the group arose, and so forth. The question has been discussed in more detail by Huxley and Haddon (1935, Chapters 3-5).

Chapters 3-5). Recently, a dispute has arisen between the adherents of a phylogenetic classification and those who maintain that the only possible basis for taxonomy is a purely logical one, based on a maximum correlation of attributes (see Gilmour, 1940, Calman, 1940, and discussion in *Proc. Linn. Soc. London.*, 152: 234). However, the believers both in a completely logical and in a completely phylogenetic taxonomy would appear to be aiming at ideals which are quite unattainable in practice; in addition, both systems are in some cases not consonant with fact. For instance, taxonomic practice, at any rate in larger groups among animals, appears to base itself on the co-ordination of characters in an organizational plan, rather than on the totality of attributes, while a phylogenetic classification simply will not fit certain facts of nature, such as those produced by reticulate evolution. In practice, however, the two concepts largely coincide. They coincide because the processes of mutation and selection distribute characters among taxonomic groups in such a way as to

In practice, however, the two concepts largely coincide. They coincide because the processes of mutation and selection distribute characters among taxonomic groups in such a way as to fulfil approximately the postulate of a maximum correlation of attributes demanded by the upholders of a logical classification. The more characters there are available, the greater in general the approximation (cf. p. 371). Geographical distribution and palcontological history are to be included among characters in this sense. In fossil material, however (e.g. molluscan shells), the number of characters may be very much limited compared with the range available to the student of living forms; it is probably this which accounts for many of the cases of apparent parallel evolution to be found in the paleontology of e.g. molluscs and brachiopods.

When divergent groups have evolved separately for long periods, the co-ordination of character-distribution with taxono-

When divergent groups have evolved separately for long periods, the co-ordination of character-distribution with taxono-mic grouping will be very close. It need not be so close, however, when the divergence is of recent date; in this case, the chance of parallel mutations upsetting the co-ordination is much greater. In one respect taxonomy would appear definitely to have a phylogenetic basis, in that named categories are in general monophyletic groups. Wherever the distribution of characters contradicts the hypothesis of monophyly for a group, the taxo-nomy demands revision; here the phylogenetic outlook can play a constructive part in taxonomy. This generalization may break down in regard to certain subspecies (p. 215) and species, which in e.g. apomictic and in reticulate evolution must be delimited purely on the basis of convenience. It also breaks down in the case of "horizontal" groups (e.g. genera) in paleontology, which may be merely stages run through independently by several lineages, and yet necessary categories for the sake of taxonomic convenience (see also p. 409). But in regard to higher categories the principle certainly holds. When it comes to detailed taxonomic arrangement, however, as opposed to taxonomic naming, it is difficult to see how a phylogenetic basis, or even a phylogenetic background, can be found for this. As various workers have shown, the elaborate trees and other diagrams of arrangement (relationship) proposed,

trees and other diagrams of arrangement (relationship) proposed, e.g. for the groups of higher plants, are largely contradictory *inter se*, and must be regarded as highly speculative. Whenever there is reasonable certainty as to arrangement—e.g. when one set of families or orders can be deduced to have a common origin scparate from that of others—this can and should be represented by means of named categories, such as superfamily, suborder, subclass, etc. Where this is not possible, the arrange-ment (e.g. the order in which groups of a certain taxonomic category are enumerated) should not be presumed to have any phylogenetic meaning.

Even if we had a full knowledge of the phylogeny of, say,

<page-header>SPECIATION, EVOLUTION, AND TAXONOM401all genera and families within an order, the diagrammatic representation of this would be exceedingly complex, and must be held to be a "subsidiary classification" in Turrill's sense rather tan falling within the province of taxonomy sensu strictor (Turrill's "alpha or orthodox taxonomy").Bilmour has pointed out that taxonomic practice was actually little altered by the introduction of the idea of evolution and phylogeny into biology. We must remember, however, that the more philosophically-minded pre-Darwinian taxonomists thought in terms of an "ideal plan" or archetype which was modified in detail in various subgroups of a major group (see p. 391), and tax this is in point of fact a symbolic representation of phylogeny.Thus, while taxonomic practice inevitably rests upon the evaluation of characters, and while phylogenetic relationship must always (in the absence of full paleontological data) remain a deduction, the phylogenetic idea, whether directly, or symbolically in the form of a modifiable archetype, may and often farming his categories. In general, it is more correct to speak of a phylogenetic background for taxonomy than of a phylogenetic background

Again, as we have previously seen, the physiological diver-gence found in "biological races" may become quite extensive without being accompanied by more than minimal differentiation in visible characters. It may be argued that taxonomy cannot and should not take account of time, only of divergence. But

should it not take as much account of physiological as of morphological divergence?

We have, next, the existence of polyploidy. Autopolyploids provide one not inconsiderable difficulty; they are well isolated as reproductive groups, but differ extremely little in visible characters from other members of the series (though often markedly in physiological characters and consequently in area of distribution). But allopolyploid species arising as the result of a cross simply do not fit into the classical framework. New methods of denoting relationship are needed when we have to take into account the convergence and union of branches as well as their divergence. This difficulty is accentuated in the case of reticulate groups (p. 353) where, as we have noted, ordinary taxonomic methods have already partially or completely broken down.

Another point, of purely practical but none the less real impor-tance, concerns the modern tendency to push the geographical-Darwinian method of classification to a conclusion so logical that its application becomes harmful. The battle of the "splitters" and the "lumpers" still continues, though now in respect of subspecies instead of species. The "splitters" wish to distinguish as a separate subspecies, with its own trinomial designation as a separate subspecies, with its own trinomial designation subject to the international rules of zoological nomenclature, every population which can be distinguished, by however slight a criterion, from other populations. As an example of the lengths to which this process is already being carried, let us take a case recently adjudicated on by the British Ornithologists' Union. It appears that British-breeding specimens of the common red-shank, *Tringa totanus*, can be distinguished from their continental relatives by a slightly darker coloration. There are no structural or size differences, and the colour distinction, in addition to being slight, exists only in summer plumage. In winter plumage members of the two populations are admittedly indistinguishable. Yet the British form has been solemnly allotted subspecific rank. In consequence, the continental subspecies must now, it is ruled, be banned from the British list, since any birds shot in winter on our shores cannot be ascribed to this form, even if we know

perfectly well that most of them will be migrants from Europe! The subspecies cannot reacquire its British status before a European-ringed specimen is shot in Britain. Such decisions tend to reduce systematics *ad absurdum*. This holds also for the erection of new subspecies on the basis of being slightly darker (e.g. Clancey, 1938, for west Scottish birds, which are anyhow more likely to be on a cline).

Difficulties arise in other cases where forms regarded by the "lumpers" as subspecies vary locally. We have met with such a case in the crows (p. 248). Hoodie and carrion crows are both divisible into local groups with considerably better differentiation than that of the redshanks just discussed. But if the conclusion of the adherents of the Rassenkreis idea be sound, that hoodie and carrion crows are themselves merely well-marked subspecies, then we must allot "sub-subspecific" names to their local forms. Apart from the practical inconvenience of any such multinomial system, we should then be giving a lower systematic rank to the local forms of crows than to those of titmice or wrens distinguished by approximately the same amount of divergence. The difficulty is real, however, and not artificial. It may perhaps be avoided by using the term *semispecies*. This has been proposed by Mayr (1940) for forms which "can be deduced to be geographical representatives of some other species, but have during isolation developed morphological differences of the order of magnitude to be seen between undoubted species"; and under the term he includes forms like the flickers (p. 250), which hybridize in a manner precisely similar to the crows. Taxonomically it will perhaps be best to give binomial names to such semispecies, while uniting them and their geographical vicariants in a supraspecies, to which some name may be given compounded from two of the binomials of the group.

Zuckerman (1940), discussing some of the defects of the present classification of the Hominidae, points out that the desire to ascribe the utmost possible importance to any new find of fossil man has led to the erection of several quite unjustified genera. He pleads for the setting up of empirical criteria of difference for species and genera, in the absence of that abundance of material which alone could make a phylogenetic classification really possible.

The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath. Similarly systematics exist for human convenience, not in the interest of some Platonic eidos stored up in Heaven. The time has come when we must make a decision as to the implications

has come when we must make a decision as to the implications of recent research for nomenclatorial practice. A quadrinomial system, by which genera, subgenera, species, and subspecies are given formal names, is a useful invention for the purposes of detailed pigeonholing. Practical convenience, however, dictates that for the ordinary purposes of general biology, binomialism should remain. This can be achieved if large species of the nature of *Rassenkreise*, and large genera con-taining numerous *Artenkreise* and other types of subgenera, are used for the normal designation of different kinds of animals and plants, reserving the subgenus and the subspecies for the use of systematists or for various special purposes. The subspecies should be more widely used than the subgenus, since different subspecies of a species are concrete biological groups, differing often in quite important points of physiology and be-haviour as well as in size or other visible characters. The common habit of splitting old-established genera into a common habit of splitting old-established genera into a number of new genera, often monotypic, is frequently an abuse of systematic method, because an unnecessary denial of the principle of taxonomic convenience.

Modern systematics, in so far as it is coping with geographical divergence, must in fact recognize various fruits of its own activities. The principle of geographical replacement has for its activities. The principle of geographical replacement has for its taxonomic corollary not merely the degradation of many groups from specific to subspecific rank and their grouping within major (polytypic) species or *Rassenkreise*, but also the disallow-ance of many genera and their degradation to the status of *Artenkreise* or (geographical) subgenera. In the second place, the same principle, carried to its logical extreme, implies that we must frequently expect the population of one geographical area to differ from that of another by very small though constant differences. This does not, however, imply the desirability of each such form receiving a Latin name. For

one thing the principle of practical taxonomic utility forbids subdivision being carried too far: this is especially true of names which are subject to the rules of systematic nomenclature, and thus enshrined for ever in an official position. For another, the principle of character-gradients (clines) must be taken into account. Such geographical forms may prove to be merely points on a cline. If so, then, unless a discontinuity, or at any rate a much steepened portion of the gradient intervenes between them, they assuredly do not deserve separate subspecific names, but the cline as a whole should be named (p. 226). The fact that two or more clines may be operative in different directions across the range of a species introduces yet another complication.

complication.

complication. It seems certain that systematics will have to invent subsidiary terminologies to cope with the complexity of its data (see Turrill, 1938*a*). Genus, subgenus, species, and subspecies will doubtless remain more or less universally as main categories. The definition of genera and subgenera is often largely a matter of convenience. Besides geographical subgenera we may also expect other types—e.g. those of an ecological and perhaps those of a cytological nature. The definition of species we have dis-cussed at length (p. 157). It is essential that, if the term is to be retained it should be used in a broad sense, with due regard to retained, it should be used in a broad sense, with due regard to practical systematic convenience.

Subspecies have usually been defined on a geographical basis. This, however, is largely due to the historical reason that the refinements of taxonomy were most readily worked out in refinements of taxonomy were most readily worked out in vertebrates, where ecogeographical divergence is the main factor in minor systematic diversity below the level of the species. Rensch, indeed, has maintained that geographical forms alone are admissible as named subspecies. There would, how-ever, appear to be every reason for employing other categories where they apply—e.g. the physiological or biological, the ecological, and the cytological, notably as regards polyploid forms; genetic divergents like those of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* should also be included. In this case it might, however, be desirable to indicate the nature of the divergence whenever it could be certainly assigned. Perhaps some such method as the prefixing to the subspecific name of the letters "G", "E", and "C" for geographical, ecological (including physiological and biological), and cytological divergence respectively would serve. In some cases considerable geographical differentiation may occur within genetic or biological subspecies. Here, presumably, two sub-specific names will be required.

specific names will be required. For specifying character-gradients (clines) it is hard to see any fully satisfactory solution save the marking of them on a map. However, a useful first approximation would be a statement of the character they concerned and their approximate direction. For instance, after the description of a polytypic species which showed considerable geographical variation, one might add such phrases as "Size S-N; melanin E-W from desert belt to sea, then SW-NE", the increases in the character being in the geo-graphical directions named. But the complexity of the data might often stultify such an attempt. When dealing with differences characterizing a regional popu-lation, especially when this is geographically discontinuous from neighbouring populations, regard must be had to practical convenience. We must not erect subspecies whose diagnostic differences are smaller than those of mere local groups of other subspecies: the term subspecies should connote a moderate degree of difference, not mere difference, however minute (p. 402). Practical convenience, on the other hand, makes it extremely undesirable to introduce a new nomenclatorial category, though

undesirable to introduce a new nomenclatorial category, though undesirable to introduce a new nomenclatorial category, though the existence of such microsubspecies or microraces (Dobzhansky) is indubitable. It would seem best for systematists in such cases to confine themselves to descriptive statements, such as that minor geographical forms (microsubspecies), characterized in such-and-such a way, and perhaps denoted by a letter or number, occur in such-and-such regions. Another method is that suggested by Turrill for designating varieties by combinations of letters according to the combina-tions of characters which they exhibit. This will not be of much service when variation chiefly takes the form of clines, but will be useful wherever sharply-contrasting characters are involved, and especially so where hybridization has been at

work. It will thus be more applicable to plants than to animals. Within its sphere, however, it should often be of value as a subsidiary method of taxonomic description not involving formal nomenclature; and may prove to be the only method for dealing with the bewildering confusion of reticulate groups.

The main kinds of taxonomic units with concrete existence as natural groups are thus as follows. Those to be named in accordance with the international rules are in italics.

Ι.	a. Geographical genus b. Geographical sub- genus	(Rensch's Artenkreis). Consisting of species showing geographical (or ecological) replacement.
2.	Supraspecies	Consisting partly of subspecies, partly of semispecies or full species, all showing geographical (or eco- logical) replacement.

So far geographical replacement is the only basis known for categories 1 and 2, but we may prophesy that ecological replacement will be detected as a basis for such categories, in insects at least.

3. Species.

4.

a. Polymorphic	Differentiated into numerous spa- tially co-existent ecotypes or other sharply contrasted forms.
b. Polytypic	Differentiated into subspecies showing geographical or ecological replacement, or into forms with different chromosome - number; the subspecies may fall into clines.
c. Monotypic (mono- morphic)	Not differentiated into subspecies (or into an array of well-marked and co-existent ecotypes).
Semispecies	On the borderline between sub- species and species.

408	EVOLUTION	THE MODERN SYNTHESIS
5.	Clines	To be given Latin names when they are considerable and continuous and not differentiated into subspecies.
6. (Chromosome-races	Differing in chromosome-number, usually by whole genomes; to be de- signated by the ploidy (3n; 4n-2; etc.) after the specific name.
	01 1	

7. Subspecies

8. Microsubspecies

9. Apomict strains (clones).

Natural groups, in the sense here employed, have a geographical distribution *qua* groups and are either self-perpetuating or have clearly been recently derived from a self-perpetuating group. Phases, forms, and sporadic mutants are not natural groups in this sense, nor are ecotypes. If a phase or an ecotype becomes the only form in a given area, and persists there, it *ipso facto* merits subspecific rank. The word *variety* has been used in so many senses that it should be dropped. If a general term is required for any variant form, *paramorph* may serve. The nomenclature of hybrids is discussed by Allan (1940), and the taxonomy of cultivated plants by Vavilov (1940).

In paleontology, many difficulties arise. A technical difficulty arises from the fact that the paleontological taxonomist is confined to fewer characters, since soft parts are not available. This becomes acute, e.g. in many molluscs, though it is not serious in such forms as mammals. Some paleontologists arrive at conclusions which do not square with the experience of taxonomists who have the advantage of dealing with living material. Thus Macfadyen (1940), describing Liassic Foraminifera, writes of the Lagenidae: "in this family there appears to be wide variation within some of the groups, where neither 'species' nor even 'genera' are sharply defined." In view of what we have previously said as to the biological reality of species, it is probable that such conclusions derive from the inevitable difficulties of the material (see also Macfadyen, 1941).

A more fundamental difficulty is the fact that he must consider the dimension of time as well as of space. Parallel evolution is a real phenomenon, but in many fossil groups its apparent extent is exaggerated by this paucity of taxonomic characters. Wherever parallel evolution occurs in a group, two types of classification are possible-by vertical lineages, along the timedimension, and by stages run through by several lineages, cutting across the time-dimension (see e.g. Arkell, 1933; W. D. Lang, 1938). It is often advisable to give generic names to such horizontal stages. It has been maintained that such "horizontal" genera are purely artificial; but as E. I. White pointed out in a recent discussion (unpublished) at the Zoological Society, this is not the case; granted the ocurrence of parallel evolution, horizontal stages are inevitable facts of nature. It thus becomes necessary to introduce a double terminology, vertical as well as horizontal. The simplest convention would be to apply generic names to horizontal stages and to introduce a subsidiary terminology for lineages; but the details must clearly be left to the paleontologists themselves-with the one proviso that they work out a simple and agreed system. (See Arkell and Moy-Thomas. 1940.)

Many paleontologists (see e.g. discussion in Swinnerton, 1940) give binomial names to so-called "morphological species" which are without doubt only extreme variant types arbitrarily selected from the assemblage provided by a variable true species. This is an unfortunate misuse of taxonomic terminology: some other method of naming such forms should certainly be devised.

Undoubtedly the most important result of modern research in and bearing upon systematics is that species may originate by numerous and quite different methods, which fall under three main heads: the geographical, the ecological (in the broad sense), and the genetic (cytogenetic). The degrees of morphological divergence and intersterility between related forms vary greatly according to the method of divergence which has been pursued.

Faced with the abundance of new facts, we must acknowledge that some new step in taxonomic practice is due. Two major improvements in the methodology of systematics have been effected in the past. The first was the substitution of the Linnaean

system of binomial nomenclature for the earlier method in which system of binomial nomenclature for the earlier method in which nomenclature was confused with description. The second was the introduction of trinomialism to cope with the data of geo-graphical distribution. It is safe to prophesy that the next decade or so will see a third phase of major improvement. This will involve the introduction of some method, concerned largely with subsidiary terminologies, by which, while the principle of taxonomic convenience is still given due weight in the main terminology, the cytogenetic and ecological data of systematics, and the facts concerning actual or potential interfertility, can be adequately described and discussed. It will also involve the reduction of taxonomic differences to metrical form. The imporreduction of taxonomic differences to metrical form. The impor-tance of this has been ably urged by Richards (1938), who also makes numerous practical suggestions. A few decades hence it will, we may prophesy, be regarded as necessary taxonomic will, we may prophesy, be regarded as necessary taxonomic routine to give the mean measurements, with their standard deviations, of at least five or six standard characters, as part of the description of a new form. The characters would vary from group to group, but could readily be standardized for each group. Leitch (1940) stresses the importance of such methods in paleontology, and points out that certain assemblages can be characterized by their degree and type of variability. Equally important are accurate methods for the quantitative study of the numbers and properties of populations; see references in Timoféeff-Ressovsky (1940), Dowdeswell, Fisher and Ford (1940), Spencer (1940) and Dobzhansky (1940)

(1940), and Dobzhansky (1940). It has been customary to distinguish sharply between artificial and natural classification. But the "natural classification" at which post-Darwinian biology has aimed is itself in certain ways artificial. For one thing it represents an unattainable ideal. And for another it assumes—what we now can perceive to be erroneous that the only natural method of classification is one based on naïve and pre-mendelian ideas of relationship taken over from human genealogy and applied to groups instead of to individuals. Furthermore, it has unconsciously accepted certain implications of the Aristotelian method of classifying things into genus and species, implications which are of philosophical rather than scientific import and based on *a priori* logic rather than on empirical fact. The most important of such implications is a tendency to accept the discreteness and fixity of separate species (and subspecies) at more than their face value.

The new classificatory systems that are destined to arise will be more natural, in the sense of more truly reflecting nature. They will provide us with a picture of the diversification of life as it actually exists, and sometimes as it has actually occurred. They will give due weight to gradients of change, their different directions, and their variations in steepness. They will help us to think in terms of genes and their distribution as well as in those of individuals. As regards the units of the taxonomist, we shall cease to regard them as so absolute or so necessarily distinct. We shall begin by thinking of life as a unity, into whose continuum discontinuities have been introduced. Some of these are partial, of various degrees of completeness, while the complete gaps are of various widths. Further, the discontinuities are of various origins. Some are imposed by geographical causes which are, biologically speaking, accidents. Others are the outcome of ecological specialization, and are then often accentuated by selection. Still others are the by-products of the working of the physical machinery of heredity, the chromosomes, their division and meiotic reduction. Some discontinuities arise gradually, others abruptly. Some are the accidental outcome of isolation, others the consequence of mere divergence, while still others have been selectively involved so that related groups may be more effectively kept from interbreeding.

The new taxonomy, with the aid of its subsidiary terminologies and its quantitative measurements, will seek to portray this many-sided reality. The picture will inevitably be less simple, but it will be more true to nature. The origin of species is largely irrelevant to the large-scale movements of evolution. But, through taxonomy, it will be perceived as a complex and multiple process, responsible for much of that amazing variety of life which at one and the same time attracts and bewilders the biologist.

CHAPTER 8

ADAPTATION AND SELECTION

Ι.	The omnipresence of adaptation	p .	412
2.	Adaptation and function; types and examples of adaptation	р.	417
3.	Regularities of adaptation	р.	430
4.	Adaptation as a relative concept	p.	438
5.	Preadaptation	p .	449
6.	The origin of adaptations: the inadequacy of Lamarckism	р.	457
7.	The origin of adaptations: natural selection	p .	466
8.	Adaptations and selection not necessarily beneficial to the		
	species	p .	478

'I. THE OMNIPRESENCE OF ADAPTATION

We next come to the origin of adaptations. It has been for some years the fashion among certain schools of biological thought to decry the study or even to deny the fact of adaptation. Its alleged teleological flavour is supposed to debar it from orthodox scientific consideration, and its study is assumed to prevent the biologist from paying attention to his proper business of mechanistic analysis. Both these strictures are unjustified. It was one of the great merits of Darwin himself to show that the purposiveness of organic structure and function was apparent only. The teleology of adaptation is a pseudo-teleology, capable of being accounted for on good mechanistic principles, without the intervention of purpose, conscious or subconscious, either on the part of the organism or of any outside power. And to the second objection, the answer is that since adaptations are facts, it is the business of biologists to study them. If a biologist thinks that he has exhausted the study of a structure or a function merely by showing its adaptive advantage, he is a bad biologist; but so is he who thinks he has done so merely by giving a mechanistic account of its present condition and its embryological development. The truth is of course that every biological problem has its evolutionary as well as its immediate aspect, its functional meaning as well as its mechanistic basis; and both need to be studied.

Adaptation, in point of fact, is omnipresent. The field worker rightly laughs at the disbelievers in the adaptive significance of mimetic or protective coloration or of threat behaviour. I have been deceived in Africa by the resemblance of a mimetic spider to the ants with which it associates *; have spent vain hours on a Surrey common searching for a nightjar's nest, so perfect was the bird's cryptic coloration, before stumbling accidentally upon it; have nearly fallen out of a tree when a wryneck on its eggs simulated a hissing snake. That the examples of protective coloration, afforded by the leaf-insect, the woodcock, the dab, or the twig-like larvae of geometrid moths, should be hackneyed is no argument against their biological validity. Nor does the disbelief of certain laboratory mechanists in warning coloration and other aposematic characters prevent chicks from associating the black and yellow of cinnabar caterpillars with nauseousness, or hinder human beings from paying attention to the rattle of a rattlesnake. The biologist who discovers by comparative study that the metabolism and respiratory pigments of animals are closely adjusted to their mode of life is not likely to imagine that the correspondence is fortuitous. The physiologist who unravels the postural reflexes of a bird or investigates the chemical regulation of respiration-rate is not likely to dismiss organic function as non-adaptive; the naturalist who notes the constant correspondence between structure and inborn behaviour on the one hand and environment and way of life on the other-one has only to think of sloth and owl, anteater and flamingo, angler fish and whalebone whale-must believe either in purposive creation or in adaptive evolution; the evolutionary biologist who finds that the rise of each new dominant group in turn is associated with some basic improvement in organic mechanism, be it in the shelled egg, or warm blood, or placental reproduc-tion, will have to admit that adaptation has been all-important in evolutionary progress.

It is perhaps unfortunate that the study of adaptations has

* For a coloured figure of a spider mimicking an ant, see Donisthorpe (1940).

been so closely associated with highly specialized and striking cases of the "wonders of nature" type, such as the resemblance of a butterfly to a dead leaf complete with mould-spots and imitation holes, or the almost fantastic contrivances of certain orchids which secure insect-pollination. For this tends to distract attention from the bedrock fact that some degree of adaptation is omnipresent in life, and that this fact demands an evolutionary explanation.

explanation. However, in his recent very striking book Cott (1940) has shown that concealing and revealing coloration, when properly investigated, remain the paradigm of adaptive studies, and has thoroughly turned the tables on captious objectors. Such critics of the theories of protective coloration and mimicry have been in the habit of dismissing them as pure fantasies or armchair speculations. A. F. Shull (1936), for instance, goes so far as to state that the theories of aggressive and alluring resemblance "must probably be set down as products of fancy belonging to uncritical times" (p. 175), and concludes (p. 212) that "if the doctrine [of natural selection] can emerge minus its sexual selection, its warning colours, its mimicry, and its signal colours, the reaction over the end of the century will have been a distinct advantage"! The array of facts presented by Cott shows that the reaction over the end of the century will have been a distinct advantage"! The array of facts presented by Cott shows that it is these objections which deserve the designation of "arm-chair": it is the field naturalist and the experimental biologist who provide the facts from which the theories are educed. Cott (and see Carpenter, 1939) also summarizes the numerous experiments and observations which demonstrate the reality of selection operat-ing in nature in favour of cryptic or aposematic coloration. He also points out the irrelevance of the criticisms of McAtee (1932). In addition, Cott analyses the features of pattern by which illusions of various sorts, whether for decrease or increase of conspicuousness, can be created and then demonstrates their

In addition, Cott analyses the features of pattern by which illusions of various sorts, whether for decrease or increase of conspicuousness, can be created, and then demonstrates their existence in nature. The particular method employed will be related to the type of habitat occupied. Thus inconspicuousness of the flat wing of a butterfly in low rough herbage is generally obtained by a false illusion of relief; the obliteration of sharp outline in a tangle of vegetation tends to be achieved by countershading together with ruptive markings, whereas with forms which must expose themselves on bark it involves arrangements for preventing marginal shadows, often coupled with an actual irregularity of the outline itself, achieved by irregular outgrowths. Most convincing are special correlations of pattern with unusual positions: an excellent example is the reversed countershading of sphingid caterpillars which feed at night, but rest in an inverted position by day, and of the peculiar Nile catfish *Synodontis batensoda*, which swims upside-down (see Norman, 1931, pp. 29, 227).

It is interesting that Süffert (1932, 1935), as the result of intensive studies pursued without knowledge of Cott's work, arrived at similar conclusions. Three recent independent observers may also be quoted. Cornes (1937) cites the moth *Venusia veniculata*, which lives on a particular tree-lily. Its wings are marked with lines running at right angles to the body; and at night it invariably orientates itself across a dead leaf, so that its markings coincide with the conspicuous longitudinal lines on the leaf. Its antennae, which would destroy the resemblance if visible, are tucked out of sight under the fore-wings. When disturbed it settles down again, "after a few compass-like vacillations", in a similar position.

Again, W. W. A. Phillips (1940) describes the nest of *Hemipus picatus leggei*, a shrike from Ceylon. The bird nests on bare limbs of trees. The nest is not only camouflaged with lichens and bark flakes, but its sides are built down flush with the branch so as to resemble a knot. Finally, the fledgling young, so long as the parents are away, sit motionless facing each other with cycs half-closed and beaks pointing upwards and nearly touching in the centre. Their coloration is a mottled drab and blackish grey, so that they are almost invisible, even when nearly fledged. From a distance of little more than 12 feet the nest with the young bears a most remarkable likeness to a snag left on the upper side of a branch through the breaking off short of a smaller branch just beyond its junction with the major stem. The upward-pointing beaks help to heighten this similarity; they represent the sharp-angled fracture left at the top of the stump. This

example may be compared with the protective coloration-cum-

cxample may be compared with the protective coloration-cum-attitude of the brooding nightjar Nyctibius griseus (see Cott, 1940, Fig. 74), but is almost more remarkable as involving a co-operative attitude on the part of several birds. Finally, Holmes (1940) describes the unique case of the common cuttlefish, Sepia officinalis, which can change its colour and pattern within the space of a second. By this means, it can draw on an amazingly varied repertoire of protective devices, including con-cealment by means of obliterative shading, close environmental resemblance, striking ruptive patterns, and flash patterns which bewilder an enemy by their extremely rapid sequence and great difference from each other, and also the scaring of enemies away by conspicuous threat patterns. Any particular one of these will be adopted according to circumstances. In addition, it employs special epigamic, stimulative patterns in courtship. Related cephalopods do not show this multiform adaptation, which can be related to the particular habits of the species. In regard to mimicry, the detailed following by the mimic of the pattern of the model, as the latter changes geographically from subspecies to subspecies, constitutes a beautiful case of detailed adaptation (see e.g. Poulton, 1925, Pl. D). This pheno-menon is not due to any direct or indirect effect of climate. (See also p. 102; Carpenter and Ford, 1933).

also p. 102; Carpenter and Ford, 1933). As Cott rightly says, physiologists and anatomists do not dispute as to whether a wing is or is not adapted to flying: they set themselves to discover the extent to which, and the precise method by which, it is adapted to that function. Colour and pattern in this respect fall into line with any other functional attribute of organisms.

attribute of organisms. Actually, in view of the remarkable studies of particular kinds of adaptations made in the latter half of the nineteenth century, the incredulity shown by a certain school of modern biologists appears very remarkable. Thus, to take only one example—the various adaptations concerned with cross- and self-pollination in higher plants—we have the intensive work of Darwin (1877) on orchids, and the exhaustive survey, largely original, by Kerner (Kerner and Oliver, 1902). After reading Kerner's

account of the devices for securing cross-pollination, and those equally remarkable ones for securing self-pollination, the two often co-existent in the same flower as what the Germans call *doppelte Sicherung*, there would seem to be no room left for scepticism on this point. And if on one point, why on others? However, Cott's book deserves special attention, since it takes account of all the objections, theoretical, factual, and methodological, raised by the sceptics of the early twentieth century.

century. T. H. Morgan (1932, p. 115), in reviewing the subject, makes the following pertinent remarks. "A fact of some interest becomes apparent at once, namely, that what are usually cited as adaptations are instances in which a species shows some unusual type of structure, i.e. one in which it departs from most of the other species in the group. In other words, it is the exceptional that is often referred to as a typical case of adaptation. The reason for this is apparent. The exceptions stand out conspicuously as specialties for some particular situation. Nevertheless, a moment's thought should show that the general problem of adaptation is not to be found so much in these particular occasional departures as in the totality of the relations of the organism to its environment, which makes the perpetuation of the individual and of the species possible. The extreme cases catch our attention, and their special relation is sometimes more casily seen, or guessed at, than the more subtle physiological processes that make all life possible."

2. ADAPTATION AND FUNCTION; TYPES AND EXAMPLES OF ADAPTATION

Adaptation and function are two aspects of one problem. We may amplify this statement by reminding ourselves that the problem of adaptation is merely the problem of functional efficiency seen from a slightly different angle. There are certain basic functions, such as assimilation, reproduction, and reactivity, which are inherent in the nature of living matter, and can thus

0

hardly be called adaptations. But any of them can be specialized or improved in various ways during evolution to meet the needs of the organism. The fact, for instance, that our gastric glands begin to secrete when our nose or eyes are stimulated by the smell or sight of food, is an adaptation concerned with assimilation, just as is the claborate structural ruminating mechanism of the oxen and their allies.

ism of the oxen and their allies. The distinction between basic property and superposed adaptation may be well brought out by a historical example. Weismann considered the property of regeneration to be a special adaptation, acquired during the course of evolution by such animals as were especially exposed to loss of limbs or other damage. Experiment, however, failed to confirm this conclusion: for instance, Morgan found that the abdominal appendages of hermit-crabs, though normally protected by the hard molluscan shell inhabited by the animal, regenerate just as readily as the exposed big claws or walking legs. Further, on general grounds it became more and more obvious that regeneration depended essentially on the basic capacity of living matter for reproduction and growth. Regeneration is to-day universally looked upon as one aspect of an inherent quality of life, and the chief problem set by it to biology is not how to account for its presence in lower forms, but how to explain its restriction and absence in higher types.

higher types. Frequently associated with regeneration, however, is the faculty of autotomy or self-mutilation, whereby an animal detaches a limb, like a lobster, or a tail, like a lizard, sacrificing a part rather than risk the whole. In most cases autotomy takes place at definite spots. The higher crustacea have special breaking-joints which enable them to throw off their claws and legs easily and with hardly any loss of blood; similar but less rigidly-predetermined breaking-joints occur in lizards' tails. It appears quite clear that whereas the regeneration of a lobster's claw is a survival of a basic property of life, its autotomy mechanism is a more special adaptation—to the risk of the animal being unable to escape if it is seized by the claw, and to the dangers of loss of blood if the exposed claw is damaged.

In addition to the basic functions, others may arise in the course of evolution to meet the needs of the particular type. Thus active locomotion is absent in most plants; and colour and pattern can only play an adaptive role in relation to higher animals with their elaborate sense-organs. From the point of view of selection, adaptations fall into two categories—those of preadaptations fitting an organism for a different environment or mode of life from the outset (p. 449), and adaptations in the ordinary sense, gradually evolved within the normal environment, whether stable or changing. A biological classification shows that adaptations fall into a few main groups. In the first place there are adaptations to the inorganic environment. Some of these, like the temperature-adaptation of local races in *Drosophila* (p. 191), or in frogs as described by Witschi (p. 235), or of tropical as against arctic organisms, may be of a general physiological nature, unrevealed described by Witschi (p. 235), or of tropical as against arctic organisms, may be of a general physiological nature, unrevealed in any structural peculiarity. Others, like the climbing and para-chuting habits of animals in tropical forests, or the black or red colour and the luminosity of deep-sea animals, are more special-ized. Hesse and others (1937) in their *Ecological Animal Geography* have produced an imposing array of the general types or regu-larities of adaptation imposed upon various types of fauna by the peculiarities of their inorganic environment. Frequently we can deduce an animal's mode of life and habitat from the struc-tural adaptation which it possesses. Occasionally, we may be can deduce an animal's mode of life and habitat from the struc-tural adaptation which it possesses. Occasionally we may be puzzled, but find that fuller knowledge solves the puzzle. Thus the association of prehensile tails, indicating arboreal life, and fossorial forefeet indicating burrowing habits, in some of the South American anteaters, appears a paradox, until we remember that the fossorial claws are needed to open up the nests of tree-termites (see Emerson, 1939, p. 293). Next we may take adaptations concerning the organic environ-ment—covering the functions of protection against enemies, the pursuit of prey, reaction against infectious disease and parasites, and the like. These are essentially interspecific. We also find intraspecific adaptations, concerned with competition or co-operation between individuals of the same species, e.g. the rapid

growth of many plant seedlings, and the recognition marks of gregarious mammals and birds.

growth of many plant seedlings, and the recognition marks of gregarious mammals and birds. Finally there are adaptations of a more internal nature, concerned with improvement in functions such as digestion or excretion; or with general co-ordination, whether by nervous or endocrine means; or with the regulation of the internal environment. Reproduction may also be considered in this category. As examples of these various internal adaptations we may take the adaptation of the form of the digestive tract and the kinds and quantities of enzymes produced by it to the type of food normally eaten; in nervous co-ordination, we need only think of the inborn mechanism whereby every time a limb-muscle is stimulated to action, its normal antagonist is inhibited and relaxed, enabling the contraction of the other to be more effective; in internal regulation we may take the astonishingly delicate mechanism whereby the acidity of the blood is kept constant in higher mammals; in reproduction we need go no further than the human species and reflect on the mutual reaction between early embryo and uterus by which the elaborately-organized placenta is produced. These various classes of adaptations of course overlap and intergrade. None the less, an enumeration of them is useful in reminding ourselves that adaptations are nothing else than arrangements subserving specialized functions, adjusted to the needs and the mode of life of the species or type. Most adaptations belonging to our first two categories subserve functions usually called ecological, while the functions of most of those in the last group are physiological. The concept of function has for so long been the preserve of physiology in the restricted sense that we are apt to forget that ecological function is of equal importance to the species.

Our enumeration will also serve as a reminder of the omnipresence of adaptation. Adaptation cannot but be universal among organisms, and every organism cannot be other than a bundle of adaptations, more or less detailed and efficient, coordinated in greater or lesser degree.

On the other hand, adaptations subserving different functions

may be mutually destructive, e.g. high specialization for sexual display is antagonistic to cryptic resemblance. In such cases, the balance between the opposing tendencies will vary in a very instructive way according to the ecology of the species (see p. 426). Artificial selection, as so often, provides valuable parallels. Thus some breeds of dogs, such as bulldogs and St. Bernards, owe their appearance to genes which are on the verge of inducing lethality, and can only be retained by selection of compensatory modifiers (see p. 71). Again, very high milk-producing capacity, rapidity of growth, or extreme conformation for meat purposes in cattle, pigs, etc., may be close to the limit of physiological possibilities; in inferior environments (backward tropical regions) animals of this type cannot maintain themselves, so strong is counter-selection.

From the inexhaustible array of possible examples, we may select a few which have been subjected to quantitative analysis, which are unfamiliar or striking, or are of particular importance for evolutionary theory.

A. H. Miller (1937) has analysed in detail the structural peculiarities of the Hawaiian goose (*Nesochen sandvicensis*). This is an endemic of the Sandwich Islands, and exhibits specialization towards a non-aquatic running and climbing habit, with restriction of flying power and absence of migration. Its habitat is arid, and not only does it appear never to enter water, in the wild state, but never to drink it except in the form of dew. In correlation with its specialized habits, the webs of the feet are reduced, the legs increased markedly in relative size; a number of muscle and tendon characters (quite different from those prominent in forms specialized for swimming) promote walking and running ability, while the long and flexible toes, with the large plantar pads, help it to climb among the steep irregular lavaflows; the wings and sternum, on the other hand, are definitely reduced. This example is of course much less striking than many classical cases, such as that of the giraffe or the mole, but it illustrates the general adaptive correlation of structure with habit, so clearly set forth by Böker (1924). Similarly the thrashers (*Toxostoma*) are adapted to digging (Engels, 1940). From a rather different point of view the exhaustive work of Sick (1937) is worth mentioning. His detailed analysis of featherstructure in flying birds demonstrates that feathers exhibit adaptations for efficiency in flight down to the smallest and most unexpected details of structure and intercorrelation.

tations for efficiency in flight down to the smallest and most unexpected details of structure and intercorrelation. Desert animals show interesting behavioural adaptations against high winds (Buxton, 1923, p. 110). Thus various desert butterflies spend most of their active life flying about inside quite small bushes, in order to avoid being blown away; and various desert birds, like Clot-bey's lark (*Rhamphocorys clot-bey*), fortify the rim of their nest with ramparts of pebbles.

Our next set of examples concerns adaptations for the performance of a function overlooked by most biologists, that of toilet in mammals, on which Wood-Jones (1939b) has just published a valuable essay.

The most interesting cases are concerned with the care of the coat. Ungulates lack special structural adaptations for this function, and substitute the crude method of the rubbing-post, combined with a very restricted application of the tongue, and in some instances with the almost equally crude use of horns or antlers. In Equidae the subcutaneous muscle-sheet is highly developed so as to be capable of strong twitching; this, while mainly directed against flies, has a subsidiary toilet function.

In various mammals the tongue is the chief toilet organ. Its greatest specialization for this function is seen in the Felidae, among which it is much rougher than in other mammals. Wood-Jones seems to be correct in maintaining that this roughness has been evolved primarily as a brush-and-comb. In regard to behavioural toilet adaptations also the cats are specialized: they are the only animals to lick their paws and use them to reach parts of the head not accessible to the tongue. Other organs that may show special toilet adaptations are the teeth and the feet. The most remarkable of these are the procumbent lower incisors and canines of the lemurs. These have all become strangely modified both in shape and position, so that they constitute a most efficient six-toothed comb, the downward strokes of which are well suited for dealing with the animals' thick woolly fur. Further, just as combs need cleaning, so do these teeth: this secondary toilet function is carried out by an abnormally developed sublingua. It should be noted that the development of teeth as toilet adaptations in lemurs is correlated with the almost complete substitution of nails for claws on their digits.

The unrelated "flying lemur", Galeopithecus, and the bats are also precluded, though in another way, from the full use of their feet as toilet organs; and Wood-Jones points out that they, too, have lower front teeth which appear to be adapted as combs, though in a different way from the lemurs'. In Galeopithecus there is also a secondary toilet organ, in the shape of the serrated front edge of the tongue, which acts as a tooth-brush for the pectinated teeth. The toilet function of the special teeth has not been observed here as it has in the lemurs, but may with reasonable certainty be deduced.

In marsupials, Wood-Jones has observed that the polyprotodonts use their incisors as combs, so that the small size, large number, structure (and in some cases position) of these may be regarded as toilet adaptations, though the hind feet are also employed (as we employ both brush and comb). The few large incisors of the diprotodonts, on the other hand, are ill-suited for this purpose, and not employed in the toilet, Here, the united but much reduced syndactylous digits of the hind feet appear to be of use solely as toilet instruments. As with the teeth of the polyprotodonts, their size and shape are correlated with the length and type of fur with which they have to deal. The bandicoots (Peramelidae) appear to be an exception, since they are polyprotodont but syndactylous. But observation shows that the shape of their teeth is not adapted to acting as a comb, so that the exception proves the rule.

Dusting instincts are among the important toilet adaptations, and may restrict habitat (e.g. in *Dipodomys*: Dale, 1939).

Among the other cases cited by Wood-Jones we may mention the special bristly brushes on the feet of certain bats.* But enough

* Actually the most elaborate of all structural toilet adaptations are found in higher insects, such as ants and bees.

has been said to show the common characteristic of a particular type of adaptation. It is concerned with a function: the function may be carried out by different organs or combinations of organs in different forms: and the organs concerned show different degrees of structural modification correlated with efficiency in carrying out the function.

A word may here be devoted to the nest sanitation of birds, as this is a good illustration of an adaptation with two points of special interest—it is transitory, but unlike other transitory adap-tations such as the foetal membranes of amniotes, the eggtooth of birds, or the larval structure of echinoderms, it is wholly or mainly a matter of behaviour. In almost all birds, the nest-cup shows a degree of cleanliness which is astonishing until one reflects on the impossibility of rearing a brood of nestlings in their own filth. This cleanliness is secured in various ways. in their own filth. This cleanliness is secured in various ways. In some forms, such as birds of prey in the later nestling stage, the young defecate only after backing up to the nest-rim; in these, specially developed muscles ensure that the faeces are projected well clear of the nest. In most passerines (and some other forms), the droppings are encased in a gelatinous sac secreted by the nestling's intestine. This makes it easy for the parent bird to handle the droppings, which are either eaten or carried away to a distance. In some cases they are eaten while the nestlings are small, but removed when they grow larger, and in still other cases (e.g. starling, wren, swallow) a third stage is added in which the young evacuate backwards, clear of the nest. In some woodpeckers, the parents mix the nestlings' excreta with sawdust to facilitate handling. Young kingfishers appear to use the innermost part of the nest-tunnel as a latrine. In various species with domed nests, such as the willow warbler (*Phyllo-scopus trochilus*), the nestlings eject their faecal sacs on to the outer rim of the nest, outside the entrance hole and to the side of it, whence they are removed by the parents. But perhaps the of it, whence they are removed by the parents. But perhaps the most interesting fact is that in many species the nestlings will not defecate until the parent taps the cloaca with its beak, often awaiting relief for long periods with upturned posterior! All these adaptations cease to operate, whether in parents or nestlings, as

soon as the young birds leave the nest (Blair and Tucker, 1941). The delicacy of transitory adaptation is shown by the larva, jaws of the parasitoid *Glypta haesitator* (Cameron, 1938). These are feebly developed in the second and third instars, when only fluid food is taken, but are powerful in the first, when they are needed for eclosion, and the fourth, when they are required for feeding on solid food and for eating a way out of the host.

In conclusion, we may mention some cases of adaptation for display among birds. Stonor (1936, 1938, 1940), gives a detailed analysis for the birds of paradise (Paradiseidae) and shows conclusively that the remarkable variety of display structures and the equally remarkable variety of display attitudes found in the family are invariably combined to produce the maximum of visual effect. Two examples must suffice. The rifle-bird, *Epimachus (Ptilorhis) paradisea*, has a display quite unlike that of any other member of the family, in which the wings are spread in butterfly fashion; and the effect is enhanced by the broadening in butterfly fashion; and the effect is enhanced by the broadening of some of the wing-feathers, resulting in a broader and more conspicuous wing. Again, the lesser superb bird of paradise (Lophorina superba), has two small patches of specially iridescent feathers on the head. For display, these are erected in such a way as to catch the light and appear as brilliant false eyes. Stonor (1940) gives an equally illuminating functional analysis of the displays of the pheasants and their allies (Phasianidae). We may cite one little-known example. In Bulwer's pheasant (I chine head head and the pheasants of the compressed tail

We may cite one little-known example. In Bulwer's pheasant (*Lobiophasis bulweri*), the hinder feathers of the compressed tail are stiff and project downwards. In display, they are rapidly drawn through the dead leaves of the forest floor, and enhance the striking visual effect by means of sound (Heinroth, 1938). Among the herons, I have myself studied the display of the Louisiana heron (*Hydranassa tricolor*) and the lesser egret (*Egretta thule*). Both have a crest, somewhat lengthened neck-feathers and special feathery aigrette plumes on the back. However, the latter are much more highly developed in the caret

ever, the latter are much more highly developed in the egret, the crest and neck-feathers in the heron. And in correlation with this, the egret in display bends down so as to render the fan of filmy aigrettes conspicuous, while the heron erects its head and
426 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS neck, and the visual effect of the display depends mainly on the crest and much-bristled neck-plumes (Huxley, 1923b). Conspicuousness is an essential of display: but this function runs counter to the need for concealment. The reconciliation of these opposing selective tendencies is effected in various inter-esting ways (see Huxley, 1938c). Where the need for visual concealment is least, as in dense forest, selection for conspicuous-ness can have full play. It is certainly no coincidence that the most brilliant secondary sexual characters are found in forest forms such as birds of paradise, peacock, most pheasants, trogons, many humming-birds, etc. (Stonor, 1940). Where the need for more concealment is greatest, as in defenceless birds of open or relatively open country, display-coloration may be wholly absent, as in the skylark (*Alauda arvensis*), and visual stimulation must be effected solely by striking behaviour. In other cases, as with the prairie chicken (*Tympanuchus cupido*) of the American prairies, a compromise is effected by which the display characters are normally invisible and the bird is markedly cryptic, but become strikingly conspicuous (in this case by expanding of concealed patches of bare yellow skin on either side of the neck, until they look like half-oranges) during the display itself. The great bustard (*Otis tarda*) of the European plains is another striking example, which, by inflating an enormous throat-pouch and everting the wings to show normally concealed white feathers, transforms itself from an inconspicuous to a highly conspicuous object during its display.

itself from an inconspicuous to a highly conspicuous object during its display. Finally, the difference in reproductive habits in birds makes it possible to calculate the differing selective advantage that accrues from success in mating (Huxley, 1938a and b). We may distin-guish fractional, unitary, and multiple reproductive advantage. Fractional reproductive advantage is provided by stimulative characters whose effect is merely to raise the reproductive effi-ciency of a single mate. Unitary reproductive advantage accrues to monogamous forms from characters adapted to securing a mate in the first instance: the male bird either secures a mate and reproduces or does not do so and fails to reproduce And and reproduces, or does not do so and fails to reproduce. And multiple reproductive advantage accrues in polygamous forms

from characters adapted to securing mates and in promiscuous forms from those adapted to securing coition: success here means transmitting successful characters to the offspring of many mates instead of only one. In correlation with these differences in selective value, characters with a fractional advantage, like display-characters in monogamous territorial passerine birds, in which display occurs only after a mate has been secured, are never very strongly developed. But in such forms a number of males regularly fail to secure a territory and a mate; and the characters concerned with securing this reproductive advantage, such as song, are striking and may appear exaggerated or "hyper-telic". Finally, where multiple reproductive advantage exists, display characters and display behaviour normally reach an extraordinary pitch of exaggeration, as in ruff (*Machetes*), pea-cock (*Pavo cristatus*), various pheasants and grouse, birds of paradise, etc., and the display-characters may even be clearly paradise, etc., and the display-characters may even be clearly disadvantageous to the individual in all aspects of existence other than the reproductive, as in the train of the peacock, the wings of the argus pheasant (Huxley and Bond, 1942, Proc. Zool. Soc. A.3: 277), or the plumes of some birds of paradise (and see p. 484).

The giant panda (Ailuropoda melanoleuca) has recently been shown to possess an unexpected structural adaptation to its shown to possess an unexpected structural adaptation to its special feeding habits (Wood-Jones, 1939*a*). As is well known, this aberrant carnivore lives almost exclusively on bamboo-shoots. In order to hold these properly while feeding, the sesa-moid bone on the radial side of the hand has been much enlarged and furnished with a regular articulation with the scaphoid bone, and a muscle which normally runs to the base of the pollex has become diverted to it. The sesamoid with its overlying horny pad has thus become modified into an organ functioning as an opposable thumb. The actual pollex was apparently too specialized to be modified in this direction. Through this remarkable adaptation the giant panda has become endowed with delicate grasping capacity far beyond that of any other member of the order, though the common panda (Ailurus fulgens) shows some modification in this direction.

As one more example of this type of adaptation we may take

<page-header><text><text><text><text>

ADAPTATION AND SELECTION 429 Adaptation is just as often manifest internally as externally, in improvement of some physiological function as in better adjustment of some obvious external character like colour or pattern to the environment. Thus, to take a recently investi-gated example, the giant nerve-fibres of various cephalopods constitute an adaptation for quick and simultaneous contraction of the mantle to expel a jet of water (Puniphrey and Young, 1938). In *Loligo*, the size of the fibres is graded, larger fibres being found in longer nerves; "this is apparently a further device for securing more nearly simultaneous contraction". The adaptation of parasites to their hosts comprises a wide range of physiological features, among which the degree of virulence may be singled out here. As is well known, many parasites are only mildly or not at all pathogenic to their natural hosts, though extremely virulent when given the opportunity of attacking "virgin" hosts, e.g. the trypanosomes of wild game when they obtain a footing in domestic cattle. While this is in part due to an adaptive increase of resistance on the part of the hosts (cf. the resistance to measles, etc., of human populations which have been long exposed to the disease, while unadapted populations exhibit a high mortality), it may be in part due to the parasite developing an adaptive lower degree of virulence. For it is obviously a disadvantage, from a survival standpoint, for a parasite to kill its host, so that strains of too high virulence will tend to eliminate themselves. will tend to eliminate themselves.

will tend to eliminate themselves. Adaptations to symbiosis are sometimes very striking. Thus numerous animals are enabled to exist in wood by utilizing fungi which break down the wood and probably also act as a source of food for the animal. Special pockets are often produced by the animal, in which a supply of the fungus is carried. This occurs for instance in the larvae (probably only the females) of the wood-wasp *Sirex* (Parkin, 1941); for numerous other examples, see Buchner's book (1928). That the special organs are definite adaptations for ensuring a constant supply of the sym-bionts cannot be questioned. *Tridacna* has remarkable adaptations for exploiting algae (Yonge, 1936), including lenses for increasing photosynthesis. photosynthesis.

Again, the comparative study of respiratory pigments and respiratory behaviour in animals has revealed a series of respiratory adaptations to way of life (see p. 435). Recent work on animals with ciliary feeding has similarly revealed the existence of diverse and elaborate adaptations adjusting the ciliary mechanism to different modes of life (cf. Yonge, 1938b). The total range of these functional devices is very large, and (once the hypothesis of special creation is ruled out) can only be ascribed to accurate selective adaptation. We need not continue the list: it would be almost coterminous with the data of comparative physiology and physiological ecology.

of comparative physiology and physiological ecology.

3. REGULARITIES OF ADAPTATION

The perusal of such a work as Hesse, Allee, and Schmidt's *Ecological Animal Geography* (1937) shows that the study of faunas and floras confined to particular habitats will invariably reveal certain recurrent peculiarities. Sometimes these recurrent characters are obviously, or at least *prima facie*, adaptive, like the coloration of desert or pelagic forms, the prevalence of special touch-organs and of luminescence in the deep sea, webbed feet in aquatic birds and mammals, or prehensile tails in forest-living vertebrates. In other cases they are correlated characters in Darwin's sense: this applies, for instance, to some (though not all) of the reduction in relative size of exposed parts like ears or limbs, in subspecies or closely related species of mammals from high latitudes (p. 213). In still other cases, their significance is doubtful, but even then the fact of their correlation with a particular habitat must be of some significance, and points the particular habitat must be of some significance, and points the

particular habitat must be of some significance, and points use way to further analysis. We have already mentioned certain regularities of variation in discussing clines (pp. 211 seq.), and given reasons for believing that most of them were genetic and adaptive, though the visible characters concerned might often be only correlates of the invisible physiological adaptations. In other cases, we cannot be sure whether the regularities are genetic or purely modificational. Among these we may

mention the parallel variation seen in many related species of fish with decreasing salinity (see e.g. Möbius and Heincke, 1883), the tendency of fresh-water mussels to be more globose in larger waters (Ball, 1922), or the increase in thickness and spinosity of shell in the river snails of the genus *Io* as one proceeds downstream (Adams, 1915). One must therefore suspend judgment as to the adaptive nature of such regularities pending experimental analysis.

mental analysis. As illustrating genetic regularities, we may take those of desert grasshoppers (Acrididae), as described by Uvarov (1938). This example is perhaps specially pertinent, since Uvarov is an opponent of all adaptational interpretations. He distinguishes four main faunas within the major climatic habitat afforded by desert—the deserticolous proper, inhabiting bare open ground; the saxicolous, inhabiting the rougher habitat provided by the rocky slopes of low eroded desert mountains; the arbusticolous, inhabiting the xerophilous shrubs of many deserts; and the graminicolous, inhabiting the perennial grasses of certain desert plains. These four types differ markedly in body-shape. The deserticolous forms have a depressed body (measured on the metathorax) with width-height ratio from $1\cdot0$ to $2\cdot0$. In saxicolous forms the ratio is from $0\cdot7$ to $1\cdot0$, much of the height being due to a prominent narrow dorsal crest. Arbusticolous forms have a similar ratio, though without the crest; and the graminicolous forms have the most compressed bodies of all.

of all. In addition, deserticolous forms tend to be hairy, with punctured, wrinkled, or otherwise sculptured surface, and close resemblance in colour to the soil, often coupled with flash coloration in the hind wings and legs. Most of them are good fliers. In saxicolous forms, the sculpturing is much coarser (the above-mentioned dorsal crest being itself an example of this), and there is a considerably higher percentage of flightless forms. Coloration is similar to that of the first group. Arbusticolous forms possess "climbing legs", which differ in their proportions from the jumping legs of the first two types; they also exhibit concealing coloration, which here, however, tends to be greyish-

green. Finally, in the graminicolous forms hairiness and surface sculpture are usually negligible, flash coloration is absent, and general coloration is that of green or dry grass, frequently with the sharply-defined light longitudinal stripes that Cott (1940) has shown to be obliterative in grassy habitats. In spite of Uvarov's anti-adaptional bias, it would seem clear that in these various faunas coloration, both general and flash, body form, and body sculpture are all adaptive. If the form of the legs in the arbusticolous forms can be designated by the functional term of "climbing", it would seem natural to designate the coloration as "concealing". The high pilosity of the open desert forms merits further study. Another excellent recent example, the result of careful field

Another excellent recent example, the result of careful field study of a fauna inhabiting a region with well-marked ecological characteristics, is the work of Linsdale (1938) on the avifauna of the Great Basin in the western U.S.A. The region is arid, the climate severe, with prevalence of strong winds and some-what scanty and usually low vegetation; the distribution of most birds therefore tends to be more scattered than in more luxuriant surroundings. The preponderating characters of the passerine birds correlated with those environmental features are as follows: birds correlated with those environmental features are as follows: a great development of flight-songs, in relation to the scarcity of high perches; a high percentage of protectively-coloured adults; a tendency for both nestling plumage and nest-lining to be pale-coloured, in order to reflect excessive light;* strong powers of flight, to cope with the wind; a high proportion of species are migratory, in relation to the severity of the climate; songs and calls are unusually loud, to compensate for the scattered distribution of individuals; long-range vision is unusually acute, partly for the same reason, partly in correlation with the lack of obstruction by vegetation; a high proportion of forms nest on or close to the ground. Dice (1940*b*) calls attention to adaptive regularities among the

Dice (1940b) calls attention to adaptive regularities among the subspecies of the single genus *Peromyscus*, and we mention else-

^{*} Linsdale (1936) has also shown that the opposite conditions are correlated with dark nest-lining and nestling plumage, thus facilitating the maximum absorption of heat.

where (p. 214) the similar regularities in the Australian bird Acanthiza.

We have already referred to the frequent correlation of general tint with climate (Gloger's rule, p. 273). Meinertzhagen (1934) gives a good example of this, in the darker plumage of a number of bird species in the Outer Hebrides. He concludes that reduced sunshine and increased atmospheric humidity, rather than higher rainfall, are the meteorological factors responsible.

Meinertzhagen (1919) also points out that in migratory species these regularities are correlated only with the climate of the breeding-quarters, not at all with that of the winter-quarters. This may be due to the greater intensity of selection during the breeding-season (cf. p. 212).

Buxton (1923, ch. 7) gives a valuable summary of the coloration of desert animals; but his rejection of their cryptic selective value is much too sweeping. Though doubtless many instances of sandy pallor in deserts are examples of Gloger's rule, and correlated primarily with climate, many others are certainly cryptic. His objection that normally invisible areas, such as the soles of the feet in mice, are of the same colour as the visible parts may be accounted for by "correlated variation", the entire colour being affected except where selective counter-reasons exist. In general, pigmented chitin is tougher, more heatabsorptive, and less permeable to water-vapour. This accounts for various regularities of insect distribution (Kalmus, 1941b), e.g. the frequency of black desert species (p. 451), and the increase of pigmentation with altitude and latitude.

