Fundamentals of Marxist-Leninist Philosophy

MLSU - CENTRAL LIBRARY



Editorial Board:

Editorial Board:
Prof. F.M. Burlatsky, Dr.Sc. (Philos.) managing editor,
Prof. G.S. Gudozhnik (executive editor), Dr.Sc. (Philos.),
Assist. Prof. A.A. Ignatsako, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Frof. Yu.A.
Krssin, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. R. Martines, Cand.Sc.
(Philos.), Frof. V.S. Sawyonov, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), A.V. Shastopal, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. V.V. Talanov, Cand.Sc.
(Philos.), Prof. F.I. Zakhsrov, Dr.Sc. (Philos.).

Tour of suthors

Tosm of authors

Prof. A.I. Arnoldov, Dr.Bc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof.
B.F. Bakurkin, Cand.Sc., (Philos.), Prof. F.M. Burlatsky, Dr.
Bc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. A.N. Filatov, Cand.Sc. (Philos.),
Assist. Prof. Ya.G. Fogsler, Cand.Sc. (Fhilos.), A.A. Galkin,
Dr.Sc. (Bist.), Assist. Prof. V.D. Grazhan, Cand.Sc. (Philos.),
Assist. Prof. A.G. Grigoryants, Csnd.Sc. (Philos.), Prof.
G.S. Gudozhnik, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. A.A. Ignstenko, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Prof. I.R. Kanynin, Cand.Sc.
G.G. Krombet, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. R. Martines,
G. Cand. Sc. (Philos.), Prof. N.P. Mchedlov, Dr.Sc. (Philos.),
Prof. N.V. Motroshilovs, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. L.A.
Bikitich, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Prof. Z.M. Orudzhev, Dr.Sc.
(Philos.), Assist. Prof. A.P. Popov, Cand.Sc. (Ew),
Assist. Prof. V.M. Pasika, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Yu.M. Psvlov,
Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. A.P. Popov, Cand.Sc. (Ew),
Assist. Prof. D.A. Silichev, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Prof. V.S.
Scwyonov, Dr.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. V.V. Sushinsky,
Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Assist. Prof. V.V. Talanov, Cand.Sc.
(Philos.), Assist. Prof. V.V. Talanov, Cand.Sc.
(Philos.), Assist. Prof. V.V. Talanov, Cand.Sc.
(Philos.), Assist. Prof. K.M. Truevtsev, Cand.Sc. (Philos.),
Assist. Prof. A.S. Vasilsnko, Cand.Sc. (Philos.), Assist.
Prof. K.A. Zusv, Cand.Sc. (Philos.).
Research assistant: S.A. Ivanov

Research sesistant: S.A. Ivanov

023

Институт общественных наук

Сеновы марисистско-ленинской философин

на английском языке СМнотнтут общественных наук, 1985

English translation

@ Institute of Social Sciences, 1985 gor in the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

CONTENTS

	•	- 4
Part I		
INTRODU	CTION	1
1.	The Philosophy of the Current Age	1
	Emergence of a Scientific Philosophy. Philosophy	
	and Revolutionary Practice. The Subject Matter	
	of Philosophy. Materialiam vs. Idealiam.	
2.	The Emergence and Development of Marxiat	
	Philosophy	2
	Socio-Economic and Political Background of the	
	Emergence of Marxist Philosophy. Marxist Philo-	
	sophy and the Great Discoveries in Natural	
	Science in the 19th Century. Ideological Pra-	
	requisites for the Emergance of Marxiat Philo-	
	aophy. Sourcea of Marxiat Philosophy. The Cre-	
	ation of Dialectical and Historical Materia-	
	lism: a Ravolution in the History of Philoso-	
	phy. Marxist Philosophy and Other Sciences.	
	Relationship of Knowledge in Philosophy and Natural Science.	
3.	. The Leminist Stage in the Development of	
	Marxist Philosophy	4
	Hiatorical Background of the Leniniat Stage.	
	A Revolution in Natural Science and the De-	
	velopment of Marxist Philosophy by Lenin.	
	The Unity of Dialectical and Historical Materi-	
	alism.	٠
Chapter	I. MATTER AND CONSCIOUSNESS	50
1.	. Matter and Forms of Its Existence	
	Materialism vs. Idealism. Theories of Matter in	
	the Philosophy of the Ancient East. Philosophy	
	in Ancient Greece. Arabian Philosophy. Develop-	
	ment of Philosophy in the Age of the Rensissan-	

ce and Bourgeois Revolutions. The Concept of	
Matter. Development of the Approach to Mattar	
from the Viewpoint of Natural Sciance. Lenin's	
Criticism of Machism and Empirio-criticism.	
The Concept of Matter Elaborated by Lenia.	
Motion is the Mode of Existence of Matter.	
Forms of the Motion of Matter. Space and	
Time. Nodern Natural Science on Mattar and	
Motion.	
2. Conaciousness, Its Origin and Essence	104
The Problem of Consciousness in the History	
of Philosophy. Origin of Consciousness.	
Social Conditions for the Origin of Con-	
sciousness. The Essence of Consciousness.	
Forms of Abstract Thinking. Differentia-	
tion of Conaciousness. Social Character of	
Consciousness.	
Chapter II. THE LAWS OF MATERIALIST DIALECTICS	132
1. Historical Forms and Levels of Development	
of Dislectics	132
Dialectics of Ralations and Connections in	
Ancient Greek Philosophy. Dialectics of Moti-	
on. Dialectica of Development.	
2. Materialist Dialectics as a Scientific	
Method of Thought. Cognition and Revolution-	
ary Practice	144
Dislectics as a Method of Cognition and Prac-	
tical Activity. Principles of Universal Inter-	
connection and Devalopment.	
3. Basic Laws of Dislectica	151
The Law of Transformation of Quantitative	
into Qualitative Changas and Vice Varsa. The	
Law of the Unity and Conflict of Opposites.	
The Law of the Negation of Negation.	
-	

4.	Non-Baaic Laws of Dialectics	167
	The Law of the Unity of Content and Form. The Law of the Unity of Easence and Appearance. Reality and Possibility. Cause and Effect. Necessity and Chance. The Singular, Perticular and Universal.	
5.	The Dialectica of Development of Socialiam	183
	Antagonism and Contradictions Under Socialist Construction. Dislectics of Developed Socialism.	
6.	Modern Bourgeois and Revisioniat Distortions of Marxist-Laniniat Dialectics	191
	Materialist Dislectics and Natural Science. From Dialectics to Metaphysics. Neo-Positivism. Neo-Thomiam. Existentislism. Criticism of Frankfurt School.	
Chapter	III. THE DIALECTICS OF THE PROCESS OF COGNITION	204
1.	The Essence of Cognition. Object and Subject of Cognition	2 0 4
	Esaenca of Cognition. Concept of Object of Cognition. Subject of Cognition.	
2.	The Proceas of Cognition and Practice	215
	Sensory Cognition. Rational and Sensory Cognition. The Concept of Practice. Theoretical Activity. Unity of Theory and Practice. Theory of Truth. Practica as the Criterion of Truth. Partianehip and Scientificality.	
3.	The Methoda of Sciantific Cognition	234
	Methods of Theoretical and Empirical Research. Cognition and Revolutionary Practice.	

Part II Chapter I. MATERIALIST CONCEPTION OF HISTORY	242
1. Sociological Theories in the History of Philosophy	242
The Antiquity and the Middle Ages. The Period of Formation and Development of Capitalism.	
 The Prerequisites end Esecuce of a Materisliet Understanding of Special Life 	248
Labour, the Basic and Essence of Human Society. The Basic Law of Human History. Historical Nece- acity vs. Freedom.	
3. Meterial Production, the Beeis of Social Life	259
Production Structure. Productive Forces. Lawa of Development of Productive Forces. Revolution in Science and Technology. Production Relations. Production Development: Its Sources and Motive Forces. Requirements on the Rise. The Law of Interaction of Productive Forces and Production Relations. The Role of the Environment and Population in Social Life. Demographic Problems.	
4. The Universal Historical Lew of the Progressi- ve Succession of Socio-Economic Formations	298
The Easia and Superntructure. The Concept and Typea of Socio-Economic Formations. Major Etagee of Human Development.	
5. Civilisation and Social Progress	31
Criticies of Bourgeoia Conceptions of Civili- sation. The Concept of Civilisation. Peculiari- ties of Development of Civilisations. Social- ism The Formation of a Qualitatively New Civilisation. The Progress along the Path of	

Socialist Orientation.

6.	Insolvency of Modern Bourgeois Sociology	348
	Industrialism. Alarmists. Bourgeois Empirical Sociology. Functionalism and the Theories of "Social Change".	
Chapter	II. SOCIAL CONSCIOUSNESS	358
1.	Nature and Structure of Social Consciousnesa	358
	Nature of Social Consciousness. Relative Independence of Social Consciousness. Structure of Social Consciousness.	
2.	Levels of Social Conaciousnesa	365
	Social Psychology. Social Psychology and Idsology under Socialism. Peculiarities of Social Consciousness in Different Geographical Regions.	
3.	Forms of Social Consciousness	380
	Politics and Legal Consciousness. Philosophy. Morality. Science. Art. Religion and Its Elements.	
Chapter	III. SOCIAL STRUCTURE	414
1.	Classes, the Basis of Social structure	414
	The Concept of Social Structure. The Origin of Classes. Lenin's Definition of Classes.	
2.	Class Structure of the Contemporary Capitaliat Society	421
	Stages of Development of Capitaliam. The Working Clasa. Office Workers and the Intelligentsia. The Clasa of Capitalists.	
7	Mha Sacia-Masa Structure of Leign African	

and Latin American Countries	428
The Working Class. The Peasentry. The Bourge-	
oisie and Other Classes and Groups of Exploi-	
ters. The Middle Strata. The Marginal Urban	
Strata.	
. The Content and Forms of Clasa Struggla	440
Clasa Struggle as a Law of Antagonistic Forma-	
tions. Basic Forms of Class Struggle by tha	
Proletariat. Specific Features of Class	
Struggle Today. The Decisive Role of Popular	
Massea in History.	
5. Social Structure of Socialist Society	448
The Current Epoch and Socialism. The Social	
Structure of the USSR: a General Description.	
Towards a Classless Society.	
TOWALLE & OLGESTEES SOCIOUS.	
6. Nationality Relations	455
Nature of Nationality Relations. Concept of	
Ethnio Community. Ethno-Social Contradictions.	
National Contradictions in Developed Capita-	
list Countries. Nationality Problems in	
Countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America.	
Relations Between Nationalities under Socia-	
lism. Reactionary Essence of Racism. Polarity	
of Nationaliam and Proletarian Internationalism	
7. The Individual and Society	482
The Concept of the Individual. The Individual	
in the History of Society. The Family and the	
Individual. Real Humanism.	

Chapter IV. THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF SOCIETY	507
 Geneais and Nature of the State and the Politi- cal System of Society as a whole	507
Concept of the Political Organisation and Political System of Society. The Gensein of the State and Law. Nature of the State. Historical Types and Forms of the State.	
2. The Political System of Bourgeoia Society Today	517
Nature and Forma of the Bourgeois State. Nechanism and Legal System of the Bourgeois State. Crisis of Bourgeois Democracy. Political Parties and Trade Unions. Bourgeois Theories of the Political System of Society.	
 Political Forms of Transition from Capitalism to Socialism	535
Historical Imperstive of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat. Diverse Forms of the Dicta- torship of the Proleteriat. On Future Forms	
of the Working-Class Power. 4. The Political System of Advanced Socialist Society	549
The State of the Whole People. The Party in the Political System. Development of Socialist Democracy. Social Self-Government.	
5. Political Systema in the Developing Countries of Asia and Africa	563
Political Systems of Asian and African Countries. Political Systema in Capitalist-Oriented Countries. Political Systems in Socialist-Oriented Countries.	
6. The Development of Political Systems in Latin	

American Countries	573
Formation of Statehood. Political Regimes. Parties and Other Political Inatitutions. Impact of Cuban Experience. Two Lines of Political Development.	
Chapter V. THE SOCIAL REVOLUTION	589
1. Revolution and Its Role in Social Development	589
The Concept of Social Revolution. Role of Social Revolution. Historical Types of Social Revolutions. Multiformity of the Revolutionary Process.	
2. Objective Conditions and the Subjective Factor of Social Revolution	601
How to Dafend a Revolution. The Struggla Against Counter-Revolution.	
3. Socialist Revolution	610
Higher Type of Social Revolutions. Alignment of World Forcea and the Revolutionary Proceas. Democratic and Socialist Tasks. Forms of Transition to Socialism.	
CONCLUSION	624

INTRODUCTION

In the current age, progressive devalopment of mankind is gaining momentum. Never hafore has history witnessed changes or socio-economic transformations as radical as those we witness today. They include, firat and foremost, the building of s new society in socialist countries, the struggle of the working class in the strongholds of imperialism for democracy and socialism, and national liberation movements for independence and social progress. Simultaneously, a scientific and technical ravolution is taking place whose benefits can be used in the interesta of broad working masses only if social life in its entirety is transformed slong socialist lines.

The dominant trend of social development, the move of mankind from capitalism to socialism and communism under way now, has a place of its own in the multifaceted and complicated interrelationship of historical avents. The move is linked to what was discovered and described by K. Marx as the accomplishment of the world historic mission of the working class, the most consistent opponent of exploitation of man by man, and of all forms of social and national oppression.

Together with the ravolutionary processes of our ags, Marxist-Leninist philosophy, the science of cognition and transformation of the world, is developing and spreading across all countries and continents.

1. The Philosophy of the Current Age

Emergence of a Scientific Philosophy

Like Marxism in its entirety, Marxist philosophy emerged in the

1840s to be developed fruitfully over several decades in the works by <u>K. Marx</u> (1818-1883), <u>F. Engela</u> (1820-1895), their comradea-in-struggle, and revolutionary social-democratic parties of the 19th century.

As an expression of vital interests of the working class, the emergence of Marxisa was more than a mere reflection of the experience of workers' movement. The emergence and development of Marxist-Leninist philosophy relied on studies of the latest developments in science of the 19th and the early 20th century, on the experience of revolutionary struggle of workers against exploitation, for freedom and a new society. The philosophical teaching of Marx, Engels and Lenin absorbed all the best in the development of world philosophical thought. Marxism comprised all the schievements in human thought of the praceding ages. K. Marx and F. Engels were nen of truly encyclopsedic learning. Even the most ardent opponents of Marxism are not in a position to deny the profound knowledge of the founders of the teaching. They possossed a profound command of all the advances of acisntific and social thought of their predecessors, of philosophy, political economy, history, and socialist teachings.

Their brilliant educational background, unaurpssed scientific intellect, rich socio-political and personal experience, irreproachable political honesty and a clear understanding of the relationship of political arbitrariness of bourgeds governments with the economic oppression of that working class and pessantry, their revolutionary resolve to wage the atruggle for the complets liberation of the human personality and all workera —— all of these mads K. Marx and F. Engels fearless proponents of the working class cause, theoreticians and leaders of the proletarist, founders of the theory of scientific communism, of the philosophy of dialectical and historical materialism, the theoretical basis of communism.

Relying on their revolutionary theory, K. Marx and F. Engels waged the struggle for the establishment and strengthening of the First International, the revolutionary party of the working class in Germany, won, encouraged and organized followers and successors of their cause and teaching.

The triumph of accialist revolutions in the countries of Europe, Asia and Latin America, and an increasing proclivity of a number of current social and political trends for socialian, are the best demonstration of genuineness of Marxism. That this teeching is genuine is demonstrated by the fact that hundreds of millions of people are devoted followers of Marxism-Leninism; its genuineness is proved by the experience of humanity in its entirety, of all peoples and of every

aingle individual. People embrace Marxism because out of their personal experience, out of the experience of their atruggle, out of the experience of the atruggle of their class and of the atruggle of progressive revolutionary forces they know that it is historically justified. The never-to-be forgotten words by V.I. Lenin, "The Marxist doctrine is omnipotent because it is true" are confirmed time and again.

Karl Marx and his friend and comrade-in-arms, the gifted researcher, scholar and publicist Frederick Engela, left an enormous theoretical heritaga. This is a magnificent source of wisdom used time and again by Communists today. Many events described by Marx and Engels are now remote or not-so-remote paat. Many phenomena which had been incipient then were later to be developed on a grand acale. Yet the lawa of development of nature and society discovered by Marx and Engels, the very method of analysis, their substantiation of the futura proletarian revolution, accialism and communism, which found a brilliant confirmation in this century, make every thought and every idea of our classica a priceless heritsge.

The present stage in the development of philosophy and of the whole Marxiat theory is linked to the name of <u>Vladimir</u> <u>Divich Lenin</u> (1870-1924), the great revolutionary who lad the first triumphant proletarian ravolution in Russia.

V.I. Lenin's activities took place at a tima when the centre of international revolutionary movement was transferred to Rusais. In the midst of tha movement of Rusaian proletariat, Lenin undertook a profound study and analysis of revolutionary experience of the struggle of the peoples of Europe, America, Asia and other regions of the world and developed the scientific theory of Marxism in all respects. He generalised the experience of a new age, the age of imperialism and proletarian revolutions. The Leninist stage of the development of Marxist philosophy still continues at present, at the stage of the transition of mankind from

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 23

capitalism to socialism.

The era of Lenin's creative activities, new tasks facing the socialist revolution in Russis and the world communist movament, raquired the solution of a mucher of major theoretical problems. While solving them, Lenin was waging a struggle against revisioniata and dogmatiata who, disregarding the new facts of life, persisted in reiterating acholastically interpreted outmoded truths.

Like Marxiat-Leninist doctrine in its entirety, Marxiat-Leninist philosophy is developed and enriched with every new atage in the development of human society, every turn of history, every major scientific discovery.

In the current age, the need for a creative development of Marxist-Leniniat philosophical theory has become more imperative than ever. Never before have revolutionary social transformations been so rapid or radical. Never before development of all fields of science, technology, culture, information, social consciousness as a whole has been so scive or revolutionary.

International in esaence, Marxiat-Leniniat philosophy aummariaes the experience of the entire world revolutionary process.

Philosophy and Revolutionary Practice What do practising revolutionaries gain from studying Marxist-Leninist philosophy? In a brief answer to this

question, one might say that a study of Marxist-Leninist philosophy, which covers the basic laws of the development of natura, society and human thinking leads to an integrated, sdvanced revolutionary world outlook. This philosophy presents a single orderly system of views on radical social, natural, acientific and spiritual problems of today.

As a scientific world outlook and cognitive method, Narxist-Leminist philosophy represents an integral system of views on basic issues of the development of nature, society, and human consciousness, thus performing a generalising function as regards all the other sciences.

The basic distinguishing feature of Marxist-Leniniat philosophy is its effective revolutionary nature "The philosophers," E. Marx said, "have only interpreted the world in

various ways; the point, however, is to <u>change</u> it." On the basis of dislectics Marx and Engels substantiated the inevitability of the demise of capitalism as a result of the development of its internal contradictions.

Marxiat-Leninist philosophy ia most closely linked to historical practice and the world revolutionary process. Its basic aocial role is determined by the fact that it serves the revolutionary education of the proletariat, progressive forces of all workers and progressive intelligentais. As such, Marxist-Leninist philosophy is the most profound theoretical basis for an analysis of the historical process, for a criticism of the obsolete capitalist order, for a forecast for all peoples' transition to a new social system.

Marxist-Leninist philosophy imparts an advanced theoretical outlook and contributes to a profound scientific analyais of complex problems related to the development of human sociaty, of nature, and of social conaciousness. This is the most important guarantse of a consistently acientific criticism of philosophical and other ideological trends opposing accialism, the guarantee of the only correct strategy and tactica of communist and workers' parties.

The principles of a Marxist-Leninist analysis of contemporary bourgeois philosophy require taking into account the entirety of theoretical, cognitive and social backgrounds of each of its trends. The content of all the ayatema and concepta of current bourgeois philosophy is linked to specific theoretical problems. It is not aufficient, however, merely to ascertain their theoretical content. One must understand. or conatrue this content out of its entire socio-historical context determined by socio-economic processes, by the course of class atruggle, and by the distinguishing features of ideological and spiritual life of acciety. In an analysis of each philosophical concept, its natural science sources, i.e. the fields of knowledge and scientific discoveries leading to the emergence of idealistic concepts or used in idealistic philosophical systems, slao have to be taken into account, since the advances of acience can entail general theoretical and rethodological difficulties of explaining them.

1. Karl Marx, Frederick Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 6

During Renaiasance, with the outstanding development of specialized sciences, mechanics, physics, chemistry, and biology, philosophical knowledge embraced most general ideas of nature, society and man. The philosophy of history began developing independently, to be followed by sociology, aesthetics and numerous other fields of the science of philosophy.

The above illustrates the hiatorical evolution of the subject of philosophy itaelf. It developed with the progress of natural and accial aciences, with the accumulation of experience of man's activities. A branching out of individual sciences and a further development and differentiation of scientific knowledge were reflected in an increased emphasia in philosophy on radical, general theoretical issues pertaining to the development of nature and acciety.

The term "philosophy" itaelf derives from Greek philos, loving, and sophia, wisdom. Thua, linguistically "philosophy" can be defined as "love of wisdom", and the aubject of philosophy, consequently, as "wisdom". In Ancient Greece, for example, a philosophical achool of aophiats, i.e. of teachers of wisdom, existed in the 6th-5th centuriae B.C.

If one were to be content with this general definition of philosophy as wisdom or loving wisdom, it might be concluded that all people experience a measure of "love of wisdom". Everyone possesses a certain set of socio-political, ethical, religious, sesthetic and other beliefs. Everyons would be willing to argue on whether God exists or not, on what the essence of happiness is, on whether one likes or dislikes the existing political order in one's country, etc.

As opposed to his "mundame love of philosophising", the science of philosophy, as much as any other real science, pursues an orderly and logically consistent <u>system</u> of knowledge. What is the knowledge about? What is the subject matter of philosophy?

The subject matter of any science involves a certain port or aspect of reality and the corresponding objective laws. As opposed to natural and social noiences and the laws thereof, the subject of philosophy comprises the above mentioned universal interrelationships of objective reality -- of nature, society and human thinking.

It should also be taken into consideration that the modern capitaliet world is characterised not only by the strengthening of political reaction and reactionary ideology but also by the strengthening of forces of progress end democracy. The process of democratisation of intelligenteis (and, consequently, of philosophere also) in eccordance with their social composition, outlook, and attitude to social phenomena, is underway. In their defence of materialism in the struggle against idealism, Marxiats-Leniniate pursus the interests of stronger unity of the workers' revolutionary liberation movement and take into account the socio-political position of individual bourgeois philosophers.

The Subject Matter of Philosophy

Philosophy cane into existence long ago, approximately two and e half thousand to

threa thousand years ago. Its origine go back to socient India, China and Egypt, while its classical form of development was attained in Ancient Greece.

Ancient philosophy emerged and developed in s close relationship and etruggle with religion, which offered a fantastic explanation of man's real environment. Since its emergence, philosophy pureued rational, ecientific and theoretical knowledge beard on practical experience of man's ectivities, on the logic of human coneciouanees. Initially, encient philosophere tackled the probleme posad by religion. They dealt with the origine of the world, of man, with the purpose of life, human calling and ethica. The philosophical teaching on nature, or natural philosophy, was the original basia of human knowledge. Antique philosophera, lika Thalee, Anaximander and Heraclitus undertook primarily to explain the diversity of natural phenomena.

With the development of human knowledge, of natural and later of aocial acience, philosophy touched upon an increasing number of questions. It was already in ancient times that in Chinn, India, and Ancient Greece separata philosophiee energed, like the teaching on existence or being (ontology), on cognition (epietemology), on ecientific thinking (logic), and on morale (ethica).

matical methods in science, and of cybernetics and modelling methods; or discovering the "inability" of Marxist philosophy to meet the challenge of new advances and new issues in natural science, new problems of social development.

The fallacy of the above mentioned conceptions consists in that they are at variance with the nature of philosophical knowledge itself.

The knowledge is entrenched in the requirements of social development itself; its object is finding and elaborating on intrinsic values and problems, i.e. the universal conceptions of the world, its past and future, the most general principles of being, knowledge and practical activities, the purpose of man's existence, of social progress, of the outlook for the development of mankind, etc.

Philosophical knowledge is a form of social consciousness reflecting the results of scientific and social development, the ideals and world outlook of different classes, eocial contradictions and conflicts in individual countries or at given periods. It is for these reasons that K. Marx described philosophy as the "intellectual quintessence of its time" and "the living soul of culture". 1

Life demonstrates that under the conditions of accelerated and more complicated social development, of the growth of revolutionary transformations in the world, of rapid progreasive development of acience and technology, and of its social and environmental consequences becoming more pronounced, the need increases for a philosophical analysis of fundamental problems and laws of social development and acientific cognition, of the world outlook orientation of man's spiritual and practical activities.

Materialiam va Idealism

The formation and development of the subject matter of the science of philosophy have

been taking place over centuriea of etruggle between two

^{1.} Karl Marx, Frederick Engela, Collected Works, Vol. 1, p. 195.

It is sometimes argued that it would be more correct to define philosophy in terms of its subject matter as the universal science in its widest sense. That would mean, however, unnecessarily expanding the subject of philosophy, making it a repository of all sciences. At the dawn of human knowledge, when science was still incipient, philosophy was indeed the science of all sciences embracing all the current knowledge. Since then, however, over a period of two thousand years, physics, chemistry, biology, sociology and other sciences underwent major development, branched out of philosophy which was left to dash with the most general laws of material being and human thinking.

Philosophy is a system of views on man's environment. It provides snawers to the questions on the nature of the world, on whether all the phenomens and processes have something in common, on whether reality is cognisable, on what is truth, etc. The role, significance and functions of philosophy are objective. Devalopment of accial cognition naturally presents radical problems which no science other than philosophy can solva; their definite solution has been arriching the entire process of social cognition, in perticular, with universal theories, methodologies, systems of categories, improving the standards of theoratical thinking of the epoch as a whole.

"Theoratical thinking," F. Engela wrote, "is an innate quality only as regards natural capacity. This natural capacity must be developed, improved, and for its improvement there is as yet no other means than the study of previous philosophy." L

Practice refutes various conceptions of nihilistic world outlook concerning the alleged present decline of the role and importance of philosophy, of problems pertaining to world outlook in general in the system of scientific knowledge and in practical activities; or concerning an automatic elimination of all the world outlook problems and orientations by the development of science and technology, introduction of mathe-

Frederick Engels, <u>Dialectics of Nature</u>, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1976, pp. 42-45.

philosophical idealism, V.I. Lenin observed, sre "fideism, more or less weakened and diluted."1.

The basic quastion of philosophy, that of which is primary, nature or spirit, matter or consciousness, has another aspect, that of whether correct cognition of the world is possible. Depending on the answer to the latter question, philosophera are divided into agnostics, who claim the world is not cognisable, and those who accept the cognition of objective reality.

Why is it that idealistic doctrines appear and spread? This can be explained, in the final analysis, by the party interests of individual social classes. Philosophical idealiam, Lenin said, "leads into the quagmire, into clerical obscurantism (where it is anchored by the class interests of the ruling classes)". In most cases in the history of philosophy, idealist philosophy was developed and supported by resctionary social forces and classes in close alliance with religion.

Besidea class origina, ideslism also has epistemological (i.e., relating to the theory of knowledge) origins which involve an exaggeration of one of the traits, or aspects of cognition and making it absolute, or independent truth. Ideslian thrives on the difficulties of cognition by msn, of acience, as distinct from religion, which, as a rule, thrives on ignorance.

The question of the progressiveness of materialist philosophical doctrines and of the reactionary nature of the idealistic ones should not be approached in a dogmatic manner. Under certain specific historical conditions, some materialistic doctrines (e.g. the materialisms of German vulgar materialists of the third quarter of the 19th century, that of Büchner, Vogt and Moleschott) played a far from a progressive role in the scientific and socio-political life of the time. Nevertheless, Hegel's philosophical doctrine, as seen below, despite the fallacy of the idealistic principle undervive. V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 155.

^{2.} Ibid., Vol. 38, p. 363

tendencies, two parties of philosophy, those of materialism and idealism. "Recent philosophy is as partisan as was philosophy two thousand years ago," V.I. Lenin atressed. Philosophical materialism and philosophical idealism represent two opposite answers to the basic question of philosophy, that of interrelationship of thinking and heing, spirit and natura. "Which is primary, spirit or natura," Engels wrote. "The answers which the philosophers gave to this question split them into two great camps." Those who profess that what is primary is nature, or, more specifically, the objective material environment of man, are materialists. On the other hand, those who accept the primacy of apirit or the idsa, are idealists.

What does being a materialist in philosophy mean? It means an understanding that the world around man, the sun, the moon, the stars, the whole outer space, the Earth, mountains, forests, oceans, planta and animals, and other human beinga exiat objectively, independently of human consciousness, and that the human haing itself is an alsment of great world wherefrom it appeared and wherein it lives.

That is not the whola story, however. People have always asked the question, where nature came from, when and in what menner it sppeared, what the reason is of its existence. The anawer to this question offered by religion is that nature is the work of God, the creator of nature and the reason of its existence. A usual additional claim by all religions is that God is a spirit not unlike the human spirit, yet of a superior order, that is, eternal, omnipotent, omniscient, etc. This, the religious approach to the origins of nature essantially coincides with that of philosophical idealism, since, like philosophical idealiam, religion believes that God is the origin of all things. In turn, all kinds of

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worka, Vol. 14, p. 358.

K. Marx, F. Engela, Selected Works in three volumes, Vol. 3, Progress Publishera, Moscow, 1976, p. 346.

phers espousing idealism on the basis of a false idealistic premise, while the dialectical method can be developed consistently only on the basis of a materialistic premise, i.e. the scceptance of the primacy of nature (matter) and the secondary nature of spirit (consciousness, thought).

Marxist-Leninist philosophy is characterised by an organic unity of dialectical naterialism with its philosophical and sociological element, historical materialism, which studies the general laws of development of human society. As the science of the most general laws of the development of nature, society, and human thinking, Marxist-Leninist philosophy is closely linked with other sciences and with the revolutionary historical practice.

The relationship consists in the following. In the elaboration of basic laws, philosophy relies on the achievements of other sciences and of revolutionary practice. In turn, the basic laws sstablished by philosophy servs as the methodology of scientific cognition and of revolutionary activities, become the underlying basis of, and certain theoretical guidance for the cognition and transformation of the world.

The great scientific diacovery by Marx, the materialistic interpretation of history and of the lawa of development of human society is an integral part of Marxian philosophical doctrine. It was only the theory of historical materialism that made a consistently materialistic world outlook possible. "From this Marxiat philosophy, which is cast from a single piece of ateal, you cannot eliminate one basic premise, one easential part, without falling a prey to bourgeoia-reactionary falsehood."

2. The Emergence and Development of Marxist Philosophy

Marxiat philosophy -- dislectical and historical materialism -- is a natural result of the development of preceding progressive thought, the result of revolutionary acientific feat achieved by K. Marx and F. Engels.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Workn, Vol. 14, p. 326

lying it, played a certain prograssive role in the history of philosophical thought.

What is the explanation of this paradox? It is that philosophical doctrines also differ concerning the question of methodology, or approach to things, objective phenomens, or way of thinking. This lesds to a distinction between dislecticians as vs. metaphysical philosophers.

The word "metsphysics" (from Greek ts mets ts physiks,
"the things after the physics") used to mean the philosophicsl task of cognising "transcendentsl being". The classics of
Marxism-Leninism gave a new meaning to the term -- a manner
of thinking based on an anti-dislectical understanding of
reality.

The mstsphysical method in philosophy (and in any other science as well) draws a sharp distinction between one object and another, one aspect of an object and another, ignoring the relationship between them, or their mutual interconnection. Secondly, it regards reslity in its antirety -- mountains, seas, rivers, flore, fauna, human beings, and the forms of social life -- as immutable and naver changing.

Metsphysical thinking finds a reflection in numerous ultra-laft ideological tendencies, including various theorisa of utopism socialism pursuing an ideal and sternal model of socialism.

As opposed to metsphysics, <u>dislectics</u>, sa defined by Lenin,is "the most comprehensive and profound doctrine of development, and the richest in content". According to dislectics, no object is immutable; nature in its entirety and all objects, human society and individuals undergo continuous motion, change, and development, passing through the elimination of contradictions and the struggle of opposites. As for rest and equilibrium, they are not rejected by dislectics but are considered elements of motion.

Many pre-Marxian asterialist philosophical doctrines were distinguished by their metsphysical and anti-dislectical nature. The dislectical method was developed primarily by philoso-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 21, p. 53.

Socio-Economic and Political Background of the Emergence of Marxist Philosophy Marxint philosophy is different in principle from all the praceding and presently existing philosophical views.

It is not a philosophy in the traditional meaning, i.e., s system of finite knowledge, as a science of sciencea; it is philosophy proper, since it deals with the problems of world outlook. As a new philosophy which emerged on the basis of a revolution effected by Marx and Engels in the history of philosophy, its distinction from the previous philosophy consists in that it was the first consistent application of materialist

Marxist philosophy was a natural consequence of the development of all the fundamental fields of knowledge and an answer to the questions posed by the philosophical and sociological thought. As Lenin stressed, Marxism energed not "away from the high road of the development of world civilisation. On the contrary, the genius of Marx consists precisely in his having furnished answers to questions already raised by the foremost minds of mankind".

The social need for a scientific philosophical theory based on new principles arose as a number of historical prerequisites for it appeared. The most important of the latter were socio-historical processes inherent in capitalism, discoveries in natural sciences, and development of philosophy proper. The need arose for a new scientific theory of the historical process to substantiate the place and role of the social classes of the time, for the prospects and the results of liberation struckle.

Marxist philosophy emerged in the mid-19th century in Western Europe, when the capitalist mode of production already dominated Britain, France, and, to a significant extent, Germany, and when the development of capitalist relations of production revealed their antagonistic nature and the existence of insurmountable contradictions between labour and capital.

Whereas bourgeois philosophy and sociology of the 17th

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 23

The apontaneously dialectic tendency of the generalisation stage in the davelopment of chemiatry was vividly reflected in Mendeleyev's discovery, the essence of which consisted in the establishment of n general law of relationship between, and changes in specific properties of chemical elements. In its theoretical form, the periodic law was of an heuristic importance, since it made possible a prediction of properties of individual chemical elements and of their groups, including those not yet discovered. "By means of the — unconscious—application of Hegel's law of the transformation of quantity into quality," Engels wrote, "Mendeleyev schieved a scientific feat which it is not too bold to put on a par with that of Leverrier in calculating the orbit of the until then unknown planet Neptune."

A most important role in the development of the system of aciantific knowledge in the mid-19th century and in the development of biology itself as a science was played by discoveries made by Charles Darwin (1809-1882).

The elaboration of Darwin's theory of evolution was linked to dialectical forms of thinking. A morphological atudy of different species of fauna and flora led to the discovery of their internal genetic line of development and to a historical organisation of different levels of the organic world. In an evaluation of the historic importance of Marxist acciology, Lenin streaged that a comparison of Marx's discovery with that by Darwin was profoundly justified.

The fact that the analysia of the laws of evolution of apeciea took into account the experimental practice of generationa, i.e. artificial aelection, with a corresponding adjustment of speciea to their natural environment, was an enormously important element of Darwin's diacovery. Darwin's work as a salectioniat enabled him to understand the rols of man's transformation activity as regards organic nature, and to see it as analogous to the natural evolution of the organic world.

^{1.} Frederick Engala, Dialactica of Nature, p. 68.

Marxist Philosophy and tha Great Discoveries in Natural Science in tha 19th century At the time Marxist philosophy amerged, a number of impartant branches of natural science were moving from the data collaction stags to the generalisation stage. Besides

a synthesis of individual sciances bacomenessery. "In doing so, however," Engals wrota, "natural science enters the field of theory end hera the methods of empiricism will not work, here only theoretical thinking can be of nasistance."

The great discoverias in natural science made in tha mid-19th century creeted the need for new theoratical mathods of research and generalisation. The category of polarity, expressing the unity and conflict of apposites, became the most important cetegory in physics.

The discovery of the law of conservation and transformation of energy was of immanse importance in the development of the 19th century natural science. The law demonstrated the indivisibility of matter and motion and the fact that univerand interrelationship and interaction of different forms of motion were the only objective cause of changes occurring in neture. As a demonstration of an inalienable relationship of motion and matter, and of it not having been created and incapable of being destroyed, the law of conservation and transformation of energy became a form of dislactical underatanding of motion from the viewpoint of natural science. The objective content of the lew showed that when cognition has to deel with general laws of metter and its universel forms of existence, physics enters the sphere of philosophy, and

Chemistry, on the hasis of the atomistic theory and the single theory of chemical composition, and especially of the periodic tehle of the elements discovered by Dmitry Mendeleyev (1834-1907), the eminent Russian chemist, not only entered the stege of generalisation of its branches, but elso began establishing the interrelationship with physics.

^{1.} Frederick Engels, Dielectics of Nature, p. 42

The significance of Hagel's philosophy consisted in his analysis of basic laws and categories of dislectics, which ha treated as a scientific method.

Important as Hegol's philosophy was, it was atill based on the false premise of philosophical idealism. Marx and Engels reappraised and refined Hegal's dialectics from a materialistic atandpoint to create a new, materialist doctrina of dialectical development of nature, society and human thinking.

The msterialist philosophy of German philosopher Ludwig Feuerbach (1804-1872), aspecially his <u>Das Wesen des Christentums</u> (The Essence of Christianity, 1841) played an important role in the elaborstion of a msterialistic world outlook by Marx and Engals. In that work Fauerhach proclaimed the triumph of msterialism and stheism while sharply criticising Hegel's idealism. This accelerated the move by Marx and Engals to the position of philosophical materialism. Fauerbsch's msterialism, however like that of the French materialists of the 18th century, had serious limitations. In particular, Fauerbsch failed to understand the acisntific importance of Hegel's dialectics and remained as a whole, a metaphysical materialists.

A major rola in the approach to Marxiat philosophy, espacially to the Marxist theory of historical materialism, was played by the ideas of the utopism ascialism of Saint-Simon (1760-1825), Fourier (1772-1837) and Owen (1771-1858), whose criticism of the capitalist order was utilized by the founders of Marxiam in the elaboration of their philosophical doctrine. Of considerable importance in the elaboration of tha philosophical doctrine of Marxiam were economic theories of British economiats Adam Smith (1723-1790) and David Ricardo (1772-1823), in particular the labour theory of value.

The philosophical doctrine of the great teacher of the working class K. Marx was, according to V.I. Lenin, a direct and immediate continuation of the doctrine of the greatest in philosophy, political economy and socialism. Not only did Marx continue the doctrines of his predecessors,

Hegel and Feuerbach, economiats Adam Smith and in Ricardo, utopian socialists Smith-Simon, Fourier and

on of the 18th century, the author of the utopian idea of the Hepuhlic of Equala, and the moat consistent theoretician of the rationaliam of the Enlightenment. The programme of nationaliaation of each and everykind of property, proclaimed by Babeuf as the extreme form of social protect of the maasea, was to serve later as a theoretical source of left-wing radicaliam. This tradition was continued in the aocial philosophy accompanying the French Revolution of 1830-1848, most remarkably expounded hy Louis Auguste Blanqui.

The egalitarian Blanquiat theoriea which were spresding at the time when Marxiam was increasingly penetrating the workers' movement in the West, often played a conservativa role, heing a theoretical source of various currents of utopian socielism with conservative and even reactionary aspects. These schools of thought were represented by Proudhon, the sutbor of Philosophy of Poverty, and Dühring, whose names went down in the blatery of philosophy and political thought mainly hecsuse their views became the subject of brilliant criticism, leading to the profound elaboration of the doctrine of acientific communium, by K. Marx and F. Engels in their works, The Poverty of Philosophy and Anti-Dühring.

Sources of Marxiet Philosophy

Marxiam immediately followed German classical philosophy of the late 18th and early

19th centuriea, represented primarily by Hegel and FeuerhachHegel (1770-1831) was a major German classical philosopher of the early 19th century. "This new German philosophy
culminated in the Hegelian ayatem," Engels wrote. "In this
ayatem - and herein ie its great merit - for the first time
the whole world, natural, historical, intellectual, is repreaented as a process, i.e., as in constant motion, change, transformation, development; and the attempt is made to trace out
the internal connection that makes a continuous whole of all
this movement and development."

^{1.} F. Engels, Anti-Dühring, p. 34.

The significance of Hegel'a philosophy consisted in his analysis of hasic laws and estegories of dislectics, which he treated as a scientific method.

Important as Hegel's philosophy was, it was atill based on the false premise of philosophical idealiam. Marx and Engels reappraised and refined Hegel's dialectics from a materialistic standpoint to create a naw, materialist doctrina of dialectical development of nature, society and human thinking.

The materialist philosophy of German philosopher Ludwig Feuerhach (1804-1872), aspecially his <u>Das Wesen des Christentums</u> (Tha Essence of Chriatianity, 1841) played an important role in the elahoration of a materialistic world outlook by Marx and Engals. In that work Fauerbach proclaimed the triumph of matarialiam and atheism whila sharply criticising Hegel'a idealism. This accelerated the move by Marx and Engels to the position of philosophical materialiam. Feuerhach'a materialiam, however like that of tha French materialiata of tha 18th century, had serious limitations. In particular, Feuerbach failed to understand the acientific importance of Hegel'a dialactics and remained as a whole, a metaphysical materialiat.

A major role in the approach to Marxist philosophy, especially to the Marxist theory of historical materialism, was played by the ideas of the utopian socialism of Saint-Simon (1760-1825), Fourier (1772-1837) and Owen (1771-1858), whose criticism of the capitalist order was utilised by the founders of Marxism in the elaboration of their philosophical doctrine. Of considerable importance in the elaboration of tha philosophical doctrine of Marxism were economic theories of British economists Adam Smith (1723-1790) and David Ricardo (1772-1823), in particular the labour theory of value.

The philosophical doctrine of the great teacher of the working class K. Marx was, according to V.I. Lenin, a direct and immediate <u>continuation</u> of the doctrine of the greatest minds in philosophy, political economy and aocislicm. Not only did Marx continue the doctrinas of his predecessors, philosophers Hegel and Feuerbach, economists Adam Smith and David Ricardo, utopian socialiats Smint-Simon, Fourier and

Owen. On the basis of the theoretical achievaments of their predecessors K. Marx sod F. Engels crasted a philosophical doctrins which was new in principle, and which represented, for the first time in the history of philosophy, a combination of philosophical materialism and dislactical methodology, and the first scientific and materialistic explanation of the life of human society.

This become a genuine revolution in science. The science of philosophy which before the time had been the domain of s limited number of educated people was turned by Marx and Engels into s revolutionary instrument for transforming the world in the hands of the proletarist. "Marx's philosophical materialism," Lenin wrote, "has shown the proletarist the way out of spiritual slavery in which all oppressed classes have hitherto languighed,"

The Crestion of Dislecticsl and Historical Materialism: s Revolution in the History of Philosophy The theoretical revolution effected by K. Marx and F. Eogels in philosophy and their critical re-svaluation of preceding philosophical knowledge are parts of an

integrated creative process which became the basis for the emergence of Marxist scientific philosophy. According to R. Emgels, slresdy at the time when the new philosophical theory emerged the solid basis of old materialism was supplemented by the entire theoretical cootent of two thousand years of the development of philosophy and natural science and the two millennia of history itself. The entire development of philosophy following that time has been taking place on the basic of generalization of scientific development and sasential changes in cocial life, which fully justifies the description of Marxist philosophy as a scientific one.

The essence of the theoretical revolution carried out by Marx and Engels in philosophy lies in their elaboration of a scientific world outlook of the working class, io the epplica-

^{1.} v.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 28.

tion of msterisliam to the explanation of social phenomena, in s materialistic justification of the role of practice, in the elaboration of the principle of unity of theory and practice, in the creation of a aingle materialist dislecticsl conceptions. "The spplication of materialist dialectics to the reshsping of sll political economy from its foundations up, its application to history, natural science, philosophy and to the policy and tactics of the working class -that was what interested Marx and Engels most of all, that was where they contributed what was most essential and new, and that was what constituted the masterly advance they made in the history of revolutionary thought."1

The founders of Msrxism revesled the real basis of all socisl relations, i.e. material production, which determines the existence and functioning of all the other components of the social organism. A study of the mstsrisl production system enabled Marx and Engels to elaborate a theory on the decisive role of popular massea in history.

Marxist philosophy overcame the passive and contemplstive nature of old materialism which was one of its deficiencies, since humsn cognition is not limited to a passive observation of current processes in nature and society. According to Engels, "it is precisely the slterstion of nature by men, not solely nature as such, which is the most essential and immediate basis of human thought."2 According to Marx's and Engels'a doctrine, cognition is s part of human sctivities which is insliensbly linked to practice and based thereon.

Marxiat Philosophy and Other Sciencea Dialectical and historical materialism is a general theoretical and philosophical

basis of Marxiam, of its political aconomy, scientific accialiam, atrategy and tactica of Marxist parties. The internal

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 554.

^{2.} Prederick Engels, Dialactica of Natura, p. 231.

harmony, intagrity, iron logic and consistancy of Marxiam, acknowledged even by Marx's opponenta, are the result of the application in all component parts of Marxiam of a single method, of a single world outlook. Dislectical and historical materialism are the world outlook of the revolutionary working class and its Marxist parties. No thorough understanding of Marxism-Leniniam is possible without a comprehension of Marxist philosophy.

What, then, is the subject matter of Marxist philosophy? How is Marxist philosophy related to other sciences — physica, mathematica, chemistry, hiology, social history, sociology, literary studies, etc., and other individual sciences? A historical approach to the above quastions facilitates an answer to them, since Marxist philosophy is the natural result of the antire preceding development of advanced philosophical and scientific thought. It represents a qualitatively new, superior stage in the development of philosophy.

Religious and sythological world outlook characteristic of the primitive acciety is known to be the first form of outlook historically. "Philosophy," Marx wrote, "first builds itself up within the religious form of conscioueness, and in so doing on the one hand destroy religion sa such, while on the other hand, in its positive content, it still noves only within this religious sphers, idealised and reduced to tarms of thought."

The advent of slavery and growth of knowledge had as an inevitable consequence the dacay of mythological consciousness. The emergence of philosophy is, essentially, a process wherehy mythological consciousness yields to accentific and theoretical thinking, with a scientific world outlook emerging.

The philosophy of antiquity emerged as natural philosophy. It comprised all the knowledge of the world accumulated by the time, including that concerning man's immediate natural environ ment, celestial bodies, the buman heing, etc. "Among the Greeks --," Engels wrote, "just because they were not yst advanced

Karl Marx, Theories of Surplua-Value, part I, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 52.

enough to dissect, analyse nature -- neture is still viewsd as a whole, in general. The universal connection of netural phenomena is not proved in regard to psrticulsrs; to the Greeks it is the result of direct contemplation. *I Philosophy includes all knowledge, becomes the science of everything, whose subject is the entirs man's environment. The last philosopher to attempt to embrace all the knowledge available at the time was https://www.nie.gov/aristotle/ (384-322 B.C.).

Over the fourth and third centuries B.C. acientific cognition of the world underwent significant changes. Under the influence of demands of social production, the need was increasingly arising for a more detailed study of individual phenomena and process: the position of aters hed to be studied for sea nsvigation, geometry for measuring lend plots, medicine developed as a result of the need for medical treetment, etc. Specific sciences were branching out of philosophy. The process was initially slow, then grained momentum. The compartmentalisation of science wes most sctive in the 16th-18th centuries when it was caused by the development of capitalist production, the need for understanding different natural phenomens for their use in industry. Production naeds served as an impetus for the emergence and development of mechanics, physics, chemistry, to be followed by biology, etc.

As individuel specific aciences developed, the single spproach to the world and nature characteristic of antique philosophy was lost, with each science desling with its own lews, specific sets of phenomena in isolstion from the other sciences. What was the role of philosophy under the circumetsnees?

Philosophy sttempted somehow to preserve its dominant position in scientific knowledge and to establish relation-ehips emong different fields of knowledge. It become a kind of an encyclopsedis of human knowledge while laying claima to the role of the <u>science of sciencee</u>. As such, philosophy undertook to be a substitute for specific sciences in the solution of difficult specific problems; in the procese,

35

3*

^{1.} Frederick Engels, Dialectics of Nature, pp. 45-46.

instead of performing experiments or mathematical calculations, it spprosched the problems of natural science in a number speculative manner, through logic.

Describing the philosophy of the 18th and early 19th centuries, Engels said that it put "in place of the real hult as yet unknown interconnections ideal, fancied ones, filling in the missing facts by figments of the mind... In the course of this procedure it conceived many hrilliant ideas and foreshadowed many later discoveries but it also produced a considerable amount of nonsense..." Among these hrilliant ideas and forecasts were the atomistic hypothesis, the satablishment of an interrelationship of electrical and magnetic phenomens, the formulation of the law of the conservation of matter and motion, etc.

As specific sciences developed, however, philosophy was increasingly losing relevance as a substitute for these sciences, end increasingly "producing nonsense". The science of sciences was losing ground under the pressure of specific acisnoes. The last philospher to attempt to preserve philosophy as the science of sciences was Hsgel.

In the 1830s and early 1840s, philosophy as the science of sciences finally lost its relevance. This was caused, besides the development of cognition itself and rapid progress of natural aciences, mostly by socio-sconomic changes. By the mid-19th century, capitaliam was already established as a social system, with its progressiveness turning into conservation and even reaction. Increasingly often bourgeois philosophera displayed a tendancy to separata, and later to oppose acience and world outlook to each other; they were not interested in the progress of world outlook, in asseing the world as constantly changing and developing. They claimed that there was development in acience and virtually none in the field of world outlook. This doctrine in bourgaoia philosophy is known as positiviem.

Karl Marx, Frederick Engels, Selected Works in three volumes, Vol. 3, pp. 364-365.

As a philosophical doctrine, <u>positivism</u> contends that all genuinc or "positive" knowledge can only be obtained from individual specific sciences and that philosophy as an independent science has no right to exist. Positivism was founded by Auguste Coute (1798-1857), the French philosopher, and British philosopher Herbart Spencer (1820-1903).

Since its emergence in the 1830s, positivism over its history underwent changes and exists in current hourgeois philosophy as empiriocriticism, logical positiviam and analytical philosophy. Positivism, especially st its sarlier stages, rejected the need for philosophy in scientific cognition. "Each science is s philosophy in itself, down with philosophy," the positivists said. Positivism is reactionary not only in that it rejects the need for theoretical generalisations, for the elsboration of s general theory, for the spplication of philosophical methods of cognition, thua dslaying scientific cognition, but also in that it stands against ths world outlook, against ideology and analysis of general laws of social development. Under the guiss of rejecting the nsed for ideology, positivism stands for an ideology of passivaness and futility. Since its amargence, positivism has been directed against the class struggls of the proletsriat.

Thus, positivism emerged at the time of the demise of philosophy as the science of aciences. On the other hand, under the influence of the unfolding struggle of the working class, of its needs, of its awareness of its historic mission, of the elaboration of objective laws of historical development, of outlining its historic purposes and tasks, Marxism was emerging. Marx and Engela led the philosophy out of the impasse it found itself in as the science of sciences, protected it from the destructive influence of positivism and found the real place and importance of philosophy within the system of scientific knowledge.

Among the elements of revolutionary changes in philosophy effected by Marx and Engels were an elaboration of the aubject of philosophy and a study of the nature of those objective laws which form the real subject for philosophical atudy.

Objective laws are studied by all sciences. If philosophy was to be a science, rather than a futils mantal exercise, it too had to study certain laws. Each science, mathematics, physics, chemistry, political economy, literary criticism, etc., has a certain limited set of laws to study. Mathematics, for one, is the science of the laws of quantitative relationships and spatial forms in the real world. Physics is the science of matter and the laws of physical processes. Biology is the science of the laws of live matter. Literary criticism is the science of the laws of literature ss an artistic process and the historical processes of its development, etc. Esch science has its own set of laws which changes historically as the science develops while alwave remaining enscific, definite and relatively narrow. Each science, while influencing other sciences, is never a substitute for them in research. Thus, contemporary physics, studying the interaction of elementary particles and fields, does not analyse the process of life, which is the subject of biology; biology, in turn, does not interfere with physics. etc.

Mayertheless, in spite of the relatively isolated nature of physical, biological and social processes, they all have important common elaments joining them together. They are all objective, cognisable by human consciousness and thinking, and thus are somehow related to this consciousness; they all experience changes and transformations and are thus subject to the laws of motion and devalopment. In other words, all the diverse processes in the world, whose laws are studied by various specific sciences, have common properties, interrelationabiles, laws of motion and development. In short, basides the specific laws applied in relatively nerrow aphares, lawsofamore general nature exist, applicable to all phenomens and processes. It is these laws that fore the subject of philosophical study.

Thus, harriar-Leminist philosophy is the science of the laws of the relation of consciousness to the objective world, of the sore general laws of sotion in nature, society and husan thinking.

Specific and more gensral lnws do not exiat in iaolation; on the contrary, they are closely interrelated, interwoven and mutually conditioned. It is for this reason that specific sciences and philosophy interact with each other.

Relationship of Knowlsdge in Philosophy and Natural Science

Whnt is the nature of the relationship between Marxist-Leniniat philosophy and specific sciences? This nature ia a bilataral one.

On the one hand, in the slaboration of general lawa philosophy utiliaea the developments in specific sciencea, builds them up and generalisea tha results of acientific research. The development of scientific cognition provides an increasing amount of material for philosophical generalisation. And, as Engela pointed out, "with each epoch-making discovery even in the sphers of natural aciencs it (materialiam -- Ed.) has to change its form", has to develop as scientific knowledge dosa. To be auccsasful in philosophical activity, a philosopher must be profoundly knowledgeable and advanced culturally.

On the other hand, philosophy as the science of the most general laws of the world serves as the methodological and epistemological basis of acientific cognition. Most general knowledge offered by philosophy is the initial basis for the cognition of specific processes, a cartain guide in the approach to the atudy of an unknown subject. A scientist knowledgeable in philosophy undertaking the study of the unknown would already know something about his subject since it conforms to the most general lawa of motion.

The importance of philosophy in the scientific creative Process was pointed out by great natural scientists. As Albart Einstein (1879-1955) noted, at present, a physiciat has to daal with philosophical problams to a much greater extent than Preceding generations of physiciats had to. Physiciata ara

^{1.} Karl Marx, Frederick Engels, Selacted Works in three volumaa, Vol. 3, p. 348.

forced to do so by the difficulties of their own science. A more elaborate definition of the place of philosophical problems in contemporery physics was given by Max Born (1882-1970), one of the founders of quantum mechanics. In his book Physics in My Generation's Life he writes, inter alis. "In the study of thing in their sutirety, s physicist st every nten esconntera logical end epieterological difficulties; and although the physicist deals only with a limited field of knowledge to the exclusion of such phesosens as life or consciousness. etill the solution of these logical and epistemological probleme represents the profound need of our quest for knowleden" 2

Biels Bohr (1885-1962), the great 20th-century physicist. accorded medor attention to work on the philosophical problene of science: his srticles were published in the book Atonic Physics and Hugen Cognition. Work on philosophical problems by Werner Heisenberg (1901-1976), another founder of modern . wes reflected in his book Philosophical Problems of

- ern Atomic Physics. The list of examples could be continu-· ther ell decometrate that scientific philosophy is an
- part of scientific cognition of the world. an esses-

ial element of scientific development.

The scientists who edhers to positivism, reject the importance of philosophy for scientific cognition and are doing here priserily to science itself, as they lisit its possibilities. With reference to such ecientiets. F. Engels said. "Netural accentists may edopt whatever attitude they plasss, they ere still under the domination of philosophy. It is only s question whether they want to be dominated by a bad. Isshionable philosophy or by a form of theoretical thought which reats on ecqueintence with the history of thought and its achievsments. "3

^{1.} A. Einstein, Collection of Scientific Works, Vol. 4, Nauka Publishers, Moscow, 1967, p. 248 (Russ. ed.).

^{2.} K. Born, "Fiziks v zhizni mosgo pokoleniye". Inostrannaya Litersture Publishers, Moscow, 1963, p. 78 (Russ. ed.). 3. Fredsrick Engels, Dialectice of Neture, p. 210.

Marxiat-Leninist philotophy dialectical and historical materialism becaus the philosophical basis for the most fruitful development of specific sciences. That is due to the fact that this philosophy reveals the dislectical character of nature and of society, elaborates subjective dislectics, i.e. the dislectics of mental processes, studies the most general laws of nature, society and thought, finds the ways for the penetration of human thought into the essence of objective reality.

Msrxiat philosophy is creative, it continuously develops and improves, sequiring new content. Its davelopment takes place primarily together and in close connection with the development of other sciences, within a single system of cognition of the world by man.

Further, not only does Marxist philosophy develop on the basis of other sciences by generalising their achievements; it also progresses on its own, hy improving its sat of catagories, discovering new connections and relations between concepts, analysing mental processes, etc.

Social progress in all its manifestations is one of the basic sources and moving forces of the devalopment of Marxiat philosophical knowledge. Social life the production of material goods, intellactual activities, social relations, class struggla, the state and political systam, etc. develop continuously, providing new material for comprehensive philosophical analysis, for further development of philosophy. The development of Marxist-Leninist philosophy is based primarily on the unfolding of the world revolutionary proceas — the building of accislism and communism, the class atruggle of the working people in capitaliat countries, national liberation revolutions, and the atruggle for national, economic and political independence of peoplea.

Msrx and Engela worked on their philosophy, in the courae of more than half a century, in close relation with all the social phenomens of their time.

It is with the sctivities of V.I. Lenin in the historical setting of the 20th century that the next atage in the development of Marxist philosophy is linked.

3. The Leniniat Stage in the Development of Marxiat Philosophy

Historical Background of the Leninist Stage

In the 1ste 1800s, capitalism entered the highest atsge of its historical davelopment,

the ataga of imperialism.

The imperialist stage is characterised by a further development of productive forces, by a concentration of production and capital in the hands of monopolistic associations, by a sharp deterioration of contradictions between the accial nature of production and the capitalist form of appropriation. With the advant of imperialism, a real possibility arises of a revolutionary transformation of capitalist acciety into a accialist one, albeit in one country at the initial stage.

At the turn of the 20th century, the centre of the world revolutionary movament moved from Western Europa to Russia. The working class of Russia, led by the party of Le-inist type, succeeded in establishing its hagemony in bourgeois-democratic revolution, completed it and led the struggls of the working meases for a socialist revolution. The age of social uphesvals and ravolutions hegsn. The new historical situation gave rise to a vital need for a creative development of Marxist world outlook, for enriching it theoretically, reflecting, by means of generalisation, the laws and distinguishing features of imperialism and of the class struggle of international proletarist. The decisive role in the solution of this historical task was played by V.I. Lenin.

"Leninism is the new, highest stage of Marxiam, ita creative development under new historical conditions, under the conditions of imperialism and proletarian revolutions, of mankind's transition from capitalism towards socialism and communicam... The Leninist atage in the development of revolutionary theory is the Marxism of the 20th century, the Marxism of the current age."

Founders of Scientific Communism. Theses on the 150th birth anniversary of Karl Marx, Moscow, 1968, pp. 15-16 (in Russian).

The historic role of Lsnin as n thinker and philosopher consists in his dovelopment of Marxist theory by means of a scientific analysis and gonoralisation of fundamental processes in acience and within the capitalist social formation of the lats 19th and early 20th centuries.

Lenin's contribution of world historic importance also consisted in his defence of the revolutionary essence of Marxist theory in a consistent ntruggle against social democratic reformism and various manifestations of right-wing and "laft"-wing revisioniam.

A strictly scientific spproach to the solution of social and theoretical probloms is characteristic of Leniniam. If in the age of Marx and Engels many tasks could only be formulated in a general, theoretical form, they acquired a practical meaning and relevance for the first time in the age of Leniniam.

Historical experience has demonstrated that Lenin's theory of socialist ravolution was correct and the dislectical materialist conception of the communist formation development viable.

A Revolution in Natural Science and the Development of Marxist Philosophy by Lenin The onset of the Leninist etage in the devslopment of Marxiet philosophy is also linked to the revolution in natural ecience which took place in the late 19th and

early 20th century and had an enormous philocophical importance, eince it provided a more profound acientific view of the world and confirmed that the dislectical materialist conception of Marxiem was correct.

"The latest discoveries of natural acience -- radium, electrons, the transmutation of elemente," Lenin wrote, "have been a ramarkable confirmation of Marx's dislectical materialism..."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 24

At the same time, a contradiction energed between the dislectical nature of phononena discovered by physice, and the metaphysical epproach to matter and its attributes. It became the besis of "physical idealism" of the sarly 20th century.

"The essence of crisin in modern physics," Lenin wrots, "consists in the break-down of the old laws and heafe principles, in the rejection of an objective reality existing outside the mind, that is, in the replacement of materialism by idealism and agnosticism."

In a philosophical generalisation of latest discoveries in natural science, Lenin revealed the spistamological causes of the crisis in physica while slaborating the methodological means of overcoming it.

Lenin's justification of the need for application by physicists of a dielectical materialist method of thinking wee accompanied by hie denunciation of attempts by idealists to cepitalise on the difficulties encountered by the current physics in its development. Lenin's atruggle with the proponente in "physical idealism" was concarned mainly with the problems, of matter, motion, time, space, causality, atc.

If Marxiet philosophy was to he defended against its theoretical opponents, en enswer was needed to questione posed by the development of scientific cognition and social life. Lenin's <u>Materialiem and Empirio-Criticism</u> was the philosophical work to solva the new theoretical problems and to contain a devestating criticism of "lateat" anti-Marxist philosophical concepts.

V.I. Lenin's <u>Fhilosophical Notehooks</u>, although not completed, helong smong the most outstanding works of Marxist philosophical thought.

Lamin's philosophical notehooks represent a further comprehensive development of Narxist dialectics. It involved the elaboration of doctrines on:

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 258

- the unity and conflict of oppositas as the nucleus and essence of dislectice;
 - 2) dialectica as a system of inter-related elementa;
- 3) catagories of dislactica as the logical generalisation of man's practice, the aum total of the development of the history of cognition;
- unity of dislectics, logics and the theory of knowledga;
- the character and role of contradictions within the aystams of aocial relations under capitalism and under aocialism.

V.I. Lenin elaborated and daveloped the brilliant idsas of the founders of Marxist philosophy concerning the role of practics in the theory of knowledge as the decisive means of verifying the genuineness of man's knowledge. "The standpoint of life, of practice, should be first and fundamental in the theory of knowledge."

In an elaboration of Marx's doctrine of cognition, Lenin developed the doctrine of reflaction. For the first time in Marxist literature, Lenin formulated a provision concerning a lesp in the avolution of forms of raflaction.

Obviously, Lenin's contribution to Marxist dislectica, acientific methodology of cognition and revolutionary practica is not limited to thase significant aspects.

The imperialist epoch has introduced a number of changea in the economica and politics of capitalism, in the correlation of claases and forms of their atruggle, and accelerated the liberation movement of the peoples of colonial and dependent countries. To give correct guidance to the proletariat and its revolutionary party in the solution of the problems they faced, a scientific explanation of distinctive features of the new historical situation was required. Isnin carried out this task successfully by applying materialist dialectica to a study of imperialism, thus developing historical materialism further.

Lenin consistently upheld the Marxist provision whereby historical materialism is an application of principles and

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected works, Vol. 14, p. 142.

At the same time, a contradiction emerged between the dialectical nature of phanomena discovered by physica, and the metaphysical approach to matter and its attributes. It became the basis of "physical idealism" of the early 20th century.

"Tha <u>assence</u> of crisis in modern physics," Lenin wrota, "consists in the break-down of the old laws and basic principles, in the rejection of an objective reality existing outside tha mind, that is, in the replacement of materialism by idealism and smoothcism."

In a philosophical generalisation of latest discoveries in natural science, Lenin revealed the epistemological causes of the crisis in physics while elaborating the methodological means of overcoming it.

Lenin's justification of the need for application by physiciats of a dialectical materialist method of thinking was accompanied by his denunciation of attempts by idealists to capitalise on the difficulties encountered by the current physics in its development. Lenin's struggle with the proponents of "physical idealism" was concerned mainly with the problems, of matter, motion, time, space, causality, atc.

If Marxist philosophy was to be defended sgainst its theoretical opponents, an answer was needed to quastions posed by the development of scientific cognition and social life. Lenin's <u>Materialism and Empirio-Criticism</u> was the philosophical work to solve the new theoretical problems and to contain a devastating criticism of "latest" anti-Marxiat philosophical concepts.

V.I. Lenin's <u>Fhilosophical Notebooks</u>, although not completed, belong among the most outstanding works of Marxist philosophical thought.

Lenin's philosophical notebooks represent a further comprehensive development of Marxist dislectics. It involved the elaboration of doctrines on:

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collacted Works, Vol. 14, p. 258

- 1) the unity and conflict of opposites as the nucleus and essence of dislactics:
 - 2) dislectics as a system of inter-related slements;
- 3) cetegories of dialectics as the logical generalisation of man's practice, the sum total of the devolopment of the hietory of cognition;
- 4) unity of dialectics, logics and the theory of know-ledge;
- 5) the character and role of contradictions within the systems of social relations under capitalism and under societiem,

V.I. Lenin elaborated and developed the brilliant ideas of the founders of Marxist philosophy concerning the role of prectice in the theory of knowledge as the decisive means of verifying the genuineness of msn's knowledge. "The standpoint of life, of practice, should be first and fundamental in the theory of knowledge."

In an elaboration of Marx's doctrins of cognition, Lenin devaloped tha doctrine of reflection. For the first time in Marxist literature, Lenin formulated a provision concarning a leep in tha evolution of forms of reflection.

Obviously, Lenin's contribution to Marxist dialectics, scientific methodology of cognition and revolutionary practice is not limited to these significant aspects.

The imperialist epoch has introduced a number of changes in the economics and politics of capitalism, in the correlation of classes and forme of their struggle, and accelerated the liberation movement of the peoples of colonial and dependent countries. To give correct guidance to the proleteriat and its revolutionary party in the solution of the problems they faced, a scientific explanation of distinctive features of the new historical situation was required. Lenin carried out this task successfully by spplying materialist dialectics to a study of imperialism, thus developing historical materialism further.

Lenin consistently upheld the Marxist provision whereby historical materialism is an application of principles and

^{1. 7.1.} Lenin, Collected works, Vol. 14, p. 142.

categories of dislectical materialism to the cognition of social phenomena. Thus Lenin regarded historical materialism as a method making possible the use of cognition of the laws of existance and of the functioning of capitalism to offect its revolutionary transformation into socialism.

Lenin's acciological works contain a profound snalysis of the relationship between social heing and social consciousness in cepitalist society and the special role played by progresaive idees in the struggle for e radical chenge in capitalist social relations.

Lenin devaloped Marx's concept of man as a subject cogniaing the world end trensforming reality. In the process of transforming reality, man changes himself, acquires an outlook which reflects eociel relations. To determine specific historical types of the relations, Herxiam worked out the socio-economic relations, and the causes of existence of the ideological superstructure. At the imperialist stage of develormant, Lenin made this theory more specific.

"Marxism," Lenin wrota, "indicated tha way to an all-ambracing and comprehensiva atudy of the process of the risa, development, and decline of accio-economic systems."

A accial formation is based on a certain level of development of productive forces and on their nature. The mechanism of emergence and change of formations is as follows: The acquiring new productive forces men chenge their mode of production; and in changing their mode of production, in changing the way of earning thair living, they change all their escial relations. "2 A chenge of escial relations, rooted in the degree of development of material production, represents a move from one formation to enother.

Lenin etreaced that the Marxiat theory of eccio-economic formations were methodological means for revealing the dialectics of the historical process. The nature of classes,

^{1.} V.I. Lenin. Collected Worke, Vol. 21, p. 57.

Kerl Marx, Frederich Engele, Collected Works, Vol. 6, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1976, p. 166.

their relations and struggle, their relation to state, politics, religion, the level of scientific development, etc., which are specific for each formation, all determine the content and the form of apiritual life at each stage.

Marxiat-Leniniat theory of socio-economic formations is a reflection of unity of the general and the spacific in the development of peoples, of continuity and discontinuity of the historical process, which traces the guiding line of mankind's development and the basic qualitatively differing stages of the historical process. This theory offers the key to the explanation of any specific social phenomenon or process in terms of their emergence, development and historical perspective, in their historical continuity.

The Unity of Dialectical and Historical Matarialism

Lenin described dialectical materialiam as the philosophical baais of Marxism, the philoaophy of Marxism, imply-

ing, as a mattar of course, that historical materialism was an organic part of dislactical materialism.

Historical materialism, as an organic component part of dislectical materialism, can be treated only as a ralatively independent accentific disciplina.

Engela and Lemin isolated historical materialism on purposs, as a rule, to atress the new elements introduced by Marxism in social science.

Lenin, placing hiatorical materialism in the framework of Marx's integral philosophical theory as complete philosophical materialism, still pointed out the special role of historical materialism. Marx's "historical materialism," Lenin wrote, "was a great achievement in scientific thinking. The chaos and srbitrariness that had previously reigned in views on history and politics were replaced by a strikingly integral and harmonious scientific theory, which shows how, in consequence of the growth of productive forces, out of one system of social life another and higher system develops—how capitalism, for instance, grows out of feudalism."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 25.

The monism of Marxist philosophy lies in s consistent application of the principle of materialism to the study of nature, society and thinking. The organic interrelationship of nature, society and thinking is reflected in Marxist philosophy ss s mutual penatration of estegories of dislectical and historical metarialism. Marxist philosophy put an end to isolated treatment of hasic spheres of being and consciousness, revealed the interrelationship between the unity of these spheres. It is for this reason that the study of dislectical and historical materialism is based on a single set of estegories and a single philosophical nucleus.

It was philosophical monism that became the key used to the enigms of humenity's spiritual life, including the secret of philosophy itself.

The choos reigning supreme in the spprosch to spiritusl life and spiritual phenomena was replaced by a strikingly harmonious theory demonstrating that political and intellactual history of any age reflected its economic history.

At the asme time, the essence and role of all the basic spiritual phenomens were defined, and a determined, law-governed relationship was established between them and their economic basis, and besides that, between themselvas. This should be credited to historical materialism.

The nature of philosophy itself and the nature of dialectical naterialism are only comprehensible within the framework of historical metarialism, this being the most fundamental proof of validity of the principle of unity of dialectical and historical esterialism, of monism of Marxist philosophy.

Lenin pointed out that the application of materialist philosophy to history and eocial acience, and the very ides of materialism in acciology, were brilliant undertakings.

Not only does historical msterialism revsel that sociel consciousness is detarmined by sociel being and by the sconomic system of a eocisty; it reveals the laws of their intersction, of the nature of the reletionship of individual forms of sociel consciousness with the eociety's economic system.

Politice and law, for example, ere most closely linked to the economic system, being a direct and immediate reflection of the interests of classes of the system. On the other hand, Engala deacribed religion and philosophy as ideologies "of a higher order", soaring higher above the rast of them and most datached from the economic system, reflecting it less directly while being, in particular, under the direct and immediate influence of politics.

A study of the basic principle of historical materialiam, than, also magna revesling some specifics of philosophy as a social phenomenon, with the specifica underestendable only in the context of the laws of emergence, functioning and development of accial conaciousness as a whole.

The theoratical revolution in philosophy — the elaboration of dialactical and historical materialism — meant a radical change of the very esacnce of philosophy, overcoming the metaphysical opposition of philosophy to natural acience and practical activity. Dialectical and historical materialism form a single philosophical doctrine which serves simultaneously as the theory of general laws of existence, as the theory of knowledge, and as the theory of a universal method for cognising and transforming objective reality.

Sinca its emerganca, the philosophy of Marxiam has been a creative, developing system of philosophical knowledge. The philosophy of Marxiam has become a great spiritual force for the programs of all humanity.

Chanter I

MATTER AND CONSCIOUSNESS

A materialistic solution of the basic question of philoaophy is not limited to proving that matter is primary and consciousneas secondary; it implies a definition of what is to be considered material and what is deemed spiritual, a atudy of the seasnes of both, a comprehensive justification of the materialist idea of the relationship of consciousness to being and of the spiritual to the material.

A dialectical materialist analysis of these problems represents the basic premise and the most important part of the content of Marxist-Leniniat philosophy. It is this analysis that is dealt with in Chapter I.

1. Matter and Forms of Its Existence

Materialism vs. Idealism

Ws observe an endless variety of objects, phenomens and processes, possessing most diver-

ss properties, in the world surrounding us. What do all the objects and processes represent, what is their basis? Questions like these stready arose in ancient times; they became the subject of special attention at the time of emergence of philosophy. Differing answers were offered by various doctrines, of both materialist and idealistic tendencies.

According to idealist philosophera, some ideal substance (the ssaence, the fundamental principle) was the basis of all the world's objects and phenomena, like the divine will, universal reason, sbsolute idea, spirit, etc; or else, the objects were regarded as a derivative of the inner spiritual world of nan -- his sensations, perceptiona, reason.

At first glance it might seem that this answers the question of the world's origins. The question always arises, however: what is this universal reason, idea, spirit, or human senastions, human reason, etc? What is their besis? What causes their existencs? Another, core profound prine basis could be invented, but the same questions would logically apply to

it as wall. Clearly, this would lesd to an absurd situation, to an endless variety of proposed prime bases.

Already in very encient times, meterialist philosophy, studying the question of the essence of the world, came to the conclusion that the world had not been created by anyone, neither by gods nor by man, but had been in existence eternally, nerely noving from one state to smother; things, phenonens, processes energe and case to exist, but the world itself never disappears. If the world exists, it cannot cease to exist; likewise, how could it not have existed if it exists now? Otherwise questions slways srise to which there is no answer: whereto did the world disappear and whence it came.

The idea that the world came into existence at sometime, or could have in principle, and that the world might in principla cease to exist, was formed and supported through the observation of existence of individual things, objects, and phenomena. Men have always witnessed something or other (e.g., s man, a tree, an animal, a house) come into existence, exist, and disappear. However, what is true for individual things is not true for the world as s whole, since neither the human body nor the trae disappear absolutely, they just move from a living state into a non-living one, become s substance which than forms a part of other things, processes and phenomena.

It was this eternal substance, the meterial which constitutes all objects, phenomens, processes and into which they are transformed again, to emerge from it enew, in another form, that was called matter, i.e. the essence, the underlying basis.

An understanding of matter is the basic element of materialist philosophy, always the subject of major attention of philosophers of the materialist school.

In the process of historical development of philosophical thought, two basic approaches to the explanation of matter emerged. In approximate terms, they could be described as:
(1) the explanation of matter from the standpoint of its structure, and (2) the explanation of matter in terms of solution of the basic question of philosophy. Both spproaches always existed in one variation or another; the forcer, however,

was popular in the earlier period of the development of philosophy, when philosophy represented undivided knowledge. The letter is characteristic of the New Age, the period when specific eciences which hed branched out of philosophy, were developing significantly and undertook a detailed study of both the atructure of natter and of ite elements. Under those circumstances, philosophy concentrated on explaining the essence of matter, its relation to consciousness, on ascertaining what its attributes are, etc.

Theories of Matter in the Philosophy of the Ancient Feet

The earlisst notions of matter and of the structure of the world originated two to three millennia B.C. in the civiligations of the Angient East.

Ancient Egypt and Sumer.

Myths and traditions of the period reflect s division of the world into "sky" and "earth", the earth considered s voluminous mass floating on water. "Water" wes considered to be the basis of all being. The latter ides hed an enormous influences on entire ancient philosophy. According to ancient sources, Thelss, one of Ancient Gresce's first philosophers, "learned from the Egyptians to regard water as the primal matter or principal of all things."

In Ancient India, theories on matter were developed further.

Materialistic schools of Indian philosophy presumed that all being was based on prekriti or predhens - the primal basis of material world in its entirety, cheracterized by omnipresence and unity. Prekriti, however, is not a matter in the form in which the world is perceived. It has meither beginning nor end, is caused by no suprems rasson, and cannot be created or destroyed. The emergence of a single object does not mean creation, while amminilation is not related to the destruction of prekriti. Motion is as eternal as matter is. Motion and change are a property of matter. The antire diversity of phenomena is based on matter (prekriti, predhema), atoms (anu), the material principle (bhuta). Since predhems is not produced of snything, it cen be a product of nothing.

The materialistic school of Indian philosophy, Lokayata, holds that the Universe and all things sxisting thersin were born of themselves, without interference hy any sxtraneous forcs. Four elements, earth, water, fire and air, are eternal and form the prime basia of all things existing. Complicated life forms, rather than emerging all at oncs, evolve from primitive ones over a long period of tims. Consciousness is held to be a quality inherent in man only and representing a special combination of material elements. Reality is a single, though complicated whole, composed of material elements. The material world exiats objectively, yet its existence is independent of the source of knowledgs. An unlimited quantity of impenetrable and indivisible atoms exists in nature. Since all matter is composed of the four elements, all the atoms are divided into four categories.

The reality of existence of the surrounding world is accepted by a number of other schools of Indian philosophy. Thus, one of the basic schools of Buddhist philosophy, Hinayana, accepts that all things perceived by the senses exist in reality, in time and space, and that reason liks other things, is finite. Hinayana accepts the existence of a primal and unalterable substance, composed of five scands, or elements, which can adopt various temporary forms. The elements are composed of atoms having the same qualitative properties. Man, however, is able to perceive only groups, or masses of atoms, since an atom is invisible, indivisible, and imperceptible. Jainist philosophy holds that the world is composed of homogeneous atoms. The Nyaya-Vaisesika system contends that the world exists objectively and is composed of heterogeneous atoms subject to the law of causality, which ia in tura subject to the law of Karma. Minanss also accepts tha real existence of the objective world, composed of atoms, guided by the law of Karma. The Sankhya holds that the world existing in reality is the result of practice having three qualities: sattva - harmonioua balance (light, sparkle, joy), rajas - activity (movement, excitement, suffering), and tanas - inertia (weight, conentua). Prakriti representa mattar wherein all the three qualities are in balance, which lesds to the davelopment of the Universe. No one, bowsver,

can say what disrupts the balance.

Conactoueness originates in the process of the establishment of balance of prakriti, while the spiritual principle plays no limited role in the development of matter. Idealistic echools use the concept of Mays to eliminate the contradiction they arrive at by declaring that matter is a part of God, i.e., spiritual substance. Mays is considered to be a unique, miraculous, inexplicable and divine force of genuine creation, isolating the material substance, which becomes part of the real world, from the spiritual one. In subjective idealietic schools of Indian philosophy, the identification of the object and the subject has led to a rejection of the objects' existence, to a view of world as a combination of dharmas, or slements, which exist instantaneously.

The materialist philosophy of ancient Indis had an enormous influence on the development of philosophical thinking of peoples of the East antiquity and European countries.

Ancient Chinees philosophers, already in the early 1 millennium B.C. also developed a materialiet theory of the world, pointing out its prime elements, like metal, wood, water, finre and earth. The Taoiet school of the 4th-3rd centuries B.C. developed a doctrine on Tao, the most profound basis of all things. Taoieu contained some elements of apontaneous dialectics, se it was considered that nothing was permanent, with everything moving and changing.

Philosophy in

Materialist philosophy in Ancient Grasce enormously influenced the devalopment of world philosophical thinking, founder of ancient materiaeverything come from water

Thalea (610-546 B.C.), the founder of ancient materialist philosophy, contended that everything come from water and returned back into water.

A further atap in the devalopment of materialian was made by <u>Anaximander</u> (c. 610-546 B.C.), who described a single and aternal prime beais of natural phenomena as <u>apeiron</u>, an indeterminate and unlinited matter. According to ancient sources, "Anaximandar, friend of Theles, held that the Unlimited is avery reason for universal creation and destruction. It is from it, he eays, that the skies, and all other worlds, unlimited in number, separated out. He declared that they cesse to exist after the expiration of a very long period after their smergence, all succeeding one another since times immemorial."

Answimenss (c. 585-525 B.C.), Thalse' second discipls, explsined the eternal succession of phenomens as condensation and rarefaction of prime matter - sir. Through condensation it changes into wind, to be followed by cloud, water, earth and stone, and through rerefaction it transforms into fire.

Hersclitus (c. 530-470 B.C.), an eminent materialist and dislactician of Ancient Graecs, held that fire was the primal matter of all natural phanomena. "This one order of all things was crasted by nons of the gods, nor yat by any of mankind," Hersclitus said, "but it ever was, and is, and shall be eternal fire." The world, like fire, is always moving and always changing. V.I. Lanin described Heraclitus as one of the founders of dislactics.

Thsories of Democritus (c. 460-370 B.C.), an anoisnt Grack materialist, became the pinnacle of ancient philosophy in its study of the composition of matter. He was described by Mark and Engels as "the first ancyclopaedic mind among tha Greaks."2 According to Democritus, the Universa was composed of basic indivisible slaments which he called atome (from Gresk atomos, indivisible). Differences between the atoms were dus to their verious shapes, positions, and arrangement. Besides the atoms, a void exists for them to move in. Atoms are naturally endowed with motion. In the procese, they astablish various relationships with one another to form all kinds of objecte, perceived by man. Opposing idealism and religion, Democritus held that the entire universe consisted of atoms, including the soul, which he claimed comprised "subtle" and "tender" atoms. Democritus proposed a single materialiat world outlook thus becoming, according to V.I. Lemin, the founder of the materialist trend in the history of development of philosophy.

^{1.} See V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 346.

^{2.} K. Merx, F. Engela, Collected Worka, Vol. 5, Progrees Publishers, Moscow, 1976, p. 140.

An important contribution to the development of theories of matter wea made by <u>Aristotle</u> (384-322 B.C.). He regarded <u>matter</u> as some kind of a rew material, out of which <u>form</u> created individual perceivable things. "Matter is one," Aristotle asid, "form is another, the one is given in possibility, the other in reality."

While Aristotle's contribution to the development of theories on matter should be singled out, attention should also be drawn to the fact that he oversatizated the importance of form, sesing it as a cartain independent immaterial force born out of entelecty, the form of all forms. It was at this point that Aristotla approached an idealistic position, a deficiency in his philosophy. This Aristotle's approach to entelecty as the non-material prime basis of all things was what European acholasticism of the Middle Ages used to serve the purposes of religion.

Outstanding contributions to the theory of matter were also made by the Greek materialist philosopher <u>Epicurus</u> (341-270 B.C.) and <u>Lucretius Carus</u> (c. 99-55 B.C.), an eminent thinker of Ancient Roce, and others.

The question of origins and development of philosophical thinking of ancient peoples in other continents, in particular Latin America, plays an important role in the history of world philosophy. Data available to modern science serve to refute false Eurocentric and racialist views concerning the alleged "philosophical inferiority" of these peoples, or concerning the exclusive predominance of religious and mythological beliefs in their pre-colonial culture. Like with the peoples of ancient East, Greece and Rome at the age of alave ownerehip, the philosophical thinking of pre-colonial cultures of the peoples of Letin American originated in connection with the application of a single universal law — the separation of intellectual and physical labour, and the division of production into material and spiritual.

Originally, philosophy was a form of comprehension not

Ariatotls, Metafizika, Moscow-Leningrad, 1976, Vol. 1, p. 232 (Russ. ed.).

only of empirical knowledge accumulated by man in the process of practical activity, but also of his religious beliefs.

"Philosophy," Marx pointed out, "first builds itself up within the religious form of consciousness, and in so doing on the one hand destroys religion as such, while on the other hand, in its positive content, it still moves only within this religious sphere, idealised and reduced to terms of thought."

The above is true, for example, for the ancient Inca society, where the norms of primitive customs, art and religion were combined with the norms of approaching life, politics, law, ethics, and art, characteristic of the initial stage of the slave ownership system. It is under the developing slave ownership society that philosophy, as a form of social consciousness, acquired more possibilities for development.

In the mythological system of ancient peoples of the early class society in Mesoamerica, the Scyths Goddsss was the suprems universal being, combining early mythological views on spirit of plants and animals with those of the sky, sarth, time, life and death. The multifaceted nature of the image allowed its further differentiation, increasingly narrowing its functions. This tendency is also evident in mythological formations of the Chavin culture with origins in the latter half of the second millennium B.C. They contained a number of cosmological concepts explaining the Universe and its development through a series of images of fantastic creatures, whose common feature was their existence on Earth. Views on other space, motion, time, space and life, developing within mythology, were playing a cognitive role which was more important than the mythological figures proper.

After the fall of the civiliaation of Ancient East and the Roman Empire and the decomposition of the system of Ownership, while the struggle of materialism and idealism in philosophy continued, philosophical thought was neverthelass subordinate to the church and religion. Church dogma became the premise and the basis of all thinking. Religion was the

Karl Marx, Theories of Surplus-Valus, Part I, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 52.

predominant form of ideology in faudel society; thus, natural actiance, social science and philosophy in their entiraty were brought in accordance with the church doctrine.

Arah Philosophy

In spite of the church opprsssion and the religious form, scientific cognition and phi-

losophy never cessed development. In the Middle Ages, in the late first and early second millennia A.D., acientific and philosophical thought reached a high laval of development in countries of the Arah East.

Rslying on the heritage of earlier civilisations, Indian, Chinese, Greak and Bonsn, the thinkers of Arahia continued to develop the philosophical approach to the world and its structura.

Within Arah philosophy of the Middle Ages, which made a significant contribution to the dayslopment of world philosophical thought, an acuta struggle was taking place between msterialism and idealism. Materialist views, according to which natural phenomena developed in conformity with their laws and had a cause-and-sffect relationship, were held by the prominent representative of Esstern Ariatotalianism al-Kindi (809--879). The Muslim orthodoxy accused him of heresy and condsmned his hooks to hurning: it is for this reason that only exercts from his works aurvived until the present time. Ibn Rushd, s prominent thinker of the Middle Ages who elaborated on the materialistic aspects of Aristotle's system, rejected the religious dogmas that god created world "out of nothing". Hs held that matter was the unique hasis of heing; in his philosophy the matter represented an eternal and universal source of motion. Ibn Rushd was one of the founders of the theory on "duality of truth" which was to gain wide acceptance smong European philosophers subsequently. In accordance with the theory, the truth of philosophy is not in contradiction with the truth of religion, since they apply to differing areas, with religion instructing man as to how one should act and mhilosophy pursuing absolute truth. Materialistic elements in Ibn Ruahd's philosophy, however, coexist with idealism; he held that the "ultimate cause" of haing was deity which, in his words, was "the thought thinking itself."

A combination of msterialist tendencies and theological and raligious views characterisea philosophical theories of al-Farsbi, al-Biruni (c. 973-1048), and Ibn-Sina (c. 980-1037), among other outstanding thinkers of the Middla Ages al-Farabi, for instance, saw God as the prima causa of baing while looking upon the univarae as existing in its own right, idependently of suparnatural forces. Ibn-Sins accepted the objective axistance of nature; in spite of their religioue and theological appearance, his theory of enanation and his ides on the eternal natura of the material world were in contradiction with the religious dogma.

One of the most prominent materialiata in the history of philosophy of the Middla Agea was Ibn-Khaldun, who made the first attempt to approach the quastion of influence of material life on accial development.

An analysis of the development of Arsbien philosophy over the Middle Ages leads to the conclusion that it was based primarily on the struggle between the materialist and idealist tendencies, which is characteristic of the world history of philosophy in general.

Arsbian acientific and progresaiva philosophical thought of the Middla Ages had an enormous influence on the development of philosophy in the entire world, and especially in Europe where, under the influence of the necent capitalist relations, a renaisaence was taking place in the development of arta, aciences, and philosophy. As acience, technology and accial production advanced, creative materialist thinking was developed by European acientists, for whom a study of the atructure and composition of matter was a subject of major attention.

Development of Philosophy in the Ags of the Rensissance and Bourgeois Rsvolutions An outstanding contribution to the evolution of a scientific understanding of the structure of the solar system and the movement of cele-

Stial bodies was made by <u>Ficolaus Copernicus</u> (1473-1543), <u>Giordono Bruno</u> (1548-1600), <u>Johannes Kepler</u> (1571-1630), <u>Galileo Galilei</u> (1564-1642), smong other great acientists. Their scientific studies revived and developed further the stamonistic theories of early Greek philosophers.

If capitalist production was to he devaloped, progress in science, technology and creative thought was required. The nescent hourgeoisie was engaged in a struggle against feudslism and, by the same token, against religious ideology. Thus, prerequisites were being created for a broad development of materialistic thought. In the late 16th century. England hecame one of the most important centres of developing science and materialist philosophy. Britiah meterisliam and all modern experimenting sciences were founded by Francis Bacon. Francia Bacon (1561-1626) concentrated on the elaboration of a scientific method of cognition: vet, his contribution to theories concerning matter was not an ineignificant one. He pointed out the unity of matter and motion, while stressing the multitude of forms of motion of metter. "In Bacon," Marx wrote. "its first crestor, materialism still holds back within itself in s naive way the germs of a many-sided dayelopment. On the one hand, metter, surrounded by a sensuous, poetic glamour, sums to attract man's whole entity by winning emiles nl

Thomse Hohise (1588-1679), another British philosopher, sttempted to spproach matter and the forms of its existence not only from the viewpoint of its structure but, to a significant degree, from the point of view of the solution of the besic question of philosophy. He held that metter in the form of any object existing objectively should he distinguished from the subjective "image of space", and time as movement of an object from the "image of time". The surmised incomplete coincidence of the subjective image and the object was the philosopher's important achievement.

An enormoue contribution to the development of methematica, physica, and especially philosophy, wen made by great French philosopher <u>Rene Deceartes</u> (1596-1650). Matter exiete objectively, he taught, end is composed of particles which ere theorotically infinitely divisible end exiet in a permen-

^{1.} K. Merx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 4, p. 128.

ent mechanical movement. Matter and movement are indestructable.

Philosophers' theoriaa on the veraatility of forms of matter and on the particles whereof it is composed of necessity led to the question of tha unity in this diversity.

Benedict de Spinoza (1632-1677), a prominant Dutch materialist thinker, gave much attention to the question. In Spinoza's philosophy, the concept that all nature is unified and law-governed was expressed in the notion of substance. Substance is the material basis comprising the unity of all things. It is unlimited in time and space. Substance is the prime cause of all being; it does not require a creator and ie self-existent (cause sui). According to Spinoza, substance is one; it exists in different states or "modes".

Descartes also accepted substance as the besis of the Universe; his doctrine, however, held that the basis was two-fold, both material and spiritual, which made Descartes a duslist.

Matsrialist philosophy was further developed by the French materialists of the 18th century, Lemettrie (1709--1751), <u>Diderot</u> (1713-1784), <u>Helvetius</u> (1715-1771), and Holbach (1723-1789). The French materialists' common approach to matter was described by Holbach in his book The System of Nature (Ls systeme de la nature). It was a further elaboration of the approach to matter from the standpoint of the basic question of philosophy. Holbach definee matter as "ell that influences our senece in any metter". The mention of cognicebility of metter, of it being perceiveble by the human senece, in en important positive sepect of the definition. It wee this element of the theory of metter that wee nimed egeinst egnosticiem, the theory that metter is not cogniceble by the conces. This was of major importance in the methodological justification of sciences simed st cognising the material world. The definition of matter offered by Holbach, however, also bad the deficiency of not atreesing tbat matter exiata objectively, independently of human senace.

^{1.} P. Holbach, Sisters prirody (The system of Nature), Noscow, 1940, p. 25 (Ruca. ed.).

Naturally, like the other French matarialista, Holbach repastadly affirmed that matter exiated objectively. Ha failed, however, to streas the objective existence of matter in this definition, thus weskening his position in the atruggle against subjective idealism. The definition also influenced the philosophical outlook of Feuerbach, who approached nature as something material, existing outside human consciousnoss and capabla of being perceived by the eensas. Feuerbach was able, to a degree, to overcome the macheniatic and abstract nature of the 17th-18th century theories on nature and atreased its diversity.

Ideas that the Universa was naterial wers wideapread among pre-Marxian materialist philosophers. A definition of matter offered by the Russian msterislist Nikolsi Chernysheysky (1828-1889) was also in use through the pre-Marxian period. In his The Anthropological Principle in Philosophy ha wrote, "Mattar is what axista. Mattar posaesses qualities. Forces are manifestations of qualities. What we call natural laws are modes of operation of the forces." As we ass, Chernyahavsky, as distinct from Holbach, atresses the objective nature of matter. This was of major importance in the struggla sgainst subjective idealism, which was an attempt to prove that that wiverse is the motion of our assestions, thoughta and experiences. The dafinition of matter offered by Charnyahevsky was also simed sgsinst objective idesliam and its claims that various changes in the world and the laws in effect in nature were manifestations of some absolute idea, spirit, or god. Chernyshevsky, on the other hand, stressed the material character of natural laws.

It should be pointed out, neverthalese, that Chernyshsvaky's definition faile to atrees that objectively exiating natter is cognisable by man and reflected by the seneas; in

N.G. Chernyshevsky, Works, Vol. 14, Moscow, 1950, p. 675, (in Russian).

other words, the definition doss not reflact the second aspact of the besic question of philosophy, that of whether the world is cognisable Chernyshevaky undoubtedly recognised the cognisability of the world and was engaged in a atruggle against agnostician in ganeral, and against Kant in particulsr. Yet, sn insufficiantly clear mention of cognissbility of matter in the definition of the notion of matter served to weaken the position of Chernyahevsky in the atruggle. On these grounds Charnyahevsky was attacked by idealiats and sgnostics. V.I. Lenin repeatedly rose to the defence of Chernyshevsky. In his book Materialism and Empiriccriticism. a special chapter entitled "From What Angle Did N.G. Chernyshevsky Criticise Kantianism?" pointed out: "Chernyshevsky is the only really great Russian writer who ... was able to keep on the level of an integral philosophical materialism and who spurned the wratched nonsense of the neo-Kantisns, positivists, Machists, and other muddlehesds. "1

Msterislist philosophy was enormously successful in the elsborstion of theories on matter and in the struggle sgainst idealism and agnoeticism throughout its long history from the antiquity to the early 19th century. It offered a convincing Justification of the objective nature of matter and of its cogniasbility; in conformity with the level of scientific knowledge, it elaborated a theory on the atructure of matter, on the relationship of matter and motion, and demonstrated the objective nature of space end time.

The theory on matter, however, had ears insufficiencies, which became particularly evident in the mid-19th century, which social production, science and world philosophical thought attained e high level of development. Among these, mention should be made first and foremost of the leck of a comprehensive and systematic study of the concept of matter. The whole picture of theories on matter appears most colourful only from a historical perepective, if the entire history of matterielist philosophy is dealt with. As for individual theories, each suffered from shortcomings even in the context of

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 361.

ita own time. In the mid-19th century it become clear that the most serious of those was the metaphysical approach to understanding matter - matter, motion, apoce, and time wers, more often than not, regarded as inolated and independent concepts, or se independent foundations of the Universe. The unity of matter and motion, if and when upheld, was rather a matter of susassork than of well-founded scientific approach.

A machanism whereby the motion of matter ond changes in nature were regarded as varieties of machanical movement was a significant shortcoming.

The most important drswback of the previous theories on matter was that they could not be extended to social life. Natter was identified with nature, and it was for this reseen that old materialists were unable to pinpoint the material foundations of social life and of the history of mankind.

It took Marx, Engels and Lenin to make a revolutions in the theory on matter as well as other hranches of philosophy.

The Concept of Matter

The most important contribution by the founders of Marxiem to the elaboration of a quali-

tstively new understanding of eatter lies in their discovery of the material foundation of social life. Pre-Marxien philosophy in its entirely, both materislian and idealism, approached the analysis of historical social proceees from idealiet positions. Philosophers preceding Marx thought that social trensformations took place under the impact of the will, intentions and intersets of men, mostly influential persons like kings, emperors, generale, or educationaliete, writere and philosophero. They regarded society sa the sum total of individuols, and man as a being whose determining charecterietic is thinking.

Marx went much further in hie enalysis of the essence of man. He revealed the underlying basis of the formation of all human qualities. This besis is labour, social production activities of zen. Lebour is the meterial process of transformation of natural environment by man. It is not only the nature around can that is modified in the process of labour; one also changes oneself, one's own nature. Man improves his abilities

and knowledge; develops his intallect. For the performance of lsbour, nsn creates and uses worksimplements which he constantly develops; and improves to make the labour more effective. : Rather than take place in isolation, pabour activity is performed_jointly.by large:groupsrof-people; and;objective;inters relationships are formed between them required for the purposes of improving the productivity of labour. or took a contract to : Thus, Marx, revests the objective aprocess ounderlying; sll . . social life. The process comprises labour, social production zactivities, development of ilsbourgimplements, irslations between men in the process of Ishour, their development, rand the m development of man himself; It is this process that forms the matter; of-social life, which is, like nature; sobjective; with it respect to human desires, interests, and thoughts, and isll area spiritual:life; its laws are onot governed by the will of man, like those of nature = On the contrary atherwill cofoman chisue? Wishes, idess, stc. 3 are determined by the imsttsriof social in I life-"The:phantoms:formedcin.ths:brains-of; msn; "eMsrx-and Engels:pointed.out, dusre also, onscessorily, jsublimstes of other their material life-process, which is empirically byerifiable;

and bound to msterisl premises. 1. 10 transferob event decomposition of the msterisl premises. 1. The discovery of the mster of social life introduced what significant changes in the philosophical under administer. The changes consist in a significant widening of the the matter. The changes consist in a significant widening of the concept of matter to include, has iden unture, the foundations of social life. Jv. I. When in pointed jout that Marx had affected "the consistent continuation, and extension of materialism into the domain of accial phenomena". 2 Thus, constar appeared as the genuina basis of the world, both natural cand social. This, in turn, signified the axistence of common material laws of factive both in nature and in speciety, the atudy of which presents a single complete axplanation of the world, vand in particular, of social life. The strate continuation of the social life.

The diacovary of tha quattar of quotial life permitted to at the state of the state

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 21, p. 56.

justify, reveal and develop on a qualitatively new level the provisions surmised by ancient thinkers concerning the diveraity of forms of natter. Marx demonstrated the existence of matter not only in the form of natural elements or processes, but also in the form of social activities, social relations not divisible into material elements. In turn, this meant that its objective nature, its existence outside and independently of human consciousness, was e most important aspect of matter.

Further, the approach to labour as a material process meant that matter was not merely an outside objective environment but also an objective reality which man interacted with while commissing it, meastering it, and introducing certain changes in it.

The discovery of the matter of social life set the stage for s new approach to the motion of matter, to space and time. This is dealt with in the following sections.

Significent changes in the philosophical understanding of matter brought forth by the discovery of the astter of social life resulted in a problem of cardinal importance, that of a comprehensive development of philosophical theory on matter. This required, on the besic of concepts of matter of social life, undertaking an analysis of nature as objective reality, taking into account the latest developments of natural sciences.

Marx end Engele never loat sight of the above task efter elaborating a new approach to matter in the 1840a and 1850s. It was only in the 1870s and 1880s, however, that they concentrated on the elaboration of a comprehensive philosophical theory of matter. This was due to a number of objective circumstances. Primarily, an urgent historical need for the development of a theory of clase atruggle of the proletariat forced Marx and Engels to focus their attention on the development of historical materialism; according to Lenin, they "...epplied — and showed how to apply — this same materialism in the sphere of the social actences..." Secondly.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 336.

this was due to the development of natural science itself. Revolutionary breekthroughs in science, which began in 1840s with the discoveries of the law of conservation end transformetion of energy, of cell structure of living organisms, etc. were completed, in reletive terms, only in the 1870s. In 1886 Engels pointed out that before that time "natural science wea atill in thet process of violent fermentation which only during the leat fifteen years hed reached e clerifying, reletive conclusion. New scientific deta wers ecquired to a hitherto unheerd-of extent, but the establishing of interrelation, and thereby the bringing of order into this chaos of discoveries following closely upon each other's heels, has only quits recently become possible." Since the 1860e end through their later life. Merx and Engels geve much ettention to the study and philosophical enalysis of developments in natural science, in mechanics, physics, chemistry, biology, geology, and mathematics. Research demonstrated that science, by penetrating the fundamental processes of netural environment, revealed the dialectics of nature, the struggle of opposing forces, tendencies and properties characteristic of naturel processes, demonstrated the interreletionship end interdependence of natural phenomena; it demonstrated that nature was characterised by the transition of quantitative changes into qualitative ones, by the emergence of the new and disappearance of the old, by emerging possibilitiss becoming reality, by the sxistence of cause-and-effect reletions, stc.

Social processes, the dsvelopment of sociel production, social progress, cless struggle between the proletariet and the bourgeoisis, revolutionary transformatione, are all merkedly dialsctical in naturs. At the same time, Marx and Engels found that the mods of thinking, methods of research, and the understanding by scientists of the environment and of the achievements of science themselvee had remained unaltered end metaphysical; naturel scientists were prone to treating naturel phenomens and processes in isolation, as if

Karl Marx, Frederich Engela, Sslected Works in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 351.

justify, reveal and develop on a qualitatively new level the provisions surmised by ancient thinkers concerning the diversity of forms of matter. Mark demonstrated the existence of matter not only in the form of natural elements or processes, but also in the form of social activities, social relations not divisible into material elements. In turn, this meant that its objective nature, its existence outside and independently of human consciousness, was a most important aspect of metter.

Further, the spproach to lahour as a material process meant that astter was not merely an outside objective environment hut also an objective reslity which man interacted with while cognising it, meetering it, end introducing certain changes in it.

The discovery of the matter of social life set the stage for a new approach to the motion of matter, to space and time. This ie dealt with in the following sections.

Significant changes in the philosophical understanding of matter brought forth by the discovery of the astter of social life resulted in a problem of cardinal importance, that of s comprehensive development of philosophical theory on matter. This required, on the basis of concepts of matter of social life, undertaking an analysis of neture as objective reality, taking into eccount the latest developments of natural sciences.

Marx and Engela never lost sight of the above task after elaboreting a new approach to matter in the 1840s and 1850s. It was only in the 1870s and 1880s, however, that they concentrated on the elaboration of a comprehensive philosophical theory of matter. This was due to a number of objective circumstances. Primarily, an urgent historical need for the development of a theory of clease struggle of the proletariat forced Marx and Engels to focus their attention on the development of historical materialias; eccording to Lenin, they "...spplied -- and showed how to spply -- this same materialias in the sphere of the social eciences..." Secondly,

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 14, p. 336.

this was due to the development of natural acienca itself. Revolutionary breakthroughs in science, which began in 1840a with the discoveries of the law of conservation and transformation of energy, of cell atructure of living organisms, etc. were completed, in relative terms, only in the 1870s. In 1886 Engels pointed out that before that time "natural acience was atill in that process of violent fermentation which only during the last fifteen years had reached a clarifying, relative conclusion. New scientific data were acquired to a hitherto unheard-of extent, but the satablishing of interrelation, and thereby the bringing of order into this chaoa of discoveries following closely upon sach other's heela, has only quite recently become possible." Since the 1860s and through their later life, Marx and Engels gave anch attention to the study and philosophical analysis of developments in natural acisnce, in mschanics, physica, chemiatry, biology, geology, and mathematica. Research demonstrated that acience, by penetrating the fundamental processes of natural environment, revealed the dislectics of nature, the atruggle of opposing forces, tendencies and properties characteristic of natural processes, demonstrated the interrelationship and interdependence of natural phenomena; it demonstrated that nature was characterised by the transition of quantitative changes into qualitative ones, by the energence of the new and disappearance of the old, by emerging possibilities becoming reality, by the existence of cause-and-effect relations. etc.

Social processes, the development of social production, social progress, class struggle between the proletarist and the bourgeoisie, revolutionary transformations, are all narkedly dislectical in neture. At the same time, Merr and Engels found that the mode of thinking, methods of resserch, and the understanding by accentiets of the environment and of the achievements of science themselves had remained unaltered end metaphysical; neturel scientists were prone to treating netural phenomena and processes in isoletion, es if

Karl Marx, Frederich Engels, Selected Worke in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 351.

they were independent static punchengesble and inalterable. What emerged in science, Engels pointed out was a "conflict: of the results of discovery with preconceived modes of a date thinking. " what was the way ont of the difficulties encountered by the natural eccience of the time as envisaged by Engele? The way out was the elimination of the basic contradition impeding further development of science. i.e. bringing the mode of thinking in eccordance with the development of maturel ecience iteelf. "And here there is really no other way out. "Engels pointed out. "no other possibility of achiewing clarity, than by sereturn, in one-form or another, from metaphysical to dialectical thinking. "2 Under the impectacing specific dete provided by neturel science, scientists in isoleted cases hecemerewere of the need for adopting a dialectical understending of the world; The process, however, wss. difficulty complicated, and freught with extreme contradic-14 tions. Engelegrepectedly; pointed, out, thet, es science; devemote loped. the conflict between the dislectical nature of scientific, discoveries; and the metaphysical wey of thinking become to increeeinglygecute. Most bast , sourch anisages to also rate add

The: teek of:e:comprehensive-development of the philosomo phical theory.of metter, thue becams more specific; whet was meeded; wee; e denoutration of dialectical meture; of matter, on ein neture; end; in society, development of a dialectical modele of thinking end, n demonstration of rits practical epplication in; research to a compassion of the composition of the composi

Marx concentrated his efforts on the elaboration of world dialectice of matter of social life, discluding the development of labour, social production, and of economic relations of production. The efforts resulted in four volumes of Gepital (Dse Kapital); A Contribution to the Critique of Political) and Economy and other workds where, eccording to VVI. Lenin; 1913 "Marx, traste the social novement, as eprocess of natural) have history. Bublect not, only, to; its own specific social assets.

I. P. Engels,: Anti-Dühring, pp. 35. Ser Condy Fernica malteres

but also to universal laws of motion of matter. In this aenae; Lenin: pointa out further. "economic life constitutas a phenomenon analogous to the history of avolution" in biology, physics, and chemistry, among other fields.1

Engela concentrated primarily on the study of dialectics of nature and of dialectical sasence of natural science. In the process, Marx and Engels treated society and nature solely as different forms of the single objectively existing matter, rather than as two separato subjects for study. Thus, they systematically compared and verified the results of their research. They were also engaged in a struggle again t various kinds of idealistic and metsphysical doctrines. The process reaulted in Engela' Anti-Dühring, several aections of which were written by Marx.

Engela approached a general dialectical materialiat definition of the concept of matter by revealing the dialectica of development of individual forms of matter, studying their interralationahips and movement from inferior forms to apperior ones, by analysing the basic laws of dislectics operating in natura, etc. Outstanding scientific, philosophical and methodological results were attained. Some of them are dascribed further on. Engala, basic atudy of problems of matter, Dialectics of Natura, unfortunately, was, not completed. Time prevented the founders of Marxism from elaborating a complete , dialectical and materialistic definition of the concept of matter. The work was carried on by V.I. Lenin.

. The conflict between the nature of acientific diacoveriea and the predominant mode of thinking, pointed out by Engels, was very rapidly aggravating as natural science developed. throughout the second half of the 19th century; by the late . 1800o and early 1900a it became most scute. Lenin described the onauing aituation as a crisia of nethodological basis of natural science. To understand the nature of the crisio and of Lemin's analysis of the ensuing situation in netural science, one has to take into account two sopects: a) the under-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collocted Works, Vol. 1, p. 167.

^{2.} P. Engelo' Dialectico of Nature remained o manuscript . until its first publication in the USSR in 1925.

atanding of mattar by the aciantinta of the late 19th century, and b) the philosophical manning of acientific discoverias made in the late 19th and early 20th centuriss.

Devalopment of the Approach to Matter from tha Viawpoint of Natural Science The dialectical materialist npproach to matter in the process of alshoration by Marx and Engels was well known to working class acti-

viste end Communieta, comrades-in-srns of tha foundara of Marxian. The hulk of netural accentiata, howaver, were not ewere of dislectics and naintained tha position of old pre-Marxian metsphysical materialism. Under tha influenca of scientific tredition going hack to Newton and others they considered matter to be averything which consisted of further indivisible atoms auhject to the laws of machanical movament. They identified matter with substance. They hald the position of mechanism, i.e. attempted to explain all processes they studied by the laws of machanics, as they held that only the laws of machanica governed the world. And since the laws of mechanica and properties of atoms seemed already basically established, accentific cognition of the world saemed approsching its end and massing completion.

Acadamician Igor Tamm wrota, "By tha end of tha last century, a neasure of complacency end enugness was felt among physiciats; the dominant opinion was that the besic physical lews had elrasdy heen discovered, and what ramained wera finiehing touches, albeit significent but etill within the fremework of firmly established foundations. This was the meening of e etetement made by Willien Thomson (Lord Kelvin), an outstanding physicist, in a speech mede et the turn of the century. He did mention, though, thet two cloude still obocured the otherwise cleer end unevantful physical horizon, one releted to the Michelson experiment, and the other to the so-called ultreviolet clesh occurring in en examination of the heet halence between substance and rediction. The first 'cloud' was to evolve into the theory of reletivity, end the latter into the quentum theory."

I. Ye. Tsum, "A. Einatein and Modern Phyeics", in Einetein in Sovrenennaya Fizike, Moscow, 1956, pp. 87-88 (in Russian).

This complacency and the conviction that all the basic laws of the world were already known and that the mission of science was approaching its completion, were followed by one scientific discovery after another which shattered all the dominant notions of matter, its laws and the process of cognition.

Some of these discoveries a described below.

In the late 1860s and sarly 1870s British physicist Maxwell (1851-1879) obtained a theoretical justification of the unity of elsctrical and magnetic phenomena and discoversd the electromagnetic field as a separate, distinct from substance, state of matter. In an appraisal of the discovery, A. Einstein said that a great breakthrough had been achieved there which would slways be associated with the names of Fareday, Maxwell, and Hertz. The lion's shars of credit for the revolution was due to Maxwell. After Maxwell, physical reality was thought of in the form of continuous fields not subject to a mechanical explanation. This change of the concept of reality, he continued, was the most profound and fruitful of those experienced by physics since Newton. It became clear, Einstein remarked elsewhere, that we deal with two realities, that of matter and that of field. 2

The existence of a non-material state of matter was also proved by the discovery of rays named after Wilhelm Konrad Roentgen (1845-1923), the German physicist (Roentgen rays, better known as X-rays), by the discovery of radioactivity by Sklodowska-Curie (1867-1934), stc.

In 1897, British physicist Joseph John Thomson (1856--1940) in the famous Cavendish Laboratory split the aton and discovered its component part, the electron. It wee also found out that the electron does not have a permanent mass, as opposed to classical physical objects.

In 1900, German physicist Max Planck (1858-1947) discovered the quantum, the slammatal unit of snergy. This dis-

See A. Einstsin, Collacted Scientific Works, Vol. 4, p. 158.

A. Einstein, L. Infeld, Evolutelys Fiziki (The Evolution of Physics), Moscow-Leningred, 1948, p. 221 (Russ. ed.).

covery; delong with the discovery of the selectron; resignified the penetration to human knowledge into the microcosm and case was stythe originary quantum (nechanica materials and case accesses

- In 1905'Albert Einstein: (1879-1955) chased is covered and low elsmentary particle (of blight: i-photon; and has worked out lack special theory of relativity which has shown a whole spectrum of new objective laws of the world in young a court lower.
- Theitotalityrofithese discoveries was analisd (by dv.II Lemin) theolatest revolution of matural socience with the lectore of the conclusion of the second half defibered which coccurred of in the duration of the second half defibered the century, and to has given he they seem time, the estart too sequal its tively new and stage of used entific knowledge others century and or the second of the second contury.
 - m. The begin billo sophic reignificence of the revolution by whi consists in the (fact that) it resulted in the solution of the !! medor; conflict to functural actions pointed cataby, Engels, There's new-discoveries have manifested in the eyes of scientists the dislectics of one ture and the process of cognition; they are debased and unrooted the rold notions of metter; and the metephys+ ical mode of thinking. They, have demonstrated that the matter; is not only a substance; but sifield, that, the substance and and the [field erertheltwo toppsites the interaction of which (IT conditions the existence of the multiple; veriety of meterial 10 phenomens end processes that matter is not sonly the macro- of cosmedirectly.observed-byrus; buths microcoem. Vruled, by the colder totally different. non-mechanical.laws .- They have manifested " thet.the quentitative celteration of [velocity of a bjects of brings-sboutaqualitative schanges in 6the; objects themselves; 32their mese grows; there expects the unity of mepace and time; (the spatial and temporal chereoteristics of objects change. the their motion becomes subject tora different type of laws, way on the laws of relativity and detatios; that the composition of

netter should not be reduced to atoms, netc. nothing and he income the revolution in netural science has also demonstrated that the process of cognition itself le subject to qualitative changes, it goes over to a new level and is a disloctical process in a district of the state of the state

All this, however, has not been understood at once by the scientists of the 1ste Bth and early 20th century. The metaphysical way of thinking was too strong. The new discoveries were misinterpreted. The overwhelming majority of scientists looked upon them ss the destruction of matter, demouncing of motorialism and as the proof of the fact that science is incopoble of getting true (knowledge. A well-known French physicist L. Houllevigue, in his book Tha Evolution of the Sciences (1908), entitles his chaptar on the new ; theories of matter "Doss Matter Exist?" He says, "The stom demsterialisas ... matter disappears". A critical situation took place an science. "The essence of crisis in modern physics consists in the break-down of the old laws and basic ? / principles, in the rejection of an objective reslity existing outside the mind, that is, in the replacement of materialism ? by idealism and agnosticism."

Lenn's Criticism or of 13 m
Machism and Empirocriticism

tists.

'Due to this crisis' the doctrine of Austrian physicist and philosopher Ernst Mach, empiricornticism, or Machism became widespread among scienticism.

Machism is a subjective idealist doctrine. Mach substitutes sensations which he considered to be the elements of the world for material objects and bodies existing in rashity. "Not the things (bodies)," he held, "but colours, sounds, pressures, spaces, times (what we usually call sensations) are tha real elements of the world." All things, according to mach, are complexes of sensations, matter also appears to be something secondary, a derivative of sansations. "For uo matter is not what is primarily given," says Mach. "Rather, what is primarily given are the alements (which in a certain familiar relation are designated as sensations)".

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collacted Works, Vol. 14, p. 258.

^{2.} Quoted in V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 40.

^{3.} Ibid., p. 45

covery; #salong(with-therdiscovery of therelectron; reignified the penetration to is human; knowledge; into the, microcomiand and the penetration to is a subject to the penetration of equation (mechanics size of the penetration of equation).

In 1905;AlbertdEinstein:(1879-1955)chaaddiscoveredienaloo elementary/particladof(light:f-photon;Jandihae.workedJout)acL apecial theory of relativity which has shown a whole.spectrumo of new objective:lawslockthe worldingyoosib spent to 2002

Theitotalityaofitheee\diacoverieenwaascalledibydV.II Lenin\the:latestrrevolntionsin\naturalpscience\tilde{\tilde

""The basic mbile sophic significance of the revolution on " consists in the fact that it resulted in the solution (of thed' major conflict of anaturely ecience pointed at aby Engels . The act new diecoveries have manifested in the eves of eccentiets the dialectice of nature and the process of cognition (have de-uno based and Iunrooted the cold notions of matter and the metaphysical mode of thinking. They have demonstrated that the matters ie not only a substance; but asfield, that the aubetence and as the !field ere: the two !opneitees the interaction of which it conditiona the dexistence of the multiple variety of meterial sc phenomens and processes ithat matter is not only the macro- or cosmedirectly:observed:byrue:but:'a microcoem, Fruled.by: a: :: - " totally different, non-mechanical.lawe (-They have manifested ') that the quantitative alteration of (velocity tof) objects. I brings.sbout.qualitative.chenges:inf.the_objecte; themaelves; ; .~ their mass growe; there appears the aunity nof aspace and time; if the spatish and temporal cheracteristica of objects change, the their motion becomes subject to a different type of laws, area the laws of relativity endestation, that the composition of matter ahould not betreduced to atoms netcoun harm out to give

The revolution in natural ectence has elso demonstrated that the process of cognition itself is an indicated to qualitative changes, it goes over to a new level end is a dislection process. It can be reconstructed to the process of the control of

(All: ithis, however, has not been understood at once by the scientists of the 1ste 19th and early 20th century. The metaphysical way of thinking was too strong. The new discoveries were misinterpreted. The coverwhelming majority of scientists looked upon them as the destruction of matter, . denouncing of materialism and ss the proof of the fact that science is incspable of getting true knowledge. A well-known French physicist L. Houllavigue, in his book Tha Evolution of the Sciences (1903); entitles his chapter on the new ... theories of matter "Does Matter Exist?" He says: "The stom ... demstarislises 24.2 matter disappears 4. A critical situation con took place in science. The essence of crisis in modern physics consists in the brank-down of the old laws and hasic to principles, idin the rejection of sn objective reality existing. outside ,the .:mind;,,thst is; (in,the ,replacement ,of, materialiam;) by idealism and agnosticism market a reference and in cont b

Taldnam of the good of burner Due to this crisis the doctri-Lenin's Critician or a farman ne of Austrian physicist and Machise and Empfrio 11 11 philosopher Ernst Mach, empicriticism of my rich riccriticism, or Machism

Projectivity and main and the became widespread smong scient tists: "gray or too beer and exercises are a first or the first

Machiem is a subjective ideslist doctrina. Mach substituten sonsetions which he considered to be the elements of the world for meterial objects and bodies existing in reality. "Not the things (bodies), "his heid," "but colours, sounds, "into pressures, "paces, times (what we usually call aensations) are tha resl elementa of the world. " All things, scoording to Mach, are complexes of semationa, matter also appears to be aonething aecondary, a derivative of sameational "For us matter la not what la primarily given," aaya Mach. "Rather," what is primarily given are the elementa (which in a certain familiar relation are designated as sensations)". 31, mil The section are acceptance as academical and the section of the se

the state of the state of the state of the 1. V.I. Lanin, Collacted Works, Vol. 14, p. 258.

^{2.} Quoted in V.T. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 40. 3. Ibid., p. 45

^{.3.} Ibid., p. 45

Machiem was quite popular smong scientists. Prominent French physicist and mathematician Henri Poincare also pointad out that things are "groups of someotions". Albert Einstein

also adhered to Mach'n point of view, though later subjected his doctrine to critical analysis. He wrote that in his young years he was highly impressed by Mach's epistemological proposition which then appeared to him fallscions in some of its essential savects.

In the early 20th century empiriocriticism hecome wideepresd hoth among natural scientiats and humanitarian intelliganteia and also smong a certain part of activists of the
workers' movement. Thie was due primarily to the reaction in
the field of ideology which had its way after the defeat of
the Revolution in 1905. These who praviously essociated with
Marxism or ware not its ardent supporters considered the
defeat of the revolution a refutation of Marx'e dectrine, a
proof of it being Obsolete. It seemed to them, thet Machiem
was corroborated by the data of natural ecience and was the
highest stage of the development of philosophic thought. They
began to substitute Machiem for Marxism, trying to prove, as
Jenin said "thet Machiem is compatible with the hietorical
materialism". 2 In these conditions the nost active were the
revisionists Karl Kautsky, Eduard Bernstein and others.

Thus, e dengerous situation in natural acience, philosophy, end workers' movement occurred at the turn of the 20th century. The lack of correct dielectical satorialist generalicetion of the discoveries of natural science threatened the very foundations of the Marxist world outlook.

The perty of the working class faced the historical necassity to make an analysis of scientific achievements from the standpoint of dialectical meterfalism, to defeat empiricoriticism as a new trend of bourgeois philosophy, to subject to criticism various ettempts to revise Marxism, to defend and further develop dialectical and historical materialism in conformity with scientific echievements and revolutionary

See A. Einstein, "Crestive Autobiography", in Einstein i Sovremennaya Fizika, p. 36.

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 314.

practice. In easonce, this meant an urgent practical need for further eloboration of the doctrine of dislectical materialian on matter, the doctrino Marx and Engola were not able to concludo.

This woa dono by Lenin in his book Materialiam and Empirio-Criticiam published in 1909.

An all-round analysis of the situation in science, philosophy and the theory of revolution manifcated to Lenin the fact that the key problem was the concept of matter. The critical aituation in acience, the conversion of the majority of scientiata from materialiam to idealism were due to the fact that the acientista identified matter with atoms, with concrete notion of ita composition, they looked upon mechanical laws as absolutely true and limited their understanding of matter only by aubatance. Being unable to grasp the easence of new phenomena they understood them as disappearance of matter. "'Matter diaappeara' meana that the limit within which we have hitherto known matter disappeara and that our knowledge is penetrating deeper; properties of matter are likewiae diaappearing which formerly asemed absolute, immutable, and primary ... and which are now revealed to be relative and characteriatic only of certain states of matter. "1 Science have demonatrated that atom is not the final indivisible particle. But this does not mean that after this matter should be reduced to electrona, photona or quanta of action. Lemin asid: "The electron is as inexhaustible as the atom, nature in infinite... . "2 Scientiata looked upon mechanical lawe as absolute ones, considered them applicable to the whole of the world, to matter as a whole. However, when electromagnetic processes subjected to different laws were discovered, they came to the conclusion that there were no lawe at all in the world. Arguing with auch outlooka Lenin aaid: "The world ie matter in motion, we reply, and the lawa of ita motion are reflected by mechanica in the case of moderate velocities and by the electromagnetic theory in the case of great velocities?

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 260

²· Tbid., p. 262.

^{3.} Toid., p. 281.

But his doesn't mean that matter is limited only by those two states - substance and electromagnetic field. Science (will yet discover many phenomena, processes, and properties which will be contrary to the established ideas and will seem strange. But this cannot mean that matter disappears or is the result of our imagination. "Human resson," Lenin stated, "has discovered many smazing things in mature and will discover still more, and wills thereby ancrease its power over nsture. But this does not mesn that nature is the creation of our mind or of shatract mind. "1 Not once Lenin referred to Marx and Engels showing that "the destructibility of the stom, its inexhaustability, the mutability of all forms of matter and of its motion, have always been the stronghold of dislectical materialism."2 And modern science corrobors- co tes the correctness of dislectical materialism, the doctrine of Mark and Engels of the diealectical character of matter.

By continuing to develop the conception of Marx and Engels on matter known to him and summing up the acientific achievements of the early 20th century, Lenin geve accomplete dielectic-meterialist definition of the notion of matter 'Matter' is a philosophical estegory denoting the objective reality which is given; to man by his sensations, and which is copied, which is given; to man by his sensations, while existing a independently of, then.

This definition is the generalisation of the historically protracted development of materialist philosophy studying matter. It contains the following major principles. First of a all, Lenin points out that matter is a philosophical estegory of denoting the entire objective reality. This part of Lenin's definition is the direct elaboration of the principle worked out by Engels in <u>Dislection of Hature</u> — metter is abstraction. The leave out of account the qualitative differences of things in lumping then together as corporeally existing things

ì

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, pp. 281-282.

^{2.} Thid., p. 281.

^{3.} Toid., p. 130

under the concept matter. Hence metter as such, as distinct from definite existing pioces of matter, is not anything a sensuously existing. "I Matter/is a general concept, it cannot be reduced to this or that specific manifestation of matter, to atoms, electrons, etc. Engels pointed out: "When matural a science directs its efforts to seeking out uniform matter es such, to reducing qualitative differences to merely quantitative differences in combining identical smallest particular, it is doing the same thing as demanding to see fruit in as such instead of cherries, pears, apples as one of the causes of the crisis in natural acience was the fact that a scientists reduced the concept of matter to atoms, the motion of matter to machanical motion, etc. on the case of the motion of matter to machanical motion, etc. on the case of the motion

Lenin'summed up the latest schievements of netural science into united philosophical category of matter as an objective reality. Elaborating the doctrine of Mark end Engels Danin also includes matter of social being in the cetegory. Whetever is independent of the consciousness, senetion, experience, as independent of the consciousness, senetion, experience, of thumanity. Mistorital materialism recognises social being saddependent of the social consciousness of humanity. The social consciousness of humanity. The social consciousness of humanity. The social definition for matter reflects its absolute properties; the ideas of which form the foundation of the acientific theory of knowledge. The error recognises with

en Another point of Lenn's philosophical doctrins of the matter is the indication to the cognisability of matter, to we the fact that matter is reflected by our eensetions. This matter principle is directly himed against agnosticism which was wide-principle is directly himed against agnosticism which was widely apraid among acientists due to the crisis in acience. The acientiats who considered classical mechanics to be absolute and all basic laws of matter known went, under the pressure of the avalanche of new discoveries, to another extrems — into the embraca of agnosticism which deniad the capability of man

^{1.} Fraderick Engala, Dialectica of Mature, p. 255.

to cogniss the world, to get objectively true knowledge about it. Mach declared that the hosic took of science is the rasearch of the laws of connection between sensations and ideas , the analysis of combination of senostions. Neo-Kantianiam became popular in science and philosophy, s subjective idealist trend the advocates of which strived to ravive Kant's doctrine end to interpret the new scientific achiavements in the spirit of Kantinn agnosticiom. Lenin explained tha causes of popularity of Neo-Kantianism. "The graat succeases achieved by natural science, the opproach to elements of matter so homogeneous and simple that their laws of motion can be treated mathematically, caused the mathematicians to overlook matter 'Matter disappaars', only equations remain. At a new staga of development and apparently in a new manner, we gat the old Kentian idea: Reason preacribes laws to nature."2 The founder of Marburg school of Nec-Kantisnism Herman Cohen (1842-1918) ssssrted: "We start with thinking. Thinking should not heve snother source but itself. "3

Another representative of the school Ernst C. Kassirer (1874-1945) pointed out that matter "is reduced to ideal conceptions created and tested by mathematics."4 Criticising Nec-Kantianism Lenin said that if matter has disappeared and only equations are left (or according to Kasairer, matter is an ideal conception), than one may ask, whera the equations exist, what they are. Mathematical aquations (an ideal conception) is a human mind. And the mind is a function of that particularly complex fragmant of matter called the human braio. "5 If the human brain exists than matter has not disappeared.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 40.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 308.

^{3.} H. Coben, Logik der zainan Erkenntnis, Berlin, 1922, S. 13.

^{4.} E. Kassirer, Cognition and Reality, Collected Articlas, 1912, p. 222 (Rusa. ed.).

^{5.} V.I. Lemin, Collectad Works, Vol. 14, p. 228.

The Concept of Matter Elaborated by Lanin Lenin showed that cognition is directed at the discovery of laws of objectively exist-

ing matter. The process of cognition is infinite, because nature is inexhaustible, infinite in time and space, is in the state of constant mutability, development, it forms new laws, properties, processes which are a new object for cognition.

In his definition of matter Lenin overcomes the drawback that existed in the definition of matter given by Chernyshevaky. Lenin underlines that matter is principally accessible for human sense organs to percept its properties and laws.

Another major point of the Lenin'a philosophical doctrine on matter is the indication to the objectiveness of matter, to its existence apart and independently of our aensations. Eliminating the drawback of the definition of matter given in The System of Nature by Holbach, Lenin refutas subjective idealiam, ampiriocriticism, which saw matter as combinations of sensations. Criticising aubjective idealism Lenin puta a question which, as he points out, "is the moet poisonous for Mach'a philosophy" -- "if nature exiated bafore man". Lenin has shown that the point of view of Machiem is contradictory to the baaio principles of natural science which proved that Earth exiated in such a state when there was no living creature whatacever and could not exist, and hence there existed no aensing matter, no combinations of sensations. "Matter is primary, and thought, consciousness, aensation are producta of a very high development. Such is the materialist theory of knowledge, to which natural science instinctively subscribes," Lenin said. As if to corroborate these words of Lenin, in the same 1908 when Materialism and Empirio-Criticism was being written, Max Planck, a great natural scientist, put the same question when he attacked Machism in hia so-called Leiden Report: "Is the physical picture of the world eventually more or less arbitrary creation of our spirit, or we have

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 75.

to recognise that it reflects real, independent from it a processes of nature? Speaking in more specific terms: must we prudently maintain that the principle of conservation of energy operated in nature na fer hack as when not a single man, a could think of it, or that celestial bodies would move according to the lew of gravitation even then when our Earth with all its inhabitants becomes rubhles?" Naturally, Planck unpreservedly gave a positive answer to the question thus apeaking from the standpoint of materialism egainst Machania.

Tunny's definition of matter is of great mathodological velue. It is of great importance for the formation of scientific world outlook offer the defence of materialism and atrussle erainst ideelism and other anti-acisatific conceptions for working out acientific principles to serve the basis for further development of acience, instead of making known truth, shaplute, for hreeder perception of the new. "The helief inthe existence of the outside world not depending on the percerving subject." wrote Einstein. "as the heats of the natural science ease whole ... our notions of the physical reslity -can never he cultimeter We should always be prepared to change the notions."2 True scientiata in the course of their research knowingly wand often unknowingly, wers, and are guided by the principles of dialectical materialism. Hers we offered axampl-- ea of Max Planck and Albert Einstein, the outstanding scientista of the 20th century. They were not conscious aupporters of dialectrical materialiem; even lass so of Lenin's doctrine. However, under the influence of acientific data, discovering objective dialectica of nature, they enscessarily had to think materialistically and dislectically, and, when defending actence, to fight idealism and agnosticism, All this but corroborates the correctness of dialectical materialism and the fruitfulness of the doctrins on matter worked out by Merx, Engela ffu es 1 f r2 ~ It la

^{1.} Max Planck and Philosophy, Moscow, 1963, pp. 19-20 (Russ.

A. Einstein, Callection of Scientific Works, Vol. 4, ""
 Moscow, 1966, p. 136 (Ruse. ed.).

The dialectical materialist theory of matter elaborated by Lenin laid the theoretical foundation for correct understanding of the programs of modern physics. Thus it is accepted in nuclear physics that modern doctrins on the structures of physical rashity is concerned not with immutable structural elements of matter, but with their interrelations end transformations, revealing their properties and structure and relative stability.

The shift in the ratio of the objective and the aubjective in the methods of research does not cancel the principls of independence of object from aubject cognising it. Lenin's criticism of physical idealism fully preserves its importance st present.

Lenin's philosophic doctrine on matter, its basic principle that "the <u>sole</u> 'property' of matter with whose recognition philosophical materialism is bound up is the property of <u>being an objective reslity</u>, of existing outside the mind "labve greatly influenced the progress of the philosophy of dialectical materialism all over the world.

By fighting against indetsrminism and idealistic intarpretations of the achievementa of modern aciance, physics in particular, Marxiat philosophera defend dialectical and matarialist understanding of matter.

Motion is the Mode of Existence of Mstter Materialist philosophy in ths run of its centuries-old history slweys included the concept of motion of matter in

its doctrine of matter. Philosophere of sncient Indis, Chins, Greece and Rome repestedly expressed the ides of the unity of matter and motion, of the motion being a property of metter. However, these general principles needed to be specifically substentiated, the nature of motion itself was to be revealed in all its detailo, and all this could be done only on the basio of utilisetion of the schievements of concrete netural science. Repid progress of natural science capable of

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, pp. 260-261.

researching the processes of motion in nature began only in the late 16th and early 17th century. This period saw a particularly great progress of mechanics, the science of the spatial motion of hodies. This was due to the need of the davaloping capitalist mode of production in various machinary, in the first place. Sacondly, due to the fact that out of all forms of motion, machanical motion was the most accessibla for rasearch, for it can be observed. The prograss of mechanics influenced natural science and materialist philosophy greatly. Machaniam, the notion that there is only machanical motion in the world and all changes observed in nature should be considered as forms of machanical motion, became widespread throughout science.

The whola of pre-Marxian materialism profassed philosophical mechanism. Apart from one-sided axplanation of natural changea, mechanistic materialism had a major fault which in-avitably led materialist philosophy to idealism and religion. This fault appeared with the attempts to explain the source of motion, the initial cause of the changes observed in nature. It is known that according to the laws of mechanics in order to bring a body out of state of rest or out of steady atreightforward motion there should be an axternal impulse.

While netural acientists and philosophers speculated about the source of motion of bodies and systems taken separataly even on the scale of Solar System they stood on the grounds of materialism. However, when acientiats, philosophers in particular, raised the quastion of the source and cause of motion of matter as a whols and not of its systems taken saparately, than, in accordance with machanical laws, they had to look for a certain axternal, immaterial forca, if not acting constantly then at least having given the initial impulse to matter. This led directly to idealiam and religion. According to this notion there should exist a praternatural "watchmaker" who had wound up the world clock-work machaniam which now moves according to mechanical laws. The concept of original acurce givan to the nature by God was ovolved by Descartea. In his Traatiae on Light he wrote that at the very first moment of creation God laid down the rule that some parts of matter mova in one direction and other parts in another, that

some move quickly and othera slowly, as that motion occurred in sccordancs with natural lawa. By the motion of bodiea in different directiona Deacartes attempted to explain the hypothetical whirling motion of particles of which, as he thought, all meterial bodiea were formed.

Materialiat philosphera of the following generationa fought against the concept of initial impulse trying to discover material original causes, to explain the motion of matter without involving immaterial forces. The greatest edvances in this direction were made by French materialists of the 18th century. They asserted that motion is an atribute of matter, its intrinsic property, "intimate" force of matter as Diderot eaid. Holbach wrote in his System of Nature that "motion is a mode of sxietence following in a necessary way from the essence of matter."

However, French msterialista as well as other meterielists of the pre-Marxian period were not able to make more than aimple essertion of correct ideas. They did not have enough natural dats to substantiate their ideas. Due to this rasson, their position in the struggle against idealiam and religion was not atrong enough. Being besically mechanists they often came closs to the concept of the initial impulse and unscientific sesertions. Thus <u>Jean Robinet</u> (1735-1820) trying to prove that matter has internal activity came to the conclusion that all matter ie alive, consists of timiest living creaturee, in other words he came to hylozoism — a philosophical doctrine that matter ie inaeparable from life, which ie property of matter.

An important step forward was made by Russian materialist philosophy as compared to French materialists. In the definition of matter made by Chernysheveky and quoted above, it is said that matter has the qualities which are manifested as forces whose way of activity are the laws of nature. Chernysheveky also said elsewhere: "The laws of nature is nature

64

^{1.} See R. Descartee, Selected Worke, Moscow, 1950, p. 195. (Rune. ed.).

^{2.} P. Holbech, The System of Neture, 1940, p. 18.

itself examined from the point of view of its activity." So here the unity of matter and motion and ite laws is logically proved. However, to substantiate this acientifically facte of ecience and new discoveries were needed.

Such discoveries were made in the middle of the 19th century. Relying upon them Marx and Engele have eccomplished a revolution in the philosophical doctrine of the motion of metter.

One of the new schievements of science was first of all the discovery by Marx end Engels of matter of social life the study of which uncovered the internel source of its motion, interaction of internally united opposites within material production itself. Such opposites are production and consumption. Merx said: "Production is consumption and consumption is production." Production stimulates with the consumer s new requirement, which stimulates the development of production. The interection of production and consumption is the internal source of the development of social matter and the deepest osume of social progress.

The interpretation of social production as social matter, as a special state of matter in general and the unfolding of the fact that the interaction of such matter, of accial production and nature causes changes both in production and the nature involved in production, brought shout the conclusion that the interaction of various states and forms of matter is the source of its motion. All this also proved that epart from mechanical there are other laws, and social laws are enong than.

These conceptions of Marx and Engels were corroborated end further evolved by the enalysis of greet discoveries of the 19th century: the lew of conservation and transformation of energy, cellular structure of living organisms, Charles Derwin's evolution theory.

N. Chernyeheveky, Collected Worke, Vol. 10, Moecow, 1951, p. 988 (in Euccian).

Karl Marx, A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1977, p. 198.

The importance of these discoveries for the philosophic doctrine of the motion of matter consisted in the following.

The discovery of the law of conservation and transformation of energy manifeated that, firstly, in the world there acts the principle of preservation of force; motion and energy do not disappear and do not originate from nothing. Consequently, the input of energy, the impulse to nature from outside is impossible in principle, it contradicts to the laws of nature; secondly, it was proved that there existed a variety of types and forms of energy, and, consequently, a variety of forms of the motion of matter and not only mechanical motion as it was thought before; thirdly, the source of the motion of matter, the hasis of the existence of energy is the interaction of the forms of motion of matter themselves, the conversion of one form of energy into another.

The discovery of the cellular structure of living organisms has manifested that the motion of material objects is not only the motion of bodies in space or the change of quantity (incressing or diminishing), but the process of development, quality transformation, because out of an initial cell which is a complex biochemical system an organism is formed and it does not at all resemble the cell. And here the source of development is the internal process of cell-division.

Derwin's evolution theory has shown that, firstly, in the course of evolution there occur complicated quality transformations or historical development; secondly, the source of this development is the interaction of an organism with the environment, in other words the internal source.

Both biological discoveries have manifested the specific feature of the biological form of the motion of matter with its own biological lews quite different from mechanical lews.

These scientific discoveries beceme the besis of neturel science for the elaboration of dielectical and historical materialism, the doctrine of motion of metter evolved by Merk and Engels in perticular.

The discovery by Marx and Engels of the internal cource of the motion of matter nlongeide with new achievements of natural ccience, have built up the scientific basis for the conception of motion es the mode of existence of matter pro-

posed by French neterieliata. It has been shown that notion is really within the very essance of astter, and that netter cannot exist otherwise than in motion, undergoing interection, trensformation, chenge, struggle of the opposite forces, properties, and tendencies. There is no natter in the world without motion se well as there cannot be motion without natter. Motion is diverse in its manifestetions and axiste in verious forms. Marx and Engels interpret motion es a hroad notion: "Motion, se applied to matter, is chenge in gamerel."

Interpretation of motion as the mode of axistence of matter has shown that motion is of sheolute character; rest also exiets but it is relative, for things, processes, and phanomane are in the etate of reat only relatively to each other. For instence, trains going slongside, in one direction end with equal speed ara in rest relatively to each other, though thay ere in motion; a house is in rest reletively to the Earth, but togather with the Earth it moves around the Sun, together with the Sun it is in motion eround the centre of the Galaxy, etc. Rest is only an element of motion. Motion aa changa in general includea devalopment aa well. Engela aeid: Motion is not merely change of placa, in fields higher then mechanics it is also change of quality. 2 Relying on tha analysis of various forms of motion Engels pointed out major forms of the motion of matter which differ from each other according to the following indicatione: 1) spacific features of the material object - the hearer of motion; 2) specific laws functioning in a given form of motion; 3) a place in historical davelopment of matter in the observed part of the Univarae.

The major forms of the motion Forms of Motion of matter ara: physical (machaof Matter nicsl cotion including),
chamical, biological, and social. The substance of the forms
of the motion of matter has been essentially deepened and brogdened aince the second part of the 19th century when Engels de-

^{1.} Fraderick Engels, Dislactics of Natura, p. 247.

^{2.} Tbid., p. 252.

termined them. And this is quite netural, for science heve meds e gigentic leep in the field of cognition of matter. However, the essence of the classification of the forme of motion is etill correct.

The mentioned forms of motion in the order of their snumeretion precent the mejor etegee of the development of metter. It is pointless to speak of the development of metter ees whole, matter in general, heceuee metter is infinite in time and space. In the pert of the Universe etudied by modern science, however, it is possible to observe quality eteges of the development of metter. Substance develope, and this is calcarly shown by Mendeleyv's periodical law; substance develops from the simplest stome of hydrogen and helium to complex stome of uranium, then further to moleculee and after that to organic matter, slbuminous bodies are formed from molecules.

The lowest of the known et present forms of the motion of metter is the <u>physical</u> one, which, sccording to modern science, is s spetish motion of bodies, their interection (elsmsntery particles, stoms, necrobodies, celestial bodies end their eystems, etc.); motion and interaction of elements-ry particles end fielde (electromegnetic, gravitetion, strong end week interactions, proceedes of transformation of slementary particles, etc.).

<u>Chemical</u> form of motion is the motion and interactions of etoma and moleculee, formation of their combinations, formation of new chemical properties of substance.

Biological form of motion ie the metabolism of organic auhatancae, formation of albuminoue bodies from molecules, attructura and functioning of calla, their division, the proceases of transmission of hereditary properties, life processes of organisms, processes of reflection, self-regulation and control, interaction of organisms, etc.

<u>Social</u> form of the motion of matter is the totality of social life: above all, labour, social production ectivity as metter of social life, all social relations — interpersonal, femily, class relatiose, etc.

It is obvious that these forms of the notion of matter are interrelated and are interacting and comprise together the observed matter. In the development of matter these forms of motion consequtively develop from the previous ones. The hasic interconnection smong them consists in the fact that according to their structure and laws each higher developed form of notion includee the lowest ones but is not reduced to them and has its own specific properties and laws.

How it can be determined which form is lower and which is higher in the sequel of historic development of metter? The criterion for this is the shility of a certain form of motion to exist indspendently. For instance, physical notion can exist without the chemical one and moreover without the biological one; in its turn, the chemical form of motion is formed on the hasis of the physical one, and the hiclogical form of motion is hased on the chemical form and through it on the hasis of physical one. Consequently, the lower the form of motion the more stable it is end the less it is subject to disintegration. The major volume of matter in the observed part of the Universe is connected with physical form. The lesser part is connected with the chemical one, the insignificant part of matter, only thet on the planet Earth, is connected with higherical form of motion, and the timiest fraction of the volume of metter exists in social form of the motion of patter. Nevertheless, in spite of this the social form of the motion of metter exercises the ever growing influence upon the environment. In the course of its development the humanity involves more material proceeses into social production, it drestically transforms them, and shanes up "e secondary nature" .- crestea new chemical elements. new meteriels with preset properties, atimuletes new energetic processee, and through its activity cerries on the natural development of metter not only on Earth but in outer spece. The human lehour iteelf eppeare as a variety, a form of the motion of metter, and ee a coemic proceee, it becomes to ever greeter degree, eccording to Marx, the ectivity that controls all forces of nature.

Spece and Time

In the run of history of philosophy the probleme of spece and time always occupied an im-

portent place in philosophic doctrines. Ancient philosophers pointed out that all elemente of the world, earth, weter, air,

^{1.} Here we proceed from the fact that according to modern scientific date hiological and social life exists only on Earth. It is not known to science if there is life in any form on other calential objects.

fire, atoma and any other things are "someplace" and "aometime", in other words, in space and time. As it was stated before, some philosophers tried to interpret space and time as independent primal elements of the world, others explained space
and time as conditions of material bodies' existence.

The most precise for that period notion of space we find in Ancient Greek acience. Giving thought primarily to the problem of space (time appeared to be a far more complicated problem) the Ancient Greek science uncovered the two-fold character of space - ahaclute and relative ones.

The absolute character of space was pointed out in the philosophy of Democritus. He asserted, that alongside with atoma there existed vecuum as an essential condition for the motion of atoms, for atoms to form varions combinations which comprised the objects chaerved. Vacuum is the space where atoms move.

The relative character of space was presented in the geometry of <u>Euclid</u> who lived in the end of the 4th and the heginning of the 3rd century B.C. Space is ahepes, figures of hodies, their lines, angles, planes, etc. It is related to bodies, belongs to them.

Conceptiona of absolute and relative cheracter of space influenced greatly the further progress of natural acience and philosophy in this field. They were further evolved in new times by <u>Isaac Newton</u> (1643-1727) who applied the notion of the absolute and the relative not only to space but to time. In his femous work <u>The Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy</u> he wrote that ebsolute space is the "empty receptecle of hodies," it is absolute motionless, endless and homogeneous, it exerts no influence upon the motion of bodies inside it. Relative space is the place in absolute space taken by bodies, or the parts of absolute space coinciding with bodies.

Likewise the time is treeted. Absolute time is the pure continuity flowing from the pest through the present towards the future. This type of time has no relation whatsoever with mechanical processes nor with absolute space. Relative time is the stretch of absolute time during which the hodies gove from one place to another.

Relative space and time are used in the study of mechanical motion. However, this has no relation to absolute space and time. They are motionless, infinite, immutable and in this sense

ars absolute. Newton wrote: "The order of parts of time ie inverieble the eane way as the order of parts of space. Had they noved fron their places, they would advance (ee to aay) into themselves, for time and space ere like their own receptacles and the receptacles of all thet exists. In time everything is disposed according to the order of succession, in space - according to the order of position."

Newtona conception was materialistic end typical metaphysical, he denied any connection between matter, motion, shaclute tine, ebsolute spece, and reletive space. This conception was widespread in ecience end was dominating in natural science until the heginaing of the 20th century, end in metarialist philosophy till the hirth end development of dialectical and historical meterialism of Marx end Engels.

Before Marx there else existed in philosophy an idealist conception of spece end time worked ont by <u>Immenuel Kent</u> 0.724-1804). According to Kent, the world exists objectively andour senses testify to this effect. However, the emestions tell us nothing of the laws of the world, in perticular, of the spacetime order of things, processes, and phenomene. Spece and time, eccording to Kent, ere the inherent forms of human thought which man brings into the world, he applies them upon unregulated sensetions and hrings then to order, erranges the disposition of data in spece and time. This is a classical subjective idealist conception of space and time.

The revolution in philosophy parformed by Marx and Engels was aimed in the field of space and time theory et the criticiam of hoth idealiatic and metaphysical interpretation of these categories, on the one hand, and, on the other hend, et elahoration of dialectical materialiat doctrine of space and time. As points of departure they used above ell their own elahoretions of problems of social matter, of dialectical materialiam, and the achievements of natural acience and progressive philosophy as well.

Studying the process of labour, ascial production relations, commodity relations, the essence of use value and value, concrete end shatract labour, etc., Marx has uncovered the important role space and time play as modes of existence of

^{1.} Newton, "The Mathematical Principles of Netural Philosophy,"
Quoted by A.H.Krylov, Collected Worke, Vol.7,, Moscow-Leningred,
1936, p.31 (in Russiau/.

social mattar in these material processes.

It is essential here to clarify two points in order to understand the whole dislactical materialist conception of space and time. They are: how did Marx arrive at the analysis of the problem of space and time, and how did he understand these categories?

While analysing commodity relations Marx faced a very important taak: to find out why two (or more) totally different commodities (for instance, shoe-polish and a frockcoat) could be exchanged. For them to be exchanged there should be something in common, some one and the same common principle which is qualitatively different from the nature of the exchanged commodities and at the same time contains the very essence of the commodities. To solve the problem Marx turns to geometry. And his choics is not accidental. The thing is that in geometry different figures are also compared, the relations (distances) between bodies are determined. Marx points out: "If a thing is distant from another, the distance is in fact a relation between the one thing and ths other; but at the same time, the distance is something different from this relation between the two things. It is a dimension of space ... " Space is the objectively common factor of different objects.

Hence, the search of the common factor which makes it possible to exchange commodities boils down to the search of the space of commodities, to finding out how space is reflected specifically in commodity relations.

Looking for the answer Marx resorte to the help of geometrical examplee. He compares a triangle and a parallelogram. "In order to equate these different things, each must represent the same common element regardless of the other." And then he pute a question: "But what is this unity of objects exchanged against each other?" The search of the "unity" led

Karl Merx, Theories of Surplue-Value, Part III, Progrees Publishere, Moscow, 1975, p. 143.

^{2.} Toid.

Marx to the discovery of shetract labour, lebour as the expenditure of human labour power. The analysis of labour and its relation to commodities hea nanifested that it is not something outward, insignificant, not related to commodities, hut, on the contrary, it expresses their very nature, crestse their value. In their turn, commodities are not only the result of labour, on the contrary, while heing exchanged they uncover the accisal essence of labour.

Thus the interrelation has been found. From this point of view Marx turns to space in nature and geometry again. Here he discovers dialactical interrelation of material hodies and space (and later on - time) and through this overcomes the netsphysical gap hatween these categorism in the philosophy of Newton and in the whole of the preceding materialism, on the one hend, and, on the other hand, evolves the understanding of space and time in terms of materialist dielectics, which was to be corroborated and elaborated in detail by next materials dielectics.

Here ie Marx's reasoning: "If we speak of the distance ee e reletion hetween two things, we pre-euppose scatching 'intrineic', some 'property' of the things themselves, which enables them to he distant from each other. What is the distance hetween the syllahls A and a tahle? The question would be monsencical. In speaking of the distance of two things, we speak of their difference in space. Thus we suppose hoth of them to he contained in space, to he points of space. Thus we equalise them es heing both sxistences of space, and only after having them equalised <u>sub specie spatii</u> we distinguish them as different points of space. To belong to space is their unity."

It is notsworthy that according to Marx space is not something outward in relation to things, ie not some empty receptacle which contains things but their inherent property, which is determined by the essence of things themselves;

^{1.} Karl Merx, Theoriss of Surplus-Value, Part III, p. 143.

"their unity".

Lster, in the 20th century the same thought was repeated as a result of the deepest and all-round examination of spatial-temporal characteristic of ths world. In his article "On Special and General Theory of Relativity" Albert Einstein pointed out: "According to general theory of relativity the geometrical properties of space are not independent, they ars determined hy mattsr. "1

The concurrence of these ideas is not surprising. Marx as a dialsctician denied the metaphysical interpretation of spece, he correctly grasped the interrelation of matsrial objects and spacs as the mode of existence of matter. From the same point of view he treated time, in particular its application to material economic processes. Einstein makea dislectical conclusion under the influence of diecoveries made by himself and other scientists, the diecoveriss which convincingly manifested the dialectics of nature.

In their struggle against idsalism and mstaphyeics Marx and Engels coms to the conclusion that space and time are the objective forms of the moving matter. To be in space means to bs in ths form of disposition of one near another, to exiet in time means to be in the form of succession of one after another. Engsls pointed out: "The two forms of existence of metter are naturally nothing without matter, empty concepts, abatractione which exist only in our minds."2 Spacs and time are organically interrelated with moving matter and, consequently, with each other. From this point of visw, Marx end Engels elaborated the problem of absolute and relative character of apace and time, traditional for materialiet philosophy. Absolute cheracter from the point of view of dialectical neterialism consists in the fact that there is no metter in the world without apace and time, and on the contrary, there can be no space and time without matter.

However, ae it was stated above, "matter in geseral" doea not axiat. Matter always exiata in certain concrete

^{1.} A. Einstein, Physics and Reslity, Collected Papera, Moscow, 1965, p. 222 (Russ. ed.).

^{2.} Prederick Engels, Dialactica of Nature, p. 235.

forms of motion, in certain atatas. From this it follows that space and time are always relative, they own specific proparties corresponding to this or that form, or state of metter.

Dialectical materialist solution of the problam of ebsolute and relative character of space and time served as a heais for the explanation of the question of their infiniteness.

The problem of whether space and time are infinite or finite has been en object of etruggle between naterialism and ideelier in the run of the history of philosophy. Asserting that the world hes been created by some preternatural idee, spirit, God, idealism draws on the conception that space and time heve their beginning and ultimata end, in other words, they ere finite, limited. On the contrery, materialiata spoka infiniteness of space and time. However, the meterialists of the pre-Merxian period explained this from the point of view of mechanica: they understood infiniteness of space as a real possibility for a body to move mechanically further and further. Infinitenesa is interpreted in the same way on the laval of everyday thinking. Hegel called such notion "had infinitenesa" and pointed out that space and time are equally finite and infinite. Ha interpreted space end time as the atagea of development of shaolute idea and explained the problems of finiteness end infiniteness from this point of view.

Each etege of development of idee is qualitatively accomplished and, consequently, hee its specific individual space-time cherecteristic. Hence space and time are finite, the same way see a concrete stage of development of idea is finite too. But the idea develope further, it goes through new, quelitatively ultimate stages with specific spatial and temporal characteristics. Infinite development of idea means that space and time are infinite. Due to the idealistic foundation of his philosophy Hegel could not offer a ecientific solution to the problem.

From the point of view of dielectical meterieliem real spece end time as modes of the existence of metter ere dielectical in their nature, they are both finite end infinite. Lemin pointed out: "'Schlechte Unondlichkeit' - infinity

qualitatively counterposed to finitude, not connected with it, separated from it, and if the finite were <u>Dieaseita</u>, and the infinite <u>Jenseits</u>, as if the infinite stood <u>above</u> the finite, <u>outside</u> it ... In fact, however, aind aie (the finite and the infinite) <u>untrennbar</u>. They are a unity." The finiteness of space and time is their relativity. The space and time of this or that state, the forms of the motion of matter have properties, characteristics, objective laws atipulated by this atate, by the form of motion of matter. These spatial and temporal characteriatica, properties are finite in the aense that they have place and function only within the limits of certain state, within the form of motion.

Abaoluts character of space and time means their infinity. Beyond this state, the form of motion of matter, and conacquently beyond the infinity of space and time, there exist other qualitatively specific forms of motion of matter and hence other spatial-temporal characteristics.

The dielectical materialist interpretation of space and time and the doctrine of matter in general elaborated by Marx and Engels have been corroborated and further developed on the basis of natural acience in the course of the revolution in natural science in the early 20th century and in the process of its further progress.

However, the new diacoveriea of science were first wrongly interpreted for the scientists and aome philosophera looked upon the new discoveriea from the mechanistic point of view, idealism and agnosticism became wideapread in science and philosophy. Space and time were interpreted in Kantian spirit. "Space and time," said Mach, "are well-ordered (wollgeordnete) systems of series of sensations." V.I. Lenin subjects the idealism of Mach and other philosophers to criticism and, among other things, points out, that the existence of nature in time measured by millions of years prior to the

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 112-2. Quoted by V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 117

sppearance of human eensations proves the abourdity of the idealist theory. Using the latest schievements of natural science Lenin defende and further elaboratee dialectical materialist understanding of space and time. Alongeide the ecientific progrese, new achievements, he pointe out the change and development of our notione of space and time: "Our developing notione of time and space reflect the objectively real time and space. " And he also proves that the revolution in natursl science, particularly the discovery of velocities close to that of light, has brought about major changes in underetanding of space and time ae compared to the period when mechanics dominated in science. He wrote: "Mechanics was s copy of resl motione of moderate velocity, while the new physice is a copy of real notions of enormous velocity."2 In the course of researching of high velocity motions, the new physice has discovered specific space and time characteristice as the forms of existence of previously unknown states of matter.

Lenin considered it necessary that dislectics should be agreed among scientists, that methods of cognition should be improved, that notions should be developed in accordance with the new achievements of science.

So we can sum up that the world is moving matter and it cannot move otherwise than in space and time. From here follows the fundsmental role of the categories of space and time in philosophy and astural science. And thie can be clessly seen in modern Marxiet philosophy of various regions of the world.

Modern Natural Science on Matter and Motion As a recult of the revolution in natural accence that took place at the end of the 19th and the beginning of the 20th

century modern science energed which has uncovered for mankind the picture of the world quite different from the one developed

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 14, p. 176.

^{2.} Tbid., p. 265.

by science in the run of mors than three centuries of the previous period. If proviously the msjor fields of science which formed the picture of the world were mechanics and metaphysical, mechanistic materialiam elaborated by Copernicus, Kepler, Gslilso, Newton and other great accientists slongaide materialist philosophera, above all French materialiats of the 18th century, the msjor fields of accience in the 20th century are the quantum mechanics, the theory of relativity and dislectical materialiam elaborated by Msrx, Engela, and Lemin.

Modern physics (the quantum mechanica and the theory of relativity) does not deny classical mechanica and does not consider it erroneous but merely pointe to the limits of its application: the field of macro-bodies and slow motions as compared to the light speed.

The quantum mechanice is the theory studying the 1sws of the motion of micro-particles (elementary particles, atome, molecules, nuclei of stoms) and their systems. The authors of the theory are Max Planck, Nisls Bohr (1885-1962), Albert Einstein and Louis de Broglie (born in 1892), Psul Dirac (born in 1902), Werner Heisenber (1901-1976), Sergei Vavilov (1891-1961), Pyotr Kspitas (born in 1894), Lev Landau (1903-1968), Vladimir Fok (1898-1974) and other outstanding scientista, of our time.

The theory of relativity is the theory atudying spatial and temporal characteriatics of physical processes. The fore-runners of its formulation were Mikolai Lobachevaky (1792-1856), Jance Bolysi (1802-1860), Hendrik Lorenz (1853-1928), its creator was Albert Einstein (1879-1955).

It is impossible to describe the whole modern point of view on structure and properties of matter, motion, apace and time because this would mean the description of all achievements of modern science. We are going to deal only with the points necessary for philosophical understanding of matter moving in space and time.

According to modern viewe there ers two opposite states of matter — substance and field, and this is the basis of the physical picture of the world. Substance, consists of elementary particles, nuclei of stoms, atoms, molecules, mecroscopic

hodies of different dimensions, the Earth and other planets, stars, galaxies, metagalaxies, clusters of atars, etc. The characteristic feature of auhatance is mass at reat. Bubatance is discrete, intermittent, it consists of comparatively limited elemente. Field is a specific state of matter which appears from the interaction of the corpuscles of suhatance. The interaction exists always and there are no corpuscles without interaction, therefore the field exists always too. Electromagnetic, gravitation, nuclei forces (both strong and weak) fields, fields corresponding to different particles can be referred to as physical fields. Fields also exist independently from the particles which create them. Fields diffuse in the form of waves. The asximum speed of diffusion is the speed of light, 300,000 km per hour.

Before the 20th century the field, electromagnetic one smorg others, was considered to be continuous unlike the intermittent corpuacles of substance. Later, Flanck, Einstein and others have discovered the particles of fields, photon, for instance, is a particle of light. It was proved that fields consist of wave corpuscles, particles.

By applying the idea to the understanding of elementary particles of substance de Broglie has discovered their wave properties. It has become obvious that say corpuscle of substance — s planet, a stone, s molecule, an electron, etc., is an element of substance, and, at the same time, has wave properties the manifestation of which becomes the more noticeshle the smaller the corpuscle is. Elementary particles have both wave end corpuscular propertiee. Thus the dielectical character of the basis of matter has been discovered — the dual nature, the unity of opposites of particles of substance and fields, a corpuscula and a wave.

The progress of physics in the 20th century has become the major corroboration of the dislectical character of nature. Alongside the discovery of elementary particles (at present - more than 200), the antiparticles have been discovered. They have all properties of particles but with the inverse value of electromagnatic charge. It has been also discovered that particles of substance transform into each other, and particles of substance transform into fields and theother

way round, that there exists the phenomonon of the growth of the mass of an elementary particle corresponding to the growth of the speed of its motion, which demonstrated that matter and motion are indissolubly linked. The interactions of elementary particles and fields with space and time are being researched.

Lenin, who closely followed the progress in natural science, pointed out that new discoveries of science uncover the objective dialectics of noture, corroborate the basic principles worked out by Marx and Engels. In his article "Three Sources and Three Component Parts of Marxism (1913) he wrote: "The latest discoveries of natural science - radium, electrons, the transmutation of alementa - have been a remarkable confirmation of Marx's dislectical materialism. "1 Hs returns to the subject in his work "Karl Marx" (1914) and points out that the progress of natural science goss along the lines foretold by the founders of Marxism. He quotes Engsls to the effect that "Nature is the proof of dislectics, and it must be said for modern natural sciencs that it has furnished extremsly rich and daily increasing materials for this test, and has proved that in the last analysis Nature's process is dislectical and not metaphysical," and adds: "This was written before the discovery of radium, electrons, the tranemutation of elemente, etc.1"2

The progreee of natural science in the 20th century has uncovered dislectice in the field of motion of matter, energy processes. The discovery of interconnection of the mass of elementary particles and the speed of their motion, the elaboration by Einetein of the law of interconnection of the mass of substance and the energy contained by it, etc. corroborated the principle of materialism that motion ie the mode of the existence of matter by the concrete scientific material. In the course of etudying the proceedes of motion in microcosm s new clase of the lawe of motion has been discovered. There was a dominating view in classical mechanice that all laws

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 24

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 21, p. 53.

function in such a way that the affect is always precise and synonymously corresponds to its cause. While atudying the motion of elementary particles it has been found out that this cause and effect interconnaction does not take place in microcom. The reaearch has manifested that similar causes (impulae and direction) bring about different effects, that effects correspond to their causes only with certain degree of prohability. Probable and statistical objective laws which include dialectical interconnection of necessity and accidentalness, prohability and reality, cause, necessary conditions and effect, function in microcosm.

The atudy of space and time has greatly influenced the formation of modern dialectical matarialiat picture of the world. The theory of relativity and quantum mechanica have considerably developed the notion of relative character of space and time. As it was stated, dislectical materialism of Marx and Engels has manifested that each form of motion of matter has its own individuel spatial-temporal characteriatica. Modern acience has elaborated this conception in a concrete way in connection with the study of the motion of material ayatems. It has been shown that apece and time of meterial systems change in accordance with the speed of motion of the systems. This is most obvious at the velocities approaching the speed of light. At the came time the interconnection of spece and time has been discovered. This connection ia an inverse proportion. The following examples explain this point.

Suppoeing two systems are moving in relation to each other with the speed eppronching the speed of light. We are in ome of the systems. If we take a rod one metre long and throw it into the other system, it will move there with the speed close to the speed of light, then from our point of view its length will be less than one metre. The sense change takes place in case of time. Let'n take two persons of the seme ege. If one of them is replaced to another system for one year, on him return he will find out thet his counterpart hee lived several decades in our syntem and is old enough to be his father. Thus time expands and space diminishes at the speed approaching the speed of light. The interconnection of

space and time is so organic that according to modern scientific data it would be wrong to spack of space and time as of two forms of the existence of matter. It would be more correct to speak of space-time as of one characteristic of the world. The world has four dimensions - three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension.

The theory of relativity has also demonstrated that the whole of spstial-temporal metric is stipulsted by the gravitstion field of msterial mssses. For instance, close to enormous clusters of stars the space is curved towards the dominating msss. Due to the uneven distribution of material mssses in the Universe space and time can have various matrics -- concave, spherical, spiral and others. From here follows the understanding of finiteness and infinity of space-time. As for back as the 19th century dialectics pointed out the unity of infinity and finiteness. Modern science made the conception for more concrete. In the field of enormous gravitating masses the space would be so curved that it would form a certain closed sphere, and any motion to exite the sphere would be the motion slong the closed curve inside the sphere. In order to break sway from the limits of this space it is nacessary to overcome the gravitation of the said mass. Observations show that there exist in the Universe such masses of gravitation, that they would not let out even light from their limits. That is why such parts of the Universe are called "Black Holes!" Space in such closed spheres is considered to be finite. But this finitenese is relative because there exist the infinite multitude of such spheres.

The problem of finiteness and infinity of time is examined the same way. The research shows that the observed part of the Universe is expanding, the stars, galaxiss, clusters of stars move sway from the centre of our Galaxy at enormous speed. It is possible to calculate the approximats start of this movement from the initial state if we know the distance and the speed of motion. The calculations show that the observed part of the Universe was in the compressed state about 10 to 18 billion years ago. The beginning of the expansion was the beginning of life of our part of the Universe. The research also shows that at a certain stags the reverse

process, the compression, will begin. The return to the initial state will be the end of the life-time of our part of the Universe. The Universe pulsates. The same processes take place in other parts of the Universe. Thus, time is finite in relation to certain pulsating systems but absolutely it is infinite.

The pulsation of our part of the Universe is not supernatural or exclusive. Scientists prove that new stars are born now also. They are formed through the explosion of the prestellar substance consisting of tightly compressed elementary particles, the forces of their interaction lead to mutual repulsion.

Thus modern science have uncovered the dialectical character of the basic phenomena, processes, subjects of nature observed by men, and corroborated Lenin's prevision.

However, the proliferation of dialectics in natural science is not an easy process, it is not free from devistions, from some idealistic, religious and even resctionary doctrines. And this is true not only of the period of the radical break-up of the old principles under the impact of new scientific discoveries in the beginning of the 20th century. The progress of science in the run of the 20th century is accompanied by the struggle against various unscientific conceptions which sometimes are evolved by the scientists who are well known in science. This is mainly due to the ignorance in dialectics and to the identification of materialism with its obsolete form of metaphysical mechanistic materislism which has been long ago refuted.

The attempt to interpret motion taken separately from matter took place as for back as 17th-18th centuries when scientists tried to prove that some preternatural force had given an initial impulse to matter. In the beginning of the 20th century a German physicist Wilhelm Ostwald (1853-1932) tried to prove that energy is something primary as compared to matter. The energism of Ostwald wes convincingly criticised by Lenin in his work Materialiam and Empirio-Criticiam. He said that motion without matter is a pure motion, it is a motion without something to move, it is actually a pure thought of motion, but not motion itself. Energism

went on parasitising nt the expence of the latest nchievements of science. The diacovery of the fact that the usas of an aloctron grows with the growth of its velocity was interpreted as motion giving birth to matter, as transformation of energy into matter. Einstein's formula of interconnection of mass and energy was used by some philosophers and physicists in the same way. Such conceptions are based on identification of mass with matter, substance with matter. As it was shown shove dialactical materialiam had long since proved that matter is the totality of objective reality. Mass, energy are the attributes, inseparable properties of matter which transform into each other and thus prove the fact that matter is not a creation and that it is indestructible.

The relapaes of idealism are also due to the erroneous interpretation of the fact that the collision of a positron and an alectron causes their disappearance and the formation of two photons. This was called the annihilation of matter, tha disappearance of matter. In reality what we have here is the process of transformation of one state of metter (substance) into another (field).

Many sttampts have been mede to corroborste idealism by the achievements of the theory of relativity. To some extent they were caused by Einstein himself who, when explaining the theory of relativity of space and time, used the words "from the point of view of observers" with methodological purposes and said that from the point of view of an observer in one system the space and time would have one characteristic, but from the point of view of an observer in snother system the same space and time would have snother characteristic. The followers of Neo-Kantianiam interpreted this in such a way that the observers create the spatial-temporal characteristic. Einstein himself refuted such notion by saying: "Such an assertion would be considered a joke by any expert." He also asid elsewhere: "There exists a certain real state of a physical system independent of all observations and measurements. No

^{1.} A. Einstein, Physics and Reality, Collected Papers, p. 253.

one, for instance, will doubt that the centrs of gravity of the Moon takes a certain position at a certain moment even in the absence of a real or potential observer. These atatements by the great scientist remind us of Lonin's words spoken saveral decades before Einstein: "Recognising the exiatence of objective reality, i.e., matter in motion, independently of our mind, materialism must also inevitably recognise the objective reality of time and space, in contrast shove all to Kantianism, which in this question sides with idealism and regards time and space not as objective realities but as forms of human understanding."

The dielectical materialist doctrine of matter, motion, space and time is an organic part of the modern scientific understanding of the objective material world, it serves the methodological hasis of further progress of human cognition, of the progress from ignorance to knowledge, from incomplete knowledge to better knowledge, helps to discover the objective dielectics of material processes and develop human stilities to master nature and society theoretically and practically.

The basic question of philosophy, as it has been stated in the introduction, is the question of the relation of thinking to being; spirit to natura, conscioueness to matter. The materialist solution of the question consists in the proof of the fact that conscioueness is a derivative of matter, that conscioueness is one of the specific menicestations of the social form of the motion of matter, the typery of conscioueness, its easence and origin has always been the object of close attention of outstanding acientists, philosophera, thinkers. During the centuries—old history of studying conscioueness many important generalisations have been made.

2. Consciousness, Its Origin and Essence

In their struggle with various anti-scientific conceptions

the science and practice of experiment have come to the final 1. A. Einstein, Physics and Reality, Collected Papera, p. 78

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14,p. 175.

conclusion that the organ of thinking is human brain consisting of 14 billion cells each of which is interconnected with the others. In the process of thinking the cells are irritsted, activated or disactivated; action potentials, peculiar alectric charges, etc., go through the thinking brain. The average weight of the hrain of an adult person is 1,470 grammes, its volume is 1,456 cubical cantimetras, the thinking abilities of human baings do not depend on the weight or the volume of the brain.

The destruction of cells or cellular connections brings shout serious disturbances in mental abilities of a person and hia moral state. This is one of the most convincing arguments against various conceptions of praternatural character of conaciouaness, immortality of spirit, etc.

Scientific research diacovers the chemical composition of cerebral cella and the changes in their composition connected with various mental states, the influence of cartain chemical compounds upon human mentality when such compounds are introduced into the brain. The chemical compounds which, figurativaly speaking, record the information in human mind are being studied, in other words, the biochemical basis of memory is being revealed.

However, all this is viochemical, physiological, material hasis of consciousness hut not conactousness proper -notions, conceptions, knowledge, dreams, etc. Conactousness
proper has not yet been studied well enough though various
fields of science continue to study consciousness. Great advances have heen mada by philosophy, the philosophy of dialectical and historical materialism in particular, in the
atudy of the phenomenon of consciousness, and the latter utilised the achievements of hoth modern science and the previous philosophic thought.

The Problem of Consciousness in the History of Philosophy The solution of the problem of consciousness is connected with the solution of the basic question of philosophy. Already in socient Indian philo-

aophy attempts were made to understand the nature of mental processes, to analyse various emotional axperiences, the feel-

ings of love and dislike, joy and suffering, prids and humiliation. Ancient Indian materialist Brihaspati stated that life originated from matter and that anotional experiences are inherent in human hody. A great achievement of sncient Indian philosophy was the fact that it turned to the analysis of human ego. Philosophers pointed out the polysemantic character of the word "ego", underlined its generalised meaning, took it for the expression of the multitude of characteristics of a body. From hers they came to the conclusion that when a human hody died its "ego" died too, hence, consciousness disappeared. Such speculation was an important argument sgainst religious idealist doctrines.

Ancient Chinese philosophy paid great attention to the study of the processes of cognition, the laws of the logics of thought and thus drew sttontion to mental processes as being specific and different from those of nature.

Ancient Greechilosophers thought that consciousness, which they called "soul", something different from the phenomena end processee observed in nature. Their major attention was peid to the study of the escence of consciousness, its specific characteristics. Pleto was the first in the history of philosophy who pointed out the ideal immaterial nature of consciousnesse and seid that a thought is comprised of ideas. He made this side of consciousnesse an ebsolute and interpreted ideas as something primary to the totality of the material world, as the foundation of the world. In his struggle against Plato's idealism Democritus, heims a materialist, asserted that the soul which he identified with consciousness consisted of timiest etons, in other words was material.

An important schievement of Areb philosophy at the end of the first millennium and the beginning of the second millennium A.D. was a special proof of the fact that consciousness is localised in the brain; great attention was paid to the study of objective laws of mental processes. To a lerge extent this was connected with the study of the heritage of an ancient Grack philosophor Aristotle who had elaborated basic schemes and figures of thought. Arab philosophy continued to develop Arietotle's logica and made its contribution to this field of knowledge.

٠. ٤

European mediaeval thought being under the influence of religion and the church looked upon consciousness, which most often was called the acul, as "a smouldering spark," "reflection" of the divine mind. These notions were later developed in idealiat philosophy of the new time, which by using intricata philosophic speculations, tried to prova that the world's mind, or the absolute idea, created the material world observed by us, and that human thought is only a specific form of existence of the world's mind.

Materialist philosophera or those who wavered between matarialism and idaalism made certain advances in the analysis of consciousness. Descartas asserted that man has to substances, material and spiritual, and the spiritual one ultimately ascends to God. At the same time he tried to study mental processes and became one of the founders of physiology.

'Spinoza considered thought to be an attribute of aubstance alongaide auch attributea as length. The doctrine of unified substance and its two attributes was a blow to traditional conceptions of all religions of God as some spiritual forca which created tha material world. Consciousness of any man from Spinozs'a point of view is the manifestation of the substantial attribute.

Much was contributed by French materialists of the 18th cantury to the understanding of consciousness. Within the limits of scientific capabilities of their time they convincingly proved that consciousness is the reflection of objective material world. According to them, human consciousness is notione, images, specific imprints of the objectively existing objects, things, processas. Holbach wrote: "Successive modifications of our brain caused by the action of things on our sense organs become causes themselves and engender new modifications in our soul which are called thoughts, meditations, memory, imagination, judgements, wishes, and actions, all of which have the same basis-sensation." The explanation of consciousness as reflection become the basis for the development of another concept of consciousness being accordary in

^{1.} P. Holbach, The System of Natura, p. 303.

relations to nature surrounding man. This was of great importance in the struggle of materialists against idealist doctrines.

An important step forward in the study of conscionaness was made by <u>Russian asterialists</u> of the 19th century. Pointing out that conscionaness is secondary to astter thay proved that it is the result of the development of nature. Alexander Herzen (1812-1870) stated that "consciouaness is not foreign to nature, it is but the auprens stage of its davelopment. Russian asterialist philosophy paid great attention to the corroboration of the fact that the organ of thinking is human brain, which is a material hody organized in a spacific way. Thus philosophy contributed greatly to the emergence of physiology in Russias as a special field of natural science studying the material hasis of thought. The founders of the Russian school of physiology were Ivan Sechenov (1820-1905) and Ivan Pavlov. Their works became the natural science hasis for the materialist solution of the problems of consciouanessa.

Thinking much of the doctrines of the old materialiata on consciousness we have to point out that they had considerable drawbacks. Here we refer to the fact that shove all they failed to explain the <u>origin of consciousness</u>. The old materialists stuck to metsphysics, they were not shis to grasp the processes of development, qualitative changes in natura. That is why pre-Marxian materialists mathyl limited themselves to stating the fact that (consciousness was the exclusive sbility of a human being which distinguishes him from an animal.)

A asrious drawback in the teaching of the old materialists about consciousness was their concept of reflection
being a passive process, a simple observation, mechanical,
mirror-like reflection. An active creative component of consciousness was not taken into account. That is why it so
happened that the creative component of mants consciousness
has been atudied by idealiam but in such a way that consciousness was presented as a kind of primary matter, a source of
all the existing, a prima basis of the world, or its creator.

^{1.} A,I. Herzen, Collected Worka, Vol. III, Moscow, 1954, pp. 126-127 (in Russian).

Such an absolutiastion of consciousness was most characteristic of German idesliat philosophers Fichte (1762-1814) snd Hegel (1770-1831).

These shortcomings along with some other weak points of old matsrisliam wers ramoved by Marx and Engels, the founders of dialectical materislist teaching of consciousness and its scientific analysis methodology. The propositions of Marx and Engala were further developed by Lenin.

The origin of consciousness problem was a stumbling-block Origin of Conaciouanaaa aftern find of for all metsphysical philosophy. This philosophy failed to understand the laws of material world development, and went to either of two extremsa; it refused to solve the problem, just indicating that consciousness is s property of a human being, for srrived st tha ac-called hylozoism, i.a., philosophical teaching that all msttar is snimate. In this case such shaurd conclusions were drawn as, for example, sccording to French philosophar mstsrialist Robins, a stone can also think but much worse than a

It was only as a result of crestion of dislectical and historical materialism by Marx and Engals, ravaeling the laws of development, qualitative transformation of phenomens and processes in nature and society, that the problem of tha origin of consciouanesa could be solved.

According to Marx, Engela and Lenin, the origin of conaciouaneas is governed by two major prerequiaites: natural and social

Natural prerequisites of consciousness origin sre developed in Lenin's theory of reflection. In his book Imperialiam and Empirio-Criticiam Lenin writes: "It is logical to assert that all matter possesses a property which is essentially akin to sensation, the property of reflection."1

The classics of Marxisu-Leninian have criticised hylozoism which secribed thinking capacity to all matter. But at

V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 92.

the same time they considered that consciousness does not appear from void. (Matter hes a certain property, which in the process of development takes a shape of thinking, Using the latest achievements of natural science of the haginning of the 20th century, Lamin drew the conclusion that all matter hes a property of reflection. Lenin proved that the concept of "reflection" is much broader than that of "consciousness" while the latter is the highest stage in the development of the former.

What is reflection? Reflection is a general property of matter to reproduce and fix the properties inherent in the reflacted object. Reflection may be defined as the ability of hodies to reflect internally the properties of other bodies, ecting upon them. The definition is not quite exact, but it helps to distinguish between the philosophical and other notions of reflection. Besides that it has a certain degrees of generalisation, because it embraces both elemental and higher forms of reflection, i.e., sensations and consciousnass.

Some simple examples are given for illustration of the above said. When a person walks in sand, footprints are laft. The footprints are a raflection of his foot. During excevations the scientists find prints of extinct animals and plants. This is also reflection. Smooth water surface also reflects trees and shrube, aurrounding the pool. Metal body expansion at heating reflecte the ambient tamperatura rise.

These are all processes of reflection, and we come across them deily in our life. All the examples given above are illustrating inorganic, inanimate nature. But with tha development of matter, with its transition from one form of notion to another, the process of reflection elso becomes more complicated. The simplest type of reflection, inherent in organic matter, i.e., the plants and primitive animate bodies — is irritability. Irritability is expressed, for example, when a sunflower follows the aum, when e jeley-fish moves to the light, etc.

Higher organisms have atill more complicated forms of reflection: sensetion and perception.

The highest possible form of reflection in nature is a primitiva thinking of such aminsls as nonkey, dolfin, elephant.

dog, horae, hysna and some other. The monkey breed, from which human beings originated, also had that type of primitive thinking ability. But for origination of consciousness as the highest form of reflection and the property of characteristic of human being alona, special, i.e. accial, conditions were necessary.

Social Conditions for the Origin of Consciousness The problem of the origin of human being and his consciousness was dealt with by many acientists. Naturalists long

before Marx noted that the human being originated from the world of animals and explained it by historical evolutionary processes. They pointed out that due to climatic changes, environment also changes and this in its turn makes the animals adapt to the changing environmental conditions thus perfecting themselves.

That was the way the evolution went, during which the human being shaped Even such an outstanding accentist as Darwin (1809-1882) kept to evolutionism analysing the problem of human origin. With such an approach the main question remained pending, i.e., the qualitative difference between man and primates.

By no means can we isolate the origin of human being from biological evolution. Several million years ago great climatic changes, followed by other changes, took placs on our planet. For many primates those changea became a kind of ecological crisia, because they could not adjust their interaction with nature to the new conditions and thus failed to aurvive. Many species of animala did perish. Some of them changed their nature, way of life and thus managed to aurvive. Under those conditions spes -- the predecessors of human being, when losing the source of ready natural food, atarted to change or tranaform the objecta found in wild nature so they would help them to meet their requirements. A qualitatively new process come into being, i.a. living organiam atarted to adapt tha nature to ita requirementa. Thua labour appeared, which is an activity simed at nature transformation, apacific feature of man and the basis for his development. Thus labour served as a specific means of overcoming the ecological crisis. In the historical process of solving the ecological problem man was formed and shaped.

During labour sctivity, as Herr pointed out, man influencing upon and transforming environment "at the same time changes his own nature", 1 i.e. in the course of labour activity man modifiee end develops with the development of man's conscioueness predominating. Classics of Merrism pointed out that labour is of such an importance that it may be said: "Lebour created the man himself".

Human consciouenesa originated in the procees of labour in the following way.

For finding a necessary object in nature it is enough to be able to reflect the externel, visible eide of the objects. Even animals are capable of this. But to modify the natural object and purposely adept it to a specific requirement, the shove eaid ability is insufficient. It is necessary for this purpose to reflect the inner side of the objects. their law and foundation. In the course of numerous attempts to modify the objects in the run of historically long period of time anthropoid ape developed the ability of abstract thinking, i.e. to reflect the inner easential properties of natural objects. Abstract thinking originates when the anthropoids etart to create and make use of labour toola. To explain the way the abatract thinking appears with utiliastion of instrumenta of labour let ue see the mental process! Prior to using the labour tools in getting the food two forme of reflection had en established connection in the hrain: representation of requirement -- representation of the required object. But when the anthropoid ape etarte using the tool a third interconnecting link appears in the chain hatween the former two, i.e. Ishour tool reflection. A tool is such an object, which is not good for immediate setiafaction of the requirement. It is not like the requirement or the object of requirement. But at the same time ite representation includes particular features of both.

Let ue are closer the reaence of this third link, i.e, the tool. Let it be a etick, by means of which the anthropo-

^{1.} K. Marx, Capital, Vol. I, Progrese Publishere, 1974,p.173.

id aps reaches for bonans. The stick itself is not a tool yet. It becomes a tool only when it is found between the hand and the banans with the purpose of reaching for the latter. The same is true about the reflection of the stick in the brain as ite representation. Such representation by itself is not a representation of a tool. Its representation becomes the representation of the tool when in the brain it is placed between the other two representations, i.s. food requirement and banano. But when the representation of a stick is placed between the other two, it acquires a new quality. Now this representation includes some fectures of the adjacent two, which it links together: i.e. the nature of the requirement and banana properties, hand and banana, distance between them, etc. Under this condition alone the stick representation turns into the tool representation.

In the "tool" representation there is a quality not present in the "stick" representation, which appears only in the system of representations developed by man. It is from here that abstract thinking starts. It means presence and development of such representations, concepts and other forms of thinking, which are not a straightforward immediats reflection of real objects, but which forms as a result of mental satablishment of major links between these simple images. Abstract thinking is a generalised reflection of the world, but at the same time it is a deeper reflection as compared to an immediate one, because the former may appear only during labour process.

The major role in establishment and development of consciousness is played by a working collective. Why labour is a collective process? It is because in the majority of cases transformation of the natural objects involved quits a number of various simultaneous operations, actions. One single individual can not cope with the task because of his limited anatomic and physiological capacities. That is why the anthropoid apes, shaping into human beings, from the very beginning Joined into collectives in which the labour actions were divided between them.

Coordination of labour activities and transmission of information became a nacessity in the process of collective

8-855

lahour. Under the impact of this necessity there appeared language es a means of information transmission during the lahour process. The information was to be transmitted and received quickly end accurately. This forced etraining of intellectual efforts and training of newly appearing consciousness, thus developing it. Language is a means of presenting, storing and transmitting socially acquired information developed by society in the course of history.

Four major factors emerga historically simultaneously, have mutual effect on eech other, facilitating their development, these ere: labour, collective (society), lenguega
(speech), and conaciousness. But the hasis of the whols
process is lebour for consciousness and collective as well
as language emerge to increase labour afficiency and on the
besis of lebour.

Historical development of lahour end collective productive activity is permanently accompanied by the development of man's coneciousness, which reflects ever desper and more assential sepects of the objects and natural processes.

The Essence of Conscious-

Consciousnese may be defined
ms a philosophical category
for danoting the highest,
ideel, creative reflection

of the world daveloped on the basis of labour.

Specific feature of consciousness is its ideal nature, The problem of ideal charecter of conscioueness is a major topic of philoeophicel studies, and its wrong epprehension is one of the main roots of idealism. Idealists consider the ideal nature of consciousness as something absolute opposite to matter, sxisting externally and beyond metter and being the besis of all mettar. Pre-Marxian materialiem waged e etruggle against shaolutiaetion of consciousnasa ideality, but without knowledge of dislectics end understanding of man's social nature, it failed to explain the ideal charecter of tha highast formof reflection. In the 19th century there was e trend in materielist philosophy to magete the ideal character of consciousness and to explain it ee a puraly material process, se some liquid substance emitted from the brein. But this trend did not find supporters smong the philosophers.

Scientific explanation of consciousness' ideal nature was given only by dislectical and historical materialism.

When apeaking about ideal one usually thinks of something immsterial, existing not in the real world, but in imagination alone.

But st the same time dialectics materialiam does not apparate consciousness from matter, does not intend to oppose it to metter shaolutely. Lenin wrote: "The entithesis of matter and mind has absolute aignificance only within the bounds of a very limited field -- in this case exclusively within the bounds of the fundamental epistemological problem of what is to be regarded as primery and what as accondary. Beyond these bounds the relative character of this antithesis is indubitable."

Consciouaneaa ie a property of brein to reflect the objective material world. Being the property of brein, consciousneas belongs to metter and in this respect it is absurd to oppose it to metter. But reflecting it in the ideal form of thought, conacioueness is opposite to netter, different from it. But even in this respect consciousness is not fully independent of metter, as its essence is in fact a reflection of meterial world, and its content is predetermined by the material world. Having in mind conaciousness belonging to matter Engels pointed out, that motion of metter includes in itself mental processes.

For reveeling the ideal easence of conacioueneee it is necessary to pay ettention not to the common features of conaciouanese and netter, but on their difference. Coneciouenese is idea in its main aspects: both in its origin and its easence. Its ideal cherecter is created by lebour, by activity eimed et neture transformation using the labour tools. Let us see the essence of conecioueness' ideal charecter and the process of its formation. Reflective processes typical of animals are of eensuous-psychological nature. The enimal's breain reproduces biochemically and physiologically external, directly observed espects of individual things, ecting upon

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 147.

their sense organs. On the contrary man's consciousness reflects the inner, important aspects and common properties of things and processes.

The difficulty in understanding the ideal character is that it reflects things, processes, such peculiarities of the objective world which are not sensuously perceived. English classical materialism postulate, "There is nothing new in the intellect as compared to what previously existed in sensations and senses (nighl est in intellectu quand non fuerit in sensu)" is basically wrong. Han's consciousness as reflection of processes appearing in the cause of labour, as reflection of eseence, reflection of common festures in a multitude of individual phenomena, contains that which has no direct impact on sense organs. By this resson consciousness is of ideal nature. Now we shall explain this general atetement in more detail.

Forms of Abstract Thinking In labour sctivity with utilisation of tools abstract thinking develope, i.e. such mental images, which reflect first of

all lebour tools not es things sensually percepted, but as a meening of these thinge, the role they play and the functions they perform in the labour process. A stick the epe uses to reach s banans is a tool only when it is used in the labour procees. Out of the procees it is no longer s tool but just sn object in nature. It should be noted that the object may be used se a tool without any alterstions to it. "Tool" is not s specific astural thing, but a relation, purpose, role or function performed by an ordinary thing, need as a tool in labour procees. "Living labour," Marx says, "must seize upon these things and rouse them from their death-eleep, change them from mere poseible use-values into real and effective ones. Bathed in the fire of Isbour, sppropriated as part and parcel of labour'e organism, and, sa it were, made alive for the performence of their functions in the process, they are in truth consumed ... ss new products. "1

Karl Msrx, Cspital, Vol. I, p. 178.

Thus in labour process such raslities appear, that do not exist out of the process, objectively or sensually. They are formed in labour process proper and are reflected in the consciousness, not in a material space-time shape but rather in ideal form as a notion of the relation, value, function and rols the particular thing plays in the labour process.

The notion of labour tool was historically the first ideal form of thought to appear in labour process. At the early atage of formation of man'a consciousness the ideal notions vanished when the natural thing was no longer used as a labour tool. Similar practice is observed now in the experimenta with the apes. For a long historical period of development these notions were gradually fixed in the brain, and kept there for a longer and longer time. It was the historical process of the formation of human conaciouanesa. It should also be added that auch fixing of "tool" notion was associated directly with practical fixing of tool properties in things, i.e. along with the historical process of creating the tools. first in individual cases and then systematically, from simpla to mora complicated. The tools created by man, i.a. axe, machina, computer, are materialised relation of peopla to natura and to each other. As such, objectively, out of labour process and without fixing in human consciousness as tools thesa are not tools, but a heap of metal. And it is in such a way that they are perceived by animals, i.e. creatures having no ideal images of the objects.

In the cause of labour activity the range of ideal expands, it embraces also the objects transformed, and the mental shapes, reflecting the essence of the transformed things, are not shaped by themselves, but in the process of labour and on the basis of those mental shapes which reproduce the labour tools, bacsuse the latter are the materialised relation of people to things and comprise the possibility of acting upon the essence of the things. In the labour process the tools are so if leading human thought, which, according to Lenin, "goes endlessly deeper from appearance to assence...".

^{1.} V.I. Lanin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 253.

What is the nature of the thought shapes which raflect the essence of the transformed things? It is also governed by the nature of tools. The notion "tool" has slways a generalised character. Thus for example the most primitive sharpened stick for digging out the roots is of s general purpose character, because it may be used to dig out not only this particular root but a similar one too, i.e. the tool may be adjusted for digging out the roots which are similar to each other.

Thus the "tool" notion not only reflects the assence of a given tool as a single thing, but it reflects the generalised essence. In its turn, the essentiel component in things, upon which men's influence is exercised in labour process, is sleen not singular but also general. Thus the shepse of thought, in which the essence of the transformed things is reflected, is also a generalised character.

Ant the general as such does not really exist in the sensuelly perceived form. In reality there are seperete single things, having common features. "The universel," Lenin wrots, "exists only in the individual and through the individual." Individual things ere perceived by the senses, universal things ere perceived by the senses, universal things ere perceived by the thought, "the universal is a thought," Lenin notes. Man's thinking embrecas the general in the individual, extracts it, abstracts it end shapes the farm of thought heving a generalised character. The general exists in thought in its pure form, and as such and in this sense it is ideal.

The ideal cannot be reproduced by some sanaually parcelved material forms and means. Under this condition it is no longer ideal. The only way of expressing the ideal is the word, speech. As far sa the ideal is always general the words are also having a generalized character. "Every word (speech)," Lenin wrote, "elreedy universalized." And further: "In langu-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 361.

^{2.} Tbid., p. 278.

³· Ibid., p. 274.

age there is only the <u>universel</u>." This idsal end generalised character of thought and word differentietes them from meterial, individual sensuel reality perception. "The aenaes show reality," Lenin says, "thought end word -- the universal."

Question mey erise ebout the ideal cherecter of thought ebout ainguler things, i.e. the Sun, the Moon, the given teble, etc. Lenin anelysed the problem: "To call by neme? —but the nems is e contingent eymbol end does not express Seche salbst /tha very essence of the thing/ (how cen the particuler be expressed?)."

The solution of the problem Lsnin saw in comparison.
"One of the objects of e given kind (tebles) is distinguished by something from the rest."

The thought about the perticuler (e.g. the Sun) is also ideal dua to generalisation, but its generalised character is formed by the difference from the other things (the Earth, the Moon) rether than by feetures in common, i.e. the ideal thought about the particular is in one wey or another interconnected with other thoughts end included in the system of the ideal.

Senaual date are proceeded and transformed by analysis end synthesia, ebatraction and generalisation, the result being the ideal forms of thought. "The ideal," Marx wrote, ("ia nothing elae than the material world reflected by the human mind, and translated into forms of thought."

The forms of thought are ideal because they are not en individual reflection of the world, but a social one. Ideel-gamaral notions of labour tools, transformed things, stc. eppeer, form and develop only in the collective social labour and their existence is maintained by the fect that their meaning is generally accepted end represents the relations between people in society; society is its basrar, not sn individuel.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collacted Works, Vol. 38, p. 277.

Ibid., p. 274. The interconnection between consciousness end language is dealt with in more detail in Part III.

^{3.} Toid., p. 278.

^{4.} Ibid., p. 276.

^{5.} K. Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 29.

Idealist philosophy points out specifically the value of the ideal forms of thought and tries to represent them as characters, symbols, bieroglyphs of things, rather then the reflection of the things proper. Such explenetions were given by Mechists and other subjective idealists. According to them, the essence, the content of the objective things is beyond our understanding, being incognitive. Lenin strongly opposed this idee, saying that "'conventionel sign', symbol, hieroglyoh are concepts which introduce en entirely unnecessary element of agnosticism."

Ideal notion is an image, meeningful reproduction of eeeence of objectively existing things. "The image." Lenin noted, "inevitebly and of necessity implies the objective reelity of thet which it 'ineginea'." The forms of our thinking ere the images of the things. And the images should not be taken as something existing parallel to the objective things, as some other sphere existing alongeide the sphere of thinge. Imeges shell not be taken away from the process of men's understending of the objective world. An inegs of a thing is neither the thing proper and not e source object of cognition nor e sign of the thing. The image of a thing is en ideal reflection of an objective thing.

To summerise the shove seid, a short definition may ba giveny the ideal is en essentially generalised reflection of people's reletion to nature and to esch other by accisl coneciouanesa.

Showing the difference between the ideal and the naterial. conscious and sensual-objective one should not go too fer in esperating them. Lenin wrote: "The difference of the ideal from the materiel is also not unconditional, not uberschwenglich."5 The ideal and the material are closely interconnected. The ideal is of secondary, derivetive neture, it is e result of the material development and its reflection. But the ideal in ite turn hea a countereffect upon the

V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 14, p. 235.

^{2.} Ibid.

^{3.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 114.

msterial processes, upon the reslity. Marx wrote, that it is the ideal world "which alwaya wells up out of the real world and flows back into it with ever greater spiritual riches and renews its soul."

The surrounding reality is reflected in man's conscionsness "ideally, in the shape of s ... plsn" and the plan turns into s kind of model for purposeful actions taken by man. "At the end of every labour-process, we get a result that already existed in the imagination of the labourer at its commencement."

In the revolutionsry practice the success depends mainly on the mssses upbringing, on having a clear plsn of sctivity in their consciousness, on thorough understanding of immediata and long-term purposes and the wsys of revolutionary struggle.

Differentiation of Consciousness

Consciousness as snideal reflection of the material world is not single snd inssparable one. "Consciousness..."

Marx points out, "is therefore the totality of ita moments." Further detailed consciousness characteristics is to reveal these espects.

Aspect one: consciousness is knowledge. Marx says: "The way in which consciousness is, and in which something is for it, is knowing." We have mentioned bafors that consciousness is primarily the reflection of the aurrounding objecta, i.a. knowing them. There is no consciousness unless there is knowledge. Knowledge is a way of living, existence of consciousness. But on the other hand, an object also exists for consciousness (not exists in general, but exists for a consciousness (not exists in general, but exists for a consciousness, Real objects are reflected in men's consciousness. Real objects are reflected in men's consciousness.

^{1.} K. Marx and F. Engela, Collected Worka, Vol. 1, p. 165. K. Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 314.

^{3.} K. Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 174.

^{4.} K. Marx, and F. Engela, Collected Works, Vol. 3, p. 335 5. Ibid., p. 338.

nsss ss notions, representstions, hypotheses, theoriss and various teachinge. Knowledge may be pre-scientific (life sxperience) end scientific, s.g. knowledgs of mythology, srtistic knowledge, stc. Knowledge concentrates accumulated axperiencs of man and effects systemetisetion of scattered informetion and inages. This is ascessary for practical activity st s given moment as s support for further development of both knowledge and social practice.

Aspect two: coneciousness is a salf-consciousness. Consciousness does not only reflect the external world, sxisting outwardly and objectively, but itself reflects man himself, his inner mental life. A nen visuelises himself as being distinct from the surrounding world, se s subject directly opposed to object. "Consciousness (das Bawusetsein) can naver be anything else than conscious being (des bewasate Sein), and the being of nen is their actual life-process. * Self-consciousness is a salf-evaluation of man, assessment of his own behaviour, morality, ideals and interests, i.a. in self-consciousness asa leeras and reflects his own essence. Marx says: "The nan who takee hold of his essential being is mersly tha aelf-conacioueneaa."2 In his self-conscioueness man as it views himself from sside, checks himself, his behaviour, makes comparison of his actions, and thereby hinself, with the peopls's sctions, perceives himself in the general context of changing situation. Animals do have some primitivs-sensual knowledge (they know, for example, their master, their house, etc.), but they do not have self-consciouenses, which is specific for man alone. Animals are directly involved in the system of natural processes, being its partl'Man, due to labour and utilisation of labour tools, is indirectly participating in the process, aingles himself out from nature, counterposes to it and thus perceives himself as something different from nature. He mentally subdivides the world into two parts --"Belf" es a mental centre of human personality and "non-salf", i.e. all the rest, around "Self". Due to self-consciousness man

^{1.} K. Marx and F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 36. 2. Tbid., Vol. 3, p. 334.

controls his sctions as if looking at his Self within the surrounding world as a whole.

Self-consciousness is a result of high level of consciousness development. At an early stage of man development consciousness was usinly represented by knowledge. And it is only gradually that self-consciousness is forming on the basis of knowledge. The process of self-consciousness formation is a process of moulding a personality, i.e. formation of a stable system of socially-meaningful features, specific of an individual being a member of a collective or society.

Aspect three: consciousness is emotions. Emotions are towaring shows consciousness and self-consciousness. Besides just having consciousness and self-consciousness, man trests them not indifferently, but in a certain way. Man may be happy or scsred, to love or to hate, to feel self-respect or humilistion and offence -- all that is a result of his swereness of the outer world or self-perception. These are enotions, having numerous shades, tints and complicated feelings, too complicated to be characterised by words./

Man's emotions are outwardly similar to certain psychic responses of animals. For this resson it is sometimes said that snimels have emotions too. But in fact, man wathching animals' responses, evaluates them analogously to his own emotions. Man's emotions do appear and develop only on the basis of labour as an attitude towards the labour process and its results as well as his attitude to the abour collective, to society. When speaking specifically of labour significance in the accial activity, Marx points out: "The senses of the social man differ from those of the non-social man. Only through the objectively unfolded richness of man's easential being is the richness of subjective human sensibility .. either cultivated or brought into being."

. Man directs his emotions at important socially-meaningful phenomena, moulds his attitude and systems of actions to be taken in relation to these phenomena.

Aspect four: consciousness is imagination. Man'a consci-

^{1.} K. Marx and F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 3, p. 301.

ousness is of creative nature, it produces such notions, images, such a system of mental forms, which are not n direct reflection of definite objects or phenomena of reslity. This capability has developed in an as sarly as in ancient times, when man failing to find a ready object in nature to mast his requirements tried to alter natural objects. Inagination appared as a result of discrepancy between the existing necessity and object, existing in nature to meat the requirements. Etimulated by vital necessity man worked out an image of an object required for bis purposes. Having this image in mind, man took it as a sample while modifying natural objects, altered them according to the image. Imagination is a capacity of conscioueness to combine mental images and their alements into new systems, having no analogue in reslity.

Imagination, developing with sophistication of lahour process and social relations, became a major aspect of man's conscionances and activity. Imagination's main function is to imagins ideally the result of activity prior to its complation in reality. Teaspiration plays the role of a determinant factor controlling the order and sequence of labour actions. "A spider conducts oparations that resemble those of a weaver, and a base puts to shame many an architect in the construction of her cells. But what distinguishes the worst architect from the best of bees is this, that the architect raises his structure in imagination before he erects it in reality."