It should be mentioned here that some bird species have been experimentally darkened by exposure to humid conditions. The most interesting case for our purpose is *Munia flaviprymna*, a desert form of weaver from Australia (Seth-Smith, 1907). The dark experimental modification of this form, though rather variable, is somewhat similar to a dark form found in nature in a more humid region of Australia. It was at first concluded that the dark colour of this latter form (which was treated by Seth-Smith as a distinct species, but is to-day regarded as subspecific) was itself only modificational. It is much more likely, however,

434 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS especially in view of its greater variability, that the experimentally darkened desert form was what Goldschmidt (e.g. 1940) calls a phenocopy of the genetic darkening of the subspecies from the humid region. If so, the two contrasted forms may have arisen by organic selection (p. 304), genetic adaptation having replaced an original adaptive modification. In any case it is worth noting that other climatic colour-forms are not modifiable in this way. Thus Sumner (1932, p. 26) could obtain no darkening of pale forms of desert *Peromyscus* in more humid conditions, or lightening of dark humid forms in drier conditions. When adaptive regularities exist, any exceptions to them immediately attract attention and call for analysis. For instance, the correlation of some sort of webbing on the feet with markedly aquatic habits is all but universal in birds. Ducks, geese, swans, gulls, terns, petrels, frigate birds, pelicans, cormorants, gannets, and the like have either three or all four toes joined by a web; coots, moorhens, grebes, and phalaropes have lateral lobes on each toe. But the dippers (*Cinclus*) exhibit not a trace of webbing or any other aquatic adaptation, although they are restricted to streams, obtain much of their food below the surface of the water, and can swim on the surface. Structurally, they appear as water, and can swim on the surface. Structurally, they appear as terrestrial as a thrush or a wren. Can there be a reason for this terrestrial as a thrush or a wren. Can there be a reason for this exception to the rule, or are they still in the early stages of adaptation to a new habitat? Their wide distribution seems to negative the latter explanation. The suggestion may be made that they have adopted a unique type of aquatic food-seeking. Many birds that frequent stream-edges walk some way into the water in order to find food: the dippers have extended this habit and walk on until they are wholly submerged. They search for food by subaqueous walking, and in this they not only do not require webbing but can get a better grip of submerged water-plants and rough surfaces if their toes are free. The excep-tion is a clue to exceptional habits. Determination of metabolism, temperature-resistance, etc.

Determination of metabolism, temperature-resistance, etc., when combined with accurate anatomico-physiological study of respiration and directed by ecological knowledge, often reveal regularities in the close adaptation of forms to their habitat.

As an example we may take the work of Wingfield (1939a, b) on mayfly nymphs belonging to various genera. Thus in Baëtis from swift streams, the tracheal gills do not aid oxygen-consumption; in the pond-dwelling *Chloeon dipterum* they act as an accessory respiratory mechanism by promoting ventilation, but at low oxygen concentrations only; while in the burrowing *Ephemera vulgata* they aid oxygen-consumption in all circumstances, apparently as true respiratory organs as well as by providing ventilation. Similarly, forms from swift streams have a lower thermal tolerance than those from slow streams, while those from ponds are most resistant. This is in accordance with the temperature extremes expected in nature (see also Whitney, 1939).

lower thermal tolerance than those from slow streams, while those from ponds are most resistant. This is in accordance with the tem-perature extremes expected in nature (see also Whitney, 1939). Fox and his co-workers (see H. M. Fox, 1939) have studied the activity and metabolism of poikilothermal animals of very various kinds from different latitudes. Among closely-related species, the one living in higher latitudes is generally, but by no means always, adapted in some way to the lower temperatures of its normal habitat: at a given temperature, its heart-beat, respiratory movements, or other activity, is greater than that of its relative from warmer regions. The same phenomenon may also be found as between high-latitude and low-latitude popula-tions of the same species. Differential heat-resistance also exists in many cases. As Fox points out, it is difficult to be sure whether the undoubted adaptation thus shown, enabling cold-water types to carry on the business of living at a reasonable rate, is modifi-cational, genetic, or a mixture of the two. We are probably safe in assuming that, when the difference is one between different species and is of considerable extent, it is mainly genetic, although the recent work of Mellanby (1940) shows how rapid and extensive modificational adaptation may be. A critical analysis of the problem is highly desirable. (Cf. calcicole plants, p. 273.) J. A. Moore (1941) has demonstrated a similar and undoubtedly genetic adaptation in different species of frogs, those from colder breeding habitats having a lower temperature-tolerance, and faster-developing eggs. Even the jelly-membranes and the form of the egg-mass are climatically adapted (Moore, 1940). Again, the field-mouse *Apodemus flavicollis*, in correlation with its

distribution, prefers rather lower temperatures than the closely related A. sylvaticus (Kalabuchov, 1939); furthermore, within the species, individuals from higher latitudes preferred lower temperatures than those from warmer regions. (See p. 271.) That the adaptation between geographical varieties or sub-species of a single species may also be mainly genetic is shown by various researches, such as Timoféeff-Ressovsky's previously cited work on local variation of temperature-resistance in Droso-phila funebris (p. 191), but most exhaustively by the studies of Goldschmidt (1934, 1938b) on the gipsy moth Lymantria dispar. Here the genetic peculiarities of the geographic race, to use Goldschmidt's own words, "harmonize the life-cycle of the animal, especially the feeding season and the diapause, with the

Goldschmidt's own words, "harmonize the life-cycle of the animal, especially the feeding season and the diapause, with the seasonal cycle of the inhabited region". In many cases, notably in Japan, the lines of genetic demar-cation between major groups of races are quite sharp. Originally it had been found impossible to correlate these lines with corre-sponding sharp changes in any single meteorological factor. Recently, however, as Goldschmidt (1938b) points out, it has been shown that they correspond with extreme accuracy with changes in soil type, and that the soil types in their turn depend upon the interrelation of several meteorological factors. This is a reminder of the fact that climate cannot be properly measured by variations in single meteorological phenomena, such as tem-perature or day-length, since it inevitably represents a complex summation of numerous factors; and further, that physical factors like soil or biological features such as geographical distrisummation of numerous factors; and further, that physical factors like soil or biological features such as geographical distribution may often prove the best indicators of such summations. In this instance, the discovery of physiological adaptations between subspecies of moths proved to be the first (and very accurate) indication of climatic regional differences. It should, however, be noted that though the subspeciation of the gipsy moth is thus delicately adjusted to climate, adaptation to food plants may act as a limiting factor (see later for cases of climatic limiting adaptations). For instance, in the U.S.S.R., the area of periodic mass outbreaks of rapid reproduction of L. dispar coincides with the distribution of its optimal food, the oak plant. ADAPTATION AND SELECTION 437 Plants, too, may show delicate climatic adaptation of geo-graphical (ecoclimatic) subspecies. Thus according to Clausen, Keck, and Hiesey (1937) the coastal subspecies (which they call ecotypes) of most Californian plants have a constitution genetically harmonized with a climate providing mild winters and a long growing period. Transplanted to an alpine station, although their development is hastened through dwarfing, they can seldom or never mature any seed, and are often unable to flower on resuming growth in the summer. The alpine subspecies ("ecotypes") of the same plant have a cycle related to a climate of long cold winters and a short growing period. Transplanted to a coastal station, they flower poorly or not at all, and show a generally weak appearance in spring. "The adaptive capacity (modificational plasticity) of coastal and alpine ecotypes is there-fore insufficient to allow either to live and to compete in the habitat of the other. It is the difference in inheritance that enables them to succeed in their respective regions."

habitat of the other. It is the difference in inheritance that enables them to succeed in their respective regions." The exhaustive experimental studies of Turesson (see sum-mary in Barton-Wright, 1932) have independently led him to similar conclusions. In different regions, adaptations arise which are jointly related to climate and life-cycle. He investigated both summer-flowering (aestival) and spring-flowering types. In aestival forms, the more southerly populations showed a con-siderable (genetic) increase in height combined with lateness, while alpine populations showed earliness and reduced height as compared with lowland ones. In spring-flowering forms, on the other hand, it is the more northerly populations that show lateness, up to the latitude of southern Sweden; further north than this, earliness is again favoured. The low-latitude earliness lateness, up to the latitude of southern Sweden; further north than this, earliness is again favoured. The low-latitude carliness appears to be related to the general earliness of trees in the region, for it is advantageous for the spring herbs to produce their leaves and flowers before the leafy canopy cuts off the sunlight; in very high-latitude spring forms, earliness is doubt-less correlated with the shortness of the vegetation period. All the regional peculiarities of the plants investigated are thus adaptive. Similar though less exact conclusions are reached by O. E. White (1926). For instance, black walnuts (*Juglans nigra*) from

Minnesota are much winter-hardier than those from Alabama or Texas, though morphologically indistinguishable. Again, a high mountain ecotype of the Cedar of Lebanon (*Cedrus libani*) is perfectly hardy in Massachusetts, where the normal form of this species shows poor cold-resistance. In support of adaptive climatic differentiation within the species, White cites the common practice of gardeners and foresters to use seed from the northern limit of a species' range when winter-hardiness is desired.

4. ADAPTATION AS A RELATIVE CONCEPT

In cases like these, the physiological characters of the local groups must clearly have been adjusted during evolution to the climatic characters of their environments, and are thus in the strictest sense adaptive. But there are many examples where the evolutionary relation between physiology and climate is not so obvious. As illustration we may take some of the cases of plant distribution in Britain so interestingly discussed by Salisbury (1939). In the Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), pollination occurs normally in May, but fertilization not for another thirteen months. Unless the temperature in both summers reaches a certain minimum combination the pollen-tubes will not reach the ovules. This provides quite a different set of meteorological conditions for fulfilment than does the attainment of a minimum level of temperature during one season, as would be the case for the fertilization of most species, and there is some evidence to show that it is a limiting factor for the northern distribution of the species.

In many cases it is the temperature obtaining during the time of fruit-formation, not flower-formation, which is decisive. This is so, for instance, with many species of the southern element in the British flora, such as the common milkwort, *Polygala calcarea*, or the fluellin, *Linaria spuria*. The form of the life-history may be of importance in various ways. For instance, the time at which flower-buds are laid down varies in different plants. In daffodils it is May, and the optimum is about 9° C., while in hyacinths it is August and the optimum about 25°. Thus in daffodils either too high or too low May temperatures would inhibit flower production, while they would have no influence on the process in hyacinths. Again, the two British species of *Arum* differ in their winter habits, the common cuckoo-pint, *A. maculatum*, over-wintering as a deep-situated corm, immune from most frosts, while *A. neglectum* produces its new foliage in December. The latter is thus readily killed by frost, but where it can survive, its winter photosynthesis gives it an early start in spring before the trees above it have developed their leaves. The British range of *A. maculatum* extends far into Scotland, whereas that of *A. neglectum* is restricted to our southern and south-western coasts. We may say that *A. neglectum* shows an adaptation to woodland life—but only in mild temperate climates. A somewhat similar difference, with similar results on distribution, is seen between *Scilla verna* and *S. autumnalis*.

Numerous similar cases may be found in textbooks of plant ecology. We may add a recent example from animals. Nash (1937) has been able to study the ecology of the tsetse-fly Glossina morsitans both in East and West Africa (Tanganyika and Northern Nigeria).* Both races appear to demand the same or very similar optimum conditions—a temperature of about 23°C. and a saturation deficiency of about 6 millibars. These conditions are much more nearly reproduced in the Berlinia-Brachystegia woodlands of Tanganyika than in the rather different habitat provided by the small residual forest islands of North Nigeria. In both regions these forest areas constitute the "true habitat" of the species. In the dry season, as evaporation rises the flies become restricted to this true habitat; but in the wet season they show a much wider dispersal. Distribution is definitely controlled by climatic factors, not by abundance of game for feeding.

In Tanganyika, the species breeds mainly under fallen trees; in the rainy season dispersal is very extensive, and the comparative mildness of the dry seasons may allow it to consolidate some of its wet season advances and to form new fly-belts. In North Nigeria, on the other hand, the species breeds promiscuously on

^{*} The West African form is often distinguished as a separate species, G. submorsitans; but is better regarded as a geographical subspecies.

the forest floor (so that the logtraps so valuable in East Africa arc useless); the wet season dispersal is much less in extent, and the severity of the dry season is such that no new colonization can occur; the concentration of fly during the dry season is much more pronounced; the heat and aridity of the dry season is sc great that certain habitats (small meadow-pans) are never available and mid-day inactivity (never observed in East Africa) occurs. Nash considers that the West African form has remained essen-

Nash considers that the West African form has remained essentially similar to the East African in its physiological requirements. "Having failed to adapt its constitution to the climate, it has perforce adapted its habits; had it evolved a constitution which preferred a higher degree of evaporation and temperature, the greater frequency of optimum conditions would have enabled it to become as widespread a pest as its East African representative."

tative." In a later paper Nash (1940) has applied these theoretical considerations in practice. Dealing with the three species of Glossina found in Nigeria, G. tachinoides, G. palpalis, and G. morsitans, he first established their basic ecological relations, and then introduced experimental clearing designed to accentuate the severity of pessimum conditions. With G. tachinoides, partial clearing on a small scale leads to local extermination, but this is followed by recolonization. With large-scale clearing, however, total extermination is obtained. With G. palpalis, this method appears to be of value only in the drier parts of the species' range. Finally, with G. morsitans, which has rather different ecological requirements, very extensive and ruthless total clearing is needed to effect extermination, and is not recommended "unless warranted by a large [human] population and abundant funds". The case is interesting as illustrating the practical applicability of an ecological viewpoint which thinks in terms of adaptation to environment.

These examples from tsetse-flies are illuminating in various ways. They illustrate, like the plant examples previously adduced, the importance of inherent physiological requirements, but also well demonstrate the role of modificational plasticity in ensuring adaptation. As would be expected, plasticity in this sense is more widespread among plants than among animals. Following up the pioneer work of Bonnier (1895), its extent has been investigated by various authors. Many forms have an astonishing degree of plasticity. Thus Clements (1929) was able to demonstrate marked alpine dwarfing in lowland types of many species transplanted into alpine conditions. He was at first inclined to minimize the existence of genetic differences between types. Later, however, (e.g. Clements, 1932) he admits that species differ in their plassticity. Thus in the genus *Mertensia*, *M. sibirica* has no plasticity, while *M. pratensis* and *M. lanceolata* can be made to resemble each other very closely.

cach other very closely. Clausen and his associates (see e.g. Clausen, Keck, and Hiesey, 1938, 1940) have shown how complex is the interrelation of genetic and modificational factors in such cases. For instance, four major ecotypes (ecoclimatic subspecies) are differentiated in the majority of plants in the U.S. Pacific slope—a coast range, a lower mountain, a subalpine, and an alpine form. Yet corresponding ecotypes of different species may react quite differently when transplanted. Thus the alpine race of *Potentilla diversifolia* is relatively stunted when transplanted to a mid-altitude station (though near sea-level it again becomes larger); but the alpine races of *P. glandulosa* and *P. gracilis* become largest at the midaltitude station and are most dwarfed in their natural habitat: the alpine races of *Achillea millefolium* and *Aster occidentalis*, on the other hand, while tallest at the mid-altitude station, are more dwarfed in lowland than in alpine conditions.

Mcanwhile Marsden-Jones and Turrill (1938, etc.), though failing to corroborate some of the more sweeping claims of Bonnier and of Clements (see discussion in Turrill, 1940), have demonstrated how different is the range of modificational plasticity in different species. Thus the knapweed *Centaurea nemoralis* and the kidney-vetch *Anthyllis vulneraria* are little modifiable by different soil conditions, while the plantain *Plantago major* is extremely plastic. In higher animals, behavioural adaptation seems to take the place of modificational plasticity in plants.

In some of these cases, the modification can hardly be regarded

as adaptive. This applies, for instance, to the stunting of organisms by unfavourable conditions as the limit of the range of the species is approached. This is of course common in plants, but may also occur in higher animals. Thus the American freshwater fish *Xenotis megalotis* is markedly smaller in the northern part of its range, in correlation with the mean temperature and the length of the warm season (Hubbs and Cooper, 1935). Fully-grown forms from northern Michigan are 20 per cent smaller than those from the south of the state. Though such modification appears to be wholly non-genetic, it must alter the ecological relations of the species.

appears to be wholly non-genetic, it must alter the ecological relations of the species. These examples of correlation between organic constitution and climate or habitat begin to shed light on the problem of adaptation as a whole. Some climatic adaptations show high specialization—for instance, the run-off mechanisms of plants exposed to constant moisture, or contrariwise the water-storage mechanisms of certain desert plants; some of these latter from the Arizona desert can store enough water to last for more than one rainless year—in certain cases (c.g. *Ibervillea sonorae*) up to ten or more! (see MacDougal, 1912). Other correlations with climate are more general, though clearly adaptive in the narrow sense of having been accumulated by selection over a long period. Here we may reckon the various adaptations of plants to cold winters—deciduousness in broad-leaved trees; restriction of transpiration in needle-leaved trees; over-wintering as bulbs, corms, or seeds, etc., in herbs; general resistance to low tempera-ture. Adaptations of mammals in cold climates to hibernation, to the reduction of heat-loss, or to adjust the breeding season to the needs of the growing young, fall under the same head. For example, in the roedeer fertilization occurs in autumn as with other north temperate Cervidae, but the embryo does not develop beyond the early segmentation stage until spring, thus ensuring birth in the favourable period of early summer (see F. H. A. Marshall, 1910, p. 32). Similar definite climatic adap-tations, but of a much more delicate nature, are to be found between closely related species, or, as we have seen in *Lymantria*, between races of a single species.

At the other extreme there are organisms with ranges limited by climatic factors, rather than closely adjusted to them. We have just seen excellent examples in *Glossina morsitans* and in various plants. Such forms of course show some climatic adaptation—no tsetse-fly could exist in the arctic, for instance, or in a full desert—but it is of a very general nature. The correlation between the organism and its environment is in this respect neither delicate nor exact: there is an absence of the lock-and-key correspondence to be seen, for instance, in some colour-adaptations, or in various devices for securing cross-pollination in plants—and apparently in the climatic relations of *Lymantria*. Similarly, many higher animals are found in a number of different habitats. Adaptation is then to a range of habitat-types, not to a single habitat. Certain features in the environment (here often in the plants rather than in physical characters) act as limits to the distribution of the species, but adaptation is not close or detailed (see Diver, 1938, 1940).

detailed (see Diver, 1938, 1940). The common heron (*Ardea cinerea*) shows a marked ecobiotic adaptation to securing food from shallow waters. In addition, it is restricted, and presumably adapted, to a certain climatic range. But the environment also acts selectively in yet another way. During exceptionally severe winters, herons may starve through the freezing of the waters which they frequent. The careful records compiled annually by the British Trust for Ornithology (Alexander, 1941) show that herons from colonies within easy range of salt water were least affected by the very severe winter of 1939-40. In 1940, the heron population of the British Isles showed a general decrease in number of occupied nests, compared with the average for the previous three years. But whereas for inland heronries (more than 25 miles from tidal waters) the decrease was 31 per cent and for those between 2 and 25 miles from tidal waters it was 26 per cent, for coastal heronries (less than 2 miles from tidal waters) it was only 13 per cent. Thus low winter temperatures and distance from the sea, sometimes separately, sometimes jointly, are bound to be factors limiting the northern distribution of the species. This is borne out by the facts. The heron breeds up to 70° N. in Norway but only to 66° in Sweden and to about 60° in the U.S.S.R.

444 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS
but only to 66° in Sweden and to about 60° in the U.S.S.R. (Witherby, 1938–41).
We find further that organisms may be adapted to climatic (and other environmental) factors either narrowly or broadly. Stenothermic species, for instance, have a narrow range of (1940, Amer. Nat. 74: 188) points out that eurythermy is very rare in aquatic animals, and is itself an adaptation to the fluctuating temperature of land life. Stenohaline and euryhaline forms may be similarly distinguished. We may extend the concept to individual plasticity by distinguishing "stenoplastic" and "curyplastic" forms (p. 519). Euryplasty may grade over into general viability, which is itself an adaptations they have arisen of the table of the species as a whole, since they have arisen stiely by intra-specific selection (§ 8), and are thus biologically by intra-specific selection (§ 8), and are thus biologically on adaptations affecting general viability, resistance to carsitoids, etc. (see A. J. Nicholson, 1927).
This is perhaps the place to mention some interesting cases which suggest that evolutionary adaptation to recent climatic forms of steady and considerable extension of range which any now be active. I refer to the numerous well-authenticated cases of steady and considerable extension of range which is used for the yellow-breasted bunting (*Emberiza aureola*). The former has since 1800 extended its range northwards from southern frace almost to the English Channel, and from the eastern Alps imost to the Baltic. The latter since 1825 has extended its range weight of the black redstart (*Ploenicurus ochruns*) has more or less.

paralleled the serin, and the greater spotted woodpecker (Dryobates major) has within fifty years extended its British range from south of the Tweed to the northernmost woodlands in southern Caithness (Witherby and others, 1938-41, J. Fisher, 1940c). The last two cases are not cited by Timoféeff-Ressovsky.

last two cases are not cited by Timoféeff-Ressovsky. Meinertzhagen (1919) cites other cases, such as the shorelark, *Eremophila flava*, which has not only expanded its breedingrange westwards, but about 1847 established a new migration route, in this differing from other species which have extended their range in a similar way. He also mentions the crested lark, *Galerida cristata*, as an example of the same phenomenon on a more extended time-scale, and accompanied by subspeciation. The fulmar petrel (*Fulmarus g. glacialis*) has shown a marked southern extension of range within the last sixty years along the coasts of Britain. The old supposition that the spread was initiated by a reduction of human depredations seems to be erroneous (J. Fisher, 1940a, b; Fisher and Waterston, 1941).

Among Lepidoptera, the moth *Plusia moneta*, first recorded in S. England in 1890, is now common there, and has reached Scotland (South, 1939). The comma butterfly, *Polygonia c-album*, hardly known in Britain outside Gloucestershire, Monmouthshire, and Herefordshire before 1920, has since markedly extended its range E., S., and N. (numerous reports in *The Entomologist*).

It may be suggested that, whenever the effects of human interference can be shown not to have been operative, such range-changes will generally be the result of a changed ecological adaptation. The ranges of forms like the fulmar would be much restricted by the amelioration of climate since the last ice-age, and any genetic changes in temperature-tolerance or nest-site selection which enabled the species to regain some of the lost ground would be subject to positive selection. The other species mentioned are extending into milder climates: here presumably a climatic preadaptation was already present, which changes in habitat-preference or nest-site selection have finally enabled the species to utilize. The matter is a complex one, however, and needs thorough investigation before we can conclude that the range-changes are the result of adaptive change.

4.4 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS
The must not be forgotten that, in the long perspective, dynamic solutionary trends are as important as are static interrelations at any given moment. The worsening of the climate at the end of the Mesozoic reduced the general adaptiveness of the dinosaurs, the cold-climate prevadaptation of many tetraploid plants to be come dominant over the other adaptive features of the corresponding diploids in higher latitudes, leading to extensive spread of the former. The spread of man favoured that of organisms readapted to be commensal or semi-parasitic on him or his crops, like house-sparrow, rat, house-martin, or "weeds" in general. Adaptation is thus seen, not as a hard-and-fast category, but something relative. It is not an all-or-nothing phenomenon, but takes many forms and exists in all degrees. Like other biological environment is just as important. In some cases plants are restricted to special habitats not because of special institution and where possible quantitative analysis. Furthermore, the biological environment is just as important. In some cases plants are restricted to special habitats, only where their extra margin of tolerance removes them from the swamping effective is various cases of this phenomenon. Thus *Ramunculus parviers* for their biological competitive vigour. Thus competition prevents their establishment in most habitats; only where their extra margin of tolerance removes them from the swamping effectives various cases of this phenomenon. Thus *Ramunculus parviers* for their biological competitors can they flourish. Salisbury (regultives various cases of this phenomenon. Thus *Ramunculus parviers* for their biological competitors can they flourish cases in bot only grows well, but much better than in nature, and produces ten to twenty times as many fruits. Again, the sorred mark are activate is notorious as a plant of acid solis. In cultivation nt not only grows well, but much better than in nature, and produces ten to twenty times as many fruits. Again, the sorrel *Rumex acetosa* is notorious as a plant of acid soils. In cultivation, however, it shows an increased growth on limed soils, proving that its restricted distribution in nature is due to the competition of plants which are less tolerant of acid conditions. Such examples "sufficiently illustrate the fact that plants grow

not where they would, but rather where they must". The same sort of thing is probably true of many plants characteristic of marginal conditions, c.g. alpine habitats (see p. 274).

In a letter (5. iv. 1940) Professor Salisbury has kindly furnished me with some further striking examples. One concerns the rosette plants of open grazing land. For instance, *Senecio campestris* and *Filipendula hexapetala* "are confined, as wild plants, to our chalk pastures, but their vigorous growth in other types of soil, when they are protected from competition by cultivation, indicates that their restriction in nature is due to the competition factor". The continual grazing prevents other plants from growing high enough to affect the rosette plants' growth, whereas their peculiar growth-habit flattens their own leaves down in such a way that they cannot readily be eaten.

Another example, involving quite different factors, is that of the hellebore (*Helleborus foetidus*), which in Britain is almost entirely confined to ash woods on calcareous soils. Here again in cultivation this species grows and reproduces well in nonwoodland and non-calcareous situations. Its peculiar restriction appears to be due to a combination of two factors. In the first place, it seems susceptible to competition, and any woodland habitat suppresses competitors which are vegetatively active only in summer, whereas it, being evergreen, can assimilate also in winter. On the other hand, most woodlands are too shady in summer; but the unshaded phase of ashwoods, which lasts for seven months out of the twelve, is sufficient for the hellebore. As Salisbury (1929) well says, "dominance may be the consequence of unfavourable conditions acting by *selective depression*, or to favourable conditions acting as a *selective stimulus*, but in either case the dominance is determined by the *relative* vigour of the species and its competitors".

The perfection of adaptation is also correlated with the degree of competition and other forms of selection-pressure. We discuss this phenomenon more at length elsewhere (pp. 426, 469 seq.) of this chapter. Here we will merely recall the well-known fact that the intensity of life in the tropics is correlated with a greater prevalence and a greater perfection of various adaptations, of which mimicry is perhaps the best studied. Similarly arboreal adaptations such as prehensile tails are most fully developed where the arboreal habitat is developed in most extreme fashion —in South America. Conversely, where selection-pressure is

where the arboreal habitat is developed in most extreme fashion —in South America. Conversely, where selection-pressure is lower, adaptation tends to be less perfect. We have seen a small-scale example of this in the cichlid fish of African lakes (p. 324). A large-scale example is provided by the marsupials of the Australian region. Tree-kangaroos, for instance, show an adap-tation to arboreal life so incomplete that one cannot imagine their survival in the tropical forests of Malaya or the Amazon. And in general, the Australian marsupials seem unable to com-pete successfully with introduced forms from other regions, whether predators or direct competitors. This brings us back to what has already been said about adap-tation and function (p. 417). Adaptation, we there said, "is merely the problem of efficient function seen from a slightly different angle". But it is a commonplace that all grades of efficiency of every function coexist in nature. The function of vision ranges from mere response to high light-intensities up to binocular colour-vision. Aquatic locomotion is at a low level in *Amoeba*, at a high pitch in a dolphin or mackerel. Thus we find in nature, not merely every possible type of adaptation, but every grade within each type. Efficiency of function at its most general consists in all-round viability, and this is largely a matter of harmonious adjustment of parts and part-functions. Thus whereas specialized adaptation may push its possessors close to the limits of biological possibility, extremes of all sorts will be discouraged in what we may call generalized adaptation. This is illustrated by the classical work of Bumpus (1899) who picked up a number of sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) found help-less in a storm, and compared those which died with those which revived. The survivors were in general more uniform, while those which died showed greater variability. What is possibly revived. The survivors were in general more uniform, while those which died showed greater variability. What is possibly the result of a similar selection of a central type was found by Weldon (1901) and by Cesnola (1907) in two types of land-snail (but not in a second species of *Clausilia*). The inner whorls of adult shells were found to be considerably less variable than

young shells of the same size, though the mean was the same. This appears to indicate selective elimination of extreme types.* This is what we would expect on a selectionist view. Organ-isms are selected, not on the basis of conformity to an ideal plan, not in relation to complete functional efficiency, but on the basis of survival. The forms that exist are those that have managed to survive; and survival may be and often is achieved by means of curiously makeshift devices. Not only that, but a high degree of adaptation in one character or function may be a measure of low efficiency in some other respect. It seems, for instance, to be no chance that the most elaborate devices for instance, to be no chance that the most elaborate devices for cross-pollination occur in somewhat rare species of orchids; and Batesian mimicry can only develop in types which are much rarer than their models. Again, specialization which brings success in one set of conditions may involve a loss of plasticity, and so be a real disadvantage if conditions change (see p. 377). Thus the study of adaptation seems destined to take a new turn. The first stage concerned itself with the fact of adaptation is such and such change is in adaptation

turn. The first stage concerned itself with the fact of adaptation —is such-and-such character an adaptation, or is it not? In the next stage biologists were interested in the mechanism of adap-tation—do adaptations arise through natural selection, by Lamarckian means, or in what other way? To-day the emphasis is on the analysis of adaptation itself, and the bearing of that analysis on other branches of biology—how well-developed are the different types of adaptations shown by a particular organism, and what light does its particular adaptive complex throw on its ecology and on the direction and the strength of the selection to which it has been exposed? The significance of adaptation can only be understood in relation to the total biology of the species.

5. PREADAPTATION

The subject of preadaptation demands a section to itself. By preadaptation (sometimes styled passive adaptation) we mean

^{*} The criticisms of Robson and Richards (1936, p. 211) do not appear to be pertinent. If, as they suggest, the young shells are more plastic, this should have been revealed in the inner whorls of old shells also. It is also difficult to see why environmental agencies should always reduce adult variability as compared with juvenile.

either that an existing species (or subspecies) is by its peculiarities predisposed to take advantage of a certain type of environment, or that a particular mutant or natural variety is from the outset adapted to particular conditions, whether those in which it originates, or others into which it might be thrown by chance. We may distinguish the two as constitutional and mutational preadaptation respectively. Let us take this latter category first. Lamoreux and Hutt (1939) find that White Leghorn fowls are markedly more resistant to vitamin B deficiency than other breeds, such as Rhode Island Reds or, still more, Barred Plymouth Rocks. On normal diet, this characteristic is without any effect on survival, but on a somewhat deficient diet it could be decisive.

A somewhat similar type of variation in a physiological (and therefore potentially adaptive) character is seen in the response of the crop-sac of pigeons to the pituitary hormone known as prolactin (R. W. Bates, Riddle, and Lahr, 1939). Some breeds proved no less than eight times as responsive as others. Similarly, among plants different strains of the same species may differ markedly, e.g. in water-requirements.

among plants different strains of the same species may unicimarkedly, e.g. in water-requirements. We have already drawn attention (p. 118) to the marked preadaptation of certain mutants in fowls to warm climates, a preadaptation which has been taken advantage of by man. Hutt (1938) has shown that other breeds show minor differences in genetic heat-resisting capacity, which could well serve as preadaptive features.

adaptive features. An interesting case was found by Strohl and Köhler (1934) in the meal-moth *Ephestia kühniella*. Here a mutation to brown colour, though accompanied by certain unfavourable properties —reduction in egg-number and length of life—also involved a markedly higher heat-tolerance. This differs from the thermal preadaptation of the cladoceran mutant previously described (p. 52) in the complex of characters involved, one of them a visible colour-mutation. What appears to be an example of mutational preadaptation is the replacement of normal by melanic forms in various warm-blooded vertebrates in certain areas (p. 104). As pointed out, the dark forms appear to be preadapted to a moister and cooler climate. Polyploidy in plants is frequently a thermal preadaptation, but in this case usually towards cold-resistance (p. 337). An excellent example of preadaptation in a hybrid is the rice-grass *Spartina townsendii*, which has proved better adapted than either of its parent species to their own habitat of saline marsh and mud-flats (p. 341). In general, it is clear that any form arising by a sudden large change, as by autopolyploidy, or still more by hybridization and allopolyploidy, must be preadapted in some way if it is to survive (p. 349).

is to survive (p. 349). Another possible case of preadaptation, here as regards coloration, is that of the lapwing Lobiphuvia malabarica, which on a belt of brick-red soil along the Malabar coast lays highly cryptic red eggs in place of the "earthy-coloured" ones seen elsewhere (Baker, 1931). As suggested in Nature (February 13, 1932, p. 247), this may be due to local selection of types laying the erythrystic eggs found sporadically in so many species. However, the facts concerning egg-mimicry in cuckoos cannot be explained on the basis of preadaptation, and show that elaborate true adaptations may be brought about in egg-colour, so that further analysis of this case is required.

Kalmus (1941*a* and *b*) finds that various body-colour mutations in *Drosophila* are potential preadaptations to changes in humidity. Thus yellow flies are less resistant to desiccation than wild-type, but ebony and black flies are more so. This appears to depend on the fact that darkening of the cuticle is associated with a tanning process which renders it less pervious (p. 433; Pryor, 1940), a fact probably to be connected with the frequency of black insects in deserts.

It is of course true that many such preadapted and markedly distinct new forms are later modified by the selection of small gene-mutations; and it is equally obvious that even the most triflingly beneficial gene-mutation to be found in the constitution of a wild species, must in one sense have been preadapted at its first occurrence. But there is a real and important distinction between the two types of occurrence. For one thing, many (or most) gene-mutations appear to be of necessity carried on in the recessive state until such time as they can be made part of some especially favourable combination: when this is so, they were not preadapted as regards their original phenotypic effect. The truth, as so often in biology, is that a continuous series exists, but that the two ends of it are very distinct. In general, when the origin of a successful new form is due solely or mainly to a single large step (or at least to one that is readily perceived as large by the biologist) we speak of mutational preadaptation, but when a new form arises by a series of smiall and in general imperceptible stages, we speak of adaptation in the accepted Darwinian sense Darwinian sense.

Darwinian sense. We next come to constitutional preadaptation, where the existing constitution of a stock predisposes it to certain modes of life rather than to others. Salisbury (1929) points out that annual plant species are preadapted to desert conditions. Another example is afforded by the adhesive digital pads or discs of various frogs, which are best developed in the arboreal tree-frogs, though also present in fully functional form in various non-arboreal types (Noble and Jaeckle, 1928). Adaptation to tree-life here seems to have been secured by enlarging these pre-existing structures relatively to body-size.* Engels (1940) shows that the digging habit of the thrashers (*Toxostoma*) depends on pre-adaptive peculiarities of musculature—an interesting case of structure preceding function in birds. In other cases, the preadaptation is less immediate. an organ

In other cases, the preadaptation is less immediate, an organ subserving one function being readily modified for another. The classical example is the evolution of the lungs of land verte-brates from the air-bladders of certain fish, but there are of course numerous other cases of Funktionswechsel which illustrate this long-range type of constitutional preadaptation. In all these, however, a great deal of adaptation in the ordinary sense is also necessary, so that it could be better to exclude them from the category of preadaptation proper, and style them predisposition. General predisposition is shown in the ease with which second-

ary aquatic life is resumed by terrestrial types. Terrestrial life

^{*} It is fair to state that some authors would not exclude the hypothesis that the non-arboreal disc-possessing forms are secondarily derived from arboreal forms.

involves numerous progressive advances (Chap. 10, pp. 563-4) in general physiology: the possession of these predisposes such forms to be able to compete successfully with aquatic types in their own environment. Predisposition in the endocrine field is found where an organism which lacks a certain hormone, yet contains tissues capable of responding to that hormone. An example is the response of bird oviducts to progesterone, which appears to be produced only by mammals (Riddle, Bates, and others, 1938).

appears to be produced only by mammas (rotatic, Dates, and others, 1938). Returning to true constitutional preadaptation, we have the well-worn example of flightlessness in the insects of small oceanic islands. Although very numerous groups may be represented among them, a disproportionate number belong to groups which are not in general good fliers, or are characterized by reduced wings. Flightlessness is here thus the accentuation of a pre-existing tendency. We may here mention the interesting experimental results of L'Héritier, Neefs, and Teissier (1937) on *vestigial*, the wingless mutant of *Drosophila*. When a mixed population of winged (wild type) and functionally wingless (vestigial) individuals was reared in the open air in such a way that they were moderately exposed to the wind, the result after thirty-eight days was an increase in the percentage of homozygous vestigials from 12.5 to 67 per cent, through the wind carrying away more of the winged flies. When the culture was transferred to a large room, the wind could no longer act as a selective agent, and in fifteen further days the percentage of pure vestigials was halved. A less familiar example is cited by Eigenmann (1909), who

A less familiar example is cited by Eigenmann (1909), who maintains that modern fresh-water fish must have been recruited from ancestors preadapted to fresh-water existence by possessing non-pelagic types of eggs. But the *locus classicus* of discussion concerning preadaptation is the blind cave fauna. The out-andout Darwinians believe that their sightlessness is due to selection gradually ridding the stock of useless organs, while some outand-out preadaptionists have gone so far as to maintain that mutational blindness came first, and that the sightless type then found a favourable environment ready-made in caves. The truth would appear to be between these two views. No proof has ever been given of full mutational preadaptation in this case, and it is in any event most unlikely. But it is a fact that the cave fauna is drawn preponderantly from types that normally shun light and therefore live in holes and corners. Such forms are constitutionally preadapted to enter caves, and will frequently be visually under-equipped. Their later evolution will consist in their further adaptation to a completely cavernicolous existence, accompanied by further reduction of eyes.

consist in their induct adaptation to a comparity formation existence, accompanied by further reduction of eyes. Thus Eigenmann (1909) points out that the fish fauna of the Kentucky caves must have been sifted out by this type of preadaptation from a normal riverine fish fauna when a certain stretch of river became subterranean. The types that were negatively heliotropic, nocturnal, or stereotropic remained subterranean, and then developed further adaptations to cave life; while other ecological types moved out into a connecting river which remained in the open. "The major adaptation to cave existence, the power of finding their food and mates without the use of light, they [the ancestors of the existing cave-fish] possessed before the formation of the caves, and it is responsible for their present habitat." The same general view, with certain modifications, is taken by more recent workers in this field. Hubbs (1938), for instance, after presenting an analysis of the characters and relationship of the thirty-five or so known cave-fish, concludes that this "confirms the theory that cave animals have arisen from species moderately preadapted to cave life". To take an example, the "weak-eyed, long-barbelled, nocturnal catfishes" have given rise to an undue percentage of cave-fish.

There is one notable exception to the general rule, namely the Mexican characid cave-fish, *Anoptichthys jordani*. Although this, as its name implies, is blind, it must have been derived from a form very similar to *Astyanax fasciatus*, which is a largeeyed open-water form, without any obvious preadaptation to caves. Hubbs suggests that the lack of competition, as evidenced by the absence of other cave-fish in this region, facilitated its colonization of caves, sightlessness evolving later.

Hubbs concludes by pointing out that preadaptation has con-

stituted but the first step in the evolution of cave-fishes: later changes, such as further degeneration of skin pigmentation and eyes, and further specialization of sensory barbels and the like, must have been produced by progressive adaptation after the cave habit had been established.

It may be pointed out that, in general, the preponderance of degenerative (loss) mutation will result in degeneration of an organ when it becomes useless and selection is accordingly no longer acting on it to keep it up to the mark (p. 476; Muller, 1939). In other cases, as in the hind limbs of Cetacea, degeneration may be actively promoted by selection, as the organ's presence externally is disadvantageous (for vestiges, see Huxley, 1932). Thus, while normal Darwinian adaptation adjusts a species

Thus, while normal Darwinian adaptation adjusts a species to a constant or a changing environment *in situ*, constitutional preadaptation acts as a preliminary sifting device, restricting the inhabitants of specialized habitats in the main to forms with some definite predisposition to the peculiar mode of life involved. Mutational preadaptation is intermediate in the nature of its action, providing a preliminary sifting of lesser extent and shorter range.

Some writers, e.g. O. E. White (1926), consider that a constitutional preadaptation towards cold-resistance has led to certain natural orders of plants being able to survive in higher latitudes when the uniform warm conditions of the carlier cenozoic later give place to a sharply-zoned climate, while other groups, not similarly preadapted, became restricted to the tropics. Among the former, he cites the willows (Salicaceae) and horsetails (Equisetaceae), among the latter the palms (Palmaceae) and the Artocarpaceae.

A somewhat different constitutional preadaptation to temperature is found in Crustacea (Panikkar, 1940). The osmoregulatory mechanism of various marine Crustacea is such that they are able to tolerate waters of low salinity much more readily at high than at low temperatures. This fact is reflected in the natural distribution of fresh- and brackish-water Crustacea, and very possibly of other invertebrate groups.

Goldschmidt in various of his writings (see 1940, p. 390) has

suggested that preadaptation may play a rather different role by means of large mutations giving what he styles "hopeful monsters", which then can serve as the starting-point for quite new evolutionary trends. As one example of where he thinks this must have occurred he cites the flatfishes, since he considers it impossible for their asymmetry to have arisen gradually. He then extends the principle to other less cogent cases. Mr. J. R. Norman, of the British Museum (Natural History), however, tells me that there exist a few less extreme forms of flatfish, which must be similar to earlier evolutionary stages, and that there seems to be no reason against assuming a gradual evolution of the group from the beginning. Many fish occasionally rest on the bottom, some on their bellies, others on their sides. If benthic existence for any reason were advantageous, selection would set in to improve the type in this respect—with the belly-resting forms by dorso-ventral flattening and lateral extension (as has happened in sharks and rays), with the sideways-resting forms by behavioural and structural asymmetry of the eyes and head.

of the group from the beginning. Many fish occasionally rest on the bottom, some on their bellies, others on their sides. If benthic existence for any reason were advantageous, selection would set in to improve the type in this respect—with the belly-resting forms by dorso-ventral flattening and lateral extension (as has happened in sharks and rays), with the sideways-resting forms by behavioural and structural asymmetry of the eyes and head. However, Goldschmidt goes further than this. In his latest book (1940) he maintains that there is a fundamental distinction between micro- and macro-evolution. The former, depending on gene mutation and recombination, may lead to subspecific and other diversification within the species, but cannot produce new species, or, a fortiori, higher categories. These come into being through macro-evolutionary change, which, according to him, demands a radical change in the primary chromosomal pattern or reaction-system. Such a change in reaction-system he calls a systemic mutation, though he states that it may have to be accomplished in several steps. Only after the repatterning has reached a certain threshold value does the new species-type emerge. He considers (1940, p. 207) that in some cases at least the initial stages arise only in the absence of selection-pressure against the heterozygote and under certain conditions of in-breeding. But once a new stable pattern, viable as a homozygote, is produced, "selection acts only upon the new system as a whole". In other words, if it survives, it survives as a preadap-tation in viability. In other cases he considers that the early

steps, too, may be favoured by selection on account of viability effects on development, and that the change will be much quicker than any micro-evolutionary effect dependent on single genes; this process could be regarded as halfway between normal adaptation and preadaptation.

I do not propose to discuss these rather revolutionary views. What has been said elsewhere shows that I disagree with them in general. There is a great deal of evidence that gene-mutations are involved in specific differences, and that subspecies may evolve into full species. Many of Goldschmidt's analogies between "monstrous" forms found in nature and large mutational steps observed in the laboratory (e.g. partial or total wing-rudimen-tation) are valueless until we know that the natural forms have arisen at a single bound; they may well be mercly phenotypically similar to the mutants, but be due to the accumulation of small gene-mutations. Such accumulations may evolve into "genepatterns" characteristic of species (Silow, 1941); and the asso-ciation of gene-mutations with sectional rearrangements may produce relatively large effects (p. 93): but these are not systemic changes. When he states that the evolution of the Drepanididae (see p. 325) "by a series of micromutations controlled by selection is simply unimaginable", one can only reply that his imagina-tion differs from that of many other biologists. He rightly insists on the importance for evolution of mutations with conse-quential developmental effects (p. 525); but these are pre-sumably gene-mutations (see also Waddington, 1941b). However, even if we dismiss Goldschmidt's views as unproven

However, even if we dismiss Goldschmidt's views as unproven or unnecessary, preadaptation of various kinds has clearly played a not inconsiderable role in evolution.

6. THE ORIGIN OF ADAPTATIONS: THE INADEQUACY OF LAMARCKISM

How has adaptation been brought about? Modern science must rule out special creation or divine guidance. It cannot well avoid frowning upon entelechies and purposive vital urges. Bergson's *élan vital* can serve as a symbolic description of the thrust of life during its evolution, but not as a scientific explanation. To read L'Evolution Créatice is to realize that Bergson was a writer of great vision but with little biological understanding, a good poet but a bad scientist. To say that an adaptive trend towards a particular specialization or towards all-round biological efficiency is explained by an *elan vital* is like saying that the movement of a railway train is "explained" by an *elan locomotif* of the engine. Molière poured ridicule on the similar pseudo-explanations in vogue in the official medical thought of his day. Modern biology, taken by and large, also repudiates lamarckism. I need not refer to the lamarckian views of literary men such as Samuel Butler and Bernard Shaw. They are based not

Modern biology, taken by and large, also repudiates lamarckism. I need not refer to the lamarckian views of literary men such as Samuel Butler and Bernard Shaw. They are based not on scientific fact and method, but upon wish-fulfilment. Shaw, in his preface to *Back to Methuselah*, says in effect that he dislikes the idea of a blind mechanism such as Natural Selection underlying evolutionary change—*ergo*, such a blind mechanism cannot (I had almost written "must not!") be operative. *Pace* Mr. Shaw, this reasoning does not commend itself to scientists. One of the main achievements of science has been to reveal that the facts of nature frequently fail to accord either with the wishes or with the apparently logical preconceptions of human beings. *Per contra*, we may remind ourselves that, as was pointed out nearly half a century ago by Ray Lankester (summarized by Poulton, 1937), lamarckism is self-contradictory, since it maintains that "a past of indefinite duration is powerless to control the present, while the brief history of the present can readily control the future".

of indefinite duration is powerless to control the present, while the brief history of the present can readily control the future". Nor need I go in detail through the wearisome discussion of the various scientific "proofs" of lamarckian inheritance that have been advanced. I would merely say that subsequent work has either disproved or failed to confirm the great majority of them. An unfortunate suspicion rests on Kammerer's work, and his results on salamanders have not been confirmed by Herbst (1924). Heslop Harrison's adaptive induction of melanic mutations in moths could not be re-obtained by McKenny Hughes (1932) or by Thomsen and Lemcke (1933). Repetition of Guyer's work on induced inheritance of immunity by other investigators has yielded entirely negative results (Huxley and Carr-Saunders, 1924). Pavlov himself withdrew his claim to have demonstrated the inheritance of experience in mice. Recently Crew (1936) has repeated the elaborate researches of McDougall on the hereditary transmission of the effects of training in rats: his results entirely contradict McDougall's lamarckian claims, and he is inclined to ascribe the discrepancy to an insufficiency of controls and an inadequate attention to genetic method on McDougall's part.

Other work, such as that of Heslop Harrison on the feeding habits of insects, is capable of alternative explanation, and is therefore not crucial. Indeed, the researches of Thorpe (see p. 303) have made the alternative explanation the more likely, by demonstrating the role of larval conditioning to food in determining the egg-laying reactions of the adults. The researches of Dürken (1923) on the colours of butterfly pupae are also capable of alternative explanations, here in terms of unconscious selection of predispositions, and/or of *Dauermodifikationen*. There remain one or two results, such as that of Metalnikov

There remain one or two results, such as that of Metalnikov (1924) on immunity in waxmoths, and of Sladden and Hewer (1938) on food-preferences in stick insects which seem prima facie to demand a lamarckian explanation (but see p. 303 n.). However, in view of the fate of other claims, and of the theoretical difficulties we shall discuss below, too much weight must not be attached to such isolated cases.

Nor need we pay attention to the view advanced by certain lamarckians, that the inherited effects of function or environmental modification are so slight that they cannot be detected experimentally but require cumulative action through thousands of generations to become obvious. Exceedingly minute differences can be detected by proper technique. The total failure of sixty-nine generations of disuse to affect the eyes or the phototropic responses of *Drosophila*, as shown by Payne (1911), is a good example of the failure of disuse to produce lamarckian effects.

To plead the impossibility of detection is a counsel of despair. It is also unscientific: the only scientific procedure would be to refine technical methods until the postulated effects were capable of detection. The experiment has nothing impossible about it with pure-bred stocks and in a rapidly-breeding species. It is, however, necessary to realize that important indirect objections can be made to any lamarckian view. In the first place there is the fact of mendelian recessivity. A recessive character can be rendered latent indefinitely by keeping the gene concerned in the heterozygous condition; yet when the recessive gene is allowed to unite with another like itself, the resultant character is identical with that of pure-bred recessives in which it has been manifested, and therefore exposed to environmental stimuli, throughout.

An equally fundamental difficulty concerns all those almost innumerable cases in which the two sexes differ in adaptive characters of structure or behaviour. For we know with certainty that the genetic constitution, in the shape of the chromosomes, is distributed irrespective of sex. The chromosomes of a sire will be distributed among his descendants of the second and later generations according to the laws of chance, in a purely random way, and equally among his male and female descendants (a quantitative exception, but one irrelevant to our present purpose, is provided by the distribution of the sex-chromosomes). What lamarckian mechanism could ensure that the hereditary effects of functions confined to males are transmitted to male descendants only? The situation can just possibly be saved by subsidiary hypotheses, but only at the cost of much superfluous complexity, as the geocentric hypothesis was formally saved by the doctrine of epicycles.

Apart from this, we find numerous cases where lamarckian inheritance, even if it existed elsewhere, must be either impossible or exceedingly restricted. Let us first take the case of the higher mammals. These have their internal environment regulated to an extraordinary degree of constancy. The temperature of the blood and to a still higher degree its salt composition and its acidity, are kept constant by elaborate special mechanisms. The reproductive cells, like all other cells in the body, are exposed to the internal environment supplied by the blood-stream. How then can changes in the external environment be transmitted to them? The regulation of the internal environment provides an effective shock-absorber for all the more obvious alterations which could occur in the external environment. Yet higher mammals have evolved as rapidly and in as obviously adaptive ways as any lower types in which this buffering does not exist.

The social Hymenoptera provide another natural experiment of great interest. In them, as is well known, the bulk of the work of the colonies is carried on by neuter females, while reproduction is entrusted to the much less abundant full females and the males. How is it possible on any lamarckian view to discover a mechanism by which the special instincts and structures of the workers have been evolved? They cannot transmit them in reproduction, for they do not reproduce; and the males and females do not practise the instincts nor possess the structures. Attempts have been made to obviate the difficulty by pointing to the fact that occasionally neuter females will lay unfertilized eggs, so producing males. If, however, such occasional abnormalities of reproduction suffice to generate the elaborate special characters of neuter ants and bees, then lamarckian transmission operating through normal reproductive channels should have such strong effects as to be detectable by the crudest experiment; and this is certainly not the case.

Insects, indeed, provide a number of hard nuts for lamarckian cracking. All higher insects emerge from the pupa into an adult or imago stage, during which they never moult, and so are incapable either of total or local growth (save by mechanical stretching of membranous parts of the exoskeleton), or of alteration in the form of hard parts. Here again it seems all but impossible to imagine any mechanism by which any modification involving structural change in hard parts could be transmitted. Indeed, such modifications cannot very well be produced at all in the individual: thus the only lamarckian mechanism conceivable is one by which a tendency or an attempt to alter the structure of hard parts would have its first visible effects in the next or later generations! Yet adaptations of hard parts are striking in insects.

A very similar objection applies to mammalian teeth. These, as is well known, exhibit remarkable adaptations to the type of food on which they are normally used. Yet the only effect of
use upon them is mechanical abrasion, tending to wear away the structure which has been built up in the plastic stage when the tooth is not used at all.

The origin of the general cryptic resemblance of animals to the prevailing colour of their habitats, whether desert, open sea, green foliage, tundra, or snow, has often been ascribed to a direct effect of the environment. If this rather vague statement means anything, it must imply that the characters in question are either pure modifications, or have been genetically induced by some form of lamarckism. Granted that there exist, notably in insects, some cases of modification, we can now safely assert that most of these characters are genetic. Some of these in their turn may be merely correlated with physiological adaptations; but there are some examples where we can show that selection in favour of cryptic resemblance must have been the agency at work.

at work. In an earlier chapter we mentioned the case of dark subspecies of *Peromyscus* inhabiting local lava-flows. Precisely similar examples are known in birds, e.g. in the desert lark, *Ammomanes deserti*, of which the darkest and the palest subspecies live close together in North Arabia, the one on black lava-desert, the other on pale sand-deserts. This is cited by Meinertzhagen (1934), who makes the pertinent comment that such cases of protective resemblance to soil are largely confined to ground-loving birds. Thus in the black Ahaggar desert, the *Ammomanes* are very dark, while the local habbler (*Arava fuluus huchanani*) is even palet while the local babbler (Argya fulvus buchanani) is even palet than on the sand of the Sahara—presumably in relation to climate. An even more striking case has recently been described for other mice of another genus, *Perognathus* (J. E. Hill. 1939). In a valley of southern New Mexico a black lava area of between 100 and 200 square miles exists quite close to an area of gleaming white gypsum. P. intermedius exists on the lava beds in an almost black form, while the representatives of *P. apache* on the gypsum area are nearly white. Both species have normal "mouse-coloured" forms on neighbouring rocky areas. Other mammals, reptiles, and insects from the two special areas show corresponding but less extreme colour modifications. Here again no climatic or other influences capable of bringing about the colour-differences can be detected, and we are driven to conclude that the colour is a protective adaptation originated by selection. If selection can be effective in such cases, there is no reason to postulate any lamarckian effect for any examples of general cryptic resemblance.

can be effective in such cases, there is no reason to postulate any lamarckian effect for any examples of general cryptic resemblance. Hovanitz (1940) cites a similar and very striking case from the butterfly *Oeneis chryxus*, which in the Sierra Nevada exists in two sharply-contrasted dark and pale subspecies or forms, restricted to dark volcanic and pale granitic rocks respectively, the two pure forms connected by clines extending over 10 to 40 miles, where the rock-types are intermingled. Dark rock outcrops in the granitic area below a certain size are inhabited by light forms, being apparently too small to support a dark population that can maintain itself against swamping by crossing. Hovanitz is forced to the view that the two forms owe their origin or at least their maintenance to selection, but rejects the origin or at least their maintenance to selection, but rejects the idea that this is exercised viâ predators in relation to concealing coloration. His objections may be profitably analysed. In the first place, since the upper surface resembles the environmental background much more closely than the lower, he states that visual selection by predators could only occur when the upper surface is exposed, namely, in flight. However, his own photographs show a certain degree of difference in the lower surface. Secondly, he states that when not in flight, they rest in "relative darkness" between rocks, among herbage, etc., "where colour is of no value". This last statement is a mere assertion, as no evidence is given as to possible predators in such situations. (In other habitats, Lepidoptera are frequently captured when at rest.) Finally, he states that almost the only possible predators are two species of birds which only occasionally take insects, and therefore cannot act selectively. In the first place, because his search for predators has not been successful, that is no reason for concluding that they do not exist. Cases must indeed be rare where a small butterfly has no enemics. But further, he appears to disregard the quantitative findings of students of the mathe-matics of selection, such as Haldane (1932a) and R. A. Fisher (1930a). A 1 per cent advantage-i.c. the average survival of

101 members of one form as against 100 of another-would be almost impossible to detect, yet it would promote an evolu-tionary change of considerable rapidity, markedly modifying the stock within a few hundred generations (p. 56).

Hovanitz also makes the general objection to the theory of protective coloration that "the animals getting along best in nature are those which are not 'protected'"—a fallacy so hoary that it hardly needs serious discussion (but see the general analysis, pp. 466 seq.; and on hypertely, p. 484). Most naturalists will prefer to regard such a case as this as prima facie one of concealing coloration until definite evidence to the contrary is produced.

Finally we may mention various special examples of pro-tective resemblance and mimicry. The resemblance of certain moths to birds' droppings or of a stick insect to a stick cannot very well be put down to the inheritance of environmental modifications or the effects of use! In mimicry, the resemblance of model to mimic is often achieved by way of a trick—a similar effect is produced by quite a different mechanism. The "painting in" of a waist on various beetles or bug mimics of ants is a good example: numerous others may be found in Carpenter's little book on mimicry (Carpenter and Ford, 1933), or in the more general work of Cott (1940).

These are some of the most striking cases in which a lamarckian explanation cannot, it seems, apply. We have already seen (p. 38), that, merely from the standpoint of logic and theory, most that, merely from the standpoint of logic and theory, most adaptations or functional evolutionary changes could be inter-preted equally readily on the basis of indirect control by selec-tion as on that of direct control by environment and use. We are therefore driven to ask why, when numerous adaptations like those just cited are shown to be incapable of lamarckian explanation, we should postulate lamarckism to account for the others, which are no different $qu\hat{a}$ adaptations. To do so would be to sin against the economy of hypothesis and demand the application of William of Occam's razor. Thus we are driven back on to direct experimental proof, and that, as we have already set forth, is meagre and confusing. It is for these reasons that the majority of biologists, including

the very great majority of those who have experience of actual genetic work, repudiate lamarckism, or, at best, assign to it a subsidiary and unimportant role in evolution. Even if lamarckism be operative at all, it seems clear that some other mechanism must be invoked to account for the major part of evolution.

Most biologists also look askance at orthogenesis, in its strict sense, as implying an inevitable grinding out of results predetermined by some internal germinal clockwork. This is too much akin to vitalism and mysticism for their liking: it removes evolution out of the field of analysable phenomena; and it, too, goes contrary to Occam's razor in introducing a new and unexplained mechanism when known agencies would suffice. Furthermore, as R. A. Fisher has cogently pointed out, the implications of orthogenesis, like those of lamarckism, run directly counter to the observed fact that the great majority of mutations are deleterious. In any event, as we shall see in a later chapter (p. 506), the cases in which a true orthogenetic hypothesis is demanded in preference to a selectionist one are very few, and even in these few it may turn out that it is our ignorance which is responsible for the lack of alternative explanations. As set forth elsewhere (p. 516), numerous cases exist where evolutionary potentiality is restricted; but these are quite distinct from orthogenesis in the strict sense of a primary directive agency in evolution.

agency in evolution. Selection itself often produces an apparent orthogenetic effect. This was realized by H. W. Bates over three-quarters of a century ago in his classical paper on mimicry (1862), where he wrote "the operation of selective agents gradually and steadily bringing about the deceptive resemblance of a species to some other definite object, produces the impression of their being some innate principle in species which causes an advance of organization in a special direction. It seems as though the proper variation always arose in the species, and the mimicry were a predestined goal". However, these and the similar examples drawn from paleontology (pp. 416, 515; 494) on analysis turn out to be much better explicable on selectionist principles. Just as the apparent purpose of adaptation is only a pseudo-teleology, so its apparent inner direction is only a pseudo-orthogenesis.

✓ 7. THE ORIGIN OF ADAPTATIONS: NATURAL SELECTION

There remains natural selection. Before discussing some concrete examples of selection at work to produce adaptation and of adaptations illustrating the work of natural selection, a few general points deserve to be made. In the first place there is the aged yet apparently perennial fallacy that such-and-such an arrangement cannot be adaptive, since related organisms can and do exist without it. This is, quite frankly, nonsense. It is on a par with saying that electric refrigerators are not useful, because many people, even among those who can afford the expense, manage to get on happily without them, or even that alphabets and wheeled vehicles are useless luxuries or accidents because the negro and other human stocks never invented them. the negro and other human stocks never invented them.

the negro and other human stocks never invented them. There are in fact numerous possible explanations of such a state of affairs. It may be that mutations in that direction did not crop up, or were not available before mutations in some other direction set the stock specializing along other lines; it may be that there are differences in the genetic make-up or the environ-ment of the two forms, as yet undetected by us, which make such an adaptation less advantageous to one than to the other. All that natural selection can ensure is survival. It does not ensure progress, or maximum advantage, or any other ideal state of affairs. Its results, in point of fact, are closely akin to those of commercial business. In business, what gets across— i.e. is sold—is what can be sold at a profit, not by any means necessarily what is best fitted to meet the real needs of indivi-duals or of the community. The reason for the failure of a commodity to be sold may be lack of purchasing power in the community as much as poor quality, or lack of persuasive (and not necessarily truthful) advertising as much as inefficient pro-duction methods. duction methods.