(Imagination precedes what is not yet existing, but will or may be as a result of man's activity, social activity or altarations of natural processes. Man continues mentally the processes he knows and reflects in his consciousmess. Generally speaking there is nothing in imagination that would not have any basis in reality. Imagination springs from such mental forms which are reflections of real, existing in reality objects and processes. But imagination places these mental forms (notions, images, atc.) in new, non-existing relations and connections, creating mentally unprecedent structures, systems and processes. Psychology classifies imagination by

^{1.} Kerl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 174.

various features: the degree of purposefulness -- voluntary snd unvoluntary; by sctivity -- reproducing snd crestive; by image generalisation -- specific and nbstract; by the types of creative activity -- scientific, inventive, artistic, religious, etc. Imagination is a necessary prerequisite of purposeful, creative activity.

Aspect five: consciousness is will. As we have already mentioned the old materialists considered reflection to be a passive process. Marxism was the first to prove that consciousness is of an active will churacter. This postulation of dislectical materialism, developed by Marx and Engels in the middle of the 19th century, was further confirmed and developed in physiology and psychology.

Assuming that labour is the basis for creation and development of consciousness, Marx, Engels and Lenin revealed the consciousness is essentially of a practical nature / Man reflects the surrounding world selectively, choosing purposely from the whole lot of things, influencing his sense organs, only those which are of practical or vital importance for him. Will is a concentration of all mentality for solving a required task. Such a capacity has been worked out alogside the development of labour when man was faced with a necessity to interfere sctively into the processes and objects of the surrounding world for transforming them. It should be remembered that the main elements comprising in their totality human conaciousness may be isolated only for the purpose of their studying, for providing better methods of their understanding. In reality all of them are interconnected and exerted influence upon each other, they never manifest themeelvea aeparately, and only in combination they may be called consciouaneaa.

Social Character of Consciousnese Materialian throughout the whole history of philosophy waged s atruggle against objective idealism, which tried to

present consciousness as something existing separately and independently of man's brain, existing outside, prior and without man. Moreover, according to objective idealiss, the objectively existing consciousness, ides, spirit or absolute

raseon createa and builds all the existing, including man himself.

What is the difficulty in understonding consciousness existence? What is the problem, which objective idealism solved in such a false way?

The matter is that consciousness exists in the brain of each particular individuum, is localised in his brain. But the question may arise, too difficult to be solved by all pre-Harrian philosophy: why all the paople are thinking in the same manner, understand sach other, can oxchange knowledge, paes their knowledge to next generations, atc.? Why consciousness is common? In search of an answer to similar questions philosophers used to say about objectively existing consciousness outside man, shout a preset harmony, about single consciousness as an attributs (inseparable property) of all matter and the like.

Only Marxiat philosophy, revealing the role of labour in crasting man and men's consciousness, could give a scientific enewer to the above question.

Consciousness appears, shapes and davelops on the basis of labour, which is a collective activity. It is in the collective that consciousness shapes not as a festure of an individual man, but as a festure of the collective or society as a whole. Consciousness is a fruit of collective, social activity, it belongs to society rather than to a single individuum, And man himself, educated in a collective, social labour is not an abstraction existing by itself; the essence of man, according to Marx, is a sum total of all social relations. Consequently consciousness by its nature is social and the foundation of its existence lies in society. That is why people understand sach other, because consciousness is their common possession.

Thus conscioueness is of a social nature, firstly, through its historical origin: it appeared as a result or fruit of collectiva labour; ascondly, consciousness of an individuum is formed under the influence of society. Parents family and other people by means of speech and various activities educate the child, develop his consciousness, where knowledge, shape self-consciousness, human emotions, atc.

(shaping of self-consciousness may be in a child illustrated by remembering its name and calling itself -- "myaclf", etc.). If a year or one-and-a-half-year old child stays among the animals, it copies the hehaviour of the animals and does not davalop any human properties. Over 50 cases are known in science when the children lived among the animals: wolves, monkeys, hears and some other animals. All the efforts of scientiats to turn the children into human beings were in vain.

Thirdly, consciouaneas is social in its functioning. Any nan's consciouaneas exists and develops through communication with other people, using the objects crested by men, hy men's labour, by the society. If an adult is deprived of all the contacts with the people and isolate hin completely from all human surrounding for a long time the man gradually loses his conacious, humsne qualities. Consciouaneas cannot exist and function only in a single man's brain.

Connciousness, being social by its nature, is atrongly affected in its shaping by a specific social medium, which educates man, inculcating its interests, purposes, social standpoints, views, ideals, etotional evaluation of social events. That is why in a class society consciousness is of soless mature. Each class of society educates man in such a way that his consciousness would correspond to social standpoints of the given class. Ideological struggle is the struggle ainsd at influencing man's consciousness. Communist parties are struggling, on the one hand, against penetration of hourgeois ideology into the consciousness of the working people and, on the other hand, for dissemination of Marxist-Lenimistideology which helps the people in their efforts to rearrange society to win freedom and social progress.

Modern bourgeois philosophy made a substantial evolution sway from classical bourgeois philosophy of the 18th and 19th canturies. Last centuries philosophy of both objective idealist and subjective idealist trends considered consciousness as something completely independent of naterial world, counterposed to it and even heing the foundation of the world. In the 20th century, with the development of natural science, physiology and psychology in particular, and with rapid proliferation of dialectical and historical materialism, bourgeois philosophy

has to look for another way to explain the consciousness existence and its sources. And one will never find a uniform approach or a common platform taken by various hourgeois philosophers on this particular problem as well so on many others. Plurelism is a characteristic feature of hourgeois philosophy, and its social aim is an ideological treatment of various classes and strata of accisty. But it is here that all the tesks, trenda and concepts of hourgeois philosophy merge and become socially-oriented — they have got a common platform of idealism and anti-Marxism. It means that modern bourgeois philosophy is not far off from idealistic positions in its search of consciousness axistence reasons.

Husserl (1859-1938), the foundar of phenomanology, one of the most widely spread schools of the 20th century bourgeois philosophy, wrote that he was still looking for an absolutely reliable "point of support". On the search of point of support E. Fink, s pupil of Husserl, wrote: "The ides of taking human mentality back to the prime sources of all knowladge is the chief motif of the phenomenological conception."

The primary source of knowledge and consequently of consciousness is an intentionelity, i.e. constant orientation of consciousness to an object, active, willful strive of consciousness to cognition. As fer as the intentionality is taken as a hasis of conaciousness, it is also assumed to he the primary source of thought formation in conaciousness and of ways of thinking. All the ways of thinking are taken to he the object to which consciousness is oriented. Thus consciousness is locked in itself, cognises the object it creates itself. This is subjective idaslism, which sheolutises the activity of men's consciousness, formed under the impact of labour social production scrivity of men. Phenomenology tends to limit the cognitive shillties of mind to divert men's attention and concentrats it on his faelings, to persuade him

^{1.} Ed. Husserl, Ersts Philosophie (1923-1924). Husserlians, Ed. 8, Den Hseg, 1969, p. 69.

^{2.} E. Fink, Das Problem der Phanomenologie Edwund Husserl's in der gegenwertigen Kritik, "Kant-Studien", Hamburg-Berlin, 1933. p. 240.

that the objective world of accial life is beyond understanding and cannot be purposefully affected.

Basic ideas of phenomenology became a philosophical foundation for snother influential trend in modern bourgeois philosophy, i.a. existentialism.

One of the existentialian founders M. Heidegger (1889-1976) takea as a basia of consciouancas the simplest forms of spontaneous, undeveloped, primitive consciouaness expresaed as "moods" rather than as clear comprehension. Other forms of thinking auch as "ressoning", "theoretical consciousness", etc., secording to him, are impure and not natural, but "infected" by valuea, and thua cannot be taken as initial basia. Values, brought into consciousness by society prevent from understanding the true existence of man. One should withdraw completely from the social build-up and deepen into initial, undeveloped forms of thinking which help to feel one's existence with all aensca and wishes, presentinenta and worries, doubta and hopes, troubles and needs. This initial archetype of man's consciousness included auch main forma of thought as "care", "fright", "presentiment of death", "lonelinesa", "aolitude", etc. True existence is exiatence in thia consciouaness. According to existentialism, it is senseless to speak about real objective existence, which influencea consciousneas and to which the latter corresponds. In 1950 one student wrote a letter to Heidegger, asking: "Wherefrom thinking of existence gets directives?" The philosopher answered: "Thinking of existence sa of s definite correspondence is something vary erroneous and therefore very miserable." Philosophy should not deal with such a "scandalous matter" as proving the existence of the world outside consciousness, for consciousness is the world. Heidegger wrote that "scandal in philosophy consists not in the fact that no proof has been yet found of the outward world's existence, but in the fact that such proof is still expected to be found and attempts are made to

^{1.} M. Heidegger, Vortrage und Aufsatze, Pfullingen, 1954, p. 183.

find it." Heidegger's views were further developed by his followers in some countries.

Existentialist tesching on consciousness is an expression of real state of bourgeois conaciousness, full of faar and doubts, concern and trouble. Moreover, the philosophy tried to persuads that such a state is natural, besic, real atats of man's consciousness end that the course of such a state is a human natura itself, rather than socio-sconomic reality of bourgeois society.

One of the most widely apread trends concerned with investigations on the nature of consciousness in modern philosophy is represented by "scientific materialism". The trend appeared in the 1960s in the USA and Ametralis under the influence of rapid development of physiology and psychology. The basic concept, developed in the works of D. Armstrong, T. Nagal, J. Smart, P. Feigle et al. implies that man's consciousness may be reduced to varioue states of CBS, to a working brain, and, consequently, the method of natural sciences, such as physica, may provide exhaustive description of human being. Spiritual aspects, according to "acisntific materialists", are identical to physical ones and the former is a subcategory of the latter.

Possibility of a complete reduction of mental to physical in principle may be accurately proved by scientific experiments, that on the one hand cancels a traditional psychophysical problem and on the other is a necessary condition for creating monistic ontological theory, the basis of a "single science".

Dislectical materialism is against such a simplified treatment of consciousness, neglecting ite social nature, against explaining the consciousness as a physical or chemical process. "Scientific materialiets", by reducing conceiousness to just physical processes, give exclusively naturalistic treatment of consciousness, paying tributs to vulgar exterislism.

^{1.} H. Heidsgger, Sein und Zeit, Helle, 1924, p. 205.

In modern bourgeois philosophy there exist many theories which thought modified date back to the old, well criticised concept of thinking, e.g. <u>neopositivism</u>, which tries to reduce conaciousness to a system of aigns, to agreement of people as regards the meaning of laws; theories that try to separate consciousness, reason from belief like it is done in <u>nso-Thomism</u>, <u>personalism</u> takes consciousness as a concentration of the highest spiritual values of an individuum, as a spiritual primary element of existence.

Bourgeois philosophers' theories of consciousness show a deep crisis in bourgeois thinking, their inability to give constructive dsciaions to the basic philosophical problems. Compared to decadence and stagnation in bourgeois philosophy explaining the problems of consciousness, dislectical materialism is characterised by a profound scientific approach and comprehensive substantiation of dislectical materialist explanation of the essence of consciousness' specific ideal nature, sources and laws of its development, purposs and masning in social life, and ties with matter.

Chapter II

THE LAWS OF MATERIALIST DIALECTICS

Dialectical materialism is materialist dialectics viewed as a system of ita lawa.

Matarieliat dialectics regarded in modern terms is a philosophical theory of universal connections, notion and development of reality — nature, accisty and knowledge. What makes this theory accientific is the Laws that govern the world. In this chapter we shall consider these laws.

1. Historical Forms and Levels of Devolopment of Dialectics

In his works Anti-Dubring, Dielectics of Naturs, Ludwig Feuerhach and the End of Classical German Philosophy Engels wrote that in its historical development dielectics had assumed auch hesic forms as the sponteneous dielectics of ancient Greeks, the idealist dislectics of classical German philosophy and materialist dislectics. He considered Ariatotla and Hagal to be the most outstanding representatives of dislectics of the past, although both of them were idealists. The intermediate form of dislectics was the dislectics of the Russian ravolutionary democrats A.I. Herzan, V.G. Belinsky, N.G. Chernyshevsky and N.A. Dobrolyubov, who had come squarely to dislectical moterialism (to use Lenin's expression about Herzen) and thereby created the highest form of pre-Marxian dislectics.

In 17th and 18th-century philosophy when metaphyaica was dominant, dialectics, as Engela put it, "had hrilliant exponenta (e.g. Deacartea and Spinoza)". Engels also referred to Diderot and Rousseau, who had left the high examples of dialectics especially when they transcanded philosophy proper.

The cheractariatic feature of historical development of dialectics is that it has naver assumed a "pure form", that it has always been associated either with the idealist or mate-

^{1.} F. Engels, Anti-Duhring, pp. 28-30

rialist theory of knowledge. The connection with either theory of knowledge is the decisive fector which determinee the historical form of dialectics at any level of ite development.

This ie a hitorically given concrete system of dialectics based on the corresponding theory of knowledge (metsphyeical, idealiet or dualist). As it was stated, the history of philosophy knowe three besic historical forms of dialectice: the spontaneous dislectics of socient Greeks, the dislectics of German classical philosophy at the turn of the 19th century, end, lastly, the scientific materialiet dislectics of Marrian.

Alongsids the hietorical forms of dislectics there are different levels of its own historical development. The <u>historical layed of dialactics</u> is determined by the degree of ite penetration into the essence of the real world:

Naturally, dislectice exists in historically different forms. The dislectice of naterialist Hersclitue differe from the dislectice of idealist Aristotle, while the dislectice of ancient Greeke differs, by and large, from the dislectice of Hegel; the latter, in ite turn, is fundementally different from Marxist dielectice. But all truly dislecticel teachinge represent different atages of the historical development of dislactics, out of which we can single out three Design Levels: 1) dislectice of relations and connections; 2) dislectics of motion; 3) dislactics of davelopment. The first level was expressed in classical form in ancient Greek philoeophy; the second was reached in the 17th and 18th canturise; and the third dates back to the 19th and 20th centuries.

Let us now consider the main hietorical levels and forms of development of dialectics.

Dialectics of Relations and Connections in Ancient Greek Philosophy Any dialectics begins with the understanding of the internal unity of opposites. Lenin wrote: "In brief dialecticg can be defined as the

doctrins of the unity of opposites. 1 Another definition of dialectics as such, given by Lenin, contains the same idee: "Dialectics is the tesching which shows how opposites can be

f. v.I. Lsnin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 222.

and how they happen to be (how they become) identical ... *1. This kind of the understanding of dialectics makes it possible to comprehend the dialectics of ancient Grack philosophers who did not as yet know the concept "dsvelopment" and nevarthaless were dialacticians. Of course, it is wrong to say that ancient philosophers did not recognise motion and devslopment, svolution. No doubt, they had generalised conceptions about motion and dsvelopment (evolution). But a deep theoretical understanding of the assence of dsvelopment and even motion as such was arrived at, when both concepts bscame the object of scientific atudy, i.e., at a much later time. In the ancient times, of all the sciences only geometry and arithmetic of the constants reached a relatively high level, and this fact influenced the mode of thinking among ancient philosophera who viewed every changs as an interrelationship between absoluts, immutable essences.

For imstance, Aristotle, regarding form and matter in statics, as absolute, eternal, immutable essences, et the same time constructed with the aid of these opposites the multi-form world of mutable things percaived by men's sensas. Form and matter are not given separately in the sensuous world, and for this reason he transferred them to the other world of pretersensual being.

The ancient Greek materialists saw the realisation of dislectics only in the sensuous world. Democritus explained the multiplicity of things by a combination of atoms, the letter, not the world man observes, being eternal, immutable and absolutely simple. We find the unity and conflict of opposites in the dislectice of Heraclitus, but they figure only in the sensuous world, not in the world of the Logos, an eternal, immutable extra-world law

The essence of the dislectics of relations and connections lies in the fact that all sensuously perceived phenomena, objects and processes ere regarded as the unity (relation, connection) of absolute cessences, slaments. Ancient Greeke

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 109.

understood their innar unity, correlation, connection of such opposites as a single thing and meny things, the universal and the individual, the simple and the complex, the whole and the pert, form and metter, atc.

The spontaneous neture of the dislectics of sncient Greeks consisted in that their dislectical conceptions were the result not of the generalisation of scientific dets, but of the perception of processes which were observed directly — rotation in nature, transmutations of things into one snother (fluids into ges during evaporation, burning objects into fire, sshes, etc.). But the Greeks supplemented, in a speculative way, this picture of ongoing processes with the absolutely inmutable, independent, eternal essences, elementa. As Aristotle wrota: "In fact, how will the order of things look like unless there is something eternal, separately existing and immutable."

Dialectics of Motion

Dislectica of motion -- the second necessary stage in its historical development -- be-

gan to form in the 16th century and existed up to the end of the 18th century. In that period motion was in the focus of philosophers' attention. This turn in philosophical thought was conditioned by the state of scientific knowledge.

The study of the machanical form of motion in natural science brought about an integral picture of the world and led to the appearance of mechanism as a style of thinking typical of the epoch. Machanism that absolutized the simplest forms of motion of matter -- mechanical motion -- went hand in hend with a profound dialectical understanding of the orbital movement of planets as the unity of attraction and repulsion, action and counter-action, centripetal and centrifugal forces, etc.

The dialactica of motion is specific, because it recognises the absolute nature of motion and the relative nature of reat. All the parameters of connections and the relations

^{1.} Aristotle, Worke in 4 volumes, Vol. I, Moscow, 1976, p. 275 (in Russian).

of things are regarded by it as mutable, unstable, fluid, in s word, not absolute. This dialectics reveals the stable, immutable connections and relations in the process of change. A great deal of formulae appear to fix the stable relations snd connections of unstable, fluid variablea and parametera.

This specific feature of natural science could not but influence philosophy, which since then associated the assence with motion, not with the shaoluts, immutable slements of the world.

Francis Bacon rejected the abaslute and eternal sasencea sdvanced by Aristotle, even the atoms and the vacuum proposed hy Leucippus and Democritus and contrasted them with "fluid natter". The state of rest is only asseming, only motion is trus, he wrote, bacsuss there is no trus rest in hodiea that sre familier to us, not in whale bodies, not in their parts, but we see only the ssening rest, cansed either by equilibrium or hy absolute preponderance of motion. 2

According to Descartes, motion is also shaolute and undestructible. The quentity of motion in metter is constant only heceuse "God is inmutehle and always performs one and the same action by acting in the same way. For if we sesume that since the crestion God put a definite quentity of motion in whole metter, it must be recognized that He

^{1.} The prominent physicist V. Heisenberg writes: "The Greeks did not hold the idea that it is possible to single sut excitation and also to reveal insutable laws, contained in consternic constitutions of the property of the construction of the co its foundation. Since Newton's time physics invariably etudied dynamic laws, not configurationa ar geometrical forms. The equation or sortion relates to any moment of time and in this sense it is eternal, whereas genetrical forms, e.s. placetary orbits, undergo changes. See V. Heisenherg, e.s. Physics and Philosophy, Moscow, 1963, p. 49 (in Russian).

preserves it in the seme enount..." The dualist Descertee still needed the idee of God in order to explain the origin of motion itself, which, however, underlies the explanation of all phenomena in the material world.

However, Spinoza discards the problem of connecting matter with motion by advancing the tenet on the eternel neture of material subatonce to which notion is proper since the very beginning. In the opinionof Spinoza, the "power of God ie nothing but ite effective essence and therefore it is equally imposeible for us to imagine that God either dose not ect or doee not exist."2 In the philosophy of Spinoza, God and material subotance are synonyma. He wrote: "...Still I do not know why metter is unworthy of divine neture Outside God there cannot be any substance whose ection nature could experience I repeet, everything exists in God, and everything that takes pleca proceeds only according to the lews of God's infinite neture and followe ... from the need for ite essence" All changes in things that occur in world are thue subject to the immutable laws of God's escence, which is nothing else but naterial eubetance.

And while Spinoze did not advance e formulation that motion is an attribute of metter, i.e. the mode of its exietence, J. Tolandt, 18th-century English materialist, and J. Le Mettrie, D. Diderot and P. Holbech, French materialiste, formulated this proposition in precise terms: "... motion is the mode of existence ... that follows of necessity from the easence of metter."

This tenet beceme a very important principle of the dislectical conception of materialies.

Thua, there was no interval in the history of development of dislectica, end live dislectical thought pulseted in 17th and 18th century philosophy, being a step forward compared to

^{1.} R. Descertea, Selected Works, p. 201 (in Russian)

^{2.} B. Spinoze, Selected Worke, Vol. 1. Moscow, 1957, p. 405,

^{3. (}in Ruseian). Tbid., pp. 376-377.

^{4.} P. Holbach, The System of Nature, Moscow, 1940, p. 18 (in Russian).

the dialectics of ancient people.

Dialactics of Development

Before the and of the 18th century the conception of development could not come

into being or formed for the simple reason that the world's only sphere where development could be observed in a "direct" form - the history of society - did not succead in proparly "unfolding" at that time. Of couras, people had already worked out the concept of history as a chronological succession of events. But several epochs or several socio-economic formations had to replace one snother and some time had to elapse since the Renoissance before it beceme clear that the historical process was irreversible and that historical development proceaded along an ascending line.

In the 19th century the conception of dayslopnent did not make its appearance at once, in a ready-made form. It originated in the latter helf of the 18th century when in eddition to the mechanical motion of natter paopla discovered (still in embryo) the specific laws of thermel, chemical, geologicel, biological end other, more complex than mechanicel, forms of motion of matter. These laws made scholars think over the correlation of different levels of matter's organisation and the origin of these differences.

Ideas of development sprang in different sciences (Lomonosov, Roussesu, Herder, Kent, Bar, Lamerck and others). However, these ideas assumed a compromise form of evolutionism, which, on the one hend, was an antipode to the "sternal cycle" of primary conceptions of motion, end, on the other, could not evolve into e consistent conception of development, since the ascending movement continued to obey the same eternal, imputeble laws. Therefore, sooner or later evolutioniem had to come into conflict with the conception of dayelopment that sppcared in the 1830s and could impart a new

The dialectical conception of development ie the complete reverse of fint svolntionism as a metaphysical conception of development. While putting them in a juxteposition, Lenin wrote: "The two basic (or two possible? or two historically obasrvable?) conceptions of development (svolution)

are: dsvelopment as decreoss and increose, as repetition, and dsvelopment as a unity of opposites (the division of a unity into mutually exclusive opposites and their reciprocal relation). 1 Only the dielectics of development unfatters motion from eternol and absolute relations and connections, establishes a definite logical correlation between different forms of motion of matter between the superior, more complex and the lowest. Only the dielectics of development reveals the transition from one form of matter to another, only it establishes a genuine system of lows of dislectical development.

The disloctics of devalopment, on an idealist basis, emerged in the classical German philosophy of the late 18th and early 19th century. We can observe the embryo of tha dislectics of development in the philosophical system of Kant/ In natural science he proposed a hypothesis concerning the historical origin of the solar system from the gaseous "nebula". Kantian philosophy still contained elements of evolutionism, since nature, according to him, undergoes evolutionary development under the immutable, inviolable away of pre-set principles of reason that prescribes to natura the laws of its notion, Kantian philosophy was marked by a characteristic contradiction — that between the idea of development of nature and the samission of "immutable laws of nature as maxims of the speculative application of reason."

J. G. Fichte made snother step forward in elsborating the dislectics of development. He focussed his attention on the history of the <u>spiritual activity</u> of man, the subject of history. Though in a mystic form, Fichte formulated the idea of lsws, the "inevitable" replacement of the stages of man's development through which anybody cannot akip over, but will asturally pass through them, quickly or slowly.

A new formulation of the question of the 1swa of motion taken in the form of development lies in the fact that change takes into its orbit the very whole. Whereas the 18th century system of nature admitted changes only in respect of parts

V. I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 358.

I. Kent, Works, Vol. 3, p. 438 (in Russisn).

with the immutshility of the "great whole" (nsture), the dislectical conception of development takes up not the correlstion hatween fluid parts and the stationary whole, but changes in the very whole which influence its parts and determine changes in them, in other words, it extends the principls of absolute notion to the very whole.

The subjectivist-idealist basia of Fichte's teaching of nacessity makes development with its system of stages and laws take place in the world of epirit where time is of no significance. The concept of development existing still in its simple form in Fichte's system was bound to transcend the close framework of subjective idealism and receive s wider scope for its application.

F.W. J. Schelling and G.W.F. Hegel took out the concept of development beyond the bounds of individual human mind and elaborated it relying on the material of the historical development of sciences (nature, society and knowledge). The Hegelian conception of development became the greatest dislectical conception elaborated within the framework of classicel German philocophs.

The Hegelism philosophical system (like classical German philosophy in general) declares the spiritual, ideal to he an active side, which exists objectively, that is outside the human mind. Fichte's idas of the subject is also presarved in Hegelian philosophy. Hegel holds that the subject of development is not man's self-consciousness but an Absolute Idas as logic, i.a. the dialectical movement of "pure concepts". Hegelian logic presanted in his Science of Logic is a relatively coherent system of the categories of dialectics, where the excessiva abstract consideration is compansated by a deep and detailed description of mutual transitions of categories. This system of categories reproduces, in fact, the logical course of the hietorical development of philosophy, human thinking, although Hagel regarded it as comething pre-natural and pra-human.

Having exhaustad the logical consideration of the development of the cetegories of dialectice, Hegel meda his Absolute Idea cognise itself in the sphere of phenomena of nature into which it is transformed and embodied, ragarding it as a form of his other baing. At its second stage the development of the Absolute Idea takee place regardless of time, but this does not mean that development does not proceed. We see the tranaition from eimple laws of mechanics to more complicated laws of nature, up to and including the laws of biology. Hegelian philoeophy of nature contains a multitude of intereating aspects, one of which ie the formulation of the question about the correlation between the lawe of dialectics and those of nature (natural science). Characterietically, it is partial motion, not historical development, i.e. the development of the whole in the sphere of nature. In point of fact, the whole is invariable. Nature, in including the animal world, 1 is dominated by dialectics which was characterietic of 17th- and 18th-century philosophy, i.e. the dialectice of motion. If development is allowed in nature, it takes place in the sphere of the spiritual (Abeolute Idea), which binds different spheres of nature into a definite eequence that leads to the becoming of the whole.

Only at the third, highest, etage of development of the Absolute Idea, described by Hegel in his Philosophy of Spirit, genuine history comes into full play, i.e. development assumes a historical form and takes place in time. Consecutively passing through the numerous stages of socio-historical development, the Absolute Idea that takes on the form of spirit is consummated in "absolute knowledge", in philosophical science, i.e. in Logic described by Hegel at the outset. The large circle of the Absolute Idea's movement is thus closed. The same movement may start answ, but this will be ascending movement, development of which, however, is restricted by a point of departure that with perfidy awaits us at the end of the system. The Hegelian philosophical system, like all the preceding philosophical systems, made a claim to completely consummated, exhaustive knowledge, to absolute truth. It differed from

^{1. &}quot;But organic nature has no history of its own: from its universal -- from life -- it directly descends into the singularity of existence, and the moments of simple definiteness and singular vitality united in this reality give rise to the formation only as fortuitous motion in which each of them is active in its own way and the whole is preserved...".

(G.W.F. Hegel, Works, Vol. IV, p. 159. In Russian).

with the immutebility of the "great whole" (neture), the dislsctical conception of development takes up not the correlstion between fluid parts and the ststionery whole, but changes in the very whole which influence its parts and determine changee in them, in other words, it extends the principle of absolute motion to the very whole.

The subjectivist-idealist basis of Fichte's teaching of necessity makes development with its system of stages and laws take place in the world of spirit where time is of no significance. The concept of development existing still in its simple form in Fichts's system was bound to transcend the close fremework of subjective idealism and receive a wider scope for its application.

F.W. J. Schelling and G.W.F. Hegel took out the concept of development beyond the bounds of individual human mind and elaborated it relying on the material of the historical development of eciences (nature, society and knowledge). That Hegelian conception of development hecame the greatest dislectical conception eleborated within the framework of classical German philosophy.

The Hegelien philosophical system (like classical German philosophy in general) decleres the spiritual, ideal to he an ective edde, which exists objectively, that is outside the human mind. Fichte's ides of the subject is also preserved in Hegelian philosophy. Hegel holds that the subject of development is not men's melf-consciousness hut an Absolute Idea as logic, i.s. the dislectical movement of "purs concepts". Hegelian logic presented in his Science of Logic is a relatively coherent system of the astegories of dislectics, where the excessive abstract consideration is compensated by a deep and detailed description of nutual transitions of cetegories. This system of categories reproduces, in fact, the logical course of the historical dovelopment of philosophy, human thinking, although Hegel regarded it as something pre-natural and pre-human.

Having exhausted the logical consideration of the development of the categories of dialectics, Hegel made his Absolute Idee cognies itself in the sphere of phonomena of nature into which it is transformed and emhodied, regarding liam. It includes the latter only in a substantially modified, revised form (as a "rational kernel"). Being an integral system, scientific dialectics is the direct opposite of Hegelian dialectica. In 1873, Marx wrote: "My dialectical method is not only different from the Hegelian, but is its direct opposite. To Hegel, the life-process of the human brain, i.e., the process of thinking, which, under the name of 'the Idea', he even transforms into an independent subject, is the demiurgos of the real world, and the real world is only the external, phenomenal form of 'the Idea'. With me, on the contrary, the ideal is nothing else than the material world reflected by the human mind, and translated into forms of thought."

The first pivotal feature of Hegelian idealism (and mystician) in the reduction of all the wealth and variety of nature and social history to the logic, self-development of the concept. Its second pivotal faature, closely associated with tha first feature, is the distortion of the concept of subject. The subject is not real man, a member of society, but a mystical axtrahuman apirit. Man himself turns into a passive executor of the aims of the Absolute Idea. The constant "inflation" (to use Lenin's word), absolutisation of the general properties of things, the elevation of the properties above the very things, including the elevation of the activity of human mind into the rank of some independent universal essence, which is the absolute subject and at the same time the object of self-cognition, is a specific feature of Hegelian idealism.

The "secret" of Hegelian idealist was divulged by Marx and Engela in their works of 1844-1846. They showed that the real audject of actual history is can who transforms the surrounding world, the historical conditions of his activity, while the idea is only a product of his creative activity, in this case spiritual activity, which is only the audjective expression of the material and practical, social and historical activity.

^{1.} Earl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 29.

other systems in that the credit of discovering sheolute truth was ascribed not to ita author alons hut to the entire collective of philosophers who throughout the history of philosophy consistently prepared the comprehensive system of Hegel hy elaborating category after category. But having turned the history of philosophy into a history of preparing his philosophical system as a consummation of this history, Hegel hetrayed the principles of development he had himself discovered.

Thus, the Hegelian philosophical system does not consequtively carry over the principles of dsvelopment through the system of philosophical categorias due to two circumatances: (1) the wrong understanding of the correlation between the laws of dislectics and the development of scientific knowledge (the problem raised in the Philosophy of Nature and the Philosophy of Spirit); and (2) the initial idealist point of view and the construction of the system of dialectical categories. Engels wrote that the main contradiction of Heggelian philosophy was that the whole dogmatic content of the Heggelian system contradicted "his dialectical method, which diesolves all dogmatism".

Hegelian philosophy reflected that period in the development of natural science, which may he described as a period of theoretical science in the making. The very fact that Hegel created his dielectics before the great general discoveries had heen made in natural science (the discovery of the cell, the law of conservation and transformation of energy, Darwin's discoveries in biology and those of Mendeleyev in chemistry) testifies to his genius. At the seme tine, the Hegelian conception of development is in essence the dialectical conception of the formation of concrete wholeness, not its development, that is, it is an incomplete conception of development. It extends only to the past, but not to the present and future. The dialectics of formation is only a moment of the dialectics of development. For this reason Marxist dislectics is not simply Hegelian dialectics purified of idea-

K. Marx, P. Engela, Selected Works in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 340.

time acience roae up to the level of atudying the proceasea of change and the development of natural and hiatorical phenomena the laws of dialectica emerged as auch universal laws, proving the insdequacy of the laws of formal logic that reigned aupreme in the former science. "But it is precisely dialectica," Engela emphasised, "that constitutes the most important form of thinking for present-day natural acience, for it alone offers the analogue for, and thereby the method of explaining, the evolutionary processes occurring in nature, inter-connections in general, and transitions from one field of investigations to another."

The dialectical method of Marxiam ia acientific precisely because it made as its subject-matter, above sll, the 1swa governing the objective reality. These laws of dialectica wers the outcome of the philoaophical generalisation of the resulta achisved in the development of sciencea at their theoretical atage. "for a stage in the outlook on nature," Engela wrote, "where all differences become merged in intermediate stepa, and all opposits apasa into one another through intermediate linka, the old metaphyaical method of thought no longer auffices. Dialectica, which likewise knows no hard and fast lines, no unconditional, universally valid 'either-or' and which bridgea the fixed metaphysical differences, and besidea 'either-or' recognises also in the right place 'both thia -- and that' and reconcilea the opposites, is the sole method of thought appropriate in the higheat degree to this atage. "2

Dislectica had the asme methodological importance to the social aciences whose theoretical development hegan in the 19th century. A decisive contribution to the progress of the social sciences in the 19th century was made by Marx and Engels, the founders of scientific, i.e. materialist dislectics. This is what Lanin sptly said while describing the Marx-Engels correspondence: "If one were to attempt to define in a single word the focus, so to speak, of the whole correspondences."

^{1.} P. Engels, Anti-Dühring, p. 43.

^{2.} Ibid., pp. 212-213.

2. Materialist Dialectica as n Scientific Method of Thought, Cognition and Revolutionary Practice

Dialectical as a Method of Cognition and Practical Activity

The method is a form of movement of thoughts or practical actions. It implies certain general <u>principles</u> and the

continuity of brain and practical actions. Being general points of departure in the teaching about methods, these principles are the result of generaliaing the laws of objective reality, that is, they are not thought up but are taken from the external meterial world. While criticising Duhring for his distorted view of the origin of the principles of thought, Engels wrote: "... The principles are not the starting-point of the investigation, but its final result; thay are not applied to nature and human history, but abstracted from them; it is not nature and the reals of humanity which conform to these principles, but the principles are only valid in so far as they are in conformity with nature and history."

Materialist dialectica as a scientific method of knowledge is a teaching about the more general laws of the development of nature, society and cognition. This means that its laws must be valid both for motion in nature and human history and for motion in thought. "Such a law," Engels added, can be recognised in two of these three spheres, indeed even in all three, without the metaphysical philiatine being clearly sware that it is one and the same law that he bas come to know."²

The methodological value of dialectica, thua, lies in the fact that it is a teaching about one and the same laws, no matter what sphere of the world is taken up. Since the

^{1.} In ancient Greece the term "dialectica" meant the ability to hold a conversation or argument, in which opposite viewe collided and truth was the result of resolving contradictions.

^{2.} F. Engela, Anti-Dühring, p. 48.

^{3.} Ibid., p. 267.

Principles of Universal Interconnection and Dovelopment

In his article "Three Sources end Three Component Parts of Msrxism" Lenin defined dialectics as the "doctrine of

development in ita fullest, deepeat and most comprehensive form, the doctrine of the relativity of the human knowledge that providea us with a reflection of eternally developing matter."

The dielectice of development, as was noted above, is the supreme ataga in the historical avolution of dialectics. However, in accordance with the principle of development the very dialectics of development also presupposes (includes in its structure) the principle of universal interconnection as a more general principle. In his <u>Dielectics of Nature</u> Engels highlighted the need for developing the "general nature of dialectics" as "the acience of interconnections, in contrast to metaphysics." In some other place he described it in the following terms: "Dielectica as the science of universal interconnections." Thus, the understanding of dialectica as the acience of universal interconnection is a starting point for modern, Marxist dialectica.

What, then, is the interconnection? It is a definite type of relations. If the relation is the existence of two or more objects, phenomens, properties, concepts, conceptions compsrable with one another, the connection is the relation between two or more objects, phenomens, properties, concepts end conceptions, in which a change in one involves a change in enother. Things end ideae completely disconnected with one another may be pleced in some relation: for example, a stone which turns up in one town may be heavier then enother etone formed in another town, but the antegonistic clessee of the bourgeoic society are closely interconnected.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 19, p. 24

^{2.} F. Engela. Dialectica of Neture, p. 62.

^{3.} Ibid., p. 17.

pondence, the central point st which the whole body of ideas expressed and discussed converges — that word would be dislectics. The application of asterialist dislectics to the reshaping of all political economy from its foundations up, its application to history, natural science, philosophy and to the policy and tactics of the working class — that was what interested Marx and Engals most of all, that was where they contributed what was most essential and new, and that was what constituted the masterly advance they made in the history of revolutionary thought."

The classics of Marxism-Leninism Isid the theoretical basis for a whole series of the social sciences: the theory of stats and law, concreta eocial investigations (microsociology), civil history, the theory of art. They elsborated the general principle of linguistics, psychology, anthropology and other sciences. As for scientific political economy, philosophy and the theory of scientific communism, thair creation and theoretical substantiation brought Marx, Engels and Lenin greet fame and credit.

The history of the social sciences during the last fifteen decades hes cogently confirmed the efficiency of asterialist dialectice as a scientific method. Wherever asterialist dielactics was applied the social sciences accored great success. In socialist countries, materialist dialectics is widely used by the social sciences the state employs in social management. Long before the socialism triumphed in the Soviet Union materialist dislactics cerved the Leninist Communist Party an effective method of directing the working people's revolutionary struggle.

Msterialist dialectics is s powerful methodological wespon wielded by the international communist movement to explain and transform the modern capitalist society along revolutionary lines.

V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 19, p. 554.

Thus, the simplost social relation of man to nature is mediated by primitive implements of labour. If the latter are recoved, nam's relation to noture will be immadiate as compared with any social relation. Accordingly, we shall find ourselvce in the sphore of biological connections between the organism and the noturol onvironment, where the role of mediated links will be played not by social phenomens, but by the natural once (the animal's psychic activity, adaptation, etc.).

All the deep-going, lstent necessary connections are of a mediate nature. On the surface of the world man perceives immediate connections and relations, whila science uncovers the mediate nature of the connection between phenomens which outwardly do not spear to be interconnected.

In the world st large, every thing and every phenomenon are commacted with all the reat through numerous intermediate links. Therefore, the world represents a single whole, in which every phenomenon occupies a definite place in the system of connections of all with all.

In addition to specific ties and lawe of the world which are atudied by particular aciences there are multiform connections between things and phenomens which are of a universal character and operate in any field of reality. These universal necessary connections are specially studied by meterialist dialectics. They include those between cause and effect, assence and appearance, possibility and reelity, quality end quantity, preceding negation and subsequent negation, form and content, etc., in a word, between the oppositions.

All these types of the universal connection between phenomens make it possible to understand the world as something integral, that is, in a state of constant self-motion and development. For instance, the simplest, i.e. mechanical movement is the ratio of space and time. The velocity of a body'e movement V is expressed by the formula $V = \frac{s}{t}$, or by a functional connection s=f(t), where s is distance (space) and t — time.

The principle of development is expressed in the system of interconnections which are formed by the basic laws of

The satipode of interconnection is isolation, i.e. the type of relation in which a third member is included between two members of it to make impossible the interconnection between them. Isolation has no independent significance. It presupposes the existence of interconnection between phenomena. Isolation means the absence of interconnection in one sepect but the presence of it in the other aspect.

As regards their general structure, the connections are divided into immediate and mediate. The more immediate the connections, the more they are simple. And vice varse, the more complex the interconnection, the more it has mediaties, the more complex is their cognition.

While making an abstract of Hegel's Science of Logic, Lenin emphasized his words: "There is nothing (Hegel's italice) in Heaven, Nature, Spirit, or anywhere else, which does not contain immediacy so well as mediacy...". Further Lenin wrots:

- 1) "Hesven-Nature-Spirit. Hesven away: materialism.
- Everything is <u>vermittelt</u> -- mediated, bound into one, connected by transitione. Away with Heaven -- law-governed connection of the <u>whole</u> (<u>procees</u>) of the world.ⁿ²

Thus, Lenin discarded Hegelian idealism and highlighte the mediated nature of connections of everything with everything.

Absolutely immediate relations and connectione do not exist. Every immediacy of relations and connections ie only possible in correlation with more complex or mediate connectione. This meens that immediate connectione can be singled out by making an enolysis of the mediate connectione, as more simple connections for a given object.

Science begins to etady immediate connections empirically, eince it establishes the <u>lowest</u> boundary beyond which there is no objective erea of study.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 103.

^{2.} Toid.

under which (1) internal contradictions of a changing object sre the source of these changes; (2) the changes themselves bear not only a quantitative gradual character, but also a qualitative, spassodic character; and (3) every new, more higher stage or level of changes includes the positive content of the preceding stages or levels of changes, thanks to which it is possible to draw s distinction between the higher and more progressive state (stage) and the lass developed, surmounted state or level of the object.

As we see, materialist dislectics organically combines the principle of universal connection and the principle of development, revesling in a comprehensive way the very development through the system of universal laws, the necessary internal ties.

The basic laws of dialectica operate sa the determining universal connections, since thanks to them the entire system of dialectical ties uncovers itself as a comprehensive revelation of the principle of development and they also define the structure of the other laws of dialectics.

3. Basic Laws of Dislectics

The Lsw of Transformstion of Quantitative into Qualitative Changea and Vice Veras Development is a definite method of onward motion of things and phenomena, in which there are both quantitative and qualitative changes,

this process being irreversible. Of course, this is not a dsfinition of the process of development, yet every development is bound to include both quantitative and qualitative changes.

What, then is quelity? The first thing that strikes our eye in the aurrounding world is the multiform differences between phenonens, conditioned by their qualitative festures. There are two types of qualities. The first is an internal definiteness of an object which finds expression in a totality of essential properties that distinguish this object from others. The quality of the first type is the internal definiteness of an object in its external expression through some

dialectics: the law of transformation of quantitative into qualitative changes, the law of the unity and conflict of opposites, and the law of the negation of negation. The first law reveals the necessary connection between the quantitative and qualitative characteristics, properties of objecta, between the qualitatively higher and more complex level of the object's organization and its qualitatively less complex level, between the nagative and positive opposites in the structure of the object (which form in unity the source and mechanism of the object's development), between the preceding negation and the subsequent, second negation that establishes a definite connection between the object's levels of development. As a result, the very principle of development represente a systsm of the universal connections which are concretieed further through the non-hasic laws of dialectics (the laws of the unity of form and content, essence and appearance, reality and possibility, etc.).

Thanks to this system of the basic and non-basic laws of dislectios the principle of development is revealed by Marxism in a comprehensive, i.e. dialectical way. As we have seen, Lenin's indication that modern dialectics is comprehensive is an important aspect of his definition of this dielectics. In our time, Lenin pointed out, "everybody is in sgrsement" with the principle of development. And in fact, it ie difficult today to find even bourgeois ideologiste, save, of course, the fascist ones, who would not talk shout the need for man'e further development towerds "post-industriel ecciety", a "technotronic era" and even a "truly open eociety", void of survivals of a "closed society". But at the close scrutiny ell these conceptions have no bearing at all on e ecientific underetending of development. They revert ue to the 18thcentury one-sided evolutioniet conceptione of development that absolutised the vigoroue quentitative changes in the object'e properties in terme of their multiplication and growth. Their only modern sepect is not philosophical argumente, but empirical material - facte of scientific, technological and economic progreee.

The dialectical conception of development ie based on the understanding of the secending, onward process of changes, revealing that this dependence has a status of a universal lsw. There ere no such objects and phenomena which would not obey this lew.

It is important to take into account the fact that extensive quantitative changes bring about qualitative changes of the first type, while intensive quantitative changes bring shout qualitative changes of the second type, i.s. fundamental qualitetive changes.

For example, the transformation of capitalism into a world acciel system was the result of the extensive growth of capitalism only, wherees its fundamental change and transformation into socialism was the result of intensive quantitative changes, under wey in sach individual country (the growing productive forces, the mounting class struggla, etc.); the transformation of developed capitalism into monopoly capitalism meant a quelitative change, this process expressing "the transformation of quantity into quality, of davaloped capitalism into imperialism."1 However this transformation does not mean a fundamental qualitative change, since it involved no change in the entire internal structure of cepitalism, but a change in certain (albeit basic) characteristics. That is why Lenin stressed that imperialism is the stege of capitalism "... when certain of its fundamental characteristics began to change into their opposites, when the features of the epoch of transition from capitalism to a higher social and economic system had taken shape and revealed themselves in all spherea. Economically, the main thing in this process is the displacement of capitalist free competition by espitalist monopoly". The transformation of capitaliem into socialism is already the fundamental qualitative change that involves the reatructuring of the entire accio-economic structure of acciety and its superstructure.

We must bear in mind that the emergence of a new quality leads, in turn, to new quantitative changes. For instance, the transition from hand-operated tools of labour to machine-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 22, p. 267 2. Ibid., p. 265.

totslity of properties. However, quality is not identical to property which is only one sepect of quality. Por example, any body possesses a temperature. Moreover, qualitatively different bodies (ssy, sninals and metals) may possess equal temperatures. Different political parties may have similar general characteristics (high discipline, etc.) but be opposed to each other. Thue, the property as distinct from quality represents a one-sided expression of quality. The second is the internal definiteness of an object that coincides with its specific structure. It can be called fundamental quality. The change in the main elements of the object's structure is a fundamental qualitative change.

Quality is the main characteristic of the definiteness of things and phenomens. But the very things and phenomena see not reducable to quality. Engels said that what exists is not qualities, but things possessing qualities. This neams that in addition to differences between things and phenomens there is a common platform for the entire multiformity of phenomens in the world, represented by matter as the substance of all things. Qualities are simply different modifications of material substance, and are not eggregates of sensations only, as Machieta meintained.

Quantity is the definiteness of things and phenomena which manifests itself in the change of their individual properties. Therefore, quantity is expressed either in the volume of a body or in the movement velocity, or in a body's temperature, or in light intensity, process intensity, etc. Quantity may be intensive or extensive. Intensive quantity represents changes per unit of a given quality, while extensive represents changes relating to the totality of units of a given quality.

At first glance, quality does not depend on quantity, aince initially quantitative changes do not lead to changes in quality and take place within the fremework of one and the same quality. But this independence of quality and quantity from each other has limitetions beyond which we see the internal dependence of qualitative on quantitative changes. We may note that at a definite temperature water turns into vapour, metal is amelting, etc. Step by step human consciousness was

email leaps represent qualitative changes in separate aspects, elements of an object. The Great October Socialist Revolution in Russia was a great historic lsap, which, however, was realised through a whole series of smell leaps in the field of industry, agriculture, culture, etc.

Of great methodological importance for understanding aocial development are the concepts of "evolution" and "revolution". <u>Evolution</u> is a process of intsusive quantitative changes which are accompanied by continuous qualitative changes only in esparate aspects of an object, not in it as a whole.

Evolution is a unity of quantitative and qualitative changes. For instance, evolution in nature sees the replacement of some biological species by others, which qualitatively differ from one another. In society, evolution bringe about not only quantitative, but also qualitative changes. For example, imperialism qualitatively differs from premonopoly capitalism and is a product of evolutionary, not of revolutionary changes in capitalism. However, this is not a fundamentally qualitative change in capitaliem, but only a change in the sum total of its characteristice: free competition is replaced by fettered competition, etc.

Revolution is a <u>fundsmental</u> quelitative change in social phenomena, that is s change in their inner structure. Revolutions (socio-political, economic, sciantific, technological, ecientific-snd-technological, cultural, etc.) take place in a society and sre being prepared by evolutionary changes, which, at the time of revolutionary changes, do not cesse and continue to take place. Therefore, during revolutiona reforma continue to retein thair enormons significance for true revolutioneries. Disregerd of reforms sooner or later bringa about negative consequencee and even leeds to adventurian. Absolutiastion of reforme, however, involves the rejection of revolutionary spirit altogether. An example of such absolutiestion is the Sociel-Democratic conception of sociel development.

The unity of qualitetive and quentitetive changes is expressed in the category of accours. Reasure is a qualitative quantity. It is a quantity behind which a qualitative change

ry brought about a sharp incresse in production; qualitatively new relations of production were slways accompanied by higher growth rates of the productive forces, etc.

The qualitative transformstiona fundamentally differ from the quantitative onea. The latter are continuous, which means that they involve the interchange of atmilar elements of objects, phenomena, processes, irrespective of the velocity and rate of this interchange. The former result in interruptions of gradual change, in other words, elements that make appearance are dissimilar with regard to those appeared so far. Thus, in the process of the transition from ape to man the erect posture became a new element (a new feature) of those hiological changes that took place in the ape's hrutal activity.

Thus, the qualitative transformation of objects and phenomene is of s leap-like nature. A leep is the interruption of graduelness, in the course of which elements of one quality are heing repleced by elements of enother quality. A social revolution is a case in point showing the lesp-like conversion of one social system into another.

Leaps vary, but they ere divided into two typea: single (ons-stege) and multistage. h those cases where the slements of the old quality are more or lass aimultaneously hsing replaced by the elements of a new quality s single leap takes place. Cases in point are the explosion resulting in the conversion of one chemical substance into another or the political revolution that is accompenied by the transfer of entire political power into the hands of other social forces. When the elements of one quality are heing replaced by the elements of another quality in s certein acquence, the leap is multistege. For instance, the transition of spe to man is the hiotory of the euccessive replecements of definite hiological feetures by eocial ones. Moreover, the replacement of one feature by a new one cannot take place until the time such a replacement affects the other one that conditions the given feature. For inetsnce, the ape cannot develop its forepsw into s hand until it ecquires an erect gsit.

Lesps can he hig and smell. A hig leep envelops a fundemental qualitetive change in an object se e whole, whereas

the statement that "fascism exists and et the same time does not exist in Chile", using the word "exist" in one and the seme sense, i.e. in the sense of real existence.

As for dialectics, it considers concrete opposites. The latter represent the relation between <u>mutnal</u> presence and absence of any property, characteristic, phenonenon, such es, for example, sleve and sleve-ownsr, serf and feudal lord, wsge worker and capitalist. All these opposites represent the presence of labour in case of a toiler and the absence of labour in case of an exploiter, and, on the other hand, the presence of a definite form of private ownership in the case of an exploiter and its absence in the case of a toiler. Man and nature are also concrete opposites.

There is identity between concreta opposites which always directly precludes each other in one and the same respect. Identity is the relation of coincidence between properties, characteristics, features of an object. Man is not only enti-thetical to nature, but is also identical to it. Thanks to identity (man is e natural being) the opposites may interect between themselves and hence may contredict each other dislecticelly. The dislectical contradiction is a concrete unity of the opposites, which is mediated by intermediate links. These oppsites directly preclude each other at one and the same time and in one and the same respect, but are every time connected by concrete intermediate links. The dislactical contradiction differs from the formal logical one, first, by that it exists in objective reality and for this resson it must be with nacessity reproduced in consciousness and, second, by that its structure includes concrete intermediate links. It is not enough to fix the opposites themselves, it is necessary to revesh their innar mediated connection betwean asch other. Engels criticised the netaphysician E. Duhring, becsuse he thought "in absolutely irreconcileble satitheses. "1

^{1.} F. Engels, Anti-Dühring, p. 31.

of an object begins. For instance, the messure for water is the temperature 0° or 100°C, since water turns into ice, when its temperature fells below zero, or into vepour, when temperature rises above 100°C. There is an approximate level of the development of society's productive forces, which is followed sooner or later by revolutionery changes in the mode of production. Any quantitative, including evolutionary, changes have the measure of these changes to be followed sooner or later by qualitative changes.

The Lew of the Unity and Struggle of Opposites This law is, in Lenin's words, the nucleus of dialectics. It displays the inner source of development

of all the objects and phenomena of reality. Dialectical contradiction is the most general, abstract expression of the inner structure of all objects. It was already known to ancient philosophers, who fixed opposites in things and in their movement and even noted the struggle between the opposites (this can be most clearly seen in the case of Greek philosopher Hereclitus). But these philosophers failed to diccover the law of the unity and conflict of oppositee as a cource of development. The very concept of development hed come into use only by the mid-19th century — in Hegelien and Marxist philosophy. But Hegel could not extend the concept of development to the material aphers of reality. This tesk was performed by the founders of Marxist philosophy.

As was said above, dielectics begins with the nuderstanding of the unity of opposites. The opposites may be of two types: formal and concrete. The formal opposite is the relationship between the presence and ebsance of some property, characteristic, etc. Buch relationship is always an aspect of the really sxisting relection between opposites. For instence, the statement "imperialism exists" is the opposite of the statement "imperialism does not exist". But in the second statement there is no positive content, there is only the absance of that which is ecsevited in the first sentence. This forbids et once to affirm end deny enything in one and the same sense and respect. For example, we cannot regard on true

in this socisty.

The development of a contradiction always goes through two stages: that of conformity and that of discrepancy. The quantitative discrepancy of opposites means that the contradiction concerned has reached the highest stags of davelopment and needs a resolution, which leads to the emergence of a new contradiction (with qualitatively different opposites). By sholishing the class of capitalists the proletariat ceasss to be the proletariat; it turns into a working class that wislds the principal meana of production. By sliminating ths social opposites the working class becomes the master of public property and differs -- in a purely economic and not social way -- from the collective-farm peasantry, which is the owner of group property, hence not public one. The resolution of this contradiction will result in the abolition of all classes in society. The continuous quantitative polarisstion will be responsible for continuous incresse in public property and for the continuous decresse in the share of group property. The fundamental difference of the resolution of this contradiction from the resolution of that between sntegonistic classea liss in that the former is not necessarily attended by a conflict, clesh between the classes thenselves.

The continuous process of the polarisation of oppositss is the struggle between them, which always leeds to a break in the "squal action" between them, to the appearance of e (quantitativs) discrepancy. For this receon Lenin wrots: "The unity (coincidence, identity, equal ection) of opposites is conditional, temporary, transitory, reletive. The struggle of mutually exclusive opposites ie ebsolute, just es development and motion are absolute Development is the 'struggle' of opposites." The reletivity of unity finde its expression in the fect that the "equal ection" of opposites is always temporary, while the constant conflict of opposites always determines changes in the forms of their unity or eltere them.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 38, p. 358.

The mediated structure of dialectical contradictions must be reproduced by theoretical science, which is the case in fact, for it rejects the old metephysical method of thought. As Engels wrote: "For a stage in the outlook on nsture where all differences become merged in intermediate steps, and all opposites pess into one snother through internediste links, the old metsphysical method of thought no longer suffices. Dislectics, which likewise knows no hard and fast lines, no unconditional, universally velid 'eitheror' and basides 'either-or' recognises also in the right place 'both this -- and that' and reconciles the opposites, is the sole method of thought appropriate in the highest degree to thie stegs. "In Cepital Marx systemstically applied the method of snalysing intermediate links to resolving dialectical contradictions in political economy, which reflected the real contradictions of the conomic life in bourgeois society.

The object's development is the development of its contradictions. And the development of the contradiction is the polsrisation of oppositss, which has two aspects: (a) incresse in the eystem of intermediste links and (b) changs in ths quantitetive relations between the opposites themselves. For instance, the dsvelopment of capitelism's sociel structure since the 19th century was accompanied by the axpansion of the middle strata of society, with the share of the traditional petty bourgeoieie perceptibly falling (especially so sftsr new "middle strsts" appeared on the scens). The quantitstive correlation of the basic clasees of capitalist society -- the cspitalists and the workers -- changed substantially: on the everage, the share of all capitalists shrinked to roughly 3 per cent, whereas the shars of tha workers in the industrialised states ross up to 70-75 per cent. This obvious quantitative diecrepancy of social groups in the capitalist society teatifies now, to a greater extent than in the latter part of the 19th century, to the fect that the capitaliet class is a redundant social group

^{1.} F. Engels, Anti-Dühring, pp. 212-213.

progressive (negsting) sids of the contradiction may set as such only thanks to the conservative (accumulating, fixing) side of the contradiction. The abolition of the conservative side is at the same time the abolition of tha progressive side of the given contradictory whole. By abolishing the bourgooisie the proletariat abolishes itself as the prolatariat, i.e. as a class deprived of any property.

There are contradictions of different types.

The internal contradictions are the contradictions of the very structure of the object. They are necessary, sro inalienable from an object. Since all the objecte in the world are interrelated, they are bound to exercise oppropriete externsl influence on each other. Internal contradictions, without which the structure of a given whole is inconceiveble, manifest themselves in the mutual relations of the given object with other objects. The external contradictions hevs no independent significance and are determined by internal contradictions. They are an expression of internal contradictions. Even the contradiction between two modern world eystems - the socialist and capitalist ones - hes no independent significance and is determined by the contradiotion between labour and capital, intrinsic in the cepitalist system. The socialist countries represent the "kingdom of labour", the world of victorious labour which is externally opposed to cspital. The contradiction between two world social systeme will be recolved only when the contrediction between lebour and cepital, inherent in cepitelies. is recolved.

The contrediction between two extremes in the structure of an object is <u>basic</u>. Accordingly, the contrediction between any other elemente, sides of the object ers <u>non-basic</u>. For instanco, the contrediction between the proleteriet end the bourgeoisie in cepitaliat society is a basic social one, whereas the contredictione between any other classes are not such ones. The resolution of non-basic contredictions is of greet significance for the resolution of the beeic contradiction, but only the resolution of the besic contradiction leeds to a final change in the object as whole.

The mein driving force of devalopment is one of the aidea aimed at the negation of the contradictory whola. It ia alwaya a negative aide of the contradiction. The negative aide of the contradiction is the opposite, nimed at changing the given contradictory whole, and not acmething ugly from the ethical point of view. It is the most mobils side of the contradiction, which has, so to say, a negative direction of ita movement, as a result of which the very whola ia aubject to change. In The Holy Family, by oiting the antagoniam between the bourgeoisis and the proletarist, Marx and Engela ahowed the specimen of n concrete methodological analysia of different positiona of each opposites in the atructure of the contradiction. They wrota: "Proleteriat and wealth are opposites; es such they form a aingla whole. They are both crestions of the world of private property. The question is exactly what place each occupiaa in tha antithesia. It is not sufficient to declere them two sides of a single whole.

"Frivete property as private property, as wealth, is compalled to maintein <u>itself</u>, and thereby its oppositas, the proleteriat, in <u>existence</u>. That is the <u>positive</u> side of the antithaeia, salf-satisfied private property.

"The proletarist, on the contrary, is compelled as proletariat to abolish itself and thereby its opposite, private property, which determines its existence, and which makes it proletariat. It is the <u>negetive</u> side of the antithesis, its restlesences within its very self, dissolved and self-discolving private property."

The proletariet ie the mein driving force of progress in bourgeois society. However, no matter how greet is the role pleyed by the mein driving force of development, it, like any other motive force, only eccelerates the process of development and assists it. The determining role in development always belongs to the inner contradiction of objects. The inner contradiction is the course of the development of every object or phenomenon. This means that the

^{1.} K. Merx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 4, pp. 35-36.

progressivo (negeting) sids of the contradiction mey ect ee such only thanks to the conservative (accumulating, fixing) side of the contradiction. The abolition of the concervative side is at the same time the ebolition of the progressive aide of the givon contradictory whole. By ebolishing the bourgooieie the proleteriat ebolishoe itself as the proleteriat, i.e. as e class deprived of eny property.

There ere contredictions of different types.

The internal contradictions ere the contredictione of ths very etructure of the object. Thay ere nacseasry, are inalicnable from an object. Since all the objecte in the world ere interreleted, they ere bound to exercise appropriate externel influence on each other. Internal contredictions, without which the etructure of e given whole ie inconceiveble, menifeat themselvee in the mutual relatione of tha given object with other objects. The external contredictions heve no independent significance and ere determined by internal contredictions. They ere an expression of internal contradictions. Even the contradiction between two modern world systems - the eccialist and capitalist ones - has no independent eignificance end is determined by the contradiction between labour and capital, intrinsic in the capitaliet system. The socieliet countries represent the "kingdom of labour", the world of victorious labour which is externelly opposed to cspitel. The contrediction between two world accial ayateme will be recolved only when the contradiction between lebour and capital, inharent in capitaliam, ia resolved.

The contradiction between two extremes in the atructure of an object is <u>beaic</u>. Accordingly, the contradiction hatween any other elementa, eides of the object are <u>non-besic</u>. For instence, the contradiction between the proletarist and the hourgeoists in capitalist sociaty is e hasic acciel one, whereas the contradictions between any other classes are not such ones. The resolution of non-besic contradictions is of great eignificence for the resolution of the besic contradiction, but only the resolution of the hasic contradiction leads to a final change in the object as a whole.

11-855 161

Depending on the etage of change, the development of the object, basic and non-basic contradictions may play the role of a principal or non-principal contradiction. The principal contradiction is the one of whose resolution depende the further change of the object. For instance, it was impossible to etart the building of eccislism in Russia without establishing proletarian dictatorship. But even after the establishment of this dictatorship the contradiction between the most advanced type of etate — proletarism dictatorship — and the backward economy came to the foreground. This contradiction was resolved by the policy of industrialisation, collectivisation, etc. until the time when in the 1930s socialism was built in the USSR.

Since the victory of the eocialist revolution in Rueeia in October 1917 the contradiction between eocialism and the present espitalism hes become the basic contradiction of the present epoch. In the 1930s and the first half of the 1940s, the contradiction between fascism and the bloc of the socialist and all anti-fasciet forces of the world (non-basic contradiction of our epoch) was the principal contradiction of our age. Fasciem proved to be the main obstacle in the way of social progress throughout the world, and the further process of resolving the basic contradiction of the spoch depended on the destruction of internstional fasciem, of its creek forces.

An efficient combination of struggle for final and inmediate goals implies the understanding of the besic and principal contradictions in development.

Antagonietic contredictions are the contradictione in which one eide exists and develops at the expense of the other. Non-antagonistic contredictions ere those in which the development of one side presupposes the development of the other, antitheticel one, and in its turn assists it. Private ownership of the mesns of production shapes entagonistic contradictions, whereas the dominant social one produce non-entagonistic contradictions. The exploiter classes that dominated hietory extated and developed at the expense of the labour of the exploited classes. But sntegoniane always existed incide the exploiter classes, since the growth

of wealth in the form of privata property, in addition to the labour of the exploited, may also take place at the expense of the redistribution of this property. The latter nanifesta itself most glaringly under capitalist competition.

Under socialism the antagoniatic contradictiona disappear, while the non-entagoniatic ones are preserved, since no development in general ia posaible without contradictiona. The identification and practical reaclution of contradictiona under accialism is a necessary alement of scientific management of acciety. However, the sbaence of antagonistic contradictions under accialism does not mean that accialist acciety possesses no elements of antagonism in the initial period -the contradictiona between the dominant acientific ideology of acciety (Marxiam), communiat morality and various aurvivals of the past in people'a consciousness and behaviour. Under accialiam, these contradictions have no independent aignificance, for socialism can slao exist without them. Therefore, they are not necsaaary and characteriatic of accisliem. They only represent the elements of sntsgoniam, since the abolition of one aspect of the contradiction does not lead to the disappsarance of another.

Socialist society, being the acciety of a new historical type which replaced the century-old domination of the exploiter societies, naturally possesses its own specific features, that are expressed in the operation of the lawe of materialist dislectics. This concerns, above all, the question of the character and mechanism of aurmounting contradictions under accisions.

The Law of the Negation of Negation

This law reveals the onward and cyclical character of development in the world. Being the most complicated

law among the basic laws of dislectics, it includes as elements other basic laws of dislectics - qualitative cumquantitativa tias, relations of oppositas, and contradictions.

Dialectical negation is the main element of the given law. The concept of dialectical negation has the following features. First, negation is objective by its nature. This means that dialectical negation is affected outside human consciousness and represents a definite relationships between thinge and phenomena. Each opposits negates the other opposite. Thus, negstion specifies the boundary of the sxistence of objects beyond which the given object gives way to a new one. Second, negstion is internal by ite naturs. This means the object is subject to negation not from outside bnt from its own internal elements. We may say that the object negetes itself. The proletariat, being s necessary slement of capitalist society, is at the seme time its gravediggsr, its negation. Third, negetion presupposes both the destruction of the old, outlived form and the preservation of the viable content of the object. It is thus a moment of connection in development. The old never disappears in full and absolutely, it is transformed in the course of negation, preserving its rational (accumulated, so to say) content. The new, thersfore, is not an absolute rejection of the old, but a further dsvelopment of all that is vieble. That is why dsvelopment represents the seconding movement. This feeture of dielectical negetion makes it possible to raveal en important feeture of progress. Not all that is new is progressive. Progressive is only that new which is of necessity born by the old and absorbs all the strength of the old. In his time, Lanin subjected to severe criticism attempts to create a proletsrian culture in post-revolutionary Euccia without making use of the best traditions of Russian and forsign culture. Similarly, the socialist revolution preserves the productive forces of the bourgeois society to develop them further and sbolishes its outmoded relstions of production. And fourth, negetion is slweys concrete, that is effected in conformity with the concrete neture, essence of an object.

Idealistic understanding rules out the existence of negation in the objective reality itself and considers it a subjective sct, performed in human mind, or accepts objective negation as a metaphor. The metaphysical underetanding of negetion fails to see its complicated contradictory nature and regards it as a one-sided rejection, as an absolutely negative act. Given this understanding of negation, it is impossible to depict the lew-governed character of development in nature, society, including the history of human culture.

The concept of dialsctical negation helps to reveal the following logical pattern in ths devslopment of objects and phenomena of reality. If as a result of any dialectical negation, an object (or ite some state) passes into its opposite, then the repseted negation, that is, the negation of this resultant opposite must restore initial state disappsared in consequence of the first negation. But since every time dislecticel negation proserves the positive content of the negated, then as a result of the second negation a full return to the initial state becomes impossible. Thus, we obtain three qualitatively different and st the same time identical stages in development: thesis, negation (or antithesis) and the negation of negation (or synthesis). Antithssis is identicsl to thesis in content, since it preserves its content and continues to accumulets the letter. Synthesis is identical to antithesis in content, since it, in its turn, preserves the accumulated content of antithesis, but coincidee in form with thesis, as if plecing the object beck to its initial etete on a new besis - on the basis of eccumulated content. Therefore, the coincidence of forms here is only relative, not absolute.

The law of the negation of negetion reflects the universal structure of all things, phenomena and processes. The negation of negation is nothing else but the unity of opposites, i.e. there is and sntithesis. This aspect of the law of the negation of negation informs us of whether the processe ee under review are all-embracing or completed. For example, it is erroneous to consider revolution as the one that has come to fruition, if revolutionsry changes cover only the economic sphere and ignore social ideology and culture spherea, and unless a new relation of unity sets in between them through the respective intermediate links (various institutions, organizations, etc.).

Thua, the law of the negation of negation operates both on historical and structural plana. It operates in all spheres of reality, including the subjective one. Engels proved the universal character of the law of the negation of negation while criticising Duhring. He wrote: "And so, what is the negation of the negation? An extremely general

and for this reason extremely far-reaching and importantlsw of development of nature, history, and thought; a lsw which, as we have seen, holds good in the snimel and plant kingdoms, in geology, in mathematics, in history and in philosophy..."

However, it is not suffice to renember and learn the general features of the law of the negation of negation. This is only a formula a person has to know how to use it. In any science general formulas cennot he used automatically. This is the object of speculation by hourgeois critics of materialist dislectics, claiming that the formula "thesisentithesis-synthesis" is scant, hollow, schematic, and ineffective.

And so, the lsw of the negstion of negstion determines the onwerd, escending line of development, the continuity between the old that is negsted and the new that negatee, the difference of progressive from resctionary, retrograde changes, and conditions the precervation, in the development, of the sound and wieble elements accumulated in the previous development stagee and the repetition, on a higher besis, of the general features of the esrlier development stages by imperting a spiral-like form to the latter.

^{1.} F. Engele, Anti-Dühring, p. 168.

4. Non-Basic Laws of Dislactics

The basic laws of materialist dislectics characterise the most general connections in development. But the substance of all connections in the latter is not exhausted by the basic laws of dialectics. They always operate in a special form of connections which are revealed through the non-basic laws of dielectics. The latter express the multiformity of the connections in development and its compreheneiveness. Their operation includes the action of the basic laws of dialectics and imports to them a concrete form.

The Lsw of the Unity of Content and Form

Content is s totality of internal elsments that comprise the object. Internal elsments can be called the sub-

stance of the object, or its substantial content, to be more exact. Various objects possess different content, depending on the elements that comprise it. For example, in case of a chemical substance stoms are its content; in case of success of production, productive forces; and in case of political power, ruling social groups. Content is the mobile aspect of the object, it changes continually end is subject to e quantitative evaluation. It is clear from the above examples that the quantity of stoms may be different, and the level of productive forces may also be different, while the social groups, including the classes, may be small, large or maseive.

The form may be internal and external. The lew of the unity of content and form involves the internal form as a specific structure, a totality of connections which organise the slemente of content into some wholeness. If we take the working class, we shall see that it consists of different categories (elements) - the industrial prolaterist, the extractional prolaterist, the sorkers employed in the ophers of trade, atc. What makes all these groups a definite class is thet they are deprived of the means and instruments of production. Their interrelations are realised through production and trade unions. The workers' political parties attest to that the working class is organised to the highest degree.

And although pertias are only the external form of the internal organization of the working class, we may judge about the degrae to which the working class is organised in a country.

The productive forces of any society constitute the content of the mode of production, while the relations of production - its internal form, that is, they organise the productive forces into a definite mode of production, into a certain wholeness.

According to the law of the unity of content and form, content daterminaa form. Sinca content is in a stata of davelopment, tha old, outdated form is pariodically rapleced by a naw, mora davaloped and improved form, depending on the degree of tha davalopment of contant. Thua, davelopment ea e whola ia a chain of the nutually raplacing internal forms of the organisation of the object's content. Throughout history, a change in tha class contant of stata power resulted in the improvement of the apparatus of power, the appaaranca of special lagialativa bodies, the alteration of the correlation between the executive and legislative bodias of state power, etc. regardless of the axternal forms of state power - the monarchy, rapublic, thaocracy, ato. The axternel form often scraens various contant. Therefore, the working class that assumes power is not contant with the proclemation of a republic. Even in the most democratic bourgacia republic tha working class and ito allies have to brank up the bourgeoia atate nachinery, i.e. to overcome the gap hatween the legislative and executive wings of power, diaband the permanent eection of the army (varioua privilaged Guarda), etc. Ovar the period between the February and the October Revolutions of 1917 in Russie, the enemies of the Revolution tries to nee the form of Sovieta in their intereste by procleiming the slogan "Boviets without Bolshawike!" When peopla confuse the internal and external forms, the often give arroneous appraisal of the procees of historical development. During the Greet French Revolution of 1789-1794 widely current was illusion that the Roman Republic was to be rectored.

The metaphysical understanding of the correlation between form and content lies in the divorcement of form from content and in considering it the object's aspect independent of the content. It is the platform of reactionary forces, striving to prsservs the society's old production relations despits progress in the development of the productive forces. It is the basis of formal art which elevates into an absolute the form's relative independence of content. It is the basis of bursaucracy which doss not see the aubstance of the matter behind its form. A metaphysical approach can also be sesn in the absolutisation of contant and naglect for the form's activity in relation to content. Meanwhile, the form not only promotes development but may also contain the latter. The matter is whether form corresponda to content or whather it ie in a "conflict", diacord, with it. Unrsalistic art is incompatible with progressive content, i.e. with relevant progressive ideas, pertinent moral and social problems, stc. The reactionary bourgeoisic takes interest in such trends in art which adversely influence its content. In the sphere of state-building, the accomplishment of the tasks of socialist construction depends on the choice of an appropriate political form of the organisation of proletarian dictetorship.

The Law of the Unity of Eesence and Appserance The essence is sometimes understood as an important, determining part of content. But this is a common concept-

ion of essence transferred from ordinery life to acisnce, to philosophy. In the system of materialist dialectics the essence is a totality of all internal and necessary slements and their connections in the object. Hence, the essence is the unity of form and content. In terms of its essence the socialist mode of production fundementally differs from the cepitalist mode of production, say, in such countries so the USSR and the USA, and not so much because their productive forces are different, but because their relations of production are discatrically opposite. It must be said that the internal form, and not the external form of ite display, determines essence. As Lenin wrots, "Form is essential.

Essence is formed. "1

The form of the object determines its essence directly. But since the form itself is detarmined by content, the essence is ultimetely determined by its content. At the seme time, essence is not reduced to a sun total of internal form and content, but represents a definite unity of form and content taken in respect of the external form of display. On top of all this, essence is the internal aspect of the object which is bound to display itself externally. Appasrance is the form of the external display of essence, i.e. ite external form. Figuratively speaking, appearance shows bow essence appears "on the surface of the world".

Easence in itself, in a "pure form", does not exist. In reality it is part and parcel of specific, concrete forms of its existence — of appearance. Only in unity with forms of its menifestation essence ie real. For example, surplue value sppropristed by the capitalist always takes the form of profit and does not exist in reality outside this form. Equally, any lew is nowhere given in a general form; it takes on e special, specific form of display. In a general form, a law is swellable for the human mind only as e product of ebetrsction from real and concrete connectione and proceesas.

However, we ebould not hold that essance or appearence do not ariet objectively. In the history of philosophy wa may encounter different viewpoints on this acore. Empirical ideclists believed that only our sensetions are real, or, to be more exact, only their reflections are real, whereas general concepts do not reflect anything. From this standpoint, capitalism as such does not exist in reality. What exists is only various external connections, which do not express anything general. This point of view was especially clearly expressed by positivists in the 1920s and 1930s, who declared the material connections and relations, concealed behind external appearances, to be metaphysical, objects non-existent in reality evincing no scientific interest. In

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 144.

Kantian philosophy, essence was divorced from sppearance and was declared to be objective and uncognisable thing-in-it-self, whereas sppearance, the manifestation of essence was declared to be subjective, available to cognition.

Appearance and essence do not coincide; some times appearance even distorts essence. For instance, we see the Sun revolving around the Earth, since in the mornings it rises and in evenings it sets. We know, however, that it is the Earth that revolves around its axis. Does this mean that the Sun does not move objectively in respect of the Earth, as we perceive this. No, it does not. The Sun moves objectively in respect of the Earth (the matter concerns s point of reference) and the observer of the Sun. Therefore, our perception of the length of the Sun's movement is quite objective. It is only incomplete, and we, therefore, come to a fallacious conclusion regarding the actual correlation of the movements of the Sun and the Earth. In the same manner our perception of a pencil submerged in water and seeming to be curved is not completely subjective, although it remains to be straight. We perceive the effect of light rays, which is objective. Similarly, when the capitalist pays the worker his wage at the end of a week, it seems that the employer peys his work, not the value of his labour power alone. Objectively, the capitalist pays a wage to the worker upon the expiry of a definite working time and not in his imagination. Therefore, the seeming picture and essence exist objectively. What is subjective is not the seeming picture, but illusion. The former differs from the letter in thet it exists objectively and distorts the essence of objects. Illusion exists only in our imagination, in consciousness. The seeming picture is the form in which essence menifests iteelf, while illusion has no bearing on essence.

Neither the seeming picture nor eppearance coincide with essence. But the latter does not distort essence in ell ceses. Therefore, behind every form in which essence is displayed we must see whet constitutes the internal, concealed espect of en object or process. According to Marx, ecience would be superfluous if eppearance and essence coincided immediately. The teck of science is to penetrate into end reveal

the inner laws of phenomens, without pondering too much on the external form in which essence displays itself.

This tenet is of great importance for people's practice. As Lenin wrote, "appearance is richer and essence is dasper". It is clear from this that having cognised any law, we may apply it practically in most diverse forms, depending on the conditions of its epplication. For instance, airliners' flights obey to the laws of serodynamics as birds do. But man has imported a different form to the flying machines, relying on the materiel et his disposal, the needs of transportation, and so on. Therefore, in the case of the flights of aircraft and rockets, the laws of aerodynamics operate in an essentially different form than in the case of hirds.

This demercation is of great importance not only for technology, but also for revolutionary social practice. The laws of social development operate objectively end inexorshly in different countries, but revolutionery parties impart to their operation such en external form of application which in optimal terms promotes the accelerated running of the revolutionary procees. In some cases the dictatorship of the proletariet takes on the form of a Soviet republic, in others - of people's democracy and in still others - of perliement, etc. The misspprehension of the multiformity of the manifestations of easence, which in different conditions cannot display itself in a similar manner, results in blindly following the experience of socialist construction (even if it is the hest one) without any modification. The multiformity of the manifestations of essence is thus the reason why essence and appearance do not coincide.

As the dialectics of the relation between form and content shows, the essence develops continuelly both in quantitative and qualitative terms. The contradiction between content and form is the internal source of the development of essence. Cspitaliam gradually svolved from its inception and till our day. And elthough the essence of pre-monopoly cspitalism did not undergo any fundamental change, this does not meen that monopoly cspitalism does not differ assentially from the former.

Cognition of essence is not a simple, one-staga process; it begins with the transition from appearance to essence. The second staga is the cognition of essence separately from tha forms of its manifastation - es a system of general laws. The very essence is multi-stage, and cognition moves, so to say, from the essence of first order to the essence of second order, from the essence of second order to the assence of third order, stc. The third stags of cognition of the object sees the transition from essence in a "pure form", so to say, to necessary special forma of its manifestation. At this stage the essence is already revealed in concrate forms of its movement. For instance, it was not suffice to divulge the secret of surplus valua, for it still contradicts individuel empirical facta. It was nacesaary, on the basis of the laws of surplus value, to reveal that concrete typa in which essencs is actual, real. In such concepts es profit, rant, interest, commercial capital, credit Marx showed concrete forms in which eurplus value is manifested in the empirical world of facts.

Merx revealed the assence of capital in a systematic form, and Lenin - tha essence of imperialism. In a similer manner, netural scientists build theoretical systems that show up the assence of the object under etudy in the unity show up the sessence with particular forms of reality.

Reality and Possibility

and external forme of its manifestation make ap the re-

ality of the object. Raality covars both the internal sida of things and objects and their axternal side. In e.broed sence, reclity represents the whole of the objective world and in e narrow asnae - the objective existence of the object. The possibility is en sapact of the object, its object. The possibility is en aspect of the object, its ebatrect aelf-negation. It is objective like the reality and represents a really existing general form of the object obeing, a form davoid of eabetantial content. What does it been? It means that possibility represents the ebetrect estructure of a new object which did not subordinets to itself all its elements. For example, the eppearance of money led to the eplit of commodity exchange into two acts: sale end

purchase. This economic structure is impregnant with the posaibility of a crisis of overproduction, since the owner of a commodity may retain money after salling it and in this case another commo ity will be unrealised. But this possibility does not become reality until the onset of capitaliem, because the exchange in pre-capitalist accio-economic formetions did not become a universal sconomic relationship and did not make real the very possibility of a crisis. In the same way, the nature of social production under capitalism helps us to predict the structure of socialist production, though sbstract and devoid of general content that is usde up by public property.

The possibility should be distinguished from impossibility which contradicts the objective laws of the existence and movement of the object. Like possibility, impossibility is definite, because what is impossible in a given set of conditions may become possible in a different set of conditions. However, that which contradicts the most general laws of the development of any reality is impossible in general, that is under no circumstances. Matter cannot disappear, time cannot be stopped, etc.

The possibility, existing outside definite conditions of its realisation, is abstract. The possibility becomes real when requisite conditions of its realisation make their specarance. The possibility of a socialist revolution is real in any industrialised capitalist country. In poorly developed countries this possibility is abstract. This explains why such countries at the outset face national democratic revolutions, which prepare, at a faster rate, the ground for socialist revolutions. The abstract possibility of criees of overproduction and monetary crises existed even before capitalism, but only under this system it becomes real, since espitalism provides conditions for turning this possibility into reality.

However, something clse is needed to turn the possibility into reality, besides conditions for the realisation of this process. Conditions may be more or less favourable and determine the degree of probability or turning the possibility into reality. To make possibility s resulty the operation of a cause is required. Under modern conditions, when imperialiem still peraists, there ia a real poesibility of a world war. Neverthelees, it is so far not realised thanks to the effort of peace supporters, of the world escialiet system, with the result that the action of causes engendering wara ie blocked. In consequence of this, the upper hand ie taken by another poseibility - the poseibility of peace. Peace supporters set the task of making international detente irrevereible, that ia, of turning the real possibility of war into an abetract. The disappearance of imperialiem rulea out the poecibility of wara. Take another example: all conditione are available in developed cepitaliet countries for their transition to eocialiam, but a socialist revolution doee not take place there due to the abeence of a real cause. The cause begine to operate in a revolutionary eituation, which includes both the objective and eubjective momente that will be dealt with in a corresponding section of hietorical materialiem. Now, however, it will be pertinent to note that even in a revolutionary eituetion the poseibility of a revolution is not always realised, eince the operation of diametrically opposite causes may lead (and sometimes does lead) to the realieation of the opposite possibility, that of precerving the atatus quo. In the period of transition from capitaliem to socialiem, two opposite possibilitiee collide: the possibility of building escialiem and the possibility of capitalist restoration. Therefore, the efforte undertaken by the working people headed by the working clase and under the leadership of communist and workere' partiee are the decieive reason for the realisation of the poseibility of giving rise to a escialist society.

The poeeibility not only autometically dose not become reality, but it may remain to be unrealised. In the set of poesibilities, including the opposite ones, the upper band is taken by those, whose causes of realisation have proved to be the most effective. We must make a distinction not only between the abetract and the real possibility, but also between the necessary and the accidental possibility. The necessary possibility is not identical to the real one. The real possibility may be (end often heppens to be) eccidental. The

necessary possibilities are bound to turn into reality. The possibility of socialism's victory on a globel scale is to be realised without fail; in other words, it will turn into reality as a result of world history. This process may be held up but not brought to a halt.

Cause and Effect

The antithesis between the possibility and reality is, in a way, overcome in the

concept of cause. The cause is thus a unity of the possibility and reality. The cause is a phenomenon, event or circumstance, which trensfers any possibility into reelity and calls it to life.

But how doss it come about? The caase fills the possibility (shatract form) with a substantial content and theory transfers it into reality. The cause is nothing else hut a substantial relation. For inatance, a billiard-bell atriking against another either stops or loses a definite quantity of motion. Another ball begins to move. Its movement is the consequence of a strike of another ball. But why is it so? Because the first ball "gave" it a definite quantity of motion. In social life, people's prectical ectivity is such a substantial basis for all chenges and events. All accial phenomene, objects and relations are the result of human (lebour, revolutionary, spiritual) activity.

But the cause ie not identical to a given substance (general hasis of phenomena). It is the notion of substance in a definite form. Therefore, the turning of canse into effect is the replecement of the forms of motion of a definite substance. For instance, an artist's spiritual and material work results in a definite picture, which differs in form from the cause of ite creation - the artist's ectivity. His activity is live activity, but his picture is the reified activity of the same artist. Cause and effect differ in form and are identicel in substantial content. Equally, the commodity is the reified labour of a worker, the transmuted form of his ectivity. In nature, any phenomenon is a transmuted form of any substantial motion.

In order to apprehend the causal connection as an internal and not external nexus one must understand the con-

nection with a substantial relation. For this resson Lenin stressed that the "actual cognition of the cause is the deepening of knowledge from the externality of phenomeas to the substance."

In modern science, Laplacian determinism should be distinguished from probabilistic causality. According to Laplacian determiniam, wa can fully foresee a result at every moment if we know the initial data, whereas in some cases (as, for example, in quantum mechanics) we cannot foretell unequivocally the result of any process, although we know its initial data. Therefore, the result of a given process is predicted with a definite probability whose aphare is indicated in an exact way. The fact that science cannot foretell exactly the behaviour of separate elementary particles at every moment or of separate molecules of gas, when its pressure on a vessel's walls is neasured, cannot serve as a ground for negating causality in general, as many modern idealists do by restoring the old classical conceptions of the past idealists - Hume, Kant, Mach, Avenarius and others.

Laplacian determinism is merely the simplest type of tha csussl connection of phenomens. Probabilistic causality is another type of this nexus. But the multiformity of the kinds of determinism is not exhausted by them. Cognition will reveal ever new types of csusal connection and all of them will include the simplest type of causal aexus as a subservient moment. There is no such nexus in the world which would not include causality.

The contrsposition between ceuse and effect is relative in the sense that cause mey play the part of effect and vise versa. In the chain of cause and effect relations, any subsequent phenomenon becomes effect in relation to the previous phenomenon and is the cause in reletion to the subsequent phenomenon. But the contraposition between ceuse and effect is also relative in another sense. Effect often exerts a reciprocal action on its ceuse, acting as its ceuse in reletion to changes taking place in the phenomenon that served as its cause. We thus see a kind of interection between cause

l. V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 38, p. 159.

and effect. This interaction proves to be a <u>final csuse</u> of the development of the object. For instance, historical circunstances give rise to a definite type of the individual, for in reality he is the product of historical circunstances. In his turn, the individual transforma these circunstances by seting on them. Merk called the coincidence of changes in people and in historical circunstances revolutionary practice. The final cause of the historical process is the intersction of peopls and historical circunstances. As distinct from the immediate csuse, the final csuse is a contradiction, i.e. an interaction of opposites.

In conclusion, we must draw a distinction between functional connection, occasion and csuso. Functional connection is an abstract dopendonce of two or more parameters or propertios, under which one of them acts as an independent variable (argument), while the other acts as a dependent (function). Both members of dependence are variables whose numerical values change. If we consider the radiuo as an argument and the circumference ss a function, then the increase in the radius involves the increase in the circumference, and the decresse of the former results in the decrease of the letter. But since this dependence is sbstract, the causal connection is concealed: the radius is not necessarily a csuso of change in the circumference. A change in the spread of s pair of compsases or something else may be an actual cause of changes both in the circumference and the radius. If time is argument in the formula of movement's velocity, while the distance covered is function, it does not follow from this that time is the cause of change in the dietence. The actual cause lies in the movement of e definite object - man, machine, planet, etc. Functional connection is only a formal expresaion of real counection, the underlying feeture of which is csusality. This does not meen that functional connection does not exist objectively in reality. It is objective, but it does not exhauat the atructure of the real (even the simplest) connection of the real world, and only represents its particular side and has no independent significance.

Occssion also differs from ceueal connection, for it is its subjective expression. Usually the occesion is con-

nected with a definite objective fact or event, which, it is true, is not a cause for subsequent events but is passed for such.

Necessity and Chance

In <u>Dialectics of Fature</u> Engels noted that inactive cause is not cause. This phrase

contains the ides that the connection between cause and its sction is necessary. And in fact necessity removes the opposition between cause and its action. This means that if there is a cause, there must be effect therefrom.

What, then is necessity? Necessity is the connection which is bound to make its appearance under relevant conditions. Gaussi connection is the most general and simplest form of necessary connection. But necessity is not reduced to a causal relation alons. All the aforementioned laws of dislectics are but different types of necessary connections. Necessity may be internal and external. External necessity is linked with internal necessity and is its manifestation. The mode of interaction of the living organism with the environment bases a necessary character for it, for without this interaction the organism cannot exist. Neverthelass this mode of interaction is determined by internal specific requirements and the laws of physiology of the organism.

Necessity ie opposed to chance. Chence is s form in which necessity manifests itself. But since necessity is menifested in different forms, s given chance, ss dietinct from necessity, must not take place without fail under the seme conditions. All people are mortal, but they die in different, secidental circumstances, including astural death at s veritable sge. Engels wrote that after the French Revolution of 1789 France needed a strong personality in order to complete eocial reforms and counteract the rectionery European surroundinge. And eince this historical need exieted, e relevant perconality made his appearance on the scene. It was Nepoleon, but his personality was a pure accident. Another perconality instead of him might eppear on the scene, may be less or more telented. Revertheless, such a personality hed to appear.

Consequently, in a "pure ferm" necessity dees not exist but always acts in some accidental form. Therefore, accident is a necessary saddition to necessity itself. Accident is always conditioned, that is, it always has its own canse. There are no canaeless phenomens. For this reason any accidental phenomenon is canaelly conditioned. What makes accidental a given phanomenon is the interacction of one chain of cause and effect relations with another one.

By calling as chancas particular forms of the manifeststion of this or that necessity, we must bear in mind that chancae ara of graat importance for man both from the cognitive and practical points of view. From the times immemorial the correlation between accident and necessity was studied by man, who draw appropriate conclusions from this atudy. By the concept of predestination and by making uee of the identification of accident and necessity raligion triad te persuade peepla thet everything takee place at God'e will and net by chance and that people abould obey hie vice-regente in erder not te fall inte hia diegrace. Thua, fatalien elevatee sccident into necesaity. It deous man te passivity, einca it placee the resulte of his actions in dependence en non-existing super-natural fercee and not on himself and his activity. On the ether hand, the French materialista - Holbach, Helvetiua and ethers - reduced nacaseity to the level of the game of chances by claiming that any chance, even the most insignificant ons, may have a decieive influence on the course of history.

Dialactical materialism holds that acience is the enemy of chances in the same that it must penetrate into the accidental form of phenomena in order to reveal necessity conceased behind a fortuitous form. On the other hand, it is necessity that may give a scientific explanation to chances which may have great importance for society.

The Singular, Particular and Universal

Manifesting through diversa forms of its existeoce, necessity represents a

common basis for particular cases which have a special and even singular character. Is any form of the manifestetion of necessity accidental or do we have here a more complex correlation than the one we have considered?

The aspecte of an empirically existing object taken singly constitute the eingular. Every individuel empirically given object poeceesee inimitable featuree, propertiee, etc. Its specifically singular properties always have an accidentel charecter. But in addition to singular properties every individual object hea universal properties and features which are inherent in other individual objects. Thus, a separete object ie the unity of the universal and the eingular. Therefore, the universal does not exhaust the individual. As Lenin wrote, the "universal exists only in the individual and through the individual. Every individual is (in one way or another) a universal. Every universal is (e fragment, or an espect, or the essence of) an individual. "1 The universal ie regarded as similar in every-day life. The universel taken and regarded as similar feetures or properties of objects and phenomene helps ue to differentiate the accidental from the necessary in prectice, eince here the accidentel is identified with the eingular, and the universal with the neceeeery, recurrent. But in theoretical cognition and in revolutionary prectice the universal ie something more complex than only the einilar in objects, although it does not follow from this thet the similer is not the universal.

What ie the universal in a deeper sense? The universal is the lew of the existence and motion of the particular and, consequently, the singular. For instance, according to Kepler's law, planets must move according to an ellipais. But the planet of Uranus does not move atrictly according to an ellipais. This phenomenon was explained by the British astronomer John Adama (1819-1892) and the French astronomer Urben J. Le Verrier (1811-1877) on the basis of the law of gravitation. The planet Keptune's gravitation atrongly affects the Uranua trajectory. Thus, the law of gravitation is the common basis both for the elliptical movement of planets and for every accidental diversion of planets from the elliptical trajectory. Here we see how the univer
1. V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 359.

sal determines the particular and through the particular the singular. The universal is the gravitation, the particular is the elliptical movement of planets and the singular is the empirically given diversions (both assential and inessential) and other specific features (the size of the allipsis, etc.) of the trajectory of moving planets. Take another example. In Marx's Capital the law of surplus value is the common basic for all economic phenomena of pre-monopoly cspitalism. Profit which is deduced from surplus - value as a common basis is a special form of the movement of capital, wherees the empirically given asparate capitels represent the singular. Equally, the ground-rent is the particular, which is explained on the hasia of surplus value as the universal, while the separate empirically existing rent is the singular, fortuitous manifastetion of the universal (lew).

Thus, the particular is the necessary form of the manifestation of the universal, whereas the singular is alresdy a fortuitoue form of the menifestation of the universal. A consistently sciantific epproach requires the explanation of the singular on the basis of the universal but through the particular. A direct adeptation of the universal to the aingular is formalism and scholasticism in science, and in politics it may lead to the rejection of the scientific and theoretical principles, aince the aingular often contredicts the universal. Revisionian usually speculates on these contradictions between the universal and the singular, by "revising" and renouncing the universal principles on the sole ground that in the empirical world everything looks like differently. The contraposition of the aingular and the universal account or later leads to revisionism in ideology.

The practice of eocialist construction has provided the examples of e proper combination of the eingular end the universal. The Communist and Workers' pertise of accialist countries creatively apply the laws of building e new society with due account of concrete conditions and specific features of their respective countries.

5. The Dislectics of Davelopment of Socialism

Dialectics is of special importance for analysing the building of the socialist and communist society. Socialism is the most dynsmic social system that has ever existed; it is the most developing one, being in the atste of constant change, movement and improvement. The progressive-onward movement of the economy, social relations, culture and their constant transformation on communist lines is the law of life in a socialist society.

Antsgonism and Contradictions Under Socialist Construction Bourgeois ideologists make sbortive strampts to prove that materialist dialectics has stopped at the threshold of socialiem. Their state-

ments to the effect that Marxists-Leninists deny the operation of the basic laws of dialectics in a socialist society are groundless. On the other hand, the assertions of Left extremists that antagonistic contradictions are inherent both in capitalism and socialism are no lass untenable. Their further statements that under socialism the peopla and the working classes are split by the same irreconcilably opposite interests as are the bourgeoisie and the workers in bourgeois society hold no water and ere dangerous as well.

The socialist revolution, socialist and communist construction are a brilliant confirmation of the laws of materialist dialectics discovered by Marxism. This is graphic evidence of the inevitable resolution of the bourgaois society's antagonistic contradictions by revolution, of a radical change of quality characterieing the eocio-economic and political systems, of the shift to a higher stegs of eocial development as a result of the negation of the bourgaois order based on private ownership and of the establishment of e eocialist system based on public ownership.

At the same time, the accialist acciety as a society of e historically new typs which came up to take the plece of the exploiter societies which domineted for many centuries Under socialism where classes and aocial groups share the same basic interests which, in their turn, coincide with those of the state, contradictions are resolved not on the basis of class struggle but through the joint afforts of all classes and social strata under the guidance of a Marxist-Leninist party. The very character of socio-political life is contradictory, since it contains in itself the new and the old, the advanced and the backward, the conservative and the prograssive.

The socialist society as a society of high social activity is marked by the dislectics of development from the lower to the higher, which finds its manifestation in the consciously directed tendancy of taking up ever higher qualitative stages of development on the basis of the dislectical negation of the previous forms. The law of the negation of negation, of the radical replacement of the former quality by a new one most clearly manifests itself in the period of transition from capitalism to socialism. This stage sees the radical change in the nature of property, the social structure and the political system.

Lanin's tsaching on bracking down the bourgaois stats machinary reflects tha operation of the law of the negation of negation during the transition from the bourgaois political system and bourgeois damocracy to the socialist political system and socialist damocracy. The working class cannot wield the old state mechinary which served the cauce of oppression of the working people. It must create a new state that would meet the interests of the working people end be able to effect socialist and communist transformations.

But materialist dislactics links the concept of negetion with notion of the further development of a thing, phenomenon or object. In social life, people in most cases deal with not mechanical negation, but with the negation which has a bearing on the preservation of the viable elements of the former atages of development, with the retention of the ties between the departing old end the succeeding new.

The breakdown of the bourgeois atete mechinery does not mean at all thet the entire political mechanism is smeshed completely. After the revolution the people retain and haa, naturally, ite own specific features of the manifaatstion and operation of materialist dialectics laws. This concerns ahove all the queetion of the nature and mechaniam of overcoming contradictions under socialism.

Lenin atresaed the epecific nature of the operation of the law of the unity and conflict of oppositee under socialiam. He wrota: "Antagonism and contradiction is not the same thing: the first ie to disappear under eocialiam and tha latter ie to remain."

We must draw a distinction between different periode of the establiahment and development of the socialist society. In the initial period of the transition from capitalism to socialism there are still the entegoniatic cleeces: the deposed hourgeoicie and the landlords, on the one hand, and the working claece and other labouring clasece and strsts, on the other. Naturally, at this stage there are sntagonistic contradictions inside the society and the claes struggle continues to be a method of their resolution.

The aituation changes after socialiam has been built. The abolition of the exploiting classes, the emergence and devalopment of a ecciety consisting exclusively of the working people creetes new, unpracedented forme of the community of interests on all csrdinal issues of economic, sociel and political life. The existing differences between the interests. of various classes and social groups, and individuals do not bear the neture of antagoniam and are recolved on the hacia of the conecious activity of the eociety, its political eyatam and the party that combines in ite policy the multifacatad interceta of the population groups with those of tha ecciety ee a eingle whole. In accialiat acciety contradictione ara objectively non-antagonietic; thie conforme to tha nature of ecciety where there are no eccial forces with opposits intersets. However, the wrong policy and subjectiviet arrore mey also bacome here a source of deepening contradictione and aven social conflicts.

^{1.} Lanin Miecellany XI, Moacow-Laningred, 1931, p. 357.

Under accialism where classes and social groups share the aame basic interests which, in their turn, coincide with those of the stote, contradictions are resolved not on the basis of class struggle but through the joint efforts of all classes and social strota under the guidence of a Marxist-Leninist party. The very character of accio-political life is controdictory, since it contains in itaelf the new and the old, the advanced and the backward, the conservative and the progressive.

The socialist society as a society of high social activity is marked by the dielectics of development from the lower to the higher, which finds its manifeatation in the conaciously directed tendency of taking up ever higher qualitative stages of development on the basis of the dialectical negation of the previous forms. The law of the negation of negation, of the radical replacement of the former quality by a new one most clesrly manifeats itself in the period of transition from capitalism to socialism. This stage eees the radical changs in the nature of property, the esoial structure and the political system.

Lenin's teaching on breaking down the bourgaois etate machinery reflects the operation of the law of the negation of negation during the transition from the bourgeoia political system and bourgeoia democracy to the socialist political system and socialist democracy. The working clase cannot wield the old atate machinery which served the cause of oppraasion of the working people. It must create a new etate that would meet the interests of the working people and be able to affect socialist and communist transformations.

But materialist dislactica links the concept of negation with notion of the further development of a thing, phenomenon or object. In social lifa, people in most cases deal with not mechanicel negation, but with the negation which has a bearing on the preservation of the visble elements of the former ateges of development, with the retention of the ties between the departing old and the succeeding new.

The breakdown of the bourgeois state mechinery does not mean at all that the entire political mechanism is anashed complately. After the revolution the people ratain and develop many political institutions which were born already in the womb of the old society - workers' and other labour parties, trade unions and other political associations, retsin the public sector of the economy, which is the bssis for socialising private property. But this is not all. The working class seeks to retain all the best that has been sccumulated before the revolution in terms of administrative experience, to wield the production sppsrstus, the mechanism of economic and scientific management, and the sphere of social services. The use of these elements of the state apparatus makes it possible to accomplish the revolution with minimum costs and ensure at once a higher efficiency of social production and improve the living conditions. Lenin wrote: "Not empty negstion, not futils negstion, not scepticel negstion is characteristic and essential in dislectics, - which undoubtedly contains the element of negstion and indeed as its most important slement - no, but negation as a moment of connection, as a moment of development, retsining the positive "1

Socialist democracy not only denies bourgeois democracy but also preserves the continuity in respect of revolutions-ry democratic principles which were proclaimed by the most radical forces in the period of bourgeois revolutions. It develops in the interests of the working people the old ideas such as freedom and equality of people, brotherhood, internationalism, friendship and equality of nations, popular sovereignty, and fills these ideas with new, real content.

We have alresdy spoken about this kind of continuity which benefits the new, socialist society. But there is continuity of s different kind, which forces ite way despite the counterection of the leading politicel forces. In the first Soviet yeers Lenin repestedly pointed to bureaucratic oloments in the Soviot atete apparatus, which were tho result not only of the society's economic beckwerdness but also of

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 225.

the direct borrowing of cortain traditions that were customsry for the old government machine. The atruggle sgainst bureaucracy and other negative traditions left over from the old regime constitutes a major condition for the effective functioning of the socialist political system.

The 1957 Decleration of the Meeting of Communist and Workers' Parties of the socialist countries formulated the following warning in a spirit of the Marxist-Deninist traditional dialectical analysis: "Should the Marxist political party in its examination of questions beas itself not on dialectics and materialism, the result will be one -sided-ness and subjectivism, atagnation of human thought, isolation from life and loss of ability to make the necessary analysis of things and phenomena, revisioniet and dogmatist mistakes and mistakes in policy."

Materialist dialectics is the beet guarantse against such mietakss. It bears a creative, revolutionary nature both in respect of cognition and change of reality.

the operation of the laws of Dialectics of Devsloped materialist dialectics has its specific features. A high degree of development achieved by socialism on its own basis, on the basis of its economic, social and political ayatsma, the formation of s society with social homogeneity, of s single people, in a word, the assertion of all trends that atrengthen the society's accial, moral and political unity in no way abrogate the operation of the lawa of dialectica. But the emergent contradictions have new forma and are reactived by different means than et the atege of building accialism. These contredictions are resolved not on the basis of clsas struggle and "deepening" the revolution, but on the basis of the conscious activity of the entire acciety, its guiding political forces simed at the constent atimulation of progress in all spheres of life. However, we must bear in mind that social contradictions

effect not only the relations between classes, but slao dif-1. See V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 33, p. 501.

The Struggle for Peace, Democracy and Socialism, Moscow, 1963, p. 15.

ferent aspects of ascial life.

Full communiam is the "negation" of socialism, but this "negation" has a specific character: it describes two stages in the development of one and the same system. Hevertheless, at this stage, too, there are contradictions, there is struggle for their rasolution, the latter being an important internal source of the system's self-development.

A pride of place under developed accialism is taken by the contradiction between the <u>new</u> and the <u>old</u>, the elimination of obsolaacent orders, the overcoming of routins and bigotry and the aclution of the ever more complex tasks of improving new life. This chisfly involves contradictions of growth accordated with the permanent progress of the productive forces for the asks of satisfying most fully the material and spiritual needs of people.

Developed accialiam implies the overcoming of contradictions that do not uset the sima of restructuring acciety on the principles of full communism.

In the sphere of the economy this is connected with the resolution of contradictions between the tempeatuous growth of the productive forces, the requirements of the scientific and technological revolution, on the one hand, and the legging behind of forms and methode of administration and accio-economic planning, on the other hand, with the overcoming of contradictions between the socialist property forms -- public, cooperative and collective-farm and the property of social organisations -- and with the formation of a single form of property.

In the sphere of social relations this is connacted with the elimination of the still essential distinctions between the working class, the peasantry end the people's intelligenties, hetween mental and physical lehour, hetween town and country on the hasis of the formation and consolidation of the acciety's unity and homogeneity.

The gap between different levels of lehour resumeration, which is inevitable under socialies, is a source of contradictions, which are resolved by a social policy sized at securing the steady growth of lehour productivity as e source of social weelth, at relaing wages for low-paid work-

ers, at incressing social funds as an important means on the path of achieving communist ahundance.

In the sphera of national relations this is connected with the overconing of the contradiction between nationalist manifestations left over from the past and the general tendency of strongthening the unity of all peoples on the hasis of internationaliam.

The 1977 Conntitution of the USSR is a model of the operation of the leading political forces aimed at hringing the political system into conformity with the leval of development of the sociaty's economic, accial and cultural systems. ment of the sociaty's economic, accial progress, the Constitueing an important landmark in social progress, the Constitution, at the same time, has opened up the way for the sociation, at the same time, has opened up the way for the sociaty's further advancement, for the creation of the material ty's further advancement, for the creation of the improvement of and technical base of communism and for the improvement of all social relations.

The dialectics of developing international relations between the socialist countries has also its specific festures. The determining factor underlying these relations has besn the unity hased on the community of the economic systems, on the principles of Marxism-Leninism and proletarism internationalism. Practice shows that contradictions mey ariss in relations between socialist countries. They may be causad by different economic and socio-political conditions in these countries, by the lack of coincidence in the st ges of their development, by specific historical and international conditions. These contradictions may also manifest themselves when the leaders of the socialist countrias approach their home and foreign policies in a different and contradictory way. By their objective nature these contradictions are not antagonistic, although by dint of aubjective reasona they may at times reach a cartain degree of acuteness. The intarnational socialist community has created effective mechanisms to coordinate their mutual relations and international relations in the world at large, to resolva new contradictions with an eye to the unity of international and national tasks of every country, and to expand the processes of economic integration and all-round cooperation between the socialist nations.

The law of the unity and conflict of opposites is of specially great importance for comprehending the nechanism of the socialist countries' functioning on the world srens, where antsgonistic and non-antsgonistic contradictions make their specsance.

The modern world is the venue of scute economic and social, political and ideological contradictions between the two systems - socialist and capitalist. Never before has the struggle of opposites reached such a scale and magnitude se today and never hefore has the confrontstion between political giants with wespons of mass similarition between so formidable and impressive. The conflict of opposites, the scutest contradiction between the two systems on the international areas is the chief and noet striking festure of society's life today.

But this does not mean that it is possible to ignore the other sids of the matter. Despits the scutestcontradictions that rand mankind, the latter continues to exist as e whole. Moreover, the precent epoch sees the strengthening of the tendency towards closer mutual relations between different nations of the world. This is seen in the economic ties within the fremework of the world market that influences the economic processes in all social etructures. This is also seen in the growing ecientific, tschnicel and cultural exchanges against the hackground of the current revolution in science and technology. This is further seen in the solution of common human problems such as the struggle for preventing s world thermo-nuclear catastrophe, the preservation of the environment, the tapping of new snergy resources, the elimination of hunger and disease in all regions of the globs, the regulation of the consequences of the demographic explosion, the overcoming of the growing gap in the levels of economic development of industrialised and developing countriss and other global problems.

However, ws regard as wrong the arguments sdvanced by the supporters of the <u>convergence</u> of sociel systems, who claim thet under the impact of the scientific and technological revolution the developed countries of socialism and the developed countries of capitalism tend to converge to form some new type of s social system that would unite the sdvantages of the two social systems into a single whole. The reference to the sct that both under capitalism and socialism the states use the same schievements of science, the same technology and similar physical processes and even methods of organisation, ignores the substance of the social systems and the genuine dislectics of influence of scientific and technological progress on them.

The supporters of convergence reject, on a theoretical plans, the requirements of dialectics which imply the sacert-ainment of the general laws of the scientific and technological revolution and their specific features under capitalism and socialism.

6. Modern Bourgeois and Revisionist Distortions of Marxist-Leninist Dislectics

Already in 1844-1845, Marx and Engels showed that Hegel's idealist dialectics was fully sdapted to the interests of the ruling class. According to idealist dialectics, the object is overcome, "sublated", if man has ascended over it in the "ether of pure thought". By criticising Hegel's idealist followers, young Marx emphasized that no wespon of criticism can replace criticism by arms, that a material force can be surmounted by a material force alone. And theory can turn into a material force only when it grips the masses.

Materialist Dialectics and Natural Science Materialist dialactics was the outcome of summarising the history of science, including natural science and tachnolo-

Ey. Back in the 19th century Engels stressed that "nature ia the proof of dialectics, and it must be said for modern science that it has furnished this proof with very rich materials increasing daily, and thus has shown that, in the last resort, nature works dialectically and not metaphysically..."

^{1.} F. Engala, Dialectics of Nature, p. 33.

The natural sciences are a cognitive instrument which is used to verify and confirm the tsnets of materialist dialectics and devalop them further. The achievements of natural science serve as a scientific hasis for the development of materialist dialectics. The natural scientific hasis is, of course, not the only hasis for the development of philosophy (for socio-historical practice is the decisive basis for the development of philosophy), but the development of materialist dialectics is inconceivable without this basis.

The relation of materialist dislectics to the natural sciences is manifested in its functions.

Its first function is to epistemologically <u>comprehend</u> and <u>generalise</u> the data supplied by the natural sciences. This process reveals not only the universality of the teneta of disloctica but also the epistemological principles of scientific theories and their philosophical meaning. The new data of the natural sciences serve to improve philosophical concepts.

The accond function of meterialist dislectics is ita msthodological function. In <u>Dislectics of Nature</u> Engels wrote that "...it is precisely dislectics that constitutes the most important form of thinking for present-day natural science, for it alone offers the analogue for, and thereby the method of explaining, the svolutionary processes occurring in natures, interconnections in general, and transitions from one field of investigation to another. "In <u>Materialism and Empirio-Criticism</u> Lenin atressed the need for natural scientists to consciously adopt dislectical materialism.

By discharging its methodological function materialist dialectics promotes the development of particular aciences, including natural science. But to discharge the heuristic function it should not be directly applied to the specific material aupplied by other sciences, just as in the case of the epiatemological apprehension of their resulta, but indirectly - through the general end specific methods of these sciences.

^{1.} F. Engels, Dialectics of Nature, p. 43.

The third function of materialist dislectics discharged in relation to natural acience concerns the world outlook. This function differs from the epistemological one by the fact that it deals with the scientiat's knowledge not only of the concrete reslity hs atudies but also of a wider system of relations, including the accio-historical reality. Materialist dislectics prompts the natural acientista to arrive at the conclusion that there are no facisted problems in the world, if even these problems agem to be purely academic. Abatract theoretical problems are associated with accial problems and are filled by a historical sense and, what is most important, oblige the natural acceptiat to adopt a certsin socio-political atand and serve definite social ideals. For example, the communiat ideal is the ineviteble result at which a thinker must arrive if he adheres to materialist dialectica. Therefore, Engels noted that without dialectics which had appeared earlier, within the framework of classical German philosophy, there would have been no scientific accialism.

From Dialectics to Metaphysics A characteristic fasture of modern bourgeois philosophy ia ita repudistion of dialectics as a general theory and

method of philoaophical thinking. Alresdy since the latter part of the 19th century the diverse trends of bourgeois philosophy have been dominated by metaphysical views and the metaphysical method in its modern varieties. Those who hold such views deny development in nature and society but, what is most important, consider this development only as smooth svolution, reject lesps and the conflict of opposites. Their conception of development may be reduced to mere suggestation or diminution. They sbsolutise various concepts, try to pass them over as eternal and immutable truths and thereby negate the possibility of objective cognition.

The domination of idealism and metaphysics in modern bourgeois philosophy also determines the solution of the Problems of epistemology, the questions of the possibilities and bounde of cognising the world, the forms and means of cognition, the correlation of the expirical and the logical in cognition, the problem of truth, etc. Today sgnoeticism is indigenous to all trende of bourgeois philosophy, though it is manifested in them in different ways. The cause of this phenomenon was revesled by Lenin, who wrote: "When the masses of the people themselves ... begin to make history, hegin to put 'principles and theories' immediately and directly into practice, the bourgeois is terrified and howls that intellect is retresting into the background... ""

Persilel to open, militant snti-Marxism, attempts are made to commit certain hourgeois philosophers to Marxist theory, to interpret it in peculiar terms, and to "converge" Marxist philosophy with modern idsalist doctrines. However, a philosopher who actively supports the bourgeois system is, of course, unable to accept Marxism as the working class theory and its revolutionary dialectics. Taking use of Marxist philosophical ideas and categories he neually does not accept the Marxist socio-political viewe.

What the bourgeois ideologists and opportuniets in fact counterpose to real dislectics is sophistry. The letter consists in a substitution of concepts; hourgeois ideologists resort to sophietry in order to "refute" Marxism, while opportuniets use it in order to "correct" Marxism or "interpret" it in "genuine" or "authentic" way but actually to mesk their true anti-Marxist Views. Lenin called sophistry the "logic of opportuniem."²

Another logical method of dietorting Marxist dislectice by opportunism is eclecticism. This is what Lenin wrote on this score: "... The substitution of eclecticism for dialectice is the essiest way of deceiving the people. It gives an illusory sstisfaction; it seems to take into secount all sides of the process, all trends of development, ell the conflicting influences, and so forth, whereas in reality it provides no integral and revolutionary conception of the process of social development nt all. "5

Eclecticiem as a principle that combines in a purely out-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 31, p. 359.

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 18, p. 333.

^{3.} Tbid., Vol. 25, p. 405.

ward way sccidental properties and characteristics of the object runs counter to the principle of dialectical contradiction. It distorts the real substance of things and processes and leads to a halfway policy of compronises in the practical solution of acute political problems. Eclecticism as a metaphysical principle is diametrically opposed to the dialectical principle of considering objects in a comprehensive way.

Neo-Positivian

In the epoch of imperialism, dislectics is criticised not only by the outspoken ideo-

logists of political reaction, but also by the theorists of social reformism inside the working-class and national liberation movements, by the ideologists of the Right and "Left" opportunism. At the beginning of the 20th century. Ednard Bernstein, a leader of Gernan Social democracy, started to revise Marrism, primarily ite philosophical principles. The revisionism by Bernstein and his followers proved to be an international phenomenon, a manifestation of bourgeois ideological influence on the working-olsss movement. The busheviks led by Lenin launched their determined struggle sgeinst revisionism. While generalising the new experience of the class struggle and new scientific advancee, the scientific revolution in physics in the first place, Lenin developed the theory of meterislist dialactics. In Materialism and Empirio-Criticiam Lenin demonstrated the atter insolvency of the attempts made by bourgeois philosophera E. Mach and R. Avanarius to falsify tha lessons of the revolution in scientific knowledge and attack materialist dislectics. Lenin's tesching about reflection as a universal property of matter and about the dislectical process of cognition armed the revolutionary forces of the working-class movement to repulse the 1stest sttacks of revisionists on Marxism.

Today, in the epoch of the revolutionary transition from cepitalism to socialism and on a world-wide ecale, the ideologists of imperialism try to nae the whole strenal of "srguments" in their criticism of the dialectics of Marxism-Leninism. Three trends in this modern "critique" merit our special attention, because they are characteristic of the reactio-

nary ideology of mejor political parties of the imperialiat bourgeoisie and also penetrate into the ranks of the working-clees and netional liberation movemente and serve es a philosophical basis of modern revisionian. The neo-positivist philosophere try to negete the dislectice of the contradictorinese of the connections and relations in real world, the objective idealists from the philosophic echool of Neo-Thomica reject the contradictory nature of motion and the possibility, objective regularity of revolutionary, qualitative less in the development of nature and society, the existentialists with their anti-dialectical arguments deny the progressive direction of development and absolutise the historically transient and surmountable specific contradictions of the cepitalist society.

It was Lenin who drew attention to the transformation of neo-positiviem into a besic trend of bourgeois philosophy in the epoch of imperialien. By declaring meterialiet dialectice to be false, calling it a metephysical theory, the neo-poeitiviete counterpose to it the "poeitive" facte --- our eubjective eensetions and perceptione, the formal logic of thinking, the role of lenguege in cognition, and absoluties the relativity of the resulte of cognition and the possibility of refuting wrong theories and views. The nec-positiviate claim that contradictions exist only in human consciousnese, in the discordance of people's knowledge with empirical "facte" end aenee experience. The Mechiete, adherente of Ernst Mech's neo-poeitiviet philosophy of empirio-criticiem, which was subjected by Lenin to comprehensive criticism ebeclutised the role of sensetions and perceptions in cognition: in their view, the world is reduced to sense experience, and this experience should be subject to criticism whose purpose is to prevent the eppearance of theories that proceed from the recognition of the objective nature of the general laws of the development of nature and acciety, from the recognition of the objective and universal character of contradictions and the contredictorineas of the connections and reletions in nature and acciety.

While continuing in the 1920e end 1930e the Hechist line of neo-positiviet philocophy, the logical neo-posi-

tivists of the Vienna circle, i.e. the philosophers, who united sround M. Schlick in the Vienns University (Austria), proclaimed the logic of scientific knowledge the only subject-matter of philosophy. The logical neo-positivists assert that any contradictions may appair only between erroneous, unscientific thinking or between ordinary consciousness and the truly scientific cognition confirmed by positive sensedata.

In the 1930s and 1940s, the neo-positiviata supplemented this philosophy with an "analysia of language", claiming that the language concedes the causes of possible contradictions in thought. The world always generalises what it denotss and cannot envelop all the sepects and relations of the object or its changes and development. The new neo-positiviate asserted that it was necessary to change the language, to remember about its "dengers" and thus avoid any contradictions, general theories that contradict the empirical fects that can be varified by senses.

At last, soon after the Second World War, and sepecially in the 1970a wide currency was given to the latest variety of neo-positivist anti-dialectical philosophy, the so-called criticial rationalism of Earl Popper, a British bourgeois philosopher of Austrian origin. Popper outwardly counterpoaca his "criticial rationalian" to the materialiat dialectica of Marxiam-Leninism. This ideologist of imperialist reaction has proclaimed thet there are no, nor can there be, any general lews of development, any lews of eocial development. Moreover, any recognition of objective dialectice, general laws, the easence hidden behind "pocitive phenomene", any objectivisation of contredictions leeds to a false theory, to a "totalitarien" aocial ayatam, to a closed eociety. This is what he wrote in his book The Open Society end ite Enemiee. By "open society" Popper meens bourgeois democracy with ite "open pluralism" of any viewe end opinione, eeve the Marxiet-Leniniet onea. K. Popper holde that the combination of dialectica and meterielism is "by far worse than dialectical idealiam" and calls the proposition that "physical

K.R. Popper, Conjectures and Refutetione. The Growth of Scientific Knowledge, London, 1963, p. 331.

reslity develops dialectically" as "utterly dogmatic".

Popper accuses Marxists of betraying the critical antidogmetic nature of Marxist theory. He passes off Engels's Anti-Duhring as an example of using dialectics in the dogmetic defence of Marxism, in the "defence of the Marxist system from criticism." He writes that because of dialectics the anti-dogmetic position has dissppeared and Marxism has clarified itself as dogmetism, which is sufficiently flexible due to its use of its dialectical method, in order to evads any fresh attack. Thus, he added, Marxism has become what he terms as "strong dogmetism".

The true meaning and motive of these arguments was revealed by the British philosopher, Communist Maurice Cornforth, who noted with irony that according to Popper Marx's anti-dogmatic position is recognised in theory but is nevar used in practice. Dr. Popper says that Marxists may corract their anelysis, change their opinion, alter their policy and still ramein orthdoxical. Because of dielectice Marxism is sufficiently "flexibla"in order to avade rafutstion. Marxists refuse to apply their "anti-dogmatic position" to Marxism itself. Whatever the metter "Marxists persistently sask to remain Marxists". But this is most of all not to the liking of the ideologists of capitalism who see the "dogmatism" of Marxists precisely in this.

The founders of Marxism used to say that the theory is not n dogme, but a guide to action. On this acore Lenin wrote: "This classical statement stresses with remarkable force and expressiveness that aspect of Marxism which is very often lost sight of. and by losing sight of it, we turn Marxism into something one-sided, distorted and lifeless; we deprive it of its life blood; we undermine its besic theoretical foundations — dialectice, the doctrine of historical davelopment, all-embrecing and full of contradictions; we undermine its connection with the definite prectical tesks of the epoch.

 M. Cornforth, Open Philosophy and Open Society, Moscow, 1972, pp. 129-130 (Euseian edition).

K.R. Popper, Conjectures end Refutetions. The Growth of Scientific Knowledge, London, 1963, p. 334.

which may change with every new turn of hietory."1

Being n mejor form of modern bourgeois philosophy, neo-positivism also exerts definite influence on the minds of people who do not belong to the class of the bourgeoisie, and even on the minds of some theoreticians and political leeders of the working-clase movement. Right eocial reformism relies on the neo-positivist criticism of dislectics and counterpose to Marxism-Loninism their philosophy of evolution. of petty and purely quantitative reforms, of denying the contredictory nature of cspitalism and the objective character of contradictione in general. They hold that the social partnership of employers and workers must gloss over the unnecessary and mietaken contradictions of cspitalism. A typical document compiled by this trend of modern anti-dialectics of social reformism is the collections of articles entitled Critical Rationalism and Social Democracy, published in the Federal Republic of Germany in 1975-1978. In their exticles the ideologists of the Right wing of the Social Democratic Party of Germany try to justify their rejection of the meterialist dialectics of Marxism-Leniniem with the help of Popper'e philocophy.

Neo-Thomism

Another trend of the criticism of msterislist dialectics is represented by the Neo-

Thomist philosophy of the Cetholic Church. Alresdy at the end of the 19th century the Vetican, the official centre of Catholicism, took the peth of resolute struggle against Marxism and the revolutionary working-class movement. Having proclaimed the teaching of the medieval theologian Thomas Aquinas (1225-1275) the official Catholic philosophy, the Vatican urged the Christians to reject the atheistic theory of Marxism. One of the initial ideas of Thomism, i.e. the teaching of Thomas Aquinas, was the attempt conciliation of new scientific discoveries with the Catholic religious dogma. If science discovers new laws of nature, they should not of necessity contradiot the Bible: by discovering new laws people 1. V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 17, p. 39.

penetrate deeper into the original plen of divine oreation and comprehend the laws which God used in deviaing his creations, in the world he created. Today, Neo-Thomism rejects without any reservations the dislectics of motion and development in nature and acciety.

The Nec-Thomiat critique of dislectica is often referred to by the ideologiate of neny Christian parties which have become since 1945 the ruling or principal parties of the monopoly bourgeoisie in some capitalist countries of Western Europe and Latin America. The case arguments against Marxist philosophy are also advanced by some theorists of opportunism in the working-class movement. They regard any revolution, any leep in the development of nature or society as a disturbance of equilibrium, as a manifestation of the "ill-will" or erroneous decision-making, as diseaters. At the same time such arguments must deny the hasic, qualitative difference of the results of a leep, the resulte of a revolution from the original quality or state of nature and society.

Meanwhile the modern acience has provided a hrilliant confirmation and proof of the Marxiat-Leniniat understanding of the law of transformation of quantitative into qualitative changes as a universal objective law of development. The ecience of the origin of life on the Earth, developed by the Soviet hiochemist N.A. Oparin, the acience of the origin of man that relies on Engels's hrilliant hypothesis which he expounded in his work The Part Played by Labour in the Transition from Ape to Men and the materialist teaching on the origin and essence of the human mind, especially the theory eleborated by the Soviet psychologist A.N. Leontiev, have shown the insolvency of all attempts made by Wetter and other Neo-Thomiat philosophers to "refute" the dialectice of the transformation of quantitative into the qualitative changes, the role played by leaps and revolutione in the course of the objective development of nature and society. Of lete a trend has eppeared in bourgeois philosophy, which recognises the

^{1.} Cf. F. Engele, Dialectics of Mature, pp. 170-183.

inevitable character of qualitative leaps in the development of acientific knowledge, the leaps being prepared by the accumulation of quantitative changes.

Existentialism

The third trend in modern bourgeois philosophy which strongly "criticisea" materi-

aliat dialectica is axistentialism, which appeared on the acene in the 1920s. One of its founders, the German philosopher Martin Heidegger (1898-1978), wrote in his book Being and Time (1926) that the existence, i.e. man's subjective self-awarenesa, is eternally and variably doomed to "exiat" in the alienated forms of social consciousness imposed from outside. According to existentialism, this is the basis of the sternsl contradiction between man and society, and no progresa, no revolution can rid man of "alienation": the resulte of accial activity have always been conceived by people in a different light, for they have proved to be inimical to human freedom. The French existentialist Joan-Paul Sartre (1921-1979) counterposed to the materialist dialectics of Marxism-Laniniam his theory, which he expounded in his book Critiqua of Dialectical Reeson (Psris, 1960). He accused Marxism of shaolutising and idealising progressive development and pitted against msterialist dislectics his trestment of human alienation as the only source of contradictory development.

Criticiam of Frankfurt School Echoing the ideas of existentialism, the aocial philosophy of the Frankfurt echool

as represented by Herbert Marcuse (1898-1979) and Theodore V. Adorno (1902-1965) tried to evolve the ideology of the New Left movement which stirred up, in the 1960s, a part of the student youth and the intelligenteis in the USA, France, the FRG and some other capitalist countries. In his book Megative Dielectics (1965) Adorno rejected the law of the negation of negation, since it estensibly divorcee the new from the old to a small degree and transfers to the new all the "sins" of an old quality.

In his book One-Dicensional Man (1964), Herbert Marcuse urged the petty-bourgeois intelligentais and the student

penetrate deeper into the original plan of divine creation and comprehend the laws which God need in devicing his crestions, in the world he crested. Today, Neo-Thomien rajects without any recervatione the dialectics of motion and development in nature and society.

The Neo-Thomist critique of dislectice is often referred to by the ideologists of many Christian parties which have become since 1945 the ruling or principal parties of the monpely bourgeoise in some capitaliat countries of Western Europa and Latin America. The came arguments against Marxist philosophy are also advanced by some theorists of opportunism in the working-class movement. They regard any revolution, any leap in the development of nature or society as a disturbance of equilibrium, as a manifestation of the "ill-will" or erroneous decision-making, as disasters. At the seme time such arguments must deny the besic, qualitative difference of the resulte of a leap, the resulte of a revolution from the original quality or state of nature and society.

Meanwhile the modern science has provided a brilliant confirmation and proof of the Marxiet-Leniniet understanding of the law of transformation of quantitative into qualitative changee se a universal objective law of development. The ecience of the origin of life on the Earth, developed by the Soviet biochemiet N.A. Oparin, the ecience of the origin of man that relies on Engels'o brilliant hypothesis which he expounded in hie work The Part Pleyed by Lebour in the Transition from Ape to Men and the meterialist teaching on the origin and easence of the human mind, especially the theory elaborated by the Soviet psychologist A.N. Leontiev, have shown the insolvency of all attempts mede by Wetter and other Neo-Thomist philosophers to "refute" the dialectics of the transformetion of quantitative into the qualitative changes, the role played by leeps and revolutions in the course of the objective development of nature and society. Of 1ste a trend hae eppeared in bourgeois philosophy, which recognises the

^{1.} Cf. F. Engels, Dialectice of Nature, pp. 170-183.

country and s given moment, the denger of dogmetism incresses. And on the other hand, if one inflates national festures, trensient conditions and ignorss or disregards the opsretion of general objective laws of development, then the inevitable poecibility arises for ravisionicm. Both types of mietakes have been registered in certain cases by the Communists of some countries in recent decedes. As for the ruling communist partice of socialist countries, they werned other partice of such danger in the Stetement they edopted at the International Masting of Communict and Workere' Partice held in Moscow in 1957.

The sbeclutisetion or ignoring of both the universal and the particuler, the singuler equally contredicts the methodology of metarislist dialectics and ie bound to lead to mietakee and setbacke in etruggle and to feiluree in guidance. youth to demonstrate a "great refusal" from participating in the life of the "highly developed industrial society" and sbetsin from the organised class strugglo together with, and under the lesdership of, the working-class movement. According to him, the communist party and the trade union movement represent a kind of "alienstion", the restricted freedom of the individual and the subordination of man to his "social role". From these pocitions the existentialists and the followers of the Frankfurt philosophical school slao reject real socialism: these enemies of dialectics present the socialist state, public ownership of the besic means of production and the need for organised, planned and disciplined labour se "new forms of human alienstion".

Thus, the neo-positivist revision of the lsw of the unity and conflict of opposites, the Neo-Thomiet negation of the lsw of the transformation of quentitative into qualitative changes, the existentialist "critique" of the lsw of the negation of negation exprese the hostility of the resctionsry clesees and their ideologists to dislectics and also exert a pornicious influence on the opportunist elements in the working-clase and revolutionary movement. A deep study and masstery of meterialiet dialectics area revolutionaries end their parties in the struggle egainst resctionary forces and opportuniem in their own ranks.

Certein mistakee committed by individual Communist and Workers' parties in their policy at various stages of their activity show that they achieve poor resulto because of the ineufficient mastery of the method of materialist dialectice. This is due to the fact that non-dialectical views are epistemologically rooted in the contradictione of the process of cognition. As the world communiet movement and the world socialist system grow and gather in strength, the conditions in which Communists struggle and work become more end more varied. In this context, of special importance for the Communists in all countries is the dialectics of the general laws of development and of the specific festuree of their operation and manifestation in every country at different development stages. If one sheolutises the general laws of revolutionary etruggle and underestimates the specifics of a given

The founders of Marxism-Leniniem were invariably concerned with the question of knowledge in their theoretical works. The solution of these questions became most imperative at the turn of the 20th century following the revolution in physics and the crisis experienced by its methodological foundations. In his book Materialism and Empirio-Criticism Lenin provided the enswers to the questions in the theory of knowledge, elaborated the fundamental propositions of the theory of knowledge in Marxist philosophy and gave a comprehensive treatment of the theory of knowledge as the theory of reflection.

The advantages of Marxist-Leninist epistemology are due to the socio-class basis of the Merxiet-Leninist world outlook, and the unique prectical function it fulfils in the struggle for remaking society and men himself.

The creative cognitive activity of the hroad masses of working people led by the working class and its perty gains momentum under socialism which makes it possible to combine the edventages of socialism with the achievements of the scientific and technological revolution.

The basic question of philosophy es trested in Marxist philosophy applies not to an individual aspect of interaction between consciousness and the external object but to the interaction between matter and consciousness in general.

Essence of Cognition

The point of departure of Marxist-Leninist epistemology is the principle that cogniti-

on is part and parcel of people's eggregate activity aimed et remaking nature, society and human personality. Since this activity is socio-historical in its essence, then cognition which is its integral part is also social in nature. Marx, Engels and Lemin uphold this thesis in their struggle against all kinds of conceptions founded on the hiesed interpretation of the seeence of the cognitive process. Prs-Marxian materialists sought the essence of the cognitive process in the influence exerted by objects of neture on man's sense organs. Though this influence is objective reality (we shall see leter on thet Marxist-Leninist epistenology takes this fect into secount and explains it), it does not make the

Chapter III

THE DIALECTICS OF THE PROCESS OF COGNITION

Elaboration of the probleme pertaining to cognition acquires today major importance since the objects of cognition now embrace such complex natural systems as the inner-atomic structure and the biosphere while social cognition is designed to study the contemporary capitalist and eocialist societies. The specifics of human society as compared with any other self-contained material system lie in its mode of heing. The essence of social phenomena cannot be cognised without the kmowledge and application of the acientific methodology of cognition.

The revolutionary ectivity of the working class and its party proves most effective when it relice on the Merxist-Leniniat theory of knowledge of the socio-hietorical process.

What ie the easence of cognition? Whet are the main characteristice of the subject and object of cognition? What are the apecifice of acientific cognition and ite methods?

1. The Essence of Cognition. Object and Subject of Cognition

From time immemorial philosophy has tackled not only the question of what is the world in which men lives and acts like, but also of how man cognises this world. The ability of each man to cognise the surrounding world depends on the concrete accial historical conditions of the period, general regularities in the process of cognition, the cherecter and specific festures of his cognitive ectivity, and on the interpersonal communication in the process of cognition, easimilation end dissemination of the ecquired knowledge and objective truths.

Philosophy has long incorporated a special discipline — the theory of knowledge which is also called epistemology (from Greek episteme — knowledge).1

Sometimes the term "gnoseology" is also used (from Greek gnosis -- knowledge, end logos -- doctrine).

historical activity of past and present generations, the explanation it provides for the cognitive process promotes the growth of consciousness of the masses — the decisive force of a revolutionary remaking of society.

The understanding of cognition as a process which is socio-historical in its essence provides a theoretical basis for explaining its most important aspects and components.

During his vital activity man confronts various natural of Cognition things, phenomena, and processes which he strives to transform, utilise and comprehend. Man anters into diverse social relationships with other psople and, naturally, strives to apprehend the essence of these relationships, their origins and mechanisms of their change. Finelly, from time immemorial man atrives to comprehend himself, and to ascertain the laws, mechanisms and principles of consciousness, thought and cognition.

Cognition, being insepsrably linked with sctivity, is directed at the natural and social world surrounding people, and at their own inner world. Epistemology regards as the objects of cognition all the phenomena, processes and relationships coming within the range of human knowledge.

The objects of cognition are numerous and diverse, and undergo perpetual changs. Despite the sesmingly boundless diversity of the cognisable world, we may identify three basic types of the objects of cognition.

First, these are the things, phenonens and processes of neture, and nature in its unity and integrity. Within this type we should distinguish between the natural naterial environment proper, i.e. nature which hee not yet been brought into the orbit of man's influence, and substances and objects of nature which have already entered the sphere of human ectivity and have been transformed by man.

The second type comprises diverse social relationships, processes and phenonena of social life, and integral social organisms, society as a whole, and the historical processe of its development and trensformation.

The third object of cognition is ran himself, his origin, evolution in the surrounding reslity, his inner world, cos-

essence of the process of cognition. Quite the opposite, this fact and man's sensory activity as a whole cannot be scientifically substantiated unless we take into consideration the socio-historical nature and the activa character of the interaction between man the knower and the surrounding reality. Marx wrote: "The chiaf defect of all hitherto existing materialism — that of Feuerbach included — is that the thing /Gegenetand/, reality, sensuousness, is conceived only in the form of the object /Object/ or of contemplation /Anach-auung/, but not es human sensuous activity, practica, not subjectively."

Traating the dependence of the subject on the object as the absolute, pre-Marxian materialiats arrived to the denial of man's active spiritual creativity. The point is not that Marxian recognises the connection of knowledge with practice-Materialists racognised this connection long before the eppsarance of Marx's theory. The main point here is how the samence of connection between knowledge end prectice is interpreted. According to Marxian, man's practical and cognitive ectivities ere interlinked and simultaneously do not coincide, being somewhet independent and possessing specific distinctions.

Idealists ignored, still more than pre-Marxian msterielists, the connection of the cognitive process with practice, with the actual development of society and history. Alleging that cognition develops exclusively under the influence of spiritual stimuli, idealism is interested mainly in the intellectual form of activity disminating the actual, mansory ectivity.

Providing a comprehensive treatment of cognition as a socio-historical process, Marxist-Leminiat epiatemology not only explains it theoretically but also fulfils a major practical function. Since Marxist epistamology regards cognition in its insaparable unity with the transforming accio-

K. Marx, "Thases on Feuarbach", in: K.Marx and F.Engels, Selected Works in three volumes, Vol. 1, p. 13.

By regarding nature as the object of cognition and activity, dislactical materialist epistemology refutes both idealism and metaphysical (i.e. anti-dislectical) materialism. It is also directed against agnosticism, i.e., against conceptions denying any possibility of cognising reality. Foreign Marxist-Leninist philosophers uphold this proposition in their struggle against idealist epistemologists and crestively apply it in their social research.

Repudistion of msterialism and dislectics often leads to sgnosticism, and to scepticism and subjectivism. It is not sccidents! that in the conceptions hostile to Marxism, for instance, propounded by existentialists, idealism leads to s pessimistic appraisal of prospects of human social activity, which in turn is closely linked with regarding nature as an alien and dangerous medium, inaccessible to man, within which dialectics is inapplicable (as claimed by the French philosopher Jean-Paul Sartre).

The bourgeois and revisionist conceptions of "cognitive pluralism" which have become widespresd in recent years are based on the similar principle of a break-up of the interconnection between the objects of cognition belonging to connection between the objects of cognition belonging to c

Marxist-Leninist epistemology trests the objects of cognition as the closely interlinked aspects of unified revolutionary transforming activity, embracing knowledge and alteration of the natural world, a revolutionary transformetration of the social world, and changes man himself undergoes.

This, however, does not mean that the specific features of each type of the objects of cognition are ignored. On the contrary, Marxist-Leninist epistemology draws particular ettention to the specifics of the human cognition of social phenomena, identifying them as the accord type of the objects of cognition.

In cognising notial relations two aspects should be taken into consideration. On the one hand, social relation-taken into consideration. On the one hand, social relationships exist outside and independently of human consciousness, ships exist outside and independently of human consciousness, ships exist outside and a i.e., they are objectively "given" to an individual and a

sciousness, laws of cognitive activity and its rasults.

Whereas Marxist-Leninist philosophy as a whole reveals the most general laws of the development of nature, sociaty and human thought, the theory of knowledge aims to disclose the laws and machanisms ragulating tha cognition of these main spheres of reality. A differentiated study of the various types of cognisable objects is of prime importance for the revolutionary transforming activity, for nature, society and consciousness possess their own unique features. To influence and cognise them one should take into account the specific features of objects and phenomena pertaining to each of these spheres.

The matarial objects and processas of nature always precede the process of cognition and are "given" to the knower. This was justly streased by the pre-Marxian materialists. They, however, failed to see the connection of the matarial objects of cognition with the preceding and contamporary stages in man's practical transforming activity. Hers lies the basic limitation of metsphysical materialiam.

Man starts cognition and conquest of virgin natura by making use of tha matarial of "second nature", i.e. tha objects he has created himself, instruments and means of labour, in particular. Therefore the real basis of knowledge is made up not of the material objects of nature proper but the material objects inapparably linked with man's practical transforming activity.

All pre-Marxian philosophies disregarded the objective practical ectivity aimed at the creation and alteration of meterial things. Hance the assential conclusion: pre-Marxian philosophers, both materialists and ideelists, ware unable to scientifically comprehend the unity of the process of cognition and practical activity, and, therefore, failed to understand the significance of material practical activity in sociaty and in cognition.

Defining the fundamental distinction of Marxist epistamology from all previous theories of knowledge, Lenin underlined that it was Marx who had introduced practice into the theory of knowledge.

It should be borne in mind, however, that not all men'a mental attees are registered immediately in his conscioneness at the given moment. Practical activity and everyday life do not always call for completely conscions forms of man's behaviour. Some ections which heve taken shape in man's previous practice are performed somewhat automatically and in this eemse "unconscioualy." The problem of the unconscious hes always been a subject of sharp controversy in philosophy. Some philosophical schools such as Freudianian and neo-Freudianiam exaggerate and sbaolutiae the role of the unconscious in humen life. In the science of man the sphere of the unconscious, alongside the conscions actions and motivations, also becomes the object of scientific cognition and comprehension.

The revolutionary atruggle of the proletariat today demands a differentiated approach to the groupe and atrata allying with the working claes in ite etruggle for peace and social progress. An eccential element of such an analysis is a circumetantial account of the proceeess taking place in human consciousness: diseastiefaction and protect, deeires, demands and expectations which are incompatible with the capitaliet social conditions. Such ideas and sentiments should be carefully considered and utilized, and be influenced in the spirit of Marxism-Leniniem. This implies that the working people's thoughts, feelings, idees, sentiments and expectations make a specific and essential object of cognition.

The ideelieed objects of cognition include not only the living ectual coneciousnese, mental processes, but also the resulte of this ectivity which often exist independently of individuals and their conacioueness. The result of human cognitive activity is Monwledge expressed in the system of notione, ideas and conceptione. Monwledge accumulated by mankind in the course of social historical prectice and in the form of certain information (expressed in words, concepts, skills and products of culture) handed down to subsequent generations becomes an indispensable procondition for the further cognitive and practical activity. The process of secimilating knowledge may be directly included in people's practical activity. Heanwhile with the despening and enrich-

class se a prerequisite of their trensforming sctivity. For this resson, while cognising and transforming eccial relationshipe we should take into account the objective regularities of their development, their independence of the human conscionences.

On the other hand, eocial phenomena in some way or another incorporate the results and forms of people's conscions sctivity; people's conscioneness, will, sctions, opinions, ideas and goals are embodied in them. Therefore, not only alteration and transformation but also the knowledge of social reslationships are unthinkable without due account of the relevant changes in man's inner world, that is, the world of attitudes, goals, values and ideologies.

Hence another requisite of Marxist-Leninist epistemology: in social cognition of grest importance is eocio-historical and ideological position of those involved in cognition (individuale, parties, cleeses). In some ceess this position promotes cognition presupposing s possibility and sometimes e necessity of the objective knowledge of social phenomena. In other ceese the eocio-historical position of claeses, perties and individuels impedes or makes impossible profound, objective understanding of certein social phenomena and processee, which is characteristic of the resctionary parties and claeses seeking to preserve the outdated social relationships.

In the process of social hietorical practics man concidere hie position in the world, his activity, and the etste and content of his consciousness using the knowledge to control his behaviour. This is sslf-swareness, which means that the consciousness of an individual and that of the peopls surrounding him become the object of cognition. It also includes the content and orientation of consciousness of an individual, social groupe, eocisty as a whole, end the correlation of the various elements of consciousness such es sentiments, feelings, desires, opinions (also the ideas and conceptions explaining consciouenese). The stitude of the individual, cless and society to certain phenomena and processes occurring in objective reality elso makes the object of cognition.

activity. Rejecting idealism which dismisses the natural biological characteristics of the subject of cognition, the dialectical-msterialist theory of knowledge opposes the various forms of biologism, which sees the sole and decisive essence of cognition in the biological factors. Representing the highest product of natural avolution, consciousness, quite naturally. "agrees" with nature which has given hirth to it: first, it obeys the most general laws like the rest of nsture; second, it can, in principle, accure man's life, development and functioning in the natural environment. Here lies the general precondition for the unity of subject and object, thinking and being, man and nature, the understanding of which distinguishes materialist dislectics from all forms of idealism. Human activity knows neither the primacy of the hiological factors nor the dualism of the natural and "purely human", man's natural and accio-historical charectaristics. Msn's "nature", his hiological functions and needs are formed and considerably transformed under the impact of people's socio-historical activity.

Man ss the subject of socio-historical process and, consequently, as the subject of cognition is, undoubtedly, a natural being which thinks and cognisss with the aid of his brain, nervous system and sense organs. Man'e brain, nervous system and sense organs have emerged and developed in the process of historical development, i.e., in the process of formation of man as a socio-historical being. Therefore, man's natural characteristics are inseparable from his sociohistorical development.

The fact that the machanians regulating human activity and cognition are determined by socio-historical factors is particularly evident under the conditions of modern production, in the context of the acientific and tachnological revolutions. People always make use of and transform the products which in crystallised form reflect the knowledge and experience of many human generations. Man "inherits" the material objects which represent either the result of labour, industrial activity of various generations or the unique products of culture and artistic activity. He inherits the language created and developed by many generations of people,

ment of cognition its products -- knowledge, information -become themselves the object of cognition. Particular cognitive activity is consciously directed at a specific study of knowledge itself.

<u>Knowledge</u> is a special type of the idealissd results of the development of human cognition, an outcome and precondition for the development of intellectual culture of mankind. Representing the result of some cognitive processes, knowledge then turns into a precondition, an object of man's subsequent practical and cognitive activity.

So far we have considered the interaction of subject and object in terms of the object of cognition. Now we must consider this unity and interconnection in terms of the subject of cognition, i.s., to study the specifics of nan's activity, since it is usen who sets as the subject of cognition.

Subject of Cognition

The prime characteristic of the subject of cognition in Marxiet-Leninist epistemo-

logy is simple and clear: the knower is a concrete, real ran who lives and acts in the concrete historical conditions. The besic precondition for the naterialist understanding of history and, consequently, for the teaching on sociohistorical essence of the process of cognition "are men, not in any fantastic isolation and fixity, but in their actual, empirically perceptible process of development under definite conditions." This thesis is highly important for refuting the religious mysticism and various forms of idealism according to which the "true" subject of cognition is either God, or the mystical "absolute spirit" or a certain abstract essence, having no concrete human needs, no social and individual attributes.

The subject of human cognition is man, Homo Sapiens. He is part of nature, the product of its evolution. The connection of man with nature is essential for the theory of knowledge. Marxist-Leninist epistemology, however, does not regard the biological, natural factors as decisive in man's cognitive

K. Msrx and F. Engels, "The Germen Ideology", in Collected Works, Vol. 5, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1976, p. 37-

knowledge, and on the educational level of the broad masses of working people. The revolution in science and technology could not be realised through tha efforts of researchers alone and activation of the procass of cognition and epplication of scientific knowledge in all the spheres of life had become a nationwide task.

In summation, Merxist-Leninist epietemology regards the process of cognition as a process of interaction between people, incorporating their practical interaction with the object of cognition. The regularities of this process are studied by that branch of epistsnology which, proceeding from the formerly astablished characteristics of the object and subject of cognition, traces the process of cognition proper.

2. The Procees of Cognition end Prectice

In its analysis of the dialectics of the procese of cognition Marxist-Leninist epistemology first of all esteblishes and examines its point of departure, the source of cognitive activity. As has been mentioned earlier, the theory of know-lodge of dialectical materialism rejects s contemplative interpretation of cognitive activity based on the assumption that the objects and processes of nature are "given" to men in isoletion from his sense organs. This thesis is the premiee of studying eensory cognition.

Sensory Cognition

Sensory cognition is the prime eource of cognition. Stressing thie espect, Merxist-Len-

iniet epietenology coneietently followe the materialist line in philocophy: it accortains that the immediate interaction of nan and menkind with the surrounding reality is of fundementel import for the development of the procees of cognition. At the sems time the Marxist-Leninist theory of knowledge, unlike contemplative naterialism, does not regard eensory cognition es an independent stega within which there "exist", in an isoleted form, first sansstione, then perceptions and representations, and only then — "rational" means of cognition embodied in thought and its products. Actually, sensory cognition in the real process of men's vital activity is inseparably linked with practice, namely, with the formation

and other systems of signs and symbols related to language. In the course of cognitive and practical social activity its subjects — individuals, classes and perties — proceed from the preconditions created by the previous history and at the same time solve the new topical tasks advanced by their epoch from different positions.

The subject and his goals arising fron the character of eccial relationships may in different ways influence the cognitive process and its results. Some goals stimulate man to creats an idealised model which adequentely reflects the object under study, others bar the true knowledge of its easence. This negative phenomenon is characteristic of the capitalist society. Marx wrote: "It was thenceforth no longer a question, whether this theorem or that was true, but whether it was useful to capital or hermful, expedient or inexpedient, politically dangerous or not. In place of disinterested inquirers, there were hired prize-fighters; in plecs of genuine scientifio research, the bad consciences and the evil intent of spologatic."

In the periods of rsvolutionary transformations the social activeness of the nesses heightens, which always promotes the awakening of their awarensss, and the growth of their class consciousness and political maturity. During revolutionary npheevals the working assess have elways heen an ective force espehle of overthrowing an obsolete social system.

Under the scientific and technological revolution the procsss of cognition has some distinctions as compared with previous epochs.

First of all, we chould etress the immediate interdependence of production proceee, eocial activity and a huge amount of know-how deliberately orientated to solve practical tasks. This, in turn, means that the auccessful performance of all involved in production (in accialist acciety the activity of each citizen) in the decisive measure depends on an active realisation of the cognitive procees, acquisition of

Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, Progreea Publishere, Moacow, 1965, p. 15.

Dialectical natorialism and subjective idealism dismetrically differ in their interpretation of the significance of sensations. The meterialist etresses the objectivity of the source of sensations and those connections of sn object that find their expression in sensetions; he deems it necessary to thoroughly investigate the complex dialectics of objective and subjective factors in the formation and functioning of sensations. The subjective idealist, on the contrary, sbsolutises the subjective neture of an image evoked by sensetions, interpreting it as a one-sided dependence of sensations on the inner state of the subject.

Regarding seamsations as the reflection of objective reality, Marxist-Leninist epistemology is equally incompatible with "naive idealism". Its adherents assume that sensatione provide a mirror-like reflection of an object, free of subjective moments, thus indentifying image and object.

The dielectics of the interrelationship of the objective and the subjective discloses that the subjective nature of an image does not imply only the fact that it lies in the human consciousness. Subjective moments are inherent in the content of a sensory image. Since any object possesses a multitude of properties, our sense organs can reflect only a few of these properties, which means that the decisive fector here is the structure of man's sense organs determining which of the properties of objective reality are reflected in the sensations of e given man and which are not.

Sensations do not exist outside the integral imaginal reflection of a certain object. Though thanks to our sense organs we perceive the spatial form, colour, sound and smell, we simultaneously experience a sensory urge to synthesize our sensations, which turns then into a perception. The specific property of perception is as follows: due to perceptions an object is "given" to our consciousness in its integral objective form, i.e., in the form of objective entity, independent of conscioueness.

The term <u>perception</u> definee the process of creeting an integral image of the meterial object which is under direct observation. Perception is en ective process involving some creative moments. Owing to the repeated operation of perceptive mechanisms.

and materialisation of goals, with thought and language. Sensory cognition, however, possesses some unique features which become particularly avidant whan it is compered with tha processes occurring in the theoretical activity, with abstract thinking. This is, to use Lamin's words, "living contemplation" where e special rols belongs to the constant, direct or madieted, contects of cognising subjects with the material objects and phenomena, man's active influence on the surrounding materiel world with e purpose of its remaking. The interaction between objects of natura and man's sense organa constitutes an elamant, en aspect of this process, but this intersction is not to be divorced from man's practical sctivity and regarded as an independent process, sa was the case with pre-Markian meterialism. Dialectical materialism overcomes the metaphysical interpretation of sensory cognition which is reduced to naturelism and biologism.

It should be borne in mind that the elements and machanisms of sensory cognition identified by the dialecticalmaterialist epistamology do not exist in isolation from man's active sensory and practical activity which is socio-historical in its easence.

"The first pramiss of the theory of knowledge," Lenin wrote, "undoubtedly is that the sols source of our knowledge is sensation." Sensation is the simplestand prime element of sensory cognition and the human consciousness in general.

In his work <u>Materialiss</u> end <u>Empirio-Criticiss</u> Lenin, soong meny other characteristics, underlined the definition of a sensation as a subjective image of the objective world. An image means the idealised reflection in consciousness of the moterial object which exists outside it. Sensetion, consequently, is the <u>subjective</u>, idealised image of an object since it reflects the influence exerted by the object through the "priss" of human consciousness. Esnsation is subjective in form but objective in content, for it is a copy, a "photograph" of objectively sxisting objects and phenomens.

V.I. Lenin, "Natarialism and Empirio-Criticism", Collected Works, Vol. 14, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1977, p. 126.

colonialian", "imperialian" and the like are always associated with definite images representations and amotions. The whole system of human communication and information (nowadays it is the mass media) functions by means of certain signs, symbols and images.

The forme of reflection beard on sensory images in their entirety form the cardinal elementa of cognition which gain a universal aignificance.

There is another assential element of human sensory perception, inherent in men slone. Men can visually imagine not only what he has seen himself. A major part of his asmacry experience includes images derived from descriptions made by others.

This ability, characteristic of man alons, to assimilate and transfer the general human experience has become nearly boundless, which is clearly connected with the universal significance of language.

The role of language in cognition in general and in aensory cognition, in particular, is incenses. Suffice it to say that a fully devaloped person whose sense organs come into contact with a cartain material object has already mastered speech and, comesquently, can operate with concepts which along with the forms of language result from the accumulation and generalisation of previous historical experience. "Every word (speech) already universalisas", wrote Lemin. This fact assentially influences the aschanism and results of sensory cognition determining the specifically human functioning of sense organs.

Every man, thanks to his ability to speak, in his daily lifs reliss on the centuries-old experience in "processing" the sensory dets obtained from his direct contacts with objects, phenomens and facts.

V.I. Lenin, "Conspectus of Hegel's Book Lactures on the History of Philosophy", Collected Works, Vol. 38, Progress Publishers, Hoscow, 1972, p. 274.

niems we are capable of retaining in our memory the integral image of an object which ie not under immediate observation. In this cess we deal with a much more complex form of sensory cognition which is called representation. Representatione provide a possibility to discard eccidental, non-hasic feetures of an object end retain the essential and necessary once.

Thne, the mein elements of sensory cognition ere eeneetione, perceptions end representations.

The word "feeling" has another meaning: it expresees such sections (sentiments, expariences, pessions) essential end typical of man as angar, feer, love and hetrod, sympathy and disliks, pleasure and displessure. Emotions are a complex form of human ceneuality closely linked with prectice, with human culture and education.

Emotions ere an active expression of man's attitude to e certein phenomenon always comprising, in an open or disguised form, an element of appraisal and is linked with such notions as "good", "kind", "bad", "evil", "just" or "unjust", "becutiful" or "ugly", etc. In modern literature these notions are often termed values. The concepts of good and justice ere characteristic not only of individuals but of whole historical epochs' reflecting the world outlook of social groups and classes.

Thue, <u>eensations</u>, <u>perceptions</u>, <u>representations</u> and <u>emotions</u> nake up the elements and <u>mechaniene</u> of eeneory activity and sensory cognition. It should be atreened once more that in the actual process of cognition they do not exist in isolation from one another with perceptions following eenestione and so on. The actual eensory cognition intertangled with the practical transformation of the material world is a complex synthetic unity of the shove-mentioned nechanisms of the imaginal reflection of reality which are inseparably connected at the eens time with forms of thought ectivity.

The forms of reflection headd on eensory images in all the spheres of people's life and activity are interlinked with man's overall orientation in his practical activity, including his socio-political activity. Such essential idess as "homeland", "native country", "one's own class", "the poor", "the rich", "the workers", "the cepitalists", "nso-

formulate the interconnections between concepts whose meaning is revealed in the coursa of prolonged socio-historical process of cognition. Judgements, in turn, are an element of inference. One example of inference: "The capitalist society is a society charactsrised by social, national and racial oppression. The USA is a capitalist country. Therefore, social, national and racial oppression is inherent in the USA." Such judgements and inference logically formulate the complex process of cognitive activity, with every man accumulating the cognitive experience of many generations. This is true not only of the above examples of judgement and inference also of the simplest judgements and inferences used in sveryday practice. To formulate such a judgement as "stona can be used in house construction" peopls had to repeatedly verify it in the process of their practical activity. For this reason Lenin, speaking of thess forms of thought studied by logic (and called "logical forms"), streeged that man's practical activity thousands of millions of times led to the repetition of these forms, turning them into a kind of axiom. To form concepts, judgements and inferences one has to "single out" separate sspects of an integral object, and subject then to study. Concepts help to mentally reproduce an integral object. The results of seneory experience are not discarded in the process but brought into neceesary inner accord with each other and with the idealised properties.

In summation, Marxist-Leninist spistemology thoroughly investigates the complex dialectics of interrelationships hetween the sensory and rational, logical, slements in the process of cognition. It reveals the means by which the process contradiction of knowledge at each stage of human cognition is colved.

The dielectical-materialist theory of knowledge proceeds from the fact that the interacting seneory cognition and conceptual thinking are inaeparehle from man's prectical ectivity heing socio-historical in neture. It is this prenies of the Marxist theory of knowledge that makes it essential for theoretical comprehension of the revolutionary activity of the proletariat and its perty. Marxiat-Leniniet epictenology wee the first to make a special end systematic study of

Rational and Sensory Cognition

Philosophy has long ego singled ont "rational"

(or "logical") forms and modes of cognition which include concepts expressed in language, and the application of concepts in making judgements and inferences, in presenting proofs, in working out theories, etc.

Concepts are the forms of cognition embodied in words and expressing the universal essential properties and relationships of objects and phenomens. Human cognition is impossible without concepts. Every nen in each generation would have to describe, compare and express in words each concrete thing, fact or phenomenon anaw unloss such generalised forms of thought have not been elshorated in the course of protracted historical process of human cognition. Operating with concepts, we in a concentrated form eccumulate and make use of the knowledge attained by the centurios-long practical experience of menkind.

Rumen concepts result from the historical experience of cartein communities of peoplo, classes and social groups. Assimilation by sn individual or a human generation of the eotehliehed concepts and the role those concepts play in their consciousness and activity ectually depend on all direct contacts of people with objective reality. In the course of these contacts concepts end ideas are repestedly and comproheneivoly verified, with their content being enriched and, if necessary, filled out with a new msening. Moreover, concepta acquire their ectual meaning when the poseibility of their practical application is apprehended by people. Concepts are ineepareble from the complex proceae of the sensory practical activity of many generations. It is through the constant comparison with concrete objects, phenomene and reletionships, and with their regularities that concepts acquire the objective aignificance for great numbers of people.

Concepts are used, as a rule, within the framework of a rationel (logical) form - judgmeent. For instance, the concept of "imperialism" in Merkist philosophy appears in such judgmeents as "imperialism is the last stage of cepitalism, the eve of socieliet revolution". Judgments express and

indispensable for understanding the interrelationships of subject and object, and the process of cognition. It is on this theoretical premise that Marx, Engels and Lenin introduced the criterion of practica into the theory of knowledge.

The theoretical and methodological provision of the Marxiat teaching of practica underlie practically every branch of the theory of knowledge.

A special rola in epiatemology belongs to the unity of theory and practice, the latter regarded as the basis of knowledge and the criterion of truth in relation to theoretical cognition.

Theoretical Activity

In the process of historical development a special type of activity takes

chape which is simed at the formation and change of knowledge, i.e., formetion and change of concepts, ideaa and theoriee. Consequently, in the process of the accial division of labour there appears a special type of activity which is ultimately designed to practically utilise and alter the material world, nature and acciaty, but its main end immediate task is to produce theoretical knowledge (as well as to store, sccumulste, transfer and disseminate knowledge, and teach it). This is the sctivity designated to produce general concepts, ideas and principles and organised in society into a spacific procees. Mark calle this process intellectual production implying the special functions of certain groupe of people to produce and assimilate the systems of ecientific knowledge, to work out various theoretical and ideological, ethical end scethetic conceptione, and to create works of literature and ert.

Within the intellectuel production a special plece belongs to fundamental, theoretical research in acience.

The attention Marxiet philosophy paye to the specific problems involved in theoretical activity is primarily explained by the task Marxiam-Leniniam ast before itself, that is, to turn eccialism from ntopia into a scientific theory and to combine the revolutionary practice of the massas with revolutionary theory. Substantiations the unity of theory and

the connection between knowledge and practics.

The Concept of Practice

The concept of "practice" is of major importance in Marxist-Laminist philoso-

phy. In the general philosophical sense "practice" means the totality of various forms of man'e sensory objective activity designed to transform the externel matter all world — nature and society. "Practical activity" in this sense includes first of all the material production activity. Another essential form of practice is the revolutionary activity sined at a radical change of society, at the actual transformation of social reletionships and the individual. Among the forms of "global practice" are the atruggle between the two world systems — socialism and cepitalien, and the world revolutionary process.

The meaning of the concept of "practice" ("practical ectivity") is more graphically revealed when compared with the concept of "theory" ("theoretical activity") which implies the intellectual cognitive setivity as a whols. "Practice" ("practical activity") is directed at the actual natural and seciel world surrounding ran and eimed at transferring it, whereas "theory" ("theoretical activity") has to deal with the idealised objecte, that ie, knewledge, theories, ideas and conceptions. It is in this sense that Marxism proclaims its these on the unity of theory and practice.

The criterion of the practice to be introduced into the theory of knowledge presupposes the unity of a number of sspects.

As Lenin etreesed, human practice is the key point in the Marxist theory. The problem of practice is formulated and colved at various "levela" of the Marxist theory: primarily, within the framework of historical materialiem (in its doctrine of production, the significance of material sotivity and material reletionships, in its theory of revolutionary practice, etc.); within the philosophical teaching of man, his essence, the import of labour in personality formation and development; and in the dialectical-materialist conception of the essence of human activity. The dialectical materialist theory of mowledge proceeds from these ideas and onceptions to draw concrete theoretical and methodological conclusions

and ite etriving to conquor nature, as the idealists asserted.

Thus, within this division into "theory" and practice" the concept of "theory" embraces the results and precondition of an extensive ranga of intellectual activity: ideological systems, ecientific conceptions, concepts and methods taken in their entirety. Epistamology, since it is specifically concerned with scientific knowledge alone, sometimee treats the concept of "theory" in a much narrower sense. In thie case "theory" and "theoretical activity" are distinguished from the level of scientific knowledge which is called empirical scientific knowledge, the latter being contrasted to theoretical scientific knowledge proper. Theoretical knowledge combines concepta and formulas into a more or less integral argumentativa ecientific conception which formulates the laws of a rather wide field of raality. Both levele in ecientific knowledge, particularly in best developed branches of ecience, are in principle, and tend to be, closely interconnected, with the experiment, the basis of empirical cognition, approximating practice and applied knowledge needed for production.

Unity of Theory and Practica Marxist-Leninist philosophy, introducing the concept of practice into the theory of knowledge, regarde it writerion of truth.

as the basis of knowledge and the critarion of truth.

To treat practice as tha basis of knowledge maans, first of all, to baliave that the historically specific activity (including research activity) emerged on the hasis and thanks to the activity aimed at the practical exploration of the surrounding world, i.a., thanks to the naterial production surrounding world, i.a., thanks to the naterial production and the revolutionary transforming practice. Practice is the hasis of knowledge, for it is the practical requirements of hasis of knowledge, for it is the practical requirements of social being that pose cognitive problems, stimulate the social being that pose cognitive problems, stimulate the in the course of the latter's development "challangs" the in the course of the latter's development "challangs" the intellectual production (including science). Revolutionary intellectual production (including science) in the naterial upheavals and shifts in historical practice, in the naterial activity and social relationships have always provided the

practice and understanding of its objective character provide a theoretical hasis for comprehending a possibility of an alliance between the proletariat engaged in the sphere of actual production activity and those strats of the working people (including the progressive intelligentsia) who are engaged in theoretical activity.

During the revolution in science and technology the solution of the problem of correlation between theory and practice, in this case of its apistemological aspect, acquires special significance, both practical and theoretical. Control over the development of "theory" (in the fields of ideology, politics, acientific knowledge) should involve the whole of society. Moreover, under socialism it is possible and necessary to control this process on a planned, scientific hasia. The unity of "theory" and "practice" in the epoch of the acientific and technological revolution is therefore much closer and many-aided than in previous historical periods. This unity, however, is based on distinguishing between theory and practice. Today, as never before, we should have an eccurate and comprehensive understanding of the specifice involved in "theory", theoretical activity, particularly in acientific research. On its part, epistemology studies the cognitive aspects of this activity.

The specifica of theoretical activity are determined hy ita function of producing specialised knowledge. This activity is deeigned to obtain new objective, argumentative and verified knowledge of the escential law-governed relationships of investigated objects, phenomens and processes. The purpose of science and theoretical activity is to obtain new true knowledge, and to constantly verify the formerly attsined scientific truths and include them into the new systems of theoretical explanation.

The sppearance of theoretical sctivity and the emergence of ever new fields within its domain testify to the existence of aerious, still unresolved problems in practical sctivity, in social development and cognition. It was under the impact of the requirements of production and social development that various scientific disciplines took shape, and not only of the inner requirements of the self-developing spirit tion and application of truths are of vital importance for an activation of the revolutionary process and the masses' purposeful activity.

Msrx, Engsls and Lenin in their theory of truth proceed from the basic premiss of materialist philosophy as a whole: the prime and assential pracondition of the objectivity of truth is the existence of reality and its laws outside and indapendantly of the consciousness of the knower. The concept of "objective truth" first of all denotes the spacial outcome of the dialactical interaction between subject and object, a reality independent of man and his cognitive activity.

Defining the objective truth, Lenin poses the question along the above lines: "Is there such a thing as objective truth, that is, can human idess have a content that does not depend on a subject, that does not depend either on a human being or on humanity?"

One often comes across wrong interpretations or open dietortions of this definition which is of fundamental import in the Marxist-Leninist theory. Thus, neo-Thomist critics of dialectical materialism promptly claim that Lanin's definition "follows" the spirit of religious philosophy which also ssserts that truth does not depend either on the individual or on mankind as a whole. Indeed, neo-Thomists reject subjective idealiem and recogniee a definite rola of sensory cognition and the fact that sensations are the images of things. But they see the object of rational cognition se the general, acting as an easence which can be known only by the intellect and which is ultimately e product of the spirit. Thue, they divorce seneory cognition from retional cognition, regarding the perticular as the aenaorially perceived world and the general as the auperaensory, intellectual world. So, neo-Thomiats refuse to acknowledge that Lenin defines truth primarily as the content of human ideas. There is no such thing ee "euperrational truth", any such interpretation inevitebly leede to the ideeliet end religious myeticiem. To

V.I. Lenin, "Materielies and Empirio-Criticies", Collected Worke, Vol. 14, p. 122.

more powerful impetus to the development of eciences and sll the branches of intellectual production.

As the basis of knowledge and in this cense e vehicle of the development of sciencs (and the development of the intellectual production as a whole), practice thereby presents a natural "experimental base", e "trial ground" for testing, verifying and trensforming a vast amount of data obtained by the specialised intellectual activity. Since practice is the point of departure in, and e stimulus to, the development of human cognition in general and theoretical cognition, in particular, epistemology regards it as the hasis of knowledge. Practice is ultimately the universal decisive criterion of the truth of obtained knowledge. In this sense it is a testing stags of any true knowledge. To comprehend this aspect of practice we should sacertain how Marxist-Leninist epistemology trasts the problem of truth.

The leminist understanding of truth as e process is linked with the dielectics of subject and object. The problem of truth, according to Lemin, is a problem of dialectical logic.

Cognition goes beyond the confines of the sensory content each time the reality is reproduced through the socially mesningful image, i.e., in the system of language, logical cotegories, etc.

Theory of Truth Truth is one of the most important elements in human culture. What are the epecifics of truth?

Truth ie the knowledge which corresponds to the seential connections and regularities of objective reslity. Due to the process of its obtaining, clerifying and utilising, true knowledge is inseparably linked with the social historical practice, and with the process of cognition which is social in nature.

Under the ecientific and technological revolution, the conversion of science into e direct productive force, and intensive application of objective knowledge in all spheres of nan's vital activity the problem of truth, ever of greet prectical significance, becomes increasingly topical. Acquisi-

on. This is, to use Engels' words, "...the contradiction between man's inherently unlimited capacity for knowledge and its sctual presence only in men who are externally limited and possess limited cognition..." This contradiction is not only soluble in principle but it is sctually solved in the course of human history.

Lenin aubjected to criticiam philosophical relativism basing himself methodologically on the theory of the absolute and relative character of trus knowledge. The concept of "absolute truth", as seen by Marxism-Leninism, has the following content: recognition of "man's inherently unlimited cspacity for knowledge" and the perpetual, sacending movement of mankind to new truths which, combined with the previously accumulated knowledge, immessurably expend the horizon of the cognisable environment. Lenin streases that "for dislactical materialiam there is no impassable boundary between relative and absolute truth."

The understanding of the dislectics of the cognitive process sllows to sacertain the role of error in it. Scientific theory provides a reflection of the reality that is essentislly but not completely true. Even in the most ideal case it is limited at least by the level of its own development. Therefore, the reflection of the reality in concepta and representations is also a distortion which is, admittedly, overcome by the subaequent development of knowledge, remaining at the same time relative at any given moment. "We cannot" wrote Lenin, "imagine, express, messaurs, depict movement, without interrupting continuity, without aimplifying, coarsening, dismembering, strangling that which is living. The representation of movement by means of thought always makes coarse, killa, - and not only by means of thought, but also by asnss-perception, and not only movement, but every concept."3 The dialectics of the process of cognition is very

P. Engels, Anti-Dühring, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 145.

V.I. Lenin, "Materialies and Empiric-Criticies", Collected Works, Vol. 14, p. 136.

Works, Vol. 14, p. 136.
V.I. Lenin, "Conspectus of Hogel's Book Lectures on the History of Philosophy", Collected Works, Vol. 38, pp. 259-260.

assume such a definition of truth means to flagrantly contradict science whose purpose is to attain the true knowledge in its most systematised form.

The objectivity of truth, i.e., independence of its <u>content</u> of man and mankind in the Marxist-Leninist understanding does not isolate it from human activity but, conversely, presupposes its comprehensive interpretation as a process which is socio-historical and dielectical in nature.

Disclosing the dialectice of the cognitive process, Lenin formuletes the following question: "... can human ideas, which give expression to objective truth, express it all at one time, es a whole, unconditionally, absolutely, or only epproximetely, reletively?" Materialiet dialactics gives the following answer: "...thie is not a simple, not an immediste, not a complets reflection, but the process of a series of abstractions, the formation and development of concepts, laws, etc., and these concepts, laws, etc.. embrace conditionally, approximately, the universal law-governed character of eternally moving and developing nature." The problem of objective truth is actually solved through the concept of reletive truth.

The objective truth scts in tha form of relative truth, which means that any objective knowledge of any field of reality svaileble at a given moment is not to be considered as complete and exhaustive but as relative knowledge. At the seme time, the content of reletive truth is still independent of men and menkind, i.e., it remains objective truth. The human process of progress to the objective knowledge depende on the vital ectivity of the ectually existing subject, an individual, on the position of classes and groups and on the specific historical conditions.

Merxism-Leniniem, unlike bourgeois philocophy, regards thie fact ee e living and real contradiction of human cogniti-

V.I. Lenin, "Materialism and Empirio-Criticism", Collected Worke, Vol.14. p. 122.

V.I. Lenin, "Conspectue of Hegel's Book The Science of Logic", Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 182.

hecomes particularly relevant in the context of the revolution in ecience and technology. Modern production daily provee in practice the actual effectiveness of scientific achievements, their "objective truth", and outlines the yet unrecolwed probleme. The production and utilisation of new synthetic meterials, for inetance, authentically prove the truth of the relevant chemical knowledge.

The above is also true of the interaction between social science and eocial political practice, namely, between the Marxist-Leninist theory and the practice of revolutionary struggle for socialism.

However, not only the scientific theory should develop in such a way as to make possible its practical application and verification therewith, but practice itself should raise to a higher level to become a tangible criterion of the truth of theories edvanced by science. Thus, the revolutionary activity of the working people, reeting on the conclucions end fundemental propositions of the revolutionery theory, should be mass-eccle, consistent and effective to be regarded as a real and basic criterion of the truth of this theory and the source of its further creetive development.

"Practice is higher than (theoretical) knowledge, for it has not only the dignity of universality, but also of immediate actuality", wrote Lenin.

Stressing that the etandpoint of real life and practice should be the prime and basic standpoint in the theory of knowledge, Lenin warned against the <u>certain relativity of</u> the <u>criterion of practice</u>. He pointed out that practice itals, the practice of the proletariat's class struggle, for each, the practice of the proletariat's class atruggle, for instance, is a constantly developing and contradictory historical process depending on the objective conditions of platorical process, on the actual correlation of opposing acciel forces, on the concrete characteristics of its participante and leaders, etc.

Thia proceas does not merely follow s theory as if it was ite "enbodiment" and prectical realisation but is

V.I. Lenin, "Conspectus of Hegel's Book The Science of Logic", Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 213.

complex and does not, therefore, exclude a possibility of arror from any cognitive act, including that of policy-making. Errors are inevitable in any process of cognition but they should be svoided, fought and overcome. Dislectical materialism helieves that any error in the long run can be overcome, for if nothing can guarantee a reassarcher against arrors then nothing can prevent him from overcoming them. "Human knowledge," wrote Lenin, "is not (or does not follow) a straight line, but a curve, which endless approximates a series of circles a spirel."

The concept of "abaclute truth" underlinea the connection of knowledge, including acientific knowledge, with the entire accio-historical process of practice. Theoretical cognition proceeds from the needs arising from the actual process of man's vital activity and ultimately comes hack to it, meeting the demands of practice and verifying in it the accumulated knowledge. "From living perception to abstract thought, and from this to practice, -- such is the dislactical path of the cognition of truth, of the cognition of objective reality," wrote Lenin.

Representing a teating atege in relation to theoretical cognition, practice is regarded by Marxiat-Leminiat spistemology as the criterion of truth.

Practice as the Criterion of Truth Here we find the graphic manifestation of the fact characterising the entire course of human cognition

and expressed by Marx in his <u>Theses on Feuerhsch</u>: "The queation whether objective truth can be attributed to human thinking ia not a question of theory but is e <u>practical</u> question. Man must prove the truth, i.e., the reality and power, the thin worldliness of his thinking in practice." This

V.I. Lenin, "On the Queetion of Dialectics", Collected Worka, Vol. 38, p. 363.

V.I. Lenin "Conspectue of Hegel's Book The Science of Logic", Collected Works, Vol. 38, p. 171.

K. Marx, "Theses on Feuerbech", K.Merx, P.Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 3.

provides a comprohonsive ensuer to the question of the unity of theory and practice which, as has already been pointed out, is of fundomontal importance for the revolutionary transforming activity of the working peopls. The principle of the organic connection between revolutionary practice and ecientific theory is particularly evident in Marxist ideology.

Partisanchip and Scientificality

Partissnship of Marxist-Leninist ideology is the most essential aspect of its connection with

the proletsrist's revolutionary transforming practice, which means that in working out their social theory Marx, Engels and Lenin proceeded directly from the interests of the working class and all working people, and from the goals of communist and workers' parties. However, it would be entirely wrong to sssume that to substantiate these interests and goals any assertions and subjective views of individual thewill do. Marxist-Leninist ideology is opposed to those bourgeois authors who divorce ideology from science and olsim that the principle underlying the formstion of ideologies is always one and the same: there are as many ideological positions equally true as class or group interests. On these grounds, some modern bourgeois theorists, blame Marxism of the lack of "plurality", and of its "too rigid" criteris, saserting that in the sphere of ideology and social theory only "pluralist" approach is possible, monism being excluded in principle. This conception has always been widespreed in the bourgeois ideology: it was shered by the leaders of pragmatism, by Karl Mannheim and Max Scheler, repre-Sentatives of the sociology of knowledge, and nowsdays it is shared by many "neo-Marxiata".

Merxist-Leniniat epiatemology by no means denies that the process of cognition resliced by individuals, classes end parties, under constantly changing socio-historical conditions, is in each case specific, and even unique. The roads of progress to the truth, consequently, may be multiple, and in thie sense "plurel". The specifics of human cognition, however, lie in the fact that the aubject of cognition, noving in different waye, can come to one and the asme universally relevant results. Objective truth owes its power in human

engendered by the actual eocial contradictions. This process is a dislectical combination of organization, theoratical comprehension and spontaneity. The practice of tha masses' class etruggle, assuming the most varied forme, necessarily implies relatively independent cognitive activity of the messes themselves, not always directly linked with the existing theory. This activity embodias and sums up the axperience of the messes, the axperience of individual segments of the ravolutionary movement which often confront not only phenomena enviseged on the whols by the theory, but also find themselves involved in unprecedented cituation and developments not covered by it. The practice of the working peopla's class struggle sets a great number of problems which are yet to become the object of theoretical cognition.

Humen prectice is the living, developing process and providee, seconding to Lenin, an objective criterion of truth-However, stery given moment, in such concrete historical eitustion, Lenin werned, "the criterion of practice can never, in the nature of things, either confirm or refints any human idee completely. This criterion too is sufficiently 'indefinite' not to allow human knowledge to become 'absolute', but at the seme time it is aufficiently definite to wege e ruthless fight on all varieties of ideelism and semosticism".

Practice ie not the sum total of isolated actions of seperats individuale, groupe and organisations, divorced from the historical context, but the aggregats of world practice as a whole. "...The criterion of practice, i.e., the course of development of all capitalist countries in the lest few decadee, proves only the objective truth of Merx'e whole eccial and economic theory in general, and not merely of one or other of its parts, formulations, etc....." The criterios of practice finds its fullest realisation in the forms of labour and ecoio-political ectivity, in those recurrent historical forms of exploration and alteration of natural and ecoial being which express its besic lews. The theory of practice as the basic of knowledge and the criterion of truth

V.I. Lenin, "Materialism and Empirio-Criticism", Collected Works, Vol. 14, pp. 142-43.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 143.

In ancient India, for inatanca, the materialist philosophical school Nyays was ongoged in the atudy of sources, objects and methods of cognition.

In the 16th-17th centuries, with the energence of experimental natural sciences, nethods of cognition were a subject of study of philosophora and scientiats alike. In the 19th-20th centurios the problem of nothed in research and the nethodology of science held a special place in the theoretical activity of philosophers and netural scientiats. Methods of cognition and their efficiency may be identified with the stretegy of research, for, according to Merrist-Leminist philosophy, nothed is a theoretical reflection of certain regularities and basic properties of objective reality or, to use Engels' definition, an analogue of reality. Therefore, the wider the acops of operation of lews the more general are the methods by which they are investigated. Materialist dialectics is the universal method of cognition.

In ressarch it is very important to master the principles of dialectical method to clearly see that the process of scientific cognition obeys the complex dialectical laws of development and change and accumulates new facts and contradictions which call for new theoretical coaceptions. Dialectics as a doctrine of the universal nethod of cognition is concretiated in the research into special methods fruitfully epplied in scientific cognition. In the fremework of methodology, or the logics of scientific research method is the sgregate of rules and recommendations pertaining to the course of scientific cognition and consciously applied by accentists in accordance with reaserch targets.

We have every reason to believe that there exists a group of general methods applied in all sciences. They have inner distinctions determined by a level of acientific knowledge and the tsaks solved by a given discipline or a given theory. Among the general acientific methods may be found both methods of empirical reaearch and methods applied in theoretical con-

See F. Engels, Dialectica of Nature, Progress Publishera, Moscow, 1979, p. 43.

cognition to ite universality. To treet ite content "pluralisticelly" means to make the very concept of truth insipid. This is equally velid for the acgnition of nature and leve of social development. The laws and formules of physics and mathemetics cannot be treated "pluralistically", in accordence with someone's subjective, arbitrary will; likewise, regularities of social development discovered by the social studies are not "plural". If they are reflected objectively, i.e., truly, they must become in future the single besie of knowledge and section.

Marxist-Leninist ideology and the Marxist-Leninist accial theory are besed on this epistemological principle.

The epiatemological analysis of the specifics inherent in theoretical activity finds its further realisation in the Marxist-Leminist theory of the methods of scientific cognition.

3. The Methods of Scientific Cognition

Scientific knowledge is always consistent and systemetic in cherecter. Long before the appearance of scientific knowledge people acquired valueble date on the properties inherent in netural and social phenomene. This testifies to the fect that acientific and ordinary knowledge are not sheelutely barred from each other and that both types of knowledge etrive to attain objective truth. Scientific knowledge, however, is not the sus total or a direct continuation of ordinary ideas obteined through common sense but a logically organised conceptual reflection of the easence, naturel lawe, end fundemental properties of chjective reality. It is thanks to its shifty to systematice, substantiete and he controlled that scientific knowledge is characterised by a high level of substanticity.

Methods of Theoretical and Empirical Research

In acquisition and formation of acientific knowledge methods of research play an immense role. This is not accidental. At the

dawn of encient civilisations philosophere were also concerned with the problems of cognition, of sequiring knowledge.

Eschanics and in some other fields of physica. This nethod is based on the following principla: a number of theoretical propositions are selected that in a given context need no proof (axions) and further propositions (theorems) are then deduced from then according to the rules of logic. The nethod of deducing conclusions from the accepted general theoretical promises (in this case — from the axioms) is called the deductive method. The content of a theory depends on the initial sxioms. In anthematics thay may be different and even dienetrically opposed. Thus, Euclid and Lobachevsky proceeds from the various axioms and created two systems of geometry sech of which has great theoretical significance and is directly or indirectly applied in science and technology.

Each disciplins, including theoretical studies, has its own division of labour based on the tasks solved by researchers. Some of them provide a theoretical substantiation of scientific facts, others deal with certain particular slements of a theory, still others ast out to produce a systematised general theory which will embrace all the essential regularities governing a given range of phenomens. In such of these three cases different methods are applied. Mark solved the task of the third typs when in his Cepital he created a theoretical system of the political sconemy of capitalism. It was Mark's outstanding achievement that he thoroughly elaborated on the methods relevant to the task (the method of proceeding from the abstract to the concrete, the unity of the logical and the historical, etc.).

Despite the distinction between theoretical and empirical research, they represent interconnected stages in the process of scientific cognition and, consequently, they may solve similar tasks arising at both levels and determined by the specifics of research cognitive activity. Hence the application of some methods, with a certain differentiation, in both empirical and theoretical research.

Such ara, for instanca, mathoda appliad in analysis and synthesia. Analysis is the break-up of investigated objects into the constituent elsments or into various typas of relationshipe, each to be subjected to a special study. Synthesis tionshipe, each to be subjected to a special study. Synthesis ie the subsequent combining of the elements, thus singled out

atructions.

Methods of empiricol research depend in e grent mossure on its function end designation: at the empirical level of cognition nan singles out, in the divorse environment surrounding him, the "objects" to be studied by science. These objects are investigated, observed, clossified and subjected to certein goal-oriented influences exerted in e special process of observation or in the experiment. It is clear that not only physical processes (which do not exist in isolated form) occur inside physical devices, both small and largesized. But experimental physicists apply special devices and methods which allow to observe those processes and phenomens which they are concerned with. "The physiciat," wrote Marx, "either observes physical phenomeno where they occur in their most typical form end most free from disturbing influence, or, wherever possible, he makes experiments under conditions that ossure the occurrence of the phenomenon in its normality." Precise methods ore elaborated for operating devices and processing their readings, and so on. Methods of experimental observation ore applied end improved, which are by no means en end-in-itself but designed to disclose the physical. chemical, biological and other regularities of the natural world.

With some reservatione and epecifications the same is valid for the social sciences which also operate with facts, procees observation date and conduct social experiments.

Empirical observations in science are generalised by the nethod of eccentific induction, i.e., o special inference which allows to edvance from the characteristic of investigated facts to the formulation of general empirical principleo or regulerities, extending then over a wide range of objects.

Since the process of scientific cognition at the theoretical level clearly differs from that at the empirical level; it also has its own special nethods, one of which is the axioustic method, long and widely applied in mathematics, in

^{1.} Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 8.

Man and the Lower Animals

ARISTOTLE'S views of physiology and zoology are to be found in several important treatises, among which the de Partibus Animalium and de Generatione Animalium are outstanding. I shall describe these treatises more fully later in this chapter, and at the same time consider questions relative to their systematic arrangement and chronological order. Provisionally I shall make what appears to be a safe assumption, viz. that the de Anima is intended to precede these biological treatises and is itself a coherent whole, not a patchwork. If this is so, Aristotle's whole work in this branch of science is founded on his view of the soul as the entelechy of the body.

His view of nature has three notable features, of which something has already been seen. Firstly, he arranges all living beings in a scale, according to the complexity of the psychical faculties they display, placing man towards the top of this scale; and this idealistic, and perhaps fanciful, view to some extent impedes any attempt at a sober classification of animals. Secondly, his interpretation of all natural processes is frankly teleological; the physical scientist must in his view aim at the discovery and statement of the final cause, as well as the efficient cause and material condition. This mode of explanation is, for obvious reasons, even more prominent in Aristotle's account of living things, their organs, instincts and mode of life, than it was in his astronomy and physics. Thirdly, he is what would now be called a vitalist. There is for him a clear division between the movement and qualitative change of lifeless bodies and the processes which appear first in living and growing things, and, though he does not seem to argue at length

and atudied, into a unified entity to be regarded then sa s specific object of acientific reaearch. In <u>Capital</u> Marx analytically aingles out use value and exchange value of the commodity, and then synthcaiaea them, introducing the new theoretical concept of "value".

Among methods applied both at the empirical and theoretical levels are quantitative methods and methods of logical analysis. Modern natural and some accial actences make wids use of nathematical and logical languages. Various disciplinss use mainly a certsin hody of nathematica. Wide-scale application of mathematical nethods in aciences, or their mathematisation, as it is now called is a process based on the universal nature of quantitative regularities. All objects and processes possess quantitative cheracteristics. Expressing this ides, Galilco ranarked that the book of nature is written in the language of mathematics.

The quantitative methods include probability-statistical methods, applied both in the natural and social acciences to invastigate objects whose accurate calculation is unattainable. Thus, in relation to certain systems possessing, as scientiats say, a great number of "dagrees of freedom", it is possible to make only probable computations and establish relevant laws which are called attaintical laws. Similar situations arise in various aciences: molecular physics, chemistry, genetics, and so on. Since the 19th century probability-statistical methods have been widely applied in social sciences: denography, social statistics and sociology. It is notsworthy fact that these methods began to be applied in matural aciences after they had been tested in social statistics.

The tasks solved in the accial sciences by means of probability-atatistical methods are most diverss. They have to do with the processing of atatistical data of mass-scale character, such as the population of a country and its sstimated requirements, aconomic indicators, public opinion polls, and the like. The most importent methodological problem arising therswith, which is of great political and ideological eignificance for the social aciences, lies in the necessity to combine mathematical methods with the acientifically-sub-

stantiated theorstical provisions. Otherwise, nethenatics might be sbussd (ss evidenced by nodern Western sociology and social statistics) with a purposs to "prove" deliberstely falsified facts and raise to the status of "law" such phenomena which are accidental in character and need a profound scientific study.

The same refers to a wealth of nethods of scientific cognition as a whole. Application of methods is not an end-initself. Methods by thenselves cannot guarantee the success of scientific research. Once maatered, they enrich scisntific inquiry and make it conscious and purposeful, provided a researcher can apply them to cogniae the object, disclose the actual regularities which characterise it and sxist outside the human conaciousness, and to eccertsin their dialectical character. This means that the scientist should master not only special and particular research methods but also the dialectical-materialist philosophy and its theory of knowledgs.

Cognition and Revolutionary Practice

The study of the specifics of acientific cognition and its methods is of special importsnce for the working class and

its party. First, it helps to understand the specifics of research work which, in the context of the scientific and technological revolution and consolidation of the alliance of the working class and peacentry with the working intelligentsia, is an essential aspect of the theoretical and practical political work of communist parties. Second, today the working class and its party are in the venguerd of eocial research, which means that not only ideologiste and theoreticians of the party hut also the party as e whole, and, in the long run, the whole working class should be aware of the specifics underlying social research.

This also elucidatee a more general eignificance of the etudy by revolutionaries of Marxiat-Leninist epistemology which, by disclosing the inner regularities governing human cognition, shows that the progress of knowledge is insepsrable from social transformetions.

For this resson freedom to the extent that it is connact-

ed with knowledge, is defined in Marxist-Laninist philosophy ss s cognised neceesity. In this sense men's activity is frea if it is based on his knowledge of objective regularities characterising nature, society and men himself. Freedom is the sbility to make decisions as regards goals and means of sction - "knowledgesbly". Certainly, a messure of freadom depends not only on successes scored in people's cognitive activity but also on their practical activity, organisation of their conscious life, and on the assence and content of their social being. Suffice it to mention that within various social systems the progress of science and scientific knowledge may have dismetrically different consequences and may be used by various social classes and forces in their interests. In socisliet socisty scientific knowledge is necessary for a planned and eccurate management of sociaty, its improvement and for building communist social relations with the most sctive and conscious participation of the broad massas. In cepitalist society scientific schievements ere used by tha ruling classes and groups for intensification of exploitation and preservation and consolidation of the class privileges.

Revolutionary forces in the cepitalist world cennot achieva man'e astional and social emencipation without profound knowledga, without scientific theory and its further development, i.e., without the unity of revolutionary scientific thaory and the practice of liberation movement. And in this respect the success of the revolutionary movement and winning of freedom depend on the revolutionaries' swareness and on their efficiency in applying schiavements of the advanced theoretical research and developing it.

The Marxist-Leninist theory of knowledge links cognition with the objective laws of nature and society and, at the seme time, reveals the preconditions for people's revolutionary transforming activity and that of purposeful historical activity inherent in human cognition. Thus, the study of the laws of Marxist epistemology has an essential practical goal: to sacertain opportunities of conscious and active revolutionary transforming ness actions and objective possibilities of applying, for the make of a revolutionary remaking of nature and society, all the intellactual and cultural values accumulated by mankind.

In summation, the eesencs, contradictions and specific features of the cognitive process are revealed in works by the classics of Marxist-Leninian. Proceeding from them, Soviet and foreign Marxist-Leniniat philosophers further develop the theory of the dialectics and methods of cognising mature and society.

Chapter I

MATERIALIST CONCEPTION OF HISTORY

1. Sociological Theories in the History of Philosophy

Problems of social life, including those of production, relations between men, ethical stendards of their behaviour, the essence and role of state, causes of wars, etc., have never cessed to concern philosophers.

The Antiquity and the Middle Ages

Coherent, more or less consistent conceptions of social life slresdy originated at the time of the emergence and develop-

ment of class relations, i.e. in early slave-holding ststas. The ideologists of the elseve-owning classes undertook to demonstrate the divina and supernatural origin of royal power and of the king'n personality; they relied on religion's authoritity in justifying the legitimate natura of the sleve-owners' dominance. This interpretation is to be found in ancient Egyptian sources, in the code of Hemmurshi, the king of Babylon, in literary monuments of ancient Indie and in the teechings of ancient Chinese philosopher Confucius.

Conceptions openly advocating slave ownership were advanced in <u>ancient Creece</u>. Post <u>Hesiod</u> (the late 5th-early 7th centuries B.C.) held that relotions of dominance and oubmission were a natural and "normal" condition.

Heraclitus sought to justify slavery by references to the laws of the Universe which allegedly made some people slaves and other masters.

<u>Democritue</u>, the ancient Greek materislist, was the first philosopher to explain the origins of human acciety. He wrote that originally man led a gregarious life. They had naither kings nor rulars, neither wars nor rohheries. Subacquently, having learned how to use fire, they turned to agriculture, invented crafts and aatehliahed a otate order. Within the

state, as a matter of course, they were divided into slaves and alsve owners. Democritus defended the state as a social system for maintaining public order. What caused most disruptions of order was envy; therefore, laws were needed to govern all.

Flato's theory on an ideal social system had a major influence on his contemporaries and on the subsequent development of sociology. Plato based his theory on the division of labour which had reached a relatively high level in ancient Greece. He described three social estates, the landowners and srtisans, the warriors and the rulers. Flato held that the division of labour was based on abilities of the human soul. The division of labour and a corresponding social standing were thus natural and in accord with man's disposition. According to Flato, justice demands that everyone do what one is anpposed to do, in conformity with one's inherited abilities.

The thinkers of <u>ancient Eope</u>, largely borrowing from ancient Greek theories, concentrated on the drafting of legal rules, law and political conceptions aimed at strengthening the state system based on slave ownership and laying a legal basis for the supremsoy of the one class over another.

After the fall of the Koman Empire, the atates of the Arab East attained a high level of development lats in the first and sarly sacond millennia A.D. Of numerous Arsbic thinkers, ibn-Bajja and ibn-Khalum were the ones to pay most attention to problams of social development. <u>Dbn-Bajja</u> (c. 1070-1139) described an ideal sociaty in which there would be no doctors or jadges as there would be no diseases, just as subjugation, injustice and violations of laws would no longer exist. The ideal stats of society, he held, could be attainable through self-parfaction of swary individual.

<u>Ibn-Khaldum</u> (1332-1406) attempted to reveal the influence of climate and soils on morels, institutions, the way of life, and in particular, the emergence of tillers of the land and nomads. From his standpoint, state suthority and government were people's natural need, a necessary condition for the joint obtaining of the wherewithal for axistence.

In medieval Europe, sociological theoriss wors mostly based on religion.

Under feudalism, various opposition conceptions axpressing dissatisfaction with the existing order and sapecially with the dominance of the Cetholic Church, geined sceeptsnee. As religious beliefs were widespread, the opposition doctrines were religious in nature end had the form of what wes described as heresies. The heretics eimed at restoring the system of early Christian communities, opposed private property, demanded the introduction of common property and opposed the dominance of the gentry.

Thomes Aquines (1225-1274), a militant ideologist of Catholicism in the Middle Ages, held that power should be controlled by the Church which would set to it that the euthorities implement God's laws properly.

The Psriod of Formation and Development of Cepitaliem

In 16th-century Europe, the feudal system wee dacaying es the first capitalist enterprises made their appearance,

commodity production developed as did home and foreign markete. Proponents of the mascent bourgeois ideology become oritical of religious dogmes and feel en urge to conduct independent scientific studies of neture.

Niccolo Machiavelli, an early ideologist of the bourgeoisie (1469-1527), held that society developed due to netural ceusee, rather than in sccordance with God's will. He ettempted to deduce the ceuses from history, human psychology and factual analysis.

The Reformation had an importent influence on social theories of the period of formation of cepiteliem. It was a broad movement which united the diverse interests of the opposition against the feudel Cetholic Church and for e new religion. The Reformation movement was led by Martin Luther (1483-1546), Thomas Künzer (c. 1490-1525) and others. The Reformation eined at undermining the Church's claims on dowingting men end edvanced the idea of individual attitude to God.

As social contradictions, exploitation and injustices accompanying the development of capitaliam became mora pro-

nounced, they led to the emergence of various socialist theories, utopian and unrealistic in nature.

English thinker Thomas More (1478-1535) was one of the founders of utopian socialism. In Utopia, or A fruteful and Pleasaunt Worke of the bast State of a Publyque Weale, and of the news Tie called Utopia he criticised the capitalist order, deacribed its vices, injustice and exploitation of man by man. All the people's grievances, he held, were caused by the existence of private property, and its elimination was the only meens of achieving universal well-being.

In Italy, a similar conception was advanced by <u>Toumaso</u>

<u>Campenella</u> (1568-1639), who wrote <u>Civitas Solia</u>. Thomas

More and Tommeso Campenelle had en important influence on the development of utopian socialism over the following centuries.

As capitalism developed further, the bourgeoisie ceme to oppose the dominance of religious and fendel ideology and also the feudel lords' power, which impeded the development of bourgeois economy and private enterprise. The bourgeoisie demanded that all social estate divisions, privileges, duties, etc., be sholished. In the process, the bourgeois ideologists undertook to deduce their demanda from eternal principles of natural law. The bourgeoiste portrayed the new social order as a "netural" one, se one in second with man's nature.

The first to systematice the natural law theory was the Dutch lawyer <u>Hugo Grotiue</u> (1563-1645) who held that man's basic quality which makes him different from smimale is the striving to a community organized rationally. From it, Grotius deduced the basic requirements, or norms, of natural law: to lay no claim to the property of another; to return what does not belong to ns; to keep one's promises; to compensate for the herm done, etc. To svoid conflict with religion, Grotiua described this as a divine right.

Theoriee on natural law were also advenced by other philosophers of this age.

Spinozs (1632-1677) esw man as part of neture; therefore man must be subject to natural laws. Outside society man is weak end helplese. He is looking for protection in a society of those like him; e transition of man from e natural state

into a civil one takes place, and thereafter nen create the atate sa an organisation serving the interests of all.

Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679) holds that men's nature makes than hostile to one another; in a natural environment "homo homini lupua" (man is a wolf to man), and their lifs is an all-out war. Yet reason makes non unite to form a state.

According to John Locke (1632-1704), the natural condition is that of freedom and squality. He opposed Hobbes on the easence of the natural condition. If an all-ont war took place, nen would kill one another, he asid. Locke also included private property in the donain of natural law.

The sociological thinking of the ideologiats of the French hourgeoiste in the 18th century developed under the banner of the <u>Enlightenment</u>, which was sixed against the prejudices, obscurantian and feudal privileges of the Middle Ages.

A prominent philosopher of the Enlightsoment, Voltaire (1694-1778), like many other thinkers of the time, used the idea of netural law to criticias the feudal system. Natural laws are lawe of reason given to man by nature. From birth, man is free and subject only to natural laws. As for society, it has ite own laws dividing it into two clesses, the rich and the poor.

Jean-Jecques Roueseau (1712-1778) was the ideologist of the revolutionary desocracy of the period and author of the popular works The Origin of Inequality, Contrat Sociel and others. Social inequality is caused by private property, which originated accidentally, as a metter of guesa-work. According to Roueseau, the first man to etumble upon the idea of fencing off a plot of land and saying, "This is mine", and to find people gullible enough to believe this, was the true founder of civic eociety.

The French materialist philosopher Holbech (1723-1789), like all meterialiste before Marx, was an idealist as far as social theory was concerned. Man with his spiritual world is a product of social environment. In turn, this environment is created by men, by public opinion. "Opinion rules the world," he used to say. Government plays a major role in the formetion of public opinion. In society, men is brought

up to be an egoist pursuing personal benefit. If he wants to benefit from somebody else, he has to be of use to him. For the conduct of these mutually beneficial relations, people have sgreed to establish a state. The social contract is based on freedom, property and security. Insquality of men is due to their natural inequality; as for the state, it has to maintain peace in society.

The founder of classical German philosophy <u>Inconusl</u>
<u>Kant</u> (1724-1804) viewed the history of the world as the
development of human freedom up to s stage which is in conformity with resson. Such s stage is sttsinshle through the
nstural course of gradual social development, rather than
hy a violent revolution. Kant advocated the right of ownership of property and, more than that, of men.

An advocate of the interests of the bourgeoisie, Kant navertheless advanced progressive ideas concerning pasce for all time. As social development is sined at schisving freedom, war must be precluded. Humanity's goal, according to Kant, is peace for all time. It can be established if a universal agreement is concluded by states.

A significant role in the elaboration of philosophical problems of social history was played by Esgel (1770-1831). According to him, the world is based on a continuously developing absolute ides which gives birth to, brings to life and crastss the whole observable world, including nature, man and sociaty. He saw universal history as a march of the spirit on earth. The spirit coves in now one people, now another. The psople currently inhuded by the universal spirit is the dominant people in the given historical era.

Besidss these, Hegel slac advanced some ideas of positive importance in the history of philosophical analysis of social life. Among these is Hegel's deairs to find an objective basis and cause of social life, independent of human consciousness. Trus, he held that universal spirit was such a hesin; nevertheless, this did play n role in the struggle sgainst subjective idealism in understanding social life.

Regel's desire to overcome the approach to history see a chaos of events end to demonstrate strict consistency of historical processes which advanced social life can also be

ragardad as a positive alament of Hagal's philosophy of history. Hagel saw history as a single law-governed and in-harently justified process of salf-dovelopment of the spirit or the idea.

A raview of the history of philosophical doctrines of society shows that, despits their vent divorsity and specific features, the common slemanta of all of them were: an idealist approach to the analysis of social life; inability to revoal the true laws of historical development; inability to see the people as a true maker of history; protection of the interests of dominant classes; intention to prove that the social system whose ideology the philosopher represents is the best, "natural", and one in accord with reason, etc.; in other words, all pre-Marxien philosophy was incapable of creating a science about society.

Only Marx and Engels wara capabla of elaborating historical matarialism, the science about the general laws of historical davelopment and their reflection in human activity, the science about the revolutionary transformation of the world. They achieved this in a highly developed capitalist society, which revealed the intrinsic processes of exploitation of man by man, by making a generalisation of the historical experience of the working people's class struggle and, in particular, of that of the working class, which had charply become acute in the early helf of the 19th century, by making use of the latest scientific discoveries and by assimilating the positive achievements of previous thinkers.

2. The Prerequisitse and Essance of a Matarialist Understanding of Social Life

A materialist understanding of hiotory is based on a dialectical approach to the study of social life. This sntails viewing society ss a living organism in conatant devolopment, where all social elements are inherently interrelated and mutually conditioned. Since it reveals the objective, meterial basis of all social life, explains the assence of human society and atudies the laws of universal history historical materialism is a genuinely scientific social theory.

Labour, the Basis and Essence of Human Society

The materialist understanding of history follows from the basics of dislectical

and materialist theory of matter and its movement.