In the same way a species or a type may survive by deceiving its enemies with a fraudulent imitation of a nauseous form just

as well as by some improvement in digestion or reproduction, by degenerate and destructive parasitism as much as by increased intelligence. There still exist those who, even while rejecting the view of Paley and his school that adaptation is a proof of divine design, continue to approach evolution in a rather reverential attitude and to attach some sort of moral flavour to natural selection. They should be reminded of adaptations such as those by which the ant-parasite *Lomechusa* obtains its food, or the orchid *Cryptostilis* ensures its reproduction. *Lomechusa* produces a substance which the ants so dote upon that they not only feed the adult beetle in return, but allow its grub to devour their own larvae—a sacrifice to a gin-producing moloch (Wheeler, 1910); *Cryptostilis* practises an ingenious variety of prostitution: by resembling the females of a fly both in form and in odour, it induces the males to attempt copulation with its flowers, thus securing its own pollination (Coleman, 1927).

We should finally remember that the incidence of selection is different for rare and for abundant species, and that an adaptation forcibly promoted by intraspecific selection in an abundant species might have little or no biological value when worked upon by interspecific selection in its rarer relatives.

It is another fallacy to imagine that because the major elimination of individuals occurs, say, in early life. that therefore selection cannot act with any intensity on a phase of minimum numbers, say the adult stage. It has, for instance, been argued that because the main elimination of butterflies takes place by parasitization or enemy attack during the larval stage, therefore elimination of the imagines by birds or other enemies can have no appreciable selective effect, and therefore any protective or warning or mimetic colouring which they exhibit cannot have any adaptive significance. But selection need not act with equal intensity at all stages of the life-cycle: even if it should be more intense in early life (and much early mortality appears to be accidental), it could still produce effects on adult characters (see A. J. Nicholson, 1927).

The same argument applies to adaptive colouring shown in the larval stage. Even if this has no effect in protecting the larvae 468 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS from parasitization, it will have selective value if it protects from attack by other enemies. Granted that on the average 90 per cent of larvae will in any case succumb to parasites, selec-tion can clearly act in other ways on the remaining 10 per cent, just because they are the survivors. In general, selection may promote highly specialized adaptations not only in any particular organ or function, but at any particular phase of the life-history. The elaborate pelagic specializations of many invertebrate larvae at once come to mind, or the adaptations of seeds. Salisbury (1929) cites an interesting case of juvenile adaptation: most plant species, even if light-demanding forms, show greater shade-tolerance in early life, which militates against suppression by shading in the crowded conditions soon after germination. However, as Professor Salisbury points out in a letter, since the adult phase follows the juvenile in time, many adult charac-ters may well be non-selective quâ adult characters, but merely consequential results of juvenile adaptations. Some cases of this sort are discussed later (p. 525).

consequential results of juvenile adaptations. Some cases of this sort are discussed later (p. 525). It is, after all, the adults which reproduce, and a 1 per cent advantage of one adult type over another will have precisely the same selective effect whether the adults represent ten, one, or one-tenth of 1 per cent of the number of fertilized eggs originally produced. The same applies to those plants in which the main elimination occurs during the seedling stage. Selection, in fact, can and does operate equally effectively at any stage of the life-cycle, though it will operate in entirely different ways at one time and another. Further, elimination is far from being the only tool with which selection operates. Differential fertility of the survivors is also important, and in man and many plants is probably the more influential. There is finally the experimental demonstration of selection.

There is finally the experimental demonstration of selection. We have referred to this on p. 120; see also p. 414 for the summary of such work on adaptive coloration given by Cott (1940).

Here we may cite a further piece of work. Popham (1941) has made a careful investigation of the bio-logical significance of the variation in colour (measured in terms of shade of grey) in various water-boatmen (Corixidae). The

animals tend to resemble the backgrounds of the ponds where they are found. This is due partly to habitat-selection: animals confined in surroundings markedly different in background from their own shade become restless and leave to seek other waters. Secondly, it is due to developmental colour-adaptation, the nymphal and adult shade approximating to that of the surroundings in which they have lived in the previous instar (though during a given instar there is no power of colour-adjustment). And finally, it is due to selection, predators (rudd, *Scardinius erythrophthalmus*, were used) taking a heavier toll of "unprotected" animals, i.e. those which are least like their backgrounds.

In regard to selection, a number of interesting results were obtained. For one thing, two fairly similar colour-varieties for which there was marked differential predation when one of them closely resembled the background, were equally attacked when the background was markedly different from both. It is thus, as would be expected, the relative difference of the two forms from the background, not the absolute difference between them, which acts selectively. Again as expected, a decrease in the population-density of the prey increased the advantage of the protected form. This of course implies a self-regulatory mechanism as regards predator-prey balance, protection conferring maximum benefit when most necessary to the species. A decrease in the predators' population-density also increased the selective advantage of protective colour, presumably on the purely mathematical basis of a reduction in the number of encounters.

Quantitatively, the selection in certain circumstances was very intense. E.g. in one experiment, in which there were employed equal numbers of insects of the same shade as the background ("protected") and differing from it by one colour-standard ("unprotected"), the relevant results were as follows:

Insect	s caten	Calantina a lanana an				
Unprotected per cent	Protected per cent	(Protected ÷ Unprotected)				
75.5	24.5	3.08				

In another set of experiments, three types of insects were used, differing from each other by one colour-standard, and used against backgrounds of various standards. The results show the variation in intensity of selection with change in the relative difference of coloration between insects and background.

	Per	Per cent eaten of insects, of colour-standards:			Selective advantage			
	x	(x + 1)	(x+2)	$\frac{(x+1)}{x}$	$\frac{(x+2)}{x}$	$\frac{1}{(x+2)}$		
Differences fr	o m			~	л	(1 1)		
background colour-standard u	(in mits)							
4, 5, 6	34	33	33	All app	roximate	ly equal		
3, 4, 5	28	36	36	1.29	1 • 29	1.00		
2, 3, 4	27	32	41	1 • 19	1.32	1 · 28		
1, 2, 3	11	36	53	3.27	4.82	1.42		

A further set of experiments was carried out with species of water-boatmen of different sizes. It was found that the predator used, the rudd, is almost entirely restricted to those of a certain intermediate size. Large forms were difficult to capture (14 per cent taken as against 86 per cent of a medium-sized species) while small species were apparently not noticed at all. This illustrates the point made on p. 280, that a predator must be adapted to its prey in size as in other respects. Selective advantage is here, in certain conditions, very large. But we must remember that an advantage which it would be extremely difficult to demonstrate experimentally, say of I per cent, would have an effect which, biologically speaking, would be rapid (see p. 56).

be rapid (see p. 56).

Various cases where a selective balance is involved show as forcibly as any laboratory experiment the strength of selection-pressure. We have referred to some of these in the section on pressure. We have referred to some of these in the section on polymorphism (p. 96). The best of all (see p. 93), is probably that of industrial melanism. Ford (1940b) has recently shown that in unfavourable conditions (feeding only on alternate days) the dominant melanic form of the moth *Boarmia repandata* has a selective advantage of nearly 2 to 1 (52 blacks : 31 normals surviving to the imago stage where equality was expected). Even where optimum food-conditions were provided, the ratio was 101 : 91. Yet in spite of this enormous constitutional advantage of the melanics, the selective advantage conferred by cryptic colouring on the non-melanics has prevented their replacement by melanics in all non-industrial areas. Mr. Ford informs me that in another case (not yet fully analysed), the melanic form is more cold-resistant. Yet it has not managed to oust the cryptic form, even in the extreme north of Scotland, far to the north of the industrial regions where it has become the type.

An elaborate and large-scale demonstration of selection in action has been given by the work of Quayle (1938) on the gradual development, by various scale-insect pests of citrus fruits, of a high degree of genetic resistance to the hydrocyanic acid used to try to kill them. As long ago as 1914 Quayle's attention was drawn to the unsatisfactory results from tent fumigation of lemon trees against red scale (*Aonidiella aurantii*) in the Corona district of California. In most localities it was not then necessary to repeat fumigation for two, three, or even four years. At Corona, however, neither increased dosage nor repetition of fumigation every year or even every six months was effective.

Controlled experiments were later carried out in which the scales from different areas were grown on the same tree and exposed to different concentrations of gas in the same chamber. The results showed that whereas in insects from many localities the normal dosage was reasonably effective, and no scales survived a 50 per cent increase of dosage, in those from the resistant areas, about five times as many survived normal dosage and almost as many survived the increased dosage as survived the normal one in the case of non-resistant strains.

In 1915 evidence turned up of a resistant local strain of the black scale (Saissetia oleae), and since then the area of resistance has spread and the degree of resistance has been increased. In 1925 a resistant strain of the citricola scale, Coccus pseudomagnoliarum, was first observed. Prior to this date, fumigators had guaranteed their work with this pest and offered a second fumigation free if the first proved unsatisfactory. In the next few 472 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS years, the area of resistance spread rapidly, and the highest dosages compatible with the health of the trees failed to give satisfactory results, with the result that fumigation could not be guaranteed, and was eventually abandoned in favour of spraying. Controlled experiments showed that a dose four times the "danger dose" for trees was needed to kill all the resistant insects. In another experiment all insects of a non-resistant strain were killed by sixty minutes' exposure to 0.05 per cent HCN. But after sixty minutes' exposure to a sixfold increase of gas (0.3 per cent), many insects of a resistant strain were alive and a few survived ninety minutes. In general the resistant strain, in con-centrations which killed 60 to 100 per cent of non-resistant strains, proved from two to four times more resistant. Scale insects are not the only forms to show this phenomenon. Hough (e.g. 1934) experimentally proved not only that strains of codling moth (*Cydia pomonella*) from different areas differ markedly in the capacity of their larvae to enter apples sprayed with lead arsenate, but that, when the strain is raised on freshly sprayed fruit in the laboratory, the percentage of larvae capable of this increases from generation to generation. Resistance in red scale is genetic (see Dickson, 1941) and it remain unaltered after many generations in the laboratory. An interesting fact is that the resistant strain of red scale has shown itself more resistant to various other toxic substances, to which

itself more resistant to various other toxic substances, to which it has not been exposed in the orchards, e.g. to the fumigants methyl bromide and ethylene oxide, and to oil sprays. It is also probable that it shows greater ability to withstand desicca-tion. Thus its newly evolved resistance appears to be a general rather than a specific one: the same is true of the codling moth.

rather than a specific one: the same is true of the codling moth. Quayle concludes that the resistant strains have developed locally, as a result of intense selection due to the fumigation methods in vogue. When, as appears usual, they have developed earlier in some localities than in others, this is presumably due to the availability of actual or potential variance of the right type, or of new mutations in the right direction. In all cases the area inhabited by resistant strains has rapidly increased. Quayle gives reasons for thinking that this is in the main due to the rapid

spread of local resistant types, as soon as these are available through mutation or recombination, rather than to immigration of the resistant forms from the localities where they first appeared. Quayle further points out that the standard fumigation dosage in California, in non-resistant as well as resistant areas, is now much higher than originally. "The schedules have been revised several times and always upwards. It is interesting to note that in Australia, South Africa, and Palestine, countries much younger than California in fumigation practice, the dosage used against the same insect is much lower than in California."

This large-scale experiment with its laboratory controls is of

Inis large-scale experiment with its laboratory controls is or great interest in showing that intense selection may be very effective in bringing about important changes, and in giving indications as to the rate at which the process can operate. As regards the intensity of selection operating in nature, R. A. Fisher (1939) has been able to calculate the selection operating against (a) homozygosity as against heterozygosity of the various single dominants giving the numerous colour-patterns other than the normal or basic one, (b) combinations of two of these dominants, in the grasshopper Paratettix texanus (cf. p. 99).

The selection against homozygous single dominants varies from about a 7 per cent to a 14 per cent disadvantage, while the elimination of double dominants is estimated to be not less than 40 per cent in each generation. This Fisher considers points to "powerful and variable ecological causes of elimination", whereas the selection in favour of single-gene heterozygotes is probably

to be accounted for solely in terms of viability differences. In any case, if we repudiate creationism, divine or vitalistic guidance, and the extremer forms of orthogenesis, as originators guidance, and the extremer forms of orthogenesis, as originators of adaptation, we must (unless we confess total ignorance and abandon for the time any attempts at explanation) invoke natural selection—or at any rate must do so whenever an adaptive structure obviously involves a number of separate characters, and therefore demands a number of separate steps for its origin. A one-character, single-step adaptation might clearly be the result of mutation; once the mutation had taken place, it would be preserved by natural clearing but clearly much have be preserved by natural selection, but selection would have

played no part in its origin. But when two or more step are necessary, it becomes inconceivable that they shall have originated simultaneously. The first mutation must have been spread through the population by selection before the second could be combined with it, the combination of the first two in turn selected before the third could be added, and so on with each successive step. The improbability of an origin in which selection has not played a part becomes larger with each new step.

Most adaptations clearly involve many separate steps or characters: one need only think of the detailed resemblance of a close mimic to its model, the flying qualities of a bird's wing, the streamlining of secondary aquatics like ichthyosaurs or whales. When we can study actual adaptive evolution with the aid of fossils, as with the hooves of horses or the molar teeth of elephants, we find that it is steadily directional over tens of millions of years, and must therefore have involved a very large number of steps. The improbability is therefore enormous that such progressive adaptations can have arisen without the operation of some agency which can gradually accumulate and combine a number of contributory changes: and natural selection is the only such agency that we know. In such cases it is especially evident that what is selected is not a particular gene, but a whole complex of genes in regard to their combined interacting effect (see Sewall Wright, 1939, who has an interesting discussion of the systems of mating, breeding, and selection best suited to obtaining results with various types of genes and gene-combinations affecting a given character).

R. A. Fisher has aptly said that natural selection is a mechanism for generating a high degree of improbability. This is in a sense a paradox, since in nature adaptations are the rule, and therefore a paradox, since in nature adaptations are the rule, and therefore probable. But the phrase expresses epigrammatically the important fact that natural selection achieves its results by giving prob-ability to otherwise highly improbable combinations—and "in the teeth of a storm of adverse mutations" (R. A. Fisher, 1932). This is an important principle, not only for the conclusion that adaptations as seen in nature demand natural selection to explain their origin, but also for its bearing on the "argument from

improbability", used by many anti-Darwinians against Darwinism in general. Bergson has employed this with regard to the origin of the eye. Haldane (1932a) and others, however, have pointed out that a gradual improvement of the visual mechanism from pigment-spot to fully-developed eye is to be expected, and that the parallel development in vertebrates and cephalopods of eyes with lenses is, on the basis of the laws of optics, not in the least unlikely. Indeed, on more general grounds, the properties of natural selection entirely nullify the argument from improbability in this and other cases.

Thus T. H. Morgan and Hogben have asserted that natural selection is seen, in the light of modern genetics, to be essentially destructive: in the absence of natural selection, all the known forms of life would exist, and in addition a vast assemblage of other types which have been destroyed by selection. Though both have now adopted a much more selectionist standpoint, these past views must be refuted as anti-selectionists still often cite them.

T. H. Morgan (1932, p. 130) writes: "If all the new mutant types that have ever appeared had survived and left offspring like themselves, we should find all the kinds of animals and plants now present, and countless others." The catch here is in the if; and the answer, of course, is that every type immediately ancestral to a mutant has been brought into existence only with the aid of selection (see also Hogben, 1930, p. 181).

In point of fact the general thesis is entirely untrue. It is on a par with saying that we should expect the walls of a room to collapse on occasion owing to all the molecules of gas inside the room moving simultaneously in one direction. Both are of course only improbabilities—but they are improbabilities of such a fantastically high order as to be in fact entirely ruled out. Each single existing species is the product of a long series of selected mutations. To produce such adapted types by chance recombination in the absence of selection would require a total assemblage of organisms that would more than fill the universe, and overrun astronomical time.

It should further be remembered that the degree of adaptive specialization is correlated with intensity of selection-pressure. Elsewhere (p. 426) we have noted how, in the balance between the opposed adaptive tendencies towards cryptic coloration and display coloration in birds, the degree of development of display (epigamic) adaptations is directly proportional to the reproductive advantage it confers upon an individual male. The greater abundance and development of cryptic and aposematic adaptations to be found in the tropics, where selection-pressure is highest, is also to be noted (see p. 448). The converse of this positive correlation is the tendency of existing the adaptive structure of functions to desenants in the

originally adaptive structures or functions to degenerate in the absence of further selection-pressure in their favour. We have absence of further selection-pressure in their favour. We have spoken of this in relation to the eyes and pigmentation of cave animals (p. 453), but the fact is one of the commonplaces of evolutionary biology, e.g. in parasites. The vestigial wings of ratite birds provide an excellent example. These are in all cases degenerate as regards the adaptations needed for flight. Where, however, they are employed in epigamic display as in the ostriches (*Struthio*) or the rheas (*Rhea*), they remain of consider-able size; but where this further function is absent, as in the emus (Dromaeus) and cassowaries (Casuarius), they are reduced to vestiges. This tendency towards degeneration of useless structures—i.e. those on which selection-pressure is no longer maintained—is, as we have seen (p. 455), automatic in most organisms, owing to the accumulation of small degenerative mutations that throw the delicate mechanism of adaptation out mutations that throw the delicate mechanism of adaptation out of gear. This may be further generalized in terms of gene-effects (Wright, 1929). Most genes have multiple effects. Organs under direct selection will be modified by a system of genes; but the genes of such a polygenic system will also have secondary effects on "indifferent" organs, and most of these secondary effects will tend to promote degeneration in size or function. Further, when two linked polygenic systems (p. 67) are lodged in the same chromosome or chromosomes, and selection is acting to alter the main character controlled by one system, while that controlled by the other is useless, the resultant recombination will "break up" the useless character; in virtue of the tendency of random change to be towards decreased efficiency, this also will promote degeneration.

None of this reasoning, however, should apply in the case of organisms which do not practise outcrossing. Here, the recombination of "loss" mutations is impossible, and thus degeneration should be exceedingly slow. Furthermore, since many loss mutations need recombinational buffering (p. 67) to survive, they will be automatically eliminated where recombination is impossible. The result should be the persistence of originally adaptive but now functionless structures. The natural place to look for such "relict adaptations" is the floral mechanisms of plant species which have wholly abandoned outcrossing.

At first sight there would appear to be numerous examples of this. For instance, in various Compositae, such as dandelions (*Taraxacum*) and hawkweeds (*Hieracium*) there exist a number of forms which, in spite of producing all their seed by obligatory apomixis, continue to form showy flower-heads, obviously adapted to attract insects. However, the persistence of these erstwhile adaptations may be due to the short time elapsed since the change to apomixis. On the other hand, in *Taraxacum* Dr. Turrill informs me that apomixis very probably dates back at least 10,000 years.

years. A more serious objection is the existence of numerous "correlated characters" of the capitulum which still have functional significance. Various parts of the mechanism provide the developmental scaffolding for the adaptive pappus; the ray florets still play a protective role during the night closure of the head, though this protection itself is perhaps a relict adaptation as it probably concerns the pollen. However, such considerations would not apply to obligate apomicts in grasses, where the relict floral mechanism was adapted to anemophilous cross-pollination, nor to the vegetatively reproducing coral-root, *Dentaria bulbifera*, which still makes the unnecessary gesture of producing obviously entomophilous flowers without any apparent subsidiary function. Obligatory self-pollination should produce the same result. Here the difficulty is to find satisfactory examples, since in most

Obligatory self-pollination should produce the same result. Here the difficulty is to find satisfactory examples, since in most cases some outcrossing still occurs. Thus the orchis *Epipactis leptochila* is normally self-pollinating, but cross-pollination can occur during a brief period. The closely allied *E. latifolia* is exclusively cross-pollinated (Godfery, M. J., 1933, Monograph of British Orchidaceae, Cambridge). However, Dr. Mather informs me that, in Britain at least, the tomato (Solanum lysopersicum) shows no cross-pollination (save in one anomalous variety); yet its obvi-ously entomophilous flowers persist. In some cereal strains, the frequency of cross-pollination is so low (only 2 per cent) that it should enormously reduce the speed of degeneration. There is thus a prima facie case for the persistence of "relict adaptations" whenever cross-breeding is absent (and perhaps when markedly reduced), but more investigation is required for full confirmation (Scc Huxley, 1942, Nature 149: 687).

8. ADAPTATION AND SELECTION NOT NECESSARILY BENEFICIAL TO THE SPECIES

BENEFICIAL TO THE SPECIES So far, we have been discussing adaptation more or less *in vacuo*. We must now draw attention to the important fact that it will have different effects according to the type of selection operating. This is best illustrated by the distinction between interspecific and intraspecific selection. In one sense, almost all selection is intraspecific, in that it operates by favouring certain types within the species at the expense of other types. The only exceptions would be when species spread or become extinct as wholes. The former occurs with such species as are produced abruptly, e.g. by allopolyploidy after hybridization. The latter occurs when no strains within a species are capable of adjusting them-selves to a change of climate or to the arrival of new competitors or enemies. Selection in such cases no longer operates by any differential action between different strains, and the whole species spreads or disappears in competition with other species. The term intraspecific selection can, however, properly be used in a more restricted sense, to denote selection concerned only with the relations of members of one species. On the same

used in a more restricted sense, to denote selection concerned only with the relations of members of one species. On the same basis, interspecific selection is then selection which is ultimately concerned with the environment or with other species. Thus selection for speed in an ungulate will *operate* intraspecifically in the broad sense, but is *directed* interspecifically in being con-cerned with escape from predators. Similarly selection for cold-resistance in a period of decreasing temperature is directed

environmentally, and may favour the entire species in competition with others. But selection for striking epigamic plumage in male birds is directed intraspecifically, in being concerned with the advantage of one male over another in reproduction. It would thus be more correct to speak of selection concerned with intra-or interspecific adaptation; however, it is more convenient to use the terms in the sense I have just outlined.

We have already discussed intraspecific selection briefly in relation to the numerical abundance of species (p. 34). In scarce species, competition will be more with other species and selection will be related more directly to the environment, while in

tion will be related more directly to the environment, while in abundant species there will be more competition between indi-viduals of the species itself. Of course inter- and intraspecific selection will often overlap and be combined; but the intensity of one or the other component may vary very greatly. An interesting type of selection which is in a certain sense intermediate between interspecific and intraspecific, may occur in forms which exist in numerous and relatively isolated local populations, particularly if the local populations are subject to large fluctuations in numbers. In such cases (Wright, 1940b) a local population may "arrive at adaptations that turn out to have general, instead of merely local, value, and which thus may tend to displace all other local strains by . . . excess migration". Wright calls this *intergroup selection*. When this operates, groups compete $qu\hat{a}$ groups, on the basis of elaborate gene-combinations restricted to the separate groups. It is prob-able that this type of evolution has played a considerable role in some kinds of species: cf. Sumner (1932, p. 84) for *Peromyscus*. Intergroup selection, however, may operate between groups

Intergroup selection, however, may operate between groups with a functional basis as well as between those with a regional with a functional basis as well as between those with a regional basis (local populations). Intergroup selection of this sort we may perhaps call social selection, since it will encourage the gregarious instinct and social organization of all kinds. As Allee (1938) has recently stressed with the aid of a wealth of examples, the bases for social life in animals are deep and widespread. There exist numerous cases where it has been experimentally shown that aggregations of a certain size enjoy various physio-

480 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS
logical advantages over single individuals. Once that occurs, selection will encourage behaviour making for aggregation and the aggregation itself will become a target for selection.
In a later paper (1940) Allee develops this theme further.
He shows that when degree of crowding is plotted against efficiency for a large number of functions, the resultant curves are of two sharply distinct types. In the first type (which I suggest might be distinguished as unit-selective, since selection falls on the unit individual), the performance has optimum efficiency of lowest population density (e.g. a single pair for maximum fertility per pair in various insects). But in the second (which perhaps could be called group-selective, not because there are more selective factors, but because the group of many individuals becomes a target for selection), there is a phase of "undercrowding", during which the efficiency of the function increases with population density, finally reaching a peak and then descending in a phase of overcrowding. A special case is the reproductive advantage conferred by size of colony in colonialnesting birds (Darling, 1939; Vesey-Fitzgerald, 1941, p. 525; J. Fisher and Waterston, 1941; and cf. p. 103).
Processes of this type will of course give curves differing in shape, slope, and so forth, and will have correspondingly different reation near the peak value will constitute "a supraindividual unity on which natural selection can act. . . . Such low or feeble social units may be poorly integrated, but still posses demonstrable survival value"; and out of such primitive group-ings, intergroup social selection can evolve such specialized group-units as the ant or termite colony.

group-units as the ant or termite colony. Finally, since processes giving curves of the multiselective type have been discovered in every major group of animals, it becomes clear that social selection will be widespread, and that "sociality is seen to be a phenomenon whose potentialities are as inherent in living protoplasm as are the potentialities of destructive competition".

In general, the intraspecific type of selection is much com-moner than is generally supposed. Thus to think of natural

selection as first and foremost a direct struggle with enemies or with the elusive qualities of prey is a fallacy. An equally important feature of the struggle for existence is the competition of members of the same species for the means of subsistence and for reproduction. Surprise has been expressed by some biologists at the fact that in New Zealand, domestic pigs which have become feral have, in spite of the absence of predatory enemies, reverted to something like the wild type; but in competition for food and reproduction the leaner and more active wild type must clearly have a strong relative advantage over the fatter and more sluggish domestic forms, so that reverse mutations or reversionary recombinations will be favoured by selection.

Elsewhere (p. 426) we consider other examples of intraspecific selection. Sometimes the competition is restricted to individuals of one sex, as in intrasexual selection; sometimes to individuals of a single litter, as in the intrauterine selection of mammals (p. 525). Again it may be especially intense at a certain period of life, as is the competition for light and space between the seedlings of many higher plants. Another example from plants concerns the competition between the haploid male plants produced by the pollen-grains. Genetic research has shown that these may be affected in various ways, including the rapidity of their growth down the style, by the genes they bear. As a result of this, *certation*, or a "struggle for fertilization" between genetically different types of pollen-grain, may and often does occur, and genes which induce rapid growth of pollen-tubes will often be at a premium. Nothing of the sort, however, appears to take place in higher animals. The only known exception is the gene described by Gershenson (1928) in *Drosophila*, with lethal effects only on Y-bearing sperms. There is also the alleged differential activity of the two types of sperms in forms with male heterogamety; if this be a fact, it is probably due to some effect of differential size, the male-determining appearing to have in many cases a smaller head.

Even in most of the relations between a species with its enemies, competition is intraspecific. Normally, a certain number of individuals are bound to be killed: when so, the main pressure of selection is directed to keeping an individual out of the categor of the relatively unprotected, where it will be an almost certain victim, into that of the well-protected where at least it has a even chance of survival. Any improvement in the protection o some individuals will lead to the bulk of the population bein placed at a disadvantage, so that they will once more com under selection-pressure. Such considerations will apply to speed in escape, cryptic and mimetic resemblance, and many othe adaptations against predators.

In a different sphere, most competition within civilized huma societies is between individuals. The difference of course is tha societies is between individuals. The difference of course is tha success in this competition is not biological, measured by in creased survival to later generations, but social, consisting o monetary and other satisfactions; in fact social and biologica success are usually inversely correlated. Artificial selection is clearly intragroup in its methods. Thu racehorses are selected for reproduction almost entirely on the basis of their individual performances. In most domestic forms house and have a particular to the second secon

basis of their individual performances. In most domestic forms however, once marked breed characteristics have been estab lished, intergroup (interbreed) competition may operate, and reduce or wholly eliminate certain types. The dependence of the results of selection on the type o competition prevailing is well seen in the case of the socia hymenoptera, such as honey-bees, wasps, and ants, where repro ductive specialization prevails, and therefore the extinction o individual neuters can have no effect on the constitution of late

generations, provided that the community survives (see p. 480).' Haldane (1932a) has demonstrated that only in such a society which practises reproductive specialization, so that most of the individuals are neuters, can very pronounced altruistic instincts be evolved, of a type which "are valuable to society, but shorten the lives of their individual possessors". Thus unless we drastically alter the ordering of our own reproduction, there is no hope of making the human species much more innately altruistic than it is at present

^{*} As Weismann carly pointed out (see discussion in Emerson, 1939), selection of this type will become more effective as the number of reproductives is a colony is reduced—hence the single-queen condition in most termites and social hymenopiera.

The existence of intraspecific selection, i.e. selection between genetically different types within a species, enables us to expose another widespread fallacy—namely, that natural selection and the adaptations that it promotes must be for the good of the species as a whole, for the good of the evolving type pursuing a long-range trend, for the good of the group undergoing adaptive radiation, or even that it must promote constant evolutionary progress. In actual fact we find that intraspecific selection frequently leads to results which are mainly or wholly useless to the species or type as a whole. Thus the protection afforded by a cryptic or a mimetic resemblance of moderate accuracy might speedily approach the limit so far as its value to the species is concerned, if there were any way in which selection could be restricted to effects on the species as a species. But as a matter of fact selection acts viâ individuals, and this intraspecific competition between individuals will often lead to the process of adaptation being continued until almost incredibly detailed resemblances are reached. The perfection of the resemblance of *Kallima* to a dead leaf is one of the marvels of nature; not the least marvellous aspect of it is that it is of no value to the species as a whole (see p. 427).

A. J. Nicholson (1933) has pointed out how advantages operating at one stage of the life-history may be compensated for by increased mortality in other stages, so that the species does not benefit as a whole. Thus in most Lepidoptera a cryptic pattern favouring survival of adults will result in more larvae, which in turn will permit a disproportionate increase in parasitoid infection, thus bringing down the number of adults again. Wherever this balance of elimination as between stages is approximately self-regulating, factors affecting it will be over-riding as regards interspecific selection, while selection for other characters must be intraspecific. (In very unfavourable conditions with much reduced adult numbers, the cryptic pattern might become valuable for the species as a whole.)

In such examples, the adaptation is at least not deleterious. In other cases, however, it may lead to deleterious results. This is perhaps-especially true of selection which is not only intraspecific <page-header><text><text><text>

is due, especially in its later stages, to the hypertely induced by intraspecific competition.

This conclusion is of far-reaching importance. It disposes of the notion, so assiduously rationalized by the militarists in one way and by the *laisser-faire* cconomists in another, that all man need to do to achieve further progressive evolution is to adopt the most thoroughgoing competition: the more ruthless the competition, the more efficacious the selection, and accordingly the better the results. . . But we now realize that the results of selection are by no means necessarily "good", from the point of view either of the species or of the progressive evolution of life. They may be neutral, they may be a dangerous balance of useful and harmful, or they may be definitely deleterious.

Natural selection, in fact, though like the mills of God in grinding slowly and grinding small, has few other attributes that a civilized religion would call Divine. It is efficient in its way—at the price of extreme slowness and extreme cruelty. But it is blind and mechanical; and accordingly its products are just as likely to be aesthetically, morally, or intellectually repulsive to us as they are to be attractive. We need only think of the ugliness of *Sacculina* or a bladder-worm, the stupidity of a rhinoceros or a stegosaur, the horror of a female mantis devouring its mate or a brood of ichneumon-flies slowly eating out a caterpillar.

Both specialized and progressive improvements are mere byproducts of its action, and are the exceptions rather than the rule. For the statesman or the eugenist to copy its methods is both foolish and wicked. As well might the electrical engineer copy the methods of the lightning or the heating-engineer those of the volcano. It indubitably behoves us to study the methods of natural selection, but this will be to discover how to modify and control them in new ways and, very definitely, to see what to avoid. Not only is natural selection not the instrument of a God's sublime purpose; it is not even the best mechanism for achieving evolutionary progress. An important step towards a rational applied biology will be the full analysis of the various modes of operation of selection with a view to its eventual control and its intensification for our own purposes.

CHAPTER 9

EVOLUTIONARY TRENDS

1.	Trends in adaptive radiation	•	•	•	•	p.	486
2.	The selective determination of adaptive trends		•		•	p .	494
3.	The apparent orthogenesis of adaptive trends	•	•	•	•	p.	497
4.	Non-adaptive trends and orthogenesis	•	•	•	•	p.	504
s.	The restriction of variation		•	•		р.	516
6.	Consequential evolution: the consequences of	dif	fer	enti	ial		
	development	•	•	•	•	p.	525
7.	Other consequential evolutionary trends	•		•	•	p.	543

I. TRENDS IN ADAPTIVE RADIATION

We have now to consider long-range evolutionary trends. The primary evidence on these comes from continuous fossil series, but incomplete or even fragmentary series may often be satisfactorily completed by the use of indirect evidence from comparative anatomy and embryology, and the indirect evidence may supplement the direct by showing us, to a considerable degree of probability, with what physiology and what behaviour to cloak the fossil bones.

Later in this chapter, we shall discuss those trends for which no adaptive meaning has as yet been discovered. But it seems clear that the considerable majority are definitely adaptive. So obvious is this conclusion that it has found expression in the current phrase *adaptive radiation* (first employed as a generalization by H. F. Osborn; see e.g. Osborn, 1910). This is employed to cover the well-known fact that large systematic groups usually contain representatives adapted to a number of mutually exclusive ways of life. The converse principle is that of the parallel physiological or structural adaptation shown by the most diverse kinds of animals confined to a single type of habitat (pp. 430 ff.; and examples in Hesse, Allce, and Schmidt, 1937). Adaptive radiation is most obvious in the case of classes and sub-classes, but may be traced both in higher and lower systematic units: however, in phyla and other units of high rank, the phenomenon is manifested only on very broad lines, while in small groups such as families the type is in general so much restricted that the radiation is neither so many-sided nor so obvious. Thus classes and sub-classes provide the optimum size of group

Thus classes and sub-classes provide the optimum size of group in which the phenomenon may be studied: and in such cases, whenever paleontological evidence is available (as it is notably in the placental mammals, but also in the reptiles and other groups) the adaptive radiation is seen to be the result of a number of gradual evolutionary trends, each tending to greater specialization—in other words to greater adaptive efficiency in various mechanisms subservient to some particular mode of life. As we have already pointed out, adaptive radiation is ecological divergence in the grand manner. It is the large-scale group manifestation of the process whose details in minor systematics we have discussed under the head of ecological speciation; and each single adaptive trend also shows the phenomenon of successional speciation.

In typical cases of adaptive radiation, a number of lines take their origin in a generalized early group. There has been some dispute among paleontologists as to the degree of generalization to be expected in an ancestral form (see Gregory, 1936). For instance, Henry Fairfield Osborn and his school wished to extend considerably the principle of parallelism in (mammalian) evolution, by assuming that in each group numerous separate lines of descent run parallel far back into geological time, before divergence from a common ancestor can be postulated (even for the orders of modern placental mammals, common ancestry is, by authors of this way of thinking, frequently assumed to date back to the Upper Cretaceous); in correlation with this view, the Osborn school further assumes that "even any remote ancestors of any type must, in order to be admitted as such, already exhibit unmistakable signs of the characters which are very evident in their descendants". Thus Miller and Gidley deny to the Eocene rodent *Paramys* any ancestral significance for modern rodents such as squirrels and beavers, because it exhibits no trace of the specialization which these modern forms possess.

no trace of the specialization which these modern forms possess. On the other hand, most paleontologists do not shrink from the idea of radical transformation and apparent new origin of characters within a line. Thus W. D. Matthew regards *Paramys* as ancestral at least to the squirrels and beavers. There would appear on general grounds no reason to accept the views of the Osborn school. At some time the specialized must certainly have arisen from the generalized. However, just because the ancestral type *is* so generalized, it is often, in view of the imperfections of the fossil record, very difficult to push the history of a given line back beyond the point at which the first obvious signs of its characteristic specialization appear. The stock at this stage of its characteristic specialization appear. The stock at this stage of its evolution is often a variable one, and may show numerous combinations of characters not found in any of the later types derived from it. Specialization often consists partly in the restric-tion of the character-combinations found; and for the rest, chiefly in quantitative alterations in the relative development of chiefy in quantitative alterations in the relative development of this or that character. The process of specialization in all lines continues steadily, but with different intensity in different lines, for a considerable time, which in the higher mammals at least seems to last for between ten and forty million years; eventually change ceases, and the specialized type either rapidly becomes extinct or else continues unchanged for further geological periods. A further feature of such trends as have abundant fossil

A further feature of such trends as have abundant fossil documentation, such as that of the horses, is the amount of parallel evolution that occurs. Closely related stocks appear to develop along similar lines, although frequently one line will show acceleration in one adaptive trend, such as the specialization of the grinding mechanism of the teeth, with relatively slow development in another, such as the specialization of the hoofed foot (see Matthew, 1926, and Stirton, 1940, for horses; Osborn, 1929 and 1936, for titanotheres and for elephants; Swinnerton, 1921, for various invertebrates; and pp. 514 seq.).

Another feature of trends that are well-documented by fossils is the great-amount of variability that often occurs at any one time, with consequent marked overlap at different levels. Thus

Trueman (1922) investigated the evolution of a curved Gryphaea type of shell from a flat Ostrea type. The curvature in the flattest shells from the lowest level investigated was only 10°, while in the most curved shells from the highest level it was 540°.* But the range of variation at five successive levels was as follows:

	degrees
No. 1	10-130
No. 2	100–340
No. 3	180-400
No. 4	220-500
No. 5	270-540

In general, no sharp line can be drawn between long-range trends extending over scores of millions of years and short-range trends of under a million years (see Swinnerton, 1932). Swinnerton (1940) has investigated the same evolutionary trend in more detail in another Ostrea-Gryphaea lineage. He finds the same great range of variability at any one time. He has further been able to prove, by interesting graphic methods, that in certain characters the later communities differ from the earlier merely in a restriction of the original variability, whereas in other characters they have moved partly or wholly beyond the limits found in the original community.

We will for the moment leave out of consideration those advances (though they too are adaptive) which concern higher all-round organic efficiency rather than greater efficiency in relation to a particular environment or mode of life, and which are better classified under the head of biological progress than under that of specialization: these will be discussed in Chap. 10. The process of adaptive radiation may be illustrated by the group of placental mammals. From the small and generalized terrestrial forms of the end of the Cretaceous and the very beginning of the Cenozoic, lines radiated out to take possession of different environments. Two quite separate lines became fully aquatic, one of flesh-eaters culminating in the whales and por-We will for the moment leave out of consideration those

^{*} Measurements expressed in degrees of total coiling instead of in terms of the spiral angle, as given by Trueman.

poises, the other of herbivores leading to the sea-cows and manatees. Still another line, that of the seals and the sea-lions, branched off from the carnivore stock and became aquatic except for reproduction. The bats meanwhile specialized on aerial life, and the primates on life in trees. The main ground-living forms belong to five chief branches—the carnivores, the rodents, the elephants, the odd-toed and the even-toed ungulates. The rodents specialized for gnawing, the carnivores for the capture of large living prey; both ungulate groups, though quite separate in evolutionary origin, became highly adapted to a herbivorous diet of grass or leaves and, in the most advanced types, to rapid locomotion; the elephants concentrated on a different type of vegetarian specialization, with the aid of tusks, trunk, and large bulk. bulk

bulk. Among other groups, the South American edentates or Xenarthra are instructive. They represent the surviving remnants of a primitive early mammalian stock, and are not characterized, as are the successful groups, by one predominant specialization. On the contrary, their affinities are revealed only by compara-tive anatomy, and they show remarkable divergent specializa-tions—the armadillos to protection by heavy armour, the ant-eaters to an ant and termite diet, the sloths to an upside-down arboreal existence, and the recently extinct ground-sloths to a sluggish herbivorous life coupled with great bulk. It appears that they have only been able to survive through embarking on a secondary adaptive radiation of their own, superposing high ecological specialization on a primitive organizational ground-plan.* plan.*

Something of the same sort has occurred with the insectivores —we need only think of mole and hedgehog—though the members of this group have in large measure survived by remaining generalized and of small size and by occupying humble niches in the economy of life. Other groups, however, have disappeared entirely, notably the higher creodonts among the carnivores, and among vegeta-

^{*} A similar secondary radiation, but here correlated with more complete competition, is seen in marsupials in the Australian region: see pp. 324, 491.

rians, the amblypods, the titanotheres, the typotheres and their relatives, the chalicotheres and the baluchitheres. All these were specialized, and many of them of large bulk. In every case it appears that they were extinguished because with their primitive general organization, notably as regards the size and efficiency of their brain, they were unable to compete successfully with the later-evolving carnivorous and herbivorous lines.

Each successful line of course radiates further into sub-lines. Among bats, for instance, there are fruit-eaters, insect-eaters, fish-caters, and blood-suckers. Among cetaceans there are the giant whalebone food-strainers, the big toothed whales specialized to feed on deep-sea cuttlefish, the carnivorous killer-whales attacking other marine mammals, and the porpoises and dolphins specialized for fish-cating. Even among seals there is marked adaptive radiation, some cating fish, others cephalopods, others crabs, and still others penguins. Still finer adaptive specialization takes place within the sub-lines. Emerson (1938) gives a valuable summary of the adaptive radiation of termites, which is largely concerned with the type of nest-construction. We have given examples from birds in Chapter 6 (p. 325).

It is instructive to compare the adaptive radiation achieved by different groups. The marsupials, for instance, that were isolated in the Australian region underwent adaptive radiation quite separately from other mammals elsewhere, whether marsupials or placentals. The fact that they alone among marsupials were able to specialize to this extent is doubtless a large-scale example of the phenomenon noted in Chapter 6 (p. 324), of the greater degree of differentiation made possible by reduced competition from other types. However, the number of specializations achieved, and their efficiency, was not so high as in the placentals. This in all probability is to be ascribed to the lesser scope for variation and the lesser degree of selective pressure; this is due to the smaller size and less varied nature of the area, which in their turn restrict the total numbers of organisms in a species, and therefore the potential of variation, and also limit the numbers of different ecological niches. Some of the specialized trends are extremely similar to those found in placentals. For instance, the marsupial mole and wolf show a remarkable parallelism with their placental counterparts. In many cases, however, the same general type of specialization is achieved, but in a different way. The kangaroos are the outstanding example of this. They are well adapted to life on grassy plains; but nothing similar to them in detail has been evolved among placentals as dominant plains herbivore, and among marsupials nothing has been evolved similar to the placentals' main specializations for plains life—the horses on the one hand and the antelopes on the other.

antelopes on the other. Some lines are altogether lacking in the marsupial radiation: e.g. neither aquatic nor fully aerial forms were evolved. Others, such as carnivorous types, are relatively poorly de eloped; but still others, such as small arboreal types, are more extensively developed than in placentals. In general, however, adaptive radiation saw to it that the main ecological niches are occupied by the Australian marsupials, even though the methods of occupying them frequently differs from those adopted by the placentals in their radiation. There is little evidence that intrinsic variability or other inherent properties of the stock have much to do with the differences between the two sub-classes.

The differences between the two sub-classes. The evolution of the Australian marsupials demonstrates adaptive radiation on the part of a medium-sized taxonomic group restricted to a medium-sized area. Adaptive radiation may be seen in much smaller areas as well as in much smaller groups. Thus modern work (Yonge, 1938*a*, 1938*b*) indicates that the remarkable prosobranch molluscan fauna of Lake Tanganyika, which is unique in fresh waters both in abundance of species and in special types, is not (as was originally suggested) derived from a part of a Jurassic marine fauna cut off in the lake, but has evolved *in situ* from forms already adapted to fresh water. All fresh-water gastropods are herbivorous: this is proved for all the Tanganyika forms by their possession of a crystalline style. They have radiated into a variety of forms, adapted for living at different depths and in waters containing different amounts of sediment, and for securing their food in radically different ways. However, it is interesting to note that the radiation is limited in one important particular—no carnivorous types have been evolved.

Similar local radiation permitted by long isolation has been shown to occur in the gammarids and other forms of Lake Baikal, the cichlid fish of Tanganyika and other African lakes (p. 324), and certain birds of oceanic islands (p. 325). As we should expect, all degrees in amount of radiation appear to exist.

The adaptive radiation (or rather radiations, since several were superposed) of the reptiles during the Mesozoic Period is perhaps more comparable with that of placental mammals than is that of the Australian marsupials, since they affected a major group in the main land area of the globe. In this case all possible main lines were evolved, including the full aerial and a disproportionately large number of aquatic types. The excess of lines tending towards very great bulk is also prominent. This fact looms over-large in most discussions of the subject, and it is often forgotten, even by professional biologists, that small types adapted to erect as well as to quadrupedal running, to arboreal life, etc., were also evolved. Here again there is no evidence of any restriction of variability: the peculiarities of the reptilian radiation, while in part due to the inherent properties of the reptilian stock (scaly covering, small brain, etc.), appear to depend in the main on peculiarities of the physical and biological environment of the period.

The essence of adaptive radiation thus consists first in the invasion of different regions of the environment by different lines within a group, and secondly in their exploitation of different modes of life. In both cases progressive adaptation is at work. In the first case this may lead to wholly new parts of the environment being colonized: for instance the sea and the air formed no part of the environment of the original mammalian stock. In the second case it may lead to wholly new organic arrangements: for instance binocular and macular vision in higher primates, the baleen filter of whalebone whales, or the ruminant stomach in higher even-toed ungulates.

494 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS

2. THE SELECTIVE DETERMINATION OF ADAPTIVE TRENDS

The trends seen in adaptive radiation would appear to present no difficulties to the selectionist, and it is hard to understand why they have been adduced as proof of non-adaptive and internally-determined orthogenesis. Whenever they are truly functional and lead to improvement in the mechanical or neural basis for some particular mode of life, they will confer advantage on their possessors and will come under the influence of selection; and a moment's reflection will show that such selection will continue to push the stock further and further along the line of development until a limit has been reached.

This limit is usually determined by quite simple biomechanical principles. A horse cannot reduce its digits below one per foot, nor can it, with a given body-size, increase the complexity of the grinding surface of its molars beyond a certain point without making the grinding ridges too small for the food to be ground. The selective advantages of mere size, which must often be great in early stages of a trend, will be later offset by reduction of speed, or difficulties of securing sufficiency of food, or, in the final limit in land animals, by the relative increase of skeleton necessitated.* There is a limit to the acuity of vision, to the streamlining of aquatic form, to the length of a browser's neck, which can be useful or indeed possible to hawk or vulture, to whale or porpoise, to gerenuk or giraffe.

When those biomechanical limits have been reached, the trend ccases, and the stock, if it is not extinguished through the increasing competition of other stocks which have not yet reached the limits of their trends, is merely held by selection to the point it has reached. Ants, in some ways the most successful of inverte-

* If the same proportions are retained while absolute size is increased, crosssectional area of bone increases as the square of linear dimensions, but weight to be supported as their cube. After a certain limit the bone is unable to support the weight. For instance, human thigh-bones will break if called on to support about ten times the weight they now support. Thus a tenfold increase of man's linear dimensions would bring him to the point where he could no longer support his own weight, since cross-section of a thigh-bone would increase a hundredfold, while weight would increase a thousandfold, and so each square inch of femoral cross-section would be called upon to support ten times as much weight. (See D'Arcy Thompson, 1917, Chap. 2; Haldane, 1927b, p. 18.) brate groups, reached the limits of specialization at latest by the Oligocene, and have shown negligible evolutionary changes in the succeeding 30,000,000 years (Wheeler, 1910). The titanotheres reached theirs long before most placental trends had been achieved, and accordingly were later extinguished by the competition of more efficient rivals. In general, the most successful mammalian groups reached their limits in the Pliocene.

One important fact must be stressed, since it is often overlooked by those who would uphold an orthogenetic as against a selectionist interpretation of such trends. It is that the environment to which a given line becomes adapted is organic as well as inorganic: it includes all other forms of life with which the type comes into ecological relation, as well as purely physical and climatic features. Sometimes the inorganic environment changes markedly, as when there is a climatic revolution, such as occurred at the end of the Cretaceous; but in general it is the organic environment which shows the more rapid and important alterations.

Thus the evolution of the ungulates is not adapted merely to greater efficiency in securing and digesting grass and leaves. It did not take place in a biological vacuum, but in a world inhabited, *inter alia*, by carnivores. Accordingly, a large part of ungulate adaptation is relative to the fact of carnivorous enemies. This applies to their speed, and, in the case of the ruminants, to the elaborate arrangements for chewing the cud, permitting the food to be bolted in haste and chewed at leisure in safety. The relation between predator and prey in evolution is somewhat like that between methods of attack and defence in the evolution of war. In recent naval history, for instance, an advance in the efficiency of big guns has immediately put an additional premium upon advance in armour-plating, and vice versa. Sometimes advance is so great that an entire method of attack or defence is rendered obsolete. The improvement of artillery led to the abandonment first of fortified castles and later of city fortifications as methods of defence: machine-guns and barbed wire forced the abandonment of the cavalry charge as a method of

attack. Such radical changes have their biological parallels in the entire or almost entire extinction of a group. The dependence of adaptive trends on the organic environ-ment is shown in a diagrammatic manner in the relation between carnivores and herbivores; but more subtle dependence will exist wherever two types are brought into ecological competition or interrelation.

interrelation. In addition, the organic environment of an individual includes the rest of the species. This is a truism so obvious as often to be forgotten; but since so much of selection depends on intraspecific competition, it is of great importance. When all ancestral horses could run only moderately fast, an additional premium would be placed on a little extra turn of speed; when the ancestral seal had first taken to the water, a better streamlining and a more efficient flipper would give their possessors a definite advantage over their fellows. When the biomechanical limit of speciali-zation has been reached, such advances will no longer be possible, and selection can only act either by keeping the species up to the limit or by encouraging adaptive changes in other characters, such as intelligence or reproductive efficiency. (See pp. 478 seq.). Thus partly in relation to other species, partly in competition, with others of their own species, a constant selection-pressure will be exerted, causing adaptive trends, once begun, to be specialized towards a limit. That adaptive radiation is essentially a product of selection,

specialized towards a limit. That adaptive radiation is essentially a product of selection, not the outcome of any intrinsic tendency, and is relative to environmental conditions, is further shown by the fact that when stocks are removed from competition or find themselves in special environments, they may show renewed adaptive radiation, although this has virtually ceased, at least does not take place, elsewhere. This is well exemplified by the gammarids in Lake Baikal (Korotneff, 1905–12), by the fish in certain African lakes (p. 324), and on a larger scale by such examples as the Australian radiation of the marsupials just mentioned. Similarly the enormous plasticity of e.g. pigeons under artificial selection is proof that their previous stability was the effect of selection-pressure, not of any reduction in intrinsic variability.

grey, brown, russet, and yellow, while in Primates scarlets, pinks, blues, and greens are also found. This, however, would appear to be a case of consequential evolution (see p. 525), the greater range of colours among Primates being a consequence of their acquisition (alone among mammalian groups, apparently) of colour-vision. A relevant fact is that, as I am informed by Dr. S. Zuckerman, the red of buttocks and occasionally of face in Primates is due, as in our own lips, to blood showing through their skin. This device for producing visible red would have been available to members of other mammalian groups, but would have been useless in the absence of colour-vision.

There are some cases, however, in which certain variations appear to be impossible or at best very difficult to produce. In spite of intensive and long-continued efforts, breeders have failed to give the world blue roses or black tulips. A bluish-purple in the rose and a deep bronze in the tulip are the limits reached: true blue and jet black have proved impossible.

We refer later to the small amount of new variation to be found in the introduced English sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) in the U.S.A. This was recently confirmed by Lack (1940c). No marked local races have been established, and the variance of individual populations has been scarcely or not at all increased. This rather surprising failure to vary may possibly be due to lack of time (p. 521).

lack of time (p. 521). Restriction of variability may also be due to quite other causes, namely to a lack of what is called modificational plasticity—the capacity to react by modification to differences in the environ-ment (see p. 441). Various botanists (e.g. Turrill, 1936; and see Marsden-Jones and Turrill, 1938) have shown that different species of plants differ enormously in this respect, some, which we may call stenoplastic, remaining extremely constant under a wide range of environmental conditions, others, the euryplastic types, reacting by marked changes in size, habit, proportions, etc. p. 444). We have less information on the subject in animals. This phenomenon is of great interest ecologically and in relation to minor systematics. We do not, however, know

whether it is correlated with any difference in actual or potential
genetic variability, and this alone will have long-range cvolutionary effects.

This brings us to an allied problem, that of the great variability of certain species as opposed to the relative invariability of others. We have already touched on this in connection with the subject of polymorphism (p. 516).

Hornell (1917), after detailed study of the lamellibranch Meretrix in Indian seas, concludes that the three species involved differ markedly in their type of variability. M. meretrix and M. attenuata are very variable in colour, but "remarkably stable" in adult shape and size, whereas M. casta is highly variable both geographically and locally in these last respects.

Restriction of variation is sometimes only apparent. Thus the snails of the genus *Cepaea*, such as *C. hortensis* and *C. nemoralis* (see p. 202), appear at first sight to be far more variable in their ground-colour and banding pattern than the common garden snail *Helix aspersa*. However, as Mr. Diver has pointed out to me, the shell of the garden snail is heavily suffused with a general brown pigment, which masks any underlying variation. Actually, it would seem that variation in these concealed patterns is just as great as in the readily visible patterns of *Cepaea*.

Similarly, there are two North American species of the lamellibranch Donax, of which one (D. gouldii) is superficially very uniform, while the other (D. variabilis) owes its name to the striking variation which it exhibits (Anon., 1941). Examination of the illustration, however, scems to show that the apparent restriction of variability in D. gouldii is due to a general diminution in the intensity of pigmentation, which renders the various patterns much fainter.

Bateson (1913, pp. 24 scq.) gives a number of other examples. In some cases, however, if he had gone further into the subject, the facts would not seem so curious. For instance, he cites the case of two closely related British noctuid moths of the genus *Dianthoecia*, both common and wide-ranging: *D. capsincola* shows little variation, while *D. carpophaga* "exhibits a complex series of varieties". He further mentions that the common "Silver Y", *Plusia gamma*, shows little variation in the mark from which it takes its name, while the corresponding mark in *P. interrogationis* is so variable that no two specimens are alike. However, in the latter case, he omits to mention that in ground colour the two forms both show considerable variation, showing that *P. gamma* is not stable as a species.

With Dianthoecia, he has neglected the ecology of the two species. Although he states that they are similar in their habits, this is not true in one important respect, for Mr. E. B. Ford tells me that while the adults of *D. capsincola* rest in concealed situations at the base of herbage, those of *D. carpophaga*, predominantly a coastal species, tend to rest on exposed soil and rock. Their coloration is thus subject to selection for protective reasons, and the variation to which Bateson refers is mainly a regional one, forms from different localities being adapted to the prevalent colour of the local background. For instance on the south coast of Britain, whitish forms are found in the chalk areas, but beyond these, to the west, brownish types predominate.

the south coast of Britain, whitish forms are found in the chalk areas, but beyond these, to the west, brownish types predominate. These examples will serve to show the complexity of the problem, and the danger of hasty conclusions. None the less, some of Bateson's cases seem to satisfy all requirements. In Britain, for instance, the pheasant stock (if we disregard recombinational variation due to crossing) is less variable than the red grouse, in spite of the fact that the former has been introduced into alien surroundings. In the United States, the introduced house sparrow appears to be much less variable geographically than many indigenous species (p. 519; J. C. Phillips, 1915). However, the lapse of time may not have been sufficient to elicit geographical differentiation (see p. 194), for this appears to depend on selection as well as on inherent variability. In any case the species shows plenty of geographical variation in the Old World.

At the moment we can give no explanation, whether in terms of intrinsic nature or external selection-pressure, to account for the restriction of variability in some species as against others of the same genus, although we may say with some assurance that some species seem to show a greater readiness to vary genetically than do others, and further that a given type may produce

R[≠]

certain kinds of mutational effects more frequently, others with great rarity or perhaps never. On the other hand, theoretical considerations show that evolutionary change will still occur, in spite of wide differences in general mutation-rate, provided that selection is operative. Thus it will be rare that lack of evolutionary change can be due to lack of raw materials in the shape of mutations.

of mutations. So much for the possibility of a restriction of the raw material of variation, through the differential frequency of mutation in various directions. Another restriction, of much more frequent occurrence, is that of the utilizability of variation, through a differential effect upon the selective value of mutations in different directions. The former depends upon inherent properties of the germinal material; the latter upon the past history of the species, as embodied in its present organization, and upon its environ-ment. We have given examples of the relativity of evolutionary change to environmental conditions (p. 430). As an example of past history limiting the advantageous directions of change, we have already considered the effect of past specialization in favouring further change in the same direction and inhibiting it in other directions. The principle is, however, of wider application. Once a given structural plan has been evolved, it will be much simpler (I use a shorthand mode of expression) to alter its parts quantitatively or to adapt it to new functions than to evolve new organs. For this reason, the great majority of evolutionary changes of structure consist in changes of pro-portion only, one part or organ being enlarged, another reduced. To take a striking example, the adult echinoderms have never succeeded in escaping fully from their radial symmetry. Asym-metrical and bilateral forms have been evolved, but never full bilaterality with development of a head. Numerous other metrical and bilateral forms have been evolved, but never full bilaterality with development of a head. Numerous other examples of structures altering their function during evolution and of the past dictating the limits for the future (see p. 500), will readily occur to the mind. An interesting minor one is the fact that in groups with sporadic hearing, the evolution of this capacity in conjunction with that of functional sound-production may be followed by the evolution of a second distinct method

of sound-production. This has occurred in several longicorn beetles; and in one (*Plagithysmus*) two subsidiary methods have been evolved (see the Cambridge Natural History for details). Numerous other examples of specialization in one type of sense-organ being followed in evolution by a series of allaesthetic acquisitions designed to stimulate that particular sense-organ, will occur to all biologists. Such restrictions, however, should attribute the culled orthogenetic Theorem and the num strictly not be called orthogenetic. They are rather to be con-sidered as cases of orthoselective evolution (p. 500), but consequential in the long range or historical sense (p. 545). The fact remains that evolutionary change is not completely

at random. In the first place it is restricted environmentally. In saying this we are only reaffirming the fundamental Darwinian postulate of selection, for selection is always relative to the environment, both inorganic and biological. This relativity, how-ever, is so basic that it is often neglected: its importance is thrust upon our notice only when a climatic revolution takes place, or, more frequently, when there is some alteration in the biological environment, as with the colonization of new areas where the balance of competitors or enemies is different.

It is, however, also restricted on account of peculiarities in the evolving organisms. Such internal restriction operates in two ways, orthogenetically and historically. Both types of restriction may play either a dominant or a subsidiary role in evolution. The historical restrictions depend on the previous evolutionary history of the stock and its effects on the machinery of selection. Dominant historical restrictions arise from what we may call the groove effect (p. 500), which Plate termed orthoselection: once adaptive specialization has begun in one direction it must become progressively harder, on the basis of the known facts of mutation, for selection to switch the trend onto another direction. The result is an apparent orthogenesis. Subsidiary (or consequential) historical restrictions simply make it easier for selection to act in certain ways than in others, while leaving the adaptive direction to be guided by selection. A special case of subsidiary historical restriction is provided

by the Baldwin and Lloyd-Morgan principle of Organic Selec-

tion, according to which an organism may in the first instance become adapted to an ecological niche merely by behaviour (whether genetic or purely habitual) and any consequent nonheritable modifications, after which mutations for the kind of structural change suitable to the particular mode of life will have a better chance of being selected. Where the modifications are extensive, the process of their replacements by mutations may closely simulate lamarckism (pp. 114, 296, 304). The principle is an important one which would appear to have been unduly neglected by recent evolutionists.

True orthogenetic restriction depends on a restriction of the type and quantity of genetic variation. When dominant it prescribes the direction of evolution: when subsidiary it merely limits its possibilities.

Dominant historical restriction is common, dominant orthogenetic restriction very rare, if indeed it exists at all. Subsidiary historical restriction is common. It may be important in barring certain major lines of advance, but allows considerable freedom in the direction of adaptive specialization. Subsidiary orthogenetic restriction is probably frequent, but we are not yet able to be sure in most cases whether a limitation of variation as actually found in a group is due to a limitation in the supply of mutations or to selection, or to other causes. It is, however, certain that some mutational effects recur regularly in some allied species, and probable that this phenomenon is widespread. This last fact may contribute to parallel evolution—a type of directional change in which orthogenetic and selectionist agencies are combined.

To sum up, the only important agency restricting the direction of evolutionary change is the historical one, leading to a purely apparent orthogenesis. The subsidiary restrictions are truly subsidiary, in that the supply of variation remains sufficient to allow a degree of freedom in the direction of change which is always considerable and in certain cases at least appears to be, for all practical purposes of adaptive specialization, unlimited.

6. CONSEQUENTIAL EVOLUTION: THE CONSEQUENCES OF DIFFERENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Under this head we may discuss types of trend which are initiated or maintained with special readiness as a consequence of the way in which genes operate to produce their effect during development.

Let us begin with an example neatly worked out by Haldane (1932a, p. 124; see also Castle, 1932), which demonstrates how the results of selection at one period of the life-cycle may have repercussions on other periods and affect the species and its evolution in unexpected ways. The phenomenon with which he deals is that of intra-uterine selection in mammals which are polytocous, i.e. bring forth a number of young at one birth. Here there must be an intense pre-natal selection, since a considerable percentage of every litter dies in utero. Rapidity of growth especially must be at a premium, since space and nutrition are limited, and any advantage gained by an embryo establishing itself early is likely to be of permanent advantage throughout the critical stages.

Haldane suggests with some plausibility that any rapidity of pre-natal growth thus acquired is likely to be transferred in whole or in part to post-natal life as well, and that intra-uterine selection may thus help to account for the progressive increase in size seen in so many mammalian lines during their evolution.*

At any rate, the converse seems to hold good, namely that on

* This cannot be the only factor responsible for such trends towards evolutionary increase in bulk. For one thing, size-increase (up to a certain limit) must often be directly advantageous in its own right; and for another, the phenomenon occurs also in other types, such as reptiles, in which no phase of intra-uterine existence is passed through. It might be interesting to compare the *rate* of evolutionary size-increase in monotocous and polytocous placental types; but we could never be sure at what period a type which at present is monotocous had ceased to have litters of several young. Haldane himself in a later work (1938, p. 125) points out that a similar trend towards increased size will operate in polygamous species in which the males fight for the females. In the first case, intersexual selection will operate to increase the size of the males; and then some of this increase in size will tend automatically to be transferred to the females (cf. Winterbottom, 1929 and 1932), so that the size of both sexes will tend to be pushed beyond the optimum, or what would be the optimum for other reasons. account of intra-uterine selection it would be impossible for a polytocous mammal to slow down its rate of development. One of the most characteristic features of man, and one by which his capacity of learning is utilized to the fullest extent, is precisely such a slowing down of general rate of development. Without it he could not in all probability have become fully human or biologically dominant. Judged by the law (which applies to most other mammals so far investigated) which regulates the amount of food consumed before the adult phase is reached, man's immaturity has been lengthened some sevenfold. This could not have occurred in a polytocous form. It was only after man's ancestors ceased to have litters and began to bring forth a single young at a birth that the further evolution of man became possible.

Further, this general slowing down had numerous corollaries. The typical adult human condition of hair on the head but almost complete absence of hair on the body is passed through as a temporary condition at about the time of birth by the anthropoid ape. The hymen of the human female has been stated to represent the persistence of what in lower mammals is an embryonic stage in the development of the urino-genital system. Most striking of all, the general form of the human face and skull, with its absence of snout and of bony ridges on the cranium, is quite similar to that of the foetal or newborn ape, but quite dissimilar to that of the adult (see p. 555; and Bolk, 1926).*

The general slowing down of man's post-natal development is doubtless due in part to its possessing selective advantage. But, as Haldane points out, it may also be in part the indirect carryover from a slowing of pre-natal development. In the circumstances of anthropoid apes and of primitive sub-man a foetus is on the whole better nourished and less exposed to danger than a newborn infant, so that pre-natal slowing, with consequent prolongation of the intra-uterine phase, is here advantageous in

^{*} The slowing is already marked in anthropoid apes, but not so extreme as in man. Spence and Yerkes (1937) show that whereas the percentage rate of increase in bulk in domestic mammals and rodents varies from 400 to 1,200 per cent per annum during the juvenile period, in the chimpanzee it is 21-27 per cent, and in man about 10 per cent.

polytocous mammals. (The non-black cyc- and hair-colours (except red hair) of certain human ethnic groups appear also to be due to a slowing of the processes concerned with melanindeposition: McConaill and Ralphs, 1937.)

This prolongation of a more protected early phase may also apply to the larval period, for instance in insects with their coenogenetic larvae, which are often highly adapted to their secondary mode of life. One need only think of the mayfly with its imaginal phase reduced both in structure and in duration.

Sometimes this reduction is carried to its logical extreme, and the adult phase is wiped out of the life-history by neoteny. This has demonstrably occurred in various beetles, and in the axolotl. It has probably taken place in ourselves as well: there is every reason to suppose that our adult ancestors possessed heavy brow ridges and protruding jaws, and that our smooth foreheads and orthognathous faces represent primarily the prolongation into maturity of a foetal and neo-natal phase that we share with the apes.

Haldane in an interesting paper (1932b) discusses these and similar phenomena from the standpoint of the time of action of the genes controlling them. A more comprehensive view, however, such as that adopted by de Beer (1940a), would include as still more important the genes' rate of action. As A. R. Moore (1910, 1912) first suggested, and as Goldschmidt (summarized 1927), Ford and Huxley (summarized 1922) and a chart have accordinizate shown a large number

As A. R. Moore (1910, 1912) first suggested, and as Goldschmidt (summarized 1927), Ford and Huxley (summarized 1929), and others have conclusively shown, a large number (possibly the majority) of genes exert their effects through the intermediation of a process operating at a definite rate. They may be the direct cause of the process, or they may influence the rate of a process originated in some other way: in either case mutations in the genes concerned will alter the rate of some process of development.

The speeds of processes which such rate-factors control are not absolute, but relative—relative to the speed of other processes of development and of development in general. Further, it is found that a decrease in the rate of a visible process is in general accompanied by a delay in the time of its initial onset, and vice

528 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS versa. This may be merely a threshold effect, but clearly has important biological consequences, since it will affect the *duration* of any other characters which can only manifest themselves before the process visibly manifests itself. Furthermore, such processes do not necessarily continue indefinitely. Often they reach an equilibrium; when this is so the final level of the equilibrium also appears to be correlated with the rate of the process. This is so, for instance, with eye-colour in *Gammarus* (Ford and Huxley, 1929) and probably in man. The physiological basis of this fact is obscure, but once more it may involve interesting biological consequences. In addition to such rate-genes, others are known which appear only to affect the time of onset of a process and not its rate. Attempts have been made by representatives of the Morgan school (see e.g. Schultz, 1935) to minimize the importance of these discoveries, by asserting that they constitute only a re-description of old phenomena and add nothing truly new. On the contrary, I would maintain that the concept of rate-genes is as important for biology as is the concept of genic balance or the gene-complex. I need not go into its bearings upon physio-logical genetics—the problem of how the genes become translated into characters—save to say that it has in this field already proved itself an indispensable tool. Here we are concerned with its evolutionary implications (see also the excellent discussion in Goldschmidt, 1940, pp. 311 seq.). In the first place, since rate-genes of developmental processe

Goldschmidt, 1940, pp. 311 seq.). In the first place, since rate-genes are common, it is a legitimate provisional assumption that the rates of developmental processes in general are gene-controlled. Further, the simplification intro-duced into an analysis of development by the concept of relative rates of processes—exemplified by work such as Goldschmidt's on intersexuality and other problems (summarized 1927), Huxley's (1932) on the proportion of parts in animals, Ford and Huxley's (1929) on rate-genes, and Sinnott's (1935; Sinnott and Dunn, 1932, p. 341) on the role of rate-genes in determining fruit-shape in plants—makes it desirable to try this key first of all when attacking any developmental problem. Next, as Swinnerton-(1932) has stressed, a progressive muta-

tional change in the speed of processes controlled by rate-genes affords a complete formal explanation of many paleontological data, e.g. in various molluscan shells.

data, e.g. in various molluscan shells. It further affords an explanation certainly of most and probably of all cases of so-called reversal of dominance. The classical example is that recorded by A. Lang (1908) in crosses between red- and yellow-shelled snails. The F2 when young showed a ratio of 3 yellow : I red, whereas in the older individuals the ratio was 3 red : I yellow. The explanation is that all those individuals with either one or two "red" genes eventually become red, but the rate at which this occurs is reduced when the gene is present in single dose. For other examples see p. 218; Goldschmidt (1927); Huxley and Ford (1929).

It also helps us to understand the presence, the persistence, and the variability of vestigial organs. I may here cite a previous discussion of the subject (Huxley, 1932, p. 235): "As regards vestigial organs, the arm-chair critic often demands

"As regards vestigial organs, the arm-chair critic often demands of the evolutionist how the last stages in their reduction could occur through selection, and why, if reduction has gone as far as it has, it could not go on to total disappearance. In the light of our knowledge of relative growth, we may retort that we would *expect* the organ to be formed of normal or only slightly reduced relative size at its first origin, but then to be rendered vestigial in the adult by being endowed with negative heterogony [allometry: see Huxley and Teissier, 1936].* If rate-genes are as common as they appear to be, then what we have called the line of biological least resistance would be to produce adult vestigiality of an organ by reducing its growth-coefficient. So long as it is reduced to the requisite degree of insignificance at birth (or at whatever period a larger bulk would be deleterious), there is no need for reduction of its growth-rate to be pressed further. But the negative heterogony with which it is endowed will continue to operate, and it will therefore continue to grow

^{*} Needham and Lerner (1941) have now proposed the term *heterauxesis* to supersede allometry in cases of true relative growth, reserving the latter term for comparison of relative proportions in different types. It will probably be best to use *allomorphosis* for this latter use, keeping allometry as a general and inclusive term (Needham, Huxley and Lerner, 1941).

<page-header><text><text><text><text><text>

<page-header><page-header><text><text>

^{*} This conclusion is borne out by the fact mentioned by Ford (1937) that it is rare in Lepidoptera for mutations to affect the visible character of both the larva and the imago.

532 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS Castle (1932), from his data on rabbits, has drawn general evolutionary conclusions similar to Haldane's. As de Beer (1930) has pointed out, when coenogenetic changes occur in the embryo or larva, the adult remaining unchanged, neither paleontology nor comparative anatomy would register any phylogenetic advance. But if now neoteny or foetalization occurs, the old adult characters may be swept off the map and be replaced by characters of a quite novel type. This process, which he calls *clandestine evolution*, has been operative on a small scale in neotenous beetles and amphibia. Garstang (1922) has suggested that it has operated on a large scale in the ancestry of the vertebrates and of the gastropods. It is in any case a principle of far-reaching importance. A clear-cut example comes from the species of the snail *Cepaea*. It seems quite plain that their non-banded varieties are produced not because they contain a gene causing the total absence of pigment, but because they contain one which slows down pigment-formation and delays its visible onset relatively to general growth, to such an extent that growth is completed before any pigment can be formed. This is a comparatively unimportant effect; but when major

before any pigment can be formed. This is a comparatively unimportant effect; but when major processes are affected, such as metamorphosis, sexual maturity, or general rate of growth or development, the results may be far-reaching. Paedogenesis is caused by relative acceleration of the processes leading to sexual maturity. Neoteny in the axolotl and presumably in insects is due to the slowing down of the processes leading to metamorphosis. The condition seen in man should not strictly be called neoteny, but rather foetalization or perhaps juvenilization: this would seem to be produced by a general slowing of developmental rate, relative both to time and to servel maturity. to sexual maturity.

The existence of rate-factors has a bearing upon the problem presented by apparently useless characters. For alterations in the rate of a process will often automatically produce a number of secondary and apparently irrelevant effects. These will persist if they are harmless, or if any harmful effect is more than counter-balanced by the favourable effect of the initial change; and once

produced they may of course become utilized for other purposes. Numerous examples of such "correlated characters", as Darwin called them, are now known (pp. 188 seq.).

I will take a simple example from Gammarus. The depth of colour of the eye depends essentially upon the rate of deposition of melanin in an originally pure red eye. But the visible effect depends also on the size of the eye at a given time—when the eye is smaller, the melanin is more crowded and the eye looks darker (Ford and Huxley, 1929). In point of fact depth of eye-colour has been found to be modified, first by genes controlling the rate of melanin-formation, secondly by genes controlling relative eye-size, and thirdly by genes controlling the rate of development of the whole organism. Thus a mutation affecting the relative rate of eye-growth will alter the depth of eye-pigmentation.

It would seem inevitable that many of the apparently uscless features used in diagnosing species are correlated characters of this type. This may well prove to be the case with many of the pigmentary and other visible characters of the subspecies of *Lymantria* (p. 216; Goldschmidt, 1934). In cotton species (Gossypium) flower-colour, apparently owing to some underlying metabolic difference, has corolla-size as a correlate (Silow, 1941).

Of course not all useless "correlated characters" need be dependent simply on alterations in the rate of a process. The white-eye series of mutants in *Drosophila* also cause alterations in the shape of the spermatheca and the colour of the testis-sheath. Ford's analysis (1930) of Dobzhansky's data has made it probable that while the eye-characters of the series have been selected against to make their expression recessive, no selection has been operative on the internal characters (p. 80), which would then be mere correlates. Even here, however, it is probable that the different eye-colours of the white series represent the crosssections of a series of rate-curves.

Important examples of correlated characters are the higher mental faculties of man. It is obvious that natural selection cannot have been operative directly in bringing about the evolution of intense musical or mathematical ability, or indeed of many

specifically human facultics. As H. S. Harrison (1936) puts it, writing as an anthropologist, "it seems clear, indeed, that what-ever factors were concerned in the ancient evolution of the ever factors were concerned in the ancient evolution of the modern type of man, the upper limits of his powers and aptitudes of mind were not determined by the struggle for existence". Natural selection, however, could be and doubtless was operative in bringing about the evolution of speech and conceptual thought, with their corollaries of rational control in the practical sphere and freedom of association between the different compartments of mental powers. Once, however, this level of mental attainof mental powers. Once, however, this level of mental attain-ment was reached, the so-called higher facultics immediately became possible. They are implicit in the general type of brain organization required for speech and conceptual thought, and are therefore correlated characters in our sense. A somewhat similar case from lower organisms is that of bird song. Un-doubtedly true song has important functions, notably as territorial threat and advertisement (Huxley, 1938c). But given the complex emotional make-up of song-birds, song is uttered in many circumstances where it has other functions or is even functionless, stances where it has other functions or is even functionless, produced "for its own sake". The sedge-warbler (Acrocephalus schoenobaenus) will sing as an expression of anger. Many birds sing as an expression of general well-being; the autumn recrud-escence of song in many species would seem to be due to this, and to have no function. The vocal mimicry of many birds would seem to be an entirely unselected resultant, wholly com-

would seem to be an entirely unselected resultant, wholly com-parable to human higher faculties. A peculiar correlated character is that of human scapular shape, a convex inner border to the shoulder-blade being corre-lated with general fitness and high expectation of life, and vice versa for a concave one (Graves, 1932). Here, however, the correlation is a comparatively low one. The development of correlated characters during evolution may stimulate orthogenesis One of the most apparently con-vincing bits of evidence for the reality of orthogenesis was the discovery of Osborn (1929) and his school, that horns of the same type were evolved independently, in the same region of the skull, in four separate groups of titanotheres. Sturtevant

(1924), however, suggested that characters of this sort might be correlated characters, and the study of relative growth (Huxley, 1924; 1932, p. 218) has provided a simple explanatory basis for this view in this particular case. The horns of titanotheres are, like most horns, allometric, increasing in relative size with the absolute size of the animal, and not appearing at all below a certain absolute size. We have only to postulate the potentiality of frontal horns in the ancestral titanothere stock, for their independent actualization in the different groups to become inevitable so soon as a certain threshold of body-size is reached. Increase of body-size is probably advantageous up to a limit; if so the horns are the useless correlate of a useful character. It would be more accurate to say *initially useless*, since presumably once they appeared they were employed in fighting* (and see footnote[†]).

The interesting analysis of Hersh (1934) has shown that evolutionary allometry can be quantitatively studied. Thus in titanotheres, the evolutionary development of horn-length relative to basilar skull-length obeys the law of simple allometry, but with an unusually high equilibrium-constant or partitioncoefficient (a = about 9.0), He has further pointed out that, provided no change in growth-mechanism occurs during geological time, the equilibrium-constant for the relative growth of an organ will be the same for the evolution of a stock as for the development of a single individual within the stock.

Extrapolation of his curve indicates that the primitive titanotheres of the Eocene should have horns about 0.5 mm. long—in other words, of inappreciable size; and this is actually the case.

He also records the important fact that the equilibriumconstants for the relative growth of certain characters (e.g. zygomatic width and free nasal length relative to skull-length)

^{*} The fact that in rhinoceroses, horns appeared independently in three separate lines, but on *different* regions of the head, is not to be explained either orthogenetically or on the basis of simple allometry as in titanotheres. An allometric factor must presumably be involved, but also, it would appear, a selective factor.

[†] Hersh points out that while the horns originally appeared as correlated characters, presumably as a result of selection for increased general bulk, once they were established and were of use in fighting, selection for increased hornsize might occur, and would then bring about increased bulk as a "correlated character" in its turn.

may change at definite points in geological time, indicating changes (presumably mutational) in the underlying ontogenetic growth-mechanism at certain stages in the evolution of the group. According to Robb (1935-6) face-length in horses shows the same growth-constant for its ontogenetic and phylogenetic allometry, so that the phylogenetic change in skull-proportions would be entirely consequential on general size-increase. However, Reeve and Murray (1942) have shown that this is incorrect, ever, Reeve and Murray (1942) have shown that this is incorrect, the growth-constant changing during ontogeny from 1.5 to 1.0, while the phylogenetic growth-constant for the more primi-tive genera is 1.8; thus in modern (hypsodont) horses, lengthening of the face has been anticipated in early embryonic life. Robb further maintains that whereas digits ii and iv show the same slightly negative phylogenetic allometry in 3-toed and 1-toed forms, there is an abrupt change in the constant (b) defining the initial size of the primordia. Hersh's work on titano-theres indicates similar abrupt changes in the relative growth-constant *a*, as does Reeve and Murray's on the horse's face; while Herzberg and Massler's (1040) by rodent incisors indicates a constant a, as does Reeve and Murray's on the horse's face; while Herzberg and Massler's (1940) on rodent incisors indicates a gradual increase in a during phylogeny. Thus studies on relative growth sometimes lay bare the genetic mechanisms underlying evolution. Similarly, related subspecies may differ either in their b or a values for certain allometric organs—e.g. the antennae of the amphipod *Corophium volutator* (Chevais, 1937). Detailed studies as to the different rate of change with time of

various characters involved in a trend, such as that of Swinnerton

various characters involved in a trend, such as that of Swinnerton (1921) on carboniferous corals, are likely to throw considerable light on selection and on consequential evolution. Allometric growth is also without doubt the explanation for Lameere's and Geoffrey Smith's rule, namely that a large number of organs, all of them apparently allometric in the individual, tend to be of larger relative size in those species or genera of a group which are of greater absolute size. This is most clearly shown in beetles, but appears also to exist in hornbills, anteaters, and other forms (see Champy, 1924; Huxley, 1932, p. 212). This principle has obvious taxonomic bearings. In the first place, percentage measurements of the proportionate size of an

organ will have no diagnostic value unless either the organ is isometric, or there is not only a fixed adult phase (as in insects or higher vertebrates), but one with a restricted adult size-range (which is not true in many insects, e.g. Lucanidae, and even some mammals, e.g. the red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), as discussed by Huxley (1932, pp. 42 and 205). For some of the taxonomic implications, see Klauber (1938) on relative head-length in rattlesnakes, and Swinnerton (1940) for shell-shape in Ostrea-Gryphaea lineages (pp. 508, 515 n.).

A recent important study of this question has been made by Reeve (1940) on the anteaters of the family Myrmecophagidae. These include three well-marked genera, Cyclopes, Tamandua, and Myrmecophaga, characterized by increasing size and increasing relative face-length, measurable by facial index (see Table).

	Adult Skull-length.	Facial Index.	Growth-coefficient (a) of Maxillary Region.
Cyclopes Tamandua Myrmecophaga	 4 · 5 – 5 cm. 13–14 cm. 36–38 cm.	0.2 0.8 1.6	1 · 26 1 · 36 1 · 77

The facial index is the ratio of maxilla length to rest-of-skull length. Cyclopes has a distinctly short face, while in the other two genera the snout region is very obviously elongated, exceptionally so in Myrmecophaga, where the maxilla is over 11 times as long as the rest of the skull.

The degree of allometry in the snout was then found for each species by comparing skulls of different absolute sizes. It will be seen from the table that all three genera show positive allometry, though it is intensified with increase or absolute size.* This last feature is unusual, but is one which might be anticipated where we are dealing with stages in a trend towards a particular specialization.

Reeve shows that most, but not all, the differences in facial

* The difference between the growth-coefficient in Cyclopes and Tamandua is only doubtfully significant.

<page-header><text><text><text><text>

increase of neuron-volume which is somewhat less than proportional to the 1/3 power of body-volume, or in other words to the linear dimensions of the body, apparently on account of the linear increase in axon-length necessitated by increased body-size. A further fact is that the value of the equilibrium-constant for different-sized individuals is lower in domestic races than in

A further fact is that the value of the equilibrium-constant for different-sized individuals is lower in domestic races than in wild species (though, of course, the size-range of the domestic forms will be much greater). Thus in wild Canidae the value is about 0.26, in the domestic dog 0.22. This may perhaps be correlated with the different type of selection operating in the two cases, that for domestic races here being essentially concerned with size, irrespective of detailed physiological adjustments of the brain to a particular size.

Interspecifically, the equilibrium-constant is more than twice as high, about 0.56. For this to be the case, it is necessary that more neurons as well as larger neurons should be present. The brain-volume is thus nearly proportional to the *surface* of the body. This must represent the optimal relation physiologically. Finally, there is the curious fact that the constant of initial

Finally, there is the curious fact that the constant of initial proportion b varies from one group of species to another by whole-number multiples of $\sqrt{2}$. Dubois has suggested that this is due to the cerebral neuroblasts undergoing a different number of cell-divisions before finally differentiating. Whether this be true or not, we may be sure that the fact is consequential upon some ontogenetic process.

Lumer (1940) has successfully applied allometric analysis to the classification of the domestic breeds of dog (*Canis familiaris*). This enabled him in the first place to rule out the great majority of earlier classifications as being based solely on adult proportions (percentage ratios of various measurements). By plotting various absolute adult measurements of different-sized breeds on a double logarithmic scale, he obtained evolutionary growth-constants, as Hersh did with the titanotheres (p. 535), and he was then able to group the various breeds into six "allometric tribes", each characterized by possessing a particular set of growthcoefficients.

Different tribes may show the same growth-coefficients for

certain organs. Thus, for example, the terrier tribe (Alsatian, setter, poodle, fox-terrier, etc.), the bulldog tribe, the Great Dane tribe (with St. Bernard and Newfoundland) and the greyhound tribe (Borzoi, greyhound, whippet, etc.), have the following growth-coefficients (a), representing the skull's growth-relations in length and in breadth respectively.

	Terriers.	Bulldogs.	Great Danes.	Greyhounds.
Snout length/lower jaw length	·I•II	1.72	0.69	1.11
Palate width/palate length	0.69	0.24	1.15	0.60

The greyhounds share with the terriers the length-relations of the snout, and presumably diverged later in respect of their width-

The greyhounds share with the terriers the length-relations of the snout, and presumably diverged later in respect of their width-relations. The other two groups have become more extreme in both relations, but in opposite directions. The measurements on wolves (*Canis lupus*) are interesting. For the snout-length/cranial-length relation, the wolf stands at the intersection of the two main curves on to which all the forms (except the toy terrier) fall. For other measurements it usually conforms to the terrier or the Great Dane type. Though some of the results must be regarded as tentative, it seems clear that the form of domestic breeds is dependent on two main factors—first, mutations affecting the growth-coefficients of particular regions, and secondly, changes in proportions conse-quential on changes in absolute size. These changes will, of course, be quite different in the various tribes because of their difference in growth-coefficients. Size in snakes is correlated with the pattern of the scales. As this is used for taxonomic diagnosis, the consequential effects of size-changes may be of systematic importance. Thus Stull (1940) describes inter-group clines in the genus *Pituophis*, involv-ing a progressive diminution in the number of scale-rows in passing outwards from the centre of distribution. In addition, other scale-characters are graded and the relative tail-length increases markedly. But all these characters appear to be directly consequential on decreased absolute length. In fishes (*Catastomus*) delay in development relative to growth leads to an increased number of scales (Hubbs, 1941).

It is in general fair to state that change in absolute size is almost certain to produce numerous correlated changes in proportions. It is also true that change in relative size of an organ is quite likely to be accompanied by correlated changes in various of its own characters: this fact is illustrated by the antlers of deer (Cervidae) and the mandibles of stagbeetles (Lucanidae), where it has important taxonomic consequences in denying taxonomic validity to groups distinguished on the basis of the form of allometric organs (see Huxley, 1932, p. 204 seq.). In addition, continued increase in absolute size will so increase the relative size of an organ with well-marked allometry that it will eventually approach the boundary of disadvantage. Selection may then operate to reduce its rate of growth and therefore its final size, or, if conditions alter rapidly, the organism may be caught napping in an evolutionary sense, and be extinguished. Such considerations would account for such apparent cases of orthogenesis as the antlers of the Irish elk and the fantastic horns of some beetles (see Champy, 1924), as well as the limited size of certain types, such as the fiddler-crabs (Uca), where males weighing 17 g. have large claws three-quarters as heavy as the rest of the body (Huxley, 1932, pp. 32, 216).

The principle also has practical implications, as to which I may again quote from a previous publication (Huxley, 1932, p. 88):

"Hammond (1928, see also 1921) has also shown that the growthgradients in the limbs and elsewhere affect the muscles as well as the bones, so that the study is of practical as well as theoretical importance. An important point made by Hammond may be given in his own words.

"'As the animal grows, it changes its conformation; at birth the calf or lamb is all head and legs, its body is short and shallow, and the buttocks and loin are comparatively undeveloped; but, as it grows, the latter—buttocks, loin, etc.—grow at a faster rate than the head and legs, and so the proportions of the animal change. . . . The extent to which these proportions change determines its conformation; those which develop most for their age have the best meat conformation, while those which develop least have the worst. . . Breed improvement for meat, therefore, means pushing a stage further the natural change of proportion as the animal 542

matures. . . . The adult wild mouflon ewe is in its proportions but little in advance of the improved Suffolk lamb at birth, although it is much larger.'

"Thus it would appear that one of the chief advances made by man in creating improved breeds of sheep and other meat animals has been simply to steepen growth-gradients which already operate during post-natal development in the wild ancestral forms. Hammond himself (1928) has expressed a similar idea: "The improver of meatproducing animals has apparently not chosen mutations occurring in isolated points independently, but rather has based his selection on the generalized correlated changes of growth."

McMcekan (1940-1) gives a similar analysis for the pig.

Another case where alteration in the rate of processes may have results of taxonomic importance is found in flying-fish (Exocoetidae). In certain species barbels are only present in young specimens. But the size at which the barbel is lost varies very greatly, apparently owing to the time-relations of the process differing in different subspecies (Brunn, 1933, who quotes similar cases from other fish).

A possible further consequential evolutionary result of mechanisms regulating the proportion of parts I owe to a suggestion by Mr. Moy-Thomas. In standard textbooks it is customary to classify the extinct group of Palaeoniscoid fishes into two separate groups, the Palaeoniscidae and the Platysomidae. The only essential distinction between the two, however, is one of bodyform, the former being elongated, the latter short and deep in body. In the course of their history, the two groups show parallel evolutionary trends.

If the groups were truly distinct, of separate origin, this would be a remarkable case of parallel evolution. On the other hand, as D'Arcy Thompson (1917, Chap. 17) first showed, differences in body-form of even greater extent than those between these two groups can be brought about by quite simple geometrical transformations, and Huxley (1932) pointed out that the actual mechanisms of relative growth, in the shape of growth-gradients or growth-fields of relatively simple conformation, provide a biological basis for such transformations, since alteration in a growth-gradient would affect the proportionate size of all the parts in whose growth it was concerned (see also Goldschmidt, 1940, pp. 311 seq.).

An alternative hypothesis, therefore, is that the Palaconiscidae and the Platysomidae in reality constitute but one natural group, and that in every epoch two main types, elongate and deep-bodied, were evolved in relation to different modes of life. Only further study can decide between these two alternatives.

Granted the basic growth-mechanism responsible for the spiral shells of gastropods (Huxley, 1932, Chap. 5), only a limited set of shell-forms is available. Rensch (1934, p. 89) has collected

set of shell-forms is available. Rensch (1934, p. 89) has collected interesting examples of the extraordinary convergence produced by this determinism of growth-mechanism in land-snails. The claim that the concept of rate-genes is as important as that of the gene-complex would thus seem to be justified. With-out the concept of the gene-complex we could obtain little insight into the intricate phenomena of genic balance or the puzzles of the evolution of dominance and recessiveness. Similarly the study of developmental processes controlled by rate-genes has illuminated the reversal of dominance, and the evolutionary aspects of recapitulation, of neoteny, foetalization, clandestine evolution, and apparently useless characters, as well as helping to a simpler understanding of the innumerable cases of quanti-tative evolution. tative evolution.

7. OTHER CONSEQUENTIAL EVOLUTIONARY TRENDS

So far, we have been considering mainly the evolutionary effects of differences in rate of development, whether between different species, different variants of the same species, or different different species, different variants of the same species, or different parts of the body. However, there are many other examples of consequential evolution. Let us begin with one from bony fish, which has been discussed by Moy-Thomas (1938). Here, the dermal bones of the skull appear to be determined primarily in relation to the system of sensory canals. Bones not formed in direct relation with the canal system are produced to fill gaps between the canals. The precise number of centres operative in such a gap varies (in relation to factors at present unknown, but partly in relation to the size of the gap), so that the parietal region, for instance, may be occupied by bones varying from one to four in number. The canal system is on the whole constant in plan throughout the class, but varies in the detail of position of its various parts.

This will cause variation in the limits of particular bones, in the total number of bones determined in relation to the canal system, and in the size of the gaps to be filled by other bones. The parietal gap, for instance, is in some fish so much reduced that there is no room for a separate centre in it, and the parietal region is filled by a canal-determined bone, the supra-temporal.

It is obvious that, in these circumstances, the classical concept of homology breaks down. We cannot expect to homologize individual bones throughout the class (see also Westoll, 1936). The evolution of the dermal bones of the fish's skull is entirely consequential on the changes in detailed pattern of the sensory canal system. The interminable disputes of morphologists brought up in the post-Darwinian school, determined to discover precise correspondence between individual bones and to draw phylogenetic conclusions from their homologies, turn out to have no factual basis. The right answer was difficult to find for the simple reason that the wrong question was asked. We are reminded of the fact pointed out by Jacques Loeb (see Loeb, 1912) that in embryo fish (*Fundulus*) the wandering pigment-cells eventually arrange themselves along the blood-vessels, so that the visible colour-pattern follows the pattern of the circulatory system.

In the Malagasy insectivore Hemicentetes semispinosus an apparently adaptive reduction in tooth-size (p. 287) has certain consequential effects on skull-form (Butler, 1941). It would be interesting to see whether such effects are general.

An example of great evolutionary importance is that cited by Watson (1926) of the locomotion of vertebrates. Among fish, there are two main types of locomotion—that of most teleosts, in which movement is mainly restricted to the base of the tail, and that of various other forms, in which the whole body is markedly undulated. In this second group, the elasmobranchs hold their pectoral fins stiffly out, while the Dipnoi and Polypterus and its relatives do not. Only from this last sub-type could the locomotion of the tailed Amphibia be derived. These were first aquatic, but even later their locomotion was "a swimming upon land" (but see the criticism of Moy-Thomas, 1934). This is an excellent example of what we may call historical evolutionary consequence, where the past history of an organism helps to determine its future mode of evolution. Some examples of this we have already mentioned (p. 522) under the head of historical restriction of variability. A striking case of this in the evolution of our own species is the effect of monotocy (p. 525). Our own evolution also provides an example of rather a different nature. The assumption of the erect posture at once converted many of our internal adjustments into maladjustments. Here was an immediate consequential step; the incipient counteraction of these maladjustments is a further one.

Sex has numerous consequential effects. In the first place, there is the tendency for characters acquired by one sex, e.g. by intrasexual selection, to be transferred in whole or in part to the other (see Meisenheimer, 1921, chap. 23; Winterbottom, 1929, 1932). This will in certain groups increase the amount of evolutionary diversification to be found between species. Conversely, the difference in internal environment provided by the two sexes may and frequently will give rise to sex-limited characters which are wholly non-adaptive at their origin, but may later be used as the basis for adaptive (e.g. epigamic) sex-limited characters (cf. discussion in C. and F. Gordon, 1940, who succeeded in building up stocks of *Drosophila* with a non-adaptive but definite sex-limited female character—brown palp).

Somewhat similarly, the sex-limited difference in hair-number varies considerably in different species of *Drosophila* (Mather, 1941). Thus in *D. melanogaster* and in *D. simulans* females have rather more hairs than males, but in *D. virilis* many fewer.

A very extraordinary case concerns the external genitalia of hyenas (see L. H. Matthews, 1939b). These are indistinguishable externally in males and non-parous females. Copulation, as a result, appears to be an elaborate and difficult feat. Matthews suggests that this apparently dystelic state of affairs is the consequence of some unusual upset of endocrine balance, the females having an excess of androgenic substances and presumably a deficiency of oestrogens. The condition is closely parallel to that seen in adrenal virilism in females of our own species. Empirical observation reveals numerous other peculiarities of organic construction which may form the basis for consequential

evolutionary trends.

organic construction which may form the basis for consequential evolutionary trends. In mammals, for example, the extremities ("points": ears, limbs, muzzle, and tail), either as a whole or in their terminal portions, are frequently of a different colour from the rest of the body. This undoubtedly depends on a physiological peculiarity of these regions, namely their lower temperature. Detailed studies have been made of the problem in the Himalayan breed of domestic rabbit, which is white with black points (see Iljin, 1931). The Himalayan pattern depends on an allele of the albino series which reduces the intensity of melanin-production. At this level of production, melanin can only be formed in regions below a certain critical temperature. In normal animals, these regions exist only in the points; but by experimental procedure (shaving and subsequent exposure to cold) black hair can be induced in any region of the body. Thyroidization also affects the reaction. The Siamese pattern in cats is similar (Iljin and Iljin, 1930). In the most general terms, the points provide a differential environment for the manifestation of pigmentation-genes; and when these are working close to a threshold level of production, differential effects are readily produced in these areas. The quantitative restriction of this or that type of pigmentation depends on quantitative reduction in the activity of the genes responsible; but its localized distribution depends on a differential pattern in the construction of the organism, providing different opportunities for gene-expression in different areas. The dorsal stripe present in so many breeds and species of mammals is doubtless a further example of the same principle. Numerous other examples may be found enumerated in works such as those of Haecker (1925, 1927).*

^{*} It is necessary on theoretical grounds to draw a sharp distinction between such cases, dependent on the general construction of the organism, and others

The presence of such organizational patterns will result in a considerable amount of parallel evolution in regard to visible colour-pattern.

Mammalian extremities (points) also react to temperature in another way, namely by enlarging at higher temperatures (p. 213). This is again very likely due to the increase in their heat-loss and the lowering of their intrinsic temperature at lower external temperatures. In any case, this will account for a large number of parallel trends (character-gradients) affecting relative size of extremities, which are found in nature.

The most extensive type of organismal pattern is the organic gradient or as it is better styled gradient-field (Huxley, 1935). Although we are still in ignorance as to the physiological basis of such gradients, they undoubtedly exist, and by providing differential environments for gene-expression, open the door to consequential evolution. Such gradients may be total, extending through the whole organism, or partial, extending through a single organ or region.

The interesting effect of different regional gradients on pigmentation is well shown in the zebras, in which the striping is always at right angles to the main axis of the region, whether trunk or limb. Where hind-limb area meets trunk area, interaction of the stripe system occurs, giving curious patterns. These patterns differ from species to species (see Haecker, 1927), doubtless on account of slight differences in the form and relative intensity of the underlying gradients.

One of the most widespread results of the existence of such gradients is the common type of coloration in many vertebrates, which produces counter-shading with dark back and light belly, following the main dorso-ventral gradient of the embryo. According to the mode of gene-expression, the dark may grade into the light or be sharply delimited, and according to the

which depend on the existence of local fields—e.g. the sharply delimited plumagefields of many birds (Haccker, op. cjt.). These appear to have more analogy with the localized morphogenetic fields into which the developing embryo becomes divided, and which may persist into the adult, as revealed by regeneration experiments in urodeles (references in Huxley and de Beer 1934, and Huxley; 1935).

<page-header><text><text><text><text>

pair of spots. This may be due either to alteration in the slope of the gradient or in the intensity of pigment-formation, or both. That the gradient is really a gradient-field and capable of alterations affecting spot-pattern is shown by a comparison of spotfrequency in *P. linnaeanus* and *P. viminalis*. Whereas in the latter the facts can only be interpreted as the basis of a uniform gradient running diagonally from the external anterior margin to the posterior point of junction of the elytra, the gradient of *P. linnaeanus* must be more complex, starting as in *P. vininalis*, but in the posterior half of the elytra running out towards the external margin again.

Thus the form of the gradient-field in the elytra has both general and special consequences, for the intra- as well as the inter-specific variation of the pigmentation of the genus.

A related phenomenon occurs in the pluteus larvae of scaurchins. The skeleton of the plutei belonging to various echinoids of extremely different adult structure, and assigned to different suborders or even subclasses, is virtually identical. Von Ubisch (1933) suggests, on the basis of experimental analysis, that this is due to the existence of a general type of gradient-field determining skeleton-formation, shared by most typical plutei, and that simple quantitative alterations in this would bring about strong similarity in skeleton, irrespective of common descent or adult resemblance, thus simulating orthogenesis. In a later paper (1939) he shows that cytoplasmic viscosity is the chief agent affecting the form of the larval skeleton. By treatments altering viscosity, normally simple skeletons can be made more complex, and then show a close resemblance to the normally complex skeletons of other forms.

A slightly different phenomenon of the same sort occurs in another ladybird, *Epilachna chrysomelina* (Zarapkin and H. A. Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 1932). Here the shape of single spots was studied. It was found that with increase in absolute spot-size (antero-posterior length) most spots became increasingly elongated in form (higher ratio of length to breadth). The degree to which this occurs, however, is much greater in some spots than in others, and may differ markedly even in neighbouring spots.

550 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS The gradient-field affecting pigment-deposition must accordingly be distorted in different ways in different regions. A genetic difference in spot-size will therefore bring about consequential differences in spot-form: such a difference was found to distinguish the races from Palestine and Corfu respec-tively. One spot (near the hind end of the elytra) was found to behave in a more complex manner, becoming first more and later less elongate with increase in absolute length. Similar studies made by R. H. Johnson (1910) on the entire family Coccinellidae, have shown that some intrinsic plan of organization (gradient-field) has important consequential effects on the evolution of pattern in the whole group of ladybird beetles. A great volume of data on this and cognate subjects is discussed by Vogt and Vogt (1938). Interesting work has also been done by Schwanwitsch (1924, 1926) on the patterns of butterflies' wings. He shows that in a large section of the Rhopalocera, all existing patterns can be derived from an original prototype through the modification of different markings by a limited number of methods. Both the existence of the original prototypic pattern and the limited modes of its alteration operate to restrict the evolution of pattern in the group in a consequential way. Returning from colour-patterns to other characters, we find that the existence of the abnormal condition of the head known as otocephaly is in guinea-pigs due to a combination of genetic

that the existence of the abnormal condition of the head known as otocephaly is in guinea-pigs due to a combination of genetic and environmental factors acting upon the primary gradient of the embryo (or that of the organizer). Similarly the suppression of digits in the course of evolution in the guinea-pig family, and their subsequent restoration by selective breeding (p. 501), appears not to have depended on genes acting on each digit separately or directly, but on genes affecting the general tendency of the limb primordium to break up into discontinuous parts (digits) at its distal end, by interfering with a controlling centre of the digit-forming field, situated on the post-axial side of the hand region of the limb-bud (discussion of both cases in S. Wright, 1934b, and of the latter in J. P. Scott, 1938). For instance, the same gene which in single dose tends to restore a normal

thumb, and often a normal little toe also, in double dose is lethal, but permits development to a stage at which the embryo is seen to possess the rudiments of 8 to 12 toes per foot. Further, one modifier was found which promoted the development of thumbs but inhibited the development of little toes. This may most readily be interpreted as a gene steepening a gradient concerned with digit-separation, and running from the pre-axial to the post-axial side of the limb.

An interesting consequence of serial repetition of structures such as teeth is mentioned by Gregory (1936). The mammalian tooth-series of course early becomes differentiated into markedly distinct subseries. But the fundamental seriation, with its capacity for more extended repetition, remains, and when a character is added in one subseries, "as in the case of new cusps in the premolars or new cuspules in the molars, the whole tooth-row often tends to be glossed over, so to speak, with the same surfacefeatures, so that all the cheek-teeth, as in the horses, come to look amazingly like each other". This phenomenon Gregory calls "secondary polyisomerism"; it frequently imparts a quite deceptive appearance of lack of differentiation, the new features which have spread over a large part of the series disguising the older characters differentiating the subseries.

A curious consequential effect is the weakening of featherstructure associated with the presence of red lipochrome pigment. This appears to be due to the inhibition of feather-differentiation by lipochrome (Desselberger, 1930). The chief result is the reduction or loss of barbules, while the barbs fail to show full differentiation into cortical and medullary layers.

In the barbets of the genus Lybius, black-, red- and whiteheaded forms are found. One of the last-mentioned, L. torquatus zombae, studied by Salomonsen (1938), appears to have been recently derived by mutation (of at least two genes) from a red form (see p. 195).

Red feathers, as we have seen, become worn much more rapidly and thoroughly than black; but the white feathers of *zombae* are so weak that they are almost pathological, the whole white portion rapidly disappearing with wear. Apparently, the presence of lipochrome confers a certain degree of mechanical solidity. Thus in *L. t. zombae*, the white feathers are doubly weak: they retain the weak structure characteristic of the red feathers from which they have arisen, and also, through the lack of all lipochrome, the remaining structure is further weakened. In certain other white-headed *Lybius*, however, the white feathers are normal. Presumably other mutations have occurred which restore the normal feather-structure. Salomonsen notes that some individuals of L. t. zombae have patches of normal

which restore the normal feather-structure. Salomonsen notes that some individuals of L. t. zombae have patches of normal white feathers on the head; possibly selection is already at work repairing some of the deleterious effects of the white mutations (cf. the similar "repair" of the St. Bernard dog; p. 71). After this chapter was written, Goldschmidt (1940) published his Material Basis of Evolution, in which he pays considerable attention to the problem, devoting over 100 pages to "evolution and the potentialities of development". Already in 1920 he had recognized that "a change in the hereditary type can occur only within the possibilities and limitations set by the normal process of development", and had illustrated the point at some length. In this latter work he restates the matter more positively, e.g. p. 322: "What is called in a general way the mechanics of develop-ment will decide the direction of possible evolutionary changes. In many cases there will be only one direction. This is ortho-genesis without Lamarckism, without mysticism. . . ." Among his examples we may cite a few. Where certain red pigments normally occur in Lepidoptera, yellow varieties (aber-rations) occur, and white mutants may arise from the yellow forms (p. 12). This, however, is due to alteration in the rate and intensity of red pigment-formation (Ford, 1937). He agrees that the demonstration of growth-gradients and growth-fields accounts for many examples of non-adaptive variation, and lightens the burden on natural selection by showing that numerous correlated changes in proportions will be expected to occur as the result of single mutations affecting the form of the growth-gradient.*

the growth-gradient.*

* It is worth recalling that developmental processes "lighten the burden on natural selection" in a number of other ways, though here by means of modifi-

Some of his most striking cases concern the morphogenetic effect of the ductless glands. For instance, once the thyroid has been evolved, certain changes in it will be expected to exert similar consequences in numerous types. It is no accident that the thyroid is associated with metamorphosis not only in Amphibia but in various fish such as eels, flounders, and mud-hoppers (*Periophthalmus*). In the last-named, the aquatic larva becomes an amphibious adult, but excess thyroid causes an intensification of all its adaptations to aerial existence, most notably in the pectoral fins, which come to simulate a tetrapod limb (p. 277; Harms, 1934).

Again, achondroplasia and other peculiarities in size or proportions, which are certainly or probably dependent on endocrine changes and which occur as aberrations in man and other forms and as breed-types in dogs, goldfish, etc., are closely similar to the normal condition in various wild species (short-legged carnivores, bulldog-faced fish, etc.). There is at least a prima facie case for regarding the primary change leading to the evolution of these species as being similar to that involved in the production of the peculiar breeds and aberrations. On the other hand, there is no reason to suppose that the change in the wild species must have been abrupt, as Goldschmidt assumes. It is more likely to have been a gradual process, accompanied by buffering with modifiers (cf. our discussion of Stockard's results with St. Bernard dogs, p. 71). It seems clear, however, that the endocrine system constitutes a "chemical skeleton" whose existence and nature prescribes certain limits to, and certain favoured modes of, evolutionary change in its possessors.

With the progress of what Haecker (1925) calls phenogenetics and of physiological genetics in general, numerous other examples will undoubtedly be unearthed in the most diverse groups of

cation, not by consequential effects of the type we have here been discussing. I refer to the extraordinary functional adaptation of fine structure and often size seen in bones, tendons, blood-vessels, etc. (see discussion in Huxley and de Beer, 1934, chap. 13, §§ 6, 7, pp. 431 seq.). These have frequently been held up as impossible of explanation on a selectionist view. So they would be if they were the result of genetic adaptation; but all the details appear to be due to modificational adaptation, produced anew by functional demands in each individual. The general framework is genetically adaptive, and so is the general capacity for reaction; the rest is modificational polish.

.

organisms. We are here concerned only to establish principles. It seems clear that the existence of organizational patterns in organisms, whether in the shape of general, regional, or local gradient-fields, or in some other form, will have consequential evolutionary effects. It will for one thing account for a great deal of otherwise mysterious parallel evolution, e.g. in pattern, in horn-de-velopment in titanotheres, in relative size of allometric organs, etc. Aggregation, as in social hymenoptera, can also be regarded as a type of organization, and may have important consequential effects. To take but one example, the wood-cating habits of primitive termites, so important for their evolutionary success, could it seems only have arisen in a social form. For their digestion

could, it seems, only have arisen in a social form. For their digestion of cellulose depends on the presence of symbiotic protozoa; and these are lost at each moult, so that reinfection can only occur through association with other, non-moulting individuals (see discussion in Emerson, 1939).

One might perhaps also include a category of historical conse-quential effects, as when types evolved in relation to one habitat manage to invade another. Thus, as Professor Salisbury informs me in a letter, various species of trees in the neotropical rain-forests are deciduous; and all are closely related to deciduous temperate types. However, this is perhaps to extend the concept of consequential evolution too widely, until it becomes merged in the obvious fact that in evolution the present and future of an

In the obvious fact that in evolution the present and future of an organic type is partly determined by its past. Examples such as those of social insects (pp. 480, 482), of certation in pollen (p. 481), of selection in abundant as against rare species, and of intra-uterine selection in polytocous mammals, show how the type and course of evolutionary trends may be altered according to the type of competition and selection at work. A somewhat similar consequential trend in this field concerns the effect of inter-male competition in birds and other groups. The result has been that in general the males have become much more differentiated than the females, their secondary sexual characters being usually striking and specifically distinctive; and further that some of this masculine diversification has then been transferred to the females, although in them the characters are

EVOLUTIONARY TRENDS 555 functionless (see Darwin, 1871; Meisenheimer, 1921, chap. 23, Winterbottom, 1929 and 1932; Huxley, 1938*a* and *b*; and p. 545). The examples we have been considering in these sections show how the fact that most genes affect the rate, the time of onset, the duration, and the type of developmental processes, will pro-vide the raw material for trends involving progressive alteration in one or other of these factors of development. Since the raw material is so abundant, consequential trends of this sort will be frequent. A description of some bearings of the subject is given by Huxley (1932, Chap. 7), and fuller evolutionary discussion is given, not only by Goldschmidt (see above), but by Haldane in his previously cited paper (1932*b*), by de Beer (1940*b*),' and from the standpoint of physiological genetics by Waddington (1941*b*). The course of Darwinian evolution is thus seen as deter-mined (in varying degrees in different forms) not only by the type of selection, not only by the frequency of mutation, not only by the past history of the species, but also by the nature of the developmental effects of genes and of the ontogenetic process in general. general.

POSTSCRIPT.—Weidenreich's important recent paper (1941) deals with consequential trends in mammalian skulls, dependent upon brain-growth. The brain's relative growth-rate is high in early embryonic life; in most mammals, it later slows down markedly, and the high allometry of the face then comes into play. In dwarf domestic breeds and small wild species, facial allometry is checked early. There normally results not only a relative orthognathism, but also absence of cranial superstructure (sagittal crest, supra-orbital ridges, etc.), persistent cranial sutures, rounded palate, smaller teeth, often with simplified pattern, relatively wide cranial cavity (brachycephaly), etc.; in young and dwarf dogs, the frontals are almost entirely cranial, while in adult large dogs their major part is facial. Man, though not a adult large dogs their major part is facial. Man, though not a dwarf species, shows the "dwarf" type of skull. This is not due to the retention of visible foetal characters, as postulated by Bolk (p. 526), but to the persistence into later stages of the brain's early high relative growth-rate.
CHAPTER IO

EVOLUTIONARY PROGRESS

I.	Is evolutionary progress a scientific concept?	•	p. 556
2.	The definition of evolutionary progress		p. 559
3.	The nature and mechanism of evolutionary progress		p. 562
4.	The past course of evolutionary progress		p. 569
5.	Progress in the evolutionary future	•	p. 572

I. IS EVOLUTIONARY PROGRESS A SCIENTIFIC CONCEPT?

The question of evolutionary or biological progress remains. There still exists a very great deal of confusion among biologists on the subject. Indeed the confusion appears to be greater among professional biologists than among laymen. This is probably due to the common human failing of not seeing the wood for the trees; there are so many more trees for the professional!*

The chief objections that have been made to employing progress at all as a biological term, and to the use of its correlates higher and lower as applied to groups of organisms, are as follows. First, it is objected that a bacillus, a jellyfish, or a tapeworm is as well adapted to its environment as a bird, an ant, or a man, and that therefore it is incorrect to speak of the latter as higher than the former, and illogical to speak of the processes leading to their production as involving progress. An even simpler objection is to use mere survival as criterion of biological value, instead of adaptation. Man survives: but so does the tubercle bacillus. So why call man the higher organism of the two?

A somewhat similar argument points to the fact that evolution, both in the fossil record and indirectly shows us numerous examples of specialization leading to increased efficiency of adaptation to this or that mode of life; but that many of such

^{*} For a fuller discussion of certain aspects of the problem see Huxley, 1923a, 1936, 1940; Wells, Huxley and Wells, 1930, Book 5, chap. 6, § 5.

specialized lines become extinct, while most of the remainder reach an equilibrium and show no further change.

This type of objection, then, points to certain fundamental attributes of living things or their evolution, uses them as definitions of progress, and then denies that progress exists because they are found in all kinds of organisms, and not only in those that the believers in the existence of progress would call progressive.

A slightly less uncompromising attitude is taken up by those who admit that there has been an increase of complexity or an increase in degree of organization, but deny that this has any value, biological or otherwise, and accordingly refuse to dignify this trend by a term such as progress, with all its implications. Some sociologists, faced with the problem of reconciling the

Some sociologists, faced with the problem of reconciling the objective criteria of the physical sciences with the value criteria with which the sociological data confronts them, take refuge in the ostrich-like attitude of refusing to recognize any scale of values. Thus Doob in a recent book (1940) writes:

"In this way, the anthropologist has attempted to remove the idea of progress from his discipline. For him, there is just change, or perhaps a tendency towards increasing complexity. Neither change nor complexity is good or bad; there are differences in degree, not in quality or virtue. . . . The sweep of historical progress reveals no progressive trend. . . ."

By introducing certain objective criteria into our definition of progress, as we do in the succeeding section, this objection can be overcome, at least for pre-human evolution. In regard to human evolution, however, as we shall see in the concluding section of this chapter, the nettle must be grasped, and human values given a place among the criteria of human progress.

values given a place among the criteria of human progress. The second main type of objection consists in showing that many processes of evolution are not progressive in any possible sense of the word, and then drawing the conclusion that progress does not exist. For instance, many forms of life, of which the brachiopod *Lingula* is the best-known example, have demonstrably remained unchanged for enormous periods of several hundreds of millions of years; if a Law of Progress exists, the

objectors argue, how is it that such organisms are exempt from its operations?

objectors argue, now is it that such organisms are exempt from its operations? A variant of this objection is to draw attention to the numerous cases where evolution has led to degeneration involving a degradation of form and function, as in tapeworms, Sacculina and other parasites, in sea-squirts and other sedentary forms: how, it is asked, can the evolutionary process be regarded as progressive if it produces degeneration? This category of objections can be readily disposed of. Objectors of this type have been guilty of setting up an Aunt Sally of their own creation for the pleasure of knocking her down. They have assumed that progress must be universal and compulsory: when they find, quite correctly, that universal and compulsory progress does not exist. This, however, is an elementary fallacy. The task before the biologist is not to define progress *a priori*, but to proceed inductively to see whether he can or cannot find evidence of a process which can legitimately be called progressive. It may just as well prove to be partial as universal. Indeed, human experience would encourage search along those lines; the fact that man's progress in mechanical arts, for instance, in one part of the world is accompanied by complete stagnation or even retrogression in other parts, is a familiar fact. Thus evolution may perfectly well include progress without being progressive as a whole. whole.

The first category of objections, when considered closely, is seen to rest upon a similar fallacy. Here again an Aunt Sally has been set up. Progress is first defined in terms of certain properties: and then the distribution of those properties among organisms is shown not to be progressive.

Is shown not to be progressive. These procedures would be laughable, if they were not lament-able in arguing a lack of training in logical thought and scientific procedure among biologists. Once more, the elementary fact must be stressed that the only correct method of approach to the problem is an inductive one. Even the hardened opponents of the idea of biological progress find it difficult to avoid speaking of higher and lower organisms, though they may salve their

consciences by putting the words between inverted commas. The unprejudiced observer will accordingly begin by examining various types of "so-called higher" organisms and trying to discover what characters they possess in common by which they differ from "lower" organisms. He will then proceed to examine the course of evolution as recorded in fossils and deduced from indirect evidence, to see what the main types of evolutionary change have been; whether some of them have consistently led to the development of characters diagnostic of "higher" forms; which types of change have been most successful in producing new groups, dominant forms, and so forth. If evolutionary progress exists, he will by this means discover its factual basis, and this will enable him to give an objective definition.

2. THE DEFINITION OF EVOLUTIONARY PROGRESS

Proceeding on these lines, we can immediately rule out certain characters of organisms and their evolution from any definition of biological progress. Adaptation and survival, for instance, are universal, and are found just as much in "lower" as in "higher" forms: indeed, many higher types have become extinct while lower ones have survived. Complexity of organization or of life-cycle cannot be ruled out so simply. High types *are* on the whole more complex than low. But many obviously low organisms exhibit remarkable complexities, and, what is more cogent, many very complex types have become extinct or have speedily come to an evolutionary dead end.

Perhaps the most salient fact in the evolutionary history of life is the succession of what the paleontologist calls dominant types.* These are characterized not only by a high degree of complexity for the epoch in which they lived, but by a capacity for branching out into a multiplicity of forms. This radiation seems always to be accompanied by the partial or even total extinction of competing main types, and doubtless the one fact is in large part directly correlated with the other.

In the early Paleozoic the primitive relatives of the Crustacea

* For fuller summary, see Wells, Huxley, and Wells (1930), Book 5.

known as the trilobites were the dominant group. These were succeeded by the marine arachnoids called sea-scorpions or eurypterids, and they in turn by the armoured but jawless vertebrates, the ostracoderms, more closely related to lampreys than to true fish. The fish, however, were not far behind, and soon became the dominant group. Meanwhile, groups both from among the arthropods and the vertebrates became adapted to land life, and towards the close of the Paleozoic, insects and amphibians could both claim the title of dominant groups. The amphibia shortly gave rise to the reptiles, much more fully adapted to land life, and the primitive early insects produced higher types, such as beetles, hymenoptera and lepidoptera. Higher insects and reptiles were the dominant land groups in the Mesozoic, while among aquatic forms the fish remained preeminent, and evolved into more efficient types: from the end of the Mesozoic onwards, however, they show little further change. Birds and mammals began their career in the Mesozoic, but only became dominant in the Cenozoic. The mammals continued their evolution through the whole of this enoch while the

Birds and mammals began their career in the Mesozoic, but only became dominant in the Cenozoic. The mammals continued their evolution through the whole of this epoch, while the insects reached a standstill soon after its beginning. Finally man's ancestral stock diverged, probably towards the middle of the Cenozoic, but did not become dominant until the latter part of the Ice Age.

In these last two cases, the rise of the new type and the downfall of the old was without question accompanied and facilitated by world-wide climatic change, and this was probably true for other biological revolutions, such as the rise of the reptiles to dominance.

dominance. When the facts concerning dominant groups are surveyed in more detail, they yield various interesting conclusions. In the first place, biologists are in substantial agreement as to what were and what were not dominant groups. Secondly, some groups once dominant have become wholly extinguished, like the trilobites, curypterids and ostracoderms, while others survive only in a much reduced form, many of their sub-groups having been extinguished, as with the reptiles or the monotremes, or their numbers enormously diminished, as with the larger nonhuman placentals. Those which do not show reduction of one or the other sort have remained to all intents and purposes unchanged for a longer or shorter period of geological time, as with the insects or the birds. Finally, later dominant groups normally arise from an unspecialized line of an earlier dominant group, as the birds and reptiles from among the early reptiles, man from the primates among the mammals (p. 525, footnote). They represent, in fact, one among many lines of adaptive radiation; but they differ from the others in containing the potentiality of evolving so as to become dominant on a new level, with the aid of new properties. Usually the new dominance is marked by a fresh outburst of radiation: the only exception to this rule is Man, a dominant type which shows negligible radiation of the usual structurally-adapted sort, but makes up for its absence by the complexity of his social life and his division of labour.