As opposed to pre-Marxian materialism which was only concerned with one form of movement of matter, the mechanical one, Marx and Engels, on the basis of an analysis of latest schievements of natural science, found a multitude of such forms. They demonstrated that the hasic forms of movement, viz., the physical, chamical, hiological and social ones, are qualitatively definite stages of development of matter, with each form having basic laws common to all the rast; each superior form of movement includes the lower ones and, while not being reducible to the letter, possesses specific laws of its own.

The study of the forms of movement of metter was of fundamental importance for social theory. Primarily, it consisted in trestment of social life as a form of movement of matter. This mesnt that society is subject to material laws, rather than to some supernstural forces, and that universal laws of the material world operate in society. Second, since social life is the highest form of movement of metter, unique qualitative features of the social form of mettar's movement heve to he studied.

Society is an uncommonly complicated and nulti-facated system. It comprises what is, in affect, an unlimited quantity of msjor and minor, complex and simple, known and unknown, relatively stable and constantly changing naturial and spiritusl elements, aspects, properties, directions and tendenciss. Historically, they all change, are transformed and progress historically, while influencing one another in a complex and changing mansar.

After a profound and comprehensive analysis of social life, Marx and Engels concluded that man's labour is the underlying basis of all social forms of movement. According to Marx, "labour is, in the first place, a process in which hoth can and Nature participate, and in which can of his own accord starts, regulates, and controls the esterial reactions between hisself and Fature. He opposes bisself to Nature as one of her own forces."1

Labour is more than metabolism for sustained existence. This kind of action is also made by snimale. A characteristic feature of human labour is that it is an activity governing the forces of nature. In the process of labour, man changes external nature while chenging his own, by acquiring and improving his human qualities. In other words, it is on the basis of labour that man himself and the entire human society develop.

Taking into account the fundamental importance of labour, one could describe society as a material system whose being is based on the exchange of substances and energies both within itself and with nature by means of labour.

As opposed to animals who find objects required for their subsistence as they exiet in nature, man has to change and transform natural objects if he is to satisfy his vital needs. For an animal to find the required object in nature, a perception, or a reflection, of the exieting external aspect of objects and of their propertiee, and the presence of paws, clews and the physical force needed to use and to concume the found object, are sufficient. If the objecte were to be purposefully changed end transformed, i.e., if activities were to take place which were not a result of natural evolution, a redical transformation of abilities had to take place, and consciousness was required.

Over en extended historical period, millions of years sgo, it took many generations of men's ancestors to gradually learn to menufacture lebour implements and at the seme time to acquire an ebility to reflect escential properties of objects end the laws of their existence; in other words, consciousness wes energing. Thus, ebatrect thinking, or consciousness, eppeared, which was e property isoleting man from the snimal world.

If one then talks about lebour as a specifically human activity, the following is meant: by using lebour implements to act on their natural environment so as to transform it

Karl Marx, <u>Capitel</u>, Vol. I, Progrees Publishers, Moscow, 1974, p. 173.

people also change their own nature by developing an ability to work, their labour implements, and by enriching their knowledge. This makee F. Engels' remark that labour ie "the prime basic condition for all hnnan existence, "1 that it is labour that created nan, profoundly neaningful.

It was in the process of labour and on its basis that communication between nen with its special forn, human speech, came into existence.

How did this come about?

It is a fact that if most natural objects were to be transformed, a variety of canipulations and activities had to take place simultaneously. Alone, a nan would be unable to accomplieh thie dne to natural limitations. To colve the problem, people had to acquire something not given to them by nature. In the process of labour, they united to form work teans where labour was divided. It was in labour, then, that society was formed as a requisite for human life and so a means of livelihood. Relatione between men in eociety in the procees of labour gave birth to, formed the basis of, and developed all hnnan qualities.

Hunan acciety was based on joint labour, which nede it different from a community of animale, where the interrelationships are inetinctive.

It ie in the procese of joint labour that human speech also appeared. People made use of it to exchange knowledge and information, and to coordinate their activities. In turn, the development of speech was closely related to intensive intellectual activities and to the development of coneciousneee.

The most important human qualities, those of labour, consciouanese and speech, sppearad eigultaneouely from a historical point of view, and influenced one another. Their emergence and development, however, ware based on labour, the most profound prime cause of all that is human. Therafore,

^{1.} F. Engela, Dialectica of Nature, Progress Publishera, Moscow, 1979.p. 170.

humen society can be defined as a meterial system whose essence and heere ere formed by purposeful lebour enlightened by coneciousnese. In other words, society is the totality of historically established forms of sen's joint activities, the movement of clesses, popular resses and individuals, the functioning and development of organisations and inetitutions.

Social history itself is an integral element of the history of the Earth and of nature. Having appeared on earth se the result of long-term evolution of nature, mankind has gone n long way from submission to forces of nature to a conscioue transformation of both the neture and eccioty. Lebour was the guiding ster on the way.

The Beeic Lsw of Human History In any philocophical study, the point of deperture is the besic question of philosophy, that of relationship of think-

ing to being, of the spiritual to the asterial. A particular solution of the problem, either materielist or idealist, is the besie of every philosophical doctrine, including social theory. If one holds that ideas, the spirit, consciousness are primary in social life, whereas all vital human relations in production, economics, politics, etc., are secondary and derived from the above, then the solution to all the contradictions, the course of explicitation, the ceuses of injustice, etc., have to be found in the ideal besis of cocial life. This approach can lead to no scientific result. Yet if the hasic quostion of philosophy is colved in materialist terms, then the courses of all the vital problems and their solution have to be found in the naterial foundation of cocial life, in material relations.

The meterialist solution of the fundamental question of philosophy is the point of departure and the premise of meterialist understanding of history. Lemin wrote: "Since materialism in general explains connaciousness as the outcome of being, and not conversely, then meterialism as applied to the escal life of mankind has to explain social

consciousness as the outcome of social being. "1

Marxism has scientifically substantiated the idss that a materialist solution to all problems of social life, and the nature, course and essence of the analysis of all historical phenomena, processes, facts and events, is based on s asterialist solution of the problem of relationship of social being to social conscionsness. By neans of the asterialist solution of the fundsmental quastion of philosophy ss it spplies to social life, Marx discovered the basic lsw of social life, according to which social being determines social conscioueness, while social consciousness, in turn, sctively influences social being. The lew reveals the dislectics of intersction of individual spheres of social life; it is the fundamental and most general law in social life. All the other principles and laws of social life are sither an expression of some aspects of the basic law or a dascription of essential interrelationships within social being or social conscionsusss.

Social being is the most general catagory of historical materialism used to denote the objectively real process of hnman life, which includes the totslity of labour implements, relations of nen vis-s-vis one another and as regards nature in the process of social production, the scononic and political structure of society, the relatione between classes, nations and social groups, and the practical implementation of dominant customs, morals and traditions in family and everyday lifs.

Social being is in continuous devslopment; for each succeeding generation, it represents the underlying basis of lifs, being se it is an objective feeture which determines living conditions, serves so an impetus for its dayslopment and imparts to it psculiar features of life. In spite of this, however, social being is subesquently developed and trensformed by s new generation, which thus prepares the bseis for the subesquent ones.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 21, p. 55.

For e correct understending of nocial boing, one has to beer in nind that it is not just a sum total of some components, and in particular of those mentioned in the definition. On the contrary, social being represents a unity, an organic interreletionship of all its components; it is a single whole, an independent social phenomenon which differs from all the properties and feetures inherent in coch of its components.

At the same time, attention has to be drown to the fect that not all components of social being are equally relevant, both within the system of being and in social life as a whole. The production of metsrial goods is of decisive importance for social life in its entirety.

Social being varies in eccordance with historical periods and countries. The generation born in the mid-twentisth contury have seen one set of living conditions in cspitalist countries, another set in socialist ones, and s still difforont sot in devoloping ones. Each of the groups of those countries has its own lovel and nature of production se well as specific occnomic, social and political relations.

In the course of the world revolutionery process and intensive revolutionery ectivity by this generation of workers in all countries, the new social being is being created which will serve as the basic foundation for the life of future generations.

Peece, which is pursued by Communists, all revolutionsrias and progressives on this planet, ie the primary, basic prerequisite for human life.

Social consciousness is a more general entegory of historical materialism which denotes society's spiritual life and reflects social being. Social conscionences is not equivalent to the consciousness of an individual person; it represents the ontlock, idoes and conceptions characteristic of s given society in general or of an individual social group. In e close society, close consciousness hee a class nature. At the social being of the dominant classes and thet of working people is not the sens, their social consciousnesse is not the sens either.

Social coneciouenese is a reflection of social being in science and philosophy, in works of art, in political and legal ideology, in norals, religion, mythology, cuetons and traditions, popular wiedon, psychological makeup, in eocial norms and views of claseee, of social groups and of mankind as a whole. There is a continuoue interaction between the individual and eocial conscionsnese. Standarde of consciousness, having been evolved historically, become personal convictions, a source of moral prescriptions, of sesthetic feelings and notions. In turn, personal ideae and convictione acquire the neture of a social value and of a social force when they become part of social consciousness, acquire the nature of a standard of behaviour and a universal relevance.

Therefore, social being and social consciousness embrace the basic domains of social life, the naterial and the spiritual.

The basic law of social life is that social being determines social conscioueness. Still, eccial conecioueness is not a peecive result of changes in being; on the contrary, it actively influences the latter. It can earn either as an impetus or as an obstacle to its development; it can restrain some supects of the material process of real life and serve es an incentive for others. Like Marxist-Leniniet theory in general, the lew of relationship of social being end social conscioueness is of an enormous prectical importance in revolutionary struggle, in the building of a new society and in a conscious and scientifically substantiated nanagement of social development.

The specific mechanism of interaction of social being and social consciousness is revealed, in particular, in a study of the correlation of freedom and necessity in social life.

Historical Recessity vs. Freedom

Is man free in social life? What underlies his everyday ections and attitude to society? Is man cepable of changing the existing

eocial order at will? These, and similar, questions have always had a place of importance in the history of social thinking. Non-Marxist philosophere and sociologists, when etudying quaetions of the type, adopt two extreme positions, that of <u>fataliam</u>, which regards human activities in history as pre-determined by supernatural forces or hereditary biological makeup of men, or that of <u>voluntariem</u>, according to which human actions are arbitrary and not pre-determined.

The law of interaction of social being and social consciousness eerves as a besis for s dialectical and materialist colntion to the question of correlation of, on the one hand, gan's will, deciree and intentione, i.e., human freedom, and, on the other, of objectively effective material laws of social development beyond man's command, i.e., of historical necessity.

It was in the light of the basic law of social life that the deficiencies in approachee of old, pre-Marxian msterialiste, who, sincerely as they triad, were incapable of solving the problem of correlation of freedom and necessity, become understandable as well. They felled to understand the social nature of men, the objective social laws of human life; they only saw man as an individual, while regerding society as a congloneration, or a simple sum total, of individuals.

Relying on the proposition that all human qualities ere formed in joint lebour and that ecciety obeys the beaic law of interaction of accial being and accial consciousmess, Marxisa built its analysis around society, rather than the individual. It then beceme clear that necessity in accial life was not some kind of a determining factor or a process taking place outside men, behind their backs and dominating them; on the contrary, historical necessity is historical social activity itself, and this necessity comprises natural actions by men and their scivities as a necessary result.

Heceasity is the social being of men themselves in historical development. Hen are the makers of their own history, yet the making of it is not arbitrary; men are not free to choose any conditions they please for their sctivity. Historical necessity means that man's energy, desires and conectous activity are limited by conditions under which man is placed by social being inherited from previous generations. The neture, lawe, properties and real capabilities of social

being cetabliahed previously become for each specific generation a necessity, independent of its will and desiree, which cannot be diaregarded or ignorad.

The conception of freedom and of the possibility of making use of it is deduced by Marx from historical necessity. It is due to the fact that necessity and historical regularity are never more than a specific result of activity being developed by men thenselvsa, and necessity is the being of ecciety as such, that necessity can be transformed, not in the sense of it being eliminsted or sholished (which is possibls), but in the sense of theoretical comprehension and practical application of laws of necessity and of purpossful practical formation of a social being under which men will live and act.

Various possibilities are always open to mankind, and advancement of accisty toward utilisation of favourable optione depends on the unity of action of the popular masses.

It is historical necessity, therefore, that underlies the possibility of freedom for men and for society which is the maker of its history. The conception of historical freedom eleborated by Marx has nothing to do with conceptions of arbitrariness, subjective will, desires, etc. Freedom is brought about by cognition of objective laws (i.e., the laws of historical nacessity) and by an ability to utilise them. Freedom is not passive knowledge. Hegal's famous dictum "freedom is recognised necessity" is not quite trus. Freedom is scientifically substantiated, purposeful activity by the popular masses sized at transforming society and nature. Freedom is based on necessity.

It should be pointed out that determinism, objective necessity and real laws of nature and of social development, taken by themselves, do not result in freedom, even if they are known to men. One can assume, in principle, that nen who are known to sen of social laws would still not use their knowledge to sctively interfers, in practical terms, in social life. Are they, in effect, free? Of course, not, in spite of the fact that historical determinism offers them the requisites for freedom. The supreme manifestation of freedom finds its reflection in a substantiated activity of revolutionary

17-855 257

transformstion which changes social relations, old social being and the mode of influencing nature. An understanding of history as revolutionary practics means that it is only a revolutionary social class, which consciously transforms all the activities and life of a society, that can be genuinely free.

The sttsinment of freedon is not a moral or a purely cognitive task; it requires historical activity of the masses of working people aimed at fully mastering tendencies of historical development on the basis of a scientific analysis of these tendancies, and at turning then from the inevitable necessity of a spontaneous process into the freedom of conscions action, that of practice of class struggle or a purpoceful management of development of a socialist sociaty and of the corresponding transformation of the environment.

Freedom, acen as the sctivity of mssses, is primarily the freedom of a revolutionary class, of a party and of a scientifically-managed society. Parsonal freadon is only effected through the freedom of s'collective, or of s society. It is only in a collective that an individual acquires the means which enable him to dayslop his inclinations comprehensively; therefore, it is only in a collective that personal freedom is poseible. Yet personal freedom does not mean that men is totally free of the free society. Lenin pointed out that absolute freedom of personality, not limited by snything, was pure fiction. es one cannot live in s society and he free of it. A free acciety is still governed by objective social laws; in it, some rules, duties and rights exist which maintain social order and the very freedom of the ecciety. It is the individual who knows the laws and social requirements and acta accordingly that is free. Personal freedom, therefore, is directly related to social necessity. If the queation of personal freedom is to be solved, one has to turn to the social system and find out whether a society is free, whether it is effecting a reasonable, purpoeeful and ecientifically auhstantiated transformation of its social heing, whether it is guided in its activities by s knowledge of objective Iswa of accial development, etc. It will then become clear whether a reel hasia for personal

freedom exists in the society or the slogan of personal freedom has been made an smpty phress with no basis in the reslity of social life.

As noted above, the production of material goods in a society is the basic element of social being.

3. Msterial Production, the Basis of Social Life

Production Structurs.
Productive Forces

Not only do men crests material products for their sxiatence in the process of production; they

also produce and reproducs their social relations.

In the process of joint labour men interset, on the one hand, with nature, and on the other, with one another. These two sets of relationships constitute the inalianshly linked aspects of any specific production activity.

The relations between society and nature take place through the intermediary of productive forces; relations between men in the process of production represent production relations.

Let us examins the structure of productive forces.

Productive forces are the forces and means used by society to influence nature so as to transform it purposefully.

The nature of this influence on nature and the mode of sequisition of means of livelihood represent the mode of production.

Understandably, it is not the entire environment that man influences in the process of lebour, but only individual objects he wents to change so as to make them fit his needs. As soon as nam begine to set on a natural object in some memor, the object cesses to be a merely natural one; it becomes part of social life, the sphere of labour. It becomes an object of labour.

An object, process of phenomenon acted on by man's labour become objects of lebour. Everything man nees to act upon the object of lebour represents means of lebour. A means of lebour is a thing or a set of things placed by man between himself and the object of lebour and used as a conduit of his action on the object.

Means of labour comprise objects used by man to set on the object of labour directly such as an axe, a space, a machine, etc.; these are all <u>labour implementn</u>. Besides these, a wider meaning of the tern "labour means" includes all the <u>material conditions</u> required for the process of lebour to take place et all. Some examples of these labour means are production huildings, canals, roeda, etc.

If production is to take place, i.e., if the needed products are to be manufactured, the mere existence of means of labour or an object of labour is insufficient. They have to be combined for the purposes of production. Taken together, the means of labour and the object of labour are called means of production.

The means of production account for the most important part of eociety's productive forces. Yet taken in isolation, the means of production, no matter how advanced, can produce nothing. They are but dead objects of nature transformed by men. It is only when they are involved in men's labour that they become means of labour, ere included in the production process and become meterial elements of sociel life.

Productive forces, which include means of labour, should then of necessity comprise men who set in motion the means of production, i.e., <u>lebour force</u>.

Labour force, or ability to work is the totality of physical and spiritual abilities of an organism, of a living human heing, used every time when it produces some articles which can satisfy some hunen need or other.

Lebour is always collective. A division of labour always exists in society, and the higher the level of development of production and the more advanced the means of production, the greater the division of labour.

As a productive force, man must have some lebour skille, and possess some knowledge of meens of production, principles of the production process, properties of the lebour object, etc. As production develope, the knowledge becomes increesingly complex, while its volume increases continuously. The knowledge is developed by science which thus influences the development of society's productive forces.

Practicel epplications of science and the process of materialisation of knowledge represent the process of trensformation of science into a direct productive force. That science is s productive force at the present juncture means that modern means of production are developed on the basis of scientific schievements; that science elaboretes the principles and modes of the production process, of production technology; that science provides the knowledge heving scquired which man can become the labour force in modern production; that under socialism social sciences serve as a besis of social production planning and of the selection of the best options for the development of science and technology; the strength of Marxist-Leninist science under socialism is also reflected in the fact that on its basis, a communist attitude to work is formed.

Historically, social productive forces ere in constant development under the influence of eocial neede and in s dislectical interrelationship with production relations. Governed by the laws of development of production in ite entirety, productive forces slso develop under the influence of the laws of their own.

Laws of Development of Productive Forces The 1sws of development of productive forces represent essential relations and correlations within objective

reality which underlie and determine the essence and historical process of the development of means of lebour, se well se those of men ss a productive force, end the relations thereof.

Of fundamental importance is the law of the determinion role of neture vis-h-vie the neture of technology, of means of lebour. This lew explsine what conditions the form, the deeign of s technical device. If nen is to transform objects and to setiefy his need, he must cognise the properties and lews of transformed netural objects and creete a corresponding implement. The design of the implement is determined by the laws cognised and used so well as by natural lews, properties. According to Lenin, "sechenical and chesical technique serves human ends just because its character (seesence) consiste in its being determined by external conditions (the lews of neture)."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 38, p. 188.

The law of the dotorning role of natural laws as regards the nature of technology and means of production is of groet importance for understanding the historical development of the means of production and of productive forces in general. The sequence seen in history in the development of means of labour, the stages in development of technology and its universal and historical course are, in the final analysis, also determined by natural laws. It is not on an arbitrary basis or in accordance with purely subjective wishes that men work out designs for individual mechanisms, but in strict accordance with, and on the hasis of laws and properties of the natural processes, phenomens and objects they make use of. Doubtlessly, accial requirements resulting from the development of accio-economic relations are the acurce and prime motive power of progrega. Yet here we deal with the determining festure underlying the progressive transition of technology from, for instance, simple machines to steam engines, from steam engines to the electric motor, i.e., with historical sequences in the development of technology. The auccession is governed by the succession in the cognition of the laws of nsture, its structure, relationships and correlations.

We ere thus approaching the <u>basic law of development of ecience, of knowledge</u>. According to Lenin, "cognition is the <u>process</u> of the submersion (of the mind) in an inorganic nature for the aske of subordinating it to the power of the subject... Human thought goes andleeely deeper from appearance to essence, from essence of the first order, sa it were, to essence of the second order, and so on <u>without end.</u>" Nature is not a random set of various phenosens, processes and objects. It is a composite system with laws of its own and with a strictly definite etructure. Some laws and levele of the atructure are perceivable by men_(external phenomena), some lees so (the essence of the first order), while still others find their pleco deep within natural relationshipe (the essence of the escond order), and so on, endlessly.

^{1.} v.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 38, pp. 194, 251.

Historicelly, human cognition has been following these steps. or orders in nature. As man masters increaningly advanced structural levols of nature and its ossential elements, he creetes corresponding increesingly cophisticated mesns of labour, while developing himself as a productive force. Historicelly, a strict sequence is established in the development of productive forces. Mankind is unable to skip over s stage of development. It would not have been possible, for example, to create nuclear and leaer technology before that of electricity, or electrical technology earlier than the stesm engine. The objective structure of neture would prevent this. Mankind was forced to develop seems of production and all productive forces in the historical order in which corresponding natural proceeees are objectively correlated; thermodynamic processes are more cognisable than electrical once, to be followed by nuclear processes. The correlation of natural processes and man's activity to develop science and technology is such that man follows nature while facing the objective world: mankis dependent on it and uses it to determine his activity. Noture and its structure predetermine the course of and basic stages in the development of ecience, technology and productive forces in general. Mankind could not have created an electric motor before it had mastered mechanical movement: nor could it have made use of nuclear energy before it had herneceed electric power. The transition of knowledge and practical ectivities to a new structural natural level, or to an secence of a higher order, . eignifies a more or less profound revolution in acience, technology and productive forces.

The lew of determining importance of naturel laws viee-vie the essence of technology and labour means demonstratee the manner in which the means of labour are bessed on their contects and relationships with nature. Yet the other eide of the means of labour is related to man whose production cepabilities and natural inclinations also have a significant influence on technology and on the nature and course of historical development of labour means. This aspect of technological progress and development of lebour means is governed by another hasic law, the law of transfer of production functions from men to technical facilities. The
sutire progress of machinery consists in the replacement
of men's labour by machines. The gradual replacement of menal labour hy machines is the essence of technological progress. As technology advances men's labour is incressingly
supplented by a number of sophisticated machines. The law
reveals the essence of technological progress. Like the law
of the determining role of ustural lews as regards the essence of technology and means of production, this law underlies
the general historical development of productivs forces, its
nature and general course. A study of the laws reveals the
essence of the current scientific and technological revolution and demonstrates its place in the historical development
of science, technology and productive forces in general.

Revolution in Science and Tschnology If one examinee manual lebour which was predominant in tha period preceding the introduction of mechinery, it is sesily

agen that it is composed of three main functions: executive (man usee his hands to perform the necessary manipulations with manual implementa); that of propulation (performed with the force of men's musclee); and managerial (man's thinking purposefully controls the entire labour proceas).

The progress of mechinery consists in the creetion of devices to which these functions are consistently transferred one efter another. Each transfer represents a revolution in machine technology.

It should he noted that this acquence of transfers of functions is strictly observed in the history of technology. The sequence expresses the sessence of the lew under consideration — the correlation of human organs: the wrist, nuccles and the thinking brain. It represents a kind of e programme for historical development of technology. Indeed, e power mechanism cannot bewased in a production process where a nanual implement is set in motion by hand. Technology and means of production of necessity develop historically along the course where man's functions, initially that of execution, then that of propulsion followed by that of menegement, are taken over

by machinos.

The industrial rovolution in the 18th century was dre to the invention of a number of machines which took over the executive function. It was the first revolution in mechine technology which opened up the way to mechanisation of production.

The next breakthrough in the development of technology, the eecond revolution, wes duo to the invention of the steam engine, followed by other power mechinee, including electric motors, turbinee, etc. The mschinee take over man's propulsion function.

Pointing out the historically necessery sequence in the development of means of lshour, K. Marx etsted: "It was ... the inventions of machines that made a revolution in the form of eteem-engines ascessary. ... But assuming that he /man/ ie ecting eimply as a motor, that a machine hes taken the place of his tool, it is evident that he can he replaced hy natural forcee. " The escond revolution opened up a wide range of possibilities for integrated mechanisation of production. With highly mechanised production, the only function left to man is that of maneging the production process.

The mid-20th century esw the creation of various types of sutometic devices to which man trensferred hie third function, that of operating machinee and menaging production proceeees.

It is the creation of sutometed systems and the transfer of the managerial function from man to sutomatic machinee that constituts the current revolution in technology. With sutorstion, there is no longer a nesd for man to participets in the production process directly; man performe the tesks of monitoring and observing the production process.

The withdrawal of man from immediate involvement in the production process crestss hasically new conditions for the development of technology. Essentially, they are as follows. At the time when man was a component of machinery systems and of the production process, the functioning and development of machine technology, according to Marx, had to "mest with certain natural chatructions in the weak bodisa and tha strong wills of its human sttendants". I Yet at the time when, following the introduction of sutometic systems man is no longer directly involved in the production process, the mechinary system, as Marx noted, "has a productive organism that is nursiv objective."2 The creation of machine technology and the development of technological principles are no longer limited by the nesd to take into account the subjective isctor, i.e., msn's productive shilities. At this stage sugineering and technology only depend on the possibilities offered by the cognised laws of nature and social requirements. Thus conditions are established under which, in the first place, the rate of technological progress is vestly accelerated, and secondly, technical facilities and industrial ontput produced become aubetantial and increasingly diversified.

The development of engineering and technology sccelerates the development of actence and broadens the field of rassarch; in turn, this influences engineering, technology and acciel production as a whole.

Science is e social sctivity sixed at cognition of universal laws and acquisition of knowledge. Its historical development consists in the fact that man's mind increasingly penstrates the sessnee of natural processes and reveals systems profound relationships between universal phenomens. The history of science also witnessed revolutions related to substantial advances in cognition of nature. The latest revolution in natural science began at the turn of the century. A compreheneive smalysis of the revolution was sade in Lenin's Materialism and Empiric-Criticism (1908). Its assence lies in the fact that human knowledge, instead of merely cognising substantial laws of individual phenomens it was previously engaged in, undertook to master the fundamental processes underlying all cheervable natural phenomens.

^{1.} Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 380.

^{2.} Thid., p. 364.

The letest revolution in natural sciencs leid ths scientific foundation for the current revolution in science and technology. Scientific achievements offer a besically new possibility of maneging natural processes in sccordance with pre-set socially relevant goals, rether than simply using individual natural processes in production. This is the sesence of current revolutionary transformations in sciences. The creation of nan-nade materials with pre-determined properties, scientifically substantiated and purposeful modification of heredity in living organisms, research in controlled thermonnels fusion and in weather control, etc., are just some examples of the possibilities already offered by modern science.

According to the first basic law of development of means of labour, the essence of labour means arrived at in the course of the current revolution in science and technology is determined by the natural laws revealed by science, which are effective at the profound structural level of neture studied by modern science. The design of nuclear facilitiee, the principles of chemical technology and the structure of spece vahicles reflect natural laws which menkind has been mastering in the 20th century. Science is currently becoming a productive force in social development.

The revolution in technology and that in science are interralated, and the current revolution in productive forces is therefore referred to as the scientific and technological revolution. Essentially it offers the possibility of using autometed systems to manage natural processes in accordance with social requirements, i.e., of naking notural processes follow the course desired by nan and achieve the required result, which means that the natural processes becomes a technological, or industrial ons.

The current scientific and technological revolution is taking place in various forms and to various extents in all countries. Its social importance and socio-economic consequences, like the consequences of the development of productive forces in general, are directly dependent on the social system. Under capitalism, with the dominance of private ownership of means of production, the scientific and technologiof machine technology, according to Marx, had to "mest with certain natural obstructions in the weak bodies and the strong wills of its human sttandants". 1 Yet at the time when, following the introduction of sutomatic systems man is no longer directly involved in the production process, the machinery system, as Marx noted, "has a productive organism that is purely objective."2 The creation of mechine technology and the development of technological principles are no longer limited by the need to take into account the subjective factor. i.e., men'a productive abilities. At this stage engineering and tschnology only depend on the possibilities offered hy the comised laws of nature and social requirements. Thus conditions are established under which, in the first place, the rete of technological progress is vestly accelerated, and ascondly, technical facilities and industrial output produced become substantial and increasingly diversified.

The development of angineering and technology accelerates the development of science and hroadens the field of research; in turn, this influences angineering, technology and social production as a whole.

Beienes is a social activity simed at cognition of universal laws and acquisition of knowledge. Its historical devalopment consists in the fact that man's mind increasingly panetrates the assence of matural processes and reveals ever more profound relationships between universal phenomena. The hietory of science also witnessed revolutions related to substential sevences in cognition of nature. The latest revolution in natural science began at the turn of the century. A comprehensive smalysis of the revolution was made in Lenin's Materialies and Empiric-Criticism (1908). Its assence lies in the fect that human knowledge, instead of merely cognising substential laws of individual phenomena it was previously engaged in, undertook to master the fundamental processee underlying all observable metural phenomena.

^{1.} Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 380.

^{2.} Thid., p. 364.

pursued by those in whose hands the means ere to be found.

Production Reletions

The historical development of productive forces leeds to changes in msn's ettitudes to

neture and to the development of modes of production.

Yet it is not only their attitude to neture thet men develop in the process of production; reletions between men ers also developed, i.e., their <u>production relations</u> srs improved.

Both the mode of production and the production reletions change historically, es people improve then to mset their requirements. Making e historical analysis of the cepitalist mode of production, Marx stated: "The production reletions corresponding to this specific, historically determined mode of production — relations which human beings enter into during the process of sociel life, in the crestion of their sociel life — possess a specific, historical and transitory character. "I

The discovery of the mode of production end of production relations corresponding to it is a nost important discovery credited to Marx. It made possible e complets scientific explenetion of ell phenomene of social dsvelopment end e science of the revolutionary transformation of social life. With reference to the essence of Marx's theory of class struggle, lenin pointsd out: "Taking ss its starting-point a fact thet is fundamental to all human society, nanely, the mode of procuring the means of subsistence, it connected up with this the relations between psopls formed under the influence of the given modes of procuring the means of subsistence, and showed that this system of relations ("Takings of sociproduction", to use Marx'n terminology) is the basis of socipty, which clothes itself in political and legal forms end in definits trends of social thought."

Production relations are sometimes approached too narrowly, es the relations people entsr into in the process of their direct involvament in production, like relatione

^{1.} Karl Harx, Capital, Vol. 3 , Moscow, 1971, p. 878. V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 1, p. 410

cal revolution makes old contradictions more scute and leads to new ones, while its results are beneficial to the owners of means of production and the monopoly bourgeoisis; under socialism, as the means of production are public property, the scientific and technological revolution is a basic element in the process of establishing and developing the material and technical base of a new society and serves the purpose of improving the well-being of the entire people. The essence of the productive forces, the manner in which they are being used, the degree of application of individual sciantific achievements and of development of individual fields of engineering and ecience, etc., depand on the acciety, its goale, requirements and tasks. The relationship of the means of production and productive forces with society is revealed in the law of influence of accial goals and requirements on the nature and course of development of productive forces.

In pursuit of profit and of the goal of emassing capitals, cepitalist society channels all scientific and technological echievements into maximum exploitation of man and nature, driving workors to exheuation and destroying the natural environment; it uses the current scientific and technological revolution primarily to create waspons of mass destruction, military-industriel complexes and to militarise all social life.

Setiafection of material and cultural needs of all society's members is the goal of socialist production and the task set by socialist for the development of technology, productive forces and production as a whole. This changes the social nature of productive forces while making them humane in nature, for scientific and technological advances are used for the purpose of making men spiritually richer and comprehensively developed.

The law demonstrates that it is not acience and technology that are to blees for the calamities secribed to them under capitalism, but the sociel system which sets the goals and purposes of taking profit by exploiting men. Any means of labour, from a primitively shaped stone to sodern electronice and nuclear plants, can be used both to the benefit and to the detriment of man. Their nes is determined by the goals

ry, derivative and therefore not the main element of relationshipe between men. Law itself ie not a pert of production relations, Relations to meane of production ere based on social relatione between men, with privete ownership of means of production creating relations of domination and eubmiesion, i.e., the relatione of exploitation, and public ownership underlying relations of friendehip and comradely mutual aseietance.

Depending on the nature of property, another important element of production relatione ie established, that of relatione of dietribution of material goode produced. Private ownership of meane of production underliee dietribution in the form of profits scorued to the property owner and in the form of wegee, or the price of workere' labour; public ownership under eccieliem entsile dietribution of material goods in accordance with the quantity and quality of eccially useful labour. Under eccialiem, social funds ara also beneficial to all members of the society, and to every ons in the eams meeeure, through the system of public education, health and child care, etc., run by the socialist state and by social organisations.

The totality of production relations forme the heeis, or economic structure, of a ecciety. A ecientific understending of eocial life, of eocial, political, ideological and other relatione ie impossible without e etudy of production relations dominant in a society. Social progress, eocial transitions from one historical stege to another, and revolutionary changee take place on the basis of changes in production relatione.

It is now clear that ascertaining the sources and laws of development of social production is important in analysing social life in its entirety.

Production Development: Its Sources and Motive Forces

The sourcee and notive forces of changee in natural, social and spiritnal phenomena have always taken s prominent place in philosophical and sociolo-

gical theories.

In most general terms, it is the etruggle of opposites,

between workero, ar thoso hetwoon a foreman, a tesm loader and e worker, or those between individual shaps, specialised enterpriace, atc. Relations of this kind are not production reletione in the Marxiat meaning of the term. These are technological relatione, hazed on the manufacturing technology of a specific product and, na a whole, forming part of the sphere of productive forces. It is technological relations that are often referred to by theareticians af "the new induetrial acciety", the "post-industrial acciety", "the technetronic society" and of other datrines an industrialiem, in their vain attempta to eubatantiate tha idee af a "technological determinian", according to which engineering and tachnology determine all socia-economic, palitical and even moral relations emong men in e ecciety. In the procees, they cometimee meke referencee to Marx, in their attempta to prove that Marx allegedly took a similar position. In reality, however, Marx, Engels and Lemin wers engeged in a constant etruggle against these primitive ecciological conceptions.

Production relations ere relatione between major groups of men in a ecciety -- social clesses, eccial strata and social groupe in the social production process. These are broad social relations which, according to Merr, people enter into in the process of social production of their lives.

Production relations comprise primarily reletione to meane of production, expressed in the nature and forms of ownership of means of production. In its essence, ownership represents the relation of one close to enother through the intermediary of things (meens of production), rather than a relationship vie-à-via objecte.

In principle, there cen be alternative reletione to meena of production, with the letter either belonging to an entire acciety, or owned by an individual or a part of the society. In other worde, the means of production can be either public or private property. Ownership means more than just a legelly established right to a thing. What awmership represents is primarily e reel reletionabip between men, where the intermediary is their reletion to things, the latter being meene of production. This economic nature of ownership finds ite reflection in a legal right to property. Here law is econde-

develop; they have no inherent need to improve their vital organs used to satisfy their vital requirements. Their evolution means adjustment to the chenging environment, rather then progress. What, then, makes the development of human society different from the evolution of the animal world?

The basic slomenta of the snewer to the question, like those of many others, are contained in labour, a specifically human activity.

Attantion should primarily be drawn to the fact that labour is collective, or social, and thet it remeins so even if in a specific situation a man can be working alone. A collective always hos a much more developed ability to work than an individual member of the collective does. This is mostly due to the fact that in the collective labour is divided, or specialised, with everyone developing specific skills.

In the process of creating a product of 1sbour, the collective imparts to it properties in a veriety which surpsses the sbilities of every individual. The object thus created is the material embodiment of the collective's strength, reason and sbilities. In the labour process, therefore, man derives surichment from labour implements, labour objects and the process of labour itaelf, while developing human qualities, abilities and requirements. Every individual absorbs the totality of the collective's abilities, as wall es those of society. This happens to all mambers of the collective and, consequently, to the collective as a whols.

Continuous development of man and human society thus takes place under the influence of its inherent source, the interaction of individual and collective, man and society, among other inclinable opposites.

The devalopment of man, his forces and capabilities enteile a broadening of the range of degands put forward by his life and activities, and an increase in requirements, i.s., material requirements, those concerned with labour, communication, cognition, plasaure, atc. In the process of lebour, production experience is accumulated, so well as lebour skills and abilities; thus, man eo a lebour force is

or the contradiction inherent in a phenomenon in development, that is the internal source of development.

If one is to analyse the sources of development of social production, and those of society as a whole, one has to examine the question of how this general philosophical provision is usde more specific when applied to social life.

To hegin with, the concepts "a source" and "the motive forces" of social development have to be made more precise.

When one talks shout the source of development what is meant is the most profound cause and the actual raison d'etre of the phenomenon's development, and the inherent motivation of development. Revealing the sources of development should serve as the hasis for understanding the developing phenomenon as a whole.

The motive forces are the direct executors of the action of the source of development. In a specific interrelationship of phenomene, the motive forces become immediate causes, yet what makes them different from the accurce is that a more profound reason underlies their action, while the source, taken in s csuae-and-effect relationship, hecomes a primary reason, hsing caues aui. Which means that an analysis of social proceasea should reveal their essence and go down to their hasics, rather than remain superficial vis-s-vis these phenomena. The source of development is contained in the rootcauses of the phenomenon's heing itself. It follows that if the source of sociel development is to he revealed, lahour, among all factors influencing its development, should be given priority, and that it is in it that inherent primary causes of development of all that is human and of all social proceases should he found. Lahour itself also develops.

If finding the acurce of development of lahour is spproached superficially, the task acema quite essy, as people appear to develop their lahour and seams of lahour as as correct and sufficient for certain purposes. A more careful snalysia, however, revesls that it remains unclear why the requirements change and develop and how the requirements acrye as an impetus for the development of means of lahour and of lahour as a whole. With animals, vital needs do not

ed tha question of raquiraments as a source of development in the following manner: a need is always "the need for new production, and therefore provides the conceptual, intrinsically actuating reason for production, which is the pre-condition for production. ...If it is avident that externally production supplies the object of consumption, it is equally avident that consumption posits the object of production as a concept, an internal image, a need, s motive, s purpose. Consumption furnishes the object of production in a form that is still subjective. There is no production without a need, but consumption ra-creets the need. "1"

If the ideal factor is disregarded, it is impossible to understand the sasence of the source of development or of development itself. "Everything which sats man in motion must go through their sinds." It must be borne in mind, however, that even if requirements as a source of development ere the reflection of objective contradictions between production proper and consumption, their social nature makes them objective. The nature of requirements is always social, even if in some situations they appear personal, since man's parception of individual requirements is that of a social being.

If raquirements which represent something necessary for an individual person are disregarded, and raquirements are seen only from the viewpoint of their social significence, cocial requirements can be defined as everything that is already necessary for the society's functioning but still not in existence. Requirements are a reflection of objective tendencies of development of social production in images and purposes, which is why they are always above the level echieved by the letter. Requirements can be material, social, spiritual, intellectual, etc. A most important element of economic relations, requirements manifest themselves in the form of intereste which have a mejor role to play as incentives for social development.

K. Herx, A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy, Progress Publishers, Mascow, 1977, pp. 196-197

K. Marx and F. Engele, Selected Works in Three volumes, Vol. 3, Moscow, 1970, p. 367.

undergoing development, based, in turn, on the need to improve the means of production, i.a., the means and objects of labour. Within the system of productivo forces, man is the most mobile and revolutionary element.

It is thus in the process of labour and production that requirements which serve as incentives for production development srice and develop; it is in the same labour process, however, that men's real poseibilities and ability to estisfy their needs are formed and developed.

If one is to ascertein the manner in which the sources of production progress are established in social production, one has to examine some basic concepts of social production.

The concept of social production is used both in its narrow and ite wide sense. In the first instance it stands for social ectivity simed, specifically, at the direct mannfacture, or creation, of individual products. Yet this kind of production cannot exist by itself. It is directly linked to consumption of products and neans of production. In social life, the linkege of production and consumption takes.plsco vie distribution and axchange. Thus social production in its brosder eenee takes place; it includes production proper (i.e., the manufacture of products), distribution, exchange and consumption. Clearly, the entire system of social production gravitetes towards two oppositee, production proper and consumption. The opposites are dialectically interrelated. "Production," Marx said, "is thus st the same time consumption, and consumption is st the same time production. Each is simulteneously its opposite. "1

Taking its place between production and consumption is the requirements, a concentrated reflection of the unity of the two opposites, the living embodiment of the contradictionbetween the two. Thus, the requirement, with its inherent contradiction, is the source of development of both production proper and consumption, as well as of the entire social production system and of society as a whole. K. Marx explain-

K. Merx, A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy, Progress Publishers, Mascow, 1977, p. 196.

needs, or rsquirements, and the provision of incentives for lsbour, which go beyond previous requirements, represent a major progressive role of cspitalism; it was this that ensbled cspitalism, albeit in the form of bsrbsric exploitation, to accomplish rapid and largs-scale devalopment of productives forces and of production as a whole. What exactly are the rsquirements which were established historically?

In the course of development of mechine production and its specialisation, as scientific consciousness progreased and technological applications of scientific knowledge brosdessed, and as capitalism was being setablished, qualitatively new requirements were anerging which served as a specific source of social development at that stage of sconomic development. The requirements, which smerged historicelly, were borne of production itself, i.s., these were social requirements arising from social production and exchange. Under natural sconomy, for instance, in a pre-capitalist period, engineering or chamical enterprises were not required. Ist at a time when machinery and chamical fetilizers are used in egriculture and its output is for sele, the engineering and chamical enterprises become a social necessity.

This provision is very important for understanding economic problems faced by developing countries. In many of the latter, new eccio-sconomic requirements have not yet been formed. The construction of industrial entsprises, therefore, more often than not seeme e paradox within the system of dominant social requirements which, to a mejor extent, are still incipient. In this case, a system of economic assesures is required, within which the formation of new requirements should have priority.

Under cepitaliem, private cepitalist profit, or euperprofit, is an expression of the new requirements. Cepitalist production is not sized at meeting enterial or spiritual requirements of working men. True, s grest many things and nee-values are produced under cepitaliem; yet this is not because such is its purpose; in this manner the purpose

K. Marx, F. Engele, Worke, Vol. 45, Pert II, p. 18 (in Rueedan).

In social life, requirements are subject to the law of rising requirements discovered by

the classics of Marriez-Leniniam. The essence of the law hes heen revealed by Marx, "The satisfaction of the first nesd, the action of satisfying and the instrument of satisfsction which has been acquired, leads to new needs; and this creation of new needs is the first historical sot."

Governed by the law, in their bistorical development requirements pass several hasic stages. The requirements also underlie the specific motive forces of society's development, se well as the entire organisation of meterial life.

That the nature of requirements as the source of development and of the motive forces is historical means that a general, or shatract, tonsideration thereof is insufficient for an understanding of social life's development. Their specific manifestations have to he secertained at all basic stages of social development.

Early in eocial history, vital requirements, or natural needs in food, housing, clothing, etc., were the source of development.

The source made population growth, along with class struggle and other motive forces, the decisive force determining the development of accial production. The need to provide for the growing population was a motive force specific to social development in the pre-capitalist accio-economic formations.

Capitalian is characterised by escential changes in the source of development of production and the entire society.

Natural requiremente atill exist and develop, yet they see now accondary as a source of development. "As the cesseless striving for the general form of wealth," Marx asid, "cepitel forces Ishour beyond the limits of labour's natural needs" end as a result "natural necessity disappears in its inmediate form, because natural needs have been replaced by the historically produced needs." The creetion of these new

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, Moscow, 1976,

P. A2.
 K. Marx, F.Engels, <u>Works</u>, Vol.46, Part I, p. 281 (in Ruesian).

development, bring forth motive forces which correspond to them, such as socialist emulation, msterial and moral incentives, drives to improve the quality and effectiveness of social production, etc. Social involvement, initiatives and a creative epproach to work become increasingly important motive forces for social development under socialism.

Under socialism, a new source of social progress is also being esteblished. Incressingly, the nesd for comprehensive development of all members of society is becoming such a source.

Comprehensive personal development ie more than a great humanistic purpose for communism; at the highest stege of ecciel development it becomes a need, a eccial and historical requirement. This is due to the fact that it is only a comprehensively developed man that can manege natural processes rationally, as well as operats ecphisticated mechines and control technological proceece, mae the benefits of science and tachnology and solve social, cultural, ideological and other problems in accordance with socially relevent goals.

The need for a free and complete development of every individuel, the establishment of men's creetive talents as a decisive source of social development in the communist ere will also determine its specific motive forces. These will involve man's aspiration to creetive celf-expression end self-realisation and the development of man's desire to cognise the world and master universal laws.

Since, ss Msrx pointed ont, it is in a reasonable manner, rather than by means of serfdon, nobility or abourd mysticism of private ownership, that communist ecciety reestablishes an emotional attitude to land, the fact that man also fashions matter according to the laws of becuty becomes a fer from an unimportant motive force of eccial development under communism. This humans alsoion is to the great edvantage of communism compered to the destruction of the environment canced by modern cepitalist production.

It is only at the highest steep of development, having overcome verious forms of alienation, exploitation, antagonisms, social conflicts and political revolutions, that

specific to espitalish can be served. If a capitalist can gain a profit or acquira a value from weapons production, from murder, hunger and death he is bound to do it, as his purpose and requirement is profit and amplina value.

The new source of production development also determines its corresponding motive forces. Although it has a role to play, population growth nevertheless loses its importance as a primery cause and motive force, in spite of the fact that it takes place at a higher rate. A situation becomes characteristic of capitalism whan, as Herx pointed ont, "it is not population that preases on productive power; it is productive power that preases on population". An unchecked drive to produce and to appropriate surplus-value also results in motive forces characteristic of the specific espitelist stege of social history. Class struggle between the proletariet and the bourgeoisie, competition, etc., sasuma a place of priority.

Under accislism, the source and the motive forces of developing production end the entire acciety are radically different. While the hietorically esteblished raquirements mentioned showe lose mone of their relevence, what is aliminated is their characteristically capitalist form, i.e., priveta profit, or eurplus-valma. Under socialism, however, as production becomes specialised, as acience is turned into an immediate productive force, as the division of labour becomes international, etc., requirements of the typs become increasingly important. Their nature, however, is totally different, as they are besed, in the final analysis, on the nature of eccialism, i.e., the need to setisfy to the fullest extent the growing meterial and spiritual needs of all members of socielist ecciety.

Under eccielism, nee-value egain becomes the goal of production and a eccial requirement.

As cepitalism is destroyed, the notive forces of social devalopment cherecteristic of it also diseppear. Under socialien, neterial and cultural requirements, the source of social

K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Worke, Vol. 11, Moscow, 1979, p. 531.

interested in changing the existing production relations, sven if there may be a consensue that the latter must be changed. Which forces are these and why their unwillingness to accept change? As noted above, it is the form of ownership of the means of production that is the basis of production relations. The class owning the property is the dominant one; it exploits workers while getting most of the material benefits. A change in production relations means that the form of ownership is to be changed and that the dominance of the given class with all its privileges must be eliminated. Unwilling to lose its domination, it struggles for its preservation and, consequently, for the preservation of the existing production relations.

It is because of this that as productive forces devalop in a class society, contradictions between the new productive forces and the production relations, which are becoming obsolete, grow increasingly acute.

Under the circunstancea, the effectiveness of the utilisation of the productive forces ie reduced, while the production of goods required for the dominant class tends to decretion of goods required for the dominant class tends to decretes. The dominant class, while attempting to prevent both of aes. The dominant class, while attempting to prevent both of the tendencies, puraues the opposite aim, that of increaeing the tendencies, puraues the opposite aim, that of increaeing the tendencies, puraues the opposite aim, that of increaeing the tendencies and superprofits not only stems from the increae profite and superprofits not only stems from the inestiability of the monopoly bourgeoisie; it is also largely instibility of the monopoly bourgeoisie; it is also largely influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition, aince a radnetion of profit of influenced by competition. The all-out war taking place in the sequire a higher profit. The all-out war taking place in the world of cepital sphrs up an unbrindled profit drive.

At a time when production relations are becoming obcolete, the profit drive means more exploitation of workers, whose natural reaction is indignation, reflected in atrikes, stoppeges and revolutionary action in other forms. As productive forces develop, exploitation is intensified as, naturaltly, is the revolutionary atruggle. At some stage of their development, society's naterial productive forces find thencelves in conflict with the existing production reletions, or with what is no more than their legal expression, the mankind begins its genuine history, when free development of every msn and comprehensive establishment of his abilities is a condition and a meane of equally free development of

all society members and of society as a whole. It is here that free Isbour, not perverted by exploitation and other factors, has a role to play; labour becomes the genuine source of man's unlimited development and the profound motive force behind social development.

The historical transformation of social production under the influence of the sources discussed and the motive forces discussed above is governed by the lsw of dialectical intersction of productive forces and production relations.

The Lsw of Intersction of Productive Forces and Production Relations As already mentioned, collectives of workers come into being ss a necessary condition for labour. In the process of collective labour men organice

themselves in some manner, i.e., they establish certein relations. The reletions are established in the framework of society es a whole, rather than that of the collective. The relations of production established are such as to maximise the effective use of productive forces. Of necessity, the relations of production correspond to the level and nature of development of productive forces. As the productive forces develop, therefore, the production relations are transformed and rectractured eccordingly. As men acquire new productive forces, they change their mode of production, just as they change the production and all other economic relations which had been required under the previously existing mode of production duction.

A lsw that production relations correspond to the nature sad level of productive forces is therefore in operation throughout the history of production development. Yet in a clase society the law is periodically violated on a large scale. What causes the violations? Underlying them is the existence of social forces in the class society which are not

management accounting and the entire system of economic rolationehips.

The Role of the Environment and Population in Social Life

A dotermining factor of society's accio-economic, political and spiritual development, naterial production is based on and determined by certein na-

tural conditions, which include the environment and population. The concept of the environment donotes the part of the material world which directly influences man and his social life and which he involvee, in some manner or enother, in lebour activity, rather than the entire Universe. It includes climate, colar radiation rates, natural rhythms (the sequences of day and night, currar and winter, etc.), the quality and quantity of water (especially fresh water), of vegetation, animals, mineral resources, surface relief, etc. Until the wid-20th century, man'e ettitude to the environment was based, primarily, on the belief that possibilities of the environment, as far es provision of resources and assimilation of wastes were concerned, were unlimited, and secondly, that the interrelationship enong its verioue components was of no practical relevance for man.

At present, social production hee reached a etage when internal processes and interrelationships within the natural environment have to be taken into coneidarction if it is to be developed further. The concept of tha biosphere has evolved in science, which is taken to mean an organic interreletionship of living, bio-inert end inert mattar. "The biosphare", V.I. Vsrnadsky stated, "is what snvelops life, i.e., tha domain of living matter. *1 The biosphers is the most complex system of all known to science. It bacsme established about 3 to 4 billion years ago and is in historical evolution, while mainteining tha internal balanca of its components.

Man and society as a whole sxist, produce and develop within the biosphera, without which their existence is impossible. Even when spacemen go far beyond the limits of the Earth's biosphere, in their speceship a micro-biosphere is created whose destruction would mean their desth.

^{1.} VI. Varnadsky, Selected Works, Vol.1, Moscow, 1954, p. 178 (in Russian). Living matter is the totality of bodiss "of living organisms inhabiting the Earth."

relations of property, within which they were developing previously. From a form of the development of productive forcee these relations become an impediment thereto. It is at this stegs that the era of social revolution begins.

Revolutionary classes in struggle against exploitation and injustice are usually not aware that what they are etruggling with is checkete production relations which are no longer in eccordance with new productive forces. Their goals are better living conditions, fresdome, elimination of the hated property owners, otc. Their struggle is spearheeded sgainst the dominant class proper and the social order established by it. In ectual fact, objectively the revolutionary struggle is sined primerily at taking over the property of the previously dominant class. A takeover of the hasic means of production, resulting from a social revolution, leads to changes in all the other elements of production relations.

The establishment of new production relations meens that s correspondence is established with the level of development of productive forces thus attained, giving ecope to the development of production as a whole.

Tet gradually a new lack of correspondence of production relatione and productive forces becomes imminent, with the situation evolving towards a new social revolution, so the law of interaction of production relations and productive forces is inviolable, like any other objective universal law-

A socialist revolution transfers the means of production from one clase to the entire society, rether than from a clees to another, and setablishes public ownership of the means of production. Thus social obstecles are eliminated which prevented the application of the socio-historical law of interection of productive forces and production relations. A socialist society is managed consciously and on the besis of objective laws of social development.

As all the productive forces undergo historical development, production relations characteristic of a socialist sociaty are also improved; they include various forms of eccialist social property (state, or public and that of collective farms and cooperatives), economic planning, commodity-money relations, distribution according to labour,

As production devaloped, however, society's harmful impact on the biosphere was becoming more pronounced. The process became especially rapid following the advent of machine technology, growth of industrial production and development of espitalist relations. The rising scale of transformative activity is evident from the increasing number of chemical elements involved in production. From early antiquity to the 18th century, 12 elements were in use; in the 18th century, the number was 37; in the 19th, 76; at present, all of the 89 elements existing in nature are being used, supplemented by another 18 which are man-made, and by over 1,700 isotopes (varieties of chemical elements), most of them artificial.

The volums of fresh water used for production needs is staggering; a major part of it is not returned to the environment, while the remaining part becomes polluted. The rate of global water consumption is skyrocketing, in spite of measures taken to reduce it. While in 1900, 400 cu. km. of water sures taken to reduce it. While in 1900, 400 cu. km. of water sures consumed (including 270 cm.km. irretrievably), by 1950 were consumed (including 270 cm.km. irretrievable); by irretrievable), by 1975 to 3,000 (1,800 irretrievable); by irretrievable); by irretrievable is expected to reach 6,000 cu.km., 3,000 of them irretrievable.

In the course of production development, and especially aa a consequence of the current acientific and technological revolution, the ecciety, as described by Vernadaky in figurative terme, hae become "a powerful geological force", comparable to natural forces of the environment. All volcances on earth are known to eject about 5 billion tone of substances annually in the course of their eruptiona. The modern mining inductry extracte annually 5 to 7 billion tons of uaable minerale and 50 to 70 hillion tone of waete rock, i.e., 20 times as much. Mankind burns up about 20 hillion tone of oxygen, shout 10 per cent of what is produced by the earth's vegetetion; from 1958 to 1976, carbonic acid-concentration in the atmosphere went up 4 per cent, and is expected to rise up to 12 to 20 per cent hy the year 2000. Figuree could go on, yet even these ere sufficient to demonstrate that in the 20th century man'e ectivity hegen to have a noticeable and growing

Society's life and development consist in their action on certain elements of the biosphere, which are transformed according to its requirements. In the course of the sarliest historical period, described by F. Engels as that of savagery and barbarity, the dominant socio-productive technology was a collective one; man influenced nature essentially by gatbering roots, fruit and by slanghtering snimsls. The demsge caused to the biosphere in this nanner, even if temporsry, was next to non-existent. Some 10 thousand years ago, major climetic changes took place on earth; to survive, men graduslly developed a new socio-productive technology which enteiled o trenaformation of and qualitative changes in elements of the biosphere. It was in the course of the same bistorical period that a transition from savagery and berbarity to civiliestion took place, with mankind organising itself in a class society. Production was ained at exploiting men and nature.

Over many thousands of years of development of transformetive cocio-productive technology, its basic principles
were estebliched — the principle of decomposition, or disintogration, of natural systems; the principle of extraction,
or isoletion of an object from its immediate relationable
with natural systems; the principle of channelling ons natural force to oppose esother in secondance with man's predetermined goal; the principle of qualitative transformetiona of natural subatances eo as to adjust them to buman requirements; the principle of disposal of wester and hy-producte
in the environment.

The principles of treneformetive eccic-productive technology demonstrate that it is eized at destroying interrelationships within the biosphere and disrupting the halances hetween its components catablished in the course of thousands and millions of years.

In the course of a protracted historical period, the level of development of the meene of production and the energy potential used by men wee such so to make the influence on the neutral environment ineignificent safer so the biosphere was concerned. The biosphere neutralised society's detrimental impact by reprocessing the wester from social production.

of the biosphere are absrply reduced and pollution periodically exceeds the standards required for the maintenance of man's health and activity; local aituationa of the acological crisia type, when the destruction of individual components of the biosphere in various geographical regione exceeds their biospheric reproduction; local ecological criaia, whan the aituations of the ecological crisis encompass all the basic componente of the biosphere required for human life and activity in a geographical region; the formation of local ecological crises in eeveral regions of the globe signifies the baginning of s global acological cricia. This is the last atage when mankind is atill capable of saving the biosphare and consequently the natural basic of its life, although ite ascrifices at all the preceding stages will be incalculable. The global acological crisis begins when the dastruction of biospherio componenta and their balances and relationships. takes place in wan's most important habitate on earth and exceeds their reproduction by the biosphere over a period longer than s third of human life, i.e., s succeasion of generatione.

Studies of the prevailing situation in society-nature relationship demonstrate that in many geographical regions there is currently a threat of a local ecological crisis; in some, the crimin is beginning, while in other regions the aituation of an ecological criais already exiete. This refere primarily to major industrial centres in capitalist countries and evan to entire countries. The USA is known to provide lees than 60 per cant of oxygen consumed by that country. With leas than 6 per cant of the world's population, the UBA accounts for 40 per cent of annual global consumption of natural resourcea and for over 40 per cent of pollutants discharged in the biosphere. Even e country like Switzerland uses up 39.3 million tons of oxygen annually, while vegetation on its territory produces only 9.5 million tons of it, which means that it is only 25 par cent self-sufficient in oxygen. A similar situstion preveils in other developed cepitalist countries. For production, they use up oxygen produced in territories of other countries.

Thus, what can be described as acological exploitation

influence on the biosphere, and to disrupt the relationshipe between its components. Over the lnst 100 years plan and emissions on land has been reduced by 7 per cent, while the productivances of the earth's living environment fell by almost 20 per cent. Some 25,000 species of plants, i.e., shout 10 per cent of the total number of higher plant species, and shout 30 per cent of animals are endangered species; repid decertification is taking place at the rate of 10 hectares per minute; over the first half of the 20th century the area of arabla lands was reduced by 50 per cent, while in the 1970s the average rate of lose was 5.8 million hectaree per year.

The damage to the hiosphere resulte in growing difficultias in accial production, reflected in resource shortages, growing prices of raw materials, etc. As an integrated indicator, growing expenditures of energy per unit of ontput can be used; from the early century until the mid-1970s the expenditures increased 8 to 10 times in agriculture and 10 to 12 times in industry.

The denage to the environment inflicted by production, through the intermediery of numerous factors se well as directly, influences men, his health and husen life itself: the incidence of cencer, cardiovascular, nervous, mental and other diseases grows, se does the number of newborn children with inherited incurable diseases.

Thus, an unfavourable situation is evolving in the relationship of society with the biosphere, described as the ecolocal criais.

The ecological crisis is a philosophical and sociological category denoting s situation in the relationship of ecciety and the natural environment when destruction hy accipations are cheese a stoge when it hegins to undermine the natural heais of san's life and to endanger men's axistence. Depending on the degree of demage to the hisphere, the etages of the ecological crisis can he as follows: threat of scrisis, when tendencies towards destruction of the biosphere are clearly evident in the acciety-environment relationship; heginning of the ecological crisis, when individual components

sections of the working class, intelligentsis, office employees, craftsnen and petty traders, petty and even middle bourgeoisis. The big menopoly bourgeoisis and the dominant political parties in espitalist states seak to win this mass movement over to their side, to asster it and to channel it in the direction of bourgeois-democratic processes.

Conmunista seek to goin the aupport of the movement, to introduce into it a scientific environmental conecioueness and an underatanding of the accial nature of the ecological problem; they seek to make it clear that it is the dominance of monopolies, capitalist production relations and the pursuit of maximum profit that make the ecological problem increasingly acuto. Communiata seek to make this movement anti-imperialist, while helping popular masses make an important step from merely protesting against the results of cepitalist economic sctivity, which are detrimental to the environment, to rejecting capitalism as a system heatile to both nature and man.

In the process, Communists have to face the rather difficult task of finding a common ideological basis for the nost diverse accial strats participating in the environmental movement.

The common basis is , primarily, action to preserve peace, in favour of detente, against the arms race and for disarasment. Militarisation ie known to be one of the major factora damaging the environment, with its concumption of enormous resources, various harmful wastes, laske of poisonous gasee, radioactivity, military bases, weapone tests, and military exerciaes, all of which destroy the environment, harm the health and endanger the very life of man, irrespectively of which social atratum one belongs to.

There is one more environmental aspect to the atruggle for peace and diearment. Currently over 500 billion dollars are spent on armamente annually, with one out of four scintists in the world engaged in improving military hardware, while 50 per cent of the funds aermarked for ecientific while 50 per cent of the funds aermarked for ecientific research are channelled to the arms race and 50 million skilled workers are employed in the war industry. If weapons reductions were to be tackled successfully, the enormous

of the world's biosphere by capitalist countries is taking place. With less than 20 per cent of the world population, they discharge over 65 per cent of pollutants into the world's biosphere.

It is the working masses that suffer the most from pollution in the cspitalist countries, as they actually have to work at enterprises, their dwellings are usually situated in regions which are ecologically disadvantageous, they have no means of resorting to personal protection from pollution (air conditioners, etc.), they have inadsquate recreation fscilities, etc. Because of this, workers are increasingly active in demanding protection of the environment, building of waste disposal facilities and reducing pollution.

The working class has never failed to include ecological demands in its class struggls. The environmental aspect has found its expression in demands for labour safety, for batter working, living, leisure and health facilitiss. Nowadays . meeting these demands becomes increasingly urgent, while the working class and its parties have to face trescherous tactics of monopolies simed at splitting the ranks of the working class, which have various forms: in response to workers' demande that environment protection funds be incressed the companies bleckmsil the workers, threstening termination of employment due to reduced profits or the need to close down ths enterprises; by thrests of closing down, the companies provoke action by workers of cooperating enterprises against those who had advanced ecological demands; when there are other ressons for closing down, e.g., the enterprises are not profitable or failing, the companies still attribute it to ecological demands, thus splitting the ranks of workers; by thrests of disnissal or closing down, the companies provoke workers' sction sgainst bills on environmental protection, etc.

Thus, explaining to workers of various enterprises that they have common goals and intorests vis-b-vis environmental protection and uniting them in struggle against monopolice are important tasks Communists face.

A specific feature of the mass movement to protect the esvironment is its multi-dimensional noture, and heterogeneity from the social and cless standpoint. It involves various

the other hand, Communists should sweid creating the impression that the colution of ecological problems should be postponed until socialist transformations of accid-economic life can take place. Protection of the environment is a modern form of class struggle, using which the working class can attain much, whils still remaining within the framework of capitalist sociaty. The working masses can force the monopolios to increase the portion of their profits used to build waste disposal facilities, to develop and utilise recycling technological processes, to improve the quality of water in rivers, lakes and other reservoirs, to combat soil erosion, to preserve plant and animal life, to create safe protection systems for atomic power stations.

Over the recent years, a broad movement to protect the environment against the hazarda related to atomic power stations has geined momentum in a number of capitalist countries. At the same time owners of oil monopolies were skilfully making use of the insufficiently informed man-in-thestreet to channel the movement against the development of nuclear energy, which, they claim, is dangarous by itself. What the oil companies were actually doing was pursuing their own goals in the struggle with the companies who invest in nuclear energy. An atomic power station presents no danger if protected properly. The protection is a costly process, and the companies keep their expenditures to a minimum. The ecological movement should demand that companies building atomic power stations install sufficiently reliable safeguarde and earmark sufficient funds for the purpose.

Bowing to the pressura of acological demands by the working massas, many of the capitalist countries have adopted laws on environmental protection; what these boil down to is mostly finss, taxes and compensation payable by the polluting company. Fet the measures can never be effective under capitalism, as the companies are merely charged for polluting, while nothing is being done to prevent it. Beeidas, the companies atill have the option of refunding the fines by atepping up exploitation and raising the prices of their output.

A way of solving the ecological problem capitaliatatyle is anvironmental colonisation of davaloping countries. financial, material and bunan resources thus relessed could be channelled to solving the ecological problem and other problems of vital importance facing mankind.

To misland the working masses and to split their ranks and to protect the military-industrial complex, bourgaois propaganda claims that if military production is atopped, workers will lose their jobs and unemployment will go up. What it fails to mention, though, is that progressive acientists have already worked out the conception of reconversion of war industry to non-military production. Ideologists of the military-industrial complex also write that military preparations provide more suployment than non-military production. Yet atudies demonstrate accepting to data for 1970-1974, 1 billion dollars create 45,000 to 58,000 jobs in the military field and 59,000 to 88,000 jobs, i.e., 14 to 30 thousand more, in the civilian sector.

Another common basis is pronotion of cooperation among peoples and atstes in the solution of scological problems, as these can be solved only through common efforts by all nations.

Another idea which serves so a basis for unity is natural concern for human bealth, now incressingly threatened by pollution.

A specific feature of the ecological problem is its urgancy both at present and in the future. When consumed by men, radioactivity and poieonous chemicals and substances accumulate in the human hody, to be transmitted to the posterity. Today's pollution will thus effect our children, grendchildren and great-grandchildren. Preserving our children's future and health of the next generation is thus a common concern for all sections of society participating in the ecological novement.

Active participation by the working class and Communiste introduces e class and political content in the meas movement to protect environment. With this in mind, Communists, on the one head, here to demonstrate that the cepitalist system, the dominance of monopolies and the pursuit of private profit are the most basic cause of ecological problems. On

An integrated approach to the aclution of ecological problems means that the socialist state, relying on public ownership of the means of production and on natural wealth, elaboratss and takes measures to protect the environment which involve vast economic and geographical regiona, where all industrial enterpriaes and elementa of the environment, such as soils, reservoirs, vegetation, living organisms, atmospheric processes, climate, etc., are treated as a single system.

As opposed to cspitslist production, where the goal is maximum profit, socialist production is simed at meeting man'a material and spiritual raquirements; its object is man and comprehensive development of the human persen. As a result, a socialist society approaches production development sult, a socialist society approaches production development wisely, taking into account, in a comprehensive manner, the interests both of the society ns a whole and of every individual.

If a production process has too great a negative impact on nature and the latter cannot be protected at the preaent level of development of science and technology, the development of such a production process is delayed in the intanests of the present and future generations. In accordance with a decision taken by the Government of the USSR, the State Committee on Environmental Protection has the right te cless dewn old enterprises of this type and to veto the building of new ones. In the USSR, all power, both national and local, is vested in the people, er in the Soviets of Peeple's Deputies, which means that the aclution of the ecclegical problem, protection of the environment and creation of faveurable living cenditions for werking peeple are always centrolled by the peeple.

Under secialism, the Communist Perty is the guiding and leading secial force; on the basis of Marxiat-Leninist theorem, it determines the long-term policy of secial development, directs all creetive activity; it exists for the people and across the people.

Under eccialiem there is ne secial force which would have interests running counter to these of the peeple. All se-

It consists in exports by ospitalist countries of their polluting enterprises to developing countries, where environmental legislation is not effective or totally lacking. Owners of the enterprises are free of fines, they do not spend on waste disposal facilities; they also have chesp labour, sources of raw materials and chesp land to huild new enterpries on. They thus leave their pollutents in the developing countries while exporting net profit to the capitalist ones.

Environmental colonisation also has other forms, like purchases of raw materials at low prices, mining for mins-rale in territories of developing countries by espitalist monopolies which fail to take any measures to protect the environment, including the terrein, soils, vegetation, animal life, etc.; exports of products hanned in cepitalist countries (various pesticides, equipment lacking dieposal facilities, eales of licenses for production processes not yet tested from the point of view of their impact on the environment, etc.); conducting various experiments endangering the environment, etc.

A socialist revolution and the building of a new society do not sutomatically solve the ecological problem; neither do they eliminate from the sgends the task of protecting the environment. Still, eccielism creates all the conditions required for hermonious relations hetween society and nature, for a ecientific colution to problems srising in relations between mand and the environment.

Socialiem has some enormous edvantages as far as the solution of ecological problems is concerned. Among these is the planned neture of social production, which meens that in planning economic development, huilding new plents, factories, power etations, etc., the socialist state takes into eccount the need for protecting the natural environment, for recycling technological processes, for waste disposal facilities, for treining the necessary personnel, etc. It also means that it is on a systematic and planned besis that the socialist state restructures old anterprises to take into account environmental concerns, and that it takes measures to protect the environment from haraful waste products of industrial processes.

goal of survival and procreation of the species. Had it not been for the latter, over a hrief historical period the biomass of men would have surpassed that of the earth.

While mseting their vital requirementa, nen develop productive forces, or the production of material goods, which leada to new requirements, serving, in their turn, as incentives for further economic development. The developing production creates prerequisites for the development of population, for its quantitative growth and qualitative change: the higher the rate of economic development, the more rapid the population growth. By the end of the Palsolith (approx. 15 thonaand yeara B.C.), population is estimated to have reached 3 million, by the end of the Meaclith (7 thousand years B.C.), 10 million, and by the end of the Reolith (2 thousand years B.C.), 50 million. At the beginning of this age, the population of the earth was about 230 million. In the course of the Mesolith, population was growing at the rate of approximately 15 per cent millennium; in the Neolith, with the advent of cattle breeding and agriculture, the population growth rate went up aharply, as population was growing at the rate of 40 per cent per one thousand years; over the last 2 thouaand years B.C. it became 4,5 times as numerous. In the first millsnnium A.D. further population growth came in conflict with the low level of the dsvelopment of productive forces, and in the course of the millennium population only increased by 20 per cent. By the beginning of the ascond millsnnium A.D., the earth's population was 275 nillion; by the year 1500 it graw to 450 million, an increase of 64 per cent over 500 years. In the course of three and a quarter centuriss, from 1650 to 1975, the world's population beceme aeven times as numerous as befors; the first times the number doubled roquired almost 200 yeers, the second less than one hundred, while the letsst took place in no mors than 50 years, in spite of World War II. At the current rate of growth, the earth's population may double in about 35 years. The UN eatimetes that by the yeer 2000 the population will reach 6 to 7 billion. In 1981, over 4.5 billion men lived on earth.

The rapid growth of population is attributeble to the development of occiety's productive forces, a reduction in

cial production and natural resources are managed by the people, which solves all problems, including the ecological one, in the interests of this and the future generations. As a theory and social practice, communism has one more mission of world-historic importance, that of saving mankind from the threst of a global ecological crisis and of establishing a harmonious relationship between society and nature.

Demographic Problema

Apart from natural factors and biospheric conditions, population, its numerical

strength and density over s given territory, is s prerequialte for man's existence and devalopment.

Population is a philosophical and sociological category used to denote the totality of men whose life and sctivity take place on s given territory, circumacribed by some limits (e.g., of a atate, economic, geographical and other neture). The concept of population should be distinguished from those of a populace and a acciety. The concept of populace mainly denotes the number of men inhabiting a territory. The concept of society primarily reflects the essential relationships which units man in a community, i.e., economic, political and ideological relations. Population denotes the quantity and quality of a populace which are sesential for accial life. It includes population quantity in general, age distribution (the number of children, women, man, the young and the old), class composition, occupation (e.g., manual workers, intellectusls, students, etc.), skill level, the number of those sick and the duration of the illness,

Besides the ststietical qualitative and quantitative description of a populace, the concept of population also includes its historical change and development. Birth rate in a populace and its quantitative growth are related to man's biological nature, to specific environmental conditions in e habitst, to tradition and even religious beliefs. Population develops under the influence of the contradiction between, on the one hand, a natural desire to survive end procreate, inherent in all living organisms, and, on the other hand, real conditions of and means for sttaining this

relations are needed. It was F. Engels who pointed this out:
"There is, of course, the abstract possibility that the
human population will become so numerous that its further
increase will have to be checked. If it should become neceeary for communist society to regulate the production of men,
just as it will have alresdy regulated the production of
things, then it, and it alone, will be able to do this without difficulties."

"The production of men" and "the production of thinge" are organically interrslated; the relationship is reflected in economic population laws, which express the dynamice of the work force and the degree and neture of its utilisation. Under capitalism, as production develops and the capital creeted by workers' labour is being accumulated, there ie s discrepancy hetween the growth of lahour force and the rate of its utilisation; this results in redundant labour. "The labouring population," Marx wrots, "therefore produces, along with the accumulation of capital produced by it, the meane by which it itself is mads relatively superfluous.... This is a law of population psculiar to the capitalist mode of production. "2 The capitalist overpopulation has various forms, S.g., s temporary ons, s latent ons, s chronic one, etc. In the general crieis of cspitalism, unemployment, s refisction of relative overpopulation, becomes mase and pereistent.

Under socialism, the <u>population lew</u> is effective, based on the principle of scientifically substantiated management of the quentity and quality of the population in accordance with society's meterial and spiritual requirements, the level end nature of the development of social production and real possibilities of sustaining a hermonicus relationship with the natural environment. The law eatsblishes scene-and-effect the natural environment. The law eatsblishes scene-and-effect relationship between, on the one hand, the growth of escial wealth, end on the other, full employment and rational involvement of all population capable of socially useful labour.

2. Earl Merx, <u>Capitel</u>, Vol. I, pp. 591-592.

^{1.} KMarx, EEngele, Selected Correspondence, Progrees Publishers,
Mascow, 1975, p. 315.

mortality rates and en increase in average life expectancy in countries no longer under colonial or semi-colonial oppression, which occount for 80 par cent of ell population growth. While sa recently as in the 19th cantury eversge life expectancy in Europe was 35 years, the figure now ie 68 to 70 for North America and Europa, 56 for Latin America and 40 for Africa.

Besides the development of production, population growth is olso based on other apecific historical factors which play quite a substantial role in apecific historical periods. Some examples are the death of about 15 million in a 14th-century plague epidemic, of ahout 20 million in a Spanish flue epidemic following World War I, of 25 million dying of hunger in the 19th contury in India and of an even greater numher in China. The lossee in two world wars totalled some 70 million men. Population growth (and specifically the number of children per femily) is atrongly influenced by tradition, morale and cuetoms. In developed countries, the woman's social standing and cultural requirements of the population have an important role to play. In developed countries birth rates ere going down sa women become involved, on e broed acele, in accial life; their educational eed cultural level is going up, and is the marriageable age, on the one hand, while, on the other, young parents have to face a lack of nurseriea and kindergarteea and material difficultiea.

In most countries of the world, various measures are currently heigg taken to regulate population growth; in many European countries incontives are established for population growth, while in some countries which are now frea from colonial dopondence, measures are heing taken to limit the growth.

The earth's population growth cannot be unlimited, as humanity has been and remains a part of the biosphere, and the domain of its life is limited by the laws of the latter, the violation of which will mean the destruction of man's natural habitat. The task of consciously menaging all population development, both in individual countries and regions and on a global scale, is becoming increasingly urgent. Yot, if the problem is to be solved successfully, adequate social

Material relations are production relations among men, relations between society and nature, everyday relations snong nen, etc.; these are established as a result, or a form, of man's activity. They are material in that they are objective, independent of nan's will end desire, and are prionary, i.e., they determine ell the other relations. Production relations are the most basic relations of all the material relations.

Ideological relations are social relations based on social consciousness and reflected in the spiritual life of men. The nucleus of these are political, legal, ethical, sesthetical and other types of relations. They are formed through upringing, education, and the mass media. Ideological relations materialise in activities of various social organisations and institutions, which serve as conduits of ideas, attitudes, social feelings, outlooks, theoriss, conceptions and doctrines.

The two groups of aocial relations, which characterise social being and social consciousness, are related to two basic structural elements of aocisty, the besis and the superstructure. Production relations constitute the basis of society, while ideological relations and political, legal and other ideas with their corresponding organisations and institutions represent the superstructure of society.

The superstructure is secondary vis-a-vis the basis in the following sense: in the first place, the content of the entire superstructure is determined by the basis, and secondly, in the course of historical development changes in the superstructure follow those in the basis and take place under the influence of the latter.

At the same time, the superstructure is relatively independent, this being expressed in such properties es the existence of its own laws of development; historical continuity of the forms of existence of idess, theories, doctrines, and organications and institutions; the fact that superstructurely phenomene lsg behind the basis processes or overtake them; the influence of the euperstructure upon the development of the basis and the course of social development es e whole.

The dialectico-meterialiet conception of sociel development has nothing in common with the fatelietic interpretation

Under socialism, socisty guarantaea s job for every ablebodied individual, in accordance with one's vocation, educational and professional level and taking into account social requirements. A rational utilisation of the able-bodied population involves a correct and economically substantiated distribution of labour force among enterprises, economic branchas and regions, and personnel training and skill upgrading on a planned basis.

Thus, society cannot sxist and develop outside the snvironment, without using its forces and substances, just as no society is thinkable lacking a certain population level. Yet it is neither the natural environment nor the population growth that serve as the basic causes determining the nature of a social systam, its development and transition from one bistorical stags to another. The degree of influence of the snvironment on society and the population growth, in their turn, depend on production relations and on sociaty's economic system.

The entire course of world history, its long-term orientetion, the transition from one historical stage to another and the ascent of mankind to new lavels are all bessd on the devalopment of production.

4. The Universal Historical Lew of the Progressive Succession of Socio-Economic Formations

The Besis and Superstructure

As a totality of historically established forms of common activities of

wen, society is characterized by a vasciety of diverse relations between individual men, wan and society, various social groups, strata and classes. Among the diverse social relations are economic, political, socio-psychological, ethical ones, etc. "The basic idea /of Marx's teaching/," Lenin pointed out, "was that social relations are divided into material and ideological. The latter merely constitute a superstructure on the former, "

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 1, p. 151.

olsments generated by the very development of social formations.

Each socio-oconomic formation is s livs, ever developing social organism, an integral accisl system, a concrets historical unity of the basis and the superstructure.

How do the basis and the superstructure interrelate? It was stated above that the basis generates the superstructure and is the foundation of its existence, that the replacement of the economic basis brings about a change in the whole superstructural system. The replacement of the basis of the primitive-communal, tribal system by the slave-owning system brought about superstructural phenomena previously non-existent; the state, politica, law, philosophy, effected a class reconstruction of morals and the arts.

The basis and the superstructure also undergo evolutionary changs within the framework of a given social system and reflect the various stages of its development. Thus, the basis of capitalist sociaty has undergons two stages of social development: pre-monopolistic and monopolistic.

Hers we apesk of the interaction of the basis as the economic structure of society and the superstructure as the sphere of controlling the functions and the development of the structure. Hence the superatructure in its turn activaly influences the basis. In the periods of progressive development of a certain social system the superstructure serves to atrengthen and develop its economic basis. In the periods of disintegration of certain production relations the superstructure bears a reactionary character, hinders the development of the economic basis, and this brings about stagnation and general crisis in the economy and all spheres of social life. In certain historical conditions the superstructure may facilitate economic development, in other conditions it may impede it.

A correct understanding of the interaction of economics and politics helps the Marxist-Leninist parties to scientifically forecast and plan their strategy of the revolutionary struggle and the building of socialism.

The Concept of Types of Socio-Economic

By discovering the object tive laws of social devslopment the founders on of social life, which limits the importance of ideal stimulating motives in historical sctivity, the role of politics, ideology, social doctrines in cocial progress. Engels pointed to the dislectic of interoction of the basis and the superstructure, various forms of ideology —— politics, law, philosophy, religion, srt — which results in the formation of the historical process. "... Although the material mode of existence is the presum agens prinary cauce/," he soid, "this does not prevent the ideological spheres from rescting upon it and influencing it in their turn."

Social, political end ideological institutions, being component parts of the superstructure, also have material force directly contained in their organisations and inotitutions - the atate, political parties, religious organisations, culturel and educational establishments. The state, for instance, wields such material instruments of power as the srmy, police, pricons, etc. with the help of which it executes its functions and directs social life according to the intereste and will of the ruling class.

In the societies where the msterial foundation is the system of relatione of private property, the economic basis engenders sntsgonistic classes with Entuelly excluding interests. The class antagonism generated by the economic basis is reflected in consciousness, superstructural phenomens and relations. The exploiting classes use the superstructure to strengthen the economic besis of the respective socio-economic formstion and their dominating position in the society. The oppressed, exploited classes form in the sphere of the superstructure the institutions which express their own interests and serve as instruments of liberation clase struggle (i.e. political parties, trade unions and similar social organisations); they elaborate their own world-views, moral and legal points of view, elements of culture. These superstructural institutions become instrumental to a revolution, the creation of a new economic besia, the formation of a superstructure corresponding to a new socio-economic formation. They are the

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Selected Correspondence, p. 393.

slements generated by the very development of social formations.

Each secio-economic formation is s live, ever developing social organism, an integral social ayatem, a concrete historical unity of the basis and the superstructure.

How do the basis and the superatructure interrelate? It was stated above that the hasis generates the superatructure and is the foundation of its existence, that the replacement of the ecenomic hasis brings shout a change in the whole superstructural system. The replacement of the basis of the primitive-communal, tribal system by the slave-ewning system brought shout superstructural phenomens previously non-existent: the state, pelitics, law, philosephy, effected a class reconstruction of morals and the arts.

The hasia and the superstructurs also undergo evolutionary changs within the framework of a given social system and reflect the various stages of its development. Thus, the basis of capitalist society has undergone two stages of social development: pre-monopolistic and monopolistic.

Here we speak of the interaction of the basis es the economic structure of society and the superstructure as the economic structure of society and the development of aphers of controlling the functions and the development of the structure. Hence the superstructure in its turn sctively the structure. Hence the superstructure in turn sctively the structure of the basis. In the periods of pregressive development of a certain social system the superatructure serves to ment of a certain social system the superatructure serves to strengthen and develep its sconemic basis. In the periods strengthen and develep its sconemic basis, in this superstructure bears a resctienery character, hinders the develepstructure bears a resctienery character, hinders the develepstructure bears a resctienery character, hinders ef secial and general crisis in the econemy and all spheres of secial and general crisis in the econemy and all spheres of secial and general crisis in the econemy and all spheres is superstructure life. In certain histerical cenditiene the superstructure life. In certain histerical cenditiene the superstructure is any impede it.

A cerrect understanding of the interaction of economics and politics helps the Marxist-Leninist parties to scientiand politics helps the Marxist-Leninist parties to scientistruggle send the huilding of socialian. By discovering the objec-

The Concept of Types of Socie-Economie my discovering the ebjective leve of secial develepment the feunders Pormetions

of Marxism-Leninism have put an end to abstract speculations about society in general, sbout progress in gen-

eral, and for the first time turned to the study of society as e historically specific organism. "...To begin by ssking what is society and what is progresa, ia to begin at the end," Lenin wrote sbout the scientific approach to the understanding of social life. "Where will you get a concept of society and progresa in general if you have not atudied a single social formetion in particular, if you have not even been able to establish this concept, if you have not even been able to spproech a aerious factual investigation, an objective analysis of social relationa of any kind."

It is impossible to find two completely similar social organisas in the history of mankind. The Kingdom of Egypt, the Etate of Houraty, Athena, Sperta — each of these ancient societies had its specific features which made it different from the rest. The same concerns still to s greater extent the modern societies which exist within the framework of 160 independent states. Unique histories of various societies were slresdy noted by pre-Harxian historians. It was imposable to overcome the choos and arbitrariness which reigned in views on history and creste a true sociological science without uncovering common features between different social systems, without determining repetitions in their development.

What are these common features and repetitions? They are production and eccio-economic relations. Production relations in any concrete society form a more or less integrated system, and thie is the basic determinant which unites different societies of the seme type. As production relations are fundamental and determinative, the societies which have as their basic the same system of socio-economic relations, notwithstanding their differences, are essentially the asme kind of society and belong to the same type of it. On the other hand, social organisms with different economic structures, whatever eimilarities they may here, are deeply different in their essence and belong to different types of society.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 1, pp. 143-144.

Thus, by taking production rolstions for the basis of ony society and uncovering the sxistence of qualitative differences between then, the multitude of social organisms was reduced to several beaic types, which were called socio-economic formations. "...The analysis of material social relections," Lenin wrote, "ot once used it possible to observe recurrence and regularity and to generalise the systems of the various countries in the single fundamental concept:

The occio-sconomic formstion is specific historical type of society representing the social system determined by production relations.

The concept of socio-economic formstion in the msterialist understanding of bistory makes it possible to distinguish one period of bistory from another, and inotesd of speculating on "society in general" to exsmine reel social life by singling out production relations (or the aconomic structure of society) as being the most important and primary ones. The concept of socio-economic formstion askes it possible to combine, or compsre various countries undergoing the same stages of the devalopment of material production and to uncover their common fastures. Unlike the eclecticel social theories which look upon society as a mechanical totality of social institutions, organisations end groups (the femily, state, church, stc.), and upon the historical process ss merely s result of the influence of vsrious factors (the geographical environment, national character, parsonality, etc.), the concept of socio-aconomic formstion makes it possible to study human society at svery concrete stage of its dayslopment as an integral social organism which includes all social phenomena in their unity and intersction.

While determining the concept of socio-economic formation, Lsmin called it "a specific social organiam, whose inception, functioning, and transition to a higher form, conversion into snother social organiam, are governed by specific laws."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 1, p. 140.

^{2.} Tbid., p. 410.

Proceeding from this, one can point out two aspects in the study of the problem of socio-economic formation. The first is the typological one, when social relationa in a society are being examined at a given stage of its development as stable ones, characteristic for the given formation. By using this very sspect objective laws of the functioning of the given society are being ascartained. The sacond one is the genetic sspect which determinas the atagee of development of s certain type of formation, from its making up to ita diesppearance. So the genetic analysis of the capitalist socio-economic formation warrants the conclusion that thia formation, as sny other precapitalist one, undergoes in its development a number of atages, from under-developed forms to its maturity and then to decay and the creation of prerequisites for psesing over to a higher level of accial development.

The basis of the formation is the production relations. They are of decipive importance for the emergence and development of any socio-economic formation, they determine the basic social, political and spiritual processes taking place in a given society. Alongside with the basic, dominant relations there can still exist in a society the elemente of the eocio-economic relations of the previous formations as well as newly developing relations of tha further formation which are of subordinate importance. These relations being leftovers of the past or the rudicents of the future, represent atructures. At different development atsges of a socio-economic formation the etructure play different roles. In some cases they deform the ecolo-economic system and hold beck the development of society, in other cases they strain ecoids conflicts and accelerate their settlement.

The discovery of the socio-economic formation es an integrated social organism, social system, functioning and developing according to its own specific laws and on the badis of the given production relations, has brought shout a revolution in the theory of social history, of the character and the trend, major direction of world history. Is there a certain order, objective law common for the development of senkind in

Proceeding from thio, one can point out two aspecto in the otudy of the problem of socio-economic formation. The first is the typological one, when social relations in s society are being examined at a given stage of its development as atable onea, characteristic for the given formation. By using this very sspect objective lawo of the functioning of the given society are being sscertained. The oecond one is the genetic aspect which determines the stages of development of a certain type of formation, from its making up to its diseppearance. So the genetic analysis of the capitalist socio-economic formetion werrants the conclusion that this formstion, as any other precepitalist one, undergoes in its development a number of stages, from under-developed forms to its asturity and then to decay and the crestion of prerequisites for passing over to a higher level of social development.

The basis of the formation is the production relations. They are of decisive importance for the emergence and development of any socio-economic formation, they determine the beaic social, political and spiritual processes taking place in a given society. Alongside with the basic, dominant relations there can still exist in a society the elements of the econo-economic relations of the previous formations as well as newly developing relations of the further formation which are of subordinate importance. These relations being leftovers of the past or the radianets of the future, represent estructures. At different development estage of a secto-economic formation the structure aplay different roles. In some cases they defort the econo-economic system and hold back the development of society, in other cases they strain social conflicts and accelerate their esttlement.

The discovery of the socio-sconomic formation es an integrated social organism, social system, functioning and developing according to its own specific laws and on the bade of the given production relations, has brought shout a revolution in the theory of social history, of the character and the trend, major direction of world history. Is there a certain order, objective law common for the development of mankind in

concrete run of social development but also of explaining it, of working out a scientific typology for the world historical process. Historical science han received the method with the help of which one can find the way out of the maze of inexplicable chance occurrences and the spperent chaos of facts and events of which history is comprised. The uncovering of the fact that in the history of mankind there are certain major stages embracing great spans of time made it possible to bring together the diversity of directions, ways and tendencies in the development of different nations into a single historical line of mankind'n progress from lower ateges to the higher ones.

Each nation wherever it lives and whatever colour of skin it has, has to create productive forces and enter into certain production relations to astisfy its vital needs. In the course of production development people change the whole system of their life, all social relations out of necassity, and by doing this they go over to a higher historical stage, to a new fornation. National, geographical and other features of the given nation add certain specific features to this or that formation, but in its essence the historical stages of development are common for all netions.

Why is it so? Because in their productive forces all natione, all people lean upon the same natural laws and, consequently, create similar productive forces. The historical sequence in the development of productive forces is based on the nacessary sequence of matering the forces of nature, on the common objective laws of the productive forces' development. While meatering over more profound natural laws, people create ever more complex means of labour which always and everywhere to a certain extent are similar due to the aimilarity of the laws of nature. And comparably aimilar productive forces bring about aimilar production relations. Due to this all nations build up basically similar accio-economic formations.

Under the impact of the common hietorical direction in the development of productive forces mankind of necessity creates consecutively one type of production relations after another, which determine the corresponding types of formations. And no nation, even united by a common will, can channel concrete run of accial development but also of explaining it, of working out a acientific typology for the world historical proceas. Historical ecience has received the method with the help of which one can find the way out of the naze of inexplicable chance occurrences and tha apparent chaoa of facte and eventa of which history is comprised. The uncovering of the fact that in the history of nankind there are certein mejor etagee embracing grent spana of tine mada it poseible to bring together the divereity of directions, ways and tendencies in the development of different nations into a eingle hietorical line of mankind's progreas from lower ategea to the higher onea.

Each netion wherever it livee and whatever colour of ekin it has, has to creste productive forces and enter into certein production reletione to estiafy ita vitel naeda. In the course of production development people change the whole eyatem of their life, all eocial relations out of naceasity, and by doing this they go over to a higher historical atage, to e new formation. National, gaographical and other features of the given nation add certain specific features to this or that formation, but in its essence the historical stages of development are common for all nationa.

Why is it ao? Because in their productive forces all netions, all people lean upon the eeme natural lewe and, consequently, create similar productive forces. The historical asquence in the development of productive forces is based on the necessary asquence of mastering the forces of neture, on the common objective lewe of the productive forces' development. While meetering ever more profound netural lewe, people creete ever nore complex meene of labour which always and everywhere to a certain extent are eimilar due to the eimilerity of the lewe of nature. And comparebly similar productive forcee bring ebout eimiler production relatione. Due to thia all nations build up basically similer accio-economic

Under the impect of the common hietorical direction in the development of productive forces menkind of neceeeity creetee conescutively one type of production reletions after another, which determine the corresponding types of formetiona. And no nation, even united by a common will, can channel 305

concrete run of social development but also of explaining it, of working out a scientific typology for the world historical process. Historical science has received the method with the help of which one can find the way out of the maze of inexplicable chance occurrences and the apparent chaos of facts and events of which history is comprised. The uncovering of the fact that in the history of menkind there are certsin major eteges embracing great spans of time made it possible to bring together the diversity of directions, ways and tendenciee in the development of different nations into e single historicel line of mankind's pregress from lower etsges to the higher onee.

Each nation wherever it lives and whatever colour of skin it hes, hes to creete productive forces and enter into certein production reletions to setisfy its vitel needs. In the course of production development people change the whole eyetem of their life, all social relatione out of necessity, and by doing this they go over to a higher historical stage, to s new formation. Netionel, geographical and other features of the given nation add certain specific feetures to this or thet formation, but in its essence the historical stages of development are common for all netions.

Why ie it eo? Becsuse in their productive forces all netions, all people lean upon the eeme neturel lews and, consequently, creete similar productive forces. The historical sequence in the development of productive forces is based on the necessery sequence of meastering the forces of nature, on the common objective laws of the productive forces' development. While meatering over more profound natural laws, people create ever more complex means of labour which always and everywhere to e certein extent are similar due to the similarity of the lews of nature. And comparably similar producti-We forces bring about similar production relations. Due to this all natione build up basically similar eccio-economic formetions.

Under the impact of the common historical direction in the development of productive forces mankind of necessity creetes consecutively one type of production relatione efter another, which determine the corresponding types of formatione. And no netion, even united by a common will, can channel ita dovolopment in a direction uttorly different from the universal one. To skip over a formation bypassing the next one which is historically determined is possible for a nation or a state only if another nation, being at a much higher stage of davelopment, halps to create the neceseary productive forces alongside with production relations.

Whatavor divarsity makes one nation different from anothor, mankind as a whole obeys one common universal historical law: programaive replacement of socio-aconomic formations is the nacessary course of the world historical process atipulated by the developing production of material benefita.

Theoretical examination of the global historical procees precupposes an analysis of principally most general and typical faaturoa in the development of mankind with obligatory consideration of the apecific conditione under which a given nation develops. A dogmatic application of the law and the theory of formations in general can harm acienos. One has also to bear it in mind that there are no "purs" formations in history. Historical development is uneven and asynchronoua. Any formation includes tha elementa of the paet and future formations. The fact that there are no chronologically exact divisione between the formatione is also due to the above eaid. When apeaking of transitions from one formation to another, we have to remember that only major, general characteristics are meant, because there are no abstract, atrict boundaries between the society's historical epocha as well as between the world'e historical epocha. There are always transitional periode in history, but they do not prevent us from distinguishing qualitative stages in the world historical process.

Major Stegas of Human Development

200

Mankind has gone through four formations: the primitive-communal, alaye-owning, feudal and capitalist onas.

At present mankind is going through the spoch of transition to communism, accialism being its first phase.

The primitive-communal formation was historically the first and most primitive form of human organication. Productive forces were extremely weak, the products produced were barely sufficient for human existence. People were united 307

largely according to blood ralations. Means of production were socially owned—common land, instruments, sholter. Distribution was conducted according to the principle of equal share. Human ralations were based upon mutual holp and collectiviem. Analysing the historical process of the establishment of human society, Eagels singled out three major spochs: savegery, barbarism and civiliaation. In the epoch of savegary people used mainly ready objects of nature to satisfy their vital needs. Various man-made products—instruments of labour—served as auxiliary means of such appropriation.

The epoch of barbarism was a higher lavel of human davalopment. People took to cattle breeding, land cultivation and from simple collaction of ready natural products went over to the production of things necessary for the satisfaction of their needs. Instruments of labour began to play s major rola in the maintenanca and davelopment of human sociaty. The transition of mankind from the collaction of natural products to the production of products is called in science the neolithic revolution. The latter brought about major changes in all sphares of life of acciety due to the fact that cattlsbrasding and land cultivation bacama the basis of aconomy; settled life took roots, parmanant settlements grew in number, metal implements of labour bacama wideapraad, labour productivity grow rapidly, accial wealth multiplied. Thera appeared aurpluses of products which could be axchanged. The exchange atimulated the growth of production. Thus, the transition from barbariam to civilisation took place.

The growth of labour productivity was responsible for the fact that it became more profitable to live in femilies. The clan community broke up into families. There appeared private property and the family became the owner of means of production. However these means of production concentrated meinly in the families of the former tribal aristocracy. The appearance of private property brought about the divicion of primitive acciety into classes; the primitive social

K. Marx and F. Engele, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 204.

formation broks up, there came slave-owning formation instead of it. Production relations were brought into conformity with new productive forces which had been developing throughout several dozens of millennia. Thus mankind went over from barbarism to civilisation, the period of the far greater degree of development of agriculture, industry, intricate lebour instruments, machinery, trade, art, science, wide socio-political activity of the messes.

The slave-owning formation has become the first class society. The productive forces inherited from the primitive formation continued to develop rapidly. Wood and stone instruments once and for all gave way to metal instruments. Alongeide with cereal cultivation there appeared horticulture and market-gardening, irrigation structures and grain processing mills. There developed mining and building, military technology, ship-building, various crafts.

The development of the productive forces in the conditions of slavs ownership took place within the framework of the production relations that corresponded to them. The basis of these relations was absolute ownership of the slave-owner of the means of production and of the slaves and of the totality of the product produced as well. Slave-owning production relations promoted the development of the productive forces up to a certain moment but then began to hinder the progress of social production. The development of technology and production was hampered, the major eocial productive force - elevee - degraded physically and intallectually.

In the course of tha growth of production the contradiction between the productiva forcae end production relations grew as eharp as it could be. The conflict batween then found its expression in numerous elave uprisings. Tha elava-owning formation broka up under the blows of eleva uprisings and the raide of foreign tribes. It gave way to a new feudal formation.

The basic features of the <u>feudal formation</u> were as follows: vast landad setates; the allotment of means of production, land above all, to the direct producer, serfa; the attachment of sarfa to the land. The relations between the feudal lord and the serf were built up in a way to enable

the serf to receive a certsin share (usually vary insignificant) of the results of his labour. This was the incentiva which made serfs interested in the results of their labour and stinulated their labour. Thus, faudalism found new ways of the growth of the productive forces. During the feudal apoch people learned how to make iron out of caat-iron, to build sailing-ships, to make primitive optical devices. They made wider use of water and wind energy as motive power. Crafts, trade and manufactories developed further.

Little by little new, capitalist production relations based upon the newly developed productive forces were forming in the bosom of the feudal formation. The bourgeoisie, the class which was the vehicle of the nascent social system needed political power, free labour and common market. Feudalism with its natural economy and the attachment of serfs to the land hampered the further development of the productive forces. The conflict between the productive forces and feudal production relations which began to hinder their development gave a start to the epoch of bourgeois revolutions, which ushered in the capitalist formation.

The productive forces of the <u>cspitelist formation</u> wers observed by large-scale mechanised production. Workshops of craftsmen and manufactories gave way to huge plants, factories, mines and pits. Over 150-200 years of its existence capitalism contributed to the development of productive forces much more than all the previous eteges of human progress.

The fast growth of the productive forces was brought about by new, cepitalist production relations. Their basis is the private ownership of the mesns of production and the legal freedom of the industriel worker, the proletarian. However, personal dependence is replaced here by economic dependence. Being deprived of the meens of production the proletarist has to sell their labour power.

The profit chase ie a powerful stimulus for the expansion of production, the development of mechinery, the improvement of industrial and egricultural technology. Due to the gigantic growth of the productive forces the capitalist relations of production have ceased to correspond to the former and have become shackles for their development. The

contradiction batweon the social character of the production process and the privata capitalist form of the oppropriation of its rasults has become the deopest contradiction of the capitalist system. It reached its climsx by the end of the 19th century when espitalism had grown into imperialism, its highest and final stago. The main characteristic feature of imperialism is the dominstion of big monopoly capital in the economy, politics and ideology. Imperialism bringo the contradictions of capitalism to their ultimote limits. The usjor contradiction of cspitalism, the contradiction between the social character of production and the private capitalist form of sppropriotion of products of labour becomes deeper, the conflict between lobour and capital grows sharper due to the growth of the degree of exploitation and oppression of the working masses by monopoly capital; the circle of exploiters becomes smaller; big cepital subjects to exploitstion not only the working class, but also the working pessantry, the wide circles of the working intelligentsia, petty bourgeoisie, part of the middle bourgeoisis, and peoples of other countries, showe all of the colonial and dependent nations. In its struggle against national liberation movements inperialism renders support to the resctionary regimes of the newly libersted countries, hampers the social progress of these countries.

To strengthen its position, to survivo in the competition with the growing world social system, to withetend the offensive of the working masses in cspitslist countries and the growth of national liberation movements, to be able to conduct the sggressive policy the monopoly cspital joine up ito might with the strength of the bourgeois state into a single mechanism. A new, better developed form of monopoly copitaliem hes been formed — state-monopoly cepitaliem (EMC).

SMC has emerged in the epoch of imperialism and the general cricies of capitalism, in the epoch of the coexistence and etruggle of two world systems — capitalism and socialism, of the growing influence of the forces of world socialism upon history, of the growing disperity between the present-day level of productive forces and capitalist production relotions, incompetibility of a high stage of oscialised production and

labour and the private cepitalist way of appropriation, in the epoch of the accelerated fernation of the material prcrequisites of socialiss, of the upswing of the workers' and liberation movementa.

One of the vivid manifestations of the incospatibility of bourgeois production relationa. SMC and the level of development of productive forcea is the utilisation of the achievementa of the current revolution in acience and technology by atate-monopoly cepitalian with the purpose of gaining higher profita, building up explaitation of workera, intenaificetion of labour, development and etrengthening of the militery-industrial complex, militerisation, creation of ayateme of weepons of mase deatruction. The revolution in aciesce and technology acceleratea the process of economic accialiaation; in the conditions of monopoly domination this leede to the reproduction of accial antagmisss on ever greeter scele and deeper than before. Not snly the existing contradictiose of cepitelism become aharper, but the new onss appear. The foundationa of the obsolete bourgeois social ayatsm ere further undermised.

The victorious escialist revolution ushers in a new epoch in the history of menkind by abolishing the capitalist relations of production.

Socielist society develops in various forss, depending os the historical, national, geographical and other conditions, ite development being influenced by well established traditione, cuatoma, cultural values and other fectors which ere of eocial importance for the people of thie or thet country. However, notwithetanding the veriety of forme, the mein featuree of socieliem are eociel ownership of the meane of production, the reletions of friendly cooperation of people free from exploitation both in production and in other ephsree of social activity, etete and political power of working people, the policy of peace and eociel progrese. Only personal end amall privets ownership of the meens of lebour and concumar goods ie precerved under cocialiem and protected by ecciety, for it cannot be a cource of exploitation. Socialiem eese the operation of the principle "he who does not work, neither shall he eat", and payment according to the quantity and quality of labour an the law of dietribution in society. Socialist production relations are in conformity with the character and the level of development of the modern productive forces and are being constantly improved in accordance with the progress of society.

The accislist countries have resched different etsges of devolopment, the highest etage being the etege of developed socialism. The ecciety of developed eccialism built in the USSR is a logicel stego of eocial economic maturity of the new social system. This is the etage of development et which the beeis for gradual transition to communism is being laid. It is characterised by highly developed productive forces, powerful sdynnced industry, lerge-ecale, highly mechanised egriculture based on the principles of collectiviem. Sociopolitical unity of the working clase, collective-form peesentry and people's intelligenteis, in which the leeding role is played by the working clase, has been formed at the stage of developed socialism. This is a eociety of socialist democrecy, whose political system ensures effective edministration of ell sociel ectivity, ever growing active perticipation of the masses in the effsire of the state, the combination of citizene' real rights and freedoms with their duties and reeponsibilities to the society.

The sdvantegea of accialism provide all conditions for thorough utilisation of the achievements of the scientific and technological revolution for the benefit of each person and acciety as a whole. Introduction of ecience into various spheres of economic and acciel activity, employment of all meens offered by the revolution in ecience and technology for eccelerating economic development and meeting the neede of all members of acciety — all this becomes possible due to the eccieliet character of property, planned organisation of production, sotive perticipation of the workers of physical and mental labour in the control and manegement of the sconomy.

Socialist socisty logically grown into full-fledged communism. Communism is a classless social system with a single national ownership of the means of production, complete social squality of all mambers of society in which

alongside with all-round development of peopls the productive forces will grow on the hasis of ever developing science and technology, all sources of social wealth will undergo full development, and the great principle "fron each necording to his ehilities, to each according to his needs" will he reslised. Communism is a highly organised society of free and conscientious lshourera where social self-government will he established, lehour for the henefit of society will hecome s primsry vital need and recognised necessity, the shilities of each person will he used for the greatest benefit of the people. The goal of communism is the all-round development of man, the realisation of hia true freedom.

5. Civilisation and Social Progress

The Marxist-Leninist doctrine of the determining role of social production in the life of society, of the secent of menkind from one socio-sconomic formation to another uncovers the assic, the common in the objective course of the world historical process. However this does not ombrace the diversity of the real historical development of nations, lands and geographical regions. Marx wrote: "... The same economic hasis - the same from the standpoint of ite main conditions - due to innucerable different empirical circumstances, nstural environment, racial relations, external historical influences, etc.", may show "infinite variations and gredetions in oppeerance, which can be ascertained only by analysis of the empirically given circumstences. "1 Consideration of these historically concrete circumstances in the general historical process ie being done by the Marrist-Leminist doctrine of

civilisations as social and cultural communities. The major metholodogical principle of Marxiam is the dialactical combination of the general and the particular, the fundamental and the spacific in social development. On the other hand, one of the mathods of hourgaois historiogrephy is the absolute epproach towards and the exaggeration of the rola and importance of geographical, national, religious and other feetures in the historical programs of nations. This is the hasis for hourgeoie concaptions of civilisation.

I. Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. 3, p. 792. 314

Criticism of Bourgeois Conceptions of Civilisation In world literature depending on the specialisation, ideological spproach, natio-

nality or the world outlook of authors in general and the purpose of research one can find different criteria according to which this or that civilisation is singled out in the analysis of socio-cultural communities determined by the term "civilisation", which axisted at different times and had rather steady common faaturas and characteristics.

Specific features of regions or continents can be taken for the basis of detsraining civilisation. One can say that this is a most popular principla of division, and modern scientific and evary-day vocabulary abounds with such terms (the civilisation of ancient Mediterranean, European civilisation, Oriental civilisation, etc.). To a certain extent they reflect real characteristics appressing common cultural and political destinies, historical conditions and the like. However one has to point out that strictly geographical epproach may not reflect (and sometimes obscures) the existence in this region of different hiatorical types, different levels of development of socio-cultural communities.

Quite oftsn civilisations are singled out according to the religious factor. Almost sntire bourgeois literature and the periodical press writs of Christian, Muslim, Buddhist and other civilisations.

Naturally, the influence of religion upon art, literature, psychology, upon the views of peopls, upon the totality of public life in certain psriods was (and in certain regions of the world still is) enormous. In the Middle Ages in Europs, for instance, as Engels wrots, "the sentiments of the masses were fed with religion to the exclusion of all sless", leach were fed with religion to the exclusion of all sless", leach sphere of social life appreciated the influence of Christiani-ty which hindred progressive development. And in later periods the tropean literature, the fine arts, architecture, philosophy and other spheres of accial consciousness cannot be fully un-

K. Marx and F. Engsls, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3,,p. 373.

deretood without the knowledge of Christien dogmeth, hihlicel imegas and charecters, moral and athical conceptions.

These truly common cheractaristics of culture of European nations inherent in it throughout unny centurias call for a scientific, materialist explenation, for uncovering their true role end sociel function. However the point of tha matter is that in all interpratations of civilication under examination the importance of these factors is strongly axaggerated, they are used for a mystical interpretation of the hietorical process and groundlessly present as acceptable determining and even totally positive.

For inetance, religious interpretation of civiliention is being percietently eleborated by the idaologista af tha Chrietien Church. Tha prominent Catholic theologist Jean Denielou reisad in dignity of Cerdinal vigorously advacataa the decisiva role of Christianity in the davelopment of world civiliaation in spite of all historical facts. 1

Racently it hea come in fashion among Cetholic ideologiata to eleborate and popularias the concaption of the accalled "civilisation of lova". This activity has been initieted by Pope Paul VI who spaaking at a reception in Vatican on Jemuary 21, 1976, seaartad that "civilisation of love" had to lash upon "regeneration" and "reconciliation." Rageneration covers modermisation end improvement of the church dogmata, ideology, and organisation in the spirit of the times; raconciliation implies the dominance of peace in everybody's soul, in relations between cleecas and nations.

The conception of "civiliaation of love" leaning upon the idees of eocial consolidation and clees conciliation is being actively propageted by Church srganisetions in various countries. In February 1976, the permanent Council of the Conference of Bishops of Itely adopted an appeal to the belivers and all people of good will in support of greater contri-

See J. Danielou, <u>La cultura tradita dagli intellectuall</u>, Milano, 1974, p. 94.

^{2.} L'Osservatore rousno, January 22, 1976.

bution of Christianity to the present-day civilisation, of the satistion of civilisation with religion, thus contributing to the formstion of the "civilisation of love."

The hypertrophied interpretation of certain moral components of culture within the framework of this or that civilisetion which are taken for the determining principles of civilisation has become considerably widespread. One of such interpretations is given, for instance, in the hook by Leleup and Nelis <u>Culture and Civilisation</u>. ² Culture and civiliestion, according to the suthors, are determined by some eelf-developing concepts which stipulate their specific and distinguishing features, single out their major idea and meaning.

It is noteworthy to mention that in hourgeois literatura the determination of civilisations is frequently made from s psychological point of view, by analysing, for instance, the concept of happiness. Such an attempt was made by J. Cazeneuve, s French psychologist. In his book <u>Happiness</u> and <u>Civiliestion</u> 3 he singles out two basic ideals of heppiness and, accordingly, two types of civilieation: the Apollonian and Dionyesian ones. By using the Apollonian and Dionyssian principlee, elaborated by Schelling and widely utilised in Nietzscheanian literature (by which Schelling, for instance, sttempted to express the eeeence of Apollo ee the personification of forms and order, enlightenment and harmony as distinct from elemental and turbulent crestive impulses of Dionyssius chattering all forms) J. Cazeneuve huilds up the following ressoning. The Dionyesian idesl of happiness is characterised by its sspiration towards the future or the past, inner snxiety and tension, irrational impulaes, by passion towards changes, by aggressivenees. While the Apollonian ideal is characterised by the wish to use the present, by rationalism and regulation, by comparative peaceableness and softness. J. Cazeneuva considers the struggle between the Dionyssian and Apollonian types of civilisations

5. Sea J. Cazeneuve, Bonheur et civiliection, Paris, 1966, p. 102.

La civilita cattolica, Novembar 21, 1976, p. 321.

^{2.} J. Laleup et J. Nelis, Culturs et civilisation. Initiation a l'humanieme historique, Tournai-Paris, 1956, pp. 21-22.

to be a major factor in our dayn. This being the banic contrediction, the true and determining contradictions between the socialist and capitalist countries become secondary, for the policies of each of these countries, the doveloping countries of the Third World including, depend, according to Cazeneuve, on combinations of the Dionyssian and Apollonian elementa. Thus, the principal meaning of the conflict between the two modern world socio-political systems and the two historical types of civilisations — bourgeois and communist — whose development is based on then, is being glossed over.

We have spoken above of the attempts to datermine civilisetion by relying on its hypertrophied apiritual components. Modern Weatern acciology often attempts to absolutise material and technological factora, to define civilisation eccording to the level of ita technological and economic development. Such are, for instance, the conceptions of the representativee of so-called technological determinism -R. Aron, W. Boetow, J. Galbraith, A. Toffler and others, notwithstanding their differences. Different versions of "industrial" ("postindustrial", "auperindustrial", "technethronio", eto.) civilisation have as their basis (covertly or openly) a number of common premises. In the long run their authors believe in the autonomous development of science, tschnology and organization, which will stimulate the solution of a complex of problems facing menkind without changing bourgeois production relations. However they ignore the fact that the revolution in acience and technology does not only overcome the basic contradictions of capitaliam but reproduces social antagoniams on a wider acale.

There are also cases when several characteristics are taken for the basis of identifying socio-cultural organisms. True, for instance, the British historian and sociologist Arnold Dynbee considered civilisation to be the integrated totality of interconnected phenomena, wider than a nation but narrower than mankind. Let us cite a typical Toynbee's reasoning about singling out a special "local civilisation": Such temporal and spatial limitation presents a certain social unity in respect to which the USA, Great Britain,

France and the Netherlands oppear as separate parta. It may be called Western Christianity, Western society or Western civilisation. However, when apesking in the first ten volumes of his work A Study of History about 21 developing "local civilisationa" (apart from "underdeveloped" and "petrified" onea) existing in the world he actually used disaimilar criteris for identifying civilisations. In some cases he used the regional principle (for instance, the Civilisation of the Fer East), in other cases the religious one (Islamic Civilisation); a number of civilisations are countries (Russis, Persis, China, etc.). Though, when Toynbee interpreted the easence of civilisations he eventually took religion as a major principle.

All the principlea pointed out above which aerve as the basis for defining this or that civiliastion are, as one can aee, one-sided and cannot reflect the basic easence of a given socio-cultural community, though they characterise to a certain extent some of its specific features, reflect its peculiarities and the technological, economic, cultural and territorial features of a given social organism.

However, bourgeoia authora do not see deep processes and causes behind the outwardly striking characteristics of phenomena. As a result the snalysis of reel csuses and conditions of the origin, development and disappearance of certain civilisations is replaced by a search of analogies, by stating outward similarities or differences.

The Concept of Civilisation

The clessics of Marxiam-Leninism introduced s versstile meaning into the concept of

civilisation, trying thereby to express the diversity of the real historical process.

Above all it is necessary to point out that in many historical works of the last century the concept "civilisation" reflected the etage of social development which replaced the stage of savagery and barbarism. It was often used in this senee in Marxiet literature as well, for instance in F. Engels's

See A. Toynbee, <u>Civilisation of Trial</u>, Cliveland, 1963, pp. 195-196.

work The Origin of the Family, Private Property and the State.

The most general chorecteristic of the essence of civilisetion in this work by Engels boils down to the following: "...Civilisetion is that stage of development of occiaty at which division of labour, the resulting exchange between individuals, and commodity production, which combines the two, reach their complate unfoldment and revolutionice the whole hitherto existing society. " Thus, civilisation woo ectually eatablished alongside the intensification of the division of lsbour in,a class society ragulated by the laws which expressed the essence of class accio-economic relations. Developing this ides, Mark wrote in his work The The Poverty of Philosophy: "The very moment civilisation begins, production begins to be founded on the antagonism of orders, estates, classes, and finally on the antagonism of eccumulated labour and immediate labour. No antagonism, no programs. This is the lew that civilisation has followed up to our days."2

Thus, the historical pariod of barberism was followed by civiliaation, which was antagoniatic in its assence. Engals aingled out three major historical types of antagonistic civilisation. "With slevery, which reached its fullost devalopment in civilisation," he wrote, "cema the first greet clesvage of ecciety into an exploiting and an exploited clear. This cleevage continued during the whole period of civilisation. Slevery was the first form of exploitetion, peculiar to the world of antiquity; it was followed by serfdom in the Middle Agas, and by wage labour in modern times. These are the three great forms of servitude, charactaristic of the three great epochs of civilisation."

Consequently, the antegonistic civilisation includes three usjor historical types of civilisation: slave-owning, feudal and capitalist. These usin types of civilisation are stipulated by the principal factors which characteries the socie-

K. Marx and F. Engals, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 330.

K. Marx, F. Engels, <u>Collected Worke</u>, Vol. 6, p. 132.
 K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, pp. 331-332

economic formations. However, a pure type of civilisation is never and newhere to be found. It is always manifested in different historically concrete forms. That is why there existed in historical development such forms of civilisation as the ancient Egyptian form of the slave-owning type of civilisation, the ancient Greek form of the slave-owning type of civilisation, etc.

The concept of civilisation with its diverse uaage always concentrates one's attention upon the achievements in social sphere, the cultural activity of a given community, upon its consecutive growth, enrichment, the extent of the spresd among the broad masses, as well as upon the scale and degree of participation of the masses in history-making. It also makes it possible to correlate the results of meterial and spiritual production, of accial activity, achieved in s given social community, with human values, with their place in historical progress.

It is important to point out that in all cases it is possible to describe s given concrete form of civilisation - Sumerism, sncient Greek, Arab or Wast European and other ones - by leaning upon the outward features, even upon resl specific characteristics. However, in order to uncover the essential features of a historical type of civilisation conditioned by a qualitatively different character of social relations, to reveal the substantial essence of s social organism (for instance, the slave-owning type of civilisstion) whose manifeststions and varistions are the concrete forms of civiliastion (for the given example: sncient Egyptian, sucient Roman and other forms of the alsve-owning type of civilisation), we need a more profound analysis, based upon the sbstrscting and generalising mental sctivity. Otherwise the determinative substance of the given type of civilisstion will be hidden behind certsin features even if they are characteristic of the given form of civilisation.

In order to comprehensively and objectively understand the inner essence of each civilisation, the causes of its origin, development and historical destiny it is necessary to uncover its relations with neterial production and the historically conditioned mode of production, with the existing work The Origin of the Family, Privete Property and the State.

The noat general characteristic of the cosence of civilisation in this work by Engels hoils down to the following: "...Civilisation is that stage of development of society at which division of labour, the resulting exchange between individuela, and commodity production, which combines the two, reach their complete unfoldment and revolutioniae the whole hitherto exiating society. "I Thus, civiliostion was sctuolly eatablished alongaide the intensification of the division of labour in a class society reguleted by the lawo which expressed the easence of class socio-econonic relations. Developing thia idea, Marx wrote in his work The The Povorty of Philosophy: "The very moment civilisation begins, production begina to be founded on the antagoniam of ordera, astates, classes, and finally on the antagonism of accumulated labour and immediate labour. No antagonism, no progress. This is the lsw that civilication has followed up to our days. "2

Thua, the historical period of barborism was followed by civiliaation, which was antegonistic in its assence. Engels eingled out three mejor historical types of entogonistic civiliaation. "With slavery, which roached its fullest development in civilisation," he wrote, "ceme the first great cleavage of society into an exploiting and an exploited class. This cleavage continued during the whole period of civilisation. Slavery was the first form of exploitetion, peculiar to the world of antiquity; it was followed by serfdom in the Middle Agea, and by wage labour in modern times. These are the three great forms of servitude, characteristic of the three great spoche of civilisation. "S

Conaequently, the antagoniatic civiliaation includes three major historical types of civiliaation: alave-owning, feudal and capitalist. These main types of civilisation are stipulated by the principal factors which characterise the socio-

K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Worke</u> in three volumea, Vol. 3, p. 330.

K. Harx, F. Engels, <u>Collected Worke</u>, Vol. 6, p. 132.
 K. Harx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Worke</u> in three volumea,
 Vol. 3, pp. 331-332.

The concepts of the socio-economic formation and civilisation perform different functions and are not equal in extension. In a sense the concept of the formation is broader than the concept of civilisation (we mean here the correlation of e given socio-economic formation and the historical type of civilisation based upon it); ell factors and manifestetions of civilisation ere present and take their place within the framework of a socio-economic formation, whereas not all phenomena considered by the theory of formations are included in the concept of civilisation, but only those which reflect the ebove mentioned characteristic features of civilisation.

Speeking about the specific cheracter of civilisation and of the fact that it is erronsous to identify it with the socio-sconomic formetion on the basis of which it develops, it is necessary to point out that some features characteristic of this or thet civilisation - peculisrities of culture, relations existing among people, their exiological attitude towerds neture, etc., are quits stable, end are still preserved efter the transition of a given nation to e higher stages of historical development, to a new socio-sconomic formation.

The difference between the concepts of formstion and civilisation also consists in the fact that during the existence of one formation various civilisations belonging to one type originated and existed upon its besis. This is connected with the fact that the very establishment of this or that formation as well es its manifestations in various countries and regions have considerable peculiarities.

It is well known that the idea of a number of variations of civilieation existing upon the basis of one formation, all of them being the manifestation of the same mode of production in spite of all differences, was eleborated by K. Marx and F. Engels as applied to the feudal and capitalist modes of production. Analysing the diverse but essentially uniform process of establishment of bourgeois relations, K. Marx, relying on the research of huge concrete historical material, pointed out that in originating cepitalien "assumes different aspects, and runs through its various phases in different

socio-economic relations and the system of government following from this. Such an approach allows us to understand the very nature of the cultural-historical system, and not only its separate elements, its technological and oconomic, aocial and spiritual characteristics.

An analysis of the inner objective laws of the functioning of civilisation, of the stages of its maturity presupposes the consideration of objective factors underlying the society, the factors which condition the integrity, stability and consistency of the historical process. Marxiat—Leninist social ecience proceeds from the premise that such a function is discherged by a socio-economic fornation, by a historically concrete mode of material production, which eventually stipulstes all other forms of social and personal activity of people, the process of spiritual production included. This idea being the central one for the materialiat understanding of history was constantly elaborated in the works of classics of Marxian which expounded the main principles of materialian covering human society and its history.

Only given such a historical-materialiat approach one can comprehend and explain the cultural, regional, technological-cum-economic, religious and other peculiar features of a concrete accio-cultural community, in other words a given form of civilisation analysed above and which make it what it is. For if we speak, for instance, about the slave-owning type of civilisation - be it sucient Greek, ancient Roman or its eny other variety - the latter may have peculiarities in economic and extre-economic activity, a different pantheon of gode, different cultural, social, everyday and other charecterisitic feetures, but eventually these are the diverse forms of one and the seme type of civiliestion based upon the sleve-owning formation. Hence, only a specific combination of cultural-material and spiritual-activity and certsin social factora makes up the socio-cultural community which is fixed in e concreto form of civiliaction. If the organic links with the formation factors - the mode of production, economic besis, specific superstructure -- sre not taken into considerstion, it will be imposeible to understend the driving forcos, innor objective lews end contradictions or s civilisation making up its history, the stages of its growth and declineorganisation of society, of good and evil, beauty, love and maternity, concern for growing generations, meaning of life, of cognition of forces and laws of the universe, etc. Obviously, all this speaks of the unity and integrity of the socio-hiatorical process on earth.

The nutual influence and enrichment of cultures, the world-wide cultural contacts which appeared as far back as in ancient times, at the outset of civiliaation, and which developed ateadily in spite of all the obstacles put in their way by antagonistic accieties, have contributed to the establishment and consolidation of the historical unity of mankind.

The content of each civiliaation is determined by the crestive, constructive labour of the people; all the schievementa in the field of material and spiritual development of acciety owe their origin to the people; that is why the labourers, the broad masses of people were and are the main driving force of civiliaation. The activity of various social classes and their representativas play its role in the creation of values of civilisation, but the activity of the progressive classes and atrata of society is of special importance.

The relation of the popular masses to the material and spiritual benefits is different in different types of civiliaation. This is naturally due to the historically concrete character of the creation, distribution and consumption of values determined by the node of material production characteristic of the given formation.

In s society of private ownership the benefita of culture end civilisation are directed to e great extent towards serving a comparetively narrow circle of socially privileged people; eventually the popular messes are deprived of then and furthermore some schievements of culture and civilisation are used egainst them. However, the major indicator of the progressiveness of a certain socio-cultural community is not only the totality of its material and spiritual achievements. The most important factor is how this totality of achievementa influences upon the position of the individual, how it helps to uncover its spiritual, intellectual and physical

orders of succession, and at different periods. "1

The specific features of the socio-economic formation in different regions and countries, influencing upon the civiliastions developing on its basis, nre datermined by a number of factors. For instanca, by the presence or abconce of economic structures left avor from a previous social system, with differences in the correlation of the branchos of production (industrial or agricultural), in the social structure, tradition of political administration, etc. Besides, the existence of a number of civiliastions of the same type based upon the same socio-aconomic formation is conditioned by a peculiar, unique combination of cultural forms, ethnical end netional fastures, raligious diffarences, socio-political, acathetic, legal and ethical views and inatitutions.

The programsive development of socio-cultural communities is the consecutive unfolding of the potentials of human aind and culture, the development of social organisation.

Each civilieation is distinctly original, it is characterised by unique features end makes its contribution to the common treesury of human experience, values, achievements. The very establishment, formation of a civilisation is schieved at a such temporal stage, when it is capabla of creating values which become its particular contribution to the progressive devalopment of mankind, which leave their trade in the hietory of eociety.

The interconnection and mutual anrichment of civilisations is an important fields of research. Let us take, for inetance, the sphere of spiritual production. Different epochs and civilisations developing within their framework expressed their own understanding of the world and their attitude towards it. At the same time art, literature and other spheres of spiritual life undertook and solved similar problems of human existence that agitated all peopla everywhere and at all times. These are the problems of rational

^{1.} Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 670.

our attention only to some ragions and nations.

Civilisations in Latin America. This area in the precolonial epoch was populated by a great number of Indian
tribes and athnic communities that were st different stages
of socio-economic and cultural development. The highest
civilisations of pre-Columbian America, the result of the
distinctive material and spiritual development of Indian
society, flourished on the tarritories of Mesoamerica and
the Andes. 1

As historical experience shows, only s highly developed agriculture could serve as the basis for the process of origin and formstion of any local civilisation in ancient times. The research has established that in the second half of the first millennium B.C. there existed on the territory of Mesoamerics different modes of intensiva and highly developed agriculture — chinampa in the valley of Mexico, irrigation in Oaxacs and Puebls. The system of milpa — slash and turn highly productive farming — was also used. Some americanists hold that the milpa system was the economic basis which conditioned the formation of the early class Mesoamerican society.

Mesoamerican civilisations as socio-cultural communities appeared not long before the advent of the new era on the basis of assimilation of all values created by the cultures of the archaic period. These civilisations had a number of common features which were also characteristic of such civilisations of the Old World as Indian, Mesopotamian, Egyptian and others.

The decisive role of the msin producer class - free tillers of tha land; the existence of various forms of intensive, highly productive farming which formed the economic structure of the early class society; the existence of the despotic forms of rule; the influence of the well organized

From the scientific point of view, one may state that in the pre-Columbian epoch accidental encounters of cartain groupe of envoys from the Old World with American-Indian natives could not radically affect the process of origin and formation of hotbede of civilisation in this part of our planet.

abilities, to what extent a person can partake in the formetion of his being and the heing of the given society. Speaking about the contresta and contradictory character of civilisation under monopoly capitalies Lenin in his letter to Aderical workers wrote: "Bourgeois civilisation has borne all its luxurious fruits. America has taken first placs among the free and educated netions in level of development of the productive forces of collective human endeavour, in the utiliaetion of machinory and of all the wonders of modern engineering. At the same time, American has become one of the foremost countries in regard to the depth of the abyas which lies between the handful of arrogant multimilionaires who wallow in filth and luxury, and the millions of working people who constently live on the verge of peuperism."

Lenin called the combination of greet potentials for the solution of problems touching upon the interests of mankind and the social inebility and reluctance to uncover them "civilised berbariem".

It is the necessity for a wide-scele and all-round solution of humen problems, of the development of civilisation in the interests of the broed masses presupposes the surmounting of thelimits end defects of bourgeois civilisation, that determines the necessity for its radicel reorganization.

Peculierities of Development of Civilisations

Having overcome their primitive stete, all peoples took the road of developing their civilisation whose echieveoution to the development of

ments became the greetest contribution to the development of world culture, to the creation of universal human values. Greet is the contribution of the civilisations created by the peoples of ancient India, Chine, Egypt, Sumer, Babylon, of the Arebic East, of encient etates on the American Continent. The history of these civilisations still awaits its deteiled study, a Marxint analysis, the determination of their historical place and significance. Here we shall draw

V.I. Lenin, <u>Collected Works</u>, Vol. 28, pp. 62-63.

and powerful priesthoad wars characteristic of both Mesoamerican and ancient Oriental civilisations.

High civiliaatians, such as Tootihuacan, Olmec, Zapotec, Toltec, and Maya ariginated and devaloped on the territory of Masoamerica.

The data of archaeological and historical research of ancient Indian civilisations of Mesaamarica give grounds to baliava that the main reason for the ruin of their classical centres was the large migrations of considerable massae of tribes and ethnic groups. The early 10th century A.D. asw the appaerance of new centres of civilisation, well organised city-atatas, such as Toltec Empira (X-XII c.c. A.D.), Mayapán Leagus (XI-XIII c.c. A.D.) and others. Having axisted for two centuries, these accio-cultural communities parished under the blaws of mora powerful political unions.

By the time of Spanish Conquista at the beginning of tha 16th century three highly developed civilisations had existed on the territory of Mesoamerica: the Aztec, Mistec and Maya ones. In the second half of the 16th century almost all territory of Masoanarics with its greatest centras of civilisation was conquered.

The ara of colonial slavery came to last shout three centuries; it was the historical causa of the ruin of the distinctive sutochthonous culture of the Indian peoplas in Mesocaetica. But due to the viability of the traditions of the creative work of the peoples some most valuable achievements of the ancient Indian civilisations were preserved. They formed part of the treasure-house of modern Letin American and world culture.

As in the ceas of civilisations of the peoples of Massasurrice a lang period of the development of local Indian cultures proceeds the formation of civilisations of the peoples of South and Central America.

The peoples which populated the territory of the Central Andee at the end of the second end the beginning of the first millennia B.C. reached such a devalopment level of material and spiritual cultura which allows us to suppose that a transition took place to an early close society, and to canaidar the Chevin culture the most ancient and richest civiliaetian

in this region of Americs.

The civilisation based on the Chavin culture identified itself in the ancient history of the pre-Incs America. This culture apprais up in the latter half of the second millannium B.C. and reached its climax in the 8th-4th centuries of the first millennium B.C. The remains of buildings made of plates of sandatone and basalt, a chain of underground canals, glasses with bas-reliefs and ceramics were found in the town of Chavin de Huántar, situated in the northern part of Peruvian upland. There is also a well-preserved three-storey building reminding a pyramid, 13 matras high and with narrow passages and rectangular halls. It was decorated with high reliefs - heads of jaguars, pumas and fantastic creatures carred of stone.

Ancient Peruvisns knew how to build cansls and reservoirs, to cultivats, apart maize, many other edible plants which during the colonial period almost doubled food stocks of mankind.

Out of the early civilisations of the Andes at the beginning of the first millennium A.D. the civilisations that sprang up on the basis of the Mochics, Gallinszo, Recuay, Parsess and Chilps-Pamps cultures reached a high level of development. The first large state formation in this region in the second millennium A.D. was the state of Chimor with the flourishing Chimu culture.

A powerful centre of ancient civilisation Tishuanaco, situated at an altitude of 3,800 metres, not far from the greatest lake of South America Titicace, sprang up in the 4th-3rd centuries B.C. This civilisation which had climaxed by the end of the first millennium A.D. greatly influenced the vast territories of the Andes up to the Pacific.

This civilisation created quite a number of original pisces of sculpture, ceramica and architecture in particular. The most famous construction in Tishuanaco is Acapana, s 15 metres high pyrsmid, whose remains represent the hill with a psved ground of 200 square metres.

The scientiats studying America believe that in the 12th century A.D. Tiahuanaco, the flouriabing centre of civilisation, was destroyed by powerful raids of nonadic Indian tribea

Considerable socio-cultural differences existed on the territory of the Central Andes in the period directly preceding the Incs conquest. For instance, the highly developed socio-cultural community of Chimor existed alongside the ethnico-tribal formations which were at the stags of disintegration and the initial stage of transition towards a class society. In mountain areas the ancient civilisations gave way to tribal unions or to hostile tribal formations.

Later on, out of this diverse othnico-tribal and sociocultural reslity there emerged the politically powerful groups which conquered the whole of the civilised region of the Andes and created s mighty Inca Empire.

The Inca culture which grew upon the basis of typical class relations cocxisted with local cultures on the sea coast and in moutein areas of the Andes. This factor is clearly seen in the sphere of meterial culture of non-Inca tribes where the Inca component was weakly represented.

The Spanish conquest forcefully changed the direction of the socio-cultural development of the peoples of the Cantral Andes by ruining the distinctive achievements of their civilisations.

The city of Vilcabamba, the lest capitel of the Inca Empire, the city which became a symbol of etruggle for national independence, is a monument to the diligence end talent of the peoples who created the civilisation of the Andes.

Indien (Eeet) civilication. In studying the problems of Indien civilisation historical meterialism analyses the problems by using the principle of unity of the historical process, in other words, of the concept of substantial homogeneity, the unity of genesis, the community of determinants and laws of development, the principle of universal connection and interaction. The Indian civilisation is characterised by e complex interaction of the general end particular. It was formed on the besis of epetial community of the economic and cultural activity and contects of population, including the given totality of social organisms to a certain stage of social and cultural development. The Indian civilisation was formed on the besis of stege-regionel development of

culture connected with its styla peculiarities and, in particular, with the socio-economic phanomenon of the plurality of economic atructures which organised various economic forms into a single system. This lad to the formation of common supra-national cultural tradition among the groups of related peoples. Indian cultural tradition means man'a attitude to the environment, the place of his birth and maturity, the place where his ancestora lived and died; his attitude to a related group, to his place in the ayatem of social contacts and to the character of accial activity; the way man expressea himself as a social and apiritual being; the acceptance of valuea. Speaking about Indian civiliaation it ia neceesary to keep in mind that it eatablished the models and the hierarchy of conceptions, norms and deeds by which a person is guided in his thinking and activity; it is influenced by the chisf religiona spread in the country, by theological and sthical valuea.

One of the characteristic features of the Indian civilisation at the present stage conaists in the fact that though capitalist relations develop in a number of economic branches, extremely backward productive forces and relations of production are still preserved in other branches, and there still exist in rural areas the tangla of atrongly rooted veatiges of feudaliam and capitalist relations.

"The productive forces ... ara atill woefully under-developed and mediaval in many respacts and in many areas. This in particularly trus of rural India and of some of the older satablished industries", I though the featest was the development of capitalist relations which becams "the leading element in the total ansemble of the relations of production that make up the totality of the mode of production in independent India." Speaking about agriculture as a whole, we

^{1.} Mohit Sen, Revolution in India, 1977, p. 117.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 119.

must bear in mind thet changes are taking place thera, too, but on e smaller ecale than in industry. But thio is a contradictory, elow and very uneven development. As it is justly steted in the Programme of the Communist Party of India: "Interpenetration of the strong survivals of foundalism and growing capitalist relations of production is the dominant character of socio-economic life in India's countryside."

However, when dealing with the specific feetures of the Indian civilisation, Merrism opposed the traditional notion of the Eest-West antitheeis, because this unscientific attitude implies the existence of civilisations which do not have any inner reaeons for transformation and which can be changed only by way of active outside intervention.

Apart from the comporatively insignificant number of ceese in which the counterposing of Europe to Asia is a part of the crtist's intention, the dichotomy of East and Waot is apt to make obstecles sooner than to assist in our attempts to understend the art and thoughts of another region. It distracts ettention from the problems which ere feeed by a thinker or an artist in certain conditione, and makes people pre-occupied with more ebetreot end general problems which hardly ever existed for him.

Marxism is egainst contresting the stetic, myotical, spirituel, "world-denying" culture of Indie with the dynamic, retional, meterialist, active, "world-affirming" culture of the West. Merxist philosophy rejects the ellegation that the people of Indie are preoccupied with purely spiritual problems end do not think shout any improvement of their meterial position, that they ere immersed in eelf-concentretion end are not trying to solve their problems but to evoid the solution of any probleme. It negates the myth which accerte that an Indian denies the material world, whereae e Western men is preoccupied with his material medde. All these allegations hring forward the "idea of India" but do not

Programme of the Communist Party of India, Petna, 1968,
 p. 24.

^{2.} See Y.M. Steedman, The Myth of Acie, New York, 1969, p.39.

consider India herself. Such an approach fully ignores one of the sesential sides of social interaction, that is the fact that the very dynamic development of capitalist countries was secured by limiting and suppressing the dynamic development of the former colonies. Naturally, nobody is trying to deny the difference between the types of culture. However, in the history of world civilisation there was not single types of culture, which was exclusively presoccupied with the thoughts of the next world and ignored the sphere of material production. Such a conception has no grounds whatsoever. Certainly, there existed ascetics in India as well as heraits in Europa, but they were vary few and, naturally, their share in the social structure of society and hence in social processes was very insignificant.

Though many Indian philosophers contrast materialism with soms "Indian spirituality", it has to be borns in mind that materialism doss not at all mean lack of spirituality. But the notion of Indian spirituality has a certain mystical character, for it is being asserted that the population of character, for it is being asserted that the population of character, for it is being asserted in the population of character, for it is being asserted in the contract in some spiritual, transcedental ideas, as in a immersed in some spiritual, transcedental ideas, never thinking about the daily bread. In reality as in other countries the peoples of India are interested in solving the countries the peoples of India are interested in solving the problems of this world: how to escape hunger and disease, how to have better clothes and better housing, how to get a job and secure a higher standard of living for their children.

Undoubtedly, it is nscessery, when analysing the Indian civilisation, to pay attention to the unity of agriculture and crafts es the dominant type of activity in production, the unity of the individual and the communal, to the dependence of the individual upon the conditions of the cummunity's existence, to the identity of politics and civil society. The uneven development and the existence of tribal eurvivals should be attributed to the peculiarities of the Indian civiliaction. The prominent Indian Marxist philosopher D. Chattoliaction. The prominent Indian Marxist philosopher D. Chattoliaction thinks that the primitive society existed side by side with the development of civilisation up to present day and the survivals of this primitive or tribal society are clearly seen in various social institutions.

^{1.} D. Chattopadhaye, Lokayata Barehan, Moscow, 1967, p.15 (in Russian). 333

There are also epecific features in a number of superstructural institutions, which influence the basis. The rolo of traditions is greet in this sphere. The specific features of the Indian civilisation cannot be understood without taking into consideration the religious factor. "The roligious systems of the Orient-Hinduism, Buddhism, Brahmanism, Confucianism, Islen, numerous doctrines of religious sects - are undoubtedly different. But all of them have something in common: none of these religions, being up to the precent time an important fastener of the whole of the superstructural sphere, heve not suffered the deep reformation typical for European Christianity.

"Nons of them woe sblo to offer such a powerful spiritual substantistion for the political and socio-economic sctivity of society and the individual as it was at the time offered by European Christianity, Protestantien in particular, under the veil of which capitalism developed eo feet, and which moved the Europsan peesente end the urbsn population to the front line of struggle for bourgeoie formstion ... Religious systeme of the West, especially beginning with ths 14th-15th centuries, contributed to its social and economic development to e greeter extent than the corresponding syetems of the Eest. It was not by accident that the Christian countries of the Wset (all of them are situeted in tempsrets climete) and not Ielemic and Buddhist countries (many of tham situated in tropical climate) became the birthplecs of cspitalisa. " However unlike the bourgeois conceptions Marxiam has never presched the dichotomy of civilisations of the Orient and the West, because such an epproach has a cartain ideological trend and implies a deviction from the mejor espects of socio-sconomic reality, from the inner class contredictions characteristic of this or that world. At the esme time Marxism pays ettention to the extrsordinary stability of certsin traditions, customs, structuree and institutions of the Indian civiliestion.

The tradition is the powerful force which is to be taken into consideration because its utilisation is vitally necessary for both revolutionary and reactionary classes who

^{1.} R.A. Ulyanovsky, "The Specific Feetures of the Countriss of the Orisnt", Nerody Azii i Afriki, No.5,1979,pp.71-72.

derive from tradition thoir confidence both in the legality of their existence and in the present and future. Tradition plays an important rola in rallying the people: their functions of storing and transforring the experience and volues over from generation to generation assist in socialisation of the individual, in giving individuals and social groups certain social characteristics; they contribute to the reproduction and preservation of relations of property, the stabilisation of economic relations, the formation of basic methods and rules of political struggle. They influence the ideology, public administration, social structure, cultural life of a country. Depending on concrete conditions tradition can either hold up or accelerate the progress of a country.

Civilisation of the Arch Esst. In the esrly medieval period the majority of the population of Arsbis, with the exception of the southern part of the peninsula, consisted of the nomads-cattle-brecders. The settled population in rural sreas was ongaged chiefly in sgriculture, while crafts and trade were developed in towns.

In the 5th-6th centuries, the overwhelming majority of the Arabs lived in class and tribes.

Slave labour was of major importance in cattle-breeding, even more so in irrigation and in crafts. As the intertribal conflicts could not be a sufficient source of alaves (se a rule, the tribes ransomed the people of their tribe taken prisoners or exchanged them), alaves in Arabia were aliens, chiefly Africans.

Proceeding from the periodisation of pre-class society given by F. Engels in his work The Origin of the Femily, Privete Property end the State, it is easy to determine the level of Arsb production and cultural development in the 5th-6th centuries (with the exception of the settled population in Yemen) se the upper stage of barbarism which "begins with the smelting of iron ore and paeces into civilisation through the invention of alphabetic writing...".

See V.T. Lenin, <u>Collected Works</u>, Vol. 3, pp. 37-40.
 K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 208

In the 4th century A.D. slphahetic writing appeared smong morthern Araha (Nabataean writing in Syria and Jordan). Later on it superseded south Arahic (Sabeean) writing in Arabia.

Heligioue beliefs smong Arab tribes in the early period (with the exception of settled population of Yemen) reprseented the mixture of fetishism, totemism and animiem. But in the conditions of deterioration of the primitive-communsl system and the formation of early class society it wea inevitable that monotheism should come instead of polytheiem, because only such religion could reflect the ospiration for the unification of Arabia under the aegic of central power. The evolution of the early Arab form of monotheism—hanifism and the emergence of a new religion—Islam—took place under the influence of sociel, economic and psychological fectors.

"The community of believers" (unme) became the organising basis for an Arab state which eppearsd in the 7th century. Engele pointed out that "the first attempt to form e
stote consisted in breaking up the gentes...". The major
function of the new Arab state was to securs with the help
of ermed forces the economic subordination of the labouring
majority to the weelthy minority. Thus, the 7th century in
Arab history was merked by the formation of a vest state—
the Arab Khalifat which appeared due to many conqueste and
wes substentially expanded in the 8th century.

The Areb penetretion beyond the borders of Arabia which hegen since the middle of the first millennium A.D. got its fast development in the initial period of the Arab Khalifat. In the 7th and 8th centuries the Arabe conquered Syris, Mesopotamis, South Iran, part of Central Asis, Egypt, North Africa, pert of the Pyrenean Penineuls and come other territories, spreading there the Arab language and adopting in turn the highly developed culture of the conquered peoples. Some of the conquered lands were Arabised, their native populastion edopted either fully or partially the language and reli-

K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 277.

gion of the conquerers in the run of centurios. The mixture of the Araba with the native population resulted in the fermetion of a number of Arab nationalities. Other countries conquered by the Araba . - Transcaucasia, Cantral Asia, Perois loceted to the east of the Khalifat, the Pyronaan Peninsula in the weatern part of it - managed eventually te defond their political, cultural and linguistic independence in their atruggle against the invadors. On the conquored torritories the Araba encountered the oocio-economic relations and forms of property typical for the daveloped clava-ewning system or early feudalism previously unknown to them.

Being at a lower laval of accial davelopment than tha conquered civilised peoplea, the Araba could not all at onco wield the complicated apparatua of administration and exploitetion and were content with the asizure of loot and collection of tribute from the native population. They left unteuched the eocio-economic relations and the administrative-fiscal bodiea, including the local administrative bodies, judicial institutions and police, and took up the position of a ruling group domineting ever the local feudal acciety.

So in the Arab Khalifat there appeared a very complicated conglowerate of accio-economic and ethnic relations which imparted specific features to the whole of Arab civilieation. The tribal inatitutions of the Arsbe were incorporated into the alave-owning and feudal atructure of the conquered countries, the formation of a transitional type of society which included the elementa of the alsve-owning and feuds| formations sa well as the clan and tribal relatione typical for the period of the disintegration of the primitiveconnunal eyetem.

The economic eituation in the Khalifat was very complicated. The main branch of production in the Arab state was Sgricultura based on irrigation. Draetic socio-political complications, continuous wars and upricings often brought the eleborate irrigation systems to the destruction and caused the decay of agricultural production. The restoration of irri-Sation was poseible chiefly due to the existence of atste machinery which exercised economic and extrs-economic compulaion. In this connection we can quote the letter of Engels

to Marx where he wrote: "An Oriental government never had more than three departments: finance (plunder st home), war (plunder st home and abrosd), and public works (provision for reproduction)."

The Khalifat represented feudsl, theocratic despotism holding in its hands plenary ecclesisstical and temporal powers. This state retained its xenocratic character only st the beginning of its existence, for quite soon the Arab sristoracy lost its exclusive position and had to share the income and power with local feudal lords and the tribal clique.

The formstion and subsequent disintegration of the Khalifst state which was an artificial conglomeration of different peoples and ethnic groups was heneficial for the development of culture both of the conquered peoples and the culture of the Arabs themselves. New centres of Arab culture sprang up in Andalusia, Marrakesh, Csiro, Damascua, and Aleppo. That time saw the prominent figures of Arab culturs and science, the development of Arab medicine, mathematics, natural sciences, ert and architecture. Arab civiliestion resched its climex.

In the 16th century, all Arsb countries (sucept Morocco, Nejd and Oman) were conquered by the Turks and becsue part of the Ottoman Empire. By conquering the Arab countries the Turks usually preserved their social system.

The Turks sholiched the communal ownership of land but often preserved the Arsb fellah community as an appendage to the system of feudal exploitstion. The community was tied up by mutual responsibility for the payment of tributes and duties, and also eccured the tilling of the landlord's land. All these measures strengthened the feudal system in the Arsb countries; the feudal mode of production became predominant on the territory of the Arab world.

In the 16th-18th centuries, the Arab cities were characteristically medieval. In the period of Ottoman domination trade and crafts developed further. However, trade in the Ottoman Empire was not of an equivalent character and unlike

¹ KMarx, P.Engels, Selected Correspondence, p. 76.

in the Khalifat foreign merchants played the leading role in thie trade. This hindered the development of national capital and put local merchants in an unequal position. All this held up the development of capitalist relations in Arab countries.

The craft industry in Arab countries was still based on the shop structure with the predominance of manual labour. Its development was behind the progressive European countries where the transition to manufactures and later to mechanised production had already taken place.

The end of the 17th century saw a deep crisis of the Ottoman Empire: economic dislocation, corruption of state machinery, centrifugal tendencies, demoralisation of the army, decline of culture, etc.

In the 19th and early in the 20th centuries most of the Arab countries becsme colonies or eemi-colonies of European powers. The Great October Socialist Revolution exercised enormous influence upon the liberation etruggle of Arab peoples. It began a new stage in the history of Arabs - the stage of struggle for complete national liberation.

The defeat of faecien in the Second World War, the formation of the world system of socialism and the consequent general weakening of world imperialism resulted in the powerful upswing of the Arah national liberation movement. At present all the Arab countries have gained their political independence.

The general and the epecific in the development of African countries. In the pre-Marxiet period the accio-philosophical writings spread the thesis about the notorious non-hietorical nature of African peoples. Many of the bourgeois authors in the period of the bloseon of colonial empires looked upon the African continent as being unworthy of the hietorian's attention. Others tried to prove that African hietory began only with the European penetration on African torritory and present African peoples only as objects of the civilieing activity of white people.

By leaning upon the irrefutable deta of acience hietorical materialism has laid bare the unsoundness of the racislist "theories" about the non-historicity of African peoples and has shown the ective creative role of the Africans in the development of world civilisation from the ancient times to the present day.

At the same time there are ideologists among the Africans themselves who do not recognise the unity of the historical process, who try to present the history of the peoples of the continent as being out of the general context of human progrees. A well-known African thinker, ex-proceident of Senegal, Leopold Senghor (undoubtedly following the German philosopher Spengler and under his influence) looked upon each civilisation as a self-eufficing exclusiva whole; he asserted that the Negro-African civilisation is a separate social organism, that African sociaty is an utterly exclusive historical individuality with its unique soul and destiny.

Such points of view hardly conform with what is known ebout the pest of the continent and with its present-day reality. To insist upon the uniqueness of Negro-African culture and its ways of development means to exclude Africa from the general course of the world's development, to doom African peoples to economic, technological and cultural backwardness and to try to perpetuate it.

The history of Africa is one of the pages of world civiliaation, though still not read to the end. The development of African peoples went along the same course, though slowly, as the development of the peoplas of other continents. It has been established that African peoples went through all atagea of development of atone industry; that thay mastared the art of iron melting before the Europeana did, and even in the 15th century the population in the lower reaches of Niger, for instance, was more artful in the technology of bronze amelting than the population of Europe. The countries of Tropical Africa did not know the slave-owning mode of production, though alavary in its patriarchal, domestic form and alave trade was known long enough, whereas the North and North-East Africa lived through the formation and blossoming of the classical slave-owning system. A distinctive feudal formation did not develop in Tropical Africs, but in the run of many centuries there existed feudal states and more or less developed, depending on concrete local conditions, feudal

exploitation. At present the capitalist relations of production are developing in a number of African countries. Other African states have entered the non-capitalist path of development and proclaimed socialist orientation. They are creating objective and subjective prarequisites for the construction of a society without exploitation of man by man. Eventually, all African as well as other countries will come to a socialist future, as Lenin said.

The common objective laws do not, however, exclude the specific features and peculiarities in the development of separate peoples and the vast areas of this great continent.

Socialism - the Formation of a Qualitatively New Civilieation In spite of eubetantial differences in the historical development of astions, countries and civilisations, the world revolutionary process

of our epoch leads the whole of mankind along the path of radical remaking of all social life towards the creation of a new type of civilisation.

Socialist civilisation is based upon public ownership of the means of production, free labour of workers, planned management of the national economy, and political power vested in the people.

An important characteristic feature of the new civilieation is the incorporation of all greatest achievements of pretion generations, utilieation of common human values for the benefit of the further development of each person and the society as a whole.

The factore and prerequieites and values which contribute to the further development and etrengthening of human unity are common to mankind.

The bearer, guarantor, the leeding force of escialist civilization is the working class which acts as the nouthpiace expressing the interests of working nesses, the best interests of mankind. Steedy realisation of the class-proletarian principle, etrengthening and despening of socialist principles contribute to the gradual colution of the contradiction between class and human principles.

The coincidence of interests of the working class, the theory and practice of eccialies with common human values determines the fact that the now civilisation is a thrifty succeesor of the values eccumulated by the foregoing historical procese, the fact that the values created by it conform as never before with the essence, the needs of human development, the fect that Marxism-Leniniem reschoe its programmatic goals — the establishment on earth of s meaningful and free, peaceful and happy life for all netions and stends for the deepest possible expression of common human interests.

As social practice has shown, the norality of conscious savecetee of the new civilisetien incorporated the basic human moral norms which had been eleborated by the popular masses in past spechs in their struggle with social evil and moral defects: diligence, homesty, modesty, proper pride, conredeship, and mutual respect.

The idea of friendship enong nations, the necessity of their life in pesce and concord, the idea of their cooperation, exchange of culturel values, etc., were developed, preised and propegated by the thinkers of different epochs and antions. Their ideas and notions are close to us, understandable end deer, they are naturelly pert of our culture. At the same time one cannot but see the radically new brought about by eccialist civilisation in the idea (and the practice, in perticular) of friendship among nations which is being examined here. It finds its vivid expression in accialist, proletarian internationalism, in colidarity of chanpions of freedom, peace and social progresse.

Neturally, many elements of culture are of a classe character in class society and this domands e party approach in their undorestending, sestimisation and revaluation. Therefore, the reactionery elements and tendoncies should be radically rejected so that out of each national culture, quoting Lenin, one can take "exclusively those of its elements that are consistently democratic and socialist." Lenin considered the

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 19, p. 247.

utilisation and critical reworking of all that was valuable in the progress of human civilisation inspired by the practical experience of the victorious proletariat to be a major task of true proletarian culture.

One of the major conditions in the field of upbringing the new man and shaping up a sciantific materialist world outlook the Marxist-Leninist parties consider serious and systematic assimilation of knowledge and enriching memory with, as Lenin pointed out, "a knowledge of all the troasures created by mankind", assimilation of the achievements of the truly progressive social and natural sciences, of truly artistic values of world literature and art.

Thus, while rejecting in principle the antagonistic society opposite to it, socialism retains common human achievements in various fields of social being, all positive attainments gainsd in the course of the development of the previous social system.

Naturally, the assence of socialist civilisation is characterized above all not by the things inherited from the previous socio-sconomic formations. The revolutionary upheaval which has overturned all layers and spheres of social and personal life is significant, in the first place, for the development of its own socialist relations and values, for the creation of new economic, socio-political and spiritual principles and cultural orientations.

The social escence of the new civilisation, the nature of ite economic, eccio-political, ideological, psychological characterietics are most convincingly expressed in their crientation towards the formation and development of the foundations of euch a social system "in which the full and free development of every individual forms the ruling principle." For the first time in the hietory of civilisation the conformity of principles of social order with the needs of the individual principles and, therefore, for the first time in hietory there is no gep between the world outlooks offered by occiety, ito

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, p. 287.

^{2.} Karl Marx, Capital, Vol. I, p. 555.

ideological principles and the interests of the individual.

The resligation of these principles ensures the development of the true human essence, and opens up qualitatively new social possibilities unknown before. We moan here the liberation of lebour, overcoming its alienation; the truly and not formally proclaimed freedon from all forms of social and national oppression; the consistent growth of the psopls's well-being; the socio-political equality of all citizens, all social groups, classes, nations and nationalities, their real participation in edministration of all social and atata matters; their wide access to the values of science and culture, perticipation in cultural creation; the atmosphere of social optimism, their confidence in their own future and the future of their children; the cooperation of free labourers, tha cers of the society for man and man for the society; tha internationalist friendship and all-round cooperation of all natione and nationelities; the triumph of collectivist idees over those of individualism, over market competition, indifference towards man typical for the private property relations; the dsvelopment snong psopla of the aenas of self-respect, public duty, of friendly nutual assistance. This is a nsw, hunane, accial climate expressed in big and small things, falt in all apheras of personal and social life.

Major basic qualities of the new civilisation are characteristic of all countries of the socialist community guided by Marxist-Lenimist idees, though the concrete forms of their manifestation are influenced in each separate country by national, social, historical and cultural features and traditions.

x r r

We have pointed out here the specific feetures of the early civiliaetione crested by the peoples of Letin America, Asia, Africa and the essence of socialist civilisation.

The etudy of the problem of civilisation end its place in the hietorical development of mankind can be summed up in the following conclusions:

First, the concept of civiliestion expresses the historically concrete peculiarities of life of peoples. Each civilisation is beesd upon its socio-scononic formation. Socond, the existence of a number of one-type civilisations based upon one and the same socio-economic formation is conditioned by a specific, unique combination of forms of culture, ethnic and national characteristics, religious, specific socio-political features, seathetic, legal and other Views and institutions.

Third, the development of civilisations as socio-cultural communities is the consistent unfolding of the potentislities of human intellect, scientific and cultural progress, the development of the social organisation.

Fourth, the major motive force of the civilisation is the popular masses, the real makers of all material and spiritual values.

The Progress along the Path of Socialist Orientation

The emergence on the international scene of the growing number of countries libersted from colonial oppressi-

on, the rapid growth of their role in the world development, the appearance of a large group of countries which reject the capitalist system, acute political and ideological struggle unfolding in the world in connection with their choice of the path of their development - all this makes the problems of developing countries of exclusive importance and interest.

It is in these countries that heve fellen behind in their economic, social and cultural development due to the decedee-long exploitation by the imperieliet powers that the greater part of the world'e population livee. It is not hard to understand the importance of this fact for the balence of world forces, and how much will depend upon the course (cepitaliet or socialiet) which will be chosen by these countries.

Which of the two possible directions of social development will be chosen by the liberated countries? Are they going to go through all the historically known stages of social development, including the stage of capitalist development, up to its state-monopoly phase, or are the peoples of the developing continents who have experienced to the full the bitter fate of colonial oppression by imperialism will have as "historical compensation" the chance to go over to socialism

by-passing capitalism?

The hasis for the eleboration of the conception of progressive development for these countries is Lenin's idea that in the modern epoch the backward countries will go over to e socialist system by-passing the capitalist otage of developing with the help of the proletariat of advanced countries. This Lenin's previoion made as cerly as in 1920 has been justified in practice. Today there are many countries in Asia and Africa which have taken thecourse of non-capitoliat development, the course towards building in future e accialist society. In our time, due to the existence of the eccialist community exercising ever growing influence upon the course of world events, in the conditions of the deepening crisis of capitalian and the growing authority of socialism, the countries which have fallen behind in their development get the chance to defend their choice of the parth of progress. The help of the socialist community countries, the understanding of the social and economic hopeleosness of the cepitalist way of development make it possible for these countries to start the development in the direction of socis lish et sn "accelerated rate" by-passing capitalism.

Neturally, the socialist orientation of internal and foreign policy of the newly-free countries does not mean accialism yet, it means a long pre-socielist stags when the neccessary prerequisites for the construction of accialism srebeing created. However, at this atage it is possible to achieve easential improvement in the position of the popular meases, to develop the economy on the basic of new transitional, revolutionary-democratic social relations.

What are the criteria of acciellate orientation? They are: the change in the class character of political power, removal of bourgeois and feudal elements from power; transition of power into the hends of progressive forces acting in the interests of the popular messes; formation of a new, national democretic state and leter of a new state machinery; liquidation of political and ecomomic domination of imperialism; creation of public and cooperative sectors and fectors for their priority development; limitation and regulation of the private capitelist sector; establishment and development of

all-round cooperation with oscialist states; gamaral democratio rations croating the oconomic and social prorequiaites for oscialist construction and carried out for the benefit of the popular measure and with their ever growing participation (agrarian referme, liquidation of social privilagee, progreaaive labour and oscial logialation); struggls against the ideology of exploitere, for the ideology based upon the principles of scientific ascialism.

Thuo, the coache of accialist orientation is the antiimperialist, anti-foudsl and partial anti-capitalist transforaations whose goal is to create the material, acientific, technological, social and political preroquisitss for the subsequent construction of accialism. The general democratic transformations are conducted with an eye to the accialist peraformations are conducted with an eye to the accialist perapective. Apart from the questions of the essence and criteria
of socialist orientation, important role is played by the queations about the forms of state in which it can take place,
shout the scenemic and political principles of such atstas,
about the correlation of internal and external factors
influencing the said processes, etc.

Of major importance is the conclusion about the role of national revolutionary democrata as a new accio-political forcs, originally non-proletarian, but capable of leading the forcs, originally non-proletarian, but capable of leading the popular masses who are rejecting capitalism and choosing a popular masses who are rejecting capitalism and choosing a new orientation in the countries where proletariat has not yet been formed as a class.

One of the specific features of the countries with a socialist orientation is the existence of the private capitalist sector during a certain period. The indiscriminate nationalisation of the petty-commodity producer resulting from the voluntsrist policy of "leapfrogging" some stages of development and putting an end to all forms of exploitation at lonce can lead to the disorganisation of the econoxy, social upheavals and eventually to the loss of revolutionary-democratic power. Alongside this there is a danger of unlimited, uncontrolled development of the private sector capable of democratising the society, bringing it to sweeping over by a bourgeois chaos. This is a real contradiction, possibly the gravest contradiction of the non-capitalist way of development.

The resolution of this contradiction is possible only under the guidence of a state which is non-cepitelist aa to its ultimate goals.

There are serious external contradictions of the accislist orientation which stem from the fact that the socialistoriented countries live and will still live in the world capitalist market system. International capital drags these countries towards itself, tries to assist their degeneration and embourgeoisement by using methods of modernised neocolonialism, to undermine the new social system by way of plots and even direct aggressive actions. But this does not form insurmountable obstacles for socialist orientation: the powerful and beneficial influence of socialist stetes mpon the international situation, the policy of detante, peace and international security essentially hinder and limit the possibilities of imperialist intervention, ensure the freedom of the developing countries' advance along the chosen

6. Insolvency of Modern Bourgeois Sociology

In the post-war decades, in the conditions of the aharpening social, political, spiritual crisis of the capitalist society end at the same time in the situation of rapid industrial and scientific development there appeared a new group of theoriets in the foreground of bourgeoia sociology. They here estentiationally rejected the historical and philosophic claims to uncover the general direction and sense of human history and concentrated their attention upon the modern epoch and the nearest future of human society. The central ides of these theorists is that the source of social well-being lies in the progress in the scientific and technological sphere. The new group of theorists has become the mouthpiece of the most dynamic strata of the modern bourgeoisie — the leaders of big monopolies, the so-called technocrats.

Industrislism

The ideological substantististion of the technocrats' claims to power and influence

wes the theory of industrial society, worked out in the 1950s by W. Rostow, R. Aron and other bourgeois sociologiets. "Industrialists" criticised the pessimism of the cyclic echemes of social development (A. Toynboe, P. Sorokin and others), the futurological emphesis boing felt more and more in their works.

The progress of technology and technical know-how is the starting point of the theories of "industrial society". Out of the complex dialectics of productive forces and production relations the "industrialists" metephysically tear out technology and technological relations and view them separately from the forms of property and production relations.

The logic of industrialist theories is built up upon counterpoeing the scientific and technological revolution to the social revolution. They ignore the existence of social, class relations as a specific, independent factor of social life.

The optimism of the industrialiats' futurological theories was generated by the peculiarities of poat-war development of cspitalism, such as the accelerated rate of economic growth and the relative accial stabilisation, which gave birth to "technological determinism" and the conception of birth to "technological determinism" and the conception of "deideologication".

The doctrine of "deideologistation" ie an integral part of the theory of "industrial acciety" which, like classical physica, counts on the world's linear development, on the automatic effect of technological progress.

No matter how diverse the theories of "industrial society" are, all of then presch one and the seme idee: modern technology and technical know-how condition all sides of social life, they call for the establishment of power by scientists, engineers and managers.

Some of the theorists of "industrial society" directly call their constructions as alternative to the Marxist-Lenical their constructions as alternative to the Marxist-Lenical their constructions as alternative to the Marxist-Lenical to the Socio-economic formation. Walt W. Rostow, nist doctrine of the Socio-economic from the A. Rostow, nist doctrine of the Society of Economic Growth. A for instance, in his book The Stages of Economic Growth. A for Communist Manifesto writes openly that his theory is called upon to challenge Marxism and dislodge it as a nethod of studying modern history.

In the lete 60s, the contradictions of state-monopoly cepitalism, which according to the theory of "industrial

society" had been "resolved", gave birth to mass actione by the working class, youth, students against imperialism, the war in Victness, the suppression of democratic freedome, racial discrimination, the buresucratisation of various spheres of life, environmental pollution due to insufficient control over industrial development, etc. These movements have demonstrated the danger of a fetishistic approach towards economic growth, the fallaciousness of technological progress leading not to the end of the century of ideologies, but to new hotbeds of eocisl tension. The back side of capitalist rationality is spiritual emptiness, dehumanisation of the individual, estrangement from free creative activity, and reduction of the totality of human activity to "consumption race."

The aggravation of social contradictions of capitalism conditioned the search of ways for the further improvement of the conceptions of industrialism. A new trend appeared, which we conditionally term as post-industrialism. The West German sociologist Ealf Dahrendorf wrote about "post-capitalist society", Walt W. Rostow of "post-nature economy", Herman Kahn and Anthony Wiener -"of post-economic society", Zoigniew Erzezinski -- of "technetronic society," Alvin Toffler -- of "superindustrial society" and finally Daniel Bell -- of post-industrial society.

According to D. Bell, five major characteristics of poetindustrial society" can be singled out: first, transition from the economy of commodity production to the oconomy of services; second, predomination of a "class" of specialists and technicians; third, priority of theoretical knowledge; fourth, planning of technological growth; fifth, creation of "intellectual technology".

Whet strikes one's eye is the lack of description of the forms of property in an analysis of social development. And this is not socidental. Bell is convinced that it is technology that transforms social relations and our perception of the world by-peesing production relations.

The peculiarity of the futurological construction of Toffler is the claim to create an optimistic ideal of the future and thereby to replace the communist ideal. Here he speaks not of the obsolete petty-bourgeois consumer optimism

limited by satisty and comfort but of tha optimism which takes into consideration soms neads of apiritual development.

It is noteworthy that the conception of "post-industrial society" is based on the fact that modern capitalism lives through internal transformation, which marks the sevent of the ers of "post-industrial society", the proof of it being considered to be the changes in the relations between production, technology and science. Actually this is a new version of technological determinism. And technology and technical know-how are treated from the idealistic point of view, only es the results of scientific development and scientific production.

The theorists of "post-industrial society" analyse msjor contradictions in the context of relations between the new economic and social structure and the political end cultural superstructure, between those who poseess knowledge and information and those who are deprived of them. . . .

The fact that the links between the level of productivs forces and the character of production relations are ignored allows post-industrialists to apaculatively "resolve" the major contradiction of capitalism between the social character of labour and the private form of appropriation. However, ter of labour and the private form of appropriation. However, capitalist production relations heve long ago cassed to be the optimal social form of motion of productive forces. They limit and deform ecientific and technological progress, contribute to the growth of the gap between scientific-technological end social development of ecciety.

Alermiste

The aeventice in bourgeois ecciological literature ere merked by the upewing of a

huge weve of peesimistic foreceets concerning the future and sloomy predictions expressed by a number of bourgeois theorists within the framework of a non-government organisation — "the within the framework of a non-government organisation — "the Club of Rome", specially creeted in the lets eixties to etudy the dynemics, rates and limits of growth in the modern world. The pessimistic forecests of an inevitable ecological disceter sobered up those who quite recently was cerried eway by optimistic predictions of the advent of "the era of universal prosperity". And though et present the frantic dispute

between the technocratic optimists and social pessinists is going on, hopeless pessinism penatrates ever deeper in bourgeois mentality.

Social pessimism and tochnocratic optimism are the extremes, typical forms of bourgeois split mentality inmersed in itself and not eble to see a way out of the presot limits of the bourgeoic understanding of hunsn perspectives. Both conceptions of the world have but one goal: they try to solve the problems and resolve tha contradictions of the present and future society without going beyond the framework of bourgeois atructures of its social organisation.

The growth of contradictions in the interrelations of society end nature generated by cepitalian brought about the nacessity of colving the global problems of ecology, demography, energy, extraction of natural resources which face mankind.

Bourgeois scientists Jay Wright Forrester, Dennis H. Mesdows and Donella L. Needows, M. Mesarovic, E. Pastel and others have sdvanced a number of prognostic global models offering different versions of getting out of the deadlock with the help of computers.

Elowever, none of the models demonstrates the untenability of cepitalism in solving the global problems, does not show reslistic eocial ways out of the existing critical situation. Only socialise that has an objective basic for harmonious relations among people built upon the foundation of socialist property is able to overcome the old type of interrelations between nature and society and to go over to a new stage of intersction with nature, to establish their unity on the besic of a new system, of conecious ectivity of people, of harmonious relations between man and nature.

In the modern epoch when socialism has gained firm ground in a considerable pert of the world and ie developing

l. D.H. Headows et al., The Limits to Growth, New York, 1972; J.W. Forrester, Vorid Dynesics, Cembridge (Mass.), 1974; M. Meserovic, E. Pestel, Henkind et the Turning Point, New York, 1974; G. Tinbergen et al., Headeping the Intermetionel Order, New York, 1976; E. Leszlo et al., Goels for Mankind, New York, 1977.

steedily, when the forces are growing within capitalist socisty which stand for social liberation and capeble of loading victorious struggle against the system of exploitation and oppression, the historical does of capitalism becomes more vivid than over-

Bourgeeis Empirical Socielegy The ompirical trend in modern bourgoois sociology reprecents a specific field many adherents of which renounce

in declarative form thoir links with social and philosephical conceptions. Bourgeois empirical sociology not only carried out the task of collecting and systematisation of facts necessary for more effective administration of society on the part of the ruling classes, but also exercises an essential ideological function: it divorts masses, above all intellectuals, from major social questions, trying to direct their mental sotivity upon partial, local problems. While carrying out these tasks bourgeois empirical sociology works out the means of social control, social administration and regulation, looks for a theoratical substantiation of patty social reformism.

Bourgeois empirical sociology triss to strengthen the existing social order, to find practical recommendations for its support. Its recommendations notwithstanding their insufficiency and narrowness are used for class purposes by the etate and big business.

The weakness of empirical sociology consists in the fact that it considers only certain sides of life, is based on the sum total of certain knowledge of facts and phenomens without taking into consideration the general picture of reality, real diversity of internal ties and mediations which eventually make distorted the results of such limited sociological research.

The absolutiaction of empiricism precludes the acerch for objective lawe of accial development, leads to uncertainty, illogical foundations, to subjective atseting premises in research, to inability to cognise the general laws of ecial development.

Beginning with the early 60a bourgeois empirical sociolo-Ey suffere e serioua crieis. Being concentrated upon the

23-855

solution of narrow practical tasks of administering the capitalist society it turned out to be impotent confronted by ecute accial and political problems of the last decades: the economic and financial crisis, the ecological crisis, unemployment, the development of class and national conflicts.

Functionalism and the Theories of "Social Chenge"

Methodologically, bourgeois empiric acciologists and industrialists lean upon structurel and functional

analysis. Mejor principles of functionalism studying acciety es a self-organising and stable systam which are analogous to some extent to biological organism, were formulated es far back as in 19th century by the English acciologist H. Spenser and the French philosopher and sociologist E. Durkheim.

Why has this type of aocial theory attracted sttention of bourseoie sociologista? This is explained by the fact that the comparatively smooth initial stege of economic development after World Wer II, a certain improvement in the material position of the mesase and the temporal emothering of eociocless contradictions gave birth to illusions of steedy devalopment of capitelism. In its class assence the functional conception of bourgeois sociology is directed against the Marxist theory of society which unliks it takes into consideration the antagonistic, contradictory character of the capitelist acciety and proves the inevitability of its revolutionary transformation.

The methodological principles of functional epproach to an enalysis of accial phenomena is eleboreted in the works of leading American sociologiats Talcott Persons, Robert Merton, Keith Davie, Marion Levy and French structuralists Cleude Lévi-Streuss, Michel Foucault, R. Bart and others.

The most active apologiste of functionelism and their recognised leeder T. Psreons, leaning upon the ideological-theoretical heritage of the classice of bourgeois sociology M. Weber, E. Durkhein, V. Pareto, tried to elaborete, on the basis of etructurel-functional analysie, a profound ecciological theory. According to T. Parsone, such theory should serve es an inetrument of integration of numerous and isoleted empiric deta end leed bourgeois sociology from the desd-lock of empiriciem. Another group of sociologista headed

by R. Merten atressee the necessity of creating, with the help of functional analysis, of a number of limited empirically verified theories of "medium level", which should become a certain intermediate bridge between the "big" sociological theory and concrete empirical research.

The structural end functional epproach snalyses society es a strictly reguleted system in its stetic in e certain period of time without taking into consideration the changing sepects of social being, and a men here is the psesive object which sdepts to the system and plays the preerranged social role invariable as to the set of norms and rules.

In their understanding of the nature of sociel phenomena functional sociologists proceeded from the idealistic conceptions of social life. The representatives of functionalism take for the starting point of research the socio-psychological aspects of human behaviour, in other words the subjective side of objective social processes.

The functional theory tries to escape from the solution of topical problems of modern reslity, to avoid the class evaluation of social phenomena. T. Parsons openly recognised the fact that the systems and cybernetical approach were used in the theory of functionalism with the purpose of finding the way out from endless arguments about the role of class factors in determining social processes and social development.

In the late sixties, a new stage of development of the functional school begins. Its leading theorists new try to analyse the theoretical model of social changes and development, leaving untouched, however, the major postulates of functionalism. An important atimulus for the elaboration of the functionalist model of social change became the theoretical and practical urgency of studying the problems of "modernisation" of the Third World countries. The leading capitalist atates are interested in attracting the newly-free countries

T. Paraona, "On Building Social System Theory. A Personal History", The Tweintieth-Centuries Sciences. Studies in the Biography of Ideas, Ed. by G. Holton, New York, 1971, p. 122.

in the sphere of their oconomic and political influence, to press upon them the capitalist way of development. With this purpose the intensive sociological research of the countries of the Third World and the processes of technological, economic and political development begins. The new version of the structural and functional theory whose purpose is to study the process of social change and development obtains the name of "neo-evolutionism".

According to Parsons, the major stagas of socio-cultural evolution are the "three widest evolutionary levels", called accordingly the primitive, the intermediate and modern ones.

In spite of the seemingly objective analysis of the proceases of social change and development, T. Parsons takes a quite obvious ideological position, considering capitalist society the highest level of evolutionary development.

However, nec-evolutionists suffered a defeat in creating an all-embracing sociological theory of accial change, because they could not overcome, and this is typical for bourgeois sociology, the class-political and theoretical limitations.

Marxism rejects the idealist conceptions and concervative political sttitudes of structural and functional sociology, its divorcement of functioning from development. But it does not deny the legitimate nature of establishing intarconnections between the structure of the integral object and its functiona; it meither denian the use of such categories an "atructure" and "functions".

The decisive influence upon the fall of the authority of functionaliam was exercised by accio-political eventa which took plece in capitalist countries in the late, sixtical examples of the class atruggle, the wave of student movements which awept through capitalist countries proved the fact that capitaliam is not at all the static system of equilibrium, that it is subject to accial and class antagoniams. The illusions of crisis-free development of capitaliam in the framework of "accial change" are proving to he unjustified. There grows the understanding of the fact that deep radical trensformations are the mejor feature of accial life in our times.

In recent years the attempts to combine Marxism with

various idoslist trends of structurnlish are being observed in bourgeois theory. The thesia that "Marxisn is structuralism but historical one" is being offared, that "neo-Marxiam" considers the motivating force of development and disintegration of accial structures to be some kind of "transindividual" subject and not class atruggle. But the utilisation of thess principles in the real accio-political situation denonstrates their complete insolvency.

The materialist understanding of history presents social lifa as the aupreme form of motion of natter, uncovera the objective naterial foundations of the life of society, the basic laws governing the world historical process, datermines the general direction and basic stages of the sacent of mankind.

In determing the general laws of accial history principal sttention is concentrated on the study of the major element of accist being -- production of asterial wealth, its atructure, the laws of development, interrelations of structural components; the significance of production relations sa tha basis of society, the interaction of the basia and the auperstructure is being uncovered, the role of other elementa of accial being in the lifa of acciety ia determined. The dialecticomaterialist approach to the atudy of the world's phenomena means that all aidea, all connections and relations are taken into consideration.

^{1.} L. Goldman, Epistémologie et philosophia politique, Paria, 1978, p. 242.

Chapter II

SOCIAL CONSCIOUSNELS

The development of human society is governed by objective hietorical laws. However, these laws, unlike the lawe of nature, work only through conscious human activity.

People guide themselves in practice by certain ideas and motives, set themselves certain goals and teeks, work out edequete meens of eccomplishing them, show vigour, stc. Active eccial consciouences is perticularly important in the revolutionery struggle weged by the working people, because the mess of the working people ere ecting consciously and purposefully to change economic, eccial, political and other relations es es to build a new society which would meet the intersets of the people.

An indepth understanding of the relevance of social conscioueness to eocial prectice presupposes its thorough analysis.

1. Nature and Structure of Sociel Coneciouences

Meture of Social Coneciousnese

We have alreedy noted in anelysing the genesis of social conecioueneee that it emer-

gee, takee shepe and dovelops only in ecciety and thanke to esciety. Hugan conecioueness cannot be formed outside society or without ite continuoue influence. It is e ecciel product in its very nature end will always be such as long as people live on earth. The coneciousness of every individual absorbs to e larger or emeller extent everything produced by society, by huganity as a whole.

But while consciouenese ie essentially social, it hardly meane that the conscioueness of one individual does not differ in eny way from thet of another.

As a bearer of social cousciousnees, every individual et the eems time edde to this conscioueness something of his own, his individual views, ideals, dreams, desires, sentiments, etc. All this put together comprises individual consciousnees.

Bourgoois sociologiats try to regard individual consciousness (the conaciousness of the individual) in isolation from social consciousness. This is a basically fallacious approach, however, because individual consciousness is the coneciousness of a social individual, i.o., an individual living in society, related to a certain class, nation and anvironment and in this way being an ideological exponent of social, class consciousness. That is why we consider individual consciousness as the totality of all forms of reflecting social being from the sagle of the individual's social needs, interests and goals. This is precisely what is considered individual consciousness in terms of the categories of dialectical materialism.

At the same time, as everyone knows, society consists of social groups, classes, nations, nationalities, etc. The consciousness of every individual contains the goals, ideals and interasts which are characteristic of his social group, class and nation. This is specific in consciousness. It reflects the social boing of a given social group, class, etc., its social atstua, its place in the system of social relations, and objective trends of davelopment.

The general in social conscioueness includes those forms of thinking, those concepts, images and notions in which all human acciety reflects its social being, the real objective course of social development, contradictions in social life, conflicts between antsgoniatic forces, the past and present of human existence, incipient possibilities and ways and tendencies of future development. The conclusion, therefore, is that the consciousness of social groups, classes, etc., as well as the general human content of consciousness constitute social consciousness representing the totality of the spiritual life of society. However, while defining the elements of consciousness related to the individuals, social groups, classes and humanity as a whole, we should not simplistically presume that these are three different types of consciousness existing independently from one another.

Consciousness is a single whole but it has different aspects and manifestations. For instance, a socialist revolution is viewed by social consciousness as a major historical event. But different classes — the working class and the

bourgeoisie - view it differently, from positions of their cleam interests. The former regard it as a great act of social progress, sa the attainment of freedom and happiness for people, while the latter as defeat, disaster and tragedy. That is why there can be no conaciousness outside or above classes in e society divided into classes. Man always reflects social being in terms of his cleam consciousness.

At the same time every individual perceives any event of accial being through the priam of his individual consciouaness, depending on how and to what extent this event effects his personal life, on his undorstanding of that event in eccordance with his educational background, age, past experience, living conditions, otc.

Individual conaciouanesa ia an organic component of accial consciouaneaa. But social coneciouaneaa is not merely a
totality of viewa, ideaa and value orientationa of individuala. It ia not e mere aum total of individual consciouaness
but s new quality characterising a more thorough reflection
of eocial being. Social conaciouanesa not only reflects being
at eny given moment but incorporatea the spiritual values
eccumulated by preceding generations and peesed on to us in
books, worka of ert, acientific concepta, artistic images,
cultural monuments, etc. Moreover, social consciouaness is a
constantly developing system the components of which, including knowledge, ideala, motives, atrivinga, goals, values, images, concepts, etc., interect and influence one enother.

Relative Independence of . Social Consciousness

Social consciousness reflecte social being but it is not s peacive, morrorlike reflection. Having emerged on the bs-

sie of being, it is acquiring its specific features. A certein etock of concepts and notions accumulated in the course of its development scrively influences the subsequent development of social coneciousness. That is why, while on the whole dependent on social being, social conscioueness develops relative independence, which makes itself manifest in divorse The rolative independence of social conscioueness is the ability of the idcological process to devolop eccording to ite intrinsic tendencies and laws, which are epecific to overy form of ideology but which work within the bounds of their ultimete dependence on the economy.

The material basis of the relatively independent devolopment of aociel conscioueness has to do with the sociel division of labour. The isolation of work by brain from work by hand, of spiritual sctivity from the creation of material values enhances the relative independence of the ideological process but is not its primary cause. The source of the relativo indopendence of social conacioueness lies in men's social sctivity, particularly his reflection. The active role of man's conscioueness in the process of social reflection is manifest in the fact that man's mind processes and systematises the date obtained continuously by the senses and draws general conclusions from them with regard to further prospects in the development of an object or process.

How does the relative independence of social consciousness manifest itself?

First, social consciousness may lag behind the development of social being. This is explained by the fact that consciousness is a reflection of being, and reflections follow the objects and processes reflected; by the strong tenscity of old ideas and views, particularly religious beliefa, mores and customs, which survive for a long time after the disappearance of the material conditions which generated them; and also by the influence of the ideology of the reactionary class (now the bourgeoiste), which hes an interest in the aurvival of outdated ideas and habits and therefore contributes in every wey to their perpetuation.

Second, social consciouaneae may outpace man'a prectical activity. Analysing eocial laws and identifying the general tendencies of historical development, outstanding echolars may foretell the future, i.e., formulate theories and ideas which are considerably shead of their time, thus pointing to menkind ways of development for dozens of years shead. Marxiam, for instance, discovered the lews of social development and scientifically proved the inevitability and necessity of transiti-

bourgeoisie - view it differently, from positions of thoir class interests. The former regard it as a grent act of social progress, as the stteinment of freedom and happiness for people, while the latter es defeat, disseter and tragedy. That is why there can be no consciousness outside or above classes in a society divided into classes. Man always reflects social being in terms of his cleas consciousness.

At the same time every individual perceives any event of social being through the prism of his individual consciousness, depending on how and to what extent this event affects his personal life, on his understanding of that ovent in accordance with his educational background, age, past experience, living conditions, otc.

Individual consciousness is an organic component of social consciousness. But social consciousness is not merely a totality of views, ideas and value orientetions of individuals. It is not a mere sun total of individual consciousness but a new quality characterising s more thorough reflection of social being. Eocial consciousness not only reflects being et any given moment but incorporates the spiritual values sccumuleted by preceding generations and pessed on to us in books, works of art, scientific concepts, artistic images, oultural monuments, stc. Moreover, social consciousness is a constantly developing system the components of which, including knowledge, ideals, motives, strivings, goals, values, images, concepts, etc., interact and influence one another.

Relative Independence of . Social Conscioueness

Social consciouaness reflecte accisl being but it is not a passive, morrorlike reflection. Having emerged on the ba-

on. Having emerged on the sin is sock of concepts and notions accumulated in the course of the development sctively influences the subsequent development of social consciousness. That is why, while on the whole tive independence, which makes itself manifest in diverse forms.

notivato them to transform reality.

Structure of Social Consciousness It was demonstrated in the study of dislectical asterislism that the reflection of the objective world by

consciousness consists of two major phases, one sensory and the other rational cognition. The reflection of social being in social conaciousness also consists of two levels, which are formed depending on the different extent to which social consciousness delves into the phenomena, processes, and relaciousnips of social being. External events, facts and phenomens in the life of people are raflected in the senses, moods, psychological reactions and sentiments of social groups, classes, atrats and nations, and are entrenched in customs, traditions, habits, etc. This level of social conaciousness is known as social psychology.

A qualitatively deeper insight of social consciouaness into social being is given by idsolory, which reflects the internal, substantive sspects of social life, its laws and the social forces and tendencies of development that are at work. While at the socio-psychological level, consciouaness reflects for the most part day-to-day and immediate interests of classes and social groups, ideological consciouaness reflects the fundamental social interests and needs of a class and formulates its basic goals and its understanding of the prospects of social development. Ideology reflects social being in theories, in a system of concepts, ideas and well-developed and substantiated doctrines.

While sociel psychology is formed and exists at the level of everyday consciousness as a spontaneous, unorganised social phenomenon, ideology is a theoretical field, e well thought-out integral system of propositions reflecting social life. It is being developed not by a clease as whole but by ite representatives, the thinkers of that class. They are ideologists, people cepable of creative ectivity.

Social psychology and ideology ere closely interreleted and heve their intrinsic structure and different levele of the extent to which eocial being is reflected. on from cepitaliem to eocialiem and the diversity of the forms of thie transition.

Third, the roletive independent development of social coneciousness consists in continuity between the social idees of every new historical period and those of past periods. Every new stage in the development of one form of social consciousness or enother takes chepe not only under the influence of social heing, though this influence is the nein fector, but also under the influence of everything positive produced by previous development.

Fourth, the reletive independent development of eocial consciousness is manifest in the interaction of the forms of social consciousness. Each of them influences the rest of them in one way or another and is in turn influenced by then.

Fifth, this relative independence is also menifest in the fect that the forms of social consciouences are relatively independent of one another. Each of them reflects reality by its own means, draws general conclusions from this reflection in its own way, and develops according to its own laws and in its specific forms.

The intrineic tendencies and leve of development of social consciousness differ, sometimes rether substantially, from the effect of economic tendencies and laws which have ultimately produced them end which are directed at the perception of them.

Thie intrincic logic also explains why different forms of social consciousness are developing unevenly. This uneven development was manifest in human history, for instance, in the fact that different peoples at different periods developed in their spiritual life primarily religion, while other peoples at other periods ettached priority to philosophy or art, and still other peoples—to ecience and political doctrines.

Sixth, the relative independence of sociel conectouaness and social ideas makes itself felt particularly forcefully in the reverse influence of all forms of consciousness on the economic basis and on the development of social life as e whole. In particular, that is why social ideas are embraced by people as a guide to action, unite them and

Humsn consciousnoss has a claas cheracter in a society divided into olsssss.

Man's consciousness reflects tha social status of his clade, rolstionships with other classes and social groups and the needs and interests which are common to members of

hie clase The rofloction of the objective world by man is a complex and contradictory process ombracing both echiovsments in the cognition of the world and delusions, an securats reproduction of objective processes and errors - in short, not only the true reflection of the world but also the false, distorted, fantastic reflection in the minds of people of those external forces which dominate them.

The different forms of social consciousness -- science, philosophy, srt, ethics, politics and religion -- sre organically related, influence one another and cumulatively constituts accial consciousness.

Each form of social consciousness reflocts social being to s different extent and therefore has a role to play both st the level of social psychology and at the lavel of ideolo-

gy.

2. Levels of Social Consciousness

Social Psychology

Drawing a distinction between the psychological and ideolo-

gical aspects of social consciousness, Marxists regard social psychology as a certain degree of the psychological condition of psople, the totality of mores, feelings and sentiments, skills and emotions, customs and specific traits of character that develop in people as a result of the socio-economic conditions of their life and their vital needs and interests and that cause the spontaneous, unconscious motivation for action by the masses of people and different classes and groups.

The study of social psychology, knowledge of it and the ability to use it are one of the decisive conditions of success in leading the revolutionary struggle of the working people. Lemin pointed out on more than one occssion that even the finest of revolutionary vanguards, the most steeled party of the working class, ie only a small part of the wast human eea. So the vanguard must be able to direct the will, psssion,

It was demonstrated in earlier chapters that social being is multifecated, comprising different espects, phenomena, processes and relationships. This diversity of social life is also manifest in different <u>forms of social conscious-</u> nees.

While social psychology and ideology differ from each other in the extent to which they reflect social heing, the forms of social consciousness correspond to the besic components of consciousness.

Mankind has evolved specific, most appropriete forms of reflection, and consolidated them in forms of acciel conaciousness in order to reflect and perceive different espects and processes of social being. These forms are a concrete expression of the basic functions and manifestations of consciousness in general as reflection of the objectiva world which are studied by dislectical materialism.

Consciouaness is first and foremost reflection of the objective world in man's mind.

Man reflects not only the eurrounding objective world but also his internal spirituel world; he is awere of himself ea e subject, mentelly singles himself out of the surrounding world and perceives himselfs ea a thinking being.

Self-awareness in social life is manifest in the fact that mankind, e class or a sociel group are ewars of their place in the system of social relations, their tesks and historical goals.

Man not only reflects the external world and his internal spiritual world but also has a certain attitude to his knowledge and self-awareness: he has sympathies and entipathies, feels love or hetred, satisfection or distillusionment and many other enotions.

Consciousness reflects the world ectively, selectively and forcefully rether than passively, in an impartial way It has an element of will power in it which governs the entire process of consciousness and mentains the purposefulness, concentration end orientation of consciousness and mental activity as a whole. This aspect of human consciousness is manifest in certain principles and standards regulating human conduct, sotions and relationships.

moment is most crucial in the activity of the psrty of the working people. It was precisely that state of social psychology that evolved in Russia in 1917. Lenin wrote at the time: "The revolutionary situation has set in in Europe. We see the greatest discontent, unrest and animosity of the masses. The revolutionary Social-Democrats must exert all their forces in order to strengthen this flow." This is the best ground for spreading proletarian ideology, educating the mass of the working people, and elevating their struggle from spontaneity to consciousness, to the level at which every worker becomes aware of his class affiliation with the great srmy of the proletariat of the entire nation, aware of the need to do away with the exploiting socio-economic eystem. In this way the highest stage in the development of social psychology is set in. It blends with ideology and absorbs scientific principles, theoretical postulates and scholsrly ideas. Ideology incorporates views.

Ideology

Ideology incorporates views, idees and theories in which man's relations with reality

snd relations between classee and batween s given clase and society as a whole are realised and evaluated. It contains the goale (programmes) of social activity simed at concolidating or chaning the existing relations in society. In a society divided into classes ideology is always class-based, reflecting as it does the ideas and situation of a given class and its class interests.

The core of ideology is its theoretical content, which explains social reslity and substantiates the goale and tasks of a given class. Social theory is the basis which unites the ideas, views and ecientific postulates into a single integral system. That is why the ideology of a class does not arise directly from social psychology nor is a direct result of the spontaneous movement of the mass of the working people. It is a product of an indepth theoretical analysis of eccial development, the correlation of class forces, the objective poeition of the working class and its historic mission. The dovetion of the working class and its historic mission. The dovetion of ideology and provides expirical material for theoretical generalisations and a practical field in which the theoretical findings of ideology are tested.

imagination, feelings and sentimenta of tons of thousands and millions of working peopls towards the revolutionary struggle, towards resolving the basic tasks of social life.

The revolution is made not by individuals and even not by the revolutionary vanguard of the working class but by the mass of the working people carried along by a single revolutionary impulse. The decisive role is played here by the state of social psychology and by the mood, purposefulness and revolutionary maturity of the working classes.

Social psychology is not anything solid, immutable, unchangeable st all times. As social being and class struggle develop, social psychology, the moods, feelings and consciouaness of classes and social groups, change and develop under the influence of ideology. The following qualitative stetes, representing ateges of progress from spontaneity to consciousness and from obedience to revolutionary struggle can be identified in the development of social psychology.

The initial steps in the development of social psychology were made sgeinst the background of the depression of people, their reconcilistion with poverty and lawleseness, their being used to oppression and consent to it. The mass of people are still unable to respond to progressive ideas, and eppeals for struggle draw no response from them.

Under the influence of social progress and isolated actions by progressive representatives of other classes and social groups, the graduel swakening from letbargy takes place, subsconscious feelings of protest energe, and in despeir some people make isolated protests. This stage of the development of social psychology produces the breeding ground for the spread of cless ideology.

The mass of people who give vent to their grievances is growing, discontent boils up, and msss-scale hetred of the existing stete of sffsirs erises. Quantity evolves into quality, the spirit of protest breaks the fetters of habit, outrege erupts into riot and the feeling of discontent into s spontaneous movement of the mass of people. This historical

^{1.} See V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, 1974, p. 95.

The antagonism of class intorests is bound to give riso to a battle of idoos, to idoslogical struggls. In capitalist socisty two ideologies which are dismetricolly appasits in their content, principles and mothods - bourgeois and socialist ideologies - are sot in opposition to each other. In our ege the ecops of this opposition has grown to the point of ideological confrontstion of the world sscial forcos, outgoing capitalism and oscending socialism, a progressive system ueharing in a new pariod of world history. Lonin wrato: "... The only choice is - either bourgeois or socialist ideolo-Ey. There is no middle course..."

One may ask, however, if ideology is bound to have a class and party character, doesn't it mean that ideology cannot at all be objective and really scientific? It may seem that every class uses svary usens available to it, sciantific and unscientific, trus and falss, to prove itself right and to gain the upper hand over ita ideological adversary. However, it is only representatives of that ideology which does not have an objective ecientific foundation who resort to pseudo-scientific and false mathode.

As a matter of fact, the present-day battle of ideas is a battla between ecientific and unscientific ideologiss, between the ideology corresponding to the objective lawa. In thie way, the quaetion raised above can be answered as follows: there is objective, scientific ideology. It is Marxiam-Leminiam, because it combines acientific theory and revolutionary thought, the party apirit and the truth.

The scientific character of socialist ideology is determined by a number of factora: first, the class interests of the proletariat are not at odda with the objective cause of bistory but, conversely, coincide with the objective trend of hietorical development, with accial progress which is based in our age on the transition of mankind from capitaliam to socialism. For this reason the position of the working class presupposes a particularly deep-going acientific cognition of the lews and tendencies of social reality. The working class can eccomplish ita hiatoric miasion and meet ita class

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 5, 1977, p. 384.

Expounding the idses of Marx and Engela on the class cheracter of social idsas, Lenin noted in his work What Is

To be Done? thet "in a society torm by class antagonisms there can never he a non-class or an abova-class ideology."

Ideology is elwaya class-hassd in a society divided into classes. It represents cless consciousness which reflects the accial stetua of certain social clasaes and which contributea to the satisfection of their vital interasta and to the accomplishment of their teaka. Bourgeoia idaologista try to prasant their ideology es atending outsids or above classes and helonging to mankind as a whols and criticise Marxiam for ita supposed hias, one-aidedneas end subjectivenesa. In reality there ia no, nor can there be ideology outside classes in a cless society. The Marxist theory of the class character of ideology organically atems from the very easencs of the materialiat understanding of history, from its fundamental principla that accial being determines social consciouaness. The accial being of a cleas society consists of the opposite classes, the sxploitera and the exploited. Every class hea ita definite interests by virtue of its socio-economic position. Class intersats are reflected in a system of ideaa, in ideology, in which the goals and tasks of a given class and its views of prospects of accial development are perceived and theoretically formulated. Class interests stimulate the emergence of corresponding idsas and their evolvement into idsological systems and promote the realisation of these ideas.

Karl Marx, Frederick Engels, Collected Works, Vol.5, 1976, p. 59.

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 5, 1977, p. 384.

class and its being. But this reflection is not one-sided, direct or immediats. Sincs ideology svolves as a scientific theory, it is influenced not only by the naterial basis, by given social being, but also to a large extent by the progress of theoretical knowledge and by ideological processos per se.

The relative independence of ideology is manifest in the fact that it may lag behind social being or outrum it, illumining the ways and tendencies of its development.

It is because of the relative independence of ideology, because of the energence in it of ideas, theories and scientific postulates which are not direct and immediate consequences of changes in social being that it has a reverse effect on its basis and actively influences processes in it.

Ideology not only represents reflection and cognition of social relations. It is always connected with the practical actions and conduct of people and servee the tasks of social practice. This makes its social functions, its role in social life very important. This importance makes itself felt first and foremost in the integrating and unifying role of ideology, rallying people together to scoomplish the tasks facing them. Another important thing is that ideology makes forecasts and formulates goals. Ite mobilising role manifests itself in its active influence. That was why the founders of Marxism pointed out that in the final analysis the social activity of the mass of people and their participation in class struggle sixed at the exancipation of the working people depends on the extent to which accialist ideology is absorbed by the mass of people, on their consciousness and awareneas of their own class interests.

Ideology ie an area of complex creative processes in the course of which some ideas are formulated or refuted, others revised and oriticised, and still others improved and developed. Ideology is subject to the laws of continuity in the development of the spiritual life of society. Proleterian ideology absorbed, reworked and critically sasimilated everything the best that had been created by major thinkers of the peet in many countries.

interests only on the basis of awareness of the laws of social development. That is why one of the main tasks of the ideologists of the proleteriat is scientific presentation of the objective course of social development and scientific substantiation of socialist ideology.

Becond, the scientific character of socialist ideology is determined by the historical place and role of the working class in the system of accial life. Dominant classes always etrove to perpetuate their rule and the existing orders, thus putting s brake on social development. For this reason their theories and ideology era at variance with the objective lewgoverned historical process. The proletariat, meanwhile, is the only class which does not seek to perpetuate its class domination nor sets out to enalaye other classes but, conversely, rids itself of exploitation and at the eams time liberates from it sociaty as a whole, takes over the means of production from private proprietors and makes them public property, thus eliminsting every ground for exploitation of man by man. The working clase has no interest in the perpetuetion of the exieting ordera but tries to ensure the development, transformation and progress of society. In this way the clase interests of the proletariet coincide with the chjective course of historical development.

Third, it follows from the foregoing that the proleteriat expresses in its activity not only its own interests but represents all the working people, all the progressive social forces of the nation as e whole. Its ideology is a concentrated ecientific expression of the most vital interests, sspirations and hopes of society, the people as a whole, of everything that is live and honest in ell the classes, es Lenin put it.

In this way the party spirit, the class character end eccietific neture of socialist ideology are interdependent. A class positioe ie an sesential condition for scientific cognition, s compass in analysing the politics and spiritual life of society; it helps correctly to evaluete ideological trends, views and conceptions.

Ideology as the highest level of class coneciousness is a reflection of the socio-economic position of s given

revolutionary etruggle is replats with sxamplee of outetanding self-sacrifices made by the best representatives of the working class because of their absolute conviction of the correctness of their cause.

A major tack tackled by Marxist-Leninist ideology is etruggle sgsinst bourgeois ideology, against different ravisionist and opportuniet devistions from Marxism-Leninism, and sgsinst different anti-socialist and anti-communiet ideological trends. This task is made so important by the fact that bourgeois and revisionist ideology tries to influence the social psychology of the mass of the people and dominste it. The ideology of the dominant class in a society divided into antegonistic classes has always had a great influence on the development of everyday consciousness through the church, school, press and other channels. The brainwaching of the mass of the people has assumed a particularly large ecope under conditions of precent-day state monopoly capitalism. Ueing the press, radio, television, cinema, and mass printed matter, the monopoly bourgeoisie manipulates the coneciousneee of the mase of the working people by systematically effecting the minds of the people in the direction needed by the dominant class.

That is why the popularisation of Marxist-Leninist ideology among the working people necessarily presupposes struggle sgainst bourgeois or any other related ideology and the cleansing of the minds of the working people from its influence.

One more task of socislist ideology is to develop its own theories, ideas and scientific tenets. This task is dictated first and foremost by the fact that society and socioeconomic relations are continuously developing, that the world revolutionary process is rapidly sdvancing and that relations between countries, as well as science, technology and social production are making rapid progress. Socialist ideology must keep pace with the latest world developments and, moreover, go ahead of them, foreseeing opportunities and tendencies that arise in order to direct the revolutionary process and the sctivities of the multi-million mass of the working people coundly and with minimum errors.

Marxist-Laminist ideology as the ideology of the mass of the working people is performing the following main tasks-

The main tesk of proletarian ideology is to inculcate on the mass of the working people and their social psychology and to orient the activity of the people to the achievement of socio-political goals formulated by ideology.

The working cless, the mass of the working psople, cannot develop socialist consciousness in their day-to-day struggle or in their production sctivity. That is why it is necessary to combins the theory of socialism with the working-class movement, to introduce the ideas of socialism into the revolutionary struggls of the working peopls so as to impart to it e conscious, organized and purposeful character. The vanguerd of the working class, its political party, is formed to carry out organisational, political and educational activities sized et propegating Marxist-Leninist ideology in the midst of the working people.

The more favourable the ground for introducing socialist ideology in the minds of the working people and the better developed their social psychology, the greater the success in the accomplishment of that task. It is very important here to take account of the different degrees of naturity of the ecclo-psychological consciouenese of the working people, which has already been esid above. Depending on this degree, it is necessary to use different methods and forms of ideological influence on the people.