If we then try to analyse the matter still further by examining the characters which distinguish dominant from non-dominant and earlier from later dominant groups, we shall find first of all, efficiency in such matters as speed and the application of force to overcome physical limitations. The eurypterids must have been better swimmers than the trilobites, the fish, with their muscular tails, much better than either; and the later fish are clearly more efficient aquatic mechanisms than the earlier. Similarly the earlier reptiles were heavy and clumsy, and quite incapable of swift running. Sense-organs also are improved, and brains enlarged. In the latest stages the power of manipulation is evolved. Through a combination of these various factors man is able to deal with his environment in a greater variety of ways, and to apply greater forces to its control, than any other organism. Another set of characteristics concerns the internal environ-

Another set of characteristics concerns the internal environment. Lower marine organisms have blood or body-fluids identical in saline concentrations with that of the seawater in which they live; and if the composition of their fluid environment is changed, that of their blood changes correspondingly. The higher fish, on the other hand, have the capacity of keeping their internal environment chemically almost constant. Birds

and mammals have gone a step further: they can keep the temperature of their internal environment constant too, and so are independent of a wide range of external temperature change. The early land animals were faced with the problem of becoming independent of changes in the moisture-content of the air. This was accomplished only very partially by amphibia, but fully by adult reptiles and insects through the development of a hard impermeable covering. The freeing of the young verte-brate from dependence on water was more difficult. The great majority of amphibians are still aquatic for the earlier part of their existence: the elaborate arrangements for rendering the reptilian egg cleidoic (J. Needham, 1931, pp. 1132 seq.) were needed to permit of the whole life-cycle becoming truly terrestrial. terrestrial.

There is no need to multiply examples. The distinguishing characteristics of dominant groups all fall into one or other of two types—those making for greater control over the environ-ment and those making for greater independence of changes in the environment. Thus advance in these respects may pro-visionally be taken as the criterion of biological progress.

3. THE NATURE AND MECHANISM OF EVOLUTIONARY PROGRESS

It is important to realize that progress, as thus defined, is not the same as specialization. Specialization, as we have previously noted, is an improvement in efficiency of adaptation for a particular mode of life: progress is an improvement in efficiency of living in general. The latter is an all-round, the former a one-sided advance. We must also remember that in evolutionary history we can and must judge by final results. And there is no certain case on record of a line showing a high degree of special-ization giving rise to a new type. All new types which themselves are capable of adaptive radiation seem to have been produced by relatively unspecialized ancestral lines.*

^{*} If Garstang's suggestion be true (see p. 532) that "clandestine evolution" has enabled new large-scale radiations to start by utilizing a *larval* organization and driving the adult organization off the stage, we have here an apparent

Looked at from a slightly different angle, we may say that progress must in part at least be defined on the basis of final results. These results have consisted in the historical fact of a succession of dominant groups. And the chief characteristic which analysis reveals as having contributed to the rise of any one of these groups is an improvement that is not one-sided but all-round and basic. Temperature-regulation, for instance, is a property which affects almost every function as well as enabling its possessors to extend their activities in time and their range in space. Placental reproduction is not only a greater protection for the young—a placental mother, however hard-pressed, cannot abandon her unborn embryo—but this additional protection, together with the later period of maternal care, makes possible the extension of the plastic period of learning which then served as the basis for the further continuance of progress.

It might, however, be held that biological inventions such as the lung and cleidoic shelled cgg, which opened the world of land to the vertebrates, are after all nothing but specializations. Are they not of the same nature as the wing which unlocked the kingdom of the air to the birds, or even to the degenerations and peculiar physiological changes which made it possible for parasites to enter upon that hitherto inaccessible habitat provided by the intestines of other animals? This is in one sense true; but in another it is untrue. The bird and the tapeworm, although they did conquer a new section of the environment, in so doing were as a matter of actual fact cut off from further progress. Theirs was only a specialization, though a large and notable one. The conquest of the land, however, not only did not involve any such limitations, but made demands upon the organism which could be and in some groups were met by further changes of a definitely progressive nature.* Temperature-regulation, for

exception. It is only fair to say, however, that this view is still highly speculative, and that in any case we would presume that a relatively unspecialized larval type would have served as the new starting-point.

* Morley Roberts (1920, 1930, etc.) gives numerous interesting examples in which new and in a sense abnormal demands upon organisms result eventually in adjustments which are more or less adaptive in relation to the new situation. Unfortunately he postulates a lamarckian transmission of modifications which vitiates or obscures much of his evolutionary discussion. instance, could never have arisen through natural selection except in an environment with rapidly-changing temperatures: in the less changeable waters of the sea the premium upon it would not be high enough.* The same is true for curythermy (p. 444).

Not be high enough." The same is true for curythermy (p. 444). Of course a progressive advance may eventually come to a dead end, as has happened with the insects, when all the biological possibilities inherent in the type of organization have been exploited. From one point of view it might be permissible to call such a trend a long-range specialization; but it would appear more reasonable to style it a form of progress, albeit one which is destined eventually to be arrested. It is limited as opposed to unlimited progress.

unlimited progress. A word is needed here on the restricted nature of biological progress. We have seen that evolution may involve downward or lateral trends, in the shape of degeneration or certain forms of specialization, and may also leave certain types stable. Further, lower types may persist alongside higher, even when the lower are representatives of a once-dominant group that includes the higher types. From this, it will first be seen, as we already mentioned, that progress is not compulsory and universal; and secondly that it will not be so marked in regard to the average of biological efficiency as to its upper limit. Progress, in other words, can most readily be studied by examining the *upper levels* of biological efficiency (as determined by our criteria of control and independence) attained by life at successive periods of its evolution.

For this, during the earlier part of life's history, we must rely upon the indirect evidence of phylogeny, drawn from comparative morphology, physiology, and embryology, while for the last thousand million years this is further illuminated by the light of paleontology, with its direct evidence of fossils. We have thus arrived at a definition of evolutionary progress

We have thus arrived at a definition of evolutionary progress as consisting in a raising of the upper level of biological efficiency, this being defined as increased control over and independence of

^{*} Once evolved on land, however, it proved its value even in the sea, as evidenced by the success of the Cetacea and other secondary aquatics among mammals (see p. 452).

the environment.* As an alternative we might define it as a raising of the upper level of all-round functional efficiency and of harmony of internal adjustment. †

This brings us to a further objection which is often raised to the idea of progress, namely, that it is a mere anthropomorphism. This view asserts that we judge animals as higher or lower by their greater or lesser resemblance to ourselves and that we give the name of progress to the evolutionary trend which happens to have culminated in ourselves. If we were ants, the objectors continue, we should regard insects as the highest group and resemblance to ants as the essential basis of a "high" organism: while if we were eagles our criterion of progress would be an avian one.

Even Haldane (1932*a*, p. 153) has adopted this view. He writes, "I have been using such words as 'progress', 'advance, and 'degeneration', as I think one must in such a discussion, but I am well aware that such terminology represents rather a tendency of man to pat himself on the back than any clear scientific think-ing. . . . Man of to-day is probably an extremely primitive and imperfect type of rational being. He is a worse animal than the monkey.... We must remember that when we speak of progress in Evolution we are already leaving the relatively firm ground of scientific objectivity for the shifting morass of human values."

This I would deny. Haldane has neglected to observe that man possesses greater power of control over nature, and lives in greater independence of his environment than any monkey. The use of an inductive method of approach removes all force from such objections. The definitions of progress that we were able to name as a result of a survey of evolutionary facts, though admittedly very general, are not subjective but objective in their character. That the idea of progress is not an anthropomorphism can immediately be seen if we consider what views would be taken by a philosophic tapeworm or jellyfish. Granted that such organisms could reason, they would have to admit that they

^{*} Herbert Spencer recognized the importance of increased independence as a criterion of evolutionary advance: see references in Needham (1937). † See also R. W. Gerard (1940), "Organism, Society, and Science", Sci. Mo.,

^{50: 340.}

were neither dominant types, nor endowed with any potentiality of further advance, but that one was a degenerate blind alley, the other a specialization of a primitive type long left behind by more successful forms of life. And the same would be equally true, though not so strikingly obvious, of ant or eagle. Man *is* the latest dominant type to be evolved, and this being so, we are justified in calling the trends which have led to his development *progressive*. We must, however, of course beware of subjectivism and of reading human values into earlier stages of evolutionary progress. Human values are doubtless essential criteria for the steps of any future progress: but only biological values can have been operative before man appeared.

progress. Human values are doubless essential criteria for the steps of any future progress: but only biological values can have been operative before man appeared. The value of such a broad biological definition of progress may be illustrated by reference to a recent definition of human progress by Professor Gordon Childe (1936). Professor Childe, too, is seeking for an objective criterion for progress; but the criterion he adopts is increase of numbers. Quite apart from the logical difficulty that increase in population must, on a finite earth, eventually approach a limit, it is clear that this criterion is at once invalidated by the facts of general biology. There are many more of various common plankton organisms than of men or of any bird or mammal. There are in all probability many more houseflies than human beings, more bacteria, even of a single species, than of any metazoan. If we apply our criterion of increased control and independence, we see that it would be theoretically quite possible (though difficult with our present type of economy) to obtain progressive changes in human civilization with an accompanying decline in population. Here let me interject a further word concerning objective and subjective criteria for progress. As regards human progress, it is clear that subjective criteria cannot and should not be neglected; human values and feelings must be taken into account in deciding

human values and feelings must be taken into account in deciding on the future aims for advance. But in comparing human with pre-human progress, we must clearly stick to objective standards. I would thus like to make a distinction between biological or evolutionary progress and human progress. The former is a biological term with an objective basis: it includes one aspect of

human progress. Human progress, on the other hand, has connotations of value as well as of efficiency, subjective as well as objective criteria.*

Returning to biology, we may sum up as follows. Progress is all-round biological improvement. Specialization is one-sided biological improvement: it always involves the sacrificing of certain organs or functions for the greater efficiency of others. It is the failure to distinguish between these two types of evolutionary process that vitiates the generalizations of many biologists (e.g. Hawkins, 1936).

Degeneration is a form of specialization in which the majority of the somatic organs are sacrificed for greater efficiency in adaptation to a sedentary or a parasitic life. Locomotor organs disappear, sensory and nervous systems are much reduced, and in parasites the digestive system may be abolished. Reproductive mechanisms, however, may be inordinately specialized, as in certain parasites.

Besides these types of evolutionary process, we may have stability, as in the lamp-shell *Lingula*, or in ants during most of the Cenozoic epoch. Stable types are presumably either extremely well-adapted to a permanent biological niche or have reached the limit of specialization or of progress possible to them.

Finally, we may have the type of evolutionary trend best known among the Ammonites, of increasing complication followed by simplification. This we have already discussed in our section on orthogenesis.

A possible method of evolutionary escape from specialization is afforded by changes in rate of sexual maturity relative to general development, leading to neoteny or foetalization, as

* On the other hand, to confine the term progress entirely to human affairs, and to contrast it with evolution in pre-human history, as does Marett (1933, 1939), is to restrict the meaning of progress unduly, while distorting that of evolution. On three successive pages Marett describes or defines progress in three different ways: (1) the moral of human history and pre-history would seem to be that "progress in the direction of the spiritual is implicit in normal human endeavour". (2) Progress in spirituality in the future "may be conceived in terms of the greatest self-realization of the greatest number". (3) "Real progress is progress in charity." It should be clear how important it is to give greater universality and concreteness to the idea of progress by considering human progress as a special case of biological progress. discussed in a previous chapter (pp. 526 ff., 555). This may abolish a specialized adult phase and give the opportunity for the progressive evolution of a new generalized type.

As revealed in the succession of steps that have led to new dominant types, progress has taken diverse forms. At one stage, the combination of cells to form a multicellular individual, at another the evolution of a head; later the development of lungs, still later of warm blood, and finally the enhancement of intelligence by speech. But all, though in curiously different ways, have enhanced the organism's capacities for control and for independence; and each has justified itself not only in immediate results but in the later steps which it made possible.

results but in the later steps which it made possible. We have now dealt with the fact of evolutionary progress, and with the philosophical and biological difficulties inherent in the concept. What of its mechanism? It should be clear that if natural selection can account for adaptation and for long-range trends of specialization, it can account for progress too. Progressive changes have obviously given their owners advantages which have enabled them to become dominant. Sometimes it may have needed a climatic revolution to give the progressive change full play, as seems to have been the case at the end of the Cretaceous with the mammal-reptile differential of advantage; but when it came, the advantage had very large results—wholesale extinctions on the one hand, wholesale radiation of new types on the other. It seems to be a general characteristic of evolution that in each epoch a minority of stocks give rise to the majority in the next phase, while conversely the majority of the rest become extinguished or are reduced in numbers.

There is no more need to postulate an *élan vital* or a guiding purpose to account for evolutionary progress than to account for adaptation, for degeneration or any other form of specialization.*

One point is of importance. Although we can quite correctly speak of evolutionary progress as a biological fact, this progress

^{*} A small minority of biologists, such as Broom (1933), still feel impelled to invoke "spiritual agencies" to account for progressive evolution, but their number is decreasing as the implications of modern selection theories are grasped.

is of a particular and limited nature. It is, as we have seen, an empirical fact that evolutionary progress can only be measured by the upper level reached: for the lower levels are also retained. This has on numerous occasions been used as an argument against the existence of anything which can properly be called progress; but its employment in this connection is fallacious. It is on a par with saying that the invention of the automobile does not represent an advance, because horse-drawn vehicles remain more convenient for certain purposes, or pack animals for certain localities. A progressive step in evolution will normally and probably invariably bring about the extermination of some types at a lower level; but the variety of environments and of the available modes of filling them is such that it is extremely unlikely to exterminate them all. The fact that protozoa should be able to exist side by side with metazoa, or a considerable army of the "defeated" group of reptiles together with their mammalian "conquerors", is not in any way surprising on selectionist principles: it is to be expected.

4. THE PAST COURSE OF EVOLUTIONARY PROGRESS

One somewhat curious fact emerges from a survey of biological progress as culminating for the evolutionary moment in the dominance of *Homo sapiens*. It could apparently have pursued no other general course than that which it has historically followed: or, if it be impossible to uphold such a sweeping and universal negative, we may at least say that among the actual inhabitants of the earth, past and present, no other lines could have been taken which would have produced speech and conceptual thought, the features that form the basis for man's biological dominance.*

Multicellular organization was necessary to achieve the basis for adequate size: without triploblastic development and a bloodsystem, elaborate organization and further size would have been impossible. Among the coelomates, only the vertebrates were eligible as agents for unlimited progress, for only they were able

* So far as I am aware, this was first emphasized by Huxley, 1936.

to achieve the combination of active efficiency, size, and terrestrial existence on which the later stages of progress were of necessity based. Only in the water have the molluses achieved any great based. Only in the water have the molluses achieved any great advance. The arthropods are not only hampered by their necessity for moulting; but their land representatives, as was first pointed out by Krogh, are restricted by their tracheal respiration to very small size. They are therefore also restricted to cold-bloodedness and to a reliance on instinctive behaviour (see discussion in Wells, Huxley and Wells, 1930, Book 5, chap. 5, § 7). Lungs were one needful precursor of intelligence. Warm blood was another, since only with a constant internal environment could the brain achieve stability and regularity for its finer functions. This limits us to birds and mammals as bearers of the torch of

This limits us to birds and mammals as bearers of the torch of progress. But birds were ruled out by their depriving themselves of potential hands in favour of actual wings, and perhaps also by the restriction of their size made necessary in the interests of flight. Remain the mammals. During the Tertiary epoch, most mammalian lines cut themselves off from the possibility of ultimate progress by concentrating on immediate specialization. A horse or a lion is armoured against progress by the very efficiency of its limbs and teeth and sense of smell: it is a limited piece of organic machinery. As Elliot Smith has so fully set forth, the penultimate steps in the development of our human intelligence could never have been taken except in arboreal ancestors, in whom the forelimb could be converted into a hand. ancestors, in whom the forelimb could be converted into a hand, and sight inevitably became the dominant sense in place of smell. But, for the ultimate step, it was necessary for the anthropoid to descend from the trees before he could become man. This to descend from the trees before he could become man. This meant the final liberation of the hand, and also placed the evolving creature in a more varied environment, in which a higher premium was placed upon intelligence. Further, the foctalization necessary for a prolonged period of learning could only have occurred in a monotocous species (pp. 525, 555; Haldane, 1932a, p. 124; Spence and Yerkes, 1937). Weidenreich (1941) main-tains that the attainment of the erect posture was a necessary prerequisite for the final stages in human cerebral evolution. The last step yet taken in evolutionary progress, and the only

one to hold out the promise of unlimited (or indeed of any further) progress in the evolutionary future, is the degree of intelligence which involves true speech and conceptual thought: and it is found exclusively in man. This, however, could only arise in a monotocous mammal of terrestrial habit, but arboreal for most of its mammalian ancestry. All other known groups of animals, except the ancestral line of this kind of mammal, are ruled out. Conceptual thought is not merely found evclusively in man: it could not have been evolved on earth except in man. Evolution is thus seen as a series of blind alleys. Some are

Evolution is thus seen as a series of blind alleys. Some are extremely short—those leading to new genera and species that either remain stable or become extinct. Others are longer—the lines of adaptive radiation within a group such as a class or subclass, which run for tens of millions of years before coming up against their terminal blank wall. Others are still longer—the lines that have in the past led to the development of the major phyla and their highest representatives; their course is to be reckoned not in tens but in hundreds of millions of years. But all in the long run have terminated blindly. That of the echinoderms, for instance, reached its climax before the end of the Mesozoic. For the arthropods, represented by their highest group, the insects, the full stop seems to have come in the early Cenozoic: even the ants and bees have made no advance since the Oligocene. For the birds, the Miocene marked the end; for the mammals, the Pliocene.

Only along one single line is progress and its future possibility being continued—the line of man. If man were wiped out, it is in the highest degree improbable that the step to conceptual thought would again be taken, even by his nearest kin. In the ten or twenty million years since his ancestral stock branched off from the rest of the anthropoids, these relatives of his have been forced into their own lines of specialization, and have quite left behind them that more generalized stage from which a conscious thinking creature could develop. Although the reversibility of evolution is not an impossibility *per se*, it is probably an actual impossibility in a world of competing types. Man might conceivably cause the capacity for speech and thought to develop by

long and intensive selection in the progeny of chimpanzees or gorillas; but Nature, it seems certain, could never do so. One of the concomitants of organic progress has been the progressive cutting down of the possible modes of further progress, until now, after a thousand or fifteen hundred million years of evolution, progress hangs on but a single thread. That thread is the human germ-plasm. As Villiers de l'Isle-Adam wrote in L'Ève Future, "L'Homme . . . seul, dans l'univers, n'est pas fini."

5. PROGRESS IN THE EVOLUTIONARY FUTURE

5. PROGRESS IN THE EVOLUTIONARY FUTURE What of the future ? In the past, every major step in evolutionary progress has been followed by an outburst of change. For one thing the familiar possibilities of adaptive radiation may be exploited anew by a number of fresh types which dominate or extinguish the older dispensation by the aid of the new piece of organic machinery which they possess. Or, when the progressive step has opened up new environmental realms, as was the case with lungs and the shelled egg, these are conquered and peopled; or the fundamental progressive mechanism may itself be improved, as was the case with temperature-regulation or the pre-natal care of the young in mammals. Conscious and conceptual thought is the latest step in life's progress. It is, in the perspective of evolution, a very recent one, having been taken perhaps only one or two and certainly less than ten million years ago. Although already it has been the cause of many and radical changes, its main effects are indubitably till to come. What will they be? Prophetic phantasy is a danger-ous pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime for a scientist, and I do not propose to indulge it perious pastime in evolution, a within any near future destined to break propus pastime for a scientist and progress will produce but a single pecies. The genetic variety achieved elsewhere by radiating divergence will with us depend primarily upon crossing and promisination (see Huxley, reac).

We can also set limits to the extension of his range. For the planet which he inhabits is limited, and adventures to other planets or other stars are possibilities for the remote future only. During historic times, all or almost all of the increase in man's

control over nature have been non-genetic, owing to his exploita-

control over nature have been non-genetic, owing to his exploita-tion of his biologically unique capacity for tradition, whereby he is provided with a modificational substitute for genetic change. The realization of the possibilities thus available will continue to play a major part in human evolution for a very long period, and may contribute largely to human progress. More basic, however, though much slower in operation, are changes in the genetic constitution of the species, and it is evident that the main part of any large genetic change in the biologically near future must then be sought in the improvement of the fundamental basis of human dominance—the feeling, thinking brain, and the most important aspect of such advance will be increased intelligence, which, as A. Huxley (1937, p. 265) has stressed, implies greater disinterestedness and fuller control of emotional impulse.*

First, let us remind ourselves that, as we have already set forth (p. 482), we with our human type of society must give up any hope of developing such altruistic instincts as those of the social nope of developing such altruistic instincts as those of the social insects. It would be more correct to say that this is impossible so long as our species continue in its present reproductive habits. If we were to adopt the system advocated by Muller (1936) and Brewer (1937), of separating the two functions of sex—love and reproduction—and using the gametes of a few highly endowed males to sire all the next generation, or if we could discover how to implement the suggestion of Haldane in his Daedalus and reproduce our species solely from selected germinal tissue-cultures, then all kinds of new possibilities would emerge. True castes might be developed, and some at least of them might be endowed with altruistic and communal impulses. In any case, as A. Huxley (1937) points out in an interesting

^{*} Of course great increases in man's control over and independence of his environment may be produced by the better utilization of his existing capacities (see e.g. G. H. Thomson, 1936); but these represent modifications, not genetic changes.

discussion, progress (or, I would prefer to say, future human

discussion, progress (or, I would prefer to say, future human progress) is dependent on an increase of intraspecific co-operation until it preponderates over intraspecific competition. Meanwhile there are many obvious ways in which the brain's level of performance could be genetically raised—in acuteness of perception, memory, synthetic grasp and intuition, analytic capacity, mental energy, creative power, balance, and judgment. If for all these attributes of mind the average of our population could be raised to the level now attained by the best endowed ten-thousandth or even thousandth, that alone would be of far-reaching evolutionary cignificance. Nor is there any reason to reaching evolutionary significance. Nor is there any reason to suppose that such quantitative increase could not be pushed beyond its present upper limits.

Further, there are other faculties, the bare existence of which is as yet scarcely established: and these too might be developed until they were as commonly distributed as, say, musical or mathematical gifts are to-day. I refer to telepathy and other extra-sensory activities of mind, which the painstaking work of

Rhine (1935), Tyrrell (1935), and others is now forcing upon the scientific world as a subject demanding close analysis. If this were so, it would be in a sense only a continuation of a process that has already been at work—the utilization by man for his own ends of hitherto useless by-products of his mental constitution. The earlier members of the Hominidae can have had little use for the higher ranges of aesthetic creation or appreciation, for mathematics or pure intellectual construction. Yet to-day these play a large part in human existence, and have come to possess important practical consequences as well as value in and for themselves. The development of telepathic knowledge or feeling, if it really exists, would have equally important consequences, practical as well as intrinsic.

In any case, one important point should be borne in mind. After most of the major progressive steps taken by life in the past, the progressive stock has found itself handicapped by characteristics developed in earlier phases, and has been forced to modify or abandon these to realize the full possibilities of the new phase (see M. Roberts, 1920, 1930, for various examples of

forced adjustment to new conditions, but with the caveat that some are highly speculative, and that all are presented in a lamarckian frame of reference which often obscures their true significance). This evolutionary fact is perhaps most obvious in relation to the vertebrates' emergence from water on to land. But it applies in other cases too. The homothermy of mammals demanded the scrapping of scales and the substitution of hair; man's erect posture brought with it a number of anatomical inconveniences. But man's step to conscious thought is perhaps more radical in this respect than any other.

By means of this new gift, man has discovered how to grow food instead of hunting it, and to substitute extraneous sources of power for that derived from his own muscles. And for the satisfaction of a few instincts, he has been able to substitute new and more complex satisfactions, in the realm of morality, pure intellect, aesthetics, and creative activity. The problem immediately poses itself whether man's muscular

The problem immediately poses itself whether man's muscular power and urges to hunting prowess may not often be a handicap to his new modes of control over his environment, and whether some of his inherited impulses and his simpler irrational satisfactions may not stand in the way of higher values and fuller enjoyment. The poet spoke of letting ape and tiger die. To this pair, the cynic later added the donkey, as more pervasive and in the long run more dangerous. The evolutionary biologist is tempted to ask whether the aim should not be to let the mammal die within us, so as the more effectually to permit the man to live.

Here the problem of values must be faced. Man differs from any previous dominant type in that he can consciously formulate values. And the realization of these in relation to the priority determined by whatever scale of values is adopted, must accordingly be added to the criteria of biological progress, once advance has reached the human level. Furthermore, the introduction of such criteria based upon values, in addition to the simpler and more objective criteria of increasing control and independence which sufficed for pre-human evolution, alters the direction of progress. It might perhaps be preferable to say that it alters the level on which progress occurs. True human progress consists in increases of aesthetic, intellectual, and spiritual experience and satisfaction.

Of course, increase of control and of independence is necessary for the increase of these spiritual satisfactions; but the more or less measurable and objective control over and independence of external environment are now merely subsidiary mechanisms serving as the material basis for the human type of progress; and the really significant control and independence apply to man's mental states—his control of ideas to give intellectual satisfaction, of form and colour or of sound to give aesthetic satisfaction, his independence of inessential stimuli and ideas to give the satisfaction of mystic detachment and inner ecstasy.

The ordinary man, or at least the ordinary poet, philosopher, and theologian, is always asking himself what is the purpose of human life, and is anxious to discover some extraneous purpose to which he and humanity may conform. Some find such a purpose exhibited directly in revealed religion; others think that they can uncover it from the facts of nature. One of the commonest methods of this form of natural religion is to point to evolution as manifesting such a purpose. The history of life, it is asserted, manifests guidance on the part of some external power; and the usual deduction is that we can safely trust that same power for further guidance in the future.

I believe this reasoning to be wholly false. The purpose manifested in evolution, whether in adaptation, specialization, or biological progress, is only an apparent purpose (p. 412). It is as much a product of blind forces as is the falling of a stone to earth or the ebb and flow of the tides. It is we who have read purpose into evolution, as earlier men projected will and emotion into inorganic phenomena like storm or earthquake. If we wish to work towards a purpose for the future of man, we must formulate that purpose ourselves. Purposes in life are made, not found.

But if we cannot discover a purpose in evolution, we can discern a direction—the line of evolutionary progress. And this past direction can serve as a guide in formulating our purpose for the future. Increase of control, increase of independence, increase of internal co-ordination; increase of knowledge, of means for co-ordinating knowledge, of elaborateness and intensity of feeling—those are trends of the most general order. If we do not continue them in the future, we cannot hope that we are in the main line of evolutionary progress any more than could a sea-urchin or a tapeworm.

As further advice to be gleaned from evolution there is the fact we have just discussed, that each major step in progress necessitates scrapping some of the achievements of previous advances. But this warning remains as general as the positive guidance. The precise formulation of human purpose cannot be decided on the basis of the past. Each step in evolutionary progress has brought new problems, which have had to be solved on their own merits; and with the new predominance of mind that has come with man, life finds its new problems even more unfamiliar than usual. This last step marks a critical point in evolution, and has brought life into situations that differ in quality from those to which it was earlier accustomed.

The future of progressive evolution is the future of man. The future of man, if it is to be progress and not merely a standstill or a degeneration, must be guided by a deliberate purpose. And this human purpose can only be formulated in terms of the new attributes achieved by life in becoming human. Man, as we have stressed, is in many respects unique among animals:* his purpose must take account of his unique features as well as of those he shares with other life.

Human purpose and the progress based upon it must accordingly be formulated in terms of human values; but it must also take account of human needs and limitations, whether these be of a biological order, such as our dietary requirements or our mode of reproduction, or of a human order, such as our intellectual limitations or our inevitable subjection to emotional conflict.

Obviously the formulation of an agreed purpose for man as a whole will not be easy. There have been many attempts already. To-day we are experiencing the struggle between two opposed

^{*} For a full analysis of the biological peculiarities of our species see Huxley, 1940.

ideals—that of the subordination of the individual to the community, and that of his intrinsic superiority. Another struggle still in progress is between the idea of a purpose directed to a future life in a supernatural world, and one directed to progress in this existing world. Until such major conflicts are resolved, humanity can have no single major purpose, and progress can be but fitful and slow. Before progress can begin to be rapid, man must cease being afraid of his uniqueness, and must not continue to put off the responsibilities that are really his on to the shoulders of mythical gods or metaphysical absolutes (see Everett, 1932).*

But let us not forget that it is possible for progress to be achieved. After the disillusionment of the early twentieth century it has become as fashionable to deny the existence of progress and to brand the idea of it as a human illusion, as it was fashionable in the optimism of the nineteenth century to proclaim not only its existence but its inevitability. The truth is between the two extremes. Progress is a major fact of past evolution; but it is limited to a few selected stocks. It may continue in the future, but it is not inevitable; man, by now become the trustee of evolution, must work and plan if he is to achieve further progress for himself and so for life.

This limited and contingent progress is very different from the *deus ex machina* of nineteenth-century thought, and our optimism may well be tempered by reflection on the difficulties to be overcome. None the less, the demonstration of the existence of a general trend which can legitimately be called progress, and the definition of its limitations, will remain as a fundamental contribution of evolutionary biology to human thought.

* See also Huxley, 1943, Evolutionary Ethics (Romanes Lecture) University Press, Oxford.

- ADAMS, C. C. (1915). "The Variations and Ecological Distribution of the Snails of the Genus Io." Mem. Nat. Acad. Sci. 12: (2).
- ALDRICH, J. W., and NUTT, D. C. (1939). "Birds of Eastern Newfoundland." Sci. Pub. Cleveland Mus. Nat. Hist. 4: 13.
- ALEXANDER, W. B. (1941). "The Index of Heron Population, 1940." Brit. Birds 34: 189.
- ALLAN, H. H. (1040). "Natural Hybridization in Relation to Taxonomy," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- ALLEE, W. C. (1938). "The Social Life of Animals." New York.
- ALLEE, W. C. (1940). "Concerning the Origin of Sociality in Animals." Scientia 34: 154.
- ALLEN, J. A. (1876). "Geographical Variation Among North American Mammals, etc." Bull. U.S. Geol. Surv. 2: 309.
- ALPATOV, W. W. (1929). "Biometrical Studies on Variation and Races of the Honey Bec (Apis mellifica)." Quart. Rev. Biol. 41: 1.
- ALTENBURG, E., and MULLER, H. J. (1920). "The Genetic Basis of Truncate Wing, etc." Genetics 5: 1.
- ANDERSON, E. (1928). "The Problem of Species in the Northern Blue Flags, Iris versicolor L. and Iris virginica L." Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 15: 241.
- ANDERSON, E. (1937). "Cytology in its Relation to Taxonomy." Bot. Rev. 3: 335.
- ANON. (1941). "Related Mollusk Species Show Opposite Tendencies." Sci. News Letter 39: 72.
- ARKELL, W. J. (1933). "The Oysters of the Fuller's Earth, etc." Proc. Cotteswold Nat. Field Cl. 25: 1.
- ARKFLL, W. J., and MOY-THOMAS, J. A. (1940). "Palaeontology and the Taxonomic Problem" in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- BABCOCK, E. B. (1939). "Recent Progress in Plant Breeding." Sci. Mon. N.Y. 1939: 393.
- BABCOCK, E. B., and STEBBINS, G. L. (1938). "The American Species of Crepis, etc." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 504.
- BADENHUIZEN, N. P. (1941). "Colchicine-induced Tetraploids obtained from Plants of Economic Value." Nature 147: 577.
- BAILY, J. L. (1939). "Physiological Group Differentiation in Lymnaea columella." Baltimore.
- BAILY, J. L. (1941). Amer. Nat. 75: 213.
- BAKER, E. C. STUART (1930). "The Game Birds of India, Burma and Ceylon." (Vol. 3.) London.
- BAKER, E. C. STUART (1931). "The Game Birds of the Indian Empire." Vol. 5, pt. 15. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 35: 241.
- BALDWIN, J. M. (1896). "A new Factor in Evolution." Amer. Nat. 30: 441, 536.
- BALDWIN, J. M. (1902). "Development and Evolution." New York and London.
- BALL, G. H. (1922). "Variation in Fresh-Water Mussels." Ecology 3: 93. BANKS, E. (1929). "Interbreeding among some Bornean Leaf-Monkeys of the Genus Pithecus." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1929: 693.

- BANTA, A. M., and WOOD, T. R. (1928). "A Thermal Race of Cladocera Origi-nating by Mutation." Verh. V. Int. Kongr. Vererb. (Berlin, 1927) 1: 107.
- BARBER, H. N. (1941). "Evolution in the genus Paeonia." Nature 148: 227.
- BARBOUR, T., and SHREVE, B. (1937). "Novitates Cubanae." Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 80: 377.
- BARRETT-HAMILTON, G. E. H., and HINTON, M. A. C. (1910-). "A History of British Mammals." London.
- BARROWS, E. F. (1934). "Modification of the Dominance of Agouti to Non-Agouti in the Mouse." J. Genet. 29: 9. BARTON-WRIGHT, E. C. (1932). "Recent Advances in Botany." London.
- BATES, G. L. (1931). "On Geographical Variations within the Limits of West Africa: some Generalizations." Ibis (13) 1: 255.
- BATES, H. W. (1862). "Contributions to an Insect Fauna of the Amazon Valley. Lepidoptera: Heliconidae." Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond. 23: 495.
- BATES, R. W., RIDDLE, OSCAR, and LAHR, ERNEST L. (1939). "The Racial Factor in the Pigeon Crop-Sac Method, etc." Amer. J. Physiol. 125: 722.
- BATESON, W. (1894). "Materials for the Study of Variation, etc." London. BATESON, W. (1913). "Problems of Genetics." Oxford.
- BATESON, W., and BATESON, G. (1925). "On Certain Aberrations of the Red-Legged Partridges, etc." J. Genet. 16: 101.
 BATHER, F. A. (1920). "Fossils and Life." Rep. Brit. Ass. 1920: 61.

- BAUR, E. (1923). "Einführung in die experimentelle Vererbungslehre," Berlin. BAUR, E. (1932). "Artumgrenzung und Artbildung in der Gattung Antirrhinum, Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 63: 256. etc.'
- BEADLE, L. C., and CRAGG, J. B. (1940). "Osmotic Regulation in Freshwater Animals." Nature 146: 588.
- BEAUCHAMP, R., and ULLYOTT, P. (1932). "Competitive Relationships between
- Certain Species of Freshwater Triclads." J. Ecol. 20: 200. BEEBE, C. W. (1907). "Geographic Variation in Birds with Especial Reference to the Effects of Humidity." Zoologica (N.Y.) 1: 1.

- BEEBE, W. (1921). "A Monograph of the Pheasants," Vol. 2. London. BEEBE, W. (1924). "Galapagos: World's End." New York. BENEDICT, R. C. (1932). "Variation in Nephrolepis." Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 2: 283.
- BENEDICT, F. G., LANDAUER, W., and FOX, E. L. (1932). "The Physiology of Normal and Frizzle Fowl, etc." Storrs Agric. Exper. Sta. Bull. 177: 1.
- BENSON, C. W. (1941). "Further Notes on Nyasaland Birds, etc., Pt. IV." Ibis (14), 5: 1.
- BENT, A. C. (1939). "Life-Histories of North American Woodpeckers." Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. no. 174.
- BEQUAERT, J. (1918). "A Revision of the Vespidae of the Belgian Congo, etc." Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 39: 1.
- BERG, L.S. (1926). "Nomogenesis: or Evolution Determined by Law." tr. J. N. Rostovtsow. London.
- BERG, L. S. (1935). "Über die vermeintlichen marinen Elemente in der Fauna und Flora des Baikalsees." Zoogeographica 2: 455.
- BERG, R. L. (1941). "A Genetical Analysis of Wild Populations, etc." Drosoph. Inf. Serv. no. 15: 20.
- BIDDLE, R. L. (1932). "The Bristles of Hybrids between Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans." Genetics 17: 153.
- BIRD, C. C., and BIRD, E. G., (1941). "The Birds of North-East Greenland." Ibis (14) 5: 118.

\$80

- BLAIR, A. P. (1941a). "Isolating Mechanisms in Tree-frogs." Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 27: 14.
- BLAIR, A. P. (1941b). "Variation, Isolation Mechanisms, and Hybridization in Certain Toads." Genetics 26: 398.
- BLAIR, R. H., and TUCKER, B. W. (1941). "Nest-Sanitation." Brit. Birds 34: 206, 226, 250.
- BLAKESLEE, A. F. (1928). "Genetics of Datura." Verh. V. Int. Kongr. Vererb. (Berlin, 1927) 1: 117.
- BLAKESLEE, A. F., BERGNER, A. D., and AVERY, A. G. (1936). "A New Method of Synthesizing Pure-Breeding Types, etc." Amer. Nat. 70: 255.
- BLAKESLEE, A. F., BERGNER, A. D., and AVERY, A. G. (1937). "Geographical Distribution of Chromosomal Prime Types in Datura stramonium." Cvtologia, Fujii Jubilee Vol.: 1070.
- BLAKESLEE, A. F., and FOX, A. L. (1932). "Our Different Taste Worlds." J. Hered. 23: 07.
- BOKER, H. (1924). "Begründung einer biologischen Morphologie." Z. Morph. Anthr. 24: 1.
- BOLK, L. (1926). "Das Problem der Menschenwerdung." Jena.
- BONNEVIE, K. (1934). "Embryological Analysis of Gene Manifestation in Little and Bagg's Abnormal Mouse Tribe." J. Exper. Zool. 67: 443.
- BONNIER, G. (1895). "Recherches Experimentales sur l'Adaptation des Plantes au Climat Alpin." Ann. Sci. Nat. 7: 20, 225.
- BORGSTRÖM, G. (1939). "Formation of Cleistogamic and Chasmogamic Flowers in-Wild Violets, etc." Nature 144: 514.
- BOWATER, W. (1914). "Heredity of Melanism in Lepidoptera." J. Genet. 3: 299. BREWER, H. (1337). "Eugenics and Politics." The Eugenics Society, London.
- BRIERLEY, J. (1938). "An Exploratory Investigation of the Selective Value of
- Certain Genes and their Combinations in Drosophila." Biol. Bull. 75: 475.
- BROOM, R. (1933). "Evolution-Is There Intelligence Behind It?" S. Afr. J. Sci. 30: 1.
- BROUGH, J. (1936). "On the Evolution of Bony Fishes, etc." Biol. Rev. 11: 385.
- BRUNN, A. F. (1933). "On the Value of the Number of the Vertebrae, etc." Vidensk. Medd. Dansk. naturh. Forening 94: 375.
- BUCHNER, P. (1928). "Holznahrung und Symbiose." Berlin.
- BUMPUS, H. C. (1897). "The Variations and Mutations of the Introduced Sparrow." Biol. Lect. Woods Hole 1897: 1.
- BUMPUS, H. C. (1899). "The Elimination of the Unfit as Illustrated by the Introduced Sparrow, etc." Bird Lect. Woods Hole 1898: 209.
- BURKITT, J. P. (1924–1925). "A Study of the Robin by Means of Marked Birds (Parts 2 and 4)." Brit. Birds 18: 97 and 19: 120.
- BUTLER, P. M. (1941). "A Comparison of the Skulls and Teeth of the Two Species of Hemicentetes." J. Mammal. 22: 65.
- BUXTON, P. A. (1923). "Animal Life in Deserts." London.
- BUXTON, P. A. (1935). "Insects of Samoa, etc., Summary," Pt. 9 (Fasc. 2): 33. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.). London.
- BUZZATI-TRAVERSO, A., JUCCI, C. and TIMOFÉEFF-RESSOVSKY. N. W. (1938). "Genetica di popolazione." Ricerca Scientifica, year 9, vol. 1 (nos. 11-12) 1.
- CALMAN, W. T. (1940). "A Museum Zoologist's View of Taxonomy," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford. CAMERON, E. (1938). "A Study of the Natural Control of the Pea Moth, Cydia
- nigricana, Steph." Bull. Entom. Res. 29: 277. CAROTHERS, B. E. (1941). "Interspecific Grasshopper Hybrids, etc." Proc. VII.
- Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 84.

- CARPENTER, G. D. H. (1925). "Speke's Tragelaph on the Sese Isles of Lake Victoria." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1925: 1423.
- CARPENTER, G. D. H. (1932). "The Forms of Acraea johnstoni, Godm., etc." Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 80: 251.
- CARPENTER, G. D. H. (1939). "Birds as Enemies of Butterflies, with Special Reference to Mimicry." Proc. VII. Int. Kongr. Entom. (1938): 1061.
- CARPENTER, G. D. H., and FORD, E. B. (1933). "Mimicry." London.
- CASTLE, W. E. (1932). "Body Size and Body Proportions in Relation to Growth Rates and Natural Selection." Science 76: 365.
- CASTLE, W. E. (1934). "Genetics and Eugenics" (4th ed.). Harvard.
- CASTLE, W. E., and NACHTSHEIM, H. (1933). "Linkage Interrelations for the Three Genes for Rex (short) Coat in the Rabbit." Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 19: 100б.
- CASTLE, W. E., and PINCUS, G. (1928). "Hooded Rats and Selection, etc." J. Exper. Zool. 50: 409.
- CESNOLA, A. P. DI (1907). "A First Study of Natural Selection in Helix arbustorum." Biometrika 5: 387.
- CHAMPY, C. (1924). "Sexualité et Hormones." Paris.
- CHAPMAN, A., and BUCK, W. J. (1893). "Wild Spain." London.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1923). "Mutation among Birds in the Genus Buarremon." Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 48: 243.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1924). "Criteria for the Determination of Subspecies in Systematic Ornithology." Auk 41: 17.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1926). "The Distribution of Bird-Life in Ecuador." Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 55: 1.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1927). "The Variations and Distribution of Saltator aurantiirostris." Amer. Mus. Novit. no. 261.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1928). "Mutation in Capito auratus." Amer. Mus. Novit. no. 335.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1935). "Further Remarks on the Relationship of the Grackles of the Subgenus Quiscalus." Auk 52: 21.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1936). "Further Remarks on Quiscalus with a Report on Additional Specimens from Louisiana." Auk 53: 405.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1939). ""Nomenclature in the Genus Quiscalus." Auk 56: 364. CHAPMAN, F. M. (1940a). "Further Studies of the Genus Quiscalus." Auk 57: 225.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. (1940b). "The Post-Glacial History of Zonotrichia capensis." Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 77: 381.
- CHAPMAN, F. M., and GRISCOM, L. (1924). "The House Wrens of the Genus Troglodytes." Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 50: 279.
- CHEESMAN, R. E., and HINTON, M. A. C. (1924). "On the Mammals Collected in the Desert of Central Arabia by Major R. E. Cheesman." Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (9) 14: 548.
- CHEVAIS, S. (1937). "Croissance et Races Locales de Corophium volutator." Trav. Stat. Biol. Roscoff. no. 15.
- CHILDE, V. G. (1936). "Man Makes Himself." London. CHRISTOFF, M. (1941). "Polyploidy and Apomictic Development in the Genus Potentilla." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 88.
- CHRISTY, C. (1929). "The African Buffaloes." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1929: 445.
- CHRISTY, MILLER (1897). "Primula elatior in Britain, etc." J. Linn. Soc. (Bot.) 33: 172.

582

- CLANCEY, P. A. (1938). "Some Remarks on Western Scottish Birds." Ibis (14) 2:746.
- CLANK, W. E. LE GROS (1934). "Early Forerunners of Man, etc." London.
- CLAUSEN, J. (1927). "Chromosome-Numbers and the Relationship of Species in the Genus Viola." Ann. Bot. 41: 677.
- CLAUSEN, J., KECK, D. D., and HIESEY, W. M. (1937). "Experimental Taxonomy." Yearb. Carneg. Instn. 36: 13.
- CLAUSEN, J., KECK, D. D., and HIESEY, W. M. (1938). "Experimental Taxonomy." Yearb. Carneg. Instn. 37: 218.
- CLAUSEN, J., KECK, D. D., and HIESEY, W. M. (1940). "Experimental Studies on the Nature of Species. I. Effect of Varied Environments on Western North American Plants." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 520.
- CLELAND, R. E. (1928). "The Genetics of Oenothera in Relation to Chromosome Behaviour, with Special Reference to Certain Hybrids." Verh. V. Int.
- Kongr. Vererb. (Berlin, 1927) 1: 554. CLEMENTS, F. E. (1929). "Experimental Methods in Adaptation and Mor-phogeny." J. Ecol. 17: 356.
- CLEMENTS, F. E. (1932). "Investigations in Ecology." Yearb. Carneg. Instn. 31:211.
- COLEMAN, E. (1927). "Pollination of the Orchid Cryptostylis leptochila." Vict. Nat. Melbourne 44: 20.
- COLLINS, J. L. (1927). "A Low Temperature Type of Albinism in Barley." J. Hered. 18: 331.
- COLLINS, J. L., HOLLINGSHEAD, L., and AVERY, P. (1929). "Interspecific Hybrids in Crepis, III." Genetics 14: 305.
- COLMAN, J. (1932). "A Statistical Test of the Species Concept in Littorina." Biol. Bull. 62: 223.
- CORNES, J. J. S. (1937). "Attitude and Concealing Coloration." Nature 140: 684. COTT, H. B. (1940). "Adaptive Coloration in Animals." London. COWAN, J. M. (1940). "Rhododendrons of the *Rh. sanguineum* Alliance." Notes
- Roy. Bot. Gdn. Edinb. 20: 55.
- CRAMPTON, H. E. (1916). "Studies on the Variation, Distribution and Evolution of the Genus Partula, etc." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 228.
- CRAMPTON, H. B. (1925). "Contemporaneous Organic Differentiation in the Species of Partula living in Moorea, Society Islands." Amer. Nat. 59: 5.
- CRAMPTON, H. E. (1932). "Studies on the Variation, Distribution and Evolution of the Genus Partula, etc." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 410. CRANE, M. B., and THOMAS, P. T. (1939). "Segregation in Asexual (Apomictic)
- Offspring in Rubus." Nature 143: 684.
- CREW, F. A. E. (1936). "A Repetition of McDougall's Lamarckian Experiment." J. Genet. 33: 61.
- CREW, F. A. E., and LAMY, R. (1932). "A Sex-linked Recessive 'Lethal' in Drosophila obscura." J. Genet. 25: 257.
- CREW, F. A. E., and MIRSKAIA, L. (1931). "The Character 'Hairless' in the Mouse." J. Genet. 25: 17. CROSBY, J. L. (1940). "High Proportions of Homostyle Plants in Populations
- of Primula vulgaris." Nature 145: 672.
- CROSS, E. C. (1941). "Colour Phases of the Red Fox (Vulpes fulva) in Ontario." J. Mammal. 22: 25.
- DALE, F. H. (1939). "Variability and Environmental Responses of the Kangaroo Rat, Dipodomys heermanni saxatilis. Amer. Midl. Nat. 22: 703.

- DALE, F. H. (1940). "Geographic Variation in the Meadow Mouse, etc." I. Mammal. 21: 332.
- DAVIS, V. (1937). "Etude critique des différentes formes de Picus canus Gmelin." Oiseau, Paris, n. ser. 7: 247.
- DANSER, B. H. (1929). "Ueber die Begriffe Komparium, Kommisküum und Konvivium, etc." Genetica 11: 399.
- DARLING, F. F. (1939). "Bird Flocks and the Breeding Cycle, etc." Cambridge.
- DABLINGTON, C. D. (1933). "Chromosome Study and the Genetic Analysis of Species." Ann. Bot. 47: 811.
- DABLINGTON, C. D. (1937). "Recent Advances in Cytology" (2nd ed.). London.

- DARLINGTON, C. D. (1939). "The Evolution of Genetic Systems." Cambridge. DARLINGTON, C. D. (1940). "Taxonomic Species and Genetic Systems," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- DABLINGTON, C. D., and UPCOTT, M. B. (1941a). "The Activity of Inert Chromosomes in Zea mays." J. Genet. 41: 275.
- DARLINGTON, C. D., and UPCOTT, M. B. (1941b). "Spontaneous Chromosome Change." J. Genet. 41: 297.
- DARWIN, C. (1868). "The Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication." London.
- DARWIN, C. (1871). "The Descent of Man and Selection in Relation to Sex." London.
- DARWIN, C. (1875). "The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, étc." (6th ed.). London.
- DARWIN, C. (1877). "The Various Contrivances by which Orchids are Fertilized by Insects" (2nd ed.). London.
- DAVENPORT, C. B. (ed.) (1928). "Mutations of Physiological Import, etc." Yearb. Carneg. Instn. 27: 45.
- DAVENPORT, C. B. (ed.) (1933). "Accumulation of Recessive Mutations during Long-continued Parthenogenesis." Yearb. Carneg. Instn. 32: 49.
- DE BEER, G. R. (1930). "Embryology and Evolution." Oxford.
- DE BEER, G. R. (1940a). "Embryology and Taxonomy," in "The New Systematics." ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- DE BEER, C. R. (1940b). "Embryos and Ancestors." Oxford.
- DEMENTIEV, G. P. (1938). "Sur la distribution géographique de certains oiseaux paléarctiques, etc." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (1934): 243.
- DESSELBERGER, H. (1930). "Ucber das Lipochrom der Vogelfeder." J. Orn. 78: 328.
- DEWAR, D., and FINN, F. (1909). "The Making of Species." London and New York.
- DICE, L. R. (1931). "The Occurrence of Two Subspecies of the Same Species in the Same Area." J. Mammal. 12: 210. DICE, L. R. (1933*a*). "The Inheritance of Dichromatism in the Deer-Mouse,
- Peromyscus maniculatus blandus." Amer. Nat. 67: 571.
- DICE, L. R. (1933b). "Fertility Relationships between some of the Species and Subspecies of Mice in the Genus Peromyscus." J. Mammal. 14: 298.
- DICE, L. R. (1937). "Variation in the Wood-Mouse; etc." Occ. Pap. Mus. Zool. Univ. Michigan no. 352: 1.
- DICE, L. R. (1939). "Variation in the Deer-Mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) in the Columbia Basin of South-Eastern Washington and Adjacent Idaho and Oregon." Contrib. Lab. Vert. Genet. Univ. Mich. no. 12: 1.

- DICE, L. R. (1940a). "Relationships between the Wood-Mouse and the Cotton-Mouse in Eastern Virginia." J. Mammal. 21: 14.
- DICE, L. R. (1940b). "Ecologic and Genetic Variability within Species of Peromyscus." Amer. Nat. 74: 212. DICE, L. R. (1940c). "Speciation in Peromyscus." Amer. Nat. 74: 289.
- DICE, L. R., and BLOSSOM, P. M. (1937). "Studies of Mammalian Ecology. etc." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 485.
- DICKSON, R. C. (1941). "Inheritance of Resistance to Hydrocyanic Acid Fumigation in the California Red Scale." Hilgardia, 13: 515.
- DISCOVERY COMMITTEE (1937). "Report on the Progress of the Discovery Committee's Investigations." London.
- DIVER, C. (1929). "Fossil Records of Mendelian Mutants." Nature 124: 183.
- DIVER, C. (1932). "Mollusc Genetics." Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 2: 236.
- DIVER, C. (1938). "The Plant-carpet in Relation to Animal Distribution." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 150: 124.
- DIVER, C. (1939). "Aspects of the Study of Variation in Snails." J. Conchol. 21: 01.
- DIVER, C. (1940). "The Problem of Closely-Related Species Living in the Same Area," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1927). "Studies on the Manifold Effect of Certain Genes in Drosophila melanogaster." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 43: 330.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1933). "Geographical Variation in Lady-Beetles." Amer. Nat. 67: 97.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1936). "Position Effects of Genes." Biol. Rev. 11: 364.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1937). "Genetics and the Origin of Species." New York. DOBZHANSKY, T. (1939a). "Genetics of Natural Populations. IV. Mexican and Guatemalan Populations of Drosophila pseudoobscura." Genetics 24: 391.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1939b). "Experimental Studies on Genetics of Free-Living Populations of Drosophila." Biol. Rev. 14: 339.
- "Speciation as a Stage in Evolutionary Divergence." DOBZHANSKY, T. (1940). Amer. Nat. 74: 312.
- DOBZHANSKY, T. (1941). "On the Genetic Structure of Natural Populations of Drosophila." Proc. VIII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939); 104.
- DOBZHANSKY, T., and TAN, C. C. (1936). "Studies in Hybrid Sterility. III. Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 72: 88.
- DONISTHORPE, H. (1940). "Mimicry in Ants." Ent. mon. Mag. 76: 254.
- DONOVAN, C. (1936). "Catalogue of the Macrolepidoptera of Ireland." Cheltenham and London.
- DOOB, L. W. (1940). "The Plans of Men." New Haven.
- DOWDESWELL, W. H., FISHER, R. A., and FORD, E. B. (1940). "The Quantitative Study of Populations in the Lepidoptera. I. Polyommatus icarus Rott." Ann. Eugen. 10: 123.
- DUBININ, N. P., and others (1934). "Experimental Study of the Ecogenotypes of Drosophila melanogaster." Biol. J. (Moscow) 3: 166.
- DUBININ, N. P., and others (1936). "Genetic Constitution and Gene-dynamics of Wild Populations of Drosophila melanogaster." Biol. J. (Moscow) 5: 939.
- DUKE, H. C. (1921). "On the Zoological Status of the Polymorphic Mammalian Trypanosomes, etc." Parasitol. 13: 352.
- DUNN, L. C., and LANDAUER, W. (1934). "The Genetics of the Rumpless Fowl, etc." J. Genet. 29: 217.

- DUNN, L. C., and LANDAUER, W. (1936). "Further Data on Genetic Modification of Rumplessness in the Fowl." J. Genet. 33: 401.
- DURKEN, B. (1923). "Über die Wirkung farbigen Lichtes auf die Puppen des Kohlweisslings (Pieris brassicae), etc." Arch. mikr. Anat. 99: 222.
- EDINGER, T. (1940). [Exhibition of brain endocasts of Malagasy ratite birds.] Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 110: viii.
- EIGENMANN, C. H. (1909). "Adaptation," in "Fifty Years of Darwinism." New York.
- EKER, R. (1935). "The Short-wing Gene in D. melanogaster and the Effect of Temperature on its Manifestation." J. Genet. 30: 357.
- ELLERS, K. (1936). "Die Rassen von Papilio machaon L." Abh. Baver Akad. Wiss. (N.F.) 36: 1.
- ELOFF, G. (1936). "Behaviour of Local Drosophila melanopaster during Late Larval Stage." Nature 138: 402. ELTON, C. S. (1927). "Animal Ecology." London.

- ELTON, C. S. (1937). "Animal Ecology and Evolution." Oxford. ELTON, C. [S.] (1938). "Notes on the Ecological and Natural History of Pabbay, J. Ecol. 26: 275. etc."
- ELTRINGHAM, M. (1910). "African Mimetic Butterflies." Oxford. EMERSON, A. E. (1934). Biology of Termites [Review]. Ecology 15: 204.
- "Termitophile Distribution and Quantitative Characters EMERSON, A. E. (1935). of Physiological Speciation, etc." Ann. ent. Soc. Amer. 28: 369.
- "Ecological Animal Geography." Ecology 18: 541-2. EMERSON, A. E. (1937). "Termite Nests: a Study of the Phylogeny of Behaviour."
- EMERSON, A. E. (1938). Ecol. Monogr. 8: 247.
- EMERSON, A. E. (1939). "Populations of Social Insects." Ecol. Monogr. 9: 287.
- ENDRÖDI, S. V. (1938). "Die paläarktischen Rassenkreise des Genus Oryctes (Ill.). Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 7: 53.
- ENGELS, W. L. (1936). "An Insular Population of Peromyscus maniculatus, etc." Amer. Midl. Nat. Notre Dame 17: 776.
- ENGELS, W. L. (1940). "Structural Adaptations in Thrashers (Mimidae: Genus Toxostoma), etc." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 42: 341.
- ESLICK, A. (1940). "An Ecological Study of Patella at Port St. Mary, Isle of Man." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 152: 45.
- EVANG, K. (1925). "The Sex-Linked Mutants Vesiculated and Semi-Lethal in Drosophila melanogaster." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 39: 165.
- EVANS, F. C., and VEVERS, H. G. (1938). "Notes on the Biology of the Faeroe Mouse (Mus musculus faeroensis). J. Anim. Ecol. 7: 290.
- EVERETT, W. C. (1932). "The Uniqueness of Man." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 16: I.
- FABERGÉ, A. C. (1936). "The Physiological Consequences of Polyploidy." J. Genet. 33: 365.
- FANTHAM, H. B., PORTER, A., and RICHARDSON, L. R. (1939). "Some Myxosporidia Found in Certain Fresh-Water Fishes in Quebec Province, Canada." Parasitol. 31: 1.
- FEDERLEY, H. (1911). "Vererbungsstudien an der Lepidopteren-Gattung Pygaera." Arch. Rass. u. Ges. Biol. 8: 281.
- FEDERLEY, H. (1932). "Die Bedeutung der Kreuzung für die Evolution." Jena. Z. Naturw. 67: 364.

<86

- FERNALD, N. V. L. (1933): "Recent Discoveries in the Newfoundland Flora." Rhodora 35: 327.
- FISCHER-PIETTE, B. (1935). "Systematique et Biogéographie: les Patelles d'Europe et d'Afrique du Nord." J. Conchyl. 69: 5.
- FISHER, J. (1030a). "Birds as Animals." London.
- FISHER, J. (1939b). "Distribution of the Colour Phases of the Fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis)." Nature 144: 941.
- FISHER, J. (19404). "The Status of the Fulmar in the British Isles." Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 60: 87.
- FISHER, J. (1940b). [Fulmar: Distribution, British Isles.] in WITHERBY, H. F., and others. "The Handbook of British Birds." Vol. 4: 73. London.
- **PISHER**, J. (1940c). "Watching Birds." Harmondsworth. **FISHER**, J., and WATERSTON, G. (1941). "The Breeding Distribution, History and Population of the Fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis), etc." J. Anim. Ecol. 10:204.
- FISHER, R. A. (1928). "The Possible Modification of the Response of the Wild Type to Recurrent Mutations" and "Two Further Notes on the Origin of Dominance." Amer. Nat. 62: 115 and 571. FISHER, R. A. (1930a). "The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection." Oxford.
- FISHER, R. A. (1930b). "The Evolution of Dominance in Certain Polymorphic Species." Amer. Nat. 64: 385.
- FISHER, R. A. (1931). "The Evolution of Dominance." Biol. Rev. 6: 345.
- "The Bearing of Genetics on Theories of Evolution." FISHER, R. A. (1932). Sci. Progr. 27: 273.
- FISHER, R. A. (1933a). "On the Evidence Against the Chemical Induction of Melanism in the Lepidoptera." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 112: 407.
- FISHER, R. A. (1933b). "Number of Mendelian Factors in Quantitative Inheritance." Nature 131: 400.
- FISHER, R. A. (1934). "Professor Wright on the Theory of Dominance." Amer. Nat. 68: 370.
- FISHER, R. A. (1935). "Dominance in Poultry." Philos. Trans. (B) 225: 195.
- FISHER, R. A. (19374). "The Relation between Variability and Abundance shown by the Measurements of the Eggs of British Nesting Birds." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 122: 1.
- "The Wave of Advance of Advantageous Genes." Ann. FISHER, R. A. (1937b).
- Eugen. 7: 355. FISHER, R. A. (1938). "Dominance in Poultry, etc." Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 125: 25.
- FISHER, R. A. (1939). "Selective Forces in Wild Populations of Paratettix texanus." Ann. Eugen. 9: 109.
- FISHER, R. A., and FORD, E. B. (1928). "The Variability of Species in the Lepidoptera, etc." Trans. ent. Soc. Lond. 86: 367.
- FISHER, R. A., FORD, E. B., and HUXLEY, J. S. (1939). "Taste-testing the Anthropoid Apes." Nature 144: 750.
- FITCH, H. S. (1938). "A Systematic Account of the Alligator Lizards (Gerthonotus) in the Western United States and Lower California." Amer. Midl. Nat. 20: 381.
- FITCH, H. S. (1940). "A biogeographical Study of the ordinoides Artenkreis of Garter Snakes (genus Thanmophis)." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 44: 1.
- FLEMING, J. H., and SNYDER, L. L. (1939). "On Melospiza melodia in Ontario." Roy. Ontario Mus. Zool. Occas. Pap. No. 5.
- PORBES, W. T. M. (1928). "Variation in Junonia lavinia (Lepidoptera, Nym-phalidae)." J. N.Y. Ent. Soc. 36: 306.

- FORBES, W. T. M. (1931). "Notes on the Dioptidae (Lepidoptera)." J. N.Y. Ent. Soc. 39: 69.
- FORD, E. B. (1924). "The Geographical Races of Heodes phloeas L." Trans. ent. Soc. Lond. 1923-4: 692.
- FORD, E. B. (1930). "The Theory of Dominance." Amer. Nat. 64: 560.
- "The Genetics of Papilio dardanus." Trans. Roy. ent. Soc. FORD, E. B. (1936). Lond. 85: 435. FORD, E. B. (1937). "Problems of Heredity in the Lepidoptera." Biol. Rev.
- 12: 461.
- FORD, E. B. (1940a). "Polymorphism and Taxonomy," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford. FORD, E. B. (1940b). "Genetic Research in the Lepidoptera." Ann. Eugen.
- 10: 227.
- FORD, E. B. (1940c). "Mendelism and Evolution" (3rd ed.). London.
- FORD, E. B. (1941). "Studies on the Chemistry of Pigments in the Lepidoptera, with reference to their bearing on Systematics. I. The Anthoxanthins."
- Proc. Roy. ent. Soc. Lond. (A), 16: 65. FORD, H. D., and FORD, E. B. (1930). "Fluctuation in Numbers and its Influence on Variation in Melitaea aurinia." Trans. ent. Soc. Lond. 78: 345.
- FOAD, E. B., and HUXLEY, J. S. (1929). "Genetic Rate-factors in Gammarus." Arch. Entw. Mech. 117: 67.
- FORMOSOV, A. N. (1933). "The Crop of Cedar Nuts, Invasions into Europe of the Siberian Nutcracker (Nucifraga caryocatactes macrorhynchus Brehm), etc." J. Anim. Ecol. 2: 70.
- FOX, D. L., and PANTIN, C. F. A. (1941). "The Colours of the Plumose Anemone Metridium senile (L.)." Philos. Trans. (B) 230: 415.
- FOX, H. MUNRO (1939). "The Activity and Metabolism of Poikilothermal Animals in Different Latitudes. V." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 109: 141.
- FOX, H. MUNRO, and WINGFIELD, C. A. (1937). "The Activity and Metabolism of Poikilothermal Animals in Different Latitudes. II." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 1937: 275.
- FRANKEL, O. (1941). "Cytology and Taxonomy of Hebe, Veronica and Pygmaea. Nature 147: 117.
- FRIES, R. E., and T. C. B. (1922). Ueber die Riesen-Senecionen der Afrikanischen Hochgebirge. Svensk Bot. Tidsk. 16: 321.
- GARNER, W. W., and ALLARD, H. A. (1920). "Effect of Relative Length of Day and Night and Other Factors of Environment on Growth and Reproduction in Plants." J. Agric. Res. 18: 553.
- GARSTANG, W. (1922). "The Theory of Recapitulation, etc." J. Linn. Soc. (Zool.) 35: 81.
- GATES, R. R. (1916). "On Pairs of Species." Bot. Gaz. 61: 177. GATES, R. R. (1930). "Heredity in Man." London and New York. GATES, R. R. (1930).
- GAUSE, G. F. (1930). "Die Variabilität der Zeichnung bei den Blattkäfern der Gattung Phytodecta." Biol. Zentralbl. 50: 235.
- GAUSE, G. F. (1934). "The Struggle for Existence." Baltimore.
- GAUSE, G. F., and SMARAGDOVA, N. P. (1939). "The Decrease in Weight and Mortality in Dextral and Sinistral Individuals of the Snail Fruticicola lantzi." Zool. J. (Moscow) 18: 161.
- GEROULD, J. H. (1921). "Blue-Green Caterpillars: the Origin and Ecology of a Mutation in Hemolymph Color in Colias (Eurymus) philodice." J. exp. Zool. 34: 385.

588

- GEROULD, J. H. (1923). "Inheritance of White Wing Color, a Sex-limited (Sex-controlled) Variation in Yellow Pierid Butterflies." Genetica 8: 495. GERSHENSON, S. (1928). "A New Sex-ratio Abnormality in Drosophila obscura."
- Genetics 13: 488.
- GHIGI, A. (1909). "Ricerche di Sistematica Sperimentale sul Genere Gennaeus Wagler." Mem. R. Acc. Sci. Inst. Bologna 6: 133.
- GILMOUR, J. S. L. (1932). "The Taxonomy of Plants intermediate between Medicago sativa L. and M. falcata L., etc." Rep. Bot. Exch. Cl. 1933: 303.
- GILMOUR, J. S. L. (1937). "A Taxonomic Problem." Nature 139: 1040. GILMOUR, J. S. L. (1940). "Taxonomy and Philosophy," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- GILMOUR, J. S., L. and GREGOR, J. W. (1939). "Demes: a Suggested New Terminology." Nature 144: 333.
- GLADKOV, N. A. (1941). "Taxonomy of Palearctic Goshawks." Auk 58: 80. GLASS, H. B. (1933). "A New Allelomorphic Compound presenting the Phenotype of the Wild Drosophila melanogaster." J. Genet. 27: 233.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1927). "Physiologische Theorie der Vererbung." Berlin. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1928). "Einführung in die Vererbungswissenschaft." (5th ed.). Berlin.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1932). "Genetik der geographischen Variation." Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 1: 173. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1934). "Lymantria." Bibliogr. Genet. 11: 1. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1935). "Geographische Variation und Artbildung." Naturwiss.
- 23: 160.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1938a). "Physiological Genetics." New York.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1938b). "A Note Concerning the Adaptation of Geographic Races of Lymantria dispar L. to the Seasonal Cycle in Japan." Amer. Nat. 72: 385.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R. (1940). "The Material Basis of Evolution." New Haven. London and Oxford.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, R., and FISCHER, E. (1922). "Argynnis paphia-valesina, ein Fall gcschlechtskontrollierter Vererbung bei Schmetterlingen." Genetica 4: 247.
- GONZALEZ, B. M. (1923). "Experimental Studies on the Duration of Life: VIII." Amer. Nat. 57: 289.
- GOODSPEED, T. H. (1934). "Nicotiana Phylesis in the Light of Chromosome Number, Morphology and Behavior." Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 17: 369.
- GORDON, C. (1935). "An Experiment on a Released Population of D. melanogaster." Amer. Nat. 69: 381.
- GORDON, C. (1936). "The Frequency of Heterozygosis in Free-living Popu-lations of Drosophila, etc." J. Genet. 33: 25.
- GORDON, C., and GORDON, F. (1940). "The Genetical Analysis of a Sex-limited Character in Drosophila melanogaster, etc." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 127: 487.
- GORDON, C., and SANG, J. H. (1941). Proc. Roy. Soc. (B), in press.
- GORDON, M. (1931). "Hereditary Basis of Melanosis in Hybrid Fishes." Amer. I. Cancer 15: 1495.
- GORDON, M. (1939). "Gene Frequencies and Parallel Variations in Natural Populations, etc." Rec. Genet. Soc. Amer. 8: 118.
- GOWEN, J. W., and GAY, E. H. (1933). "On Ever-Sporting as a Function of the Y-chromosome in Drosophila melanogaster." Amer. Nat. 67: 68.
- GRAVES, W. W. (1932). "A Note on Inherited Variations and Fitness Problems." Sci. Pap. III. Int. Eugen. Congr. (1932): 457.

- GREGOR, J. W. (1938a). "Experimental Taxonomy, II. Initial Population Dif-ferentiation in Plantago maritima L. of Britain." New Phytol. 37: 15.
- GREGOR, J. W. (1938b). "Reflections Concerning New Crop Varieties." Herbage Rev. 6: 234.
- GREGOR, J. W. (1939). "Experimental Taxonomy, IV. Population Differentiation in North American and European Sea Plantains Allied to Plantago maritima L." New Phytol. 38: 293.
- GREGORY, W. K. (1936). "On the Meaning and Limits of Irreversibility of Evolution." Amer. Nat. 70: 517. GRINNELL, J. (1922). "A Geographical Study of the Kangaroo Rats of Cali-
- fornia." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 24: 1.
- GRINNELL, J. (1923). "Distributional Summation of the Ornithology of Lower California." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 32: I.
 GROSS, A. O. (1928). "The Heath Hen." Mem. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist. 6: 487.
 GRUNEBERG, H. (1937). "The Position Effect Proved by a Spontaneous Rein-
- version, etc." J. Genet. 34: 169. GRÜNEBERG, H. (1938). "An Analysis of the 'Pleiotropic' Effects of a New
- Lethal Mutation in the Rat (Mus norvegicus)." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 125: 123.
- GULICK, A. (1932). "Biological Peculiarities of Oceanic Islands." Quart. Rev. Biol. 7: 405. GULICK, A. (1938). "What Are the Genes? II." Quart. Rev. Biol. 13: 140.
- GULICK, J. T. (1905). "Evolution, Racial and Habitudinal." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 25.
- GUSTAFSSON, A. (1941). "Mutation Experiments in Barley, etc." Hereditas, Lund 27: 225, 337.
- HACKETT, L. W. (1937). "Malaria in Europe." London.
- HACKETT, L. W., and MISSIROLI, A. (1935). "The Varieties of Anopheles maculipennis and Their Relation to the Distribution of Malaria in Europe." Riv. Malariol. 14: 45.
- HABCKER, V. (1925). "Aufgaben und Ergebnisse der Phänogenetik." Bibliogr. Genet. 1: 95.
- HAECKER, V. (1927). "Phänogenetische Untersuchungen über die tierische Zeichnung." Naturwiss. 15: 710.
- HAGEDOORN, A. C., and HAGEDOORN, A. L. (1917). "Rats and Evolution." Amer. Nat. 51: 385.
- HAGERUP, O. (1932). "Über Polyploidie in Beziehung zu Klima, Ökologie und Phylogenie, etc." Hereditas, Lund 16: 19. HALDANE, J. B. S. (1927a). "The Comparative Genetics of Colour in Rodents
- and Catnivora." Biol. Rev. 2: 199.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1927b). "Possible Worlds." London.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1930). "A Note on Fisher's Theory of the Origin of Dominance, etc." Amer. Nat. 64: 87.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1932a). "The Causes of Evolution." London.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1932b). "The Time of Action of Genes, etc." Amer. Nat. 66: 5.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1932c). "Can Evolution be Explained in Terms of Known Genetical Facts?" Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 1: 185.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1933). "The Part Played by Recurrent Mutations in Evolution." Amer. Nat. 67: 5.

590

- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1935a). "Darwinism under Revision." Rationalist Annual 1935: 19.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1935b). "The Rate of Spontaneous Mutation of a Human Gene." J. Genet. 31: 317.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1938). "The Marxist Philosophy and the Sciences." London.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1939a). "The Theory of the Evolution of Dominance." J. Genet. 37: 365.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1930b). "The Equilibrium between Mutation and Random Extinction." Ann. Eugen. 9: 400.
- HALDANE, J. B. S. (1940). "The Blood-group Frequencies of European Peoples, and Racial Origins." Human Biol. 12: 457.
- HALL, A. D. (1940). "The Genus Tulipa." London.
- HALL, E. R. (1938). "Variation among Insular Mammals of Georgia Strait, British Columbia." Amer. Nat. 72: 453.
- HALL, E. R. (1939). "Remarks on the Primitive Structure of 'Mustela stolzmanni,' etc." Physis, Buenos Aires, 16: 159.
- HAMILTON, J. STEVENSON (1919). "Field Notes on Some Mammals in the Bahr-el-Gebel, Southern Sudan." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1919: 341.
- HAMMOND, J. (1928a). "How Feeding Affects Conformation." Fmr. & Stk.-Breed. & Agric. Gaz. 1928: 10 Dec.: 4.
- HAMMOND, J. (1928b). "Selection for Meat Production." Verh. V. Int. Kongr. Vererb. (Berlin, 1927) 2: 789.
- HARDY, E. (1937). "Polluted Wild Life." Country Life 81: 676.
- HARLAND, S. C. (1932). "The Genetics of Cotton. VI. The Inheritance of Chlorophyll Deficiency in New World Cottons." J. Genet. 25: 271.
- HARLAND, S. C. (1933). "The Genetics of Cotton. IX. Further Experiments on the Inheritance of the Crinkled Dwarf Mutant, etc." J. Genet. 28: 315.
- HARLAND, S. C. (1936). "The Genetical Conception of the Species." Biol. Rev. 11: 83.
- HARLAND, S. C. (1940). "New Polyploids in Cotton by the Use of Colchicine." Trop. Agric. 17: 53.
- HARLAND, S. C. (1941). "Genetical Studies in the Genus Gossypium and Their Relationship to Evolutionary and Taxonomic Problems." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 138.
- HARLAND, S. C., and ATTECK, O. M. (1941): "The Genetics of Cotton. XIX. Normal Alleles of the Crinkled Mutant of Gossypium barbadense L., etc." J. Genet. 42: 21.
- HARMS, J. W. (1934). "Wandlungen des Artgefüges, etc." Tübingen.
- HARRISON, H. S. (1936). "Concerning Human Progress." J. Roy. Anthr. Inst. 66: 1.
- HARRISON, J. W. H. (1920a). "Genetical Studies in the Moths of the Geometrid Genus Oporabia (Oporinia) with a Special Consideration of Melanism in the Lepidoptera." J. Genet. 9: 195.
- HARRISON, J. W. H. (1920b). "The Inheritance of Melanism in the Genus Tephrosia (Ectropis) with Some Consideration of the Inconstancy of Unit Characters under Crossing." J. Genet. 10: 61.
- HARRISON, J. W. H. (1927). "Experiments on the Egg-Laying Habits of the Sawfly Pontania salicis Chr., etc." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 101: 115.
- HARRISON, J. W. H. (1928). "A Further Induction of Melanism in the Lepidopterous Insect, Selenia bilunaria Esp., and its Inheritance." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 102: 338.
HARRISON, J. W. H. (1932). "The Recent Development of Melanism in the Larvae of Certain Species of Lepidoptera, etc." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 111: 188. HARRISON, J. W. H. (1935). "The Experimental Induction of Melanism, and

Other Effects, in the Geometrid Moth Selenia bilunaria Esp." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 117: 78.

HARRISON, J. W. H., and CARTER, W. (1924). "The British Races of Aricia medon, etc." Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Northumb. (N.S.) 6: 89.

- HARTERT, E. (1903-1935). "Die Vögel der paläarktischen Fauna." Vols. 1-3 (1903-1922) and Supplement (1935). Berlin.
- HASBROUCK, E. M. (1893). "Evolution and Dichromatism in the genus Megascops." Amer. Nat. 27: 521, 638.
- HASEBROEK, K. (1934). "Industrie und Grossstadt als Ursache des neuzeitlichen vererblichen Melanismus der Schmetterlinge in England und Deutschland." Zool. Jahrb. (Abt. Zool. Phys.) 53: 411.
- HAWKINS, H. L. (1936). "Palaeontology and Humanity." Rep. Brit. Ass. 1936: 59.
- HAYS, F. A. (1940). "Breeding Small Flocks of Domestic Fowl for High Fecundity." Poultry Sci. 19: 380.
- HEINROTH, O. (1924). "Lautäusserungen der Vögel." J. Orn. 72: 223.
- HEINROTH, O. (1938). "Die Balz des Bulwersfasans, Lobiophasis bulweri Sharpe." J. Orn. 86: 1.

HEINROTH, O., and HEINROTH, M. (1924-26). "Die Vögel Mitteleuropas." Berlin.

- HERBST, C. (1924). "Beiträge zur Entwicklungsphysiologie der Färbung und Zeichnung der Tiere. 2. etc. Arch. Mikr. Anat. 102: 130.
- HERRICK, F. H. (1939). "The Individual Versus the Species in Behavior Studies." Auk 56: 244.
- HERSH, A. H. (1934). "Evolutionary Relative Growth in the Titanotheres." Amer. Nat. 58: 537.
- HERZBERG, F., and MASSLER, M. (1940). "The Phylogenetic Growth-pattern of the Conical Forms of Teeth." J. Dent. Res. 19: 511. HESSE, R. (1924). "Tiergeographie." Jena.
- HESSE, R. (1929). "Bericht über das 'Tierreich.'" S.B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 1929: xl.
- HESSE, R., ALLEE, W. C., and SCHMIDT, K. P. (1937). "Ecological Animal Geography." New York and London.
- HEWITSON, W. C. (1874). "Notes on the Capture of Papilio antimachus, etc." Ent. mon. Mag. 11: 113.
- HILE, R. (1936). "Summary of Investigations on the Morphometry of the Cisco, Leucichthys artedi, etc." Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. 21: 619.
- HILL, A. W. (1930). "Present-day Problems in Taxonomic and Economic Botany." Nature 126: 476.
- HILL, J. B. (1939). "In Black and White. Identical Animals on Adjacent Areas, etc." Nat. Hist. N.Y. 43: 172.
- HILL, W. C. OSMAN (1940). "Observations on the Structure and Mechanism of the External Ear, etc." Ceylon J. Sci. (B) 22: 135.
- HINTON, M. A. C. (1920). "Rats and Mice as Enemies of Mankind." Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), Econ. Ser. 8.
- HOGBEN, L. T. (1930). "The Nature of Living Matter." London.
- HOGBEN, L. T. (1931). "Genetic Principles in Medicine and Social Science." London.
- HOGBEN, L. T. (1933). "Nature and Nurture." London.

- HOGBEN, L. T. (1940). "Problems of the Origin of Species," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- HOLMES, W. (1940). "The Colour Changes and Colour Patterns of Sepia officinalis L." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 110: 17.
 HORNELL, J. (1916). "The Indian Varieties and Races of the Genus Turbinella."
- Mem. Ind. Mus. 6: 109.
- HORNELL, J. (1917). "A Revision of the Indian Species of Meretrix." Rec. Ind. Mus. 13: 153.
- "Colorado and Virginia Strains of Codling Moth, etc." HOUGH, W. S. (1934). J. Agric. Res. 48: 533.
- HOVANITZ, W. (1940). "Ecological Color Variation in a Butterfly and the Problem of 'Protective Coloration.'" Ecology 21: 371.
- HOVANITZ, W. (1941). "Parallel Ecogenotypical Color Variation in Butterflies." Ecology 22: 259.
- HOWARD, H. E. (1900). "Variation in the Notes and Songs of Birds in Different Districts." Zoologist 58: 382.
- HOWARD, H. E. (1902). "The Birds of Sark, etc." Zoologist 60: 416. HUBBS, C. L. (1930). "The Importance of the Race Investigations on Pacific Fishes." Proc. IV. Pacific Sci. Congr. Batavia-Bandoeng, 3: 13.
- HUBBS, C. L. (1934). "Racial and Individual Variation in Animals, especially Fishes." Amer. Nat. 68: 115.
- HUBBS, C. L. (1938). "Fishes from the Caves of Yucatan." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 491: 261.

- HUBBS, C. L. (1940a). "Speciation of Fishes." Amer. Nat. 74: 198. HUBBS, C. L. (1940b). "Fishes of the Desert." Biologist 22: 61. HUBBS, C. L. (1941). "Increased Number and Delayed Development of Scales in Abnormal Suckers." Pap. Michigan Acad. Sci. (1940), 26: 229.
- HUBBS, C. L., and COOPER, G. P. (1935). "Age and Growth of the Long-eared and the Green Sunfishes in Michigan." Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. (1934) 20: 669.
- HUBBS, C. L., and HUBBS, L. C. (1932). "Apparent Parthenogenesis in Nature, in a Form of Fish of Hybrid Origin." Science 76: 628. HUBBS, C. L., and HUBBS, L. C. (1933). "The Increased Growth, Predominant
- Maleness, and Apparent Infertility of Hybrid Sunfishes." Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. 17: 613.
- HUBBS, C. L., and TRAUTMAN, M. B. (1937). "A Revision of the Lamprey Genus Ichthyomyzon." Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich. no. 35.
- HUGHES, A. W. MCK. (1932). "Induced Melanism in Lepidoptera." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 110: 378.
- HUSKINS, C. L. (1927). "On the Genetics and Cytology of Fatuoid or False Wild Oats." J. Genet. 18: 315.
- HUSKINS, C. L. (1928). "On the Cytology of Speltoid Wheats in Relation to Their Origin and Genetic Behaviour." J. Genet. 20: 103.
- HUSKINS, C. L. (1931). "The Origin of Spartina Townsendii." Genetica 12: 531. HUSKINS, C. L. (1934). "Inheritance of Egg-Colour in the 'Parasitic' Cuckoos."

Nature 133: 260.

- HUTCHINSON, J. B. (1931). "A Possible Explanation of the Apparently Irregular Inheritance of Polydactyly in Poultry." Amer. Nat. 65: 376. HUTCHINSON, J. B., and GHOSE, R. L. M. (1937). "Occurrence of Crinkled Dwarf
- in Gossypium hirsutum L." J. Genet. 34: 437. HUTT, F. B. (1938). "Genetics of the Fowl. VII. Breed Differences in Suscepti-
- bility to Extreme Heat." Poultry Sci. 17: 454. HUXLEY, A. [L.] (1937). "Ends and Means." London.

HUXLEY, J. S. (1912). "The Individual in the Animal Kingdom." Cambridge. HUXLEY, J. S. (1923a). "Progress," in "Essays of a Biologist." London.

- HUXLEY, J. S. (1923b). "Courtship Activities in the Red-throated Diver, etc." J. Linn. Soc. (Zool.) 35: 253.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1924). "Constant Differential Growth-ratios and Their Significance." Nature 114: 895.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1932). "Problems of Relative Growth." London.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1935). "The Field Concept in Biology." Trans. Dynam. Development (Moscow) 10: 269.
- "Natural Selection and Evolutionary Progress." Rep. HUXLEY, J. S. (1936). Brit. Ass. 106: 81.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1938a). "The Present Standing of the Theory of Sexual Selection," in "Evolution," ed. G. R. De Beer. Oxford.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1938b). "Darwin's Theory of Sexual Selection, etc." Amer. Nat. 72: 416.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1938c). "Threat and Warning Coloration in Birds, etc." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 430.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1938d). "Species Formation and Geographical Isolation." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 150: 253.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (19394). "Clines: an Auxiliary Method in Taxonomy." Bijdr. Dierk. 27: 491.
- HUXLEY, J. S. (1939b). "Subspecies and Varieties." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 151 105.
- HUXLEY J. [s.] (1940). "The Uniqueness of Man." London. HUXLEY, J. S. (1941a). "Evolutionary Genetics." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 157.
- HUXLEY, J. S., and CARR-SAUNDERS, A. M. (1924). "Absence of Prenatal Effects of Lens-Antibodies in Rabbits." Brit. J. Exper. Biol. 1: 215.
- HUXLEY, J. S., and DE BEER, G. B. (1934). "The Elements of Experimental Embryology." Cambridge.
- HUKLEY, J. S., and HADDON, A. C. (1935). "We Europeans." London and New York.
- HUXLEY, J. S., and TEISSIER, G. (1936). "Terminology of Relative Growth." Nature 137: 780. HUXLEY, T. H. (1853). "On the Morphology of the Cephalous Mollusca, etc."
- Philos. Trans. 143: 29.
- HUXLEY, T. H. (1854). "On the Common Plan of Animal Forms." Proc. Roy. Inst. Lond. 1: 444.
- ILJIN, N. A. (1929). "Analysis of Pigment Formation by Low Temperature." Trans. Lab. exp. Biol. Zoopark. Moscow 3: 183.
- "Farbenveränderungen der Russenkaninchen, etc." Arch. ILJIN, N. A. (1931). EntwMech. 125: 306.
- ILJIN, N. A. (1941). "Wolf-Dog Genetics." J. Genet. 42: 359. ILJIN, N. A., and ILJIN, V. N. (1930). "Temperature Effects on the Color of the Siamese Cat." J. Hered. 21: 309. IIJINA, B. D. (1935). "Osnovy genetiki i selekcii pušnyh zverei. [Principles of
- Genetics and Breeding of Fur-bearing Animals.]" Moscow. INGOLDBY, C. M. (1927). "Notes on the African Squirrels of the Genus Helio-sciurus." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1927: 471.

- JAMESON, H. L. (1898). "On a Probable Case of Protective Coloration in the Mouse (*Mus musculus*, Linn.)." J. Linn. Soc. (Zool.) 26: 465. JANAKI-AMMAL, E. K. (1940). "Chromosome Diminution in a Plant." Nature
- 146: 839.
- JENKINS, J. A. (1939). "The Cytogenic Relationships of Four Species of Crepis." Univ. Calif. Publ. Agric. Sci. 6: 369.
- JENNINGS, H. S. (1920). "Life and Death, Heredity and Evolution in Unicellular Organisms." Boston.
- JENNINGS, H. S. (1929). "Genetics of the Protozoa." Bibliogr. Genet. 5: 108. JOHANNSEN, W. (1913). "Mutations dans les lignées pures, etc." Rep. IV. Int. Congr. Genet. (Paris, 1911): 160.
- JOHANNSEN, W. (1926). "Elemente der exakten Erblichkeitslehre" (Jena). JOHNSON, R. H. (1910). "Determinate Evolution in the Color-pattern of the Lady-Beetles." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 122.
- JOHNSON, T., and NEWTON, M. (1938). "The Origin of Abnormal Rust Characteristics through Inbreeding, etc." Canad. J. Res. 16: 38.
- JOLLOS, V. (1930). "Studien zum Evolutionsproblem. I." Biol. Zentralbl. 50: 541.
- JORDAN, D. S. (1909). "Isolation as a Factor in Organic Evolution," in "Fifty Years of Darwinism." New York.
- JOUARD, H. (1929). "De la variabilité géographique d' Aegithalos caudatus dans l'Europe occidentale." Alauda 1: 111. JOURDAIN, F. C. R. (1925). "A Study of Parasitism in the Cuckoos." Proc.
- Zool. Soc. Lond. 1925: 639.
- KALABUCHOV, N. (1939). "On Ecological Characters of Closely Related Species of Rodents. 3. The Peculiarities of the Reaction of Wood Mice (Apodemus sylvaticus L. and A. flavicollis Melch.) and Ground Squirrels (Citellus pygmaeus Pall. and C. suslica Gueld.) to the Temperature Gradient." Zool. J. (Moscow) 18: 915. [Russian, English Res.]
- KALMUS, H. (1941a). "Resistance to Desiccation of Some Body-Color Mutants in Drosophila." Proc. Roy. Soc. London (B) 130: 185, and Dros. Inf. Serv. 14: 51.
- KALMUS, H. (1941b). Physiology and Ecology of Cuticle Colour in Insects. Nature 148: 428.
- KAMMERER, P. (1926). "Der Artenwandel." Leipzig and Vienna.
- KAPPERS, C. U. A. (1928). "The Influence of the Cephalization Coefficient and Body-size upon the Form of the Fore-brain in Mammals." Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam 31: 65.
- KARPECHENKO, G. D. (1928). "Polyploid Hybrids of Raphanus sativus L. × Brassica oleracea L." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 48: 1.
- KEITII, A., and MCCOWN, T. D. (1937). "Mount Carmel Man: His Bearing upon Ancestry of Modern Races." Pan.-Amer. Geol. 67: 321.
- KENNEDY, J. N. (1913). "Carduelis carduelis bermudiana subsp. n. described." Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 33. 33.
- KERNER, A., and OLIVER, F. W. (1902). "The Natural History of Plants." London.
- KIKKAWA, H. (1936). "Two Races of Drosophila montium." Jap. J. Genet. 12: 137.
- KINSEY, A. C. (1936). "Origin of Higher Categories in Cynips." Indiana Univ. Publ. (Sci. Ser.) no. 4: 1.
- KINSEY, A. C. (1937). "Supra-Specific Variation in Nature and in Classification from the Viewpoint of Zoology." Amer. Nat. 71: 206.

- KIRIKOV, S. V. (1934). "Sur la Distribution du Hamster Noir, etc." Zool. J. (Moscow) 13: 361.
- KLAUBER, L. M. (1938). "A Statistical Study of the Rattlesnakes." Occas. Pap. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist. no. 4: 1.
- KLEINSCHMIDT, O. (1929). "Der Formenkreis Parus acredula (Kl.), etc." Berajah 1929: I.
- KOROTNEFF, A. (1905-1912). "Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse einer zoologischen Expedition nach dem Baikalsee, etc." Kiev and Berlin.
- KOSSWIG, C. (1929). "Ueber die veränderten Wirkung von Farbgenen des Platypoecilus, etc." and "Zur Frage der Geschwulstbildung bei Gattungs-bastarden, etc." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 50: 63 and 52: 114. KOSTOFF, D. (1938). "Studies on Polyploid Plants. XXI." J Genet. 37: 129.
- KRAMER, G., and MERTENS, R. (1938a). "Rassenbildung bei westistrianischen Inseleidechsen, etc." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 7: 189.
- KRAMER, G., and MERTENS, R. (1938b). "Zur Verbreitung und Systematik der festländischen Mauer-Eidechsen Istriens." Senckenbergiana 20: 48.
- KRUMBIEGEL, I. (1932). "Untersuchungen über physiologische Rassenbildung." Zool. Jahrb. (Syst.) 63: 183.
- KUNN, A. (1934). "Genetische und entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen au Ephestia kühniella Z." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 67: 197.
- LACK, D. (1939). "The Behaviour of the Robin, etc." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 109: 169.
- LACK, D. (1940a). "Evolution of the Galapagos Finches." Nature 146: 324.
- LACK, D. (1940b). "Habitat Selection and Speciation in Birds." Brit. Birds 34: 80.
- LACK, D. (1940c). "Variation in the Introduced English Sparrow." Condor 42: 239.
- LACK, D., and VENABLES, L. S. V. (1939). "The Habitat Distribution of British Woodland Birds." J. Animal Ecol. 8: 39.
- LACK, D. (1941). "Some Aspects of Instinctive Behaviour and Display in Birds." Ibis (14) 5: 407.
- LAMMARTS, W. B. (1932). "An Experimentally Produced Secondary Polyploid in the Genus Nicotiana." Cytologia, Tokyo 4: 38.
- LAMOREUX, W. F., and HUTT, F. B. (1939). "Breed Differences in Resistance to a Deficiency of Vitamin B₁ in the Fowl." J. Agric. Res. Washington 58: 307-16.
- LAMPRECHT, H. (1941). "The Limit Between Phaseolus vulgaris and Ph. multiflorus from the Genetical Point of View. Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 179.
- LANDAUER, W. (1937). "Disturbances in Temperature Regulation of Frizzle Fowl, a Consequence of Excessive Loss of Body Heat." Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Thérap. 56: 121.
- LANDAUER, W., and DUNN, L. C. (1930). "The Frizzle Character of Fowls." J. Hered. 21: 291.
- LANDAUER, W., and UPHAM, B. (1936). "Weight and Size of Organs in Frizzle Fowl, etc." Storrs Agric. Exper. Sta. Bull. no. 210.
- LANG, A. (1908). "Die Bastarde von Helix hortensis Müller and Helix nemoralis L." Jena.
- LANG, W. D. (1938). "Some Further Considerations on Trends in Corals." Proc. Geol. Ass. 49: 148.

- LANGLET, O. (1937). "Study of the Physiological Variability of Pine and its Relation to the Climate." U.S. Forest Service Translation no. 293. Washington [tr. of Medd. Skogsförsöksanst. 29: 421 (1936)].
- LARAMBERGUE, M. DE (1939). "Étude de l'Autofécondation chez les Gastéropodes pulmonés. Recherches sur l'Aphallie et la Fécondation chez Bulinus (Isidora) contortus Michaud." Bull. Biol. 123: 19.
- LARAMBERGUE, M. DE (1941). "Races aphalliques et euphalliques de Bulinus contortus, etc." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 185.
- LAWRENCE, W. J. C., and PRICE, J. R. (1940). "The Genetics and Chemistry of Flower Colour Variation." Biol. Rev. 15: 35.
- LEA, D. E. (1940). "Determination of the Sizes of Viruses and Genes by Radiation Methods." Nature 146: 137.
- LEBEDEFF, G. A. (1933). "Studies on Factor Interaction in Drosophila virilis."
- Amer. Nat. 67: 69. LEDINGHAM, G. F. (1940). "Cytological and Developmental Studies of Hybrids between Medicago sativa and a Diploid Form of M. falcata." Genetics 25: 1.
- LEITCH, D. (1940). "A Statistical Investigation of the Anthracomyas of the Basal
- Similis-Pulchra Zone in Scotland." Quart. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 96: 13. LEVICK, G. M. (1914). "Antarctic Penguins." London. LEWIS, D. (1941). "Male Sterility in Natural Populations of Hermaphrodite Plants, etc." New Phytol. 40: 56.
- L'HÉRITIER, P., NEEFS, Y., and TEISSIER, G. (1937). "Aptérisme des Insectes et Sélection Naturelle." C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 204: 907.
- L'HÉRITIER, P., and TEISSEER, G. (1938). "Transmission Héréditaire de la Sensibilité au Gaz Carbonique chez la Drosophile." C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 206: 1683.
- LINSDALE, J. M. (1928). "Variations in the Fox Sparrow, etc." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 30: 251.
- LINSDALE, J. M. (1936). "Coloration of Downy Young Birds and Nest Linings." Condor 38: 111.
- LINSDALE, J. M. (1938). "Environmental Responses of Vertebrates in the Great Basin." Amer. Midland Nat. 19: 1.
- LLOYD, R. E. (1912). "The Growth of Groups in the Animal Kingdom." London.
- LOCKLEY, R. M. (1940). "Some Experiments in Rabbit Control." Nature 145: 767. LOEB, J. (1912). "The Mechanistic Conception of Life." New York.
- LONGLEY, W. H. (1933). "Taxonomy and Evolution." Nature 131: 863.
- LÖNNBERG, E. (1937). "Notes on Some South American Mammals." Ark. Zool. 29: (A) no. 19: 1.
- LØPPENTHIN, B. (1932). "Die Farbenvariation der Europäischen Baumkleiber, etc." Vidensk. Medd. Naturh. Forening 94: 147.
- LORENZ, K. (1935). "Der Kumpan in der Umwelt des Vogels." J. Orn. 83: 137, 289.
- LOWE, P. R. (1912). "Observations on the Genus Coereba, etc." Ibis (9) 6: 489. LOWE, P. R. (1936). "The Finches of the Galapagos Islands in Relation to Darwin's Conception of Species." Ibis (13) 6: 310.
- LOWE, P. R., and MACKWORTH-PRAED, C. W. (1921). "The Last Phase of the Subspecies." Ibis (11) 3: 344. LÜHRING, R. (1928). "Das Haarkleid von Sciurus vulgaris, etc." Z. Morph.
- Oekol. Tiere 11: 667.
- LULL, R. C. (1917). "Organic Evolution." New York.

- LUMER, H. (1940). "Evolutionary Allometry in the Skeleton of the Domesticated Dog." Amer. Nat. 74: 439.
 LYNES, H. (1930). "Review of the Genus Cisticola." Ibis (12) 6: suppl. 1-673.
- MCATEE, W. L. (1932). "Effectiveness in Nature of the So-called Protective Adaptations, etc." Smithson Instn. Misc. Coll. 85: no. 7: 1.
- MACBRIDE, E. W. (1936). "Insect Coloration and Natural Selection." Nature 138: 365.
- MCCABE, T. T., and MILLER, A. H. (1933). "Geographic Variation in the Northern Water-Thrushes." Condor 35: 192. MCCONAILL, M. A., and RALPHS, F. L. (1937). "Post-natal Development of Hair
- and Eye-colour, etc." Ann. Eugen. 7: 218. MACDOUGAL, D. T. (1912). "The Water-Balance of Desert Plants." Ann.
- Bot. 26: 71.
- MCEWEN, R. S. (1937). "The Reactions to Light and to Gravity in Drosophila and its Mutants." J. Exp. Zool. 25: 49.
- MACFADYEAN, W. A. (1940). "Foraminifera from the Green Ammonite Beds, Lower Lias, of Dorset." Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 129: abstr. S.43.
 MACFADYEAN, W. A. (1941). "Foraminifera from the Green Ammonite Beds, Lower Lias, of Dorset." Philos. Trans. (B) 231: 1.
- MACKENZIE, K., and MULLER, H. J. (1940). "Mutation Effects of Ultra-Violet Light in Drosophila." Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 129: 491.
- MCMEEKAN, C. P. (1940-1941). "Growth and Development in the Pig, etc." J. Agric. Sci. 30: 276, 387; 31: 1.
- MALINOVSKY, A. A. (1941). "The Rôle played by Chromosome Inversions, etc." Drosoph. Inf. Serv. no. 15: 29.
- MANWELL, R. D. (1936). "The Problem of Species, with Special Reference to the Malaria Parasites." Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit. 30: 435.
- MANTON, I. (1934). "The Problems of Biscutella laevigata L." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 67: 41.
- MARCHLEWSKI, T. (1941). "Change of Dominance in Canine Colour Genetics." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 211.
- MARHTT, R. R. (1933). "Progress as a Sociological Category." Sociol. Rev. 1933: Jan.-Apr.: 3.
- MARETT, R. R. (1939). "Charity and the Struggle for Existence." J. Roy. Anthr. Inst. 69: part 2.
- MARSDEN-JONES, E. M., and TURRILL, W. B. (1930). "Species Studies in Plants." Rep. Bot. Exchange Cl. 1930: 416.
- MARSDEN-JONES, E. M., and TURRILL, W. B. (1933). "Studies in Variation of
- Anthyllis vulneraria." J. Genet. 27: 261. MARSDEN-JONES, E. M., and TURRILL, W. B. (1938). "Transplant Experiments of the British Ecological Society, etc." J. Ecol. 16: 380.
- MARSHALL, F. H. A. (1910). "The Physiology of Reproduction." London.
- MARSHALL, W. W., and MULLER, H. J. (1917). "The Effect of Long-Continued Heterozygosis, etc." J. Exp. Zool. 22: 457.
- MATHER, K. (1940). "Outbreeding and Separation of the Sexes." Nature 145: 484. MATHER, K. (1941). "Variation and Selection of Polygenic Characters." J. Genet. 41: 159.
- MATHER, K., and DOBZHANSKY, T. (1939). "Morphological Differences between the 'Races' of Drosophila pseudoobscura." Amer. Nat. 73: 5.
- MATHER, K., and NORTH, S. B. (1940). "Umbrous: A Case of Dominance Modification in Mice." J. Genet. 40: 229.

- MATHER, K., and DE WINTON, D. (1941). "Adaptation and Counter-Adaptation of the Breeding System in Primula." Ann. Bot. (N.S.) 5: 297.
- MATTHEW, W. D. (1915). "Climate and Evolution." Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 24: 171.
- MATTHEW, W. D. (1926). "The Evolution of the Horse, etc." Quart. Rev. Biol. 1: 139.
- MATTHEWS, L. H. (1939a). "The Subspecies and Variation of the Spotted Hyaena.
- MATTHEWS, L. H. (1939a). The out-protocol and transmission of the second account and the second account and the second account and the second account and the second account acco crocuta (Erxleben)." Philos. Trans. (B) 30: 1. MAYR, E. (1931-1940). "Birds Collected During the Whitney South Sea
- Expedition. XII-XLI." Amer. Mus. Novit., various numbers. MAYR, E. (1940a). "Speciation Phenomena in Birds." Amer. Nat. 74: 249.

- MAYR, E. (1940b). "Pericrecotus brevirostris and its Double." Ibis (14) 4: 712. MAYR, E., and GREENWAY, J. C. (1938). "Forms of Mesia argentauris." Proc. New. Engl. Zool. Cl. 17: 1. MAYR, E., and RAND, A. L. (1937). "Results of the Archbold Expeditions. 14."
- Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 73: 1.
- MAYR, E., and SERVENTY, D. L. (1938). "A Review of the Genus Acanthiza Vigors and Horsfield." Emu 38: 245.
- MEINERTZHAGEN, R. (1919). "A Preliminary Study of the Relation Between Geographical Distribution and Migration, etc." Ibis (11) 1: 379.
- MEINERTZHAGEN, R. (1921). "Notes on Some Birds from the Near East and from Tropical East Africa." Ibis (11) 3: 621.
- MEINERTZHAGEN, R. (1934). "The Relation Between Plumage and Environment, etc." Ibis (13) 4: 52.
- MEISE, W. (1928). "Die Verbreitung der Aaskrähe (Formenkreis Corvus corone L.). J. Orn. 76: 1.
- MEISE, w. (1936). "Ueber Artentstehung durch Kreuzung in der Vogelwelt." Biol. Zbl. 56: 590.
- METSE, W. (1938). "Fortschritte der ornithologischen Systematik seit 1920." Proc. VIII Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 49.
- MEISENHEIMER, J. (1921). "Geschlecht und Geschlechter." Jena.
- MELLANBY, K. (1940). "Temperature Coefficients and Acclimatization." Nature 146: 165.
- MELVILLE, R. (1939). "The Application of Biometrical Methods to the Study of Elms." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 151: 152.
- METALNIKOV, S. (1924). "Sur l'Hérédité de l'Immunité Acquise." C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 179: 514.
- METCALF, M. M. (1929). "Parasites and the Aid They Give in Problems of Taxonomy, Geographical Distribution, and Paleogeography." Smithson. Misc. Coll. 81: no. 8.
- METZ, C. W. (1938). "Chromosome Behaviour, Inheritance and Sex Determination in Sciara." Amer. Nat. 72: 485.
- MEYER, H. (1938). "Investigations Concerning the Reproductive Behaviour of Mollienisia 'formosa.'" J. Genet. 36: 329.
- MILLER, A. H. (1931). "Systematic Revision and Natural History of the American Shrikes (Lanius)." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 38: 11. MILLER, A. H. (1937). "Structural Modifications in the Hawaiian Goose
- (Nesochen sandvicensis), etc." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 42: 1.
- MILLER, A. H. (1938). "Problems of Speciation in the Genus Junco." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 277.

- MILLER, A. H. (1939). "Analysis of Some Hybrid Populations of Juncos." Condor 41: 211.
- MILLER, A. H., and MCCABE, T. T. (1935). "Racial Differentiation in Passerella (Melospiza) lincolnii." Condor 37: 144.
- MILLER, G. S. (1909). "The Mouse-Deer of the Rhio-Linga Archipelago, etc." Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. 37: 1.
- MILLER, G. S. (1912). "Catalogue of the Mammals of Western Europe, etc." London.
- MILLER, G. S. (1924). "List of North American Mammals." Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. 128: 1.
- MÖBIUS, K., and HEINCKE, F. (1883). "Die Fische der Ostsee." Ber. Comm. Wiss. Unters. deuts. Meere. Kiel 4: 1.
- MOHR, C. L. (1929). "Exaggeration and Inhibition Phenomena Encountered in the Analysis of an Autosomal Dominant." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 50: 113.

MOHR, C. L. (1932). "On the Potency of Mutant Genes and Wild-type Alle-lomorphs." Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 1: 190.
MOLONY, H. J. C. (1937). "Evolution Out of Doors." London.
MOORE, A. R. (1910). "A Biochemical Conception of Dominance." Univ.

- Calif. Publ. Physiol. 4: 9.
- MOORE, A. R. (1912). "On Mendelian Dominance." Arch. Entw.-Mech. 34: 168. MOORE, J. A. (1940). "Adaptative Differences in the Egg-membranes of Frogs."
- Amer. Nat. 74: 89.
- MOORE, J. A. (1941). "Developmental Rate of Hybrid Frogs." J. Exp. Zool. 86: 405.
- MORDVILKO, A. (1937). "Artbildung und Evolution. II. Teil." Biol. Gen. 12: 271.
- MOREAU, R. E. (1930). "On the Age of Some Races of Birds." Ibis (12) 6: 229. MORGAN, C. LLOYD (1900). "Animal Behaviour." London. MORGAN, T. H. (1915). "The Role of the Environment, etc." Amer. Nat.
- 49: 385.
- MORGAN, T. H. (1925). "Evolution and Genetics." Princeton. MORGAN, T. H. (1926). "The Theory of the Gene." New Haven.
- MORGAN, T. H. (1929). "Variability of Eyeless." Publ. Carneg. Instn. No. 399: 139.
- MORGAN, T. H. (1932). "The Scientific Basis of Evolution." London.
- MORGAN, T. H., BRIDGES, C. B., and STURTEVANT, A. H. (1925). "The Genetics of Drosophila." Bibliogr. Genet. 2: 1.
- MORGAN, T. H., SCHULTZ, J., and CURRY, V. (1940). "Investigations on the Constitution of the Germinal Material in Relation to Heredity." Yearb.
- Carneg. Instn., 39: 251. MOY-THOMAS, J. A. (1934). "The Structure and Affinities of Tarrasius prob-lematicus Traquair." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1934: 367.
- MOY-THOMAS, J. A. (1938). "The Problem of the Evolution of the Dermal Bones in Fishes," in "Evolution," ed. G. R. De Beer. Oxford. MULLER, H. J. (1918). "Genetic Variability, Twin Hybrids and Constant
- Hybrids, in a Case of Balanced Lethal Factors." Genetics 3: 422.
- MULLER, H. J. (1925). "Why Polyploidy is Rarer in Animals than in Plants." Amer. Nat. 59: 346.
- MULLER, H. J. (1930). "Types of Visible Variations Induced by X-Rays in
- Drosophila." J. Genet. 22: 299. MULLER, H. J. (1935). "On the Incomplete Dominance of the Normal Allelomorphs of White in Drosophila." J. Genet. 30: 407.

- MULLER, H. J. (1936). "Out of the Night." London.
- MULLER, H. J. (1939). "Reversibility in Evolution Considered from the Standpoint of Genetics." Biol. Rev. 14: 261. R. H. J. (1940). "The Bearing of the Drosophila Work on Systematics,"
- MULLER, H. J. (1940). in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- MULLER, H. J., and PONTECORVO, G. (1940). "Recombinants between Drosophila Species, the F₁ Hybrids of Which are Sterile." Nature 146: 199. MULLER, H. J., PROKOFYEVA, A., and BAFFEL, D. (1935). "Minute Rearrangements
- as a Cause of Apparent 'Gene Mutation.'" Nature 135: 253.
- MÜNTZING, A. (1931). "Notes on the Cytology of Some Apomictic Potentilla
- Species." Hereditas, Lund 15: 166. MUNTZING, A. (1932). "Cytogenetic Investigations on Synthetic Galeopsis tetrahit." Hereditas, Lund 16: 105.
- MUNTZING, A. (1936). "The Evolutionary Significance of Autopolyploidy." Hereditas, Lund 21: 263.
- MUNTZING, A. (1937). "Multiple Allels and Polymeric Factors in Galeopsis." Hereditas, Lund 23: 371.
- MURPHY, R. C. (1936). "Oceanic Birds of South America, etc." New York.
- MURPHY, R. C. (1938). "The Need of Insular Exploration as Illustrated by Birds." Science (N.S.) 88: 533.
- MURPHY, R. C., and CHAPIN, J. P. (1929). "A Collection of Birds from the Azores." Amer. Mus. Novit. no. 384.
- NABOURS, R. V. (1925). "Studies of Inheritance and Evolution in Orthoptera, V." Kansas^aAgric. Exper. Sta. Tech. Bull. 17: 1.
- NASH, T. A. M. (1937). "Climate the Vital Factor in the Ecology of Glossina." Bull. ent. Res. 28: 75.
- NASH, T. A. M. (1940). "The Effect upon Glossina of Changing the Climate in the True Habitat by Partial Clearing of Vegetation." Bull. ent. Res. 31:60.
- NEEDHAM, J. (1931). "Chemical Embryology." Cambridge. NEEDHAM, J. (1937). "Integrative Levels: a Revaluation of the Idea of Progress. The Herbert Spencer Lecture." Oxford. NEEDHAM, J. (1938). "Contributions of Chemical Physiology to the Problem
- of Reversibility in Evolution." Biol. Rev. 13: 225.
- NEEDHAM, J., HUXLEY, J. S., and LERNER, I. M. (1941). "Terminology of Relative Growth-Rates." Nature 148: 225. NEEDHAM, J., and LERNER, I. M. (1941). "Terminology of Relative Growth-
- Rates." Nature 146: 618.
- NICHOLSON, A. J. (1933). "The Balance of Animal Populations." J. Anim. Ecol. 2: 112.
- NICHOLSON, E. M., and FISHER, J. (1940). "A Bird Census of St. Kilda, 1939." Brit. Birds 34: 29. NILSSON, N. H. (1930). "Synthetische Bastardierungsversuche in der Gattung
- Salix." Lunds Univ. Arsskrift (N.F.) Avd. 2, 27: no. 4.
- NILSSON, N. H. (1936). "Ein oktonärer, fertiler Salix-Bastard und seine Deszendenz." Hereditas, Lund 22: 361.
- NOBLE, G. K. (1930). "What Produces Species?" Nat. Hist. N.Y. 30: 60.
- NOBLE, G. K., and BRADLEY, H. T. (1933). "The Mating Behavior of Lizards, etc." Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 35: 25.
- NOBLE, G. K., and JAECKLE, M. E. (1928). "The Digital Pads of the Tree-Frogs, etc." J. Morph. 45: 259.

- NOBLE, G. K., and VOGT, W. (1935). "An Experimental Study of Sex Recognition in Birds." Auk 52: 278.
- NOPCSA, F. (1923). "Vorläufige Notiz über die Pachyostose und Osteosklerose einiger mariner Wirbeltiere." Anat. Anz. 56: 353.
- NOPCSA, F. (1930). "Notes on Stegocephalia and Amphibia." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1930: 979.
- NORMAN, J. R. (1931). "A History of Fishes." London.
- NORMAN, J. R. (1936). "Zoological Classification." School Sci. Rev. 18: 236.
- OLDHAM, C. (1932). "Altitudinal Range of the Lesser Whitethroat (Sylvia curruca)." Ibis (13) 2: 162. OMER-COOPER, J. (1931). "Species-Pairs Among Insects." Nature 127: 237. ORR, R. T. (1940). "The Rabbits of California." Occ., Pap. Calif. Acad. Sci.
- no. 19.
- OSBORN, H. F. (1897). "The Limits of Organic Selection." Amer. Nat. 31: 944. OSBORN, H. F. (1910). "The Age of Mammals." New York. OSBORN, H. F. (1929). "The Titanotheres of Ancient Wyoming, Dakota and
- Nebraska." U.S. Dept. Interior Geol. Surv. Monogr. no. 55.
- OSBORN, H. F. (1936). "Proboscidea." New York. OSGOOD, W. H. (1909). "Revision of the Mice of the American Genus Peromyscus." U.S. Dept. Agric., N. Amer. Fauna no. 28.
- PALMGREN, P. (1938). "Zur Kausalanalyse der ökologischer und geographischer Verbreitung der Vögel Nordeuropas." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 7: 235.
- PANIKKAR, N. K. (1940). "Influence of Temperature on Osmotic Behaviour of Some Crustacea and its Bearing on Problems of Animal Distribution." Nature 146: 366.
- PARKIN, E. A. (1941). "Symbiosis in Larval Siricidae (Hymenoptera)." Nature 147: 329.
- PATTERSON, J. T., and CROW, J. F. (1940). "Hybridization in the mulleri Group of Drosophila." Studies in the Genetics of Drosophila. Univ. Texas. Publ. (Austin) no. 4032: 251.
- PATTERSON, J. T., and MULLER, H. J. (1930). "Are 'Progressive' Mutations Produced by X-Rays?" Genetics 15: 495.
- PATTERSON, J. T., STONE, W., and GRIFFIN, A. B. (1940). "Evolution of the virilis Group in Drosophila." Studies in the Genetics of Drosophila. Univ. Texas Publ. (Austin) no. 4032: 218.
- PAULIAN, R. (1936). "Sur la Nature Génétique de certains cas de Polymorphisme chez les Mâles de Lucanides." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1936: 751.
- PAYNE, F. (1911). "Drosophila ampelophila Loew Bred in the Dark for Sixty-Nine
- Generations." Biol. Bull. 21: 297. PEARSON, J. (1938). "The Tasmanian Brush Opossum: its Distribution and Colour Variations." Pap. Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm. for 1937: 21.
- PERLOVA, R. L. (1939). "Production of an Autohexaploid Solanum vallis-mexici Juz. by Means of its Cultivation at the Pamir." C.R. Acad. Sci. U.R.S.S. 25: 419.
- PFLÜGER, E., and SMITH, W. J. (1883). "Untersuchungen über Bastardierung der anuren Batrachier und die Principien der Zeugung. I. etc." Pflüg. Anch. ges. Physiol. 32: 519.
- PHILLIPS, J. C. (1915). "Notes on American and Old-World English Sparrows." Auk 32: 51.

- PHILLIPS, W. W. A. (1940). "Some Observations on the Nesting of Hemipus picatus leggei, the Ceylon Black-backed Pied Shrike." Ibis (14) 4: 450.
- PICTET, A. (1933). "Formation de la Polydactylie, etc." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 63: 1.
- PILSBRY, H. A. (1912-1914). "Achatinellidae." Manual of Conchology, Philadelphia. Ser. 2, 22: 1.
- PLATE, L. (1913). "Selektionsprinzip und Probleme der Artbildung." Leipzig and Berlin.
- PLOUGH, H. H. (1917). "The Effect of Temperature on Crossing-Over." J. Exp. Zool. 24: 147.
- PLOUGH, H. H., and IVES, P. T. (1934). "Heat-induced Mutations in Drosophila." Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Wash. 20: 268.
- PLUNKETT, C. C. (1932). "Temperature as a Tool of Research in Phenogenetics: Methods and Results." Proc. VI. Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 2: 158. POPHAM, E. J. (1941). "The Variation in the Colour of Certain Species of
- Arctocorisa (Hemiptera, Corixidae), and its Significance." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A.) 111: (in press).
- PORTER, K. R. (1941). "Diploid and Androgenetic Haploid Hybridization between Two Forms of Rana pipiens, Schreber." Biol. Bull. 80: 238.
 POULTON, E. B. (1890). "The Colours of Animals, etc." London.
- POULTON, B. B. (1931). "A Hundred Years of Evolution." Rep. Brit. Ass. 1931: 71.
- POULTON, E. B. (1925). "The Forms of Papilio dardanus Brown and its Models from Marsabit, S.E. of Lake Rudolph." Trans. ent. Soc. Lond. 1925: (Proc.) viii.
- POULTON, E. S. (1937). "The History of Evolutionary Thought, etc." Rep. Brit. Ass. 1937: 1.
- PRATT, A. (1937). "The Call of the Koala." Melbourne.
- PROMPTOFF, A. (1930). "Die geographische Variabilität des Buchfinkschlages (Fringilla coelebs L.), etc." Biol. Zbl. 50: 478.
- PRYOR, M. G. M. (1940). "On the Hardening of the Cuticle of Insects." Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 128: 393.
- PRZIBRAM, H. (1925). "Die Schwanzlänge der Nachkommen temperaturmodifizierter Ratten, etc." Arch. Entw.-mech. 104: 548.
- PUMPHREY, R. J., and RAWDON-SMITH, A. F. (1936). "Hearing in Insects: the Nature of the Response of Certain Receptors to Auditory Stimuli."
- Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 121: 18. PUMPHREY, B J., and YOUNG, J. Z. (1938). "The Rates of Conduction of Nerve Fibres in Cephalopods." J. Exp. Biol. 15: 453.
- PUNNETT, R. C. (1915), "Mimicry in Butterflies." Cambridge.
- PUNNETT, R. C., and BAILEY, P. G. (1914). "On Inheritance of Weight in Poultry." J. Genet. 4: 23.
- PUNNETT, R. C., and PEASE, M. S. (1929). "Genetic Studies on Poultry. VII. Notes on Polydactyly." J. Genet. 21: 341.
- QUAYLE, H. J. (1938). "The Development of Resistance to Hydrocyanic Acid in Certain Scale Insects." Hilgardia 11: 183.
- RADL, E. (1930). "The History of Biological Theories." tr. E. J. Hatfield, London.
- RAFFEL, D., and MULLER, H. J. (1940). "Position Effect and Gene Divisibility, etc." Genetics 25: 541.

RAMSBOTTOM, J. (1940). "Taxonomic Problems in Fungi," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.

RANGER, G. (1941). "Observations on Lophoceros melanoleucos melanoleucos (Lichtenstein) in South Africa." Ibis (14) 5: 402.

REEVE, E. C. R. (1940). "Relative Growth in the Snout of Anteaters." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 110: 47.

REEVE, E. C. R. (1942). "A Statistical Analysis of Taxonomic Differences within the Genus Tamandua Gray (Xenarthra)." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 111: 279. REEVE, E. C. R., and MURRAY, P. D. F. (1942). Nature 150: (in press).

REGAN, C. TATE (1906-1908). "Pisces," in "Biologia Centrali-Americana." London.

REGAN, C. TATE (1911). "The Freshwater Fishes of the British Isles." London.

REGAN, C. TATE (1924). "Reversible Evolution, with Examples from Fishes." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1924: 175.

- REGAN, C. TATE (1926). "Organic Evolution." Rep. Brit. Ass. 1925: 75. REINIG, W. F. (1937). "Elimination und Selektion." Jena.