Ideology in iteelf, taken apart from social psychology, is pure theory, s philosophical and literary phenomenon, wherees combined with social psychology and implanted in the minde of the mass of the psople it undergoes a qualitative change and becomes an active material force. Social psychology for ite part is transformed qualitatively, becomes "rationalised" and incorporates certain ideas, becoming theoretically purposeful.

The vest importance of the pervesion of social psychology by ideology elso consists in the fact that ideology shapes up the political views of the working people, makes them aware of the historical eignificance of their actions, and gives them the sense of their being right and the conviction that the cause of the working people will triumph. The history of In bourgeois society social consciousness is "divided" into different types of class consciousness as a result of the antagonism between the interests of the ruling class and those of the oppressed class. In socialist society single social consciousness takes shape on the basis of the common interests of all the working classes conditioned by public ownsrship of the means of production.

Disparity between bourgeois ideology, on the one hand, and the interests of the working people and their social psychology, on the other, makes the ruling classes of bourgacis society resort to deliberate lies and hypocrisy and to cloaking their true interests. Under socialism Marxist-Leninist ideology accords with the interests of the working people and with their social psychology and aims at showing the people their true situation and tasks and at drawing the broad mass of the peopls into building a communist society.

The mechanism of the intersction of ideology and social psychology in bourgeois society operates on the basis of individualism, the alienation of the individual and of contrasting its interests with those of other individuals and society as a whole. In socialist society ideology and social psychology imterset on the basis of collectivism and the ever growing harmony between the interests of the individual and those of groups of people and society in general.

The bourgeois political system serves as a means of implenting bourgeois ideology into the minds of the working people, distracting them from class struggls and giving them an illusion of democracy. The political system of socialist society, with the communist party playing a leading role in it, reflects the interests of the entire people and organises the building of m new society. Great importance im its work is attached to ideological work siming at developing communist consciousmess smong all the working people.

Under socialism Marxist-Loninist ideology sequires special relevance and affects all aspects of social life. It tackles major tasks of a constructive nature. Communist society comes into being and develope in keeping with the objective lews of historical development, which manifast not epomtaneously but consciously, through people's sotivity directed on a systematic basis.

The development of socialist ideology is also determined by the need to epply general Marxist-Leninist propositions to the specific conditions of individual countries and geographical regions and to the national and historical realities of individual peoples. There is nothing nore ramote from tha truth than the allogations of bourgeois ideologists that Marxiem is a conglomeration of dogmes which the Marxists are trying to impose on all the peoples without any consideration of the epecific conditions of every nation. The ideology of Marxisa-Leniniat is scientific, it is based on historical materialism, the acience of the laws of social development. The laws of any science are general and manifest themselves differently under different conditions, and the application of these general lews in every specific case is a far from easy task. For instance, specialists know wall the lswa of electricity but it takes much effort and ingenuity to develop e new electric device. Social life is immeasurably more complex than eny piece of technology; therafore, the correct epplication of the general laws of social development to the specific conditions of the life of a given society, people or country is a very difficult task demanding creativity. It is the inability to eccomplish this task that often leads people to renounca Marxism-Leninism, to revisa it bssically, to try to "improve" and "update" it. etc. Revisionists can be compered with a helplass engineer who demands a revision of the lawe of electricity nevely because he has failed to develop e new instrument.

Marrist-Leminiet ideology is ecientific and has to be epproached in a scientific way.

This self-development of ideology manifests itself in prectice mostly in the fact that ideologiets — people equipped in every way to develop, enrich and propagate ideology — are being continuously aducated and trained theoretically and thet their exills and knowledge are being constantly improved and updated.

Social Paychology and Ideology under Socialism Under socialien the interaction of social psychology and ideology has the following characteristics. Bourgeois tochnocratic coneciousness is typical of the exploiting groups connected with production end using scientific methods of organisation and manegement. Petty-bourge-ois technocratic consciousness cen be found in certain atrata of the intelligenteis, students and some groups of the working class employed in the industries depending on the acientific and technological revolution.

Finally, there is ecientific, Marxiet conscioueness of the venguard of the Latin American working people as represented by the communist parties of the continent and communist-gravitating elements of the general democratic movement.

The specific hietorical experience of capitaliat induetrialiaation and the ecientific and technological revolution in Latin American conditions as well as social changes and political struggle in the past few decades are interpreted differently by representatives of various socio-psychological groups, depending on their class characteristics.

Representatives of the right-wing traditionalist groups frown upon industrialisation, the ecientific end technological revolution and any eocial and political chenge. The landowning oligarchy which ere the backbone of these groupe have an idealietic viaw of the past, deliberately blur the difference between the imperialist and socialist ways of the scientific and technological revolution and capitaliee on the negative aspects of industrialization and the ecientific and technological revolution carriad out in Latin America by the exploiting groups. They try to influence the eocial etrata with passant-communal and petty-bourgeois coneciousnees. The ideologiats and theorists of this trend do not flaunt their feudal convictione but manipulate the patriarchal slogans of the "indestructible national foundations" and "heritage of the forefathers" as well as certain ideas of peacant-Communal and trade union "eocialism" and sometimes even ultra-revolutionery phraseology.

These interpreters see the main conflict of present-day Latin American society in the contradiction between the "selflees" upholders of the "glorious" national past and a gang of elien reformiets. This socio-psychological groups also includes certain representatives of the petty and Under the circunstances Marxist-Leninist ideology servee as a theoretical foundation for making scientific forecasts about the main trends in the development of socialist society. In their policy documents and resolutions of their congresses the Marxist-Leninist parties work out concrete plans for building socialism and communism, plans that guide the working people of socialist society in their prectical activity.

Thus, ideology has a paramount role to play in social life and is embodied in the activities of the mass of tho people, in class struggle, and in the work of political institutions and organizations implementing the political tasks of their classes. Ideology acts as a mobilising and organizing force only when it penetrates social psychology, directs it and steers the consciousness of the working people towards the accompliabment of basic class tasks. Only by gripping the minds of people do ideas become a material force transforming the world.

Peculiarities of Social Conscioueness in Different Geographical Regiona Social conscioueness has its peculisrities in different historical, economic and geographical conditions. It is quite obvious that the pecu-

lisrities of social consciouenese in the Latin American countries are determined by specific social class structure.

At present several types of consciousness can be identified here. Foudel consciousness, which was dominant in the colonial period and which has survived to this day in a slightly modernised form, is vested in major landowners and representatives of eratwhile foreign monopolies (plantation and mining ones). Peasant-communal consciousness still exists in areas of the natural economy and plantation corvee and socialism.

Bourgeois conscioueness has arisen from industrial enterpreneurahip of the "laiseez feire" egs, and elso its sociopolitical infrestructure, such es the officieldom, intelligentsis and clergy. Petty-bourgeois conscioueness is characteristic of petty proprietore engeged in handicrefts, ehop-owners and pessants dependent on the industrial system of big capital. The pattern of social consciousness in the Arab countries is no less complex. This complexity is explained by the fact that, due to a number of historical, economic and political causes, religious ideas, clannish and tribal notions and feudal, bourgeois and petty-bourgeois ideologies are intertwined in social consciousness at different levels and in different forms. The growth of the Arab national liberation movement has enhanced nationalistic ideas and sentiments, while the numerical growth of the working class in the Arab countries has contributed to the ever wider propagation of ideas of sciontific socialism in society.

The historical practice of the past few decades has demonstrated that the ideological development of the Arab revolutionary democrats has not always been consistent. In addition to certain postulates of scientific socialism, their doctrines contained quite a few petty-bourgeois and utopian religious and nationalistic ideas. Objectively, their doctrines were s programme of revolutionary action by the mass of the working people waging struggle sgainst imperialism and reaction. But at the same time they often misled the masses, focused their attention on secondary issues, and sometimes even played right into the hands of counter-revolution and reaction.

The report of the CPSU Central Committee to the 26th Party Congrese noted that "of late, Islanic elogans are being actively promoted in some countries of the East.... The baner of Islam may lead into etruggle for liberation. This is borne out by history, including very recent history. But it also shows that reaction, too, manipuletes with Islamic slogans to incite counter-revolutionary mutinies. "

The complex interlacement of divisions according to region, nationality, economic structure, clase or stratum and, lastly, religion is to a large extent the legacy of the grim

Documents and Resolutions. The 26th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, Novoeti Prese Agency Publishing House, Moscow, 1981, pp. 18-19.

middle bourgeoisie who were once viewed as "progressives" end who ere now heing ousted by foreign and domestic monopolistic competitors well versed in the organisation of production and marketing under the conditions of the scientific and technological revolution.

The right-wing reformist groupings, which rely on bourgeois-technocratic consciousness, also misrepresent the hesic conflict of present-day Latin American society. They ettech priority to the conflict hatween the proponents of pro-imperialist industrielisation and scientific and technical progress and all those who are opposed to this course rether than to the basic contradiction between the forces of democracy, led by the proletariet, on the one hand, and the alliance of the reactionary forces, on the other. In this way the forces of the democratic and anti-imperialist movement are criticially lumped together with the proponents of the semi-feudal paet.

Manipulsting the alogans of industrialisation and the scientific and technological revolution, the ideologists of this achool point to espitalist development as the only possible road to progress for Latin American countries today and summarily lable all their opponents as conservatives and retrogrades rejecting economic and social progress.

Representatives of the left-wing democratic forces believe that the main conflict of the eccio-economic development of Latin American countries is that between the alliance of the exploiting groups and the democratic anti-imperialist movement which must bring about radical social and political changes on the hasis of industrialisation and the scientific and technological revolution in the interests of the mess of the working people. However, representatives of these forces differ in principle over the components, tasks end ways of the democratic movement, ranging from the utopian consciousnees of the petty-bourgeois strate and the truly revolutionery, scientific, Marxist consciousness of the vanguerd of the Letin Agerican proleteriet. Trying to win over to their side the mass of the working peopla, the Latin American Marxists ere opposed to patty-bourgeoia ultre-revolutionaries and dogmatists.

The change of the basia also entails changes in the laws of social life (the laws governing the old society give way to new laws, those that operate in a new society; the general laws of history begin to manifest themselves in a different way, and so on), the world outlook and dominant ideas change as well, etc. The basic forms of social consciousness will be examined below. It should be borne in mind here that every form of social consciousness includes many problems and aspects and is studied by philosophers and other scholars as a specific phenomenon. We will consider these forms only as forms of social consciousness that reflect certain aspects of social bsing.

Politics and Legal Consciousness Politics is a form of social consciousness reflecting relations between classes, nstions and other social

second over the state and political power as a whole. The most same and element of politics is atate power and hence the struggle to win, retain and utilise it. Any public activity connected, directly or indirectly, with relationships between classes, nations, states, social groups is reflected in the political form of social consciousness. All the other forms of social consciousness, including science, philosophy and art, can also have a political character if they are related to one extent or another to class relationships and state power.

Politics, just like social consciousness in general, can reflect the objective processes of social being to different degrees. That is why it manifests itself both in social psychology and ideology.

Political psychology is a totality of everyday notions, sentiments, moods and evaluations of the social status of classes and relationships between them that have been worked out spontaneoually by a class or a social group. Political psychology in horne by the mana of the people and determines their behaviour to s large extent.

Political ideology is a scientific, theoretically fornulated reflection of the sesence and law-governed manifestations of relationships between classes, nations and social groups. It provides guidelines for the activity of classes

pest of the Arab peoplea, who went through Ottoman conqueste and West Europeen colonisation. The colonialiats foetered the development of one-crop economiea, thus encouraging the progress of some regions at the expense of others, and also supported outdeted economic structures and cultural isoletications and discriminated egainst certain groups of the population. Finally, in the Middle East the colonialists left behind ertificial borders between Arab countries.

The population of the Arab countries is very religious and almost totally illiterate. The ongoing process of industrialisation along with the spresd of cepitalist relations into the countryside impoverishes the poor pessantry and forces than to migrate into cities. These atrata, ausceptible to redicelist political denegogy, eesily fall for political clogans formulated in religious terms.

3. Forms of Sociel Consciouenese

As hes been demonstrated above, the forms of eccial conscioueness ere elements, components of the epiritual life of society which reflect in specific ways the different aspects and processes of eccial being. The ways of reflection themselves are determined by the essential cheracteristics of the objects of reflection, i.e., objective processes of social life and, first and foremost, the existence of the economic besie. The relationship between forms of accial conscioueness elso ultimately depends on relations which have emerged between objective processes of accial life in the course of history. This relationship between objective processes is very complex and nultifaceted. The most important point here is how closely certain phenomene and processes of social life ere linked with the economic besis of ecciety.

For instance, the eccial etatua of one cleee or another is directly determined by the economic beais, by the dominant relations of production in society. As the basis changes, the cocial etatue of cleesee changes simultaneously. It follows that politics and law heve a special role to play in the system of the forme of social consciousness in a society divided into clesses.

party or class is determined by the character of its domestic policy. At the same time the foreign policy situation influences domestic policy. But ultimately, both domestic and foreign policy is simed at accomplishing the same task of preserving and consolidating the system of class, social relations existing in a given society.

The dominant class uses the state to ensconce the basic principles of its policy in the form of state laws. Law is a totality of rules of behaviour and acts established by the state, which are binding for everyone and the observance of which is snaured by measures of state influence. Law is drafted and asserted by the dominant class and for this reason is always simed at protecting the interests of that class.

Law is an expression of the policy of the dominant class. In a society divided into classes, however, other classes. have their own attitude to law asserted by the state, their own understanding of that law and their own notions of rules of behaviour. This represents legal consciousness, which is a totality of views, idsae and notions reflecting the attitude of people to law, legality, justice, and their ides of what is lawful and whet is unlawful. Legal consciousness is rooted in social psychology, which includes a sense of justice, disgust for offences sgainst the law and crimes, a sense of human disnity, comradely mutual assistance, solidarity, etc.

The legal consciousnoss of the mass of the working people is formulated ecientifically in the policies of the Marxist-Leninist perties. Since law established by the dominant class is st odds with the legal consciousness of the mass of the working people, bourgeois law ueually is hypocritical, trying to edapt itself to the legal consciousness of the working people and to conceal the fact that law gives statutory force to the dominance of one class over another. The political parties of the working people expose the hypocrisy of bourgeois law and its class nature. That ie why law, just like politice, is an area of fierce ideological struggle.

Lew perceived by bourgeois ideology as the unshakable beens of state order and social progress no loager constitutes the foundation of the theory and prectice of state ectivity in the period of imperialism and particularly the and the state. Political ideology is horne by political parties representing the interests of different classes, first and foremost their economic interests. Politics is a concontrated expression of scononics, the econonic position of classes and material relationships between them. At the same time politics is to a large extent roletively indspendent and in ita turn makea s great impsct on socio-historical development. Influencing political psychology, the state and political partiea, politica can hold back the objective courso of eccial devolopment, and temporarily change relationships hetween claaces in the interests of a certsin cless. It is this role that is being played today by the policy pursued by imperialism and by bourgeoie political partics: it is simed at perpetuating end extending the dominance of the big, monopoly bourgeoieie, at hreaking the class unity of the mass of the working people and et coneolidating imparialist domination in former colonies.

Politics plays an even more active rols with regard to the economy, the material besis and social being when it is aimed at transforming society along progressive lines and contributes to social progress. Politica reflects the pressing needs of social development, first and foremost economic growth, and seta aa e strong eccelerator of social progress and as a force contributing to the rational and efficient utilisation of the potentialities latent in the objective courae of eccial procesaea. It is this role that ia heing played in our age by the policies of the Marxiat-Leniniet parties, expressing the interests of the working class and sll the working people. Reflecting the objective lawa of relationshipa between clsacec and their development, the policies of the communist and workers' parties grip the political psychology of the mass of the population and direct their actions at radical social reforms, st establishing new relationshipa between claeaea, acciel groupa end nationa, and at building a new society.

Reletionshipe between clauses, nations and accial groups ere to be found not only within an individual country but also outside it. That is why we identify foreign and domestic policies. On the whole, the foreign policy of a given state,

ral erudition, mature ideological and moral principlas, a profound and comprehonsiva understanding of the relationship between eccial and individual interesta, a high level of civic and state consciousness, awareness of their responsibility for their actions both at work and in relations with other peopla. This highly developed political cultura has been evolved by the socielist way of life, which is a process of the davalopment of a new type of the individual who has firm and mature ideological principlas, is active in social life, and not merely absorbs the basics of knowledge and culture but, coming into contact with the highest spiritual values of world and precent-day socialist culture, transforms them into his innermost ideological convictions.

The political culture of socialism is simed at fostering in society a spiritual and moral atmosphere characterised by high demands on the norms of communal life in socialist eociety and at drastic measures to overcome negative phenomena in practice. It is directed first and foremost against bourgeois ideology and morality, against everything hampering the assertion of social justice, creative work and human dignity.

Philoeophy

Philosophy as a form of socisl consciousness is the subject of discussion and analy-

eie in this book as a whole. That is why there is no need to dwell in detail on this question here. It should be pointed out, however, that philosophy always is a concentrated presentation of the theory about the more general principles of knowledge and human consciousneas, human being and the place and role of man in society. The fundamental question of philosophy, the question of the relationship of thinking towards being, is essentially the question of man, his place in the world and hie attitude to it.

Answers to the fundamental question of philosophy and other questions posed by it and the interpretation of the principles mentioned above was changing in the course of history and had different meaning in different epochs. Marx observed, therefore, that every "philosophy is the intellectu-

general criaie of capitalism. Under conditions of presentday capitalism political views and legal consciousness are reflecting to an ever greater extent the crisis of the legal methods of governing acciety, the crisis of the notions of legality and democracy as intrinsic slements of the bourgeois state.

As it wages a class struggle, the working class evolves eccialist, revolutionary legel consciousnese, which is manifest in the programmes of the workers' perties, in the political and legal demands of the mass of the population and in their protest against the existing orders.

Societiet legel consciouenese is a totality of legal views, notions and accial sentiments expressing the ettitude of the working class and the working people at large, led by the communist party, to the existing system of duties, rights and responsibilities of citizens.

Socialist legal consciousness is cheracterized by idese of internationalies, friendship smong netions and their equality. It is based on the recognition of the fect that lew should promote freternal cooperation among netions and their drawing closer together and cross-fartilisation. Socialist legal consciousness is pervaded with genuine humanism: man is the greatest seest of acciety. It ensures and promotes the development of human freedom, dignity and honour. The human character of eccialist legal consciousness is manifest in the fect that it encourages such forms of the self-realisation of the individual which contributs to the flourishing of his creative potentialities and to the spiritual enrichment of people around him.

As a result of the further progress of lew in socialist society, every nember of that society will not go beyond the bounds of the existing legel norms but make his choice in his social actions and behaviour exclusively on the strength of his inner need rether than by force of coercion. This will be an essential prerequisite for the abolition of the coercive function of legislation and gradual transition to communicat social self-government.

The overwhelming mejority of people under developed socialien are characterised by extensive political and cultu-

revolution, establishes its own rule and pureues its specific closs interest, and its philosophy, therefore, becomes the concentrated expression of its class consciouences.

The working clace ie the only closs which does not pursus in its revolutionary strugglo the min of cotmblishing its clsee dominance over the other clssses, but, convergely, smancipating itself liberstes society as n whole and sets out to obolish ony exploitation of man by man, to do sway with ths divicion of society along clase lines, and to build a classless society in which the free development of everyone ie the condition of the free development of all. That is why the working closs is the most consistent representative of the interects of occiety os n whole, looking into its future. while its philocophy, Marxism-Leninian, is the only scientific and true philosophy, the concentrated expression of the whols of hunan consciousness and the cultural and intellectual quintossence of our sge, the sgo of mankind's trasition from capitalism to socialism, the overthrow of colonislism and victorious national liberation ravolutions.

Fhilosophy performs asvoral basic functions in society. Boing the intellectual and cultural quintessence of its age, philosophy first and forement constitutes the basis of world outlook. The world outlook is o system of views on the world, surrounding reality, nature and society in the past, at present and in the future, which gives a coherent understanding of the developments taking place in the world.

The fact that Msrxiat-Leniniat philosophy is the basis of the world outlook of all the progressive forces of our time is explained by its coherent approach to an analysis of reality, its interest in the general laws of nature, society and thought, its view of human activity as an integral whole and its concentrated expression of the consciousness of the most progressive class.

The world outlook is not only s definite system of knowledge but also the convictions, goals and principles of sction by social classes and their perties. It includes a certain set of values by which the progressive classes guide themeelves in their revolutionery transforming sctivity. sl quintessence of its time. "1

Mankind seems to be summing up its intellectual and cultural development in philosophical doctrines st overy period of history, understanding itself, clarifying the extent to which it has come to realise its place in the surrounding world, the path travelled in history and tendencies for further development. That is why philosophy can be defined as an historically conditioned celf-swarenese of mankind, its underatanding of its own nature, goals, tacks and possibilities.

The history of philosophy as a whole is the history of struggle between different schools seeking to expreso the self-awarenees of mankind. But since philosophers in societies divided into classes always represented, whether consciously or subconsciously, the interests of certain classes, their doctrines were the quintessence of the self-swareness of only those classes which were represented by philosophera in question.

Doss this mean that there can be no genuine philosophy in a class society? No, it does not.

This metter is related to the understanding of social progress. The world historical process, as was demonstrated above, advances by means of revolutionary transition from ons socio-sconomic formation to another. The class carrying out s revolution simed st sliminating outdated social relations and establishing new ones objectively expresses the interests of human society as a whols. "The class making a revolution comes forward from the very start, if only because it is opposed to a class, not as a class but as the representative of the whole of society, as the whole mass of ecciety confronting the one ruling class. It can do this because initially its interest really is as yet mostly connected with the common interest of all other non-ruling classee...," Marx pointed out. 2 That is why the philosophy of that class indeed is the quintessence of human consciousness as a whole and represents relative truth for its period.

However, history shows that every class, heving made e

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 1, 1976, p. 195. 2. K. Marx, P. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, 1976,

sophy of the working class namifosts itself. Philosophy serves quits definite sime, namily, the cognition of the world with a view to purposefully transforming it. That is why the rols of Marxisz-Isminisz constantly grows just as the revolutionary and liberation struggles of the working people of the world grows in depth and scops.

In this way philosophy as a form of social consciousness roflocts nan's boing, his place in the objective world, his attitude to the surrounding reality and to himself, and at the same time his basic stand in life, convictiona, ideals and value orientations.

Philosophy as the hasis of the world outlook pervades both levels of social consciousness, that is, social psychology and ideology. The world outlook and its philosophical underpinnings exist in social psychology in the form of common senes, i.e., the totality of people's day-to-day experisnee, visws, and traditional notions of the aurrounding world and themselves that evolve spontaneously. This philosophical world outlook at the level of social psychology can be naterialist, when the world is viewed as it presents itself to man, or idealistic, more often than not religious, when man sees in every natural process or his own sotion a manifestation of some supernatural forcs. This view of the world is most often a mixture of naive materialist and religious notions of oneself and of the world around.

The philosophical world outlook is to be found for the most part at the level of ideology in systematiced philosophical doctrines, which exist in the form of concepts, rely to one extent or another on the achievements of the natural and social sciences and are corroborated to a certain degree by logical arguments.

Man's world outlook has a great role to play in the life of society becames it supplies man with certain guidelines for his beheviour in society and his relation to other classes, nations and social groups. That is why different schools of philosophy are engaged in bitter struggle in their strempts to foster in the mass of the population a world outlook in which the classes represented by them have an interest. It is quite important from the point of view of class struggle today whether man sees himself as a solitary being, desola-

In this way the world-view aspect of philosophy produces an additional criterion for the selection and evaluation of the material, object, methods and ways, direction and tasks of actions of the progressive forces, the criterion of values. Marxist-Leninist philosophy regerds man as the supreme value end the innermost meaning of history as a whole. Herein lies the profound humaniam of the philosophy of the working class and also its historical optimism, hecansa philosophy sets out not only to explain the world and man's place in it hut, what is most important, to change that world in accordance with man'a vital interests. Explaining the general laws of the development of nature, society and thought, philosophy shows thet people themselves make their history and, therefore, are meeters of their future, which depends on the extent of their revolutionary trensforming activity. The philosophical world outlook points out for man certain values in his activity and in this way constitutes the methodological basis of the cognition and understanding of the world and practical activity. The more general laws of development of nature, society and human thought, explained by Marxist-Leninist philosophy, are the point of departure for further scientific cognition and a guide directing thinking towards mors thorough and accurats investigation and practical activity towards the scientific and revolutionary transformation of the world in accordance with objective lews.

Dialectical materialism demonstrates its strength and megnitude particularly forcsfully when its basic functions, those of a acientific world outlook and methodology, are combined in an unbreakeble unity rather than taken asparately and when philosophy is understood as knowledge of the more general laws of the world, lying at the basis of world outlook and constituting the methodology of cognition and action. This role can be played only by the philosophy of a progressive class which transforms, the world by means of revolution. Harriam-Leninies, a consistently acientific philocophy, is a creative revolutionary doctrine laying down clear guidelines for the working cless and all the working people to radically transform the world. It is in this thet the organic unity of the scientific and partisan character of the philo-

its moral vices. Marx pointed out this circumstance on wore than one occasion: "... Shano is already revolution of o kind ... Shows is a kind of anger which is turned inword. And if s whole nation really experienced a sense of chone, it would be like s lion, crouching resdy to spring." The owarenese that their couse is morally right gives the revolutionery cleases "the insuperable pothes of moral forces" (Marx), and inspires then with the conviction of ultimote victory and the triumph of a new social system based on the principles of hunaniam. That is why the formation of a lofty noral coneciousness as s component of the overall ideological troining of revolutionarice is an important took of every Marxist-Leninist party.

The moral refloction of reality is characterised by the following apecific footures:

The object of moral reflection is what is deentological (binding) in acciety. Moral norms reflect the denands usde by society and social classes on the younger generation and on the behaviour of people in general. These demands are understood by nan ss his accial duty, i.e., certain obligations to the homeland, class, party, collective, fomily, etc. A specific feature of the moral awareness of these obligations is that they are not something imposed from outside but something intrinaic to the internal motivation of the individual. This does not mean, however, that moral idees or feelings are inborn. Moral rulea become man's internal notivation as a reault of education and the assimilation by him of the traditions, customs and mores of society.

Making certain moral requirementa on the individual, the acciety or a class backs them by public opinion. The impact of public opinion here depends not only on the aignificance of moral evaluation but also on the fact that it usually entaila certain ectiona. Moral conaciouaneaa, therefore, reflecte both the measure of the requirements made by acciety on people and the measure of their apiritual reward for their actiona. That is why moral consciousness is to a considerable

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engela, Collected Worka, Vol. 3, 1975, p.133.

te and oppressed by alien forces he cannot understand, living in constent feer end expecting desth, as existentialism presents it, or whether men is aware of his close unity with his fellow nen, cepsble of cognising and trensforming the world, doing good to peopls and making a contribution towards bettering social life and the development of science, culture and social production, as Marxism, a philosophy of historical optimism, demonstrates.

Morality

Morality is a system of views, principles end rules, end also moods and sentiments by

which people guide themselves in relationships with one smother and with society both in perconal and public life and in relating the younger generation. As any other form of social concciousness, it has two levels, norsh psychology and moral ideology.

Moral psychology is a totality of moods, viewe, traditions and ouetoms regulating the behaviour of peopls in dayto-day life with the halp of public opinion. The strangth of public opinion lies in the fact that it is a concentration of the prestige of e social group, making it obligatory for people to observe certein moral rules.

Moral feelings of love and hatred, courage and cowardice, eletion and outrege, etc., are not a consequence of man's biological development. The content of these feelings is shaped up in the course of social development under the impect of society, e class and the femily. They dominate all the other emotions and feelings of man. Moral feelings are far more etable than other feelings.

Morel ideology is reflected in etbical systems of difforent types which exist in specific historical circumstances and heve a class nature.

Moral consciousness is a sensitive indicator of the behaviour of large groups of people. It elso gives a strong impetue to the social sotivity of millions of people. It is common knowledge, for instance, that the political domise of the outgoing cleases departing from the hietorical acene is inevitably eccompanied by their moral degradation. Ideological preparations for a social revolution include, along with political oriticism of the outdated system, the exposure of

Communist morality is fundamentally different from any morality of the exploiting classes, particularly bourgeois morality. It includes moral principles of general human value which the mass of the working people evolved in their struggle sgsinst the exploiters and their moral vices. Communist morality emerged way back under cspitalism, when it expressed the protest of the proletariat sgainst exploitation and inequality and its atriving to assert the rules of human society on the basis of relations of friendship, comradeship, comparation and mutual assistance.

Communist morality, Lenin pointed out, is subordinate to the interests of the class struggle weged by the proletarist. "Communist morality is based on the struggle for the coneolidation and completion of communism." The basic demand of communist moral consciousness is struggle for communism and subordination of one's behaviour to this struggle. This demand is an objective criterion of the moral evaluation of human behaviour.

What are the specific features of communist morality? One of the distinctive characteristics of communist morality is the fact that its demands, related to the struggle for communism, coincide with historical necessity and reflect to communism coincide with historical necessity and reflect the fullest possible degree the objective law-governed progress of present-day society.

Another characteristic of communist morelity is its reflection of the interests of the majority in today's society. It is first and foremost the working class that has an It is first and foremost the working class that has an interest in building communism. Waging etruggle for its own liberstion, the working class etrives to enancipste labour liberstion, the working class etrives to enancipste labour from any explatation and to eliminate any oppression of the working people, who sooner or later realise this fact and join forces with the working class. For this resson the role of communist moral consciousness in the life of society grows immessurably.

It is an important aspect of communist norality that it rests on a solid scientific basic. While the theoretical foundation of bourgeois morality is idealies, communist morality relies on dialectical and historical esterialism.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, 1974, p. 295.

lutionary or reactionary - it reflects. At any historical etage that moral conscioueness is genuins which upholds the future and reflects the objective needs of the progressive development of society.

Undoubtedly, when cepitalies was superceding feudalism and from the point of view of history was n more progressive system than feudalism, hourgeois moral consciousness was relatively in eccord with the historical raquirements of that period and therefore was more progressive than Christian feudal consciousness.

In our age, <u>communist morelity</u> is the only true moral coneciousness, not merely because of the subjective wich of the Communists. The Communists are ageinst moral relativiem. It is genuine because its requiremente correctly reflect the needs of the hietorical development of precent-day ecciety, the edvence from cepitaliem to communiem.

Progress in the field of morality in a cless society is effected through the struggle of the revolutionary classes with the reactionary forces of society. The moral conscioueness of every dominant classe was historically relatively progressive from the point of view of history only at a certain stage of historical development, when the interests of that class temporarily coincided with the interests of the mass of the people, with the interests of cocial development as a whole. It is the exploited, working masses rather than moral progress.

The objective criterion of moral progress, therefore, lies in the struggle for the liberation of the working people. Harxists helieve that every means serving the cause of liberation from oppression and exploitation is moral. Moral progress is evidenced by morality becoming more humans, by the filling of moral cetegories with democratic and humans content, end by the preservation of the positive achievements of the preceding development of morality. The simpler norms of morality have been evolved throughout the previous epochs, first and foremost, by the working people with their lofty moral values and that is why they have become e part of communist morelity.

were "most manly, noblost, most worthy of sympothy."

Roal socialism has created overy condition for the most humane norms of morolity to bocome the unshakoble everyday principles and rules of conduct of the individual. Of course, the development of the individual of a new type is not an act of the instantaneous transmutation of mon but a complex, long and sometimes rathor contradictory process. The new man is devoloped in the course of the direct porticipation of working people in tackling the socio-political, aconomic, intellectual and cultural problems of building communism.

As society advances towards communism, the area in which relationships between people are regulated by methode of administration will keep narrowing, while the impact of the moral factor will constantly grow. Lenin wrote: "Only in communist society ... people will gradually become accustomed to observing the elementary rules of social intercourse that have been known for centuries and repeated for thousands of years in all copy-book maxims. They will become accustomed to observing them without force, without coercion, without subordination, without the special appearatus for coercion called the state."2

Science

Science as a form of sociel consciousnese is objective and systemetised knowledge

shout the essence of natural and social phenomene and processes. Science consists of knowledge which is logically systemstised and pervaded with a definite world outlook. The subject-matter of science is nature, society and thought. Science reflects the world in concepts, categories and laws, the veracity of which has been corroborated by social practice.

Science has a number of specific characteristics. First, it has many objects. Unlike such forms of social consciousness ss, for instance, political consciousness,

K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 4, 1976. p. 502.

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 25, p. 462.

Trying to besmirch Communists, bourgeois ideologiste are trying to discredit in every way the concept of social revolution in the eyes of the mass of people. The egoism of the bourgeoisie as a class prevents it from realising the true morel velues of social revolution and ite role and place in the aocial and morel progress of menkind. They consider it immoral of the Communists first and foremost to recognise the need for revolution as the only means of emancipating the working people from exploitation and oppression.

However, it is in a revolution that the more valuable moral qualities of its participants manifest themselves. The beet sons end deughters of the people, willing to sacrifice their lives for the ideals of social justics end for the heppineas of others, rise in struggle. At the same time revolutionary struggle itself with its spirit of salfless and dedicated service to the common cause contributes to the fullest possible realisation of the moral potentialities of participante in it.

During the backlash of counter-revolution, as historical experience shows, the reactionary class casts saide its camouflage of virtue and morality, with which it shamefully covers its egoistic interests during the relatively pascable pariods of its dominance. Mass-scale brutality, torture and humiliation are the usual methods of "pacification" employed by victorious bourgeois counter-revolutionaries. In this sense the examples of Chile, El Salvador and South Africa ere fer from isoleted, The rempege of immorality and misanthropy, which is en invariable companion of all the reactionary movements of our century, culmineted in the theory end prectice of fescion and grew to eppelling dimensions threatening the existence of manking.

The cless struggle of the proleteriet is the greatest and most moble struggle in human history. The ultimate end of the communiet movement figures in the morel consciousness of the proleteriet as a supreme moral ideal, whose main content is the freedom of the individual end the freedom of society. Proleterian revolutionsries have displayed in the struggle for atteining it the greatest modela of morel integrity, strength end purity. As Engels put it, these qualities

itself from comoroto sensory aspects of reslity and from particular characteristics of phenomens. The work of s researcher has, of course, emotionel and psychological espects, too, but seisnee itself is the domain of logic and reason.

Scientifio progross is characterised by two nejor tendencies. One of them is the growing differentiation of knowledge. As production and science make progress, new areas eppear in different fields of knowledge and some of then grow into independent sciences. For inetance, physice, biology, history and econonice today ectually are complexes of related scientific disciplines.

Differentiation of scientific knowledge is born of the need to learn ebout poorly researched or unknown phenomens of reality. The objective basis of differentiation of scientific knowledge is the diversity of the world, of the forms of motion of matter, and also the fact that the development of cognition itself has no bounds.

The other tendency makes itself manifest in the integration of knowledge. The progress of science slso shows that, in addition to the branching off of new sciences from traditional onen, there constantly take place integration of knowledge and special sciences emerge at the junction of old, knowledge and special sciences emerge at the junction of old, traditional sciences (e.g., biochemistry, biophysics, physical chemistry, mathematical logic, economic geography, etc.).

The objective basis of the integration and synthesis of scientific knowledge is the unity of the basic properties and laws of matter in motion. Major factors contributing to this process are the evolvement of integral scientific theories with common methodological principles and laws, and the emergence of new scientific disciplines at the junction of two or more sciences, which have come to be known as interdisciplinary sciences.

Both these tendencies have made themselves felt particularly forcefully during the past few decades. Being icularly opposed to each other and in a sense even mutually directly opposed to each other and in a sense even mutually directly, they interact in the process of the advance of science.

At present science undergoes substantial and relatively rspid changes of a qualitative as well as quantitative nature.

legal consciousness, morality and art, science reflectn not this or that espect of the objective world but tries to embrece as thoroughly as possible all the aspects of naturo, society and human thought. That is why it supplies knowledge, which plays the role of objective truth, to society as a whole, from production to the more abstract forms of intellectual activity.

Second, science has an investigative neture. Its ectivity stems from its very purpose, from the fect that it has to teach people how to do what they want to do. Science cannot be understood out of the context of practical human sctivity end s search for the more rational ways of scomplishing the tasks facing society. This means that every specific science, if it is to correspond to its purpose, must be not merely e scientific syntem or theory explaining the world but elso a means and method of changing and transforming that world.

Third, from the point of view of its social role science is the principel meens of cognising reality. All the forms of social consciousness reflect reality but it is in science elone that the function of cognition is the mein function, wholly determining the nature and spacific features of this vest and important area of the spiritual life of society. Lemin wrote in his <u>Materialism end Empiriocriticism</u>: "...The purpose of science is to present a true picture of the world all

This purposs forcefully makes itself manifest in the striving of science to lay bare and thoroughly understand the neture of phenomens and what is general in them and to formulate the law-governed patterns thus cognised in the form of conclusions. This is what makes science different, for instance, from ertistic cognition, which supplies knowledge shout the general through the individual in the form of concrete sensory images.

In performing its main social function, science reflects reality in logical concepts and laws and usually abstracts

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 14, p. 171.

tration of the scientific forces and financial resources provided by the state budget first and foremost for the devalopment of new weapons systems and means of mass destruction; and in the ruthless competitive struggle between concerns end companies engaged in research and development. All this shows that science has been enalayed by capital and that scientific output has become a commodity. The owners of "scientific commodities" use them directly in the struggle for dominance within capitalist countries and on the world ecene.

The cepitalist typs of relationship between politics and ecience is sffectively countered today by the progressive and historically promising typs of eccialist ecientific politice. The 60 years of the development of the Soviet state heve thoroughly corroborated the sfficiency and humanism of the political programms for the radical restructuring of ecience in socialist society that was developed by Lenin and confirmed his prediction that socialism would liberate science from bourgeois fetters, from snelavement by capital, and from servitude to the interests of filty cepitalist greed.

The policy of the communist party in eocialist society is based on recognition of the growing role of scienca in the life of eociety and in accomplishing the historic task of meeting the material and cultural needs of all members of society.

Art

Art is s special form of social consciousness reflacting reality in artistic

theories expressing the seatheric relations of peopls to nature and to one enother. It includes stristic tastes, viewe, evaluations and idees in which the etste of hermonions unity and eatisfection or conflict and alienation in man's relationable with his environment are reflected and enotionally expressed.

Art hes two etructural levels, <u>ideological</u>, including works of art and acethetic theories, and <u>psychological</u>, including the exateur artistic activity of people, folklore,

This development of science has been justly characterised as the scientific revolution.

Karl Marx predicted more than a century sgo that ncience would become a productive force. Today we ace quite clearly the new qualities and characteristics of the development of ecience as e productive force. Sciontific knowledge becomen subodied in technology and know-how, in the material conditions of production, in the creative activity of participants in it, and in the principles of organication and management of production and acciety. Being an organic component of the productive forces, acience participates in the devolopment of the most important productive force, nan, with his labour, production and social relations.

The transformation of science into a direct productive force is e complex end contradictory process, which assumes different forms under cepitalism and nocialism. When objectivised and materialised, knowledge can become under capitalism e force sliensted from man and oppressing him. The latter circumetence easbles the monopoly bourgeoisie to use knowledge and new scientific discoveries for anti-human purposee, for instance, to manipulate people and to enslave them spiritually (total coatrol, etc.).

The reactionary influence of imperialist policy on acience has fostered such a permicious process in the latter which can be considered a crisia and even, as Western scientists themselves eduit, os "the irreversible erosion of ecientific ethics." Science is becoming increasingly dehumanieed and ite achievemente ere used for militeristic and pragmetic purposes to an ever growing extent under the influence of the political rule of cepital, with the degeneration of acientic ethics being an inevitable result. The impect of the policy of cepitalist acciety on science fosters moral corruption emong ecientiote and eventually results in the gloomy peesinies and inevitable decline of the intellectuel forces.

The character of bourgeois politice in ecience and ite mejor tendencies manifest themselves in the growing monopolisation of ecience, et s level exceeding the level of monopolisation in production today; in the aubordination of the ecientific potential to monopoly policy; in the concen-

and particular phonomonon, whoreas concepts convey what is intrinsic, essential and general. The apecific character of an artistic imaga is precisely that it is a form of cognising the general through the particular.

The artiatic image focuses what is the main, essential and particularly apocific in phenomena of reality and expresses it in an inimitably individualised form. This artistic image is known as typical in art. The typical is the generalisation of not any random characteristics and properties found in a range of phenomena but of only those which express the nature of these phenomena and their easence.

There are two typon of artistic images: 1) vieual images, used in psinting, the thestre, and cinema, when images are an immadiate prosentation of living phenomena; 2) and axpressive images, used in literature and music, when artistic images are created in a mediated form, through influencing man's imagination.

Artistic images are created by means of artistic form. The form of a work of art is its internal and external organisation, which is created with the help of material expressive means which are specific to every form of art and which brings out, fixes and expresses the measage of a given work of art. The methods and techniques of organising form, evolved in the process of the long development of artistic practice, have the force of objective laws. Disregard for these epecific laws and attempts to break them are bound to lead to creative failures.

Art is subdivided into different forms depending on the epecific features of reflection of different aspects, of the objective world by them and the characteristics of the ertistic expressive means they use. The main forms of art are literature, music, the theatre, painting, architecture, eculpture and cinema. The forms of art in their turn are subdivided into genree. In literature, for instance, we identify postry and prose, and in cinema feature and documentary films.

The social function of art is to meet the asethetic needs of people by creative works of art. Art improves the asethetic perceptions of man, adds to his emotions, enriches his artistic notions, etc. In short, art forms artistic tastes

26• 403

fashions, everyday srtiatic activity, etc.

Works of art slways represent a result of the modification of reality by man in accordance with his idees of beauty. They are a material representation of man's aesthotic approach to objective reality. That is why art can also be defined as a form of the artistic assimilation of reality by nan in accordance with his seathetic ideal.

The apecific cheracter of art, just as of any other form of aocial conaciousness, is determined by the object and form of reflection and also by its special aocial role or function.

The object of art is the activity of man in society according to "the laws of beauty" (Marx). Since this activity is diverse, art embraces the entire diversity of the world of objects. The object of artistic reflection is not merely activity according to the laws of beauty but reality as a whole from the point of view of this ectivity. The animal and plant kingdoms, mountaine, seas, and man-made objects become meaningful in art only when man's sesthetic approach to the world manifests itself in them.

We should distinguish between the object of art and ita content, determined not only by the apecific characteristics of reality it reflects, but also by the cheracteriatics of the ertiatic view of the world. The content of a work of art necessarily includes not only the objective facts of reality but else the subjective aspects of the artist's world outlook. It always supplies a picture of definite aspects of reality and objectiviaes the inner world of man cresting worke of art according to the laws of beauty. This, in particular, explains the fact that different artists portraying the seme phenomens create works of art which are vastly different from the point of view of their content.

The main specific feature of art is connected with the type of reflection that is characteristic of this form of accial conaciouenese. Heflection is effected in it in artistic images.

The ertistic image is a reflection of what is most easential in phenomena through the individual in a concrete aenaory form. It cannot be identified either with aenastiona or concepts. Man's sensations reflect the immediate, single Schiller, Goethe, and Hsgel observed in their time. The scientific explanation of this phenomenon was supplied by Marxiam. Having mads an indepth analysis of the capitaliat mode of production, with its single interest in profit-making, Marx came to the conclusion that "capitalist production is hostile to ... art and poetry". 1

Present-day bourgeoia art is pervaded with the spirit of pesaimism, degradation and disintegration. It reflects the bourgeoisie's fear of imminent peril and bears the imprint of doom. This art is immoral, and its entire theory and practice are undemocratic, devoid of principles and ideals, mianthropic, nationalistic, racist, and call for armed ventures and colonial conquests.

Alongaide with moderniam, which is srt for the elite, meeting the needs of an insignificant minority of society, and widespress in the bourgeois world is what is called pop art with its hugs armoury of productions which are downright deception rather than genuine art.

Of course, art in capitalist countries is not limited to these tsndencies. There is a strong democratic trend which effectively atruggless sgainat reactionary and degrading bourgation at under difficult conditions. Meny progressive artists, gaois art under difficult conditions. Meny progressive artists, among them Rensto Guttuso, Herluf Bidatrup, Stanley Gramer, among them Rensto Guttuso, Herluf Bidatrup, Stanley Gramer, early Basin, Ingmar Bergman, and Federico Fellini, raise and Erve Basin, Ingmar Bergman, and Federico Fellini, raise and countressive at a high artistic level serious and vital problems of our age.

Art is developing under difficult conditions in countries which have shaken off the yoke of colonial dependence. Colonialism did incolculable damage to the enslaved peoples, held back their economic and social progress for centurias, destroyed centres of sucient civilisations, suppressed culture, adversely affected the development of art, and warped the sesthetic consciousness of those peoples in many respects. The cultural decoloniestion of the newly-free countries will take much time and affort and many problems will have to be resolved.

Karl Marx, <u>Theoriee of Surplus-Velue</u>, Part I, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 285.

of people, in eccordence with which everyone does crastive work eccording to the lews of beauty in his specific erea of ectivity end thus brings beauty into life.

Art plays e greet role in the life of society. It helps people to understand the world better. Its cognitive role consists in the fect that people can more thoroughly and conprehensively understand epecific historical circumstences, eccial reletions and political interests through certain artistic images creeted by an artist or e writer.

Art is very important to education. It influences by erticitic meens the eenees, will and thinking of man in accordence with definite ideological guidelines. In this wey it contributes to the development of the social profile of men, his character end willpower. Advenced, progressive art always is e greet motive force in chenging old, outdated social reletions and contributes to the substitution of new, edvanced reletions for them.

It follows that the social role of art is defined by ite ettitude to revolutionary struggle and the revolutionary transformation of the world, by its class position. Art which expresses the interests of the advenced classes, the people, and the objective needs of the time is progressive. Art which is in the service of the reactionery classes is reactionary.

Opponents of Marxism-Leninism cleim that ert is presumably "extre-clees" and that workers in ert ere "free" and "independent" in their actions. In reality, however, there can be no extre-cless ert in a society divided into entegonistic clesses. Lenin wrote: "One cannot live in society end be free from eociety. The freedom of the bourgeoic writer, artist or extress is eimply mesked (or hypocritically masked) dependence on the money-beg, on corruption, on proctitu-

The dectinies of ert ere different in today's world. It is effected by a deep-going criais in cepitelist countries. The bourgeois spirit of money-grubbing is alien to ert, es

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 10, p. 48.

le against the vestiges of the 'old world', its degrading influence, and at eradicating these phenomens. But its main task is to encourage a socialist, revolutionary understanding of the world and disposition. "

Under conditions of socialism the method of socialist realism demands that criticism never encourage a sceptical and pessinistic attitudes to reality nor call in question the possibility of overcoming the existing difficulties and building communist society. That is why a positive hero is the main protagonist in works of art of socialist realism.

Unlike the positive hero in the works of art of critical realism, who stood in opposition to the exploiters' society and struggled against it, the positive hero of socialist art is organically connected with the society in which he lives and struggles for its prosperity, might and future.

Socialist art carries on the traditions of the savanced art of the past, assimilates and creatively enriches them, and oreates a new, socialist artistic culture. The nethod of socialist realism in art is the continuation and development of alist realism in art is the continuation and development of the best traditions of the realist art of the past and means the best traditions of the realist art of the past and means the truthful artistic representation of reality in specific historical circumstances and in revolutionary development. It expresses the aspirations, humanism and optimism of all the working people.

Socialist art is an important stage in the development of realistic art. It carries on and develops such festures of that art as close links with life, the truthful reproduction of typical characters in typical circumstances, and the portrayal of the general through the particular. What take socialist art different from realistic art is a more makes socialist srt different from realistic art is a more indepth portrayal of phenomena, communist sesthetic ideals and the impossionate assertion of what is new. The creative potentialities of socialist realism have been confirmed by potentialities of socialist realism have been confirmed by lism opens up before workers in any form of art broad vistas for free creative work in the interests of the people, and provides ample room for diverse genres, styles and artistic

^{1.} Literaturnaya gazeta, June 17, 1954.

The srt of socialist countries is in the forsfront of the world artistic process. Socialism has rid art of many factors which are permicious to its development. In particular, it put artistic and cultural values within the resch of the mass of peopls by abolishing the monopoly right of the formerly dominant minority to culture end excluding the possibility of culture being regarded as a luxury, and also freed the artist from the anarchy of private intereste. In this way proper conditions were provided for the genuine flourishing of socialist art, and the unheard-of upsurgo of art of the formerly oppressed peoples of Emasia bears evidence to this fact.

The ert of socialiet society is the highest stage in the development of eesthetic consciouenees. It energed on the bacie of the revolutionary activity of the working class and by right inherited and absorbed everything of the best that has been created in the history of mankind. The characteristic features of socielist ert includs truthfulness, humanien, optimies and the belief in the bright future of menkind. Its mejor characteristic is the feet that its theoretical besis is dialectical materialism and its mein oreative method - socialist recliem.

Socialist realism is an artistic method consisting in the ebdlity to evaluate the present from positions of the scientifically projected future, to reveal the shoots of this future in the eurrounding reality and to portrey it in an artistic form. The method of socialist realism was founded by Haxim Gorky.

In the peet, realism was mostly critical. It was quite natural, since great artists living under conditions of the exploiting system exposed the injustice and oppression that reigned supreme in the society of the time. Their mein task was to destroy the old world. Eaturally, they portrayed shoots of the future but laid main explassis on criticiam, on exposing the old world.

Socialist realism is similarly critical of, revolutionary and hostile towards, everything outdated. However, it attachee priority to a constructive function, the demonstration of the augeriority and wealth of new realities created by the people. Haria Gorky wrote: "Socialist realism eeeks to strugs-

deily life". The system of religious notions is, therefore, characterissd by the illusory and inadsquats nature of its raflaction of reality and by the expression of man's impotencs before the dominance of objective reality. It is these most important characteristics that express the nature of religion and distinguish it from scientific world outlook.

Religion has deep social roots. It is a "warped world outlook" born of the "warped world", the self-consciousness and self-awareness of man who "has sither not yet found himself or has already lost himself again". 2 If the individual is impotent to influence the alienated natural or socio-cultural environment, be it in primitive society or in presentday bourgeois socisty, there appear favourable conditions for fetishism, which means belief in the supernstural properties of material objects and relations.

There are different forms of fetishist consciousness. Primitive fetishism consisted in the worship of the forces of nature as an illusory means of harnessing them. Latterday fetishism means the deification of ansrchic social forces: man under capitalism deifiss the social environment which suppresses him.

Non-Marxist authors pointed out on mors than one occasion that religion, even in its most slementary forms of primitivs totemism or "children's fetishism", elevates man above his sensuality, presumably bridles the "zoologicsl individualism" of the species, thus putting man above the animal kingdom. Citing this visw, Marx strongly disagrees with it and saks: "But does not animal worship degrade man below the animal, does it not make the animal man's god?"3

Indeed, discussing the historical stages in the development of human freedom, Engels highly valued it as man's sbility to be s master of himself, his inner nature, that is, his pessions, subitions and thoughts. Fetishist conscious-

^{2.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 3, 1975, p.175. 1. F. Engele, Anti-Duhring, p. 382.

^{3.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 1, p. 189.

^{4.} See F. Engela, Anti-Dühring,pp. 140-141.

means and for the fruition of the gifts of every artist with his individual creative abilities.

Religion and Its Elements

Religion is one of the more ancient forma of aocial conscionaness. The concept

"raligion" appeared in antiquity to denote men's relationship with god and with the otherworld as n whole. Religious conscionaness is an illnsory form of the emotional realisation by people of their relationship with nature and with one enother and of thair baing organised into a social satisty.

Religion includes three basic components: religious ideas (myths), religious feelings (emotions), and religious actions (rites).

The theoretical ("mythological") part of religion is quite important. It includes the ideas of god and other supernatural forces (the devil, sngels, etc.), notions of the otherworld, and stories about the origin of the world and man's designation. Theology (from Greek theos, god, and logoe, reason, law) seeks to organise these ideas into a system and to substantiate the belief in god with the help of different arguments.

The backbone of any religion and its most important and essential characteristic is the belief in the suparnatural world and the forces belonging in that world. The world seems to be doubled in religious consciousness: an imaginary otherworld is built up over objective reality and man is made dependent on the forces of that world. As most theological systems explain the essence of being, they make god the cupreme being which created the common, earth, plante, animals and man and governe all that or at least detarmines the general law of being and sets ultimats objectives for man-

Religions dairy and impart funtaats objectives for mean to the physical world, neture, but also to the world of man, to society, in which economic, political and other relations invisible but quite real ... exist. Man deffied relationships established by people but independent of them, rela-

As Engels put it religion is a "fantastic reflection in men's minds of those external forces which control their

religion, with all ita relative independence and specific places in the field of social consciousness, is a form of refloction of the needs and interests of social classes. The religious form is a monifostation of the immaturity of the accial movement: "....The religious stomp in revolutions of reclly universal aignificance is restricted to the first atages of the bourgeoisie's struggle for emancipation -- from the thirteenth to the seventeenth century..."

Since religious movements have always been merely an expression of deep-going social contradictions, the sociopolitical content of these movements often comes into controdiction with their religious form in later stages of their development. That was the case in mediaeval Europs and in Islamic countries. Today, too, we must not diaregard various manifestations of religious ansrchism in evaluating the revolutionary situation and clarifying the general line-up of the revolutionary and counter-revolutionary forces.

Social revolution may at first, say, at the stags of national liberation, realise itself through religious consciousness but eventually it demands that this consciousness be overcome.

Religious protest as an expression of the active or passive rejection of the existing system is important during the pre-revolutionary period as a way of the moral discrediting of dominant ideology and of shattering the old, casified attitudes. It is necessary to take a differentiated view attitudes. It is necessary to take a differentiated view of the political persuasions of believers and the political positions of different religious organisations. This position can be rether progressive or rather resctionary from the pocan be rether progressive or rather resctionary from the political point of view. It manifests itself through the stitulitical point of view. It manifests itself through the stitulities and pesce, to international detente and to real socialism. In defining its position in today's world, the religious organiestion (church) has to reckon both with the political sentiments of believers and their moral feelings. The main

K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Worke</u> in one volume, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 603.

ness is characterised by acute internal controdictions: swareness of one'o insignificance often goeo hond-in-hand with megalomanio. This consciousness is illusory ond looks for the means to sustein itself in the object of worship (things, idess, persons) rather than in the sphero of actual sctivity eimed at transforming the conditions of human existence.

What is the social role of religion?

MENT pointed out that religion is the opium of the people, self-deception soothing the individual living in a hostile environment and fearing for his exictence. The religious consciousness of the individual, occording to Marx, "complements" the world, that is, reconciles, at the level of imagination, the more acute contradictions of life. In this way religion performs a compensatory function by creating a sort of psychological barrier between wan and the slien environment and by substituting make-believe, illusory solutions for the real solutions of the problems srising from man's relationship with the surrounding world.

By substituting the ideas of personal immortality and justice in the otherworld for the system of suprems human values, religion tries to distract the attention of people from the urgent problems of class struggle. In this way religion performs e regulatory and integrating function in a closs society, blessing the existing system on behalf of god, on the one hand, and fostering a conformist consciousness in man, on the other. Politicians, ideologiets, clergymen and other representatives of the dominant classes have been using this function of religion since its very emergence. In every period of history they used religion more or less deliberately so opium "for the people", rather than "the opium of the

Occasionally social proteot io manifested in religious form. What can be said shout the objective significance of this protest? Engels wrote that in the countries professing Christianity and Islam the mass-scale social movement used to ssaume s "religious coloretion". This is explained by the fact that mediesval history ea a whole knew "no other form of ideology than precisely religion and theology". However,

K. Harr and F. Engels, <u>Selected Works</u> in one volume, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1975, p. 603.

democracy and social progroos.

x x

The analysis we have made above demonstrates that social consciousnees io o reflection of accial being and ot the same time always plays a socistly active role. This rols consists in the effect of ideas and views, moods and emotions on the social being of peoplo, their sctivity, their world outlook and their sociol and opiritual world ao a whole.

Ws can define the otructurs of social consciousness, the basic componenta of that structurs and the choracter of their intersction by tracing the. links of different phenomens of social conociouoness with certain social needs and specific types of social relations and activity. The historical types, lovels and forms of social consciousness and their different rolss in social life are determined, in ths final analysis, by the division of labour in material production and by different sspecto and sress of the material living conditions.

It is impossible to snalyse phenomens of social consciousness without identifying their subject. The definition of the subject is important because the specific features of these spiritual phenomena and their role in society depend on their characteristics of the subject. The historical subject is usually stable groups of people which comprise that social structure of society.

csuss of shifts in this area connists first and foremost in global changes taking place today at the level of social conditions as such.

If a helievar today takes part in class struggle on the side of revolution, the causes of his social activity should be sought not in his raligious heliefs hut in the character of his faith. Present-day social practice demands from people high level of civic consciousness so that wan should be wotivated to take social action not only by his desire to improve his economic situation, not only hy his political views but also by the moral consciousness of the peopls.

Debates on the ways and character of social changa and its motive forces are growing keener among the Catholics in developing countries. Different positions make themselves manifest in these dehates. Only the most invaterata traditionalists deny the need for any change in the social policy of Catholicism. Considerable part of the church hiersrchy in this region advocates change within the framework of moderate reformism. They believe it necessary to modernise hackward socio-economic structures and to lessen dependence on imperialism. The Catholic church speeds to the ruling classee, urging them to make concessions and not to closs their eyes to the long overdue social change.

However, coming against the fierce opposition of the privileged classee and trying to preserve the prestige of the Catholic church smong the mass of the population, its influential leaders admit the meed for reliance on the mass of the people. Some of them even justify the legitimacy of revolutionary violence.

Cetholics who advocate the use of ever more radical methode of struggle pin their hopes for social progress on the anti-capitalist alternative. Marxism is exerting an ever greater influence on the left-wing religious movements.

Appreciating these positive aspects of the left-wing Cetholic movement, Communists holievs that the dialogue and joint actions of Marxiets-Lenimiste and Christians cannot be based on a compromise between religious ideology, on the one hand, and Merxiem, on the other. However, the irreconcilable differences between these world outlooks should not haspor their unity of action in the struggle for peace,

The primary object is that of a scientific analysis of origins of social inequality by revealing the underlying basic of society's social structure. It follows from the materialiat understanding of history that it is based on the mode of production of naterial goods and on the production relations dominant in society. Thus, practical revolutionary activities should be aimed at radically restructuring the material basis of accial inequality. Yet the emergence of a new social structure does not automatically follow that of a new mode of production. Social atructure is relatively stable and independent. The new social structure is likely to contain the old structural elements as well as the new ones. Still, these undergo important change as new interrelationships are being established snong them.

A definite type of social structure corresponds to every historical mode of production of msterisl goods.

The division of society into classes and class struggle were discovered and described, before Marx, by bourgeois sociologists, historians and economists.

Currently the existence of classes and class struggle is also recognised by many bourgeois ideologists. Yet what they are looking for is, as a rule, some way of eliminating class antsgonisms and contradictions, while preaerving the underlying system of capitalist economic and political relations. As a matter of principle, Marxists criticise these and other attempts at faliarying Marxiat-Lenimist theory on classes and class atruggle, while exposing their true goal, that of rejecting the practical revolutionary importance of the theory for the liberation struggle of the working masses.

The Origin of Classes

Marxism-Leninism sees the existence of classes and class struggle as a historiproductive forces in rals-

cal result of the development of productive forces in ralstion to social division of labour. Thus, primitive society, characterised by an extremely low level of productive forces development, was not divided into classes. It basic structural elements were tribal communities, tribes, tribal structural elements were tribal communities. The clan and alliances and, on a higher level, itsellies. The clan and tribal social structure was distinguished by social equality

Chapter III

SOCIAL STRUCTURE

The materialist understanding of history includes a Marxist-Leninist doctrina on social atructure, primarily on classes, class contradictions and class struggle. The concepts of modes of production and of socio-scomonic formstions, the intersction of the basis and the superstructura provids the key to studying acciety's accial system, its slements and its intersction with the economic system. Classical works of Marxism-Leninian offer a comprehensive description of the essence and origin of classes, the content and historical eignificance of class struggla for social progress.

1. Classes, the Basis of Social Structure

The Concept of Social Structure

For a correct understanding of the essence of social structure, attention has to

be drawn to the obvious fact that acciety is a complicated social organism with iterrelationships of its own, rather than a mechanical combination of individuals.

Society's social structure reflects its internal organisation and is based on a foundation of a purely sociohistorical nature, viz., social production at same level of development.

The most important elements of social structure comprise classes and social strata, broad historical communities of people, such as one's kin, tribe, nationality, nation, personality, family, as well as urban and rural populations, workers by brain and hand.

For Communists, the question of social divisions is important primarily because it relates to a search for a revoputationary and yet germinely scientific solution of the age-old problem of equality. Being sware of the futility and absurdity of the dress of levelling off individual abilities and talasts (se was proposed by many ntopian socialists), Marxistaleninists have the ides of social equality on its sole realistic foundation, that of overcoming class inequality of men.

mein teeke of a cocialist revolution.

The eocialist mods of production and the social ownerehip of means of production result in a qualitatively now
social structure, characterized by the specime of class antegoniens, by cooperation and coursedsly nutual assistance betwean two friendly classes, the working class and peasantry,
ee well as between the two and the people's intelligentaia.
Clees relations also influence the nature of other elements
of the social structure of socialist society, i.o., relations between nations and nationalities, rural and urban populations, workers by broin and hand and relations within
the family.

Lenin'e Definition of Claesee

As a contribution to Marxist theory, Lonin offered a detailed definition of closece. In his orticle *A

Great Beginning", he wrote: "Classacs ars large groups of paople diffaring from each other by the place they occupy in a historically determined system of accial production, by their relation (in most cases fixed and formulated in low) to the means of production, by their role in the eccial organisation of labour, and, consequently, by the dimensions of the share of social wealth of which they dispose and the mode of ecquiring it. Classac are groups of people one of which can appropriate the labour of another owing to the different places they occupy in a definite system of eccial economy.

The determining feature of claesee is their relation to the means of production, i.e., whether a specific group owns the means of production or ie deprived of them, and which form of ownership it is related to. There can be other distinctions between groupe of men, e.g., whether they live in urban or rural areas, are employed in industry or trade, etc. These distinctions are escondary.

Under slave ownership, the elave owner owned not only

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 29, p. 421.

of all members and the absence of any forn of axploitation or oppression. A transition from fruit-gathering to cultivating crops and from hunting to livestock breeding become an impetus for transformation of clan and tribal reletions. Tribal communities were gradually replaced by neighbourhood or farming ones, where kinship no longer played the primary role, but some form of collective landownerahip was still preserved. This neighbourhood or farming community was to survive longer than the primitive-communal system and became a component production element of subsequent formations.

Further transformations in accial structure wera caused by the invention of metal (initially bronze, then iron) implements. The main element here was that man's labour was now producing more than was required to suctain the producer and his family. In other words, it was here that his output was first divided into necessary and surplus product. The possibility arose of redistributing, appropriating and accumulating the surplus product. It could then be concentrated in the hands of one part of the acciety, which was thus no longar required to perform nenual work and began living at the expense of the other part. Exploitation of man by man originated, society was divided into hoatile classes, and intellectual activity was esparated from manual labour.

Marx viewed subsequent occial history as a history of various methoda of expropriation of the producer's surplua product, which replaced one another, as a history of successive specific historical forms by which some men coerced others to work, as a hietory of the existence and atruggle of classes.

It is on the basis of development of social production that historical transitions from one formation to another take place, yet while exploitation of man by men exists, classes exist; these are sleve-owners and slaves under the first class system, that of clave ownership; serfs and landowners under foudslism, and the working class and the bourge-osise in capitalist society. It is abolishing private property, making means of production public, thus eliminating the possibility of exploitation itself, followed by class antagonians, and then by class distinctions as such, that is the

the neans of production, while workers, who own none, are barred from the participation in nonegonent. It was Karl Morx who pointed out that it is not the fact that a aspitalist nanages industrial production that nokes a aspitalist a copitalist; on the contrary, he becomes a manager of industry because he is a aspitalist, ar a property owner.

The fact that hirod nanagors, ongineers and technicians or a inmodiata exocutors of assagerial functions in modern industrial production under the bourgoois system changes nothing of substanca. Bourgoois ideology exaggorates the rols of hired managers in its attempts to prove that a "managerial ravelution", or a "revolution af technocrata", is allegedly taking place, that in the course of the current scientific and technical revolution capitalists are being replaced by a class of engineers and technicians, as a result of which cepitalism allogedly ceases to be capitalism.

It is no doubt true that the number of ongineers and techniciana never cessea to grow under the conditions of nodorn production when ocionce is becoming s productive force, and that those personally responsible for the management of modern factories, enterprises and scientific and research institutes are hired engineers and scientists, rather thon capitalists thenselves. This is due to the complicated nature of modern production, the management of which requires profound knowledge and specialised training. Yat directors and managers merely carry out the will of their masters, proparty owners. The basic rols in the social organisation of labour is played by those who own the mesns of production. It is the upper monopoly bourgeoisis that determines the basic goals and tasks of production and channels its devalopment. It is the big monopoly bourgeoisis, rather than angineers and technicians, that is to blams for militarisation of modern production in cspitalist countries.

The mode of acquisition and share of social wealth is the next major distinguishing feature of classes. Like the others, it follows from their relation vis-a-vis the means of production. In antagonistic formations, there are two basic ways of acquiring social wealth, that of labour proper and that of exploitation. These hasic ways also determine the sha-

419

the means of production hut producers also, who were regarded se mers implements. Roman writer Varro, in a trentise on egriculture, divided implements into three categories, "... srticulete, inarticulate and dumh; the articulats are alevee, the inerticulete oxen, and the dumh, carts."

Under feudalism, the serf passent had cone property and lebour implements. Yet the landowner owned the principal masne of production, i.e., land, which enabled him to appropriats surplue passent lebour, he it in the form of lahour rent (<u>mátsysga</u>), rent in kind or money-rent. The law did not suthorise the landowner to dispose of the passant's life, yet parsonally the earf was dependent on and wholly subjugated to the landowner.

Under cepitelism, all the neens of production are owned by the class of the bourgeoieie. Officially, the worker is fras. As opposed to the class and the serf, he is not personally dependent on anyone. Yet he cannot exist for lack of ownership of means of production.

The next feeture of cless division of socisty is its place in the hietorically established system of sociel production. What is meant here is the role played by a specific cless in society's social structurs ... whether it is predominent, ruling, leading or subordinets, oppressed and subjugeted. This festure of classes is organically related to their position vis-bavie the means of production. As noted, under the slave ownership system, the slave played the role of an articulate implement; under feudalism, the serf was personally dependent on and subjugated to hie meeter, whereas under espitelies the worker is the vehicle of hired labour, one who sells his labour, nbilities, knowledge, telent and work.

The rols of large groups of men in the social organisation of labour ie a distinguishing festure which social group organises escala production, determines its goals and tasks end manages production. In sntsgonistic formations, production is menaged by owners of

^{1.} The Ancient Mode of Production. The Sources, Leningrad, 1933, p.20 (in Russian).

socistias bassd on slavs momership, free passants who wers patty landholders existed, as did artisans, who were naither elsves nor slave owners. They rapresented the incipient classes of the feudal society. As towns grew under feudalism, new social strata gained in importance; these were artisans organised in shops and corporations, traders, and others. These strats basse the basis for the formation of the classes of capitalist and workers during transition to the capitalist system.

2. Class Structure of the Contemporary Capitaliat Society

In the course of society's historical development, feudalism was replaced by capitalism, a socio-economic formation based on private ownership of the means of production and on exploitation of hirsd labour by cepital.

Stages of Devalopment of Capitaliam

The development of cspitalism as a formation pesses through two stages, that of pre-mono-

poly espitalism and that of monopoly espitalism, or imperislism. Still, the substance of its most specific elements rsmsins the esme throughout.

Its basic features are, predominance of commodity-money relations and private property, existence of developed social division of labour, increased socialisation of production, transformation of manpower into a commodity, and exploitation of hired labour by capitalists.

Antagonistic contradictions are inherent in capitaliam. The basic one is that between the social nature of production and private capitalist form of appropriation of output. It generates production anarchy, unemployment, economic crises and irreconcilable conflict between the basic classes of the cepitalist society, the working class and the bourgeoisie.

Significant veatiges of feudalism, especially in agriculture and emell commodity production, have long existed in the capitalist society and still remain in some countries. The non-basic classes of the capitalist society ere those of peacants, artisans, petty tradeemen am petty houseowners. They take up an intermediate place between the basic classes.

re of eocial wealth sccruing to individual classes. For hia forced lebour, the slave received what the almos owner thought sufficient to custain his livelihood as a slave; the serf gave eway to his landowner all that the latter demanded and worked his hande to the bons to suetain himself and his femily; the worker's wages depend on the price of manpower on the lebour market. It is only by consistent and selflese class struggle that the workern can succeed in getting higher wages and better working conditions. The major share of material goods created by workere becomes profit for the dominant class of the bourgeoisie.

In its full dimension Lenin's definition of classes is applicable to societies split into antagonistic classes, the exploitars and the exploited. As applied to eccialism, the definition loses nons of its methodological importance for analysing radical changes in its accial structure and the position of classes, as well as the outlook for the elimination of distinctions between the latter.

Lenin's definition of classes provides a ecientific approach to analysing the closs structure of society at certain stages of its development, determining the sligment of class forces, finding tendencies of change in the correlation of classes, and consequently, in the antire social structure of society.

On the basic of this definition of cleases, <u>basic</u>, as opposed to <u>non-booic claases</u>, can be distinguished. In an entegonistic acciety, the basic classes are those brought to life by the mode of production predominant in the society. The interrelationship of the classess determines the entire nature of life in the given society, the contradictions and motive forces of its devalopment. In the slave-owning system these ere slaves and slave owners, under faudalism, earfs and landowners, and under cepitalism, the working class and the bourgecisie.

Besides the besic cleeses, social structure usually comprises non-besic, or transitional, cleases. Their existence is due to the preservetion of vastigas of the preceding modes of production or the emergence of s new mode of production in the chope of specific economic forms. For exemple, in atate-monopoly cspitalism. Monopolies subordinate the machinery of atata and all state power for the benefit of obtaining monopolistic superprofits, strengthening and broadening the monopolistic superprofits, strengthening and democratic financial capital, supprasaing the workers' and democratic movements and the national liberation struggles of oppressed movements and the national liberation struggles of oppressed peoplea, waging economic, political and ideological struggle against the world socialist system and pursuing an aggressive foreign policy.

Imperialism is not a naw mode of production; rather, it is a atage in tha dsvelopment of cspitalism. By acquiring new basic features which make it different from the premonopolistic stage, imperialism, while losing none of its capitalist nature, makes all the contradictions inherent in capitalism increasingly profound and acuts.

The Marriat-Leniniat doctrine of claases and their basic features thus remains the only scientific basis for analysing the social and class atructure of the modern bourgeois society.

The Working Class

The working class is a beaic elsment of the class structure of the industrially deve-

loped capitalist countries. The working class of the nodern espitalist accisty is a class of hired labourers deprived of the means of production and living on the sale of their labour, who crestes the seciety's principal material wealth and is a subject to capitalist exploitation. The exploited working class is called the proletariat.

The main element datermining the place of the proleterist in the capitalist system is its relation to the means of production. The fact that it is deprived of expersish of the means of production and is the object of capitalist exploitation, and its ensuing subordination in the production process, form the rest causes of the revolutionary nature of the proletariat. At present, the proletariat accounts for the majority of the working population in modern capitalist etets, with its share continuously growing.

The current revelution in acience and technology is leading to e quantitative and qualitative growth of the working close, to a breedening of its ranks as a result of

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries cepitalism entered the highest and final stage of its development, that of imparialism, or monopoly capitalism. Its basic distinction, principal and detarmining feature is dominance of major monopoly capital in economic, political and ideological fields. Lamin, in his work <u>Imparialism</u>, the <u>Highest Stege of Capitalism</u> (1917) and in some other works, offered a comprehensive analysis of the assance of imperialism.

Lenin pointed out five hasic economic features of imperialism: 1) concentration of production and capital, which reached a staga of development high enough to enable it to establish monopolies, which play the decisive role in the aconomic life; 2) mergar of banking and industrial capital and setablishment, on the basis of this, of financial capital and a financial oligerchy; 3) export of cepital, as opposed to export of commodities, acquires special importance; 4) international monopolistic alliences of cepitalists are established to divide the world; 5) the territorial division of the globe by major capitalist powers is completed.

Imperialiem pushes the contradictions of capitalism to the extreme, while increasingly reducing the number of those belonging to the upper crust of exploiters and broadening the mass of those exploited by big business. It is not only the working class that is the viotim of plunder and oppression by the momopolies but also the toiling peasantry, hroad groupe of the intelligentsia, patry bourgeoists and a part of the middle bourgeoist. The oppression is strongest against the peoples of coloniae and dependent countries.

Currently imparialism is no longer tha predominant forca in the internetional arene. The powerful development of the world accialist system, the national liberation movement, and the energence of young developing countries in the international arana have, once and for all, deprived imperialism of ite exclusive right to menage world affeire.

To maintein and somehow etrengthen the substentially weekened foundations of imperialism, to hold its ground in compatition with the increasing strength of the world socialist system, monopoly capital combines its might with that of the bourgeois state to form a single machanism. It is for this reason that modern imperialism is also described as

Internstional (or tranenational) monopolies have become the powerful monopolistic associations. They originated alreedy in the early 20th century as a result of the international interrelationships of cepital's intersete in various countries under the conditions of imperialism and on the besis of the export of cepital and the economic division of the world. Their economic power nowadays is so great that they are justly described as "a state within a state" of the cepitaliet world, so the output of the enterprises they control, scattered over dozene of countries, surpasses the gross national product of elmost any cepitaliet country. They currently control over half of the volume of international cepitaliet trade.

The eupre-netional monopoliee have e eignificant influence on socio-economic policiee and the position of workers in the countries which their activities embrace. A deatabiliaing influence on the labour market, the existence of a permenent threat that production will decline, attempts to split the proletarist and weeken the organised workers' Evydment, and interference in the internal sitairs of other countries are some of the besic consequences of expansion by supranational monopolies. All of this causes growing concern acong the broad popular messes, primerily in the countries of Western Europe, Asie, Africa and Latin America, who oppose the idea of foreign companies' running the show there and demand that e democretic control be established over their activities.

Cspitaliste who own the supre-national monopolise represent the top cruet of the modern class of the bourgeoisie.

It is the middle bourgeoisie which remains the most made election of the ruling clase in developed capitalist countries. While it has, in common with big business, an interest in exploitation and preservation of the common conditions for domination, it nevertheless often finds itself in conflict with the monopoly bourgeoisie, which usurpe part of its profite and places it in a position of economic dependence. The policy of the dominant class is dictated by the momophy bourgeoisie, or the financial oligarchy, to which the principal

standpoint.

At present, with the increasing dominance of statsmonopoly capitalism and the unfolding of the revolution in science and technology, further serious transformations are taking place in the economic and social position of the intelligenteis, which is increasingly being split into two opposing groups. On the one hand, a relatively minor part of ths scientific and technical intelligentsia (about 15 to 20 per cent in developed countries) borders on the bourgeoisis. These are various managera, a aystem of directorshipa and top administrators. Their high wages, social benefits which they snjoy, their goals, interesta and their social atatus draw them closer to the bourgeoisis, making them take the side of the exploiters.

The other, much larger share of the ecientific and technical intelligentsis comprises scientists and researchers, engineers, technicians, educational. health care worksrs, etc.; by their social status they are increasingly converged with the industrial and agricultural proletariat. They sell their labour (intellectual labour), are exploited, their wages differ insignificantly from those of industrial workers; it is this section of the intelligentsis that is increasingly suffering from unemployment and participates in the striks movement. The alliance of this section of the intslligentsia with the working class significantly broadens the social basis of the anti-imperialist movement while revolutionising the bourgeois socisty and strengthening revolutionary process-

The Class of Capitalists

The ruling class is also undergoing etructural changgos. The bourgeoisis is the dowinant class of the capitalist society, who owns the usens of production and lives on exploiting the hired labour. The source of income of the bourgeoi-

sis is surplus-valus created by unpaid-for lebour and appropriated by owners of the means of production. The bourgeoisie is not homogeneous sither. The leading soction in the capitalist class is the big monopoly bour-

gsoisie, in the hands of whom most of society's productive forces are concentrated.

as well on Indio, Turkoy and other countries, are at an everage or close to average level of capitaliat development, accomponied by the existence of quite substantial pre-capitalist vestiges. In many African and some Asion countries, pre-feudal and somi-foudal relations are prodominant, while capitalist relations are underdeveloped. Finally, the socielist-oriented countries have a socio-class structure of their own. Naturally, the level of accio-oconomic development has a determining influence on the formation and development of the structure.

A apecific feature of accio-economic development of the newly-independent countries is the permintence of a multistructural economy, the result of pradominance of colonialism, which served to preserve a number of obsolete relationships, which now prevent the development and triumph of new, more progressive relations. The existence in these countries of aubsistence-patriarchal, amall-commodity, feudal, amall capitalist, developed capitalist, forsign capitalist, cooperative and state-capitalist economic structures is what underlies their societies' class system. In many newly-independent countries, the transformation or conservation, rather than decomposition of pre-capitalist structures, is taking place. Some economic structures, even if still mainteining the accial system, neverthelsas often result in a deadlock and are counterproductive. On the whole, the accial atructure of most newly-independent countries is characterised by the predominance of transitional forms of social relations, based on the existence of the multi-atructural economy and the preservation of pre-cspitalist social relationa.

Complicated relations of mutual autordination and bitter etruggle exist among the economic structurea. The accial structure of the newly-independent countries is therefore extremely complicated. It is characterised by a contradictory intersection of classes, ethnic, religious end other social groups.

One distinguishing feeture of the social structure of traditional Afro-Asian society is its caste nature, which holds down the social development of newly-independent countries, as does gorontocracy (e rule by tribal chiefs). With its

levers of economic predominance belong.

An important place in the social structure of capitalist countries is taken up by the middla atrata, whose position is interpediate between those of the two basic classes of the capitalist ecciaty, the workers and the hourgeoisie. These accial groups are diversa and comprise both the rural and urban patty bourgeoisie and sone groups of hired workers. The criterion for dafining the urhan petty bourgaoisis is property and the size of business, which require direct participation by the owner in the production process. In the middle strata as such, changas have hean contradictory. The urban middle etrata have been displaying graat stability. In major induatrialiaed capitaliat countrias their shara is not going down, with the absolute figure in some cases even going up. The reason is, prinarily, the fast growth of the sphera of services, where the share of small husiness is much higher than in industrial production and, secondly, a substantial increase in the so-called naw middle class composed of some categoriee of office workers (officialdom) as well es groups of the intellgentsis forming part of the middle strats.

It can be observed that, on the whole, the middle strats in the industrially developed capitalist countries remain s mass social group, in spite of s gradual reduction of their share and etrength. At the same time, structural changes in the middle strats thamselves are changing their rols in the system of social rolations. In this connection, most obvious is the growing importance of the intalligentais. A mass exodus of petty-bourgeois population from rural into urhan arass has important political consequences.

As a result, a change is taking place in the balance of political forces to the detrinent of bourgeois-centriat and conservativa parties which have been parasitising on the traditional backwardness of the rural population.

3. The Socio-Class Structure of Asian, African and Latin American Countries

The nawly-independent countries in Asia, Africa and Latin America are characterised by different stages of socioeconosio development. A number of Latin American countries, The vast majority of proletarians are engaged in small-scale production, characterised by a low level of division of labour, mechanisation and concentration. The percentage of unskilled, ecaeonal and day-labourers is very high.

As for Latin America, the working class accounts for a half of all the active population. The highest number of worksre is found in Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, Venezuels and Chile. In the most developed countries of Latin America the degree of concentration of labour is high.

In Arab countries, in the early 1970e the proletariat (agricultural workere excluded) accounted for 8 to 22 per cent of the economically active population in various countries. If agricultural workere, a major share of whom are seasonal workere, are taken into account, the share of the proletarist in the total etrength of the economically active population is higher. These countries are characterised by a rapid growth of the strength of the proletariat.

In African countries, the development of industry and the processe of urbanisation are the factors contributing to the growing strength of the proletariat.

Although the internal structure of the proletariat in Asian, African and Latin American countries varied from country to country and from one region to another, the following basic sections can be defined: 1) hired rural workers; 2) workers in loading and unloading and auxiliary operations in industrial centree; 3) non-factory industry workers; 4) construction workere; 5) industrial, mining and railway workere.

This breakdown enables one to see a specific feature of the proletariat of these countries due to the fact that ite usjor chare is craftsmen and artisans, i.e., hired workers in small enterprises, rather than the industrial proletariat. Among the group of hired workers (agriculture excluded), the industrial proletariat is a minority. Yet this is not the industrial proletariat is a minority. Yet this is not the most important feature of the social, economic and political position of the prolatariat. It is substantially influenced by a ness exodus from sgriculture with the resultant obvious and hidden unemployment, depreciation of the labour of and hidden unemployment, depreciation of the labour of workers, the formation of a huge army of lumpen-proletarians, the preservation of close relationships with rural areas,

strict regulation of the division of labour omong various social groups, with the prohibition of morringss between members of different castea and the restriction of the choice of occupations, the caste organisation obstructs the economic development of these countries. Inherited obligatory occupations (fishing, blacksmithiog, agriculture or trade) inhibit the growth and normal functioning of society's productive forces while making passivity, lnck of initiative and poverty the lot of the broad mass of their population.

In many countries, the process of social stratification has not yet been completed, which influences the process of formation of some socio-historical communities, and especially the process of formation of classes. The social structure, however, is extremely dynamic. The dynamism finds its expression, in particular, in the growth of the share of the proleterist in the population of Asian, African and Latin Lasrican countries. In those, as in other countries of the world, the future belongs to the working class, as both the size and where of the working class, as well as its social role, are on the increese both in capitalist-oriented and socialist-oriented newly-independent countries.

The Working Class

The nature and specific festures of the working

class in the newly-independent countries are determined by numerous factors, including socio-economic orientation (capitalist path or development towards socialiem), the level of development of society's productive forces, the exietence or lack of a public sector in industry and agriculture, the ratio of public and private sectors in the national economy, the nature of predominant economic branches, the content of economic relations with eccialiet or cepitaliet countriee, etc. The permanent share of the working clace in the newly-independent Asian and African countries (both in industry and agriculture) averages 10 to 20 per cent of the active population. Manufacturing, mostly handicrafts and domostic industriee, account for an important share of the workers. Other sources of employment for workers include transportation, mining and construction Services employ a relatively high chare of wage earners.

colonial domination. The quantitative growth of the proletariat hes been accompanied by qualitative changes in its structure. The working class is entering the national arena and becoming an active participant of anti-imperialist struggls. This cannot but be a source of alarm for imperialist and bourgeois ideologists. Claims are made, for instance, that the nature of the "African" or the "Asian" worker makes him always and in any event a proponent of "harmony", which would unite "brothers" and "sisters", and that the class etruggle advocated by Marxism is alien to the peaceful and non-violent "African", "Asian", etc., soul.

The Peasantry

The peasantry is the largest social group in the Afro-Asian countries; it is the

beeic force and the most important social bass of the national liberation movement. At the same time, as the experience of struggle for independence in developing countries
demonstrates, the peasantry is not an independent force in
this struggle, even if it does serve as a social base of the
liberation movement. This is due to the specific nature of the
peesantry as a class which is characterised by small property
owning tendencies, a low level of political conscioueness,
lack of orgeniaation and spontansity. Another reason why the
peesantry is not an independent class force is that, as a rule, it was the poorest and most oppressed section of the population in African countries, leas literate and more ensuared
in various prejudices than other groupa.

The document adopted by the First Conference of the Communist and Workers' Parties of Tropical and Southern Africe pointed out that socio-class differentiation was becoming more profound in the continent. In a number of countries of Tropical Africa the working class hed alreedy been established, while in others it was in the process of formation. The working cloom has set up trade unions of its own, and in acce countries even n party of ite own; it is increasingly expressing the interests of the entire working people.

The poseantry, who represent the majority of the population in all African countries, is a natural and most numerous ally of the working class.

the respective ethnic group and its social institutions. In developing countries, social links and relations of precapitalist formations are interwoven with relations of a capitalist type. This resulte in the preservation of a low level of human needs, which slows down the process of upgrading the skille of workers and limits the utilisation of skilled manpower.

Still, a tendency of docomposition of the old social eystem has been increasingly felt over the recent years. The strength of the proletariat is rapidly growing, sccompanied by an increase in its role, the formation of a class consciouencee and the spread of Marxint-Leninist ideology.

The quantitativo growth of the industrial proletariat is accompanied by changes in its structure and make-up. With the development of national industry, the emergence of new enterprises and whole branches besed on modern technology, the share of skilled workers is growing, as is the general technological level of the working class.

The level of organisation and class consciousness is rising rapidly, as a result of which the working class more and more often acts as an independent political force, capable of leading revolutionary struggle for social restructuring.

Agricultural workers, closely linked with the semi-proletarian poor sections of the peasantry, account for sbout s half of the proletariat in Latin America. The agricultural workers also include unekilled full-time workers who have no property of their own, farm hands engaged in household ferning, seesonal workers from among the poor peasants and the marginal urban population, and ekilled workers, such as tractore drivers, engine mechanics and technicians.

In Africa, the proletarist is still not numerous and woak, although it is a rapidly developing class. The share of unskilled workers in its composition is high. The literaty level of most workers is quite low. An important share of the African proletariat is composed of seasonal workers closely linked with rurel areas. Most full-time workers also have the same close links with villages.

In a number of countries of Asia and Africa, the proletariat made a eignificant contribution to the struggle egeinst framswork of previous forms, in particular, within the framework of local communities, exploitstion based on compensation by working off dabts, etc.

The substance of rural class struggle under the circumstances lies in the struggle of all the groups of rural workers against latinfundism and the monopolies.

The Bourgeoisie and Other Classes and Groups of Exploiters In a number of newly-independent Afro-Asian countries which have chosen the path of capitalist orientation, an acceleration and broaden-

ing of the development of national capitalism is taking place. Under direct influence of imperialist neo-colonialism and with its financial, scientific and technical assistancs, capitalism of a neo-colonialist and neo-compradore type is being formed there. It is here, as well as in countries with the old traditions of capitalist business, such as, for example, India, Turkey and Egypt, that the class of the national bourgeoisis is being formed or strangthened, an important part of which is comprised by the nso-compradore bourgeoisis.

It is not in all Afro-Asian countries by far that the bourgeoisie is a serious social and political force; nsver-thelees, in some countries (Nigeria, Kenya, Zsire, Tunisia, thelees, in some countries (Nigeria, the serious descriptions) advancing to Morocco, Pakistan and others) it is increasingly advancing to the forefront of political life.

In most countries of Tropical Africa there is no big business bourgeoieie besed in industry. The bourgeoisie is, see a rule, represented by petty businessmen and traders. Recent yeare have been characterised by a growth of the bourgeoieie in some Afro-Asian countries, not only in urban, but geoieie in some Afro-Asian countries, not only in urban, but also in rural areas, where it is taking place as a result of also in rural areas, where it is taking place as a result of the etratification of peacantry, with tribe nobility, feudal and semi-feudal elemente' acquiring traits of the bourgeoieie, and purchasee of land by members of the urban bourgeoieio.

Over the years of independent political development, a stratum of bureaucaratic or administrative bourgeoicie, represented by the officials of the top and concilnes middle levole of the etste machinery, has emerged in many countries of Africa. The cocial status of this group and its methods of

With political independence, the role of the passantry in the Afro-Asian countries increases substantially. Quite a significant part of the pessants have acquired a stronger political consciousness and come political experience. Especially conducive to this are the processes of social stratification of passants with the resultant prolotarisation of individual groupe of the rural population taking place currently in the African countries.

In countries of Latin inerics, profound social differentiation of the peasantry is taking place nors rapidly. Over the last decades the process has acquired a mass ecale, with the transitional types combining the old (peasant) and new (proletarian or farmer) features becoming widespread.

Proletarisation of the majority of snall property owners, who are forced to earn additional income hy working for hire, is swident. The rural poor ere characterised by the sele of their labour. Yet as the availability of manpower ovortakes the damand for it almost evarywhere, relative rurel overpopulation is growing fact. Simultaneously, the peasant upper crust is acquiring featuree of the bourgeoisie. In Latin America, the nature of the process of fornation of farmers ss a sociel group is a contradictory one. With the preservation of latifundism and the old vestigiel forms of exploitation, poverty and landlssansss among rural workers, the landowners resort to the most crippling and predatory forms of exploitation, monay-lending, stc. This leads to the growth of contradictions between the formers and the rural proleteriat. A number of Latin American governments ere pursuing a policy of using all means to atrengthen the position of the farmers. Positions of the farmers are strongest in Argentina, Uruguay

The specific nature of the agrarian situation in Latin America is thus due to the fact that it is on the basis of latinfundisn and the preservation of ths vestiges of precapitalist relations that capitalism is developing there. The peasantry thus suffers hoth as a result of the development of capitalism and as a result of ite insufficient development. The process of social differentiation of the peasantry has not been completed; in many especte, it continues within the

ments are becoming increasingly involved in the development of capitalist relations, with their position approaching that of the bourgeoisie. In countries where progressive reforms are being implemented, tha feudal lords and the upper crust of the clan and tribe nobility attempted to put up s resolute resistance to revolutionary and democratic forces; in s number of countries they were subsequently forced to quit the political arena, while in others they managed to preserve their influence.

As for Latin Americs, the parasitising class of big landowners still exists and is playing a significant economic and political role, and possessing substantial power in a number of countries.

Over several decades, a process of gradual transformstion of latifundists into the bourgeoieie has been taking place in Latin America, with the semi-feudal methods of exploitation being raplaced by the bourgeois ones.

In recent years, the bourgeois governments have been using all kinds of incentives for the modernisation of latiusing all kinds of incentives for the modernisation of latiusing "from sbove", while many big landowners have become fundis "from sbove", while many big landowners have become more like businessmen, having adopted capitalist conomic methods.

The class of the latinfundists, including its most bourgeois section, is a most conservative social force. Communists see one of the basic tasks of the revolution as final eliniation of 1 the basic tasks of the revolution of large land nation of latifundism through nationalisation of large land nation and their replacement by state-owned or cooperative farms.

The Middle Strats

In the complex and still not finalised socio-class structure of Afro-Asian and Latin

American societies, an important role is being pleyed by what is described by Marrist-Leninist sociology as the "middle" strats. These are a peculiar social conglomeration composed of slarge part of petty property owners and producers in urban and rural areas, office workers and professionals (artists, writers, lawyers, etc.), the intelligentsia, arry and police officers, students, etc.

It should be pointed out here that this social group secounts for 10 to 20 per cent of the urban population in

enrichment are attributable to auch features of the bursaucratic bourgeoisie as corruption and venality, anti-popular and pro-colonial sympathies. etc.

The heterogeneity of the national bourgeoisie and the mobility of the limits of this group make its rols sxtrsmely contradictory both in the struggle for national independence and at the stage of independent development of new African states. Although the majority of the national beurgeoisie has not entirely abandoned anti-imperialist and democratic positions, the local bourgeoisie, forced as it is to balance . between pressure from imperialism and neo-colonialism which strive to preserve their domination in the region of the newlyindependent countries, from feudal and pre-feudal elements seeking to preserve their privileges, and from the popular masses demanding radical changes in their lifs, and in some ceses from the petty bourgeoisie and the intelligentsia with their claims to a leading role in occiety, often displaya o tendency to compromise and conciliation and collaborate with the forces of imperialism and internal reaction.

The class of the bourgeoisic (which is gradually baing joined by latifundists who turn bourgeois) enjoys the greatest economic and political influence in today's <u>Latin Americs</u>. The development of the Latin American bourgeoisis is distinguished by some major features as compared with the bourgeoisie in Western Europe. In most Latin American countries prior to World War I, the industrial bourgeoisis held an sconomic and political position secondary to that of the class of the big latifundists.

The Latin American bourgeoisic originated under double pressure - from foreign imperialism and from the latifundists. The fact of rupturing vital, albeit inequitable, links with foreign capital and losing its alliance with the agrarian oligarchy when faced by its class enemy, the proteatist, has never cessed to limit the scale of socio-political struggle waged by the Latin American bourgeoisie.

<u>Feudal lords and Semi-feudaliets</u> practically no longer exist as a class within the strict meaning of the term in most Afro-Asian countries. In many countries of Africa and Asia both the clan and tribe nobility and the feudal els-

profitable form of development of their economic power. The process of class differentiation is taking place rapidly among the petty bourgeoisie. Annually thousands are drawn into the maelstrom of small business, for the most part only to become bankrupt and end up in the morass of pauperiam. Only a few become amall capitaliata.

On the whole, the patty bourgeoisie characteristically adopta a vacillating and inconstatent position. Ita atrong desire to own property, its apolitical and conservative nature more often than not make it a maaa accial base for right forcea in political atruggle. Reformiat parties in Latin America alao turn to petty buaineaamen. At the aame time, the petty bourgeoisie experiencea hardahipa of exploitation and oppression by foreign capital, which makes them a potentiel ally of the working class in the atruggle against despotic rule by big monopoly business and imperialiam-In the dsveloping accieties

The Marginal Urgan Strata

of Asian, African and Latin Amsrican countries, a major

placs in social atructure (due to their strength) is taken up by what is described as marginal strate. The marginal strate are those outside the process of social dsvslopment, which, due to some oircumatancea or other, ia taking place by leaps, in an unbalanced manner. The marginal atrata (they are also called declassed groups) represent quite a numerous group in the population of Afro-Asian and Latin American countries. Their quantity is attributable to a low level of industrial development, overpopulation in rural areae, the imposeibility of providing jobe for an important part of the population, a high level of population growth, urbaniaation, lack of food, housing, and by a number of other reasons loading to the pauperimation of quite broad macace of the population. A great role here ie being played by a gap between the rate of urbanieation and that of industrialisation. The urban population ie growing factor than is employment outside agriculture. The possibility of finding Jobs in towns for migrants from rural areae ie very limited, which is the principal cause of the formation of the urban marginal etrate.

Overcrowding, poverty and unemployment leave an imprint of their own on the poychology of the inhabitants of marginal Tropical Africe, somewhat less in Asia and from 7-8 to 22-23 per cent (depanding on the country) of the population in Latin American countries.

The dual nature of the atrata is reflected in the fact that, on the one hand, they adjoin the working messes and are playing an active role in the liberation struggle; in meny newly-independent countries of Africe they are leading the atruggle. The leading role of these atrats is due to the waskness of the proletarist and the bourgeoiste in former colonies and semi-colonies, as well as to the incomplete nature of socio-class differentiation in African society. In practice, the middle strate are often led by the intelligentais (including the military) which, depending on a broad range of factors, is guided by revolutionary, democratic, and in the final analysis socialist ideals, or adopts the position of the bourgeoiste and the capitalist path of development. It is by the position of the intelligents that political radicaliem of the middle strate is largely determined.

On the other hand, the intermediate atrats also possess s number of negstive qualities, such as political backwardness, various tendencies of hesitation and wavering on quastions of ideology and politica, leck of political firmness and organisation, indeciaiveness, etc. This makes them susceptible to menipulation by resotionary forces.

As for the social structure of Latin Associan countries, an important place there is takenup by urban petty bourgacians. The survival of the petty-bourgacia sector is due to preservation of a branch economic structure with the prademinance of light industry, dependence on capitalism, a limited internal market and rapid urbanisation. This sector comprises artisans and craftenen who use hired labour (under 5 amployees), small businessman, petty traders, and owners of small acrice enterprises. Small private business in Latin America is characterised by the fact that it involves an important part of factory workers and office amployees. This is attributable to their dire poverty, threat of unsepployment and lack of skills.

Monopolies have established an uncontested domination in small commodity production, making home industry a most

The class interest is an objective relation of a class to the existing mode of production, the social and state eyeters. There are fundsmental (principal) and temporary (partiel) class interests. A fundamental class interest coneists in sither strengthening the oxisting form of ownership and social system or savocating the destruction of the former and raplacement of the latter. The fundamental class iaterests of the prolotsriat and the bourgeoisie are thus dia-Estrically opposed and irroconcilable. As for tomporary or Partial class intorests, these are not as important as fundsmeatal interests are; nevertholoss, they should not be ignored. They are borne of the specific conditions of life and class strugglo. For sxamplo, workers of an enterpriss or a group of enterprises may be waging a struggle for better working and living conditions. In the course of the struggle over specific issues, mutual concessions and individual compromises are acceptable by classes.

With classes whose objective positions within the system of eocial production are close, a community of basic interests effective. For instance, the common vital interests of the may exist. For instance, the common vital interests for coopsworking class and the passantry creates firm basis for coopsworking class and for joint action against capital; ration between them and for joint action against capital; still, specific interests and goals of each class continues to exist as their alliance develops further.

Since the fundamental interests of antagonistic classes are objectively irreconcileble, the class struggle in a sociate objectively irreconcileble, the class struggle in coessity, sty divided into opposed classes is an inevitable necessity, at divided into opposed classes is an inevitable necessity, at the standard of the classes of development of antagonistic formations, rather than a temporary or socidental phanomenon.

From the time society was split into classes, continuous struggle has been taking place between the exploiters and the exploited, which is sither open or hidden, srmed or pescafular involves all spheres of social life, including economics, It involves and ideology.

Slave uprisings in slave-owning societies and peasant wars throughout feudal history were part of the struggle. The wars undermined the fundamentals of the serf system and obwera undermined the fundamentals of the social system whils jectively resulted in the demise of the social system whils clearing the way for bourgeoia revolutions.

sress. They are easily influenced by demagogy and inclined to support extremist movements, both right-wing and "left"-wing. Their characteristic orientation on the atrong personality makes them a social base for populiat movements. The declassed and criminal elements have slways been used by reactionaries in the struggle against revolutionary forces, primerily against Communiats.

4. The Content and Forms of Class Struggla

When problems of dialectica were analysed, it was pointed out that the interaction and conflict of opposing forces, properties and tandencies of any process is the source of its development. The law of the unity and conflict of oppositss, which reveals the source of development, and its motives forces, is reflected differently in various phenomena and processes of the objective world, including so cisl life.

The law of the unity and conflict of opposites is affective over ell social history; what changes is the specific eides of contradictions, the content of the opposing sides themcolves, as well as of the properties and tendencies of eocial development.

Cleas Struggle as a Law atruggle in society? What a struggle in society? What cousas it? Bourgsois ideoloresults from "s mutual misunderstanding" batween classas, from "oiding and abstring ths evil slements", etc. They saek to find social and moral values which would serve to units to sn enalysis of ouch a complex and profound phanomenon oa class otruggle.

Cleeo struggle is borns of the material basis of social life and is generated by contradictions in the sconomic position of different closses in society and their conflicting intercete. The most profound cause of class struggle is the existence of privots ownership of the means of production.

If the content of cleee struggle is to be made clear, the concept of close interest has to be analysed.

socialiot consciousness into the workers' movement, and to fully evercome the ideological influence of the bourgeoisie.