- REINIG, W. F. (1939). "Die Holarktis." Jena. REINIG, W. F. (1938). "Die genetisch-chorologischen Grundlagen der gerichteten geographischen Variabilität." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb. 1. 76: 260.
- RENNER, O. (1925). "Untersuchungen über die faktorielle Konstitution einiger komplex-heterozygotischer Oenotheren." Bibliogr. Genet. 9: 1.
- RENSCH, B. (1928). "Grenzfälle von Rasse und Art." J. Orn. 78: 222.
- RENSCH, B. (1929). "Das Prinzip geographischer Rassenkreise und das Problem der Artbildung." Berlin.
- RENSCH, B. (1932). "Ueber die Abhängigkeit der Grösse, des relativen Gewichtes und der Oberflächenstruktur der Landschneckenschalen von Gen Umweltsfaktoren." Z. Morph. Ökol. Tiere 25: 757.
- RENSCH, B. (1933a). "Zoologische Systematik und Artbildungsproblem." Verh. dtsch. zool. Ges. 1933: 19.
- RENSCH, B. (1933b). "Revision und Ergänzung der Sarasinschen Rassenkreise Celebesischer Landschnecken." Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 19: 99.
- RENSCH, B. (1934). "Kurze Anweisung für zoologisch-systematische Studien." Leipzig.
- RENSCH, B. (1936). "Studien über Klimatische Parallelität der Merkmalsausprägung bei Vögeln und Säugern." ' Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 5: 317.
- RENSCH, B. (1938a). "Bestehen die Regeln klimatischer Parallelität . . . zu Recht?" Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 7: 364.
- RENSCH, B. (1938b). "Einwirkung des Klimas bei der Auspragung von Vogelrassen, etc." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 285. RENSCH, B. (19394). "Typen der Artbildung." Biol. Rev. 14: 186.
- RENSCH, B. (1939b). "Klimatische Auslese der Grossenvarianten." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 8: 89.
- RENSCH, B. (1930c). "Ueber die Anwendungsmöglichkeit zoologisch-syste-matischer Prinzipien in der Botanik." Chron. Bot. 5: 46.
- RHINE, J. B. (1935). "Extra-Sensory Perception." London.
- BICHARDS, O. W. (1934). "The American Species of the Genus Trypoxylon, etc." Trans. Roy. ent. Soc. 82: 173.
- BICHARDS, O. W. (1938). "The Formation of Species," in "Evolution," ed. G. R. de Beer. Oxford.
- RICHTER, R. (1938). "Beobachtungen an einer gemischten Kolonie, etc." J. Orn. 86: 366.
- RIDDLE, O., BATES, R. W., and OTHERS (1938). "Endocrine Studies." Ann. Rep. Director Dept. Genet., Carneg. Instn. Wash. 1937-1938: 52.

- RITCHIE. I. (1930). "Scotland's Testimony to the March of Evolution." Scot. Nat. 1930: 161.
- ROBB, R. C. (1935, 1936). "A Study of Mutations in Evolution, I-III." J. Genet. 31: 39: 33: 267.
- ROBERTS, A. (1935). "Scientific Results of the Vernay-Lang Kalahari Expedition, March to September, 1930. Birds." Ann. Transvaal Mus. 16: 1.
- ROBERTS, A. (1938). "The Physical Conditions of South Africa and Their Bearing on Ornithology." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 634.
- ROBERTS, J. A. F. (1932). "Colour Inheritance in Sheep, VI." J. Genet. 25: 1.
- ROBERTS, J. A. F., and WHITE, R. G. (1930). "Colour Inheritance in Sheep, V." J. Genet. 22: 181.

- ROBERTS, MORLEY (1920). "Warfare in the Human Body." London. ROBERTS, MORLEY (1930). "The Serpent's Fang." London. ROBSON, G. C. (1923). "Parthenogenesis in the Mollusc Paludestrina jenkinsi." Brit. J. Exp. Biol. 1: 65.
- ROBSON, G. C. (1928). "The Species Problem." London.
- ROBSON, G. C., and RICHARDS, O. W. (1936). "The Variation of Animals in Nature." London. ROTHSCHILD, W., and HARTERT, E. (1911). "Ornithological Explorations in
- Algeria." Novit. Zool. 18: 456.
- ROTHSCHILD, W., and JORDAN, K. (1903). "Lepidoptera Collected by Oscar Neumann in North-East Africa." Novit. Zool. 10: 491.
- ROWAN, W. (1931). "The Riddle of Migration." Baltimore.
- RUBTZOV, I. A. (1935). "Phase-Variation in Non-Swarming Grasshoppers." . Bull. ent. Res. 26: 499.
- RUSSELL, E. S. (1941). "Biological Adaptedness, etc." Nature 147: 729.
- RUXTON, A. E., and SCHWARZ, E. (1929). "On Hybrid Hartebeests, etc." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1929: 567.
- SALISBURY, E. J. (1929). "The Biological Equipment of Species in Relation to Competition." J. Ecol. 17: 197. SALISBURY, E. J. (1939). "Ecological Aspects of Meteorology." Quart. J. R. met.
- Soc. 65: 337.
- SALOMONSEN, F. (1933). "Troglodytes-Studien." J. Otn. 81: 100. SALOMONSEN, F. (1935). "Aves", in "Zoology of the Faeroes," ed. S. Jensen, W. Lundbeck, T. Mortensen. Copenhagen.
- SALOMONSEN, F. (1938). "Mutationen bei Lybius torquatus." Proc. VIII. Int. Orn. Congr. (Oxford, 1934): 190.
- SANDER, F. (1931). "Orchid Hybrids." Sander's Complete List, etc. 2: 226. SANDERSON, A. R. (1940). "Maturation in the Parthenogenetic Snail, Pota-
- mopyrgus jenkinsi Smith, etc." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 110: 11.
- SAUNDERS, E. R. (1920). "Multiple Allelomorphs and Limiting Factors in Inheritance in the Stock (Matthiola incana)." J. Genet. 10: 149.
- SCHAEFER, H. (1935). "Studien an mitteleuropäischen Kleinsäuger, etc." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 4: 535.
- SCHILDER, F. A., and SCHILDER, M. (1938). "Prodrome of a Monograph on Living Cypraeidae." Proc. Malacol. Soc. 23: 119, 181.
- SCHMIDT, J. R. (1918). "Racial Studies in Fishes, I." J. Genet. 7: 105.
- SCHNAKENBECK, W. (1931). "Zum Rassenproblem bei den Fischen." Z. Morph. Ökol. Tiere 21: 400.
- SCHULTZ, J. (1935). "Aspects of the Relation between Genes and Development in Drosophila." Amer. Nat. 69: 30.

- SCHULTZ, J. (1941). "The Function of Heterochromatin." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 257.
- SCHULTZ, J., CASPERSSON, T., and AQUILONIUS, L. (1940). "The Genetic Control of Nucleolar Composition." Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Wash. 26: 515.
- SCHWANWITSCH, B. N. (1924). "On the Ground-Plan of the Wing-Pattern in Nymphalids, etc." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lor J. 1924: 500.
- SCHWANWITSCH, B. N. (1926). "On the Modes of Evolution of the Wing-Pattern in Nymphalids, etc." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1926: 493.
- SCHWARZ, E. (1928). "Stadien der Artbildung, etc." Verh. V. Int. Congr. Vererb. (Berlin, 1927) 2: 1299.
- SCHWARZ, E. (1929). "On the Local Races and Distribution of the Black and White Colobus Monkeys." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1929: 585. SCHWEPPENBURG, H. G. VON (1938). "Zur Systematik der fuscus-argentatus
- Möwen." J. Orn. 86: 345.
- SCOTT, A. C. (1936). "Haploidy and Aberrant Spermatogenesis in a Coleopteran, Micromalthus debilis Leconte." J. Morph. 59: 485.
- "The Embryology of the Guinea-Pig. II." J. Morph. SCOTT, J. P. (1938). 62: 200.
- SCOTT, W. E. (1901-2). "Data on Song in Birds, etc." Science (N.S.) 14: 522; 15: 178.
- SETH-SMITH, D. (1907). "The Yellow-Rumped Finch (Munia flaviprymna), etc." Avic. Mag. (N.S.) 5: 195. SEXTON, E. W. (1939). "On a New Species of Gammarus (G. tigrinus) from
- Droitwich District." J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 23: 543. SEXTON, E. W., and CLARK, A. R. (1936a). "Heterozygosis in a Wild Population
- of Gammarus chevreuxi Sexton." J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 21: 319.
- SEXTON, E. W., and CLARK, A. R. (1936b). "A Summary of the Work on the Amphipod Gammarus chevreuxi, etc." J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 21: 357.
- SEXTON, E. W., CLARK, A. R., and SPOONER, G. M. (1930). "Some New Eye-Colour Changes in Gammarus chevreuxi Sexton." J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 16: 189.
- SHORTRIDGE, G. C. (1934). "The Mammals of South-West Africa, etc." London. SHULL, A. F. (1936). "Evolution." New York and London. SHULL, G. H. (1937). "The Geographical Distribution of the Diploid and Double-
- Diploid Species of Shepherd's-Purse," in Nelson Fithian Davis Birthday Volume, ed. H. K. Youngken. Boston, p. 1.
- SICK, H. (1937). "Morphologischfunktionelle Untersuchungen über die Feinstruktur der Vogelfeder." J. Orn. 1937: 206.
- SIKKA, S. M. (1940). "Cytogenetics of Brassica Hybrids and Species." J. Genet. 40: 441.
- SILOW, B. A. (1941). "The Comparative Genetics of Gossypium anomalum. etc."
- J. Genet. 42: 259. SINNOTT, B. W. (1935). "The Genetic Control of Developmental Relationships, etc." Science 81: 420.
- SINNOTT, E. W., and DUNN, L. C. (1932). "Principles of Genetics." New York.
- SINSKAIA, E. N. (1931). "The Study of Species, ctc." Bull. appl. Bot. (Leningrad) 25: 1.
- SLADDEN, D. E., and HEWER, H. R. (1938). "Transference of Induced Food-Habit from Parent to Offspring. III." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 126: 30.
- SMART, J. (1940). "Entomological Systematics Examined as a Practical Problem," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- SMITH, M. (1938). "Evolutionary Changes in the Middle Ear of Certain Agamid and Iguanid Lizards." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (B) 108: 543.
- SMITH, S. G. (1940). "A New Form of Spruce Sawfly, etc." Sci. Agric. 21: 245.

- SMITH, S. G. (1941). "Cytology and Parthenogenesis of Diprion polytomum Hartig." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 267.
- SNYDER, J. O. (1933). "California Trout." California Fish and Game 19: 81.
- SNYDER, L. L. (1935). "A Study of the Sharp-Tailed Grouse." Univ. Toronto Stud. Biol. no. 40: 1.
- SOKOLOV, N. N., and DUBININ, N. P. (1941). "Permanent Heterozygosity in Drosophila." Drosoph. Inf. Serv. no. 15: 39.
- SOUTH, R. (1939). "The Moths of the British Isles" (3rd ed.). London.
- SOUTHERN, H. N. (1939). "The Status and Problems of the Bridled Guillemot." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (B) 109: 31.
- "The Ammonites of the Blue Lias." Proc. Geol. Ass. SPATH, L. F. (1924). Lond. 35: 186.
- SPENCE, K. W., and YERKES, R. M. (1937). "Weight, Growth and Age in Chimpanzee." Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop. 22: 220.
- SPENCER, W. P. (1932). "The Vermilion Mutant of Drosophila hydei breeding in Nature." Amer. Nat. 66: 474
- SPENCER, W. P. (1940). "Levels of Divergence in Drosophila Speciation." Amer. Nat. 74: 299.
- SPENCER, W. P. (1941). "Ecological Factors and the Distribution of Genes. etc." Proc. VIII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 268.
- SPOONER, G. M. (1941). [Gammarus zaddachi]. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., in press; and 24: 444.
- STADLER, H. (1929). "Die Vogelstimmungsforschung als Wissenschaft." Verh. VI. Int. Orn. Kongr. (Copenhagen, 1926): 338.
- STANFORD, J. K., and MAYR, E. (1940). "The Vernay-Cutting Expedition to
- Northern Burma." Ibis (14) 4: 679. STAPLEDON, R. G. (1928). "Cocksfoot Grass (Dactylis glomerata L.): Ecotypes in Relation to the Biotic Factor." J. Ecol. 15: 71.
- STEBBINS, G. L. (1940a). "The Significance of Polyploidy in Plant' Evolution."
- Amer. Nat. 74: 54. See G. L. (1940b). "Studies in the Cichoreae, etc." Mem. Torrey Bot **STEBBINS**, G. L. (1940b). Cl. 19: (pt. 3): 1.
- STEGMANN, B. (1934). "Ueber die Formen der grossen Möwen (subgenus Larus) und ihre gegenseitigen Beziehungen." J. Orn. 82: 340. STEPHENSON, T. A. (1929). "On Methods of Reproduction as Specific Characters."
- J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 16: 131.
- stern, c. (1936). "Interspecific Sterility." Amer. Nat. 70: 123.
- STIRTON, R. A. (1940). "Phylogeny of North American Equidae." Bull. Dep. Geol. Univ. Calif. 25: 165.
- STOCKARD, C. R. (1931). "The Physical Basis of Personality." New York.
- STOCKARD, C. R. (1938). "Constitutional and Genetic Reactions Associated with the Pituitary Gland." Proc. Ass. Res. Nerv. and Ment. Disease 17: 616.
- STOCKARD, C. R., and collaborators (1941). "The Genetic and Endocrine Basis for Differences in Form and Behaviour, etc." Wistar Inst., Philadelphia.
- STONE, W. S., and GRIFFEN, A. B. (1940). "Changing the Structure of the Genome in D. melanogaster." Univ. Texas Publ. (Austin) no. 4032: 208.
- STONOR, C. R. (1936). "The Evolution and Mutual Relationships of Some Members of the Paradiseidae." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1936: 1177.
- STONOR, C. R. (1938). "Some Features of the Variation of the Birds of Paradise." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (B) 108: 417.
- STONOR, C. R. (1940). "Courtship and Display among Birds." London.

- STOREY, H. H. (1932). "The Inheritance by an Insect Vector of the Ability to Transmit a Plant Virus." Proc. Roy. Soc. (B) 112: 46.
- STOVIN, G. H. T. (1937). "Great Wood, Belfairs, Hadleigh, Essex." Soc. Promot. Nat. Reserves Handb. 1937: 14.
- STRESEMANN, E. (1919). "Beiträge zur Zoogeographie der paläarktischen Region, I." Munich.
- STRESEMANN, E. (1923-1926). "Mutationstudien, I-XXV," in Orn. Monatsb. 31-34, and J. Orn. 71-74.
- STRESEMANN, B. (1927). "Die Entwicklung der Begriffe Art, Varietät, Unterart in der Ornithologie." Mitt. Verein. Sächs. Orn. 2: 1.
- STRESEMANN, E. (1931). "Die Zosteropiden der indo-australischen Subregion." Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 17: 201.
- STROHL, J., and KÖHLER, W. (1934). "Experimentelle Untersuchungen über die Entwicklungsphysiologie det Flügelzeichnung bei der Mehlmotte." Verh. schweiz. naturf. Ges. 115: 367.
- STUBBE, A., and VOGT, M. (1940a). "Ueber die Homologie einiger Augenfarbgene bei Drosophila, etc." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 78: 251.
 STUBBE, A., and VOGT, M. (1940b). "Vergleichende Untersuchungen der
- Wildtypen verschiedener Drosophila-Arten an Hand von Transplantationen von Augenanlagen." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.1. 78: 255.
- STULL, O. G. (1940). "Variations and Relationships in the Snakes of the Genus Pituophis." Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. 175.
- STURTEVANT, A. H. (1912). "Federley's Breeding Experiments with the Moth Pygaera." Amer. Nat. 46: 565.
- STURTEVANT, A. H. (1921). "The North American Species of Drosophila." Publ. Carneg. Instn. no. 301.
- STURTEVANT, A. H. (1924). "An Interpretation of Orthogenesis." Science (N.S.) 59: 570.
- STURTEVANT, A. H. (1937). "Essays on Evolution. I." Quart. Rev. Biol. 12: 464. STURTEVANT, A. H. (1939). "On the Subdivision of the Genus Drosophila." Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Wash. 25: 137.
- STURTEVANT, A. H., and BEADLE, G. W. (1938). "The Relations of Inversions in the X-chromosome of Drosophila melanogaster to Crossing-over and Disjunction." Genetics 21: 554.
- STURTEVANT, A. H., and DOBZHANSKY, T. (1936). "Geographical Distribution and Cytology of 'Sex-Ratio,' etc." Genetics 21: 473.
- SUFFERT, F. (1932). "Phänomene visueller Anpassung, I. bis III. Mitteilung." Z. Morph. Okol. Tiere 26: 147.
- SUFFERT, F. (1935). "Neue Arbeit an den Fragen der visuellen Aupassung." Verh. dtsch. zool. Ges. 1935: 248.
- SUKATSCHEW, W. (1928). "Einige experimentelle Untersuchungen über den Kampf ums Dasein, etc." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 47: 54.
- SUMNER, F. B. (1932). "Genetic, Distributional and Evolutional Studies of the Subspecies of Deer-Mice (Peromyscus)." Bibliogr. Genet. 9: 1.
- SUOMALAINEN, E. (1941). "Vcrerbungsstudien an der Schmetterlingsart Leucodonta bicoloria." Hereditas, Lund 27: 313.
- SVIRIDENKO, P. A. (1940). "The Nutrition of Mouse-Like Rodents, etc." Zool. J. (Moscow) 19: 680.
- SWARTH, H. S. (1920). "Revision of the Avian Genus Passerella, etc." Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 21: 75.
- SWARTH, H. S. (1931). "The Avifauna of the Galapagos Islands." Calif. Acad. Sci. Occas. Pap. no. 18.

- UBISCH, L. VON (1939). "Keimblattchimärenforschung an Seeigellarven." Biol. Rev. 14: 88.
- UPCOTT, M. (1939). "The Genetic Structure of Tulipa, III. Meiosis in Polyploids." J. Genet. 37: 303. UPHOF, J. C. T. (1938). "Cleistogamous Flowers." Bot. Rev. 4: 21. UVAROV, B. P. (1938). "Ecological and Biographical Relations of Eremian
- Acrididae." Mem. Soc. Biogéogr. Paris 6: 231.
- "Chromosome Number, Polyploidy and Sex in the Animal VANDEL, A. (1937). Kingdom." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 107: 519.
- VANDEL, A. (1939). "Polyploidy and Geographical Distribution." Advanc. Sci. (Rep. Brit. Ass.) 1: 45.
- VAN OORT, E. D. (1926). "Ornithologia Neerlandica. De Vogels van Nederland," Vol. II. Leiden.
- VAVILOV, N. I. (1927). "Geographical Regularities in the Distribution of the Genes of Cultivated Plants." Bull. appl. Bot. (Leningrad) 17: 411.
- VAVILOV, N. I. (1940). "The New Systematics of Cultivated Plants," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford. VELIKOKHATKO, F. D. (1941). "Some Materials to the Knowledge of the Bream
- from the Dnieper River." Zool. J. (Moscow) 20: 116.
- VESEY-FITZCERALD, D. (1941). "Further Contributions to the Ornithology of the Scychelles." Ibis (14) 5: 518.
- VOGT, C., and VOGT, O. (1938). "Sitz und Wesen der Krankheiten, Pt. II (1)." Leipzig.
- VOCT, O. (1909 and 1911). "Studien über das Artproblem, I and II." S.B. Ges. naturf. Fr. Berlin. 1909: 28, and 1911: 31.
- VRIES, H. DE (1901). "Die Mutationstheorie, etc." Leipzig.
- VILLES, H. DE (1905). "Species and Varieties; their Origin by Mutation, etc." Chicago.

WADDINGTON, C. H. (1939). "An Introduction to Modern Genetics." London. WADDINGTON, C. H. (1941a). "The Pupal Contraction as an Epigenetic Crisis in Drosophila." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 111: (in press).

- WADDINGTON, C. H. (1941b). "Evolution of Developmental Systems." Nature 147: 108.
- WALLACE, A. R. (1889). "Darwinism, etc." London. WALTHER, H. (1927). "Ueber Melanismus." Iris 41: 32.
- WARNKE, H. E., and BLAKESLEE, A. F. (1939). "Scx Mcchanisms in Polyploids of Melandrium." Science 89: 391.
- "Monograph of the Genus Erebia." London. WARREN, B. C. S. (1936).
- "On the Evolution of Subspecies, etc." J. Linn. Soc. WARREN, B. C. S. (1937). (Zool.) 40: 305.
- WATSON, D. M. S. (1926). "The Evolution and Origin of the Amphibia." Philos. Trans. (B) 214: 189.
- WATSON, D. M. S., and others (1936). "A Discussion on the Present State of the Theory of Natural Selection." Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. (B) 121:43.
- WEBBER, J. M. (1930). "Interspecific Hybridization in Nicotiana, XI, etc." Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 11: 319.

WEIDENREICH, F. (1941). "The Brain and its Rôle in the Phylogenetic Transformation of the Human Skull." Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. (N.S.) 31: 321.

WEISMANN, A. (1894). "Acussere Einflüsse als Entwicklungsreize." Jena. WEISSMAN, A. (1904). "The Evolution Theory." trans. J. A. and M. R. Thomson. London.

- WELCH, D'A. A. (1938). "Distribution and Variation of Achatinella mustelina, etc." Bernice P. Bishop Mus. Bull. Honolulu, 152.
- WELDON, W. F. R. (1901). "A First Study of Natural Selection in Clausilia laminata." Biometrika 1: 100.
- WELLINGTON, R. (1932). "The Value of the European Grape in Breeding Grapes for New York State." Proc. VI Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 2: 208.
- WELLS, H. G., HUXLEY, J. S., and WELLS, G. P. (1930). "The Science of Life." London.
- WENT, F. W. (1940). "Local Reactions in Plants." Amer. Nat. 74: 107.
- WESTOLL, T. S. (1936). "On the Structure of the Dermal Ethmoid Shield of Osteolepis." Geol. Mag. 73: 157.
- WHEELER, W. M. (1910). "Ants." New York. WHITE, M. J. D. (1937). "The Chromosomes." London.
- WHITE, M. J. D. (1940). "Evidence for Polyploidy in the Hermaphrodite Groups of Animals." Nature 146: 132.
- WHITE, O. E. (1926). "Geographical Distribution and the Cold-Resisting Character of Certain Herbaceous Perennial and Woody Plant Groups." Brooklyn Bot. Gard. Rec. 15: 1.
- WHITNEY, R. J. (1939). "The Thermal Resistance of Mavfly Nymphs from Ponds and Streams." J. Exp. Biol. 16: 374. WILLIS, J. C. (1922). "Age and Area." Cambridge. WILLIS, J. C. (1940). "The Course of Evolution." Cambridge.

- WILMOTT, A. J. (1934). "Some Interesting British Sorbs." Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 146: 73.
- WILSON, E. B. (1925). "The Cell in Development and Inheritance" (3rd ed.). New York.
- WINGE, O. (1927). "The Location of Eighteen Genes in Lebistes reticulatus." J. Genet. 18: 1.
- WINGE, O. (1938). "Inheritance of Species Characters in Tragopogon." G.R. Lab. Carlsberg, 22: 155.
- WINGFIELD, C. A. (1939a). "The Activity and Metabolism of Poikilothermal Animals in Different Latitudes." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (A) 109: 103.
- WINGFIELD, C. A. (1939b). "The Function of the Gills of Mayfly Nymphs from Different Habitats." J. Exp. Biol. 16: 363.
- WINTER, F. L. (1929). "The Mean and Variability as Affected by Continuous Selection for Composition in Corn." J. Agric. Res. 39: 451. WINTERBOTTOM, J. M. (1929). "Studies in Sexual Phenomena. VII. Transference
- of Male Secondary Sexual Display Characters, etc." J. Genet. 21: 367.
- WINTERBOTTOM, J. M. (1932). "Studies in Sexual Phenomena. VIII. Transference and Eclipse Plumage in Birds." J. Genet. 25: 395.
- WITHERBY, H. F., and others (1938-41). "The Handbook of British Birds." London.
- WITSCHI, E. (1930). "Studies on Sex Differentiation and Scx Determination in Amphibians. IV." J. Exp. Zool. 56: 149. WOLF, P. (1909). "Ueber Modifikationen und experimentell ausgelöste
- Mutationen von Bacillus prodigiosus und anderen Schizophyten." Z. ind. Abst. Vererb.l. 2: 90.
- WOOD, H. E. (1941). "Trends in Rhinoceros Evolution." Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci. (2) 3: 83.
- WOOD, T. B. (1905). "Note on the Inheritance of Horns and Face-Colour in Sheep." J. Agric. Sci. 1: 364. WOOD-JONES, F. (1936). "The Breeding of the Sooty Shearwater (Puffinus
- griseus) on Tasman Island." S. Austral. Orn. 13: 197.

WOOD-JONES, F. (1939a). "The Forearm and Manus of the Giant Panda, Ailuro-poda melanoleuca." Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (B) 109: 113.

- WOOD-JONES, F. (1939b). "Life and Living." London.
- WORTHINGTON, B. B. (1937). "On the Evolution of Fish in the Great Lakes of Africa." Int. Rev. ges. Hydrobiol. Hydrogr. 35: 304.
- WORTHINGTON, E. B. (1940). "Geographical Differentiation in Fresh Waters, etc.," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford. WRIGHT, SEWALL (1929). "Fisher's Theory of Dominance." Amer. Nat. 63: 274.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1931). "Evolution in Mendelian Populations." Genetics 16: 97.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1932). "The Roles of Mutation, Inbreeding, Crossbreeding and Selection, etc." Proc. VI.; Int. Congr. Genet. (Ithaca) 1: 356.
 WRIGHT, SEWALL (1934a). "Physiological and Evolutionary Theories of Domi-
- nance." Amer. Nat. 68: 24.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1934b). "A Mutation of the Guinea Pig, Tending to Restore the Pentadactyl Foot, etc," Genetics 20: 84.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1939). "Genetic Principles Governing the Rate of Progress of Livestock Breeding." 32nd Ann. Proc. Amer. Soc. Anim. Production (1939): 18.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1940a). "The Statistical Consequences of Mendelian Heredity. etc.," in "The New Systematics," ed. J. S. Huxley. Oxford.
- WRIGHT, SEWALL (1940b). "Breeding Structure of Populations in Relation to Speciation." Amer. Nat. 74: 232.
- YOCOM, H. B., and HUBSTIS, R. R. (1928). "Histological Differences in the Thyroid Glands from Two Subspecies of Peromyscus." Anat. Rec. 39: 57.
- YONGE, C. M. (1936). "Mode of Life, Feeding, Digestion and Symbiosis in the Tridacnidae." Sci. Rep. Gt. Barrier Reef Exped. 1928-29 (London) 1:283.
- YONGE, C. M. (1938a). "The Prosobranchs of Lake Tanganyika." Nature 142: 464.
- YONGE, C. M. (1938b). "Evolution of Ciliary Feeding in the Prosobranchia, etc." J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 22: 453.
- YULE, G. U., and WILLIS, J. C. (1922). "Some Statistics of Evolution and Geographical Distribution in Plants and Animals, etc." Nature 109: 177.
- ZARAPKIN, S. R. (1930). "Ueber gerichtete Variabilität bei Coccinelliden. I." Z. Morph. Ökol. Tiere 17: 719.
- ZARAPKIN, S. R. (1934). "Zur Phänoanalyse von geographischen Rassen und Arten." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 3: 161.
- ZARAPKIN, S. R., and TIMOFEEFF-RESSOVSKY, H. A. (1932). "Zur Analyse det Formvariationen. II." Naturwiss. 20: 384.
- ZIMMERMANN, K. (1935). "Zur Rassenanalyse der mitteleuropäischen Feldmäuse." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 4: 258.
- ZIMMERMANN, K. (1936). "Zur Kenntnis der Europäischen Waldmäuse, etc." Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 5: 116.
- ZIMMERMANN, K. (1941). "Some Results of Genetical Analysis in Populations of Wild Rodents." Proc. VII. Int. Genet. Congr. (Edinburgh, 1939): 332.
 ZUCKERMAN, S. (1940). "Human Genera and Species." Nature 145: 510.

ZUTTIN, A. I. (1941). "The Changes in the Environment as the Principal External Factor in Natural Mutations." Drosoph. Inf. Serv. no. 15:41.

INDEX

SUBJECTS

Abdomen, abnormal, 63 Abmigration, 238 Accident, rôle of, in evolution, 58 Achondroplasia, 553 Activity-range, 238 Adaptation[s], 122, 153 analysis of, 449 and function, 417 ff. and selection, 37 ff. and teleology, 412 as a relative concept, 438 ff. classification of, 419 examples of, 417 ff. in avian display, 425 in desert animals, 422, 433 in instinct, 428 in parasites, 429 in symbiosis, 429 incomplete, 448 induced, 428 internal, 185, 420 interpretation of, 34 ff. interspecific, 419 intraspecific, 419 not necessarily beneficial to • the species, 478 ff. omnipresence of, 412 ff. origin of, 412, 457 ff., 466 ff. passive, 449 perfection of, 447 physiological, 436 regularities of, 430 ff. relict, 477 respiratory, 430 types of, 417 ff. Adaptive radiation, 324, 389 trends in, 486 ff. apparent ortho-Adaptive trends, genesis of, 497 ff. selective determination of, 494 ff. Adjustment, of new mutations, 124 Advantage, selective, see Selective advantage Agamospecies, 166 Aggregation[s], 479 consequential effects of, 554

Alleles, multiple, 65, 79 Allen's rule, 213 Allometry, 127, 178 n., 213, 529, 535 ff. in anteaters, 537 Allomorphosis, 529 n. Allopolyploidy, 45, 340 ff., 451, 478 functional, 143 Allotetraploidy, 87, 141 Altruism, 482, 573 Ancestral inheritance, law of, 152 Aneuploidy, 88, 349, 362 Anisopolyploidy, 140 Antilles, amphibia of, 234 Apomict strains, 408 Apomixis, 45 and "fossil" adaptations, 477 consequences of, 150 in Crepis, 374 Arrhostia, 505 Artenkreis, 170, 179, 197, 241, 404, 407 Atavism, 20 Autogamy, 108 Autopolyploidy, 45, 128, 334 ff., 451 functional, 144 ff. reduction of plasticity in, 143 Autotetraploidy, 87, 141 Autotomy, 418

Baikal, fauna of, 181, 493 Balance, ecological, 101 ff. genetical, 97 genic, 64 ff. of nature, 110 selective, 97 ff. Balanced lethals, 90, 329, 381 Barriers, geographical, 228 to crossing, 287, 298, 369, 372, 385 Bergmann's rule, 212, 283 Biogeography, 31 Biological progress, see Progress, biological Biological races, 166 Biometry, 24, 26 Biotype, 166

Blood-groups, 53 Buffering, of mutations, 68 Butterflies, colour-patterns, 550 Calcicole and calcifuge, plants, 273 ff. California, avifauna, 188 Cave fauna, blind, 453 Cell-theory, 170 Centromere, 136 . and inertness, 139 Certation, 481 Character-gradients, 190, 193, 206 ff., 547; see Clines Characters, allaesthetic, 289 and genes, 19 correlated, 53, 63, 188 ff., 206. 430, 509, 533 mendelian, 62 wild type, stability of, 73 Chiasma-frequency, 137 Chiasmata, function of, 136 Chromosomal isolation, 332 Chromosome-races, 408 Chromosomes, as super-molecules, 49 giant, 331 inert, 339 n. nature of, 86 Classification, natural, 410 Cleistogamy, 107 Climatypes, 275 Clines, 130, 160, 180, 190, 193, 203, 206 ff., 261, 380, 407, 408, 430 ff. altitudinal, 223 difference from subspecies, 226 in degree of interspecific crossing, 355 intergroup, 211 mapping of, 225 nomenclature of, 227 parallelism of subspecific, 215 polymorph ratio, 217, 221 terminology of, 226 Clones, 382, 408 Clutch-size, clines in, 215 Coloration, and climate, 213 concealing and revealing, 414, 483 Colour-variations, parallel, 321 Colour-vision, in mammals, 519 Comb-form, in fowls, 20 Commiscuum, 163 Common ancestry, 398 Community, ecological, 169, 209 n. Competition, and man's evolution, 485 Conjugation, and unfavourable conditions, 84 Conservation, 201 Corn Laws, and plant breeding, 16 Correlated characters, see Characters, correlated Crest, in fowl, 73 Crinkled dwarf, in cotton, 77 Crosses, inter-specific, 114, 117, 146 ff. Crossing, prevention of, in nature, 287 barriers against, 289 Crossing-over, 331 and centromere, 139 and genes, 49 and unfavourable conditions, 85 Darwinian epoch, 391 Darwinism, 13 ff., 475 deductive element in, 21 eclipse of, 22 ff. revival of, 26 Dauermodifikationen, 300, 459 Deficiency, 89 Deforestation, evolutionary effects of, 147, 258 Degeneration, 42, 455, 4%6, 567 Deme, definition of, 203 Development, differential, consequences of, 525 ff. Differentiation, see Divergence and time, 205 biological, 295 ff., 302 geographical, 259 ff., 263 ff. local, 263 ff. physiological, 295 ff., 308 ff. reproductive, 308 ff. Dimorphism, see Polymorphism geographical, 184 sexual, 222 switch-control of, 102 Diploidy, evolution of, 134 Discontinuity, biological, 165, 169 partial, 209, 260 Disharmony, reproductive, 186 Display, 35, 416, 426, 525 n. Distinctiveness, biological value of, 289 Divergence, accidental, see Drift biological, 295 ff. ecobiotic, 228, 271 n., 274, 280, 295 ecoclimatic, 228, 266 ff. ecogeographical, 266 ff. ecological, 228, 265 ff. ecotopic, 228, 268 n., 269, 272, 323

Divergence (continued) genetic, 328 ff. morphological, 296 physiological, 295, 308 ff. with low competition, 323 ff. Domestic breeds, recessive characters in. 81 Dominance, and position-effect, 86 degree of, 72 evolution of, 75 ff. in multiple allelic series, 79 reversal of, 543 Dominant groups, 560 Dominants, selection of, 57 Drift, 58, 101, 155, 193, 194, 199, 204, 242, 259, 265, 362, 380, 384 Ductless glands, morphogenetic effect of, 553 Duplication, 89 Dwarf types, on islands, 121

Ecobiotic divergence, 228, 271 n., 274, 280, 295 Ecoclimatic divergence, 228, 266 ff. Ecocline, 223, 275 Ecological divergence, 228, 265 ff. types of, 228 Ecology, 129 Ecotopic divergence, 228, 268 n., 269 ff., 272, 323 Ecotype, 275, 407, 437 climatic, 275 multiple, 277 seasonal, 276 Efficiency, organic, 489 Élan vital, 458, 568 Elimination, selective, 225 Equilibrium-position, in rate-characters, 74 Eumelanins, 213 Euryhaline forms, 444 Euryplastic forms, 444, 519 Eurythermic forms, 444 Evolution, 13, 68 and speciation, 387 ff. clandestine, 532, 543, 562 comparative, 128, 130 consequential, 525 ff., 543 ff. convergent-divergent, 353 directional, 39 discontinuous, 27 heterogeneity of, 29 ff. irreversibility of, 501

Evolution (continued) non-adaptive, so orthoselective, 523 parallel, 409, 488, 515 parallelism in, 487 rate of, 56 reverse, 502 reticulate, 167, 351 ff. three aspects of, 40 Evolutionary progress, 42, 556 ff. definition of, 559 ff. mechanism of, 562 nature of, 562 past course of, 569 ff. Evolutionary trends, 42, 486 ff. consequential, 543 ff. Existence, Struggle for, see Struggle for Existence Exogamy, 108 Expression, genic, alteration of, 68 ff. of characters, 20 of genes in different environments. 63 ff. single-dose, 73 n. Expressivity, heterozygous, 73 n. Extinction, 201, 484, 505 ff. Eyeless, change in effects of, 69, 122

Fertilization, struggle for, 481 Fin-rays, clines in, 215 Fluctuations, in population-size, 33, 61 Foetalization, 526, 532, 543, 555, 570 Formenkreis, 163 n. Fossils, trends in evolution, 32, 400 Function, concept of, 420

Galapagos, birds of, adaptive radiation, 325 evolution on. 44 fauna, 183, 242 ground-finches of, 290 tameness of birds, 310 Gene, genetic and somatic environment of, 65 Gene-combinations, selection of, 57 Gene-complex, 64 ff. selection of, 122 stabiliscd, 130, 208, 229 Gene-frequency, and population-size, 50 and selection, 60 Gene-mutation, 87

Genera, in taxonomy, 404 horizontal, in fossils, 400 Genes, 47 and characters, 62 ff. evolution of, 132 ff. size and number of. 50 Genetic divergence, 328 ff. Genetic systems, evolution of, 131 ff. Genocline, 253 Genome-mutation, 87 Geographical differentiation, 263 ff. Geographical differentiation, principles of, 259 ff. Geographical rules, 211, 225, 516 Gloger's rule, 213, 257, 433 Gradients, environmental, see Clines in development, 547 ff. Grafting, interspecific, 369, 511 Group distinctiveness, 289 Gynodioecism, 107, 140

Habitat-selection, 469 Haemophilia, 55, 59 Haldane's rule, 146 Haploidy, as normal condition, 135 male, 149 Hawaii, fauna, 183 land-snails of, 234 Heart-weight, relative, 215 Heredity, in Darwin's day, 16 Hernia, cerebral, in fowl, 73 Heterauxesis, 529 n. Heterosis, 139 Heterostyly, 107, 108 Homology, 319 ff., 510 ff., 544 Darwinian concept of, 395 Hooded pattern, rats, 54 Horses, evolution of, 32 Hybrid sterility, 361 Hybrid swarms, 147, 343, 353, 355 Hybridity, structural, 139 Hybridization, 451 Hybrids, nomenclature of, 408 Hyperpituitarism, in dog, 71 Hypertely, 483, 485

Ice-age, and range-changes, 243, 445 and speciation, 146, 165 n., 196, 269 ff., 338 evolutionary effects of, 146 Inactivation of genes, 82 Inactivation theory, recessiveness, 80

Inbreeding, 107, 140 Individuality, 170 Inertness, in Y-chromosome, 138 Infertility, as criterion of species, 164 Inheritance, blending, 25 ff., 55, 151 particulate, 55, 132, 390 Interbreeding, zones of, 255 Interchange, segmental, 90, 198, 329 Intergradation, as specific criterion, 163 definition of. 160 zone of, 209, 211 Intersexuality, 64 Intestine-size, 215 Invasion, double, 255, 284 Inversion, 91, 93, 330, 362 and crossing over, 331 Islands, oceanic, 230, 324 ff. Isolation, 129, 155, 360, 383 n. ecological, 279, 291 geographical, 175 in domestic breeds, 186 psychosexual, 291 Isophenes, 104, 226 Isopolyploidy, 140

Lakes, African, fauna of, '181, 324, 492 Lamarckism, 31, 38, 123, 303 n., 457 ff. experimental testing of, 303 inadequacy of, 457 ff. Lethals, and dimorphism, 97 Lethals, balanced, 90, 329, 381 Life-cycle, and evolution, 137 ff. Lineages, 400, 409 Linkage, and polymorphism, 99

Macro-evolution, 456 Man, evolution in, 399 Mapping, as taxonomic method, 225 Meiosis, evolution of, 133 Meiotic, stage of genetic system, 133 Meiotic system, evolution of, 136 ff. Melanısm, 93 ff. and climate, 105 dominant, 95 industrial, 94 ff., 470 recessive, QS Mendelism, and evolution, 26 Metamerism, -compared with polyploidy, 144 Microchromosomes, 366 Micro-evolution, 456 Micro-race, 202, 406 Microspecies, in Crepis, 374

INDEX-SUBJECTS

Microsubspecies, 201 ff., 406, 408 Migration, across barriers, 229 and fluctuation. 113 and speciation, 231, 294, 379 and subspeciation, 196, 200 Mimicry, 413, 416, 448, 464, 465, 483, 515, 518 Batesian, 449 Mullerian, 321 polymorphic, in butterflies, 101, 122, 159, 191, 217 Mitotic, stage of genetic system, 131 Mobility, and speciation, 155, 239 Modifiers, in evolution, 68 Morphology, comparative, 22 Mortality, non-selective, 16 Mutation[s], 17 and evolution, 115 ff. and recombination, 21 and selection, 47 ff. direction of, 54 genic, SI geographical variation in, 199 homologous, 510, 511 in bacteria, 131 in pure lines, 52 induced, so interaction of, 121 non-homologous, 511 of Waagen, 174 parallel, see Variation, parallel sex-linked, 117 small, 116 systemic, 456 types of, 87 ff. Mutation-rate, 54, 137, 358 and plasticity, 137

Natural Sclection, see Selection and adaptation, 466 ff. and speciation, 384 as originator of adaptations, 473 deduced by Darwin, 14 Ncoteny, 527, 532, 543 Nkosi, antelopes of, 236 Nomenclature, of clincs, 227 taxonomic, 156, 404 Nothoclines, 227

Oceanic faunas, 323 ff. Oceanic islands, and flightlessness, 243 Organic Selection, see Selection, Organic

Orthogenesis, 31, 38, 40, 123, 173, 465, 494, 501, 504 H., 552 apparent, 484, 497 ff. dominant, 510 subsidiary, 510 Orthoselection, 500, 523 Ossicles, auditory, 40 Otocephaly, guinca-pigs, 550 Outbreeding, 107, 140 Overlap, morphological, 160 n. Paedogenesis, 532 Paleontology, 30 ff., 400 and Lamarckism, 37 Parallel variation, see Variation, parallel Paramorph, 408 Parasites, reproductive adaptation in, 316, 429 Particulate inheritance, 55, 132, 390 Pedigrees, human, 20 Phaeomelanins, 213 Phases, 96, 159, 184 Phenocontour, 104 Phenocopy, 434, 512 n. Phenogenetics, 555 Phylogeny, and taxonomy, 399 ff. Physiology, comparative, 30 Pigmentation, clines in, 213 Plasmagenes, 132 Plasticity, 83, 134, 368, 441, 449, 496 and mutation-rate, 137 and recombination, 136 ff. and taxonomy, 394 evolutionary, 83 ff. modificational, 441, 519 of species, 229, 368 of switch type, 74 Pleiotropism, 62 Poikilothermy, 435 Pollination, self- and cross-, 108, 417 Polydactyly, 72 Polyisomerism, secondary, 551 Polymorph ratio clines, 217, 221 Polymorphism, 44, 74 n., 96, 159 n., 189, 217, 383 environmental, 96 genetic, 96 ff., 119 geographical, 184 mimetic, 122 Polyploid complex, in Crepis, 374 Polyploidy, 140 ff., 166, 334 ff., 370, 45I and colchicine, 346 and taxonomy, 402

Polyploidy (continued) compared with metamerism, 144 evolutionary consequences of, 143 ff. in Crepis, 373 in Paeonia, 381 physiological effects, 145 secondary adjustment of effects, 145 Polytocy, 128, 525 Population, and evolution, 60 Population-pressure, 209, 231 Population-size, and speciation, 128, 237 effective, 201 Population-structure, and speciation, 262 Populations, size of, 128 small, non-adaptive evolution in, 58 Position-effect, 48, 85 ff., 330 and mutation, 92 Preadaptation[s], 52, 198, 315, 327, 419, 449 ff. climatic, 445 constitutional, 452 mutational, 450, 454 thermal, 451 Predator-pressure, 187 n., 200 Predisposition, 452 Pre-meiotic, stage of genetic system, 132 Pre-mitotic, stage of genetic system, 131 Presence and Absence theory, 80 Progress, biological, 489, 556 ff. biological, restricted nature of, 564 evolutionary, see Evolutionary progress; 42, 556 ff. human, 566 Pseudo-mutation, 93 Pure lines, in beans, 52 Purpose, in evolution, 576 of human life, 576 Purposiveness, in nature, 412 Races, biological, 166, 297 physiological, 166, 319 Radiation, adaptive, see Adaptive radiation Range, changes of, 231, 243 ff., 445 and differentiation, 261 Range-discontinuity, 241 Range-restriction, and competition, 447 Rassenkreis, 163, 170, 179, 218, 242, 354 n., 374, 403, 404 Rate-genes, 508 concept of, 528

Rearrangement[s], sectional, 89 ff., 93, 139, 333, 361, 457 taxonomy and, 365 Recapitulation, 507, 543 Recessive, universal, 100 Recessiveness, inactivation theory of, 80 Recessives, scarcity of sex-linked, 117 selection of, 57 sex-linked, 59, 117 Recessivity, degree of, 73 n. Recognition, 45 characters, 288 Recombination, 51 and mutation, 21 and plasticity, 136 ff. chromosomal, 133 evolution of, 133 Recombination-index, 137 Refuges, in ice-age, 377 Regeneration, 418 Repeats, evolutionary significance of, 142 of chromosome sections, 89, 142 Repetition, serial, of structures, 551 Replacement, geographical, 174 ff. Reproductive parasites, 316 Reversion, explanation of, 20 Rhio Linga Archipelago, fauna, 184 Rose-comb, 19 Rule, Allen's; 213 Bergmann's, 212, 283 Gloger's, 213, 257, 433 Haldane's, 146 Rules, geographical, 211, 225, 257, 283, 433, 516 Salinity, and size, 215 Saturation, of gene effects, 82 Scotland, indigenous mammals of, 183 Sectional rearrangement, 89 ff., 139, 333 Segregation, asexual, 334, 352 interspecific, 145 Selection, and periodic fluctuation, 111 ff. artificial, 482 artificial, and dominance, 81 destructive, 28 directive, 28 experimental demonstration of, 468 for temperature-resistance, 212 intergroup, 479 interspecific, 128, 478 intrasexual, 35, 481, 525 n., 545, 555 Selection (continued) intraspecific, 34, 128, 478, 483, 508 intraspecific, a biological evil, 484 intrauterine, 481, 525 natural, see Natural Selection not necessarily beneficial, 478 ff. organic, 17, 114, 296, 304, 523 quantitative considerations, 56 sexual, 35 ff., 481, 525 n., 545, 555 social, 479 types of, 125 Willis's views on, 204 Selection-pressure, 117, 230, 324, 447, 514 intensity of, 475 Selective advantage, and intensity of selection, 56 Selective depression, 447 Semispecies, 403, 407 Separation, altitudinal, 270 geographical, 270 Sex, consequential effects of, 545 Sex-chromosomes, peculiarities of, 138 Sex-determination, 64, 97, 148 ff. Sex-differentiation, in frogs, 235 Sexuality, evolution of, 83 Sicherung, doppelte, 108, 417 Sightlessness, and preadaptation, 453 Size, and speciation, 280 Society Islands, land-snails of, 232 Song, biological function, 289, 298, 534 cline in, 215 genetical basis of, 305 geographical variation in, 183, 309 learning of, 306 Spain, camels of, 236 Specialization, 42, 84, 488, 567 in mammals, 490 Speciation, 43 and evolution, 387 ff. and habitat-preference, 254 and intensity of selection, 383 and mobility, 155, 239 as a biological luxury, 389 convergent, 385 different modes of, 170 ff., 382 ff. divergent, 385 geographical, 174 ff. Goldschmidt's views on, 197 modes of, and systematic method, 390 ff. reticulate, 171, 385 successional, 172, 385 types of, 155

Species, as biological units, 151, 167, 160 biological, 274 biological reality of, 151 ff., 167, 169 criteria of, 159 ff. cryptic, 130, 299, 300 definitions of, 157 ff., 167 ecogeographical, 270 immutability of, 390 kinds of, 154 ff.; 382 monotypic, 407 morphological, 409 number described annually, 169 number of, 389 numerical abundance of, 479 Origin of, 153 origins of, 387 physiological, 315 polymorphic, 407 polytypic, 407 properties of, 165 rare and abundant, 32, 197 relativity of, 244 subsexual, 351, 383 type of structure favouring evolutionary change, 60 utility of term, 170 Species-formation, see Speciation convergent, 339 ff. reticulate, 35 ff. types of, 382 ff. Species-hybridization, 115, 146 ff. Species-pairs, 273, 280, 309, 334, 385 in fish, 181 overlapping, 284 ff. Specific modifiers, origin of, 75 Spermatheca, effects of white gene, 80 Stenohaline forms, 444 Stenoplastic forms, 444, 519 Stenothermic forms, 444 Stocks, divergence, 68 Struggle for existence, 14 Struggle for survival, 15 Subspeciation, adaptive, 182, 192 and migration, 196 and mobility, 239 non-adaptive, 193 rate of, 194 Subspecies, 408 biological, 298, 312 chains of, 243 dependent, 210, 229, 260 ecological, 230, 277 Goldschmidt's views on, 197

EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS

Subspecies (continued) independent, 210, 260 local, 33, 198 polyphyletic, 209, 248, 291 regional, 198 Subsubspecies, 202 Succession, ecological, 276 Superspecies (Supraspecies), 179 n., 407 Survival, Struggle for, see Struggle Symbiosis, 312, 429 Synaposematism, 321 Syncytia, 170 Systems, genetic, 126, 359 genetic, partial, 67, 139, 332, 362 Systematics, see Taxonomy comparative, 226, 241 methodology of, 409 minor, 43 Tameness, genetic, in birds, 310 on islands, 243 Tanganyika, radiation in, 324, 492 fauna of, 181 Taxonomic criteria, 390 ff. Taxonomic groups, special types of, 316 Taxonomic terminology, misuse of, 409 Taxonomic units, 407 Taxonomy, 30, 226, 241 approaches to, 390 ff. geographical, 264 history of modern, 390 ff. practical aims of, 156 terminology of, 404 ff. the new, 411 theoretical aims of, 157 Telepathy, 574 Temperature-resistance, 191, 235, 314, 436, 451 Terminology, subsidiary, 157, 163, 216, 405 misuse of, 409 Territory, of birds, 289 Tetraploidy, 87 Threat, characters subserving, 35 Thyroid, and metamorphosis, 553 subspecific differences in, 188 Time, and speciation, 173, 194, 200, 324

622

Topoclines, 223 Tradition, in man, 573 Transference, of sex-characters, 525 n., 545, 555 Translocation, 90, 330, 362 Trends, apparent orthogenesis of, 497 ff. adaptive, selective determination of, 494 ff. evolutionary, 42, 486 ff. evolutionary, consequential, 543 ff. non-adaptive, 504 ff. parallel, 547 unilinear, 172 Trimorphism, 97, 103, 122 Trisomy, 89 Types, prime, 90, 198, 329 Ultracytology, 357 Use, inherited effects of, 17 Useless structures, degeneration of, 476 Variability, 54, 56 and fluctuations, 112 determinate, 548 inherent, 238 Variance, and type of inheritance, \$5 in large and small species, 57 Variation[s], 14, 16, 17 ff. continuous and discontinuous, 23 in allopolyploids, 145 mendelising, 117 oceanic, 325 parallel, 99, 101, 211, 215, 395, 431, 510 restriction of, 516 ff. types of, 46 Vertebrae, clines in, 215, 223 Vestigial gene, change in expression of, 71 n. effects of, 119 Vestigial organs, 455, 530 Viability, 190 Vitalism, 465 Wild type, stability of its characters, 73 Wind, and winglessness, 120 Wing-form, clines in, 215 Wing-length, clines in, 213

ORGANISMS

Abantis levebu, 513 Abramis brama, 273 Abraxas grossulariata, 83 Acanthiza, 214, 433, 516 Acanthopneuste viridana, 444 Accipiter gentilis, 218 Accipiter nisus, 282 Accipiter novaehollandiae, 184 dimorphism, 106 Accipiter ventralis, 184 Achatinellidae, 234 Achillea, 273 Achillea millefolium, 441 Achroia grisella, 302 Acraea johnstoni, 217 Acrididae, 431 Acrocephalus schoenobaenus, 309, 534 Adalia frigida, 548 Adapinae, 515 Aegilops, 345 Aegithalos caudatus, 247, 280 Aepyornis maximus, 506 Acpyornithidae, 506 Aesculus carnea, 341 Aesculus hippocastanum, 341 Aesculus pavia, 341 Aesculus plantierensis, 341 Aethusa cynapium, 278 Ailuropoda melanoleuca, 427 Ailurus fulgens, 427 Ajuga, 277 Ajuga chamaepitys, 180, 267, 398 Ajuga chia, 180, 267, 398 Alauda arvensis, 306, 426 Alcelaphus, 253 Alchemilla alpina, 266 Alchemilla vulgaris, 266 Aleuosmia, 353, 355 Algae, 132, 135 Aloe ciliaris, 347 Alopex, 111 Alopex lagopus, 103, 161 Amblypods, 491 Ammomanes deserti, 462 Ammonites, 172, 507, 530, 567 Amphibia, 503, 505, 545 Amynodonts, 498, 506 Anas platyrhyncha, 239

Anatidae, 240 Anemone, 273 Anemone alpina, 273 Anemone montana, 336 Anemone nemorosa, 477 Anemone sulphurea, 273 Angler-fish, 159 n. Animals and plants, difference in genetic systems, 135 Annelids, number of species, 168 Annulata, 144 Anopheles maculipennis, 317 Anoptichthys jordani, 454 Anser coerulescens, 184 Anteaters, 419, 536, 537 allometry in, 537 Antelope, 492 Antennaria, 376 Anthus cervinus, 180 Anthus pratensis, 180, 266, 272, 289, 306 Anthus spinoletta, 279 Anthus spinoletta petrosus, 266, 272 Anthus trivialis, 272, 289, 306 Anthyllis vulneraria, 356, 441 Antirrhinum, 115, 348 Ants, limits of evolutionary trend, 494 reproductive specialization, 311 Aonidiella auranti, 471 Aphids, 84 winglessness in, 74 Aplecta nebulosa, 72 n. Apodemus, 118, 190 Apodemus flavicollis, 271, 435 Apodemus sylvaticus, 271, 436 Apple fly, 296 Arachnids, number of species, 168 Archaeopteryx, 31 Ardea cinerea, 443 Argusianus argus, 427 Argva fulvus, 462 Argynnis paphia, 98 Aricia, 253 Artemia, 141 Artocarpaceae, 455 Arum maculatum, 439 Arum neglectum, 439 Aster occidentalis, 441

624 EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS

Astyanax fasciatus, 454 Australia, fauna of, 324, 490 n., 491 Avena fatua, 332 Avena sativa, 332 Axolotl, 527 Babbler, 462 Baboon, 501 Bacteria, 131, 301 biological differentiation in, 302 Baculites, 507 Baëtis, 435 Balanoglossus, 23 Balsam, 107 Baluchitheres, 491, 498 Bandicoots, 423 Barbet, 195, 551 Barley, 63, 93 Basidiomycetes, 135 Basilarchia, 253 Bats, 423, 490 subspeciation in, 239 Beans, 52, 118, 130 Bear, 103 Beaver, 488 Bedstraw, 121, 273 Bee-cater, 311 Bec-orchis, 477 Bees, 220 Beetle, ladybird, 548, 549 Beetles, 298, 299, 536, 541 Bell-heather, 278 Berberis, 301 Berlinia, 439 Bird of Paradise, 240, 425, 426, 427 Lesser Superb, 425 Birds, difficult species in, 167 display adaptations in, 425 flightless, 129 genetic tameness in, 310 nest sanitation in, 424 of prey, 424 polymorphism in, 103 subspeciation in, 176 Biscutella laevigata, 337 Bittersweet, 277 Blackberry, 334 Blackbird, 266, 290, 306, 307, 309 Blackcap, 306, 307 Blackcock, 36, 289 Bladder-campion, 266, 268 Bladder-worm, 485 Blight, 198 Bluebell, 514

Boarmia extersaria, 95 Boarmia repandata, 95, 470 Bombina, 246 Bombinator. 246 Bombus. 214 Bombyx quercus, 293 Bonellia, 159 n. Brachiopods, 508, 557 Brachystegia, 439 Bracken, 517 Brambles, 351 Brassica, 350 Brassica oleracea, 347 Bream, 273 Bromus inermis, 275 Broom, 277 Brush turkey, 255 Bryophyta, 135 Buarremon, 199, 242 Buarremon inornatus, 199, 242 Budgerigar, 307 Buffalo, 192, 214, 218 Bufo fowleri, 253 Bufo woodhousi, 253 Bugle, 180, 267 Bulinus contortus, 312 🦡 Bullfinch, 247, 254, 281, 327 Bunting, reed, 278 yellow-breasted, 444 Burnet, 293 Burrageara, 345 Bush-baby, 428 Bustard, great, 426 Buteo, 355 Buteo borealis, 252 Buteo buteo, 282 Buteo galapagensis, 310 Buttercup, 199 Butterflies, 74 n., 96, 262 blue, 285, 290 mimicry in, 122 white, 518 Butterfly, American Clouded Yellow, 98 buck-eye, 244 comma, 445 Small copper, 217 Swallowtail, 191, 217, 225, 278 White Admiral, 253 Buzzard, 282, 310 Cabbage, 347, 350

Cabbage-radish hybrid, 141, 347

Cactospiza, 326

Callosciurus, 193 Callosciurus sladeni, 219, 227 Camarhynchus, 326 Camel, 236 Camelina sativa, 115 Camperiella bifasciata, 299 Campion, 142 Canary, 305 Canidae, 294, 502, 539 Caninae, 351 Canis familiaris, 539 Canis lupus, 540 Capsella, 346 Capsella bursapastoris, 347 Capsella occidentalis, 347 Carabus granulatus, 176 Carabus monilis, 180 Carabus nemoralis, 206, 235, 314 Carduelis cannabina, 266, 306 Carduelis carduelis, 187 n., 194, 281. 200 Carduelis flammea, 212, 290 Carduelis flavirostris, 266 Caribou, 273 Carnivores, 490 Caryophyllaceae, 205 Cassowary, 476 Casuarius, 476 Cat. 103 Siamese, 64, 546 Catastomus, 540 Catfish, Nile, 415 Cattle, 541 Caucalis arvensis, 278 Cave-bears, 506 Cavia, 501 Cedar of Lebanon, 438 Cedrus libani, 438 Celandine, lesser, 110 Centaurea, 147, 258 Centaurea nemoralis, 441 Cepaea, 202, 520, 532, 516 Cepaea hortensis, 99, 161, 291, 516 Cepaea nemoralis, 99, 161, 291, 516 Cerambycidae, 298 Cercopithecus mona, 247 Cercopithecus polykomas, 247 Certhia brachydactyla, 245, 306 Certhia familiaris, 245 Certhidea, 326 Cervidae, 541 Cervus elaphus, 121, 225, 537 Cetacea, 240 Chaffinch, 183, 306, 307, 309

Chalcotheres, 491 Char, 177, 180, 231 Chat, 191 Chelonia, sos Chickadee, 180, 270 Chickweed, 517 Chiffchaff, 278, 289, 306, 307 Chimpanzee, 53 Chloeon dipterum, 435 Chloris chloris, 306 Chorthippus, 516 Chrysanthemum, 348 Chrysolophus amherstiae, 66 Chrysomphalus aurantii, 299 Chub, 348 Cicadulina mobile, 312 Cichlids, 324, 493 Cichoricae, 377 Ciliates, 84 Cinclus, 434 Cinnyris manoensis, 272 Cinnyris zonarius, 272 Cisco, 178 Cisticola, 176, 289 Clausilia, 448 Clausilia bidentata, 246 Clausilia dubia, 246 Cleithrionomys, 118 Cleithrionomys glareolus, 118 Clypeola jonthlaspi, 197 Cob-antelope, 234 Coccinellidae, 220, 550 Coccothraustes coccothraustes, 281 Coccus pseudomagnoliarum, 471 Cockchafer, 312 Coelenterates, number of species, 168 Coereba, 184, 203 melanism, 104 Coereba flaveola, 94 n. Colaptes, 161, 250 Colaptes auratus, 288 Colcoptera, sex-determination in, 149 Colias, 516 Colias philodice, 98, 117 Columba oenas, 310 Compositae, 205 Conepatus, 548 Coracias, 252 Coracias garrulus, 444 Corals, 536 Coregonus, 178 Corixidae, 468 Cormorant, 310 flightless, 243

Corophium volutator, 536 Corvidae. 211 Corvus, 248-9, 262 Corvus brachyrhynchos, 280 Corvus corax varius, 262 Corvus ossifragus, 280 Cotton, 54, 70, 77, 115, 116, 228, 346, 457, 533 Cowries, 177 Cowslip, 275 Crambus, 292 Crataegus, 296, 351 Creodonts, 490 Crepis, 128, 137, 147, 350, 353, 356, 381 speciation in, 372 ff. Cricetus cricetus, 103 Crickets, 298, 309 Crocuta crocuta, 183 Crossbill, 281 Crows, 248, 255, 280, 403 Crucifers, 346 Crustacea, 84, 85, 559 number of species, 168 preadaptation in, 455 Cryptostilis, 467 Cuckoo, 102, 309, 311 egg-mimicry in, 451 Cuckoo-pint, 439 Cuculus canorus, 102, 309 Culex pipiens, 319 Curlew, 281 Cuttlefish, 416 Cyclopes, 537 Cydia pomoneila, 472 Cyllene pictus, 298 Cynipidae, 285, 348 Cynips, 299 Cyperus dentatus, 388 n. Cypraeidae, 177 Cyprinodonts, sex-determination in, 148 Cytisus scoparius, 277

Daffodil, 438 Dahlia, 144 Dahlia merckii, 349 Dandelion, 120, 166, 477 Daphnia longispina, pre-adaptation in, 52, 60 Datura, 90 Datura stramonium, 89, 198, 329 Deer, 484 red, 121, 225, 537 Deer-mouse, 54, 176, 182. 291, 294 Delichon urbica, 279 Deronectes, 247 Dianthoecia, 520 Dingo, 82 Dinosaurs, 446, 506 Dipnoi, 544 Dipodomys, 176, 423 Dipper, 434 Diprion polytomum, 314 Diptera, 142 Dog, 115, 307, 506, 539 allometry in, 539 dominant characters in, 82 St. Bernard, 71 Donax, 520 Drepanididae, 183, 324, 457 Dromaeus, 476 Drosophila, 47, 48 n., 50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 62, 63, 64, 67, 69, 74, 75, 80, 85, 89, 90, 91, 92, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 133, 138, 142, 148, 152, 189, 206, 288, 291, 292, 330, 331, 356, 357 ff., 395, 396, 419, 451, 453, 459, 481, 510, 511, 512, 514, 533, 545 Drosophila, speciation in, 357 ff. wild variance in, 75 Drosophila americana, 358, 367 Drosophila funcbris, 191, 235, 314, 436 Drosophila hydei, 53, 61, 370 Drosophila melanogaster, 68, 70, 71 n. 72, 75 n., 97, 113, 162, 199, 303, 314, 333, 383 Drosophila miranda, 93 Drosophila obscura, 70 Drosophila pseudoobscura, 60, 93, 162, 194, 288, 323, 333, 359, 364, 369, 405 Drosophila simulans, 72, 162, 333, 383 Drosophila virilis, 76, 358, 367 Dryas octopetala, 517 Dryobates major, 280, 445 Dryobates minor, 280 Dryobates pubescens, 280 Dryobates villosus, 280 Dubyaca, 377, 378 Duck, 146 n., 240, 292, 516 steamer, 285 tufted, 239 Echinoderms, 172, 522 number of species, 168 Echinogammarus, 328

Firecrest, 200

Flamingo, 36

Fluellin, 438

Fly, 148

differentiation and habit, 241

Flicker, 161, 250, 255, 288, 354, 403

Barred Plymouth Rock, 450

frizzled, 63, 76, 118, 190, 315

dominant characters in, 81

Rhode Island Red, 450

White Leghorn, 450

Fox, 97, 103, 111, 217

Arctic, 103, 161

Flatfishes, asymmetry of, 456

subspeciation, 177

Flycatcher, 222, 310

collared, 284

Fool's parsley, 278

Foraminifera, 408 Fowl, 53, 59

dominance in, 72

pied, 284 Flying-fish, 542

Fish, 146 n.

Echium vulgare, 107 Edelweiss, 274 Edentates, 490 Egret, lesser, 425 Egretta thule, 425 Eidolosaurus, <o< Elasmobranchs, 544 Elephant[s], 172, 488, 490, 499, 501 pigmy, 121 Elk, Irish, 541 Elms. 227 Emberiza aureola, 444 Emberiza citrinella, 306, 309 Emberiza schoenichlus, 278 Emmers, 345 Emu, 476 Enagrostis, 337 Encyrtus infelix, 428 Ephemera vulgata, 435 Ephestia, 121 kuhniella, 68, 302, 450 Epilachna chrysomelina, 549 Epimachus paradisea, 425 Equisetaceae, 455 Equus burchelli, 217 Erebia, 176, 183 Eremophila flava, 445 Erica cinerea, 278 Erica tetralix, 278 Erithacus rubecula, 36, 306, 309 Ermine moth, 297 Erophila verna, 336 Eumenes maxillosus, 320 Euphrasia, 282 Eurypterids, 560 Exocoetidae, 542 Eyebright, 282 Falco columbarius, 280, 282 Falco peregrinus, 280, 282 Falco rusticolus, 221 Falco subbuteo, 282 Falco tinnunculus, 282, 310 Falcon, 280 Felidae, 422 Felis onca, 281 Felis pardalis, 281 Felsinotherium, 505

Fern, 33, 55, 198 Fiddler-crab, 541

Field-mouse, 435

long-tailed, 190, 271

Filipendula hexapetala, 447

black, 185 red, 103, 185 relative ear-size, 213 silver, 185 Fratercula arctica, 212 Fringilla coelebs, 183, 306, 308 Fringilla coelebs canariensis, 255 Fringilla teydea, 255 Fritillaria, 339 n. Fritillary, greasy, fluctuations in, 112 heath, 195 Frog, 235, 419, 435 relative leg-size, 212 Fruit-dove, 285 Fruticicola lantzi, 315 Fulmarus glacialis, 217, 445 Fundulus, 544 Fungi, 307 Galago, 428 Galeopithecus, 423 Galeopsis pubescens, 341 Galeopsis speciosa, 341 Galeopsis tetrahit, 341, 384 Galerida, 192, 215, 284 Galerida cristata, 215, 284, 445 Galerida theklae, 215, 284 Galium, 121, 375 Galium saxtile, 273

Galium sylvestre, 273 Gallinago, 240 Gall-wasp. 348 Gammarids, 493 Gammarus, 70, 75, 528, 533 wild variance in, 75 Gammarus chevreuxi, 511 Gammarus duebeni, 279 Gammarus tigrinus, 314 Gammarus zaddachi, 315 Garrulus, 176, 179 Gastropods, 543 Gennaeus, 218, 224 Gentian, 177, 273, 514 Gentiana clusii, 273 Gentiana excisa, 273 Gentiana lutea, 177 Geospiza, 326, 356 Geospizidae, 242, 290, 325, 356 Geranium, 280 Gerrhonotus, 214 Gipsy-moth, 191, 436 Giraffe, 421 Glasswort, 276 Glechoma, 108 Glossina morsitans, 440 Glossina palpalis, 440 Glossina submorsitans, 439 n. Glossina tachinoides, 440 Glypta haesitator, 425 Gnat, 319 races, 317 Gobies, 325 Goldcrest, 194, 290 Goldfinch, 187 n., 194, 281, 290, 307 Goose, Hawailan, 421 snow, 184 Goshawk, 218 Gossypium, 115, 116, 228, 533 Gossypium arboreum, 78, 346 Gossypium barbadense, 77, 346 Gossypium hirsutum, 77 Gossypium thurberi, 346 Grackle, 251, 293 Grape, 314 Grass, cocksfoot, 276 rice, 341 Grasses, 108, 337 Grasshopper, 99, 202, 253, 321, 431, 473, 516 flightless, 202 Greenfinch, 306 Ground-finches, 242, 290, 326 Ground-sloths, 490

Grouse, 427 Grouse, red, 176, 196, 266, 271, 278, 293, 521 sharp-tailed, 219 willow, 196, 271, 293 Grouse-locusts, 99, 473 Gryphaea, 489, 506, 508, 509, 514, 515 n., 537 Guillemot, 105, 161, 217 Guinea-pig, 501, 550 Gull, coloration in, 518 herring, 244 lesser black-backed, 244 Gymnosperms, absence of polyploidy in, 145 Gyrfalcon, 221 Gyrinus, 247 Haartebeest antelopes, hybridization in, 253 Habrobracon, 149 Hamites, 508 Hamster, 53, 103 Hare, 246, 266, 271 common, 246, 266, 271 mountain, 246, 266, 271 Harmonia axyridis, 214 Hawfinch, 281 Hawk, 103 red-tailed, 252, 355 Hawk-moth, spurge, 133, 312 Hawkweed, 277, 334, 353, 356 Hawthorn, 296, 351 Heath hen, 201 Hebe, 353, 355, 393 Hedgehog, 490 Helianthemum, 108 Heliosciurus gambianus, 192 Helix aspersa, 520 Hellebore, 447 Helleborus foetidus, 147 Hemicentetes, 281, 286 Hemiccntetes semispinosus, 544 Hemiptera, sex-determination in, 149, 370 Hemipus picatus, 415 Hemizonia, 276 Hemp-nettle, 341 Henicopernis, 223 Heodes, 217, 225 Heodes phloeas, 217 Hepialus, 290 Heron, 103, 443 Louisiana, 425 Herring, 177

Heterodera radicola, 300 Heteroptera, 339, n. 370 Heterorhynchus, 325 Hieracium, 334, 377 Hieracium umbellatum, 277 Hipparion, 502 Hirudinea, 335 Hirundo rustica, 279, 311 Hobby, 282 Homo neanderthalensis, 354 Honey-bees, 220 Honey-buzzard, 223 Hornbill, 428, 536 Hornets, 322 Horse[s], 32, 172, 396, 488, 492, 502, 514, 536 in Nova Scotia, 121 rate of evolution in, 61 n. Horse-chestnut, 341 Horse-tails, 455 House-wrens, 181 Hoverfly, 323 Humming-bird, 232, 426 Hyacinth, 438 Hydranassa tricolor, 425 Hydrocyon, 324 Hyena, 183, 545 Hymenocephalus striatissimus, 177 Hymenoptera, sex-determination in, 149 social, 74, 96 social, and Lamarckism, 461 Hypericum, 330 Hypolimnas dubius, 101 Hyponomeuta, 298 Hyponomeuta padella, 297 Ibervillea sonorae, 442 Ichneumon-fly, 485 Ichthyosauria, 505 Icterus galbula, 305 Ictonyx, 548 Impatiens noli-me-tangere, 107 Insectivores, subspeciation in, 239 Insects, flightlessness in, 453 number of species in, 168 social, selection in, 43, 480, 482 types of speciation in, 322 Io, 431 Iris, 224

Jaguar, 281 **Jay, 176, 179, 18**0

Jimson weed, 89, 198 Jird, 283 Juglans nigra, 437 Junco, 248 Juncus, 108 Junonia lavinia, 244 Kangaroo, 492 tree, 448 Kangaroo rat, 176 Kestrel, 282, 310 Kingfisher, 424 Knapweed, 258, 441 Koala, 283 Kobus, 234 Labyrinthodonts, 504 Lacerta muralis, 283 Lacerta sicula, 200 Lachnanthes, 189 Lactuca, 377 Lady-beetle[s], 210, 214, 220 Lady's mantle, 266 Lagopus lagopus, 176, 196, 293 Lagopus mutus, 111, 196, 266 Lagopus scoticus, 176, 196, 266, 293 Lalage aurea, 199 Lamium, 108 Lamprey, 282, 315 Lamprodilus, 328 Lamp-shell, 567 Land-snails, 223, 232, 242, 543 Land-tortoises, 242 Lanius, 225 Lanius collurio, 252 Lanius ludovicianus, 236, 238 Lapwing, 451 Lark, Clot-bey's, 422 crested, 192, 215, 284, 445 desert, 462 shore, 445 Larus argentatus, 244 Larus fuscus, 244 Lasius niger, 322 Lates, 324 Leaf-hopper, 312 Lebistes, 99 Lemming, (Lemmus), 111, 114 Lemur, 422 flying, 423 Lemuroidea, 515 Lepidoptera, and green pigment, \$17 Leptospermum, 355
EVOLUTION: THE MODERN SYNTHESIS

Lepus americanus, 111 Lepus europacus, 246, 266 Lepus timidus, 246, 266 Leucichthys, 178 Leucichthys artedi, 178 n. Leucodonta bicoloria, 106 Lice. 305 Lilium, so Limenitis, 253 Limnaca columella, 236 Limonium pyramidale, 276 Limonium rariflora, 276 Limonium vulgare, 276 Limpet, 319 Linaria spuria, 438 Lingula, 205, 557, 567 Linnet, 266, 306 Littorina obtusata, 222 Lizard, 200, 214, 232, 283 agamid, 503 Lobelia, 232 Lobiophasis bulweri, 425 Lobipluvia malabarica, 451 Locustella naevia, 306 Loligo. 429 Lomechusa, 467 Longicorn beetles, 523 Loosestrife, 53 Lophoceros melanoleucos, 428 Lophophorus impeyanus, 66 Lophorina superba, 425 Loricariidae, 503 Louse, 305 Loxia curvirostra, 281 Lucanidae, 537, 541 Lucerne, 342 Luscinia luscinia, 246 Luscinia megarhyncha, 246 Lybius, 195, 551 Lybius torquatus, 195 Lycaena corydon, 285 Lycaena thetis, 285 Lycaenidae, 280 Lymantria, 115, 220, 235, 314, 323, 442, 533 Lymantria dispar, 191, 436 clines in, 216 Lynx, 111 Lythrum, 53 Machetes, 427 Machetes pugnax, 102 Mahonia, 301 Maize, 328, 331, 339 n.

Malaria, 317 Mallard, 239 Mammals, polymorphism in, 103 Scottish, 183 subspeciation, 176 toilet-adaptations, 422 Man, 50, 59, 507 as dominant type, 561 ff. blood-group clines in, 219 correlated characters in, 534 evolution of, 526 hacmophilia, 55 population-structure, 61 reticulate evolution of, 354 selection in, 129 taxonomy of, 403 Manatees, 490 Mantis, 102, 485 Marsupials, 324, 423, 490 n., 491 Martin, house, 279 sand, 279 Mastomys, 258 Matthiola incana, 189 Mayfly, 435 Meadowlark, 251 Meal-moth, 68, 303, 450 Medicago falcata, 342 Medicago sativa, 342 Medicago sylvestris, 342 Megapodius, 255 Megascops, 106 Melampsora ribesii-purpurea, 301 Melandrium, 142 Melitaea athalia, 195 Melitaca aurinia, 112 Mellivora, 548 Melospiza melodia, 219, 272 Mephitis, 548 Meretrix, 520 Meriones, 283 Merlin, 280, 282 Merops apiaster, 311 Mertensia, 441 Mesia argentauris, 215 Mesosaurus, 505 Metazoa, number of species, 168 Metridium senile, 100 Micraster, 32, 172, 174, 396, 514 Microtus, 105, 212 Microtus arvalis, 105, 203 Milkwort, 438 Millet, 339 n. Mimidae, 242 Minivet, 289

630

Mistletoe, 200, 308 Mites, mange, 300 sex-determination in, 149 Mocking-birds, 242 Mole, 421, 490 marsupial, 492 Mollienisia formosa, 316 Mollienisia latipinna, 316 Mollienisia sphenops, 316 Molluscoidea, number of species, 168 Molluscs, number of species, 168 Monkey, Colobus, 247 Mona, 247 Monkeys, hybridization in, 253 Mosquitoes, races in, 317 Motacilla, 176, 179 Moth, 93, 106, 120 codling, 472 currant, 83 gipsy, 191, 432 Mouflon, 542 Mouse, 76, 118 hairless, 71 house, 187 n. house, Faeroe, 195 pleiotropism, 62 Mouse-deer, 183 Mullerornis, 506 Munia flaviprymna, 433 Mus faeroensis, 195 Mus musculus, 118, 187 n. Muscicapa albicollis, 284 Muscicapa hypoleuca, 284 Mussels, 431 Mustela, 255 Mustela ermineus, 281 Mustela nivalis. 281 Mustelidae, 281 Myriapods, number of species, 168 Myriarchus, 310 Myrmecophaga, 537 Myrmecophagidae, 537 Myrmica rubra, 322 Myxobacteria, 328 Myxosporidia, 300 Narcissi, 336 Nasturtium, 71 Nasutitermes guayanae, 312 Nautilus, 205 Nemerites canescens, 302 Nemobius fasciatus, 298 Nephrodium spinulosum, 33, 198

Nephrolepis, 55

Nesochen sandvicensis, 421 Nettion crecca, 238 Neuroterus, 285 Nicotiana, 350 n. Nicotiana digluta, 344 Nicotiana diglutosa, 344 Nicotiana glauca, 343 Nicotiana glutinosa, 344 Nicotiana langsdorffii, 343 Nicotiana multivalens, 344 Nicotiana paniculata, 344 Nicotiana rustica, 344 Nicotiana suaveolens, 344 Nicotiana sylvestris, 344 Nicotiana tabacum, 145, 344 Nicotiana tomentosa, 344 Nicotiana trigonophylla, 345 Nightingale, 246, 254, 288, 305, 306 northern, 246 Nightjar, 413, 416 Notharctinae, 515 Nucifraga, 111 Nucifraga caryotactes, 114 Numenius arquata, 281 Numenius phaeopus, 281 Nutcracker, 111, 114 Nuthatch, 219 Nyctea nyctea, 114 Nyctibius griseus, 416 Nyroca fuligula, 239 Oak, 274 Oak-eggar, 293 Ocelot, 281 Oecanthus nivalis, 298 Oenanthe lugens, 191 Oeneis chryxus, 463 Oenothera, 91, 137, 139, 150, 329, 382 Oenothera hookeri. 91 Oenothera lamarchiana, 189 Oligochaeta, 335 Ophrys apifera, 477 Oporabia autumnata, 195 Oporinia autumnata, 120 Oporornis philadelphia, 180 Oporornis tolmei, 180 Opossum, Tasmanian brush, 53, 104, 203 Opuntia, 300 Orchid, 449, 467 Oreotrochilus chimborazi, 232 Oriole, Baltimore, 305 Oryctes nasicornis, 176

Osprey, 282

Ostracoderms, 560 Ostrea, 489, 515 n., 537 Ostrich, 476 Otis tarda, 426 Ouzel, ring, 266, 290 Owl, 103, 106 Snowy, 114 Oxalis, 108 Oxlip, 274 Pachyophis, 505 Pachypleura, 505 Paconia, speciation in, 381 Paint-root, 189 Palaconiscidae, 542 Paleoniscoids, 158 Palmaceae, 455 Palms, 455 Paludestrina jenkinsi, 313 Panda, common, 427 giant, 427 Pandion haliaetus, 282 Pansy, field, 517 Papilio dardanus, 123, 159, 191, 217 Papilio hector, 513 Papilio machaon, 176, 225, 278 Papilio memnon, 97 Papilio polytes, 97, 101, 513 Papilionidae, 262 Paradise, Birds of, 240, 425, 426, 427 Paradiseidae, 240,-425 ff. Paramecium, 84 Paramys, 487, 488 Paratetranychus, 300 Paratettix texanus, 473 Partula, 202, 232 Partula clara, 233 Partula mirabilis, 233 Partula otaheitana, 233 Partula suturalis, 233 Parus, 176 Parus ater, 218, 269, 280, 290, 309 Parus atricapillus, 180, 270, 280 Parus carolinensis, 180 Parus cristatus, 269 Parus major, 243, 255, 309 Parus niger, 272 Parus palustris, 270 Passer domesticus, 94 n., 256, 279, 448, 519 Passer hispaniolensis, 256 Passer monitanus, 279 Passerella, 220, 225

Passerella iliaca, 182, 196, 238 Passerella lincolnii, 238 Passerella melodia, 238 Patella, 319 Pavo cristatus, 427 Peacock, 36, 426, 427 Pediculus, 305 Pedioecetes phasianellus, 219 Penguins, coloration in, 518 Pcony, 381 Peramelidae, 423 Perch, 158 Peregrine, 280, 282 Pericrocopus, 289 Periophthalmus, 553 Perisoreus canadensis, 180 Perisoreus obscurus, 180 Periwinkle, 222 Perognathus, 462 Peromyscus, 54, 96 n., 115, 176, 182, 188, 189, 190, 193, 206, 213, 216, 224, 229, 235, 242, 291, 294, 432, 434, 462, 479 Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis, 225 Peromyscus maniculatus, 188, 189, 217 Peromyscus polionotus, 186, 208, 210, 218 Petrel, fulmar, 106, 217, 445 Petromyzon, 282 Phascolarctus cinereus, 283 Phaseolus, 115 Phaseolus multiflorus, 343 Phaseolus vulgaris, 343 Phasianidae, see Pheasants Phasianus colchicus, 180 Phasianus verSteolor, 180 Phcasant[s], 146 n., 252, 294, 425, 426, 427, 521 Argus, 427 Bulwer's, 425 Impeyan, 66 Japanese, 180 Lady Amherst, 66 silver, 224 Phoenicurus ochrurus, 266, 445 Phoenicurus phoenicurus, 266 Phylloscopus, 176 Phylloscopus collybita, -278, 289, 306 Phylloscopus plumbeitarsus, 245 Phylloscopus sibilatrix, 289 Phylloscopus trochilus, 278, 289, 309, 424 Phylloscopus viridanus, 245 Phytodecta, 548 Phytophthora infestans, 198

Picidae, 211 Picus, 223 Picus canus sanguiniceps, 215 Pieridae, 262 Pig, 189, 481, 542 Pigeons, 450, 496 Pine, Scots, 438 Pinus, 224 Pinus sylvestris, 438 Pipit, 180 meadow, 266, 279, 289, 306 rock, 266, 272, 278 tree, 289, 306 Pituophis, 540 Plagithysmus, 299, 523 Planarians, selection in, 121 Plantago lanceolata, 107 Plantago major, 441 Plantago maritima, 177, 207, 223, 275, 277 Plantain, 107, 441 Plants, ecotopic divergence in, 273 subspeciation in, 177 Plants and animals, difference in genetic systems, 135 Plasmodium nivax, 319 Platypoecilus, 66 Platypoecilus maculatus, 100 Platysamia, 219 hybridization in, 253 Platysomidae, 542 Platyspiza, 326 Plesiosauria, 505 Plusia moneta, 445 Poa alpina, 275 Polecat, Cape, 548 Polygala calcarea, 438 Polygonia comma-album, 445 Polypterus, 544 Pomaea, 222 Pomoideae, 350 Pontania, 304 Pontania salicis, 302 Porpoises, 489 Potato, 336 Potentilla, 337, 347, 441 Potentilla argentea, 347 Potentilla collina, 347 Potentilla crantzii, 347 Potentilla tabernaemontani, 347 Potinara, 345 Prairie chicken, 201, 426 Prenanthes, 377 Prickly pear, 300

Primates, 490, 515, 518, 526 evolution of, 396 Primrose, 53, 222, 274, 313 evening, 91, 189, 329 Primula, 53, 108 Primula elatior, 274 Primula floribunda, 87, 142, 340 Primula kewensis, 87, 141, 142, 144, 340, 347 Primula sinensis, 64, 107, 189 Primula veris, 275 Primula verticillata, 87, 142, 340 Primula vulgaris, 222, 274, 313 Proboscidea, 515 Protozoa, 84, 132, 135 Prunus spinosa, 336 Psettodes, 497 Psylla mali, 296 Ptarmigan, 111, 196, 266, 271, 278 Pteridium aquilinum, 517 Pteridophyta, 135 Pterosaurs, 446 Puccinia, 301 Puccinia graminis, 307 Fuffin, 212 Puffinus griseus, 286 Puffinus tenuirostris, 286 Pulsatilla, 223 Pygaera, 141 Pygaera anachoreta, 76 Pygaera curtula, 76 Pyrrhula pyrrhula, 247, 281 Pyrrhula pyrrhula murina, 327 Quagga, 218 Quercus robur, 274 Quercus sessiliflora, 274 Quiscalus, 251 Rabbit, 195, 511, 532 Himalayan, 64, 546 snowshoe, 111 Radish, 347 Radish-cabbage hybrid, 141, 347 Rail, clapper, 273 king, 273 Rallus elegans, 273 Rallus longirostris, 273 Ramondia, 197 Rana esculenta, 285 Rangifer tarandus, 121, 273 Ranunculus, 339 n. Ranunculus abortivus, 199 Ranunculus allegheniensis, 199

Ranunculus ficaria, 110 Ranunculus parviflorus, 446 Raphanobrassica, 141, 347 Raphanus sativus, 347 Rat, 54, 118, 202, 256 black, 256 brown, 256 effects of training in, 459 hooded, 65, 70 pleiotropism, 62, 63 Ratel, 548 Rattlesnake, 308, 537 Rattus (Mastomys) coucha, 257 Rattus norvegicus, 256 Rattus rattus, 256 Raven, 262 Redpoll, 212, 290 Redshank, 402 Redstart, black, 266, 445 common, 266 Regulus ignicapillus, 290 Regulus regulus, 194, 290 Reindeer, 121 Reptiles, Mesozoic, adaptive radiation of, 493 Rhabdocoela, 334 Rhacophorus schlegelii, 282 Rhagoletis pomonella, 296 Rhamphocorys clot-bey, 422 Rhea, 476 Rhinoceros, 485, 498, 535 n. Rhipidura brachyrhyncha, 103 Rhododendron[s], 273, 392 Rhoe0, 140, 330 Rhyacia alpicola, 198 Rhynchospora capitellata, 388 n. Ribes, 145, 348 Rice-grass, 451 Rifle-bird, 425 Riparia riparia, 279 Robin, 36, 242, 306, 309, 310 American, 290, 310 Rodents, 490, 548 coat-colour, 511 Roedeer, 442 Roller, 444 hybridization in, 252 Rosa, 147, 35! hybridization_ in, 352 Rosa canina, 383 Rose, 350, 351, 519 Rotifers, 84 sex-determination in, 149

Rubus, 147, 334. 351 hybridization in, 352 Rudd, 469 Ruff, 36, 102, 289, 427 Rumex acetosa, 446 Rumex lapathifolium, 137 Rushes, 388 n. Rusts, 301, 308 Sacculina, 485, 558 Sagartia, 313 Snissetia oleae, 471 Salamander, 315, 458 Salicaceae, 455 Salicornia disarticulata, 276 Salicornia dolichostachya, 276 Salicornia gracillima, 276 Salicornia herbacea, 276 Salicornia ramosissima, 276 Salix, 302, 345, 351, 353 Salmon, 315 Saltator, 199 Salvelinus, 177 Sandpiper, 292, 311 Sarcoptes scaber, 300 L Satyrus anthe, 513 Sawfly, 302 Saxicola rubetra, 290, 307 Saxicola torquata, 290 Scale, black, 471 citricola, 471 red, 299, 471 Scardinius crythrophthalmus, 469 Sciara, 148 Scilla autumnalis, 439 Scilla verna, 439 Sciurus vulgaris, 184 dimorphism, 98 Sea-anemone, 100 313 Sea-campion, 198 Sea-cows, 490 Sea-elephants, 484 Sea-lavender, 276 Sea-lions, 490 Sea-squirt, 558 Sca-trout, 178 Sea-urchin, 32, 514, 549 Seals, 490 Secale, 339 n. Seiurus noveboracensis, 355 Senecio, 177, 232, 355 Senecio campestris, 447 Sepia officinalis, 416

Serin finch, 306, 444 Serinus canarius, 306, 444 Service-tree, 198 Shearwater, 286 Sheep, 82, 189, 275, 541 hornless, 76 isolation in, 186 Shelduck, 239 Shrews, 281 Shrike[s], 182, 193, 225, 236, 415 hybridization in. 252 Sicklebills, 183, 324 Silene, 274 Silene ciliata, 145, 336 Silene maritima, 198, 266, 268 Silene vulgaris, 266, 268 Silkworm, 53 Sirenia, 505 Sirex. 420 Sitta caesia, 219 Situtunga antelope, 236 Skua, 103 Skunks, 548 Skylark, 306, 426 Sloths, 490 Snail[s], 295, 431 Snake, 540 Snake, garter, 291 Snapdragon, 53 Snipe, 240, 516 Solanum demissum, 198 Solanum dulcamara, 277 Solanum vallis-mexici, 336 Solenobia, 141 Sorbus, 198 Sorex araneus, 281 Sorex minutus, 281 Sorghum purpureo-sericeum, 339 n. Soricidae, 281 Soroseris, 377 Sparrow, 256, 326 n., 378, 448 fox, 182, 193, 196, 217, 220, 225, 238 house, 94 n., 256, 279, 448, 519, 521 Lincoln, 238 song, 219, 238, 272 tree, 279 Sparrow-hawk, 106, 282 Spartina alterniflora, 341 Spartina townsendii, 146, 341, 384, 451 Speedwell, 269 Spider, red, 300 Spilosoma mendica, 106 Sponges, number of species, 168

Sprosser, 246, 254, 288 Squirrel, 103, 184, 192, 193, 227, 488 red, dimorphism, 98 Starling, 187 n., 424 Stegocephalia, 505 Stegosaur, 485 Stellaria media, 517 Sterna, 279 Stick-insects, 102, 303 n., 459 Stoat, 281 Stock, 189 Stockdove, 310 Stonechat, 290 Struthio, 476 Sturnella, 251 Sturnus vulgaris, 187 n. Swallow, 279, 311, 424 Swallowtail, see Butterfly, swallowtail Sylvia, 176 Sylvia atricapilla, 306 Sylvia communis, 306 Sylvia curruca, 270, 306 Sylviinae, 307 Synagris cornuta, 321 Synentognathi, 158 Synodontis batensoda, 415 Syrphus, 323

Tachyeres, 285 Tadorna tadorna, 239 Tamandua, 537 Tapeworm, 558 Taraxacum, 120, 137, 147, 166, 375, 477 Tarweeds, 276 Teal, 238 Teleosts, 544 Termites, 312, 482, 491, 554 Terns, 279 Tetranychus opuntiae, 300 Thamnobia, 242 Thamnophis ordinoides, 291 Thera juniperata, 185 Thrasher, 421, 452 Thrush, song, 290, 306 water, 355 Thrushes, 290 Thyme, 198 Thymus serpyllum, 198 Thysanoptera, sex-determination in, 149 Tit, 176 cole, 218, 269, 280, 290, 309 crested, 269, 270

Tylenchus dipsaci, 300 Tit (continued) Tympanuchus cupido, 201, 426 great, 243, 309 long-tailed, 247, 254, 280 Typotheres, 491 marsh, 270, 289, 290 willow, 270, 280, 289, 290 Uca, 541 Titanotheres, 172, 488, 491, 495, 507, Ulmus, 227 508, 515, 534 Ungulates, 490 Titanotheria, 507 Toad, fire-bellicd, 246 Uredineae, 301 Uria aalge, 105, 161 Toads. 253 Tobacco, 118, 350 n. preadaptation in, 52 Tomatocs, 337 Venusia veniculata, 415 Vermivora, 251, 254 Totanus hypoleucus, 311 Veronica, 280, 393 Toxostoma, 421, 452 Veronica hybrida, 269 Tradescantia, 338, 375 Veronica spicata, 269 Tragelaphus spekii, 236 Vertebrates, number of species, 168 Tragulus, 183 Vetch, kidney, 356, 441 Tree-creeper, 245, 284, 306 Viola, 108, 109, 353 -cricket, 298 Viola kitaibeliana, 336, 349 -frog, 282, 292 Viola tricolor, 517 -heath, 232 Viper's bugloss, 107 Trichogramma, 311 Viruses, 131 Trichoniscus, 314, 336 Viscum album, 308 Trichosurus, vulpecula, 104, 203 Vitis labrusca, 314 Tridacna, 429 Trilobites, 508, 560 Vole, 53, 105, 118 Vulpes, 111 Trimetropis, 253 Vulpes fulva, 103, 185 Tringa totanus, 403 Triticum spelta, 332 Vulpes vulpes, 185 Triticum timopheevi, 345 Triticum vulgare, 145, 332 Wagtail, 176, 179 Troglodytes, 181, 223 Walnut, 437 Troglodytes musculus, 225 Warbler, grasshopper, 306 Troglodytes troglodytes, 212 sedge, 309, 534 Troglodytes t. hirtensis, 160, 176, 309 willow, 278, 289, 309, 424 Troglodytes t. zetlandicus, 176, 309 wood, 289 Trogons, 426 Warblers, 180, 245, 251, 307 Trout, 178, 315 Wasp, chalcid, 299 Truncatinella britannica, 196 Water-boatman, 468 Truncatinella rivierana, 197 Trypoxylon, 321 -flea, 118 -snail, 236 Tulipa, 143, 378 Waxbell, 278 speciation in, 378 Wax-moth, 303, 459 Tulips, 336, 519 Wcasels, 255, 281 Turbinella pirum, 177 Turdus, 290 Weeds, 278 Whales, 240, 489 Turdus ericetorum, 306 Turdus merula, 266, 290, 306, 309 whalebone, 493 Wheat, 308, 345 Turdus migratorius, 290, 310 Turdus philomelus, 290 einkorn, 345 Whimbrel, 281 Turdus torquatus, 266, 290 Whinchat, 290, 307 Turritelites, 508 Twite, 266 White Admiral, 253

636

INDEX-ORGANISMS

White-eye, 179, 255, 272 Whitefish, 178 Whitethroat, 306, 307 lesser, 270, 306 Willows, 302, 345, 351, 353, 455 Wolf, 115, 307, 540 marsupial, 492 Wood-anemone, 477 Woodlouse, 314 Wood-mouse, 225 Woodpecker, 223, 424 coloration in, 518 downy, 280 greater spotted, 280, 445 hairy, 280 lesser spotted, 280 Wood-wasp, 429 Worms, number of species, 168 Wren, 212, 223, 225, 309, 424 Fair Isle. 202 St. Kilda, 160, 176, 309 Shetland, 176, 309

Wryneck, 413

Xenarthra, 490 Xenotis megalotis, 442 Xiphophorus, 66, 101

Yeast, 121 Yellowhammer, 306, 309

Zebra, 217, 547 Zeuglodon, 505 Zoarces viviparus, 223 Zonotrichia, speciation in, 378 Zonotrichia capensis, 326 n. Zosterops, 179, 200, 255 Zosterops senegalensis, 272 Zosterops virens, 272 Zygaena filipendula, 293

AUTHORITIES

Adams, 431 Aldrich and Nutt, 214 Alexander, 443 Allan, 147, 167, 258, 352, 353, 355, 408 Allcc, 479 Allen, 211, 213, 250, 394 Alpatov, 220 Altenburg and Muller, 512 Anderson, 224, 338 Anon., 520 Arkell, 409, 509 Arkell and Moy-Thomas, 409 Babcock, 344 Babcock and Stebbins, 353, 372 Badenhuizen, 337 Baily, 236, 308 Baker, Stuart, 224, 252, 451 Bakewell, 186 Baldwin, 17, 114, 296, 304, 523 Ball, 431 Banks, 253, 264 Banta, 52, 85, 118 Banta and Wood, 52 Barber, 381 Barbour and Shreve, 234 Barrett-Hamilton and Hinton, 271 Barrows, 76 Barton-Wright, 437 Bates, G. L., 193, 214 Bates, H.W., 465, 497 Bates, R. W., Riddle, and Lahr, 450 Bateson, 23, 24, 28, 167, 169, 198, 250, 251, 252, 520 Bateson and Batcson, 160 Bather, 508 Baur, 53, 64, 115 Beadle and Cragg, 279 Beauchamp and Ullyett, 121 Beebc, 218, 224, 310 de Beer, 127, 527, 532, 538, 555 Benedict, 55 Benedict (F. G.), Landauer, and Fox, 118 Benson, 272 Bent, 281 Bequaert, 321

Berg, L. S., 328, 497 n. Berg, R. L., 71, 83. 113 Bergmann, 211, 212 Bergson, 28, 457, 475 Biddle, 72 Bird and Bird, 221 Blair, A. P., 253, 292 Blair, R. H., and Tucker, 425 Blakeslee, 89, 330 Blakeslee, Bergner and Avery, 198, 330 Blakeslee and Fox, 53 Böker, 421 Bolk, 526, 555 Bonnevic, 62 Bonnier, 441 Borgström, 109 Bowater, 72 n. Brewer, 573 Bridges, 360 Brierley, 69 Broom, 568 n. Brough, 515 Brunn, 542 Buchner, 429 Buck, 236 Bumpus, 448 Burkitt, 36 Butler, 287, 544 Butler, Samuel, 458 Buxton, 305, 327, 422, 433 Buzzati-Traverso, 203 Caesalpinus, 263

Calman, 399 Cameron, 425 Carothers, 253 Carpenter, 217, 236, 414 Carpenter and Ford, 416, 464, 515 Castle, 82, 525, 532 Castle and Nachtsheim, 511 Castle and Pincus, 65, 70 Cesnola, 448 Champy, 536, 541 Chapman, A., 236 Chapman, F. M., 158, 160, 163, 180, 199, 232, 242, 251, 270, 326 n., 378 Chapman and Griscom, 181, 223, 225 Cheesman and Hinton, 283 Chevais, 177, 536 Childe, Gordon, 566 Christoff, 347 Christy, C., 192, 214 Christy, Miller, 274 Clancy, 403 Clark, W. E. Le Gros, 396, 515 Clausen, 349 Clausen, Keck and Hiesey, 276, 437, **44**I Cleland. 91 Clements, 441 Coleman, 467 Collins. 63 Collins, Hollingshead and Avery, 350 Colman, 222 Cornes, 415 Correns, 24 Cott, 102, 414 ff., 432, 464, 468 Cowan, 392 Crampton, 232, 233 Crane and Thomas, 334, 352 Crew, 459 Crew and Lamy, 70 Crew and Mirskaia, 71 Crosby, 222, 313 Cross, 103 Cuvier, 391 Dale, 212, 423 Danis, 215, 223 Danser, 163 Darling, 103, 480 Darlington, 48, 67, 84, 88, 126, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 140, 141 n., 144, 145, 150, 152, 328, 330, 331, 334, 335, 336, 340, 341, 350, 352, 362, 378, 382, 477 Darlington and Upcott, 329, 339 n. Darwin, 15, 23, 27, 30, 35, 55, 107, 125, 127, 128, 153, 186, 204, 387, 390, 412, 416, 430, 533, 555 Davenport, 52, 85 Dementiev, 223, 245, 252 Desselberger, 551 Dewar and Finn, 189, 242 Dice, 182, 189, 225, 291, 294, 432 Dice and Blossom, 36, 188 Dickson, 472 Diver, 99, 167, 202, 291, 292, 322, 443, 520

Dobzhansky, 54, 55, 58, 60, 80, 86, 91, 92, 93, 115, 117, 131, 162, 163, 167, 194, 202, 214, 220, 262, 293, 323, 328, 338, 344, 345, 357, 358, 359, 406, 410, 533 Dobzhansky and Tan, 91, 93, 330, 364 Dollo, soi Donisthorpe, 413 n. Donovan, 253 Doob. 557 Dowdeswell, Fisher and Ford, 410 Dubinin, 75, 117, 118, 363 Dubois, 539 'Duke, 300 Dunbar, 255 Dunn and Landauer, 76 Dürken, 459 Edinger, 506 Eigenmann, 453, 454 Eimer, 394 Eker, 64 Ellers, 176 Eloff. 314 Elton, 15, 103, 110 ff., 169, 187, 209 n. Eltringham, 191, 217 Emerson, 312, 419, 482 n., 491, 554 Endrödi, 176 Engels, 421, 452 Eslick, 320 Evang, 70 Evans and Vevers, 195 Everett, 578 Fabergé, 337 Fantham, Porter and Richardson, 300 Federley, 76, 348 Fernald, 376 Finn, 315

Fernald, 370 Finn, 315 Fischer-Piette, 319 Fisher, J., 202, 217, 269, 310, 445 Fisher, J., and Waterston, 445, 480 Fisher, R. A., 21, 26, 27, 28, 33, 55, 56, 57, 58 n., 72, 75, 77, 79, 81, 83, 94, 97, 99, 116, 123, 124, 127, 128, 129, 152, 204, 260, 463, 465, 473, 474, 509, 517, 531 Fisher and Ford, 33, 127 Fisher, Ford and Huxley, 53 Fitch, 214, 291 Fleming and Snyder, 219 Forbes, 244

Gulick, J. T., 232, 234, 394 Ford, E. B., 58 n., 62 n., 70, 80, Gustafsson, 93 82, 83, 85, 94, 95, 96, 100, 101, 103, 105, 106, 112, 123, 124, 217, Guyer, 458 222, 293, 393, 470, 513, 516, 518, 521, 531 n., 533, 552 Ford and Ford, 112 Hackett, 317 Ford and Huxley, 74, 527, 528, 531, 533 Hackett and Missiroli, 317 Haecker, 546, 547, 553 Formosov, 114, 279 Hagedoorn and Hagedoorn, 202 Fox and Wingfield, 273 Fox, D. L., and Pantin, 100 Hagerup, 337 Fox, H. M., 273, 435 Hakluyt, 263 Frankel, 393 Haldane, 15, 21, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 53, Fries, 177 55, 56, 58, 79, 82, 100, 112, 117, 119, 123, 127, 128, 129, 189, 190, 219, 337, 361, 396, 463, 475, 482, 484, Galton, 24, 151, 152 494 n., 506, 508, 509, 511, 525, Garner and Allard, 52 526, 527, 531, 555, 565, 570, 573 Hall, A. D., 378 Garrett, 233 Hall, E. R., 217, 255 Garstang, 532, 562 n. Hamilton, 234 Gates, 121, 199, 354 n. Hammond, 541, 542 Gause, 121, 279, 548 Gause and Smaragdova, 315 Hardy, 94 n. Harland, 54, 70, 77, 78, 79, 346 Gerard, 263 Harland and Atteck, 79 Gerould, 98, 117 Gershenson, 481 Harms, 553 Gesner, 263 Harrison, H. S., 534 Ghigi, 224 Harrison, J.W. H., 94, 95, 120, 195, 458 Harrison, W. H., 302, 304 Gidley, 487 Harrison and Carter, 253 Gilmour, 342, 390, 399, 401 Gilmour and Gregor, 203 Hartert, 176, 239, 245, 248 11., 249 Gladkov, 218 Hasbrouck, 106 Glass, 121 Hasebrock, 94 Hawkins, 32, 38, 172, 567 Gloger, 211, 213, 393 Goethe, 391 Hays, 59 Heinroth, 306, 425 Goldschmidt, 53, 74, 117, 127, 128, Heinroth and Heinroth, 290, 310 162, 191, 197, 202, 211, 215, 216, 220, 434, 436, 455, 456, 497, 506, Herbst, 458 Herrick, 310 512 n., 527, 528, 529, 531, 533, Hersh, 535 543, 552, 553 Goldschmidt and Fischer, 98 Herzberg and Massler, 536 Hesse, 168, 179, 213, 419 Gonzalez, 69 Goodspeed, 344 Hesse, Allee, and Schmidt, 129, 430, 486 Gordon, C., 75, 117 Hewitson, 159 Gordon, C. and F., 545 Hildebrand, 107 Gordon, C., and Sang, 63 Hile, 178 Hill, A. W., 308 Gordon, M., 66, 71, 100 Gowen and Gay, 138 Hill, J. E., 462 Graves, 534 Hill, Osman, 428 Gregor, 177, 223, 275, 276, 277 Hinton, 191, 256, 258, 271, 281 Hogben, 20, 27, 285, 313, 475 Gregory, 487, 501, 502, 551 Grinnell, 176, 188, 189, 192, 226 Holmes, 416 Hornell, 177, 520 Gross, 103, 201 Hough, 472 Grüneberg, 49, 62, 63 Gulick, A., 50, 183, 325, 327 Hovanitz, 262, 463

640

Howard, 308 Hubbs, 177, 195, 202, 223, 348, 454, \$40 Hubbs and Cooper, 442 Hubbs and Hubbs, 146 n., 316 Hubbs and Trautman, 282 Hughes, McKenny, 94, 458 Huskins, 102, 332, 341 Hutchinson, 73 Hutchinson and Ghose, 77 Hutt, 450 Huxley, A., 573 Huxley [J. S.], 13 n., 35, 45, 66, 97, 103, 127, 128, 129, 130, 170, 192, 202, 206, 210, 212, 218, 226, 288, 289, 324, 354, 425, 426, 455, 484, 504, 528, 529, 530, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 541, 542, 543, 547, 555, 556 n., 569 n., 572, 577 n. Huxley and de Beer, 547, 553 n. Huxley and Carr-Saunders, 458 Huxley and Ford, 529 Huxley and Haddon, 354, 399 Huxley and Teissier, 529 Huxley, T. H., 391 Hyatt and Wurtemberger, 508 lljin, 64, 115, 307, 546 Iljin and Iljin, 546 Iljina, 185 Ingoldby, 192 Jameson, 187 n. Janaki-Ammal, 339 n. Jenkin, Fleeming, 204 Jenkins, 372 Jennings, 84, 328 Johannsen, 52, 118

Jenkins, 372 Jennings, 84, 328 Johannsen, 52, 118 Johnson, R. H., 550 Johnson and Newton, 307 Jollos, 509 n. Jordan, D. S., 186 Jouard, 248 Jourdain, 102, 256, 311

Kalabuchov, 436 Kalmus, 433, 451 Kammerer, 232, 458 Kappers, 538 Karpechenko, 347 Keith and McCown, 354

Kennedy, 104 Kerner, 416 Kerner and Oliver, 107, 108 Kikkawa, 364 Kinsey, 163 n., 348 Kirikov, 103 Klauber, 537 Kleinschmidt, 163 n., 248 n., 394 Knight, Thomas, 264 Korotneff, 181, 496 Kosswig, 66 Kostoff, 343 Kovalevsky, 22, 395 Kramer and Mertens, 200, 232, 283 Krogh, 57 Krumbiegel, 206, 314 Kühn, 68

Lack, 36, 254, 289, 310, 311, 326, 327, 356, 519 Lack and Venables, 269 Lamcere, 536 Lammarts, 350 n. Lamoreux and Hutt, 450 Lamprecht, 53, 115, 343 Landauer, 76, 119 Landauer and Dunn, 119 Landauer and Upham, 119 Lang, A., 99, 529 Lang, W. D., 409, 515 Langlet, 224 Lankester, Ray, 458 Larambergue, dc. 312 Lawrence and Price, 64 Lea, so Lebedeff, 76 Ledingham, 342 Leitch, 410 Lepekhin, 103 Levick, 518 Lewis, 140 L'Héritier, Necfs, and Teissier, 120, 453 L'Héritier and Teissier, 358 Linnaeus, 168, 264, 387, 390 Linsdale, 182, 193, 432 Lloyd, 58, 202 Lloyd Morgan, 17, 114, 296, 304, 523 Lockley, 195 Loeb, 544 Longley, 169 Lönnberg, 538 Løppenthin, 219, 226 Lorenz, 45, 289

Lowe, 94 n., 183, 203, 242, 356 Lowe and Mackworth-Praed, 190 Lühring, 99 Lull, 501 Lumer, 539 Lynes, 176 McAtee, 414 MacBride, 37, 39 McCabe and Miller, 355 McConaill and Ralphs, 527 MacDougal, 442 McEwen, 52 Macfadyen, 408 Mackenzie and Muller, 92 McMeekan, 542 Malinovsky, 332 Manton, 337 Manwell, 319 Marchlewski, 82 Marett, 567 n. Marsden-Jones, 277 Marsden-Jones and Turrill, 258, 268, 356, 441, 519 Marshall, F. H. A., 442 Marshall, W. W., and Muller, 70 Mather, 67, 87, 106, 107, 108, 115, 140, 313, 477, 545 Mather and de Winton, 107 Mather and Dobzhausky, 333 Mather and North, 76 Matthew, 32, 377, 396, 488, 498 Matthews, 183 Matthews, L. H., 545 Mayr, 160, 163 n., 167, 169, 184, 199, 202, 223, 240, 255, 272, 273, 284, 289, 305, 403 Mayr and Greenway, 215 Mayr and Rand, 103 Mayr and Ripley, 199 Mayr and Serventy, 214, 516 Meinertzhagen, 187 n., 192, 255 n., 311, 433, 445, 462 Meise, 195, 248, 249, 250 11., 256 Meisenheimer, 545, 555 Mellanby, 435 Melville, 227 Mer del, 390 Metalnikov, 459 Metcalf, 393 Metz, 148 Meyer, 315 Miller, A. H., 182, 193, 224, 236, 248 251, 421

642

Miller, G. S., 183, 240, 487 Miller and McCabe, 238 Mobius and Heinke, 431 Mohr, 71 n., 80 Molière, 458 Molony, 289 Moore, A. R., 527 Moore, J. A., 435, 444 Mordvilko, 183, 324 Moreau, 192, 194 Morgan, Lloyd, see Lloyd Morgan Morgan, T. H., 23, 26, 63, 69, 417, 418, 475, 498, 528 Morgan, Bridges and Sturtevant, 510, 511, 512 Morgan, Schultz and Curry, 86 Morrison, 264 Moy-Thomas, 409, 542, 543, 545 Muller, 27, 48, 49 n., 50, 51, 58, 68, 83, 88, 89, 92, 93, 127, 138, 143, 148, 185, 255, 259, 333, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 365, 366, 370, 455, 476, 502, 573 Muller and Pontecorvo, 359 Muller, Prokofyeva and Raffel, 92 Müntzing, 88, 222, 336 r, 337, 341, 347, 375 Murphy, 285, 326 n. Murphy and Chapin, 194, 327 Nabours, 99 Nash, 439 Needham, 502, 562, 565 n. Needham, Huxley and Lerner, 529 n. Needham and Lerner, 529 n. Nicholson, A. J., 444, 467, 483 Nicholson, E. M., and Fisher, 279 Nilsson, 345, 353 Nobel, 282 Noble and Bradley, 35 Noble and Vogt, 288 Nopcsa, 505 Norman, 158, 415, 456 Oken, 391 Oldham, 270 Omer-Cooper, 247 Orr, 240 Osborn, H. F., 304, 486, 487, 488, 515, 534 Owen, 391 Paley, 23, 467

Palmgren, 234 Panikkar, 455 Parker, 285 Parkin, 429 Patterson and Crow, 364, 368 Patterson and Muller, 50 Patterson, Stone and Griffen, 367 Paulian, 97 Payne, 459 Pearson, J., 104 Pearson, Karl, 24, 151 Perlova, 336 Pflüger and Smith, 213 Phillips, J. C., 521 Phillips, W. W. A., 415 Pictet, 501 Pilsbry, 232, 234 Plate, 266 n., 383 n., 500, 523 Plough, 85 Plough and Ives, 509 n. Plunkett, 73, 83 Popham, 468 Porter, 213, 235 Poulton, 30, 416, 458, 498 Pratt. 283 Promptoff, 183, 308 Pryor, 451 Przibram, 213 Pumphrey and Rawdon-Smith, 298 Pumphrey and Young, 429 Punnett, 102 Punnett and Bailey, 53 Punnett and Pease, 72

Quayle, 471

Radl, 22 Raffel and Muller, 49 n. Raffles, 264 Ramsbottom, 300 Ranger, 428 Ray, 264 Reeve, 537 Reeve and Murray, 536 Regan, 157, 160, 178, 181, 223, 503 Reich, 305 Reinig, 130, 225, 226 Renner, 91 Rensch, 154, 163, 174, 175, 179, 180, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 223, 234 and n., 239, 243, 244, 245, 405, 543

Rhine, 574 Richards, 321, 410 Richter, 244 Riddle. 453 Ritchie, 183 Robb, 536 Roberts, A., 214 Roberts, J. A. F., 82 Roberts, Morley, 563 n., 574 Roberts and White, 82 Robson, 30, 153, 313 Robson and Richards, 30, 39, 153, 224 320, 449 n. Rothschild and Hartert, 215, 211 Rothschild and Jordan, 211 Rowan, 111 Rubtzov, 99, 516 Russell, 428 Ruxton and Schwarz, 253 Salaman, 198 Salisbury, 269, 273, 274, 276, 278, 438, 446, 452, 468, 554 Salomonsen, 195, 212, 262, 551 Sander, 345 Sanderson, 313 Sarasin, 394 Saunders, 26, 189 Schaeffer, 240 Schilder and Schilder, 177, 179 n. Schmidt, 223 Schnakenbeck, 177 Schultz, 48 n., 528 Schultz, Caspersson and Aquilonius, 138 Schwanwitsch, 550 Schwarz, 247 Schweppenburg, von, 244 Scott, A. C., 383 Scott, J. P., 502, 550 Scott, W. E., 305 Seth-Smith, 433 Sexton, 314 Sexton and Clark, 75, 511 Sexton, Clark and Spooner, 70 Shaw, Bernard, 458 Shortridge, 218 Shull, A. F., 26, 414 Shull, G. H., 346 Sick, 422 Sikka, 350 Silow, 65, 79, 115, 116, 228, 457, 533 Sinnott, 528

Sinnott and Dunn, 62 Sinskaja, 275 Stack, 170 Sladden and Hewer, 303 n., 459 Smart, 160 Smith, Elliot, 570 Smith, Geoffrey, 536 Smith, Malcolm, 503 Smith, S. G., 314 Snyder, J. O., 178 Snyder, L. L., 219 Sokolov and Dubinin, 330 South, 445 Southern, 105, 161 Spath, 508 Spence and Yerkes, 526 n., 570 Spencer, 53, 61, 119, 291, 357, 358, 367, 410 Spencer, Herbert, 565 n. Spooner, 315 Stadler, 306 Stanford and Mayr, 272 Stapledon, 121, 276 Stebbins, 353, 372, 377 Stegmann, 244 Stensiö, 31 Stephenson, 313 Stern, 328, 330, 332, 333 Stirton, 488, 498 Stockard, 71, 506, 553 Stone and Griffen, 366 Stonor, 240, 425, 426 Storey, 312 Stovin, 195 Stresemann, 160, 163, 179, 184, 200, 237, 242, 247, 248 Strohl and Köhler, 450 Stubbe and Vogt, 369, 511 "Student", 116 Stull, 540 Sturtevant, 27, 77, 137, 357, 397, 534 Sturtevant and Beadle, 363 Sturtevant and Dobzhansky, 365 Süffert, 415 Sukatschew, 120 Sumner, 96 n., 187, 188, 189, 190, 208, 210, 216, 291, 434, 479 Suomalainen, 106 Sviridenko, 271 n. Swarth, 44, 182, 183, 196, 217, 225, 242, 326 Sweadner, 219, 253 Swellengrebel and de Buck, 317, 318

Swinnerton, 409, 488, 489, 515 n., 528, 531, 536, 537 Tansley, 120 Taverner, 251, 252, 354, 355 Tchernavin, 315 Tedin, 115 Thayer, 36 Thomas and Wroughton, 193, 219, 227 Thompson, D. H., 241 Thompson, D'Arcy, 494 n., 542 Thompson, W. R., 428 Thomsen and Lemcke, 94, 458 Thomson, A. L., 238 Thomson, G. H., 573 Thorpe, 295 ff., 299, 311, 312, 316, 319, 428, 459 Thorpe and Jones, 302 Ticehurst, 176, 245 Timoféeff-Ressovsky, 50, 73 n., 103, 120, 126, 191, 199, 203, 210, 238, 261, 410, 436, 444 Timoféeff-Ressovsky, N. W. and E. A., 358 Tiniakov, 51 Tischler, 337, 340, 347 Trueman, 489 Tschermak, 24 Turesson, 200, 275, 277, 375, 437 Turrill, 110, 147, 157, 166, 171, 179, 180, 197, 258, 267, 273, 277, 352, 353, 375, 388 n., 390, 393, 398, 401, 405, 406, 519 Tyrrell, 574

von Ubisch, 549 Upcott, 143 Uphof, 107 Uvarov, 202, 431

Vandel, 88, 314, 336 . Van Oordt, 310 Vavilov, 129, 408 Velikokhatko, 273 Vesey-Fitzgerald, 480 Vilmorin, 16 Vogt, 214 Vogt and Vogt, 550 de Vries, 23, 24, 38, 91, 150, 204, 330 Waagen, 174 Waddington, 63 ni, 131, 148, 345 n., 457, 555 Wallace, A. R., 30 Walther, 94 Walsh, 200 Warnke and Blakeslee, 142 Warren, 176, 183 Watson, D. M. S., 192, 504, 544 Webber, 350 n. Weidenreich, 555, 570 Weismann, 17, 23, 28, 29, 30, 418 Weiss, 71 Welch, 234 Weldon, 24, 151, 448 Wellington, 314 Wells, Huxley and Wells, 61 n., 556 n., 559 n., 570 Went, 428 Westoll, 544 Wheeler, 467, 495 White, E. I., 409 White, Gilbert, 289 White, M. J. D., 48, 142, 149, 334, 348, 357 White, O. E., 437, 455 Whitney, 435 Willis, 34, 169, 197, 204, 205 Wilmott, 198 Wilson, 170

Winge, 99, 144 Wingfield, 435 Winter, 116 Winterbottom, 525 n., 545, 555 Witherby, 176, 218, 221, 309, 444, 445 Witschi, 235, 419 Wolf, 328 Wood, H. E., 498, 506 Wood, T. B., 76 Wood-Jones, 286, 422, 427 Worthington, 178, 181, 324 Wright, Sewall, 21, 27, 30, 33, 57, 58, 59, 60, 82, 83, 113, 126, 127, 128, 155, 186, 194, 197, 199, 200, 208, 229, 232, 237, 242, 259, 260, 265, 326, 362, 368, 474, 476, 479, 501, 502, 550 Yocom and Huestis, 188 Yonge, 181, 429, 430, 492 Yule and Wills, 205

Zarapkin, 176, 548 Zarapkin and Timoféeff-Ressovsky (H. A.), 549 Zimmermann, 105, 118, 271 Zuckerman, 403, 519 Zuitin, 55, 358



GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD London: 40 Museum Street, W.C.1 Cape Town: 58-60 Long Street Sydney, N.S.W.: 65 York Street Toronto: 91 Wellington Street West Bombay: 15 Graham Road, Ballard Estate Wellington, N.Z.: 8 Kings Crescent, Lower Hutt