Politicol atruggle by the prolotariat is the highest form of class etrugglo, aimed, in the final analysia, at o radical transformation of the existing eccio-oconomic system and the winning by workers of political power.

Political struggle includee effective sction by the proletariat, under the leaderchip of a Marxiet party, sgainst bourgeois legislation, for political freedoms and for brosder rights for the working clase. The economic and ideological strugglo can be waged on a broader scale if the workers have freedom of assombly, of association, if they have their own press and send their ropresentatives to parliament. The political struggle comprises advanced nationwide actions by the proletarist aimed at the setiafaction of its fundamental class interects. In politica, class etruggle cannot be limited to Specifica; in the final analysis, its most substantial and important result is the winning of power by the working class. Lenin wrote: "Thoso who recognise only the class struggls are not yet Marxista; they may be found to be etill within the bounds of bourgeois thinking and bourgeois politics. To confine Marxism to the theory of the class struggle meane curtailing Marxism, distorting it, reducing it to something accepteble to the bourgeoisie. Only he is a Marxiet who extends the recognition of the clase struggle to the recognition of the dictatorehip of the proleteriet. "I political etruggle by the working clese, taken to the stage when it takes power, is of decisive importance in the revolutionary struggle of workere; all the other forms i.e., economic and ideological etruggle, are subordinated to it.

Specific Feeturee of Clase Struggle Today The epecific conditions of proletarian clase struggle in the second half of the 20th century include the

20th century and a countries, of existence of a powerful escialist community of countries, of

^{1.} V.T. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 25, pp. 416-417.

The elimination of feudal production relations did not sholish the private ownership of the means of production. Exploitation of man by man remsined, with only its form changing. The bourgeois system came into being under attractive slogane of liberty, equality and fraternity. Yet these wers only used by the bourgeoisis to push anide the feudal aristocracy and to gain power. Instead of equality, n most profound new gep of social and economic inequality appeared. Bitter close struggle, rether than fraternity, is what raigns supreme in the bourgeois ecciety.

As opposed to preceding exploited classes, the working class is a harbinger of new, socialist production relations. Deprived of the ownership of the means of production, it has no interest in preservation of private property. It is the most edvanced and resolute fighter for the elimination of exploitation and for a redical revolutionary transformation of society.

Basic Forme of Class Struggle by the Proletarist

Proletsrian class struggle embraces all essential aspacts of social life, viz., econo-

mie, ideologicel and political espects. The basic forms of proletarian cless struggle wers established accordingly.

Economic strupple is historically the first and earliest form of struggle by the proletarist. Its aim is to protect the economic interests of the working cless through improving working conditions, reducing working hours, increasing wegee, etc. The economic struggle, however, cennot result in a radical improvement of vital conditions of the working cless. In effect, it is aimed at selling manpower at a higher price.

As the class struggle developed, the working clase was increoeingly feeing the task of bringing home to the workers the need to wago a struggle not only for temporary concessions from the ruling class, but also sgainst the bourgeoieie ee a whole and sgeinst the cepitalist system. This recults in the need for a higher, i.e, ideological, form of clase struggle. It originated and beceme widespread aimultaneouely with the

Ideological etruggle by the proletariat is a scientific, theoretical kind of struggle; it is being woged to introduce

What distinguishes the werking-class movement ever recent years from the provious peried is a neticsable broadening of the social base of the strike movement; it now increasingly involves white-collar werkers. Jeint action by the werking class with various sections of the bourgeois secisty, including the intelligentsis, office workers, students, petty businessmen, peasants and the pensionera, is gaining momentum.

The modern class atruggls by the proletariat is cheracterised by action for interests of seciety as a whele, besides action for its own class interests; these include baruggle against nilitarisation, halting wars waged by imperialist at the interritories of others, protection of the environment, action against the arms race, against inflation, rising prices, suppression of national liberation movements, atc.

Such relatively new features of the class atruggle as direct actions sgainst the bourgeeis state, as the demand that state pelicy be changed, as an attitude of mistrust to-that state pelicy be changed, as an attitude of mistrust to-that state authority and the epposition to the subordination wards state authority and the epposition to the subordination of the national scenomy to the state, are of major importance.

The influence of the working class and its Marriat-Leniniat parties en international relations is steadily growing. The workers demand that detente be made nore profound, international cooperation and peaceful coexistence be developed, and disputes solved peacefully.

An easential feature of proletarian class struggle is its international nature, due to the existence of a common its international nature, due to the existence of freedomenemy, capitalism, common vital interests, those of buildfron exploitation, and common ultimate goals, those of building s socialist society.

As a driving force behind the development of antagoniatic formations, the class struggle demonstrates that the working masses are the true makers of history as it is they who promote the progress of human society. the world socialist systen, whose determining influence on the course of world development is increasing. Further, these include national liberation revolutions by peoples of former colonias, non-capitalist development of newly-indepsndent countries, or development along the path of socialist orientation. As for the class struggle by workers of capitalist countries, it has entered a new, qualitative stage.

In the current historical period, the organic combination of struggla for denocracy with that for socialism is an easential feature of the class struggle by the proletariat. The growth of monopoly capitalish into atate-monopoly capitaliem is accompanied by an all-out offensive by major monopolies sgainst the interests of the working class and the broad popular maases. The petty and middle bourgeciais slee have to face increasing difficulties. The aggravation of the basic class contradiction of the bourgeois socisty, that between labour and capital, is thus accompanied by an intersification of the contradiction between most nations, on the one hand, and the monopolies, on the other. The new alignment of class forces createe the possibility of an alliance between the working clase and the broad masses of the population in the struggle for the elimination of monopoly domination. Action for pasce, detente, and radical social reforms represente general democratic tasks rallying the broadest popular masses, facilitating the struggla for eccialian, for building n new society. In current circumstances, the working class is the most important and the strongeet adversary of nonopoly rula and the centre of gravity for all anti-monopoliatic forces.

The clees struggle within a national framework is clossly interwoven with that in the international areas. To oppose the growing revolutionary movement, the bourgaois states form militery alliances and bloch (NATO, among others), pursuing the imperialist policy in internetional relations, and also suppressing the revolutionary forces by means of their intervention in the event of acuta crises in individual countrice.

A principal feature of the workera' class atruggle is its broedening in acale and an increasingly meas nature of the strike novement and longer durntion of atrikes. with different socio-oconomic formations and in different hierorical situations.

At the time of astional ordeals the people plays s decisive role in defending its country, in just wars and in astional liberation struggles.

Heroism of millions of workers who saved Europe from enalswement by the nezis will never be forgotten. An outstanding role in this historic victory was played by the peoples of the Soviet Union, who had to shoulder most of the burden of anti-fascist strugglo. The stubborn struggle weged by peoples led to the domine of the colonial system of imperialism. The heroic people of Vietnam has gained a victory over the most powerful imperialist etate in the world and is now building a socialist future.

The popular masses are making an ineetimeble contribution to the development of mankind's <u>cultural heritage</u>. Heving satablished its basis, they proceeded creeting the requisites for its progress.

In the early devslopment of culture, the working peopls created all cultural values. Literature and the arts have long been devsloped as the people's exclusive creativity. It was the people who laid the foundations for pictorial and spplied arts and architecture. The popular messes have found ways of growing cereals and melting metals, invented and improved first labour implements and accumulated initial knowledge of objects and phenomena of the aurrounding world.

The general tendency of the historical process consists in the constant strengthening of the role of the popular usage in history.

The working class has promoted the struggle by the popular masses to its highest historical stegs. The workers' movement is overcoming isolation and estrangement, the scourge of the preceding mass movements. The broad popular masses are rellying around the proletariat; best members of the insert slight state of the producing and acquiring stelligentsia, who assist it in producing and acquiring scientific revolutionsry world outlook, are also taking its side. With the emergence of the working class, atruggle by the popular masses reaches a level when the goal of total elimination of exploitstion of man by man is set.

The Decieive Role of Popular Maesee in History The people is a totality of classee and social strats who set in motion social production and maintain social pro-

gresa. The concept of the peopla is a spacific historical one. Tha clseaes and strata comprising the popular masses are determined by the epoch and nature of eccial formation.

At the time of early bourgeoie revolutione, the concapt "the peopla" comprised all social atrate to the axclusion of faudal ariatocracy. With the strengthening of the capitalist eystan the bourgeoiaie becama a rasctionary and anti-popular force opposed to the people, i.a., the working masses. In a social liberation struggle againet imperialian "the people" embreces not only working eectione of the population, but also the ravolutionery-minded national bourgaoisie, which joins workers and peasante in atruggle against colonial domination. In the course of a popular revolution, the working clase enliste the cooperation of the national bourgeoieie in carrying out democretic reforms.

The definitions of "the people" offered by many bourgeois juriete and sociologiste, who use the concept to denote the totality of members of any ecciety, are non-historical and therefore uneound. Their definitions make the concept itaelf void of any meaning. Just sa unsound is the vulger materislist approach to the people as only manual workers.

What determinee the daciaiva role of the popular masees in history? Primarily, it is the feet that the peopla plays a determining role in production, creates lahour implements, inproves them, accumulates labour skills and pasees than on from generation to generation; it creates material wealth for lack of which society cannot axiet.

Productive activity by the maceca has been and will remain a primary condition of social life. Everyday work by the popular maceca does more than merely provide the acciety with all thet it needs to exist; it creates a material hasis for auccessive changes of socio-economic formetions.

The people is playing an increasing role in accial and political life. Social development is unthinkable without political activity by the masses, yet ite importance varies

with different socio-economic formations and in different historical situations.

At the time of national ordeals the people plays a decisive role in defending its country, in just wors and in national liberation struggles.

Heroism of millions of workers who saved Europe from enslavement by the nazis will navar be forgotten. An outstanding role in this historic victory was played by the peoples of the Soviet Union, who had to shoulder most of the burden of anti-fsscist struggls. The stubborn struggle weged by peoples led to the demise of the colonial system of imperialism. The heroic people of Vietnam has gained s victory over the most powerful imperialist state in the world and is now building a socialist future.

The popular masses are making an inestimable contribution to the development of mankind's <u>culturel heritage</u>. Having established its basis, they proceeded cresting the requieites for its progress.

In the esrly development of culture, the working people created all cultural values. Literature and the orts have long been developed as the people's exclusive crostivity. It was the people who laid the foundations for pictorial and applied arts and srchitecture. The popular massos have found ways of growing cereals and melting motals, invented and improved first lobour implements and accumulated initial knowledge of objects and phenomeno of the surrounding world.

The general tandency of the historical process consists in the constant strengthening of the role of the popular cases in history.

The working class has promoted the struggle by the popular masses to its highest historical stegs. The workers' movement is overcoming isolation and astrangement, the courge of the preceding mass movements. The broad popular masses reallying around the prolatorist; bust nombore of the intelligentain, who assist it in producing and acquiries a ccientific revolutionary world outlook, are also taking its aids. With the emergence of the working slace, atrussis by the popular masses reaches a laval wheathe goal of total climination of exploitation of man by man is set.

The Decieive Role of Popular Maeses in Hietory The people is a totality of classee and social strata who set in notion social production and maintain social pro-

grese. The concept of the people is a specific historical one. The classes and strsta comprising the popular masses are dstermined by the spech and usture of social formation.

At the time of early bourgeoie revolutione, the concept "the people" comprised all social etrsts to the exclusion of feudal eristocracy. With the atrengthening of the capitalist eystem the bourgeoisie becsme a resctionsry and anti-popular force opposed to the people, i.e., the working masses. In s social liberation struggle sgsinet imperialien "the people" embraces not only working eectione of the population, but also the revolutionary-minded national bourgeoieie, which joins workers and peasante in etruggle against colonial domination. In the course of s popular revolution, the working class enlicts the cooperation of the national bourgeoieie in carrying out democratic reforms.

The definitions of "the people" offered by many bourgeoie juriets and sociologiete, who use the concept to denote the totality of members of any society, ere non-historical and therefore unsound. Their definitions make the concept itself void of any meaning. Just as unsound is the vulgar materialiet epproach to the people as only manual workers.

What determinee the decicive role of the popular masses in history? Primarily, it is the fact that the people plays a determining role in production, creates labour implements, improves them, accumulates labour skills and peeces them on from generation to generation; it creates material wealth for lack of which society cannot exist.

Productive activity by the macece has been and will remain e primary condition of accial life. Everyday work by the popular macece does more than merely provide the ecciety with all that it needs to exiet; it creetes a meterial basic for eucceseive changes of eccio-economic formations.

The people is playing an increasing role in social and political life. Social development is unthinkeble without political activity by the masses, yet its importance varies

class. This stage ambraces the period from 1917 through the lats 1930s, or about 20 years of dovolopment along the socialist path. Over this historically brief period, following the difficult years of foreign armed interventions and economic dislocation, four economic structures (capitalist, state-copitalist, small commodity and patriarchal) which existed in oddition to the socialist structure, were replaced by a single socialist structure. Accordingly, a new social structure, the one composed exclusively of socialist groups of working people, made its appearance.

The exploiting classes were eliminated, as was the social antithesis between town and country, between mental and physical labour. The class of small commodity, petty-bourgeois peasantry and artisans was transformed into a socialist class, that of collective farmers and cooperative satisans. A qualitatively new social structure was established, composed of the working class, collective-farm peasantry and a section of the intelligentsia and office workers.

The second stage is that of transformation of the new social structure as a result of the development based on its own, socialist foundation, into essentially a mature and developed one. This stage embraces the period from the 1ste 1930s to the 1sts 1960s, i.e., about 30 years; this period sew the Grest Patriotic War of 1941-1945, and the rehabilitation of the war-ravaged economy.

The third etage, the stage of advanced socialism se the result of development of the country slong the path opened up by the Great October Socialist Revolution, finde its expression in an increased level of maturity of the social structure ion in an of ite constituent classes and eocial groups, as a whole and of ite constituent classes and edge differences in a consistent overcoming of social and class differences and in the increasing social homogeneity of Soviet society.

The Social Structure of the USSR: s General Description

The advanced eocialite to the ety in the USSR is characterised by profound eocio-economic transformations. Explonomic transformations.

itation of man by man, clase antagonieme and national etrifo have been done away with once and for all. The alliance of the working clase, collective-farm peasantry and the people's

5. Social Structure of Socialist Society

The Current Epoch and Socialien The socialist movement originsted as long ago as the 19th century; its various

trends reflected the interests of various forces opposing capitalien and all the diversity of socialist theoretical thinking.

Currently, the trends which describe themselves ss escialiet embrsce dozens of most diverse movements.

Even s brief enumeration of social movements and political forces which proclaim socialist slogans reflects the indisputable fact that this epoch is one of transition to socialism. While in the 19th century only s few parties and political trends, even those in some countries of Europe and the USA, rallied under the banner of socialism; while in the first quarter of this century socialism was being built in just one country and relatively few communist and workers' parties rallied under its banner, the modern socialist movement is a broad current incressingly involving new countries and eocial forces. That this is a result of grest schievements by the world communist movement and its incressed direct and indirect influence on the entire course of social dsvelopment in the 20th century is irrefutable.

When one talks about modern socialism, he should make a distinction, first, between socialism as a real experience, or in other words, the experience of the practical building of a new, socialist society; second, socialism as a sociopolitical movement; and third, socialism as an ideology.

In s brief historical period, socialism has demonstrated its irresistible vitality and ebility to become deeply rooted and develop under most diverse conditions.

Since the triumphant October Revolution of 1917, the social structure of the USSR hee passed through three basic stages of radical transformation and development.

The first stege is that of a revolutionary transformation of social structure from the mon-socialist into a socialist one, or into a structure composed only of working classee and social groups with the leeding role of the working agriculture. This secounts for the existence of the cooperstive and collective-farm property. Collective-farm land is state property only in the long term, being as it is assigned to collective ferms for free and perpetual use. Collective ferms own agricultural mechinary and other mesns of production. Yet it is not individuals or groups of individuals who own the means of production in a collective ferm; these are social property, and this totally precludes any exploitation of men by man.

The two forms of socialist social ownership also determine the existence of two major social classes under eocialism, the working class and the collective-farm peesantry. Yet there are no relations of domination and subordination or competition between them; on the contrary, relations which exist are those of friendship and mutual assistance.

The working class of savanced socialist society is s class of workers engaged in public socialist enterprises which plays a leading role in society.

The basic class-forming feature of the working class is, primerily, its relation to state (public) ownership of the means of production. In the system of social production, the working class plays the lasding role vis-à-vis all the other social groups. A reflection of this is that its labour creates most of the material wealth of sociaty, that it has to deal with the most advanced equipment and technology, is characterised by strict discipline, developed political consciousness, is advanced ideologically and most coneistent. At present, there are about 60 million workers in the USSR, who make up two thirds of the ective population. This signifies, es the 26th Congress of the CPSU pointed out, that "the working class is not only the largest class numerically but constitutes the majority of the working people." The working

Documents and Resolutions. The 26th Congress of the Cocmunist Party of the Sovist Union, Novoeti Press Agency Publishing House, Moscow, 1981, p. 67.

intelligentsia, the friendship batwesn nations and nationalities has been strengthened. Socio-political and ideological unity has been achieved in Soviat society, with the working class as its motive force. Under advanced socialism a new, heretofore unknown social and class structure was established, to which evaluations and definitions, traditional under preceding social formations, are not applicable and which requires creative comprehension from a position of Marxist-Leninist methodology.

The basis of the economic and aocial system under advanced socialism is socialist ownership of the means of production in the form of state (public) and cooperative and collective-farm property. All the means of production belong to the entire people; there is no class or social stratum which would be able to use the means of production in ita own selfish interests.

Under advanced socialism, the land, its mineral resources, waters and forests are the exclusive property of the state. The state owns the basic means of production in industry, conatruction and agriculture, as well as transport, communications, the banks, the property of state-astablished trade, community service and other facilities, the bulk of urban housing, as well as other property required for the accomplishment of state tasks. The source of growth of social wealth, as well as of improved well-being of the people as a whole and of every Soviet individual, is labour of men working for thereelves and free of exploitation, In accordance with the principle of "From each according to his ability, to each according to his work", the state controls the amount of work and the amount of concumption. The supreme goal of production undor accielism is the fullest satisfection of men's growing material ond spiritual requirementa.

The existence of two forms of socialist social property, the state one and the cooperative and collective-form one, is due to the historically different levels of development of productive forces in industry and agriculture. A relatively lower level of the productive forces of agricultural lebour, as well as social and psychological traditions preclude a siguitaneous introduction of state ownership in all mess of

the development of social production by developing science, engineering and lutest technology, training willsd workers for all branchoe of the economy and maintaining the spiritual development of all members of the sociaty.

Within the sociol structure of odvenced socielist socisty, o place of importance belongs to office workers, o social etrstum of these employed in social production nanagenent. Their besic social rols is to sateblish the nest fovourable conditions for the work of the working claes, collective formere and the intelligentsis.

Besidss the productive social groupe, the social structure of the Soviet sociaty also comprises groups of a non-productive nature. The first estegory comprises the octive population, i.s., the working class, collective farmers, population, i.s., the working class, while the second embraces the population which is not directly involved in the braces the population which is not directly involved in the economy, such as students, housewives and the pensioners. They are all characterised by a high lavel of social activity, which is due to their common basic interests, convictions and goals.

Towards a Casslass Society

The principal trend of development of the social structure of the Soviet

sociaty under advanced socialism is the continuous rapprochement of the labouring classes and strata and the growth of social homegeneity. The documents of the CPSU stated: "Our sin is to crests a society in which people will not be divided into classes. And it may be said quite definitely that we are gradually and surely advancing towards that great objective."

The division of society into classes is a transient period in the history of mankind, due exclusively to the dominance of private ownership of the mesns of production. The establishment of social ownership as a result of the socialist revolution and the bnilding of socialism serve to eliminate the antagonistic classes and subsequently the classes

^{1.} Documents and Resolutions, The 26th Congress, p. 67.

clase ie playing the leading rola in the organisation of all eccial production, the determining role in the implementation of netional economic development plans. As distinct from the collective-ferm peacentry which, besides monetary income, also receivee remuneration in kind, this working class is releted exclusively to the mometary form of renuneration in eccordence with the quentity and quality of its work.

The eocial ectivity and political moturity of the working close are continuously on the rise. The educational and vocational training level of workers is going up continuously. Under edvenced socialism and due to the impact of the scientific end technical revolution, o more harmonious relationship is being established between physical and mental lebour.

The peasantry of the advanced socialist society is a principel social class which has collective socialist ownership of large-ecole and highly mechanised agriculture hased on collectivist principles.

The collective-farm system of production has played a tremendous role in the life of the pessantry and the ontirs people. It has etrengthened the alliance of the working class and the peasentry end became a solid basis for the participation of pessants in menaging social affairs and solving prohlems of nationwide importance; it has used the henafits of large-scale agricultural production for the purposes of socialien while developing production on a modern industrial hosis. The new economic system offers the peaseantry unlimited opportunities for cultural and scientific development as well as mastering Marxiem-Leniniem, the ideology of the working clees, and acquiring a collectivist psychology.

The intelligenteie of the advanced socialist society is a social etretum distinguished from the working clees and the collective-ferm peasentry according to the following features: its place in the social division of lehour, as its occupations have to do with difficult intellectual work; its role in the social organisation of labour, so it has specific functions of management in industry and other spheres of social life; it also has a higher cultural and technical level. The basic social role of the intelligents is consiste in influencing

type, whose origin, functioning and interaction are based on their fundamental interests and social goals and whose development is taking place towards a gradual obliteration of economic, social, cultural, psychological and other differences between them. The social homogeneity of society will be further promoted as the communist formation nakes progress. The highest stage of communian will be characterised by the social homogeneity of society and the absence of any closes or class distinctions. Society and the absence of any closes or class distinctions. Society af developed socialies is a natural and necessary stage in this development, the stage that the Soviet Union finds itself in at present and that is successfully unfelding in the socialiet countries.

6. Nationality Ralations

Nature of Nationality Relations In considering nationality relations, Marxism-Leninien, without ignoring biological

differences between people, e.g., differences between recee, laye emphasis on the <u>social aspacta</u> of these relations. It is the purposeful activity of nan as a social being, transforming the surrounding world to nest his naterial and epiritual needs, that provides the key to an understanding of differences between nationalities and of the formation of different human communities.

Engela bolieved thot labour ia the "prine basic condition for all human exiatence, and this to euch an extent that, in a sense, we have to eay that labour created man himself." It is work Tha Part Played by Labour in tha Transition from Ape to Man showe that labour is understood as a broad concept including the totality of social actions taken by way of adaptation to the anvironment. At the early stages of human development those actions included gathering and use of wild fruit, vagatablas, etc. as food. At subsequent stages gathering was complemented by hunting and fishing, which required certain implamenta, such as harpoons and stone axas. As hunting and gathering dayaloped and grew more complex, thay

F. Engals, <u>Dialactica of Nature</u>, Prograss Publishera, Moacow, 1974,p. 170.

in general. Achieving social homogeneity is a long historical process involving substantial changes in all social relations, primarily those in the material basis, in the sphere of production relations.

As Soviet experience demonstrates, this stage of sdvanced eccialism is characterised by a continuous rise in the level of accialisation of the economy and a steady rapprochement of the state (public) and collective-farm and cooperative forme of accialist ownerhip. Contributing to this is the proceas of further specialisation and concentration of agricultural production on the basia of inter-farm cooperation and agro-industrial integration.

The convergence of the working clese and the intelligenteis, and of the peasantry and the intelligentsia takes place on the basic of a wide use of the latest achievements of the revolution in science and technology in all the spheres of social production, by means of a gradual chliteration of differences between mental and physical lahour, of the further development of general and specialised education, ideological and political education and preparation of avery worker for creative work.

Established on an increasing scale are creative work teams comprising scientists, engineers and skilled workers with the purpose of joint development of production projects, such as designing new machines and developing new technological processes, equipment, rational utilisation of farmlands, drafting sachitectural layouts of villages, rational use of natural resources, etc.

The obliteration of differences between workers and pesseata on the one hand, and the intelligentais, on the other, also results from the fact that creative activities are playing an increasing role in the life and work of working people, with the number of workers making proposals to improve old production proceases and of inventors growing ateadily, with large-acale development of on-the-job training by experienced workers who share their experience with young, with the development of folk art, etc.

The social structure of the accialist acciety is therefore s dynamic and continuously developing totality of classes, social strata and groups, communities of s socialist

were noticed fron time immemorial. Meeting a representative of n different culture, which was nore or less strangs, man innediately took note of the "alienness" of the other. First and foremest, they could not communicate because they spoke different languages.

If man got s more intimists knowledge of another human group than his own, he realised that the customs, beliefs, nethods of land cultivation, stc., in that group were different from his own, wore "strange".

Many centuries passed before people formulated that problon in more theoretical terms: what were basic differences between human groups? The enswer to this question was supplied by ethnography, a science studying the cultures of different hunan groups at different stages of their development. Marxiat-Leninist ethnography has evolved the concept of ethnos, which makes it possible to draw general conclusions from the specific and ininitable characteristics of the cultures of diffsrent human groups. Ethnos, or the ethnic group, is a human group having a specific culture in the broad eense of the word. Ethnic groups are characterised by such stable, distinctive and outwardly manifest components of culture as the language, customs, rites, rules of conduct, folk pictoriel oral artistic tradition and religious beliefs. One characteristic and essential feature of ethnic groups is their being distinctive from one another. Thie distinctneas, naturally, presupposea awarenesa of their being different from other ethnic groups (ethnic self-awareness), which is manifest, in particular, in the self-given names (ethnonyma) of thnic groupa.

In this interpretation ethnos denotes certain cultural aspects of such human groups as the people, the tribe, the nationality, and the nation, and is largely equivalent to these concepts while having a broader meaning. It is thus possible to identify different ethnoses, such as the French, Arabic, Chinese, Russian, etc.

However, it would have been quite insufficient and methodologically wrong from the point of view of historical materialism to limit ourselves to this characteristic of the peoples and nations. Obviously, the ethnic group of the eventually lad to the emergence of csttle-braeding snd crop farming, after which crafts gradually came into heing.

The accumulation of social experience resulted in the gradual davalopment of the productive forces, which liherated nan to an aver greater dagree from the unconditional eway of neture. Men was developing culture as the antithesia of nature.

There are different definitions of culturs and many questions related to culture are considered in this textbook. What is of interest of us in this case is that culture and nature being opposites, coexist in a dislectical unity. An srtifact, for instance, is what cannot he found in nature. But at the same time its material basis can only he found in the anvironment. For instance, the spear with the fire-hardened point is an srtifact characterising primitive society at that spear, however, was undoubtedly a streight branch of a tree growing in the sree where primitive hunters lived.

We have thus approached a major question, namely, the dapandence of the charactaristics of human culture at the early atagea of its development on the anvironment. Obviously, irrigation farming could have emerged and did emerga in the alluvial plaina of mejor rivers in the Old and the Naw World. It could not have sppeared in srid zones, where mobils forms of economic activity, such sa nomadic csttla-hranding and hunting either on foot or with the use of riding animals, were practised. At the asme time there existed countless minor differences even under conditions of the same type of economy, auch ea different crops, donesticated animals, materiale used to build dwellings and implements, etc. For this reason there were found different characteristics of materiel culture, such as methods of land cultivation and tools used for this purpose, smong verious human groups. Naturally, componente of spiritual culture -- myths, legends, customs, etc., -- differed es well. In this way diverse cultural complexes of different human groups have evolved.

Concept of Ethnic Community

Differences between cultures of human groups, both those living nearby and those seperated by vast distances,

charactorised by a nore or less equal distribution of the necessities of life. Primitive production, the absence of aurplua product, etc., all reculted in the relative colfieolation of the tribe and made ite economic sctivity "nstural". There were elements of social government in developed primitive society, such se the council of olders. According to Engels, the tribe is characterised by a certain commonality of its dialoct (language), common religious beliefs (mythology), rites and distinctive name. 1 Tribal self-ewarenese was manifest in the belief that members of the tribe were blood relativee.

As ecciety became divided into classes, a new type of ethno-social group, the <u>nationolity</u>, emerged in pre-cspitslist exploiting (slave-owning and feudal) formetions. Ethnosocial processes in pre-capitalist formetions divided into clseees were very complex. As the total strength of the population grew in a certein region, tribal ethno-social groupe merged into larger groups, nationalities. Engele wrote: "The increesed density of the population necessiteted closer union internally and externally. Everywhere the federation of kindred tribes become s necessity, and econ efter, their emalgamation..."2 Mees-scale migratione, in which come ethnosocial groups were conquered by others and subsequently merged with them to one extent or another, also influenced the process of the formstion of nationelities. Early class etates, which often emerged on the basis of groupe of related tribee, were an importent fector in the eeteblishment of aetioaslities ee well. 3 However, it was the development of the productive forcee and the eppearance of eurplus product that played the decieive role in the emergence of nationalities as lerger communities with more complex organisation in comperison with tribee. The eppearance of eurplus product, ee well es the division of lebour and the emergence of clesses, were respon-

^{1.} See F. Engele, The Origin of the Femily, Private Property and the Stete, Progrees Publishere, Moscow, 1972, pp.90-94, 103-105,124-126,140-148.

^{3.} See Marx/Engele, Werke, Dietz Verlag, Berlin, 1962, p.396.

French under feudalism was different from what it is today. The Arebs of the 6th century, for instance, differ from the present-day Araba, etc. The vary culture of athnic groups, including all the components centioned above -- i.s., the basis which makes them distinctively different -- are subject to change. How, why, and in what way does this change occur? In anewering these questione, ws should bear in mind that every ethnic group can emerge and exist only through meeting its material and spiritual neads, for which purposa it alters and transforms the currounding world. In the course of this process definite social (economic) relations evolve within the ethnic group. For this resson it is always an ethno-eccial group, i.e., s group characterised by an historically definite type of culture and economic structure, ss s result of which social (economic) reletions depending on the development lavel of the productivs forces taks shaps within the ethno-social group. In this wey every ethnic group ss it develops can be viswed as an historical succession of ethno-social groups linked by continuity.

It is socio-economic formations that provide the basis for clessifying ethno-social groups. The besic types of the letter are ethno-social groups with printitive-communel, slave-owning, feudal, espitalist and eocialist relations. That is why we discuss in terms of historical materialism the Arabs of the period of the decline of printitive-communel relations (roughly the 6th century A.D.), the Arabs of the period of feudalism, the Arabe of the period of colonial dependence and the present-dey Arabs, meaning successive ethno-social groups in different periods of history or, which is the same, an ethno-social group in its historical development.

Let us characterise different hietorical types of ethnosocial groups, bearing in mind that, first, we will concentrate on the commonality of cultural and economic indicators and that, second, the economic factors, when all is seid and done, play the decisive role in the establishment of hietorical types of ethno-social communities.

The basic ethno-social group under the primitive-communal system was the <u>tribe</u>. Its economic basis was the communal ownership of the primitive means of production and it was

large goographic areas were populated by nationalities apeaking close or similar languages (dislocts). That expansion had been unnaceasary and even impossible under conditions of found production and exchange. As for capitalism, it destroyed necessary communal, guild and other similar relations, gradually replacing them with relations of the capitalist narket.

In this way a nation was developing in a certain area, the size of which was ultinately determined by the needs of the expanded capitalist narket; it was characterised by a common language, by relatively common accio-paychological characteristica ("psychological make-up") and, what is most important, by integrated economic activity.

It should be explained here that by the commonality of language hiatorical materialism neamo not a single and only language being spokon by representatives of one capitalist nation or another but the existence of a language of communiestion and nutual understanding (as a rule, the official languags), which is spoken virtually by all the people living in the territory of a nation-state. This does not rule out the sxistence of asveral dialects of the sans language (e.g., in France or Spsin) or even several languages (e.g., in Switzerland). Furthermore, there can bo in the territory of a nation-stats "enclaves" inhabited by those ethno-social groups which by virtus of cartain historical circumstances have not been sntirely assimilated into a capitalist nation. Mors often than not, they are those territories and sthnic groups which have been included in a nation-state comparatively late or those which live in relative economic isolation (for instancs, in the mountains). Under these conditions a capitalist nation may include "islets" of surviving or rudimentary sthno-social groups.

At the same time it would be strongoue to view a capitalist nation as something homogeneous, consisting of identical and unified components. The capitalist netion is intrincial and unified components, and this disunity makes itsically "torn apart", disunited, and this disunity makes itsically felt first and foremost in the sxistence of antagonisself felt first and foremost in the sxistence of antagonistic classes. This fect was noted by Lenin in a ssemingly

eible for the fect that relations of barter and trade batween different ethno-social groups wera bacoming more ragular and extensive, on the one hand, and that heatility, provoked by a desire to seize the materialisad surplua product, trads routee, labour (slaves), etc., could and sometimes did flare up between them, on the other.

The netionality of the slave-owning period is characterised by a common lenguage, a dominant culture, and the esteblishment of political contacts instead of marital and blood relatione between members of that ethno-social community. This ethno-social group is intrinsicelly hatsrogenaoua, which is manifest in the fact that other ethno-social groups or their representatives (e.g., ethnically different alaves) exiat within it without being wholly assimilated. But the mein factor is that this group consists of representatives of different, entagonistic cleeces. The nationality of the aleveowning period, however, also was characterised by instability, by a tendency for disintegration, with another nationality being formed from its "fragments".

The nationality of the period of feudaliam, though retaining the characteristics described above, was more atable. That stability was ensured, in particular, by the appearance in saveral parts of the world of centralised states with nore or less uniform legislation and with one language being used for communication of every type within such a state. The main role here wes played by the formation of far stabler economic complexes with the eociel division of labour within them (town — countryeids, crafts — ferning, etc.).

It was at that time, however, that <u>capitalist</u> natione began to energe. The formation of capitalist nations we not a simple process of the numerical growth of a netionality which, historically, was the forerunner of the nation in question. Rationality relationships cannot be viewed as the inmediate extension and generalisetion of preceding relationships.

The cepitalist nation developed on the besie of the existing communities as a consequence of the expansion of the market. That expansion wee called for by the very neture of production and exchange under cepitalies, when comperstively

political, cultural and religious inequality. If a certain people has not reached a definite lovel of the development of the productive forces and, accordingly, a definite high lovel of political awareness, this national-class apprecian can be interpreted only as othnic, religious, cultural, etc.

This situation gives rise to class-ethnic (class-notional) liberation movements alongaide class liberation movemento: from rebollion of aloves in Ancient Rome to national liberation movements in Asio, Africa and Latin America and eeparatist movemento in Europe.

There are a number of problems which are referred to as the notionality question, i.e., the combination of close and ethnic (national) oppression in different forme, and, accordingly, a atruggle ogainst that oppression.

Notions and notion-states were formed during the rise of cepitalism. At that time the nationality question predominantly confined to the boundaries of one state. National dominantly wore anti-foundal from the point of view of their movements were anti-foundal from the point of view of their character and role and constituted a condition for the establishment of copitalism.

During the early poriod of imperialism the world wes carved out between well-established bourgeois nations and colonial oppression set in. The bourgeoisie of the dominant nation oppressed the peoples of the colonies and dependent countries, while the latter rose in struggle for their independence. The nationality question here expressed thereonedict between the revolutionary, liberatory and democratic movement of the working class, the peasantry, the working intelligentsia, a part of the national bourgeoisie and other progressive forces, on the one hand, and the imperialiat bourgeoisie, on the other. The process of national concolidation in Asian, African and Letin American countries began in the course of powerful anti-imperialiet movements.

The present epoch, the epoch of transition from capitsliam to socialiam, is also a period of the disintsgration of the colonial system and the national consolidation of the peoplee which have won political independence. This process is no longer being influenced to a vast extent by the natite no longer being influenced to a vast extent by the onal-colonial question; it is now being influenced by the paradoxicel form: "There are two nations in every modarn netion..."

Ethno-Sociel Contradictione Any historical type of an othno-social group in exploiting sociaty (the tribe in the disintegrated primitive-

communal system, the sleve-owning nationality, the feudal nationality or the capitalist nation) is a dialectical unity of antegonistic classes set in opposition to each other, e.g., the slaves and the slave-owners, the feudal lords and the sarfe, the capitaliste and the proletarians. In addition, clees antegonisme ere overlaid by antagonisms of a different kind, ethno-social or ethno-cless, appearing in the course and es a result of the economic expansion of ethnosocial groupe. This point is graphically samplified by the alawa-owning eyeten, in which divisions along cless linas lergely coincided with divisions along ethnic linas. For instance, slavee and eleve-owners, reprassnting different classes, as a rule, belonged to different ethnic groups.

The onset of feudalism was marked by a large number of wars between different small and large state-political formations and different ethno-social groups. Those conflicts had primarily economic ressons, such as a desire to eeize fertila lands and trade routes, to levy taxes on some area, etc. Their driving forces were the economic interests of the dominant, exploiting classes.

As e consequence, closs oppression and inequality were compounded by ethnic oppression and inequality, or, in other words, divisions of society along class lines and its ethnic divisions crise-crossed in one form or another, or the class divisions of two socio-ethnic organisms intermingled. History shounds in instances of such crise-crossing or intermingling: for instance, the Ottoman Empire and the British Empire. We come here ecross the phenomenon of netional-cless oppression and inequality. This oppression and inequality is primarily economical, but it also can take different forms, e.g.,

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 20, p. 32.

and its party that are holding the most consistent and firm positions on the netionality question. The notionality question can only be received in full if cleas antegonisms -- both in the opprossing and the opprossed socio-ethnic groups and also in relations botwson them - are resolved. This solution to the nationality question is only made possible by socialist revolution, which octoblished genuins equality between all numbers of occiety regordless of their notional (ethnic) origin.

National Contradictions in Developed Copitaliot Countries

It is s grophic illustration of the unresolved notionality question under conditions of copitalism that during the sscond half of the 20th cen-

tury Europe wes (and remains to s large extent) a scene of nationalistic or rogional separatist movemento. This fact may Seem strangs because the European capitalist nations are considered wall cotablished. But the actual situation is somewhat different. In France, for instance, there are separatist tendencies in Brittany, Alsacs and on Corsica.

Brittony has always been the most backward srss of France. This backwardness graw even worse at the end of the 19th century, when industry and modern ogriculture were making particularly ropid progress in other parts of the country. Economic backwardness was compounded by the centralised administration's neglect for the original culture and language of the local population, most of whom speak one of the Celtic dialects. There are organisations in Brittany which are pressing for extensive autonomy, while extremists want independence for that part of France.

Alsace is another region of France with marked separatist tendencies. The National Dignity Movement there advocates regional autonomy and equality of languages (the teaching a regional autonomy and equality of languages) ing of a German dielect in schools). There also is an extremist Pan-Germanic movement.

Similar processes are taking plece on Corsica.

Other European cepitalist countries are not free from conflicts on national grounds either. There are separatist movements on the Basques in Spain and France, the Celts in

465 30-855

and its party that ere holding the most consistent and firm positions on the nationality question. The astionality question can only be resolved in full if class antagonisms -- both in the oppressing and the opprassed socio-othnic groups and also in relationa between then - are resolved. This solution to the nationality question is only made possible by socialiat revolution, which ostablishes genuine equality between all members of society regardless of their national (ethnic) origin.

Nstional Contradictions in Developed Capitalist Countries

It is a graphic illustration of the unresolved nationality question under conditions of capitalism that during the second half of the 20th cen-

tury Europa was (nnd remains to a large extent) s scene of nationalistic or regional separatist movements. This fact may Seem strange because the European capitalist nations are considered well established. But the actual situation is somethat different. In France, for instance, there are separatist tendencies in Brittany, Alsacs and on Corsics.

Brittsny has always been the most backward area of France. This backwardness grew oven worse at the end of the 19th century, when industry and modarn agriculture were making Particularly rapid progress in other parts of the country. Economic backwardness was compounded by the centralised admimistration's neglect for the original culture and language of the local population, most of whom speak one of the Celtic dialects. There are organisations in Brittany which are preseing for extensive autonomy, while extremists want independence for that part of France.

Alaace is another region of France with marked eeparatiet tendencies. The National Dignity Movement there advocatee regional autonomy and equality of languages (the teaching and equality of languages) ing of a German dialect in achools). There also is an extremist Pan-Germanic movement.

Similar processes are taking place on Coreica.

Other European capitalist countries are not free from conflicts on national grounds either. There are separatist movements on the Essques in Spsin and France, the Celts in donestic question of the self-determination of independent states which are governed by the astional-democratic and other unwressive forces.

At the same time nationality relations are characterised by a number of new features at the present stage.

First and foremost, the imperialist bourgeoisis uses different forms of neo-colonialien instead of overt colonial oppression. Monopoly capital, employing the machaniem of transmational monopolice and corporations, in stepping np the exploitation of both the developing countries involved in the world capitalist economy and those countries which have reached the medium level of development. The ecientific and technological revolution is exerting a contradictory effect on the character of nationality relations. It is provoking strong integration processes in the economy, technology, science and culture, involving whole nations and peoples in the economic and political affsire of world capitslisa. However, as a result of the antegonistic nature of csnitalism and the selfish interests of monopolies, this "involvement" is coercive, provoking in the peoples a desire for independence and struggla against any national oppression.

The nationality question is very acute in the countries of the non-socialist world. The national, race, cultural, linguistic or religious differences and frictions in them are intertwined, as a rule, with serious social and class problems affecting the vital interests of individual ethnic groups and peoples.

Every class participating in the national movement pursues its own class interests. The national bourgeoisis, by virtue of its sabiguous nature, is progressive pracisely to the extent to which it is interested in the accomplishment of the besic tasks of the anti-imperialist and anti-feudal revolution. However, as these tasks are scoomplished, the national bourgeoisis loses its revolutionary potential and seeks compresse with the imperialist bourgeoisis and donestic reaction. The objective interests of the working class and the pessantry and all the other working people allied with them decand the complete eradication of every form of oppression, including national oppression. It is the working class

consciouancea, etc. One more factor is the development of netional unity or consolidation of it, because economic growth calls for the integrated community of a notion-atote. However, economic activity should be integrated as well for the social community to emerge. We face a sort of vicious circle have.

This situation is a consequence of the sustained colonial ruls of the imperialist powers, which kept many liberated countries in economic backwardness. At the some time colonial territories either bringing together representatives of different athnic groups or sven races, or dividing representatives of othnic communities by borders of different colonial possecoions, appearsd os a result of the classical colonialist policy of "divide and rule" and successive conquests, divisions and radivisions of the world. Both economic backwardness and those borders were subsequently inherited by nowly-free countries.

The disintegration of the colonial system of imperialiam end the formation of soversign states have given rise to
the political self-amereness of the liberated peoples and
at the same time contributed to their ethnic consolidation.
However, this process is rother contradictory and has run
into numerous difficulties, particularly in Tropical Africa.
It is being held back first and foremost by the motley legacy of colonialism, which in its day made every effort to
sow discord among ethnic groupe on the continent.

Cerving end recerving the msp of Africa, marking "French" and "Belgian" Congo, "British" and "French" Togo, etc., and following ite "divide and rule" principle, imperialism was cutting "on the rew", concerning iteelf leest of all with the preservation of the integrity of ethnic groups. As a consequence, historical ethnic contacts were disrupted and ethnic borders redrawn. Many netionalities became ertificially disumited, "cut epert" by etate bordere, established by the imperialists for their own convenience, as a rule, along perallele or meridiens.

The netionalities epecking the same language or its dislects and historically inter-related have found themselves upon liberation in different states with different social systems, socio-scomomic and foreign-policy orientsti-

30* 467

Britain, conflicts between the Flezings and the Walloons in Belgium, etc. Northern Ireland represents a most graphic exemple of an ethnic (ethno-religious) conflict. In the Americes, for instance, in the USA, we find a similar problem, aggraveted by recial differences.

Conflicts on ethnic (national) grounds in developed cepitalist countries have different causes. As a rule, to understand them properly it is necessary to make an historical analysis, complemented by an analysis of the presentday socio-economic situation in a given country. In many cases we come across common factors of such conflicts, such as the economic beckwerdness of individual arees, the uneven distribution of the national product between regions, overt or covort discrimination in employment and pay (on religious, language, race or some other grounds), and discrimination in education and culture. Examining such conflicts, wa ehould also hear in mind that in many ceses they are ertificially fomented by certain groups of the bourgecieie. This prectice is exemplified by attempts to bleme immigrant workers for unemployment and declining real wegee in developed capitalist countries. That is why, here es in other ceees, the epproach to conflicts on ethnic (netional) grounds should be a cless epproach, based on prolatarian internationalism.

Nationality Problema in Countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America At present independent countries in <u>Asie and Africe</u> ere facing a range of problems related to their socio-economic progress and the deve-

lopment of their national atatehood. Of courae, each of those countries is tackling its own specific problems, but some aspects that are common to all of them can be identified. The first of them is the choice of a road of development, or social orientation. The practice of the past few decades shows that the choice of the road of development is not an instantaneous set but a long, arduous and contradictory process depending on many internal and external political factors, the degree of class differentiation and polarization, the existence of the working class and its advanced detectment, communist and workers' parties, and the level of their

to oradicate tribalism¹ and parochialism, to resolve correctly the nationality question under conditions of countries inhabited by a nultitude of nationalities and tribes, speeking many languages and dialocts only through deep-going decoratic and anti-imperialist referms, bringing to its conclusion the struggle against neo-colonisliam and imperialism.

Relations between nationalities and the nationality question in Arab countries also exemplify the complexity of this problem from the point of view of theory and its scuteness in practice.

Countries inhabited by the Arabs, representing quite s few socio-ethnic groups, spread over a vast eree from the Peroian Gulf to the Atlantic. They are united to a certain extont by their common longuage with dialecte and by many common cultural features. These countries are charecterised by different economic structures, not only in the Arsb region as a whole but also in every individual country. There are countries in which capitalist relations have made considereble progress and countries which have set out to build socislism, feudal and even primitive-communal reletions have not yot been overcome in many of them. In this sense much importance is attached, for instance, to the tribal problem, found, although to different extents, virtually in all the Arsb countries. The tribal problem is a totality of political, economic, eccio-psychological, cultural, domeetic, ideological and other problems determined by the feudaltribal organiestion of society at certain steges of its development. The tribal eystem still remains s serious disintegreting fector in the life of many Areb countries. The level of national celf-ewereness in the tribal organisation is very low, which enhances the influence of perticuleriet tendenciee on the processes taking place in those countries.

^{1.} Tribaliem ie en ideology of tribal celf-icoletion, "petrioticm" and patrierchaliem, an ideology deifying the tribal crietrocrecy, precumebly a link between the rank-end-file members of a clan or tribe and the epirits of their ancestore, an ideology of blind obedience to the power and authority of the "chief" and his retinue and respect for them as the petrons and mentore of a clan or tribe.

ona, ideologiea and religiona. This cannot holp hindering thoir rapprochement and often even contributes to their disunity, as, for instance, in cases when attempts to "undo" an distorical injustice result in conflicts between stetes over national frontiers that took shaps before liberation.

The ethnic composition of the population of former colonies is quite diverse despite their comparatively small territories. This is particularly true of the energent West African atatea. For instance, today's Nigeria is inhabited by at least 235 ethnic groups speaking different languages and dialecta, Ghana by about 60, Liberia by some 30, Mali by about 30 and Benin by more than ten tribes. Since the official languagea are the languagea of former metropolitan states (English or French), which often are not spoken by the majority of the population, who are mostly illiterate, particularly in the countryside, the processes of ethnic consolidation and the achievement of national unity in those countries are hampered to a large extent. Imperialiem makes capital out of the ethnic and linguiatio diversity of emergent African states, keeping inter-tribal differences smoldering and hamparing tha proceae of tha emergence of nations and the growth of national self-awareneaa, which it regards as a threet to its neocolonialiat interesta. In ao doing, it makes use of a diversity of methoda, to the point of the forcible division of netionalities and tribes which have been treditionally living aide by aide.

For inatance, the purposes of ethnic divisiveness are pursued today by the policy of bantustanisation, applied by the raciat authorites of South Africa to the indigenous population of the unleafully occupied Namibia. Bantustanisation is intended to prevent the formation of a single Namibian nation, which is regarded by the white colonislists and the tribal aristocracy as a threat to the regime of occupation securing their privileges. Colonielian remains loyal to its traditional "divide and rule" policy here es well.

It is possible to overcome tribal disunity and strife,

The problems of national consolidation are particularly ocute because social differentiation in Latin American countries coincided with divisions into athnic groups. As a result of the Spanish conquect, the indigeneue population of the continent, the Indiana, found themselvee at the botton rung of the social ladder, with the crossbreeds in the middle and the Greelee, considered the immediate descendante of the European population, constituting the upper etrets of seciety. The indigeneus population were mercileesly exploited and virtually plundered.

Today, there is a huge gap between the levele of the secie-econemic, political, cultural and ideological development of different ethnic groupa.

Relatione Between Nationalities under Socialiam

An examination of national (ethno-eccial) problems in different perts of the nonsocialist world shows that

clseo and national oppression are inter-related and national oppression can only be eradicated if claes inequality and oppression are eliminated.

The socialist revolution in Rueeia and the Soviet experience of remsking an exploiting acciety into a socialist one supplied the first ever example of the genuine solution of the nationality question. The Soviet experience, complemented by the practice of national transformations in other socialist countries, can be viewed as a qualitatively new etage in the development and solution of the nationality question, a etege which has a great historical significance.

The first decree of Soviet government - the Decree on Peace - legally embodied the principle of the eelf-determination of natione to the point of secession, the sovereign right of every nation to decide on the democratic principles and forms of state organisation. A series of legal acts established the principle of equality of big and small nations, disowned every cleim of former Ruesian imperialism to dominance over non-Russian nations and nationalities, and repealed national end social estate privileges. Those documents included the Declaration of the Rights of the Peoples

Furthermore, other ethnic grouph live eide by side with the Arabe in Arab countries, in perticular, Kurds in Iraq, Syrie and Lebanon, Copts in Egypt, Armenians in Syrie and Lebenon, Aceyriana, Tajiks and Turkmen in Ireq, etc. Throughout the period of their rule the colonialists were etriving to perpetuate the eocio-athnic differences between verious groupe of the population of the Arab countries and to turn those differences into antagoniams.

That ie why the tacke of national and cocicl emancipation, of recolving both national (ethno-cocial) and cocio-conomic, political and cultural probleme cimulteneouely, are intertwined in every complex and cometimes controvereial way in the Areb countries. It is necessary to combine etruggle for national liberation with etruggle egeinet any form of social reletions of exploitation. That is why much importance is ettached to the unity of all the democratic end anti-imperialist forces in the Areb region and to an even greeter involvement of the Areb national liberation movement in the world revolutionary process.

The eetabliehment of bourgeois nations has already completed or ie nearing completion in most countries of <u>Latin America</u>. However, that process was to a great extent disfigured and held back by colonial rule, by the dominance of foreign capital and large landownerehip. Prior to the Spanioh conquest the main forms of eocial community in Latin America were the clan and the tribe. The disintegration of eretwhile clan and tribal relationshipe after the Spanieh conquest was followed by the setablishment of territorial-production links, which provided the basic for the emergence of new netionalities in the colonial period, unstable at first. However, the percovering and consistent struggle of the indigenous population against the colonialiate consolidated the incipient netionalities and prepared the ground for the subsequent emergence of nation-etates.

The ware of independence waged in 1810-1826, which were akin to bourgeoie revolutione, were the cruciel period for the fornation of natione in most of the former Spanish and Portugueae colonice. However, the procees of the concelidation of nations was making different progresse in different countries.

eradicated in the course of socialist cooperation and newly implemented specialisation of the economies of all republice and autonomous regions.

The establishment of an integral material and technical base of socialism in the Union Republics does not mean, of course, that their development is stsndardised by decree. It is common knowledge that sone of the socialist nations emerged from the old, long-established ones, while others formed through the consolidation of nationalities and tribes which hed bypassed capitalism or the phase of developed capitalism. There are also accialist nationalities which consolidated from among numerically snall tribes and ethnical groups.

It is not only the elimination of antagonistic classes within socio-ethnic communities under socielism but also the obliteration of the socio-economic and class causes of their hostility towards other nations that constitute a major hostility towards other nations that constitute a major result of the transformation of these communities. The salvation or rebirth of a socio-ethnic community as a national entity, its transformation along socialist lines, its rapid aconomic and cultural progress and the realisation of the physical and spiritual potentialities of the nationa to the physical and spiritual potentialities of the nationa to the summer possible axtent constitute a tendency for the flouri-shing of netions. This progressive tendency characterises mostly the direction and result of internal national development under socialism.

The tendency for the rapprochement of netions, also progressive, demonstrates the relationship of a given socio-ethnic community with other communities, both within the franework of a multi-national socialist state and with regard to other socialist nations. This tendency stems from the innermost nature of socialism, which facilitates and greatly accelerantes the rapprochement of nations and their merger in the longer term. Of course, it will be a long historical processe.

A new hietorical community of people, the Soviet people, ie an embodiment of the Leminist theory of the repprochasent of nations in the course of building socialism, as the naterials of the CPSU Congresses demonstrate. It is necessary to explain the meening of this comperatively new concept,

of Russia, the Decrees on the Self-Detormination of the Ukrsine, Finland, Polsnd and Armania and other historical documenta the ideas of which were retained and given stetutory force by the present-day Constitution of the USSR and the constitutions of the Union Republics.

The question of the national stata organisation of non-Rusaian peoples pleya a special role in the Leninist nationalities policy. The line for the estshlishment of sovereign national republics was substantiated and translated into practice in acute ideological and political struggle. At the same time Lenin directly linked the prospects of the world's first socialist country and the historic destinies of smaller nations to the military-political and oconomic shliance of Soviet republico. This alliance is e federation of equal socialist states based on voluntary association, fraternal cooperation and mutual assistance.

Naturally, the historical social experiment of the rajuvenation and rebirth of nations and their transformation along socialist lines is a long and arduous process. The situation was compounded by the fact that the numerous peoples of old Russia were st different lavela of socio-economic devslopment, some of them living under the patriarchal-clan system and others under capitalism.

In this situation it took the effective and sustained assistance of the Russian proletariat to the hackward peoples of the Soviet Union to ensure their economic and cultural progrees. The initial steps on that road were the mass-scale eradication of illiteracy, equality saong women, their involvement in active social life, the development of transport, industry and civil engineering and the training of skilled labour, office workers and intellectuals from among the national population. The alliance of formerly backward peoples with the more developed nations in a single socialist state proved historically successful. It enabled every Union Republic to develop modern industry and mechanised sgriculture and to train national personnel on a large scale.

All this made it possible to overcome actual inequality by evening out the economic and cultural development levels of all the nations. The accial inequality of nations was combination of international and national interasts, the political consolidation of the peoples and the socialist integration of their oconomic life. They have a common language of inter-netional communication between nations, which contributss to the cross-fertilisation of sdvancing socialist culturss, of the astions and nationalities of the Soviet Union.

The Soviet peoplo constitute a historically new form of the community of people. It took shaps within the tarritorial boundaries of the Soviet Union on the basis of socialist relations of production, the socialist way of life, and the idsological, political, cultural, moral and psychological unity of the classes, social groups, nations, nationalities and national minorities comprising it. This form of community represents an important historical pariod in tha process of the rapprochement of nations and the overcoming

of class differences. From the point of view of theory, the Soviet people represent a transitory historical form of the social community of people. Obviously, the material and spiritual life of mankind is internationalised through the rapprochement and merger of nations and will give rise to new forms of the Bocio-historical community of people in communist Bocisty in the future.

A scholarly analysis of the practice of socialist transformations makes it possible to draw several important conclusions.

Firet, unlike cepitalien, developed socialien hae already proven that it is possible in principle to resolve the nationality question se the political problem of the eradicstion of national oppression and discrimination, the establishment of equality in rights and the achievement of de fecto equality between socialiet nations, netionalities and national minoritiee.

Second, the experience accumulated in the course of building accialien corroboratee the dependence, discovered theoretically, of tendencies for the flourishing and repprochement of nations on the revolutionary transformation of ecciety along eccialiet linee.

Third, the new form of the socio-hietorical community

particularly in view of the fact that it also ie felsified hy hourgeois scholars. Among these falsifications are the notorious lies about "forcible Russification", about tho USSR as a "unitary Russian state", ahout "denationalisation" of Autononoue and Union Republics and even shout "Soviet colonialism".

It should he pointed out first and foromost that from the point of view of ecience the concept of <u>people</u> in societies divided into antagoniatic classes does not coincide with the concept of population and nation. The concept of population includes all the people living within definita national-state or ragional horders regardless of their class, national, occupational or religious characteriatics.

The theory of scientific socialism understands by the people the totality of classes, social groups and individuale determining by their social activity the progressive development of a country during a given historical period. In this sense the parseitic exploiting classes, social groups and strate, which objectively are opponents of social progress, constitute a force which is set in opposition to the people end are not included in that concept. Considering the Soviet people from this point of view, we reslise that the absence of antegonistic and exploiting classes makes it possible to include in it the entire population of the country.

The multinational Soviet people as a historically new community are characterised by social unity, which is a consaquence of the unity of their vital economic, political, national and idealogical interests. The citizens of the country of developed socialism are equal workers free from exploitation, and active bearers of socialist relations of society. In national terms the Soviet people constitute a state association of nations and antionalities formed on a socialist hasis. This predatarmines the unity and optimal

Sae <u>Constitution</u> (<u>Fundamental Law</u>) of the <u>Union of Soviet Socielist Republics</u>, Section III, Novosti Press Agency, Publishing Houss, Moscow, 1982.

ethnogrophy, anthropology and other sciences, has demonstrated that it is arroneoua and groundless to identify the nation with the race and laid bare the racctionary class-beard causes of such ottompts. It ahowed that, unlike the natios, which is a social category, the race is a biological category, and that racial characteristics are not at all related to the charactariatica of man os a accisl being nor can influence the development of society. The races were formed at the early stagos of human evolution, long before the emergence of ethnic communities. They took shape under the influence of certoin physical environmenta and under cosditions of the isolated development of primitive human groups. The adaptation of the human organism to physical conditions over a long period of time manifeated itself in certain morphological characteriatics of the organisms of representatives of different races, which came to the known as racial characteriatics. Racisl differences between the representatives of the three main races, the black, or Negroid, the white, or Europoid, and the yellow, or Mongoloid, are limited to purely external features, such as colour, the shope of the lips, nose, and skull, the hair, etc. and do not affect the mental espabilities of man, his sbility to work, his gifts, etc. Morphological differences between individual organs in people belonging to different racea are negligent as compared with those common features which unite them into the sisgle

Present-day acience has demonatrated that representatives of all the human races are connected by common origin and belong to the same human species. The unity of mankind as a species, against which racism is dead set, is confirmed by apecies, against which racism is dead act, is confirmed by condless possibilities for the mix-up of different human boundless possibilities for the six-up of the progeny of races (cross-breeding), by the ability of the progeny of inter-racisl marriages to produce off-spring (which is not found in the progeny of different species in the animal world), and their visibility and vitality in every respect.

Although racial differences are atable and hereditary, they are not eternal. In the course of their historical development races are intermixing, as a result of which not s single "pure" race exists today. In future, as the economic, of people, the Soviet people, hrought to life by the practice of the world's first country of doveloped socialism, illustrates the basic characteristics of the possibla models and steges of the historical process of the rapprochanant of nations. These models and atages, modified to varying degrees, are to be lived through by mankind se s whole.

Fourth and last, the peoples of the world have been supplied with sound arguments enabling them to make their choice. One way is to remain in the world of isolation and hostility among netions, in the world of national oppression and discrimination. The other is to choose the socialist alternative to all the types and forms of social and national slavery, to choose the world of fraternity, friendship, equality, mutual assistance smong all people regardless of their recial and netional origin.

Reactionery Essence of Racism

The concept of nation and thet of race are often mixed up. Moreover, bourgeois scholors deliberately tangle

up this question in order to substitute biologicalmostegories for social ones and thus to prove the eternal, suprahiatorical character of astions and to make social and cultural differences between them seem inaurmountable.

It is idealism that provides a common philocophical basis for bourgeois doctrinss misrepresenting the nature of e metional community. Hegel claimed in his day that natione and races constituted different steges of the celf-determination of the "absolute epirit" and that every stege of its development was represented in history by a different people presumably having the right to regerd other peoples es mere tools for the atteinment of ite historical goals. Precent-day bourgeois sociologiets (in particuler, ethno-psychologists, who believe that come ereame "eternal" and "immuteble" "national spirit", presumebly towering over ell cless difference and removing them, constitutes the basic characteristic of a nation) have not renounced the division of nationa into "cuperior" and "chosen", on the one hand, and "inferior" and "deranged", on the other.

Marxiet ecciclogy, which relies on the findinge of

ethnography, anthropology and other eciences, hae demonetrated that it is srroneous and groundleee to identify the nation with the race and laid bara tha reactionary class-based causee of euch attsmpts. It showed that, unlike the nation, which is a social estegory, the racs is a biological category, and that racial characteristics are not at all related to the characteristics of man se a social being nor can influence the development of eociety. The races were formed at the early stages of human evolution, long before the emergence of ethnic communitiee. They took shaps under the influence of certain physical environmente and under conditions of the ieslated development of primitiva human groupe. The edaptation of the human organism to physical conditions over a long periad of time manifected iteelf in certain morphological characteristics of the organisms of representatives of different races, which cams to the known as racial characteristice. Escial differences between the representatives of ths thres main racee, the black, or Megroid, the white, or Europoid, and the yellow, or Mongoloid, are limited to purely external featuree, such as colour, the shape of the lips, noce, and skull, the hair, etc. and do not affact the mental capsbilities of man, his ability to work, his gifts, etc. Morphological differences between individual organs in psopls belonging to different racee are negligent as compared with thees common features which units them into the single human speciee.

Precent-day ecience has damanetrated that reprasentatives af all the human races are cannected by carmon origin and belang to the ease human species. The unity of mankind as a spacies, egainst which recien is dead est, is confirmed by boundless passibilitias far the mix-up of different human boundless passibilitias far the mix-up af different human boundless passibilitias far the six-up af different human fraces (cross-breeding), by the ability of the progany of inter-recial marriages to produce off-spring (which is not found in the progany of different species in the animal world), and their viebility and vitality in avary respect.

Although racial differences are stable and heraditary, they are not starnal. In the course of their historical development races are intermixing, as a result of which not e single "pure" race exists today. In future, as the economic, of people, the Soviet people, brought to life by the practice of the world's first country of devaloped socialism, illustrates the basic characteristics of the possible model and stages of the historical process of the repprochament of nations. These models and stages, modified to varying degrees, are to be lived through by mankind as a whole.

Fourth and last, the peoples of the world have bean supplied with sound arguments anabling them to make their choics. One way is to ransin in the world of isolation and hostility among nations, in the world of national oppression and discrimination. The other is to choose the socialist alternative to all the types and forms of social and national slavery, to choose the world of fraternity, friendship, equality, mutual assistance among all people regardless of their racial and national origin.

Resctionary Essence of Racism

The concept of nation and that of raca are often mixed up. Moraover, bourgaois scholare deliberately tangle

up this question in order to substitute biologicalmostegories for social ones and thue to prove the eternal, suprahistorical character of nations and to make social and cultural differences between them seem incurmountable.

It is idealism that provides a common philosophical besis for bourgeois doctrines misrapresenting the nature of a national community. Hegel claimed in his day that nations and races constituted different otages of the self-datarmination of the "absolute epirit" and that svary stage of its development was represented in history by a different people presumably having the right to regard other peoples as mere tools for the atteinment of its historical goals. Present-day bourgeois sociologists (in particular, ethno-psychologists, who believe that some sreame "sternal" and "immutable" "national epirit", presumably towering over all class differences and removing them, constitutes the basic characteristic of a nation) have not remounced the division of nations into "superior" and "chosen", on the one hand, and "inferior" and "daranged", on the other.

Marxist sociology, which relies on the findings of

Soviet Union and the other countries of the socialist community have eliminated the very breeding ground of the ideology and policy of racism.

Polarity of Nationaliam and Prolaterian Internationaliam

Socialism and capitalism display fundamentally different approaches and policies in their tackling of the nationality question. The comple-

xity and acutonosa of the nationality question in the nonaccialist world and deep-rooted projudices and over-senaitivity of people to relations between nationalities make special demands on the ideological, theoretical end political struggle in this field. Differences of opinion or often caused by the fact that different, sometimes opposite meanings are imputed to the concept of "nationalism".

The characteristics of nationalism that are cited most ofton include leve for one's othnic community, race, home country, language and historical culture, the loyalty of the individual to a nation-state, the natural am sponteneous solidarity of representstives of a given nation, concern for its prestige, etc. This interpreparation of nationalism, as we see, is based first and foremost on the phenomens of human mentality, culture and language. The substitution of subjective factors for the objective foundations of nationalism as a social phanomena and disregard for its class content are the common features of this interpretation of nationalism, which identifies the national and the netionalistic.

The socio-political besis of the transformation of the national into the nationalistic consists in relations of dominance of some nations and nationalities over others; in seconomic, social and cultural differences between socio-ethnic communities; in basic qualitative transformations of socio-ethnic communities (e.g., the formation or transformation of nations and nationalities); in the conservotive continuity of traditions, lifestyles, customs and religious cults of a given socio-ethnic community; in the concellidation and ourvival of nationalistic prejudices, mores and views, particularly in cases of social conflicts.

social, cultural and biological interaction of the peoples of different continents intensifies, the reces will be more and more "diluted" and "eroded", the eress occupied by them superimposed and transformed, and racial differences obliterated. The ultimate result of thin complex and sustained process will be a future communist society, homogeneous not only socially but, to a large extent, racially as well.

The history of the emergence of today's nations debunka the raciet concections about the fundamental social incompatibility of representatives of different races and the impospibility for them to live as one nation. Such mixed nations are exemplified by the North American, Italian and French nations, which imbibed and assimilated alongside whites quite a few blacks. Nations were formed in a number of Letin American countries through the merger of different recial groups, which actually dissolved in one another.

History has passed its verdict on colonialism and racism. The very defeat of colonialism in Africa is graphic proof of the sbaurdity of the racist theories of the so-called "dominant" and "slave" racea. The assertione that the human racee are unequal and that "superior" races are biologically pradetermined to dominate the "inferior" ones, which are presumably incapable of independent ecientific, technical, social and cultural creative activity, are fallacious scientifically, resctionary politically, and are debunked by the practice of the comprehensive rebirth of Africa, vigorously atruggling for a better future.

The genuine colution of racial problems is made possible not by the division end disunity of the peoples but by the concolidation of all the progressive forces regardless of the racial and national groups to which their representatives belong.

No good intentions or declerations with regard to overcoming racial oppression and hostility cen put an end to raciem as a social phenomenon while the source generating and constantly feeding it, the cyatem of capitalist exploitation, exiats. The genuine solution of racial problems presupposes the obliteration of the system of capitalist exploitstion. Having put an end to exploitation of man by man, the With the onset of imporialism the contents of nationalism chonge and it becomes a tool of neo-colonialist policy, oggressive and bollicose. Sometimes it grows into its extreme form, chouvinion, with dicregord for the interests of other notions becoming heatility and even betted for them. Chauvinism is usually occompanied by racism, presching intelerance of the peoples of other races under the pretext of their inferiority. Racism and chouvinism are the ideology of the more recetionery circles of the bourgeoisie or other social strate.

Imperialism has revived and given a new social meaning to commodification. The latter is a warped reflection of the objective tendency for scononic integration and the factor of nationality, often explaited in the interest of national culture and national sovereignty and upholds, directly or indirectly, the interests of supra-national manapolies.

Petty-bourgeois nationalism represents predominantly the interests of the so-called middle strate, their lifestyles, activity and world outlook. The dual social status of the middle strate explains their contradictory attitude to astional rolations and problems. On the one hand, the petty-bourgeoisis is succeptible to ideas of national community and often regards the protection of national interests es e possibility to overcome the inetability and duality of its position in society. On the other, it often easily falls for nationalistic hysteria and serves as a vehicle of the nationalism of the ruling bourgeoisie and its breeding ground.

Manifectations of nationaliam in the countries which ecquired national statehood comperatively recently differ in many respects from the forms of the manifestation of hourgeois netionaliam. In these countries certain netionaliat phenomena are traced back to their pre-colonial past, while others are born of the opposition of the oppreceed peoples to the colonial and neocolonial actions of imperialism. Some nationalist tendencies have emerged comparatively recently, in the course of social transformations with the establishment of independent atetos.

One of the forme produced by the national liberation movement is the conservative protective nationalian of the local

31-855 481

Nationalism, unlike national interests, consists in the exploitation of the factor of nationality by certain social groups, classes and political groupings to schiavo their apecial ends in domestic and foreign policies, to exacuflage the salf-interest of the dominant class with the interests of the nation as a whole, and to blur irreconcilable class contradictions within a nation. Estionalism in any form actually is the psychology, ideology, policy and social practice of the isolation of the mass of working people of one accio-ethnic or national community from that of another, and the setting of one in opposition to the other.

A characteristic of the forms of nationalism from class positions prapares the ground for its scholarly classification. The hietorical types of nationalism are characterised by a reflection of specific national (socio-athnic) and class relations in corresponding socio-economic formations.

Bourgaoia nationaliam as a historical type amergas with the consolidation of the aconomic and political positions of the bourgaoieie in its struggle against feudalism. It immediately menifests its two aspects, the first expressing the progressive tandencies of the smerging bourgaoisis and the ascond related to the exploitation of the factor of nationality by it to camouflage its class interests. As the bourgaoisis loses its historically progressive role, that latter aspect becomes the asin content of bourgaois nationalism. It should be noted that nationaliatic tandencies in the amergant states, which were former colonies, are rising in a different historical period and under different historical conditions and nationalism.

Present-day capitalism is characterised by the nationalism of the ruling bourgaoisic and by petty-bourgaois estionalism. The more widespread form of nationalis is great-power chauvinism, which aims to rationalise the dominance of bourgaois nations over national minorities within a given country, and also over dependent peoples. It is examplified by white chauvinism in such countries of state-monopoly capitalism as the United States and Britain towards national minorities, and in West Germany towards numerous immigrant workers.

sics. "1 What then is the individual as a subject of history? What is its nature and the basic laws governing its development?

The Concept of the Individual

Before answering these questions, it is necessary to give brief definitions of the bssic concepts of the "indivi-

dual", "man", "porson" and "individuality". While being generally coincident in scope, they differ in meaning.

The concept "individual" (from Latin "in" - negetion, and "divido" -divido) is used to denote individual representatives of the hugen race. The individual is a human being as a single and indivisible entity. The concept "cen" reflects the more general biological and social cherecteristics of https://docs.precime.. However, man is first and foremost a aocial being. The concept "individual" reflects precimely the totelity of social characteristics of man. The individual is e vehicle of social characteristics. Obviously, every human being is a unique and inimitable combination of these social characteristics plus the natural biological feetures of that particular person. The concept "individuality" reflects this inimitable singularity of a human being in the full entirety of its biological end social characteristics.

The principls of sctivity in accordance with which the individual is formed and develops only to the extent of the ective assimilation by him of culture es a subject of objective actions is the cornerstone of the Marxiat theory of the individual. There ere diverse forms of this activity, auch as cognitive, communicative, artistic creative, etc., but it is material production that plays the decisive role in the development of the individual and society. This is the basic tanet of historical materialism. "As individuals express their life, so they are. What they are, therefore, coincides with their production, both with what they produce and with how they produce. Hence what individuals are depands on the

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 4, p. 93.

oligerchy. This oligerchy is represented in different countries either by tribel srietocracy or by feudal lords, or by large landownere (latifundists), or by the comprodore bourgeoieie and officieldom of the former colonial odminiotretion. Another form of nationalion, fomented and incited by imperialiet monopolico in every woy, is tribalism, i.e., diecord and hoetility between tribes.

These countries elso festure different forms of religious nationalism (Buddhiet, Islamic, etc.), black or coloured raciem, different types of what is colled continental netionalism (Asian, African), concepts of national originality (Negritude), etc.

All these forms of nationalion are exploited by internetional monopolies and local oligarchies to concolidate their positions and to weaken the national liberation movemente. At the same time, obviously, they reflect in o warped way escial protest against colonialism, nao-colonialism, discrimination and inequality. That is why the Communists, weging thair ideological and political struggls, heve to decide the queetion of their attitude to nationalism as a political problam.

The spproach of the proleterist to netional relations from a class position is mesifest in the fect that it upholds significantly petrictien (se love of one's home country) and internsticualism, while rejecting netional narrow-mindedness. Purposeful, vigorous end effective struggle sgeinst bourgeois nationalistic conceptions is an important condition of the revolutionary education and cohesion of all the working people.

7. The Individual ead Society

The Marxist analysis of the dialectics of social development precupposes the formulation and solution of the
problem of the individual, because every human society,
whatever its form, is a product of the intersction of people,
and it ie man who ie the main element of any social system.

"History dose mothing." Marx and Engela wrote, "it 'poseesses
no immense wealth', it 'wages no battles'. It ie msn, real,
living man who does all thet, who posseeses and fighte....
History is nothing but the activity of msn pursuing his

subject of creative activity in culture end history, Marxism overcame the contempletive neture of old materialism. Man chenges himself only to the extent he transforms the world.

In this wey the interreletionship betwen the individual end eociety is effected not directly but is medieted through labour es the individual masters social reslity in his prectical activity.

Recognition of the decisive role of the sociel environment in the development of the individuel should not leed to the exeggeration of this influence and conceal certein netural and biological elements in its structure (such es sex. egs, health, temperament, inclinations, etc.). The existence of these properties considerebly complicates the socialisetion of the individual, which is not reduced to the atanderd involvement of human beings in the system of sociel relations but slways takes plece on the besis of individuel netural and biological conditions, and this should be reckoned with in drefting sociel progremmes and policies. For inetence, scceleration, i.e., the eccelerated psychological and physical asturing of present-day teenagers, givee s new dimension to the problem of their education and upbringing, while the gredual egeing of the world population, ie generating earioue demographic and economic problems.

Underectimation of national end biological factore leade to abstrect <u>ecciologica</u>, but overestimation of this role is no lees strongous, as it results in <u>biologica</u> in the conception of the individual.

There are diverse manifestetions of biologism. Fraudisniem, for instance, absolutions the role of biological
instincts in human behaviour. As for social factors, they
only play a negative role, suppressing biological instincte
into the subconscioue. Hence the conclusion that social
progress is determined by the struggle between two opposite
instincts, eros, the sexual instinct of life and salf-realisection, and tenatoe, the eggressive and destructive death
instinct. Another interpretation of biologien holds it that
all wars and conflicts spring from the "aggressive gones" in
sen and that were are bound to accompany the human race until
science restructures man's geostic apparatus. Leatly, one of

matarial conditions of their production."1

Man is judged not by his sppasrance but by his deeds. It is in his actions that men is formed and manifacts himself as the individual. Lenin pointed out that the direct participation of the mass of people in socio-political actions is an important condition of the fostering of political awareness in them and the formation of their ravolutionary qualities.

The interpretation of the individual as the subject of material activity of necessity leads to the racognition of its social essence. Men slways realises himself through a social being, the individual. Marxism views the accence of the individual as a result of social relations engandered by human activity. "... The essence of men is no abstraction inherent in each single individual. In its reality it is the ensemble of the social relations."

Such an approach spalt a genuine ravolution in the viaws on the individual because it offered an opportunity to study the subject scientifically. The individual ects hare not as a chance, singular phenomenon with its own assence but as a social phenomenon developing in accordance with nacessary social laws. On the other hand, sociaty is not a machanical summation of abstract individuals but an organic system of historically conditioned forms of the people's joint activity.

In his activity man is in numerous ways connected with his accial anvironment. That is why the social environment is a key source of men's devalopment as the individual. Prior to Marx, materialists also recognised that the "environment" affected the individual but they regerded the process as a primerily one-sided influence exercised by the "environment" of the individual, which acts as a passive object of that influence. In its teaching of the individual as an active

K. Marx, F. Engala, <u>Collected Works</u>, Vol. 5, pp. 31-32.
 Thid. n. 4

achisved through the complete "dissolution" of the individual in a human group bound by blood relationshipa. Even proper names at first denoted not so much specific individuals as their belonging to a certain clan. It was not the individual but the clan that was the real subject of activity. That underdeveloped character of individuality was an intrinsic need of the clan system; the productive forces were so primitive that any possibility of individual sctivity was ruled out. It was only in joint, collective work that man could sustain his existence and survive as a species, and it was therefore necessary strictly to regulate all the functions of the individuals making up the group. Hence, the conservation of primitive society and its strict observance of the customs and rituals sanctified by ancient mythology and religion.

The period of the disintegration of the clan system was characterised by the gradual differentiation of social life that dastroyed the immediate unity of the individual and socisty. That process of social stratification was at first limited and determined not so much by social as by biological causes: even chisfs initially were chosen according to natural and biological charactaristics, such as age and physical strength. The development of the isbour sctivity of people, gradually bringing about tha division of labour within society, played the decisive role in accelerating the rise of the individual. New diverse forms of sctivity called for greatsr indspendencs and self-reliance on the part of individual people. However, relations of private property, which hed emerged as a result of the division of labour, and class antagonisms born of it stood in the way of overcoming the total dependence of the individual on the social organism. In alays-owning society, the slave - the "talking tool" was bsholden to his owner, and in fsudal eccisty, the behaviour of individual people was wholly detargined and regulated by their belonging to specific social satates.

The riss of capitalism meant another step forward in the development of the individual, which consisted in the ultimate liberation of the person from mediaeval isoletioniem, te liberation and personal dependence on his or her mester. This

the more reactionary political projections of biolgiam is raciam attempting to justify discrimination on racial and national grounds by the doctrine of the "inferior biological type" of individual races.

As for Marxiam, it recognises a certain role of natural and biological factors in the development of the individual but believes that its character is shaped up by social circumstances, because no biological characteristic contributes to the formation of the personality. Marx noted: "...The essence of a 'particular personality' is not its beard, its blood, its abstract physical character, but its accisl quelity..."

The personality, being both the object and the subject of the historical process, is always developing in historically predetermined social circumstances, which depend in the final analysis on the system of ralations of production prevailing in a given society. Every socio-economic formation gives rise to its apecific historical types of personality, which represent its besic socio-class and economic features, e.g., the slave and the alsve-owner, the serf and the feudal lord, the proletarian and the capitalist, etc. Of course, each of these cleasic social types manifests itself in the concrete behaviour of individual people in an original, individualised way. At the same time an analysis of the basic historical stages in the development of the individual indentifies in thie process interesting peculiarities and recurrent law-governed phenomene.

The Individual in the Hietory of Society

Primitive acciety is characterised by the extremely underdeveloped nature of the self-expression of its memb-

ers ea individuals. Objectively thia is due to the quite rudinentary development of the productive forces, as a result of which different forms of humen activity were poorly differentiated. The unity of the individual and acciety was immediate and therefore underdeveloped and limited, and was

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 3, p. 21.

restricted by the rigid requirementa of definite social orders. Similar processes are taking place in socio-political relations. The bureaucratisation of the atate machinery, political parties, trade unions and other non-government organisations that is typical of present-day capitalism is a manisation of the depersonalisation of individuals and their becoming "functionaries" aerving the totalitarian bureaucratic system.

Wealth is the snd and man the meane - thie inhuman formula reflacts the easance of capitaliem. However, it is this circumstance that ie consistently disregarded by the proponents of the capitaliet way of life. Juggling with average economic indicatora, they claim that "mass consumer society" is superior to accialiem. However, it is not the economic but the humanietic critarion, based on the degree of development of hnman personality, that is the main yardstick. It is not a eocial ayatem characterised by industrial and technological abundance that is more progressive but a system using the entire abundance achieved by eociety for the benefit of its every member. Can we say, for instance, that the USA is a thriving country if it is the world'e leader in the crime rats and organised gangsterism, in drug addiction and suicidee, and if unemployment and inflation have long become inseparable from the American way of life? Is it a chance that 18 million (36 per cent) out of the 50 million mental patiente in today's world, according to World Health Organisation statistics, live in the United States? Marx wrote: "Ae compared to any other mode of production the capitelist mode of production is much more extravagant in respect of man and his live labour. It squanders not only his flesh end blood, his physical strength, but also his intellectual and nervoue energy... . The general development of individuals is only achieved at the expense of the graatest herm done to every particular individual in those historical epochs which are the prelude to the socialist organisation of human society. "1

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Worke, Vol. 47, p. 186 (in Russian).

progress was determined by the very character of capitaliet production, which normally nesded independent persons fracly selling their labour on the capitaliat merkst. The bourgeoieic "has heen the first to show what man's activity con hring about", and it is in the dayslopment and growing complexity of its forms that lie the source of the upsurge of the salf-swareness of the individual that was characteristic of smargent capitalist society. Having a need for independent, energetic, businesslike and enterprising people, that accioty made militant individualism the hasic principle of its development.

Howsver, the further development of cspitslism, accompanied by the exacerbstion of the antegoriem hetwesn social cheracter of labour and the private capitalist form of appropriation, gradually made the individual more and more economically dependent on the results of its activity thus generating a conflict between personal and social interests. Marx cheracterised that situation so alienation. Alienation is the process and result of the movement of private property in the course of which people themselves produce what is turned egainst them. The worker in cspitalist ecciety cannot dispose of the results of his work. They belong to the cepitalist and are used by him to enrich himself through exploitation.

Work dons by msn is taking particularly disfigured and antagonietic forms in present-day capitoliat society. "Men are affaced by their labour... Tins is averything, man is nothing; he is, at the most, time's carcase." The main goal of the process of capitaliat production is the sustained growth of the material mass of wealth, while the development of individual people is only considered a means to ensure this growth.

This dehumanising process is inexorably ambracing every form of human activity. Even in the sphere of intellectual production there emerge categories of workers whose activity is regulated by bureaucratic decrees "from above" and is

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 6, p. 487.

^{2.} K. Marx, F. Engsls, Collected Works, Vol. 6, p. 127.

Collectivism mosms mutual assistance and cooperatiom emong peoplo and harmonious interreletion of individual and social interests. The interests of the individual are subordinated to those of society, as this is required for the free development of everyone. "Only within the community has each individual the moans of cultivating his gifts in all directions; hence porsonal freedom becomes possible only within the community. "1

The preceding historical epochs produced only surrogate collectivism that restricted the freedom of the individual. In primitive society, freedom was curtailed by hard living conditions and by the meed to wegs an uphill struggls with nature. In society divided into classes this problem is compounded by people's dependence on social forces. In bourgeois society, the worker, who is formally free and independent, finds himself in economic bondegs to the owner of the means of production, to whom he has to sell his labour power. The transition from capitalism to socialism and communism means nothing but, es Engels put it, a "leep from the kingdom of nocessity to the kingdom of freedom". 2 Socialist society, which emancipates the individual from exploitation, creates conditions for the genuine freedom of the individual on the basis of community of individual end social interests.

The decisive role in echieving this community is played by socialist work collectives, which constitute an importent element of the political system of socialist society. "Work collectives take part in discussing and deciding state and public affairs, in planning production and social development, in training and placing personnel, and in discussing and deciding matters pertaining to the ganagement of enterprises and institutions, the improvement of working and living conditions, and the use of funds allocated both for developing production and for social and cultural purposes

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engsls, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 78.

^{2.} F. Engels, Anti-Dühring, p. 344.

The antagonism between individual and social intorests under cepitalism gives rise to such social types of the individual, charecteristic of capitalism, as a conformist who adjusts to existing totaliterian and hursaucratic atructurss; an outcest who finds refuge from social conflicts in counterculture of drugs; en anarchist who is hent on breaking up the existing relationships by all nesns, including terrorism. However, the development of capitalism at present is also charecterised by the nounting class atruggle of the proletarist ageinst the swey of monopolies and for peace, progress and socialism. The social type of proleterien revolutionery emerges as a result of this struggle. His hallmarks include high principledness, discipline, combination of "socialist knowledge and revolutionary experience" (Lenin), loyalty to socialist ideals and s well-developed sense of collectivism.

The antegonism between the individual and society will he eventually recolved only in a communist society. All the antegonistic formations treated the individual as a tool for developing production, while under communiem the individual is the ultimate goal of production. In all the antagonistic formations the exploiting minority could develop only by elieneting itself from the development of the exploited majority, while under communiace a society emerges which makes "free development of everyone a condition for free development of ell". In all the antagonistic formations the development of the individual wee restricted end, generally, spontaneous, while communiace sets out, for the first time in history, to evetematically develop every eingle member of society towarde boundless perfection.

All these distinctive features of communism become evident alreedy at its first etegs, in socialist ecciety. As the property of the whole people ascerts itself, the antegoniem between individual end eccial intersets, responsible for the emergence of individualism as the principle of relations between people, dice away. The community of besic intersets of ell social groups is a hallmark of eccialism. That explains why collectivism is the main principle of eccial relations under socialism permeating every sphere of social life.

one side, there is phoney collectivism which is levelling out the individual, reducing it to a more puppet on the hietorical ocene. Marx called this approach primitive, barrack communion. "This type of communism — since it negates the personality of man in every ophere — is but the logical expression of private property ..." This kind of deviation from the principles of collectivion is found in the theory and practice of Maciam as well as in the criminal "Fol Fot experiment", which took the tell of over three million lives during a short period of time.

The other dovistion, organically connected with the first one, is the <u>cult of the individual</u>. It coneists in the overestimation of the role of individual personalities in the hietorical process. The cult of the individual underestimates the role of the mass of the people in the process of making the instory. By restricting and suppressing the initiative of the working people, it fosters in them outmissiveness, passive waiting for directives "from above", in other words, ravives in a peculiar form the bourgeois ideolistic theory of "heroes" and "mob".

Marxist denunciation of the cult of the individual has nothing to do with the anarchist negation of authority. History shows that the working class, waging an uphill worldwide struggle for its complete ecancipation, cannot do without authorities. However, while the cult of the individual asserted itself in the estrangement from the people, the genuine leader wins his prestige by relying on the mase of the people, who express in this way their trust in him.

Accepting the role of authorities and outstanding personalities, socialist eociety aims at the comprehensive development of every eingle individual. The main condition for the individual's comprehensive and harmonious development is the individual's comprehensive and harmonious development is improvement of the forms of its activity in material production on the basis of scientific and technological progress. This is bound to bring about a dramatic change in the character of man's work.

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 3, p. 295.

and financial incentives. "1

Work for society gives rise to a qualitatively new, socialist type of the individuel, characterised by a meture eense of collectivism and patriotism, a high level of ethical and spiritual culture, and communist ideology.

The Marxiet visw of collectivism as a form of development of the individual comes under sharp attacks from opponents of communism. They allegs that communist collectivism and the freedon of the individual are incompatible. Moreover, freedom itself is regerded as absolute independence of the individual from the diktet of social norms. A conclusion prompts itself that "human rights and freedoms are regularly violated" in socielist society, in which the individual is presumebly a victin of a "totalitarian political regims".

Marxism lays bare the fallacy of thess attacks. First, one cannot live in a society and be free from it, as has been long proved by historical practics. Escond, the concept "absoluts freedom" is extra-historical and sbstract, while Marxism demands s concrets historical and class-based approach to the concept of freedom, dismissing any other theory as hypocrisy. Third, the rights and freedoms of the individual in any acciety are indissolubly linked with the individual's responsibilities, otherwiss lifs in s group is impossibls. It is noteworthy that whet constitutes the righte and freedoms of the individual becomes responsibilities for society (the state). To sum it all up, ell anti-communist clsims that the frse development of the individuel is incompetible with the principles of collectiviem are based on an abetrect view of freedom ee "absolute independence" from society. Thie view manifests itself, specifically, in human righte and freedome being metaphysicolly divorced from man's responeibilitiee.

While ecknowledging the decisive role of collectivism in moulding the eccielist individual, Marxiem ie strongly ageinst two opposite devistions from this principle. On the

Constitution (Fundamental Lew) of the Union of Soviet Societiet Republics, p. 17.

becomes possible only when men are no longer crippled by the division of labour based on highly-developed material production, which constitutes the main link in this synthesis.

The Family and the Individual

Man's reproduction of his sxistence consists of two interrelated processes, namely, production of the

means of subsistence and production of people themselves, i.e., child-bearing and socialisation of individuals. While the primary social form of the former process is the work collective, that of the latter is the family. The family is one of the so-called small social groups which, unlike large social groups, such as classes and nations, are characterised by personal contacts between their members and, accordingly, by interdependence of personal and social interests.

The <u>family</u> is a small social group based on marriage or on blood relationship; it is an historically svolved relationship between husband and wife or parents and children, relationship between husband and wife or parents and children, its members being connected by commonality of living conditions, mutual assistance and mutual moral and legal responsibilities. Marriage as the basis of the family is an historial form of relationship between woman and man by which ecclety sanctions and ragulates their conbalitation and establiches their conjugal and parental rights and responsibilities. The moet important social function of marriage is to ensure the stability of the family and of the upbringing of children (socialisation of the individual).

Historically, the family emerged leter than marriege, being a recult of the suctained development and transformation of conjugal relations. "These modifications are of euch a character that the circle of people embraced by the tie of common marriage -- very wide originally -- becomes narrower and narrower, until, finally, only the eingle couple is left, which predominates today."

K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Worke</u> in three volumes,
 Vol. 3, p. 211.

On the one hand, working time will be draatically cut down, and off-houra needed for the frea development of the individual will increase. Herein lie the roots of a fundacental shifts in the accio-cultural environment, n shift which will be linked with transition from "prehistory" of human society to ita "history", with n leap from the "reals of necessity" into the "reals of freedom", with the withering away of the law of value and with the assertion of the main law of communiat acciety, the law of the comprohensive and harmonious development of the individual.

On the other hand, work will change quolitatively, making it possible for people in principle to take part in every form of human activity that history has produced and to give up one for another of their own accord.

The harmonious development of the individual is a neceasary condition for his comprehensive development. In the period of transition to communian, there are greater opportunities of <u>educating a new man</u>, who will harmoniously combine <u>spiritual wealth</u>, <u>morel purity and a perfect physique</u>. The harmonious development of man is therefore linked with the unity of the opposites, e.g. of the biological and the accisl, the physical and the spiritual, etc. However, "these opposites can be combined either into a cacophmy or a symphony".\frac{1}{2}\text{What are the conditions for attaining genuine harmony?}

To begin with, harmony in the combination of the opposite does not yet mean a direct connection between them. This connection only indicates the underdevelopment of the individual and acciety rather than harmony. You can make a violiniat take up a harmony and a blackamith a violin. Neither will produce harmony. Harmony is to be found only where and when non-entagoniatic opposites are synthesised in a mediated manner, i.e., complementing and enhancing each other in the process of their development. The dominant davelopment of certain aspacts of tha individual is necessary for the manifactation of his unique and inimitable properties and contributes to the davelopment of all its other aspacts. This

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 32, p. 28.

tions of polygamy, the marital union of one can with a group of women. In all cases the form of norital and femily relatione depends on specific accio-economic conditions, in particular, on the demographic factor of the numerical correlation of the sexes and also on national, cultural and raligious treditions.

The monogonous family is characterized by a certain duslity due to the presence of both the oconomic and the moral and psychological (privato) aspect. On the one hand, monogany gove an important to the development of production by ensuring the accumulation of material and spiritual values and the transfer of production experience. On the other, it contributed to the development of the individual, to eletter underetanding of its spiritual world, to the formation of such moral qualities or responsibility, altruian, etc. Moreover, child-rearing was for many people, primarily women, all but the only form of their self-assertion in the world of exploitation and oppression.

In bourgeoie acciety, this controdictory duality of the monogenous foully sesumes the form of conflict. "The bourgeoie has torn away from the foully its sentimental vail, and has reduced the foully relation to a nere money relation." The contradiction between the dominance of economic interests in femily relations and the selectivity of private feelings in femily relations and the selectivity of private feelings and sentiments tends to destroy the foully and marriage.

Capitaliam, undoubtodly, gave riae to progrossive socioeconomic reforms contributing to the advance of the femily,
such as the large-scale involvement of women in social production, the development of the health protoction system,
duction, the services, etc. However, the positive
public education, the services, etc. However, the positive
public education, the services of bourgeoid society, such as
cios in the social policies of bourgeoid society, such as
economic and political discrimination ogoinst women, paid
health service, education, etc. The contradiction between
these two tendencies, the overall economic and spiritual protress of society under capitalism and the utiliterian and
consumer forms of exploiting it constitute the mein driving

^{1.} K. Marr, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 6, p. 487.

The rise of primitive society was characterised by extremely disordered conjugel relations. The low development level of meterial production made it impossible to single out individual families from a clan collective, while the isolationism of primitive tribes made it both possible and necessary the incest. The "dissolution" of the individual in the collective and the underdevaloped cheracter of his qualities es e personality, mentioned above, were manifest in this raspect in the complete ebsence of jealousy and individual love, which erose at a fer later date.

The next stego in the development of conjugal relations consisted in the gredual exclusion of sexual contact between representatives of different generations and, still later, between blood reletivee. The main form of conjugal relations in primitive society was what is called group marriage, presupposing sexual contacts between a group of men of one clan with a group of womon of another clan. Similar marital forme can be found even today among American Indian tribes and in a number of other surviving ethno-social groups.

A form of transition from group marriage to monogamy was pair marriage, which emerged at the final stage of the development of primitive-communal system and presupposed monogamy which could be easily dissolved by either eide. The progress of material production, resulting in the emargence of private property, gave rise to a qualitatively new type of marital union, based on monogamy and on man's pravalence in the family, which was dictated by the need to smess wealth and to amoure that it was passed on to heirs. That was how monogamy, the conjugal union of one man with one woman, emergand. Its first cleesical form was what is called the pstrianchal family, which included not only all the blood relatives on the father's side but also domestic eleves (the Latin "familia" means the totality of elevas belonging to one

In addition to the above "classical forms" of marital and family relations, there existed in the hintory of society and have survived in certain places -- different transitory and mixed forms. For instance, monogeny, which prevaile in present-day society, ie complemented by different manifeststransition to the communiet principle of distribution according to need make it important to develop man's cultural requirements. The task of the socialist family is to contribute as effectively as possible to the solution of this problem.

In spiritual life, the main function of the eccialist femily is child-rearing, that is, the eccialisation of the individual. The fact that the education of the young generation is a cause of all society under eccialism in no way detrects from the role of the family. It is the family that forms that micro-environment in which the educational efforts of the state and society are resulted in a positive (and sometimes negative) cultural effect.

The tasks of education are closely releted to such a function of the socialist family as the organisation of free time. In every type of exploiting society the state only concerned itself with organising the working time of the exploited. Socialism for the first time in history made man's free time a social value, realising that its rational use was a major condition for the development of the individual. The escomplishment of this task depends largely on the efforts of the socialist family, because the possibilities of social and legal regulation are insufficient in this area. All this enhances the rols of moral factors in the development of the family.

Naturally, the socialist family runs into certain difficulties and problems in the course of its development, such se lingering differences between the living etandards and lifestyles of individual femilies, which fact restricts the possibilities for the edequate fulfilment of the functione of the family; s relatively high divorce rate and low birth rate, particularly in areas of intensive migration and large-scale urbanisation; inadequetely developed services; petty-bourgeois survivale making themselves felt in the mentality and behaviour of members of individual families, etc. However, the social policy pursued by the socialist state size at promptly resolving these problems. force of the development of marital and family relations in cepitalist ecciety.

It is "concuror ecciety" that is the main cause of the devaluation and disintegration of the family and the breakdown of the traditional forms of marital relations. These processes are manifest in the growing number of suicides and baby-killings, in the coaring divorce rate and pre- and extra-aarital sexual contocts, and in the growing range of sexual perversions. All corts of hypersexualization of eccial life, extensively used by representatives of leftist groupings in their pseudo-revolutionary theory and practice, objectively cerve the interests of the bourgeoisie, because, misguiding social practice, they contribute to the perpetuation of the system of cepitalist exploitation.

The proletarian family is quite different. It is no longer a private proprietor, i.e., an economic unit of capitaliet ecciety, and for this resear such family is the harbinger of non-religious marriage based on love.

By doing away with the private ownership of the meane of production, the accielist revolution Isade to a radical restructuring of marital and family reletions, to the assertion in them of such qualitatively new, socialist principles as free will of man and woman in merrying such other, complete equality and mutual respect between humband and wife, love as the bease of marriage, new, communist morality, etc.

The accialist restructuring of marital and family relations makes itself felt in the changed functions of the family as well. In bourgeois society, the basic function of the family is to amase material wealth, which is bound to lead to the underestimation of spiritual and moral values and therefore to the degradation of the individual. With the trasition to accialies, the family is no longer a wealth-accumulating unit. The economic basis of the accialist family is personal rether than private property, which is formed in through the accially useful work of the members of the family. The main material function of the ecclalist family is to organise the consumption of the results of this work. The advance of society towards communical and the gradual

etato and oventually result in the disspperance of the family in communist ecciety? It is this point of view that certain simplistic and degratic interpreters and revisionists like Reger Geraudy, try to impute to it; the latter believes, for instance, that "today it is hard to preserve for the family sysm some of the foundations that have been characteristic of it for centuriss."

These assertions are nothing new, nor are they true. Way back in the 19th century, the outstanding thinkers, from the utopian socialist Fourier to Bebel, believed that traditional family relationshipe would disintegrate in a future acciety. The meaning of their discouraes was that aince the monogramus family was a product of private property, the abolition of private property would lead to the withering away of the family. The founders of Marxism were strongly opposed to this simplistic and vulgar conclusion in the spirit of "barreck communism". However, newadays cimilar views are peddled by fringe "left"-wing petty-bourge-ois ideologists.

The main shortcoming of all these conceptions is that they disregard the substantial differences between the traditional system of economic relations in the monogenous family, on the one hand, and the system of spiritual and moral relations that are characteristic of it, on the other. As a consequence, being swere of the need for changing the former, they setsphysically reject the possibility of retaining the latter, which, naturally, prompts gloomy forecasts for future of the family and marriage.

The communist family is a dialectical negation of "cleeeical monogamy." Doing away with those aspects of family reletions which in the final analysis were a product of private
property and underdevelopment of social production, it retains
all the moral and spiritual values accumulated by monogamy,
including love, motherhood, etc. Moreover, it is only under
conditions of complete economic and social smancipation that
these values will be developed in every way and become the
principal moral guidelines in the process of building
communiam.

Concern for woman is the main condition for the devalopment and strengthening of the socialist family. Socialism
alone has crested for the first time ever conditions for
the complete economic and social emancipation of woman and
for the achievement of complate equality of women and nen in
society. This is "ensured by according woman aqual access
with men to education and vocational and professional training, equal opportunities in employment, renuneration, and
promotion, and in social and political, and cultural activity, and by special labour and health protection measures for
women; by providing conditions enabling mothers to work;
by legal protaction, and meterial and moral support for
mothers and children, including paid leaves and other benefits for expectant mothers and mothers, and gradual reduction of working time for mothers with small children."

The Communists' attitude to the so-called women's question has always been determined by the fact that the working class cannot achieve complete freedom without winning completely freedom for women, while women for their part cannot rid themsalves of enalavement and discrimination without ecoialist revolution. It is in recognition of the need for unity between the women's and the proletarian movement for emancipation that liss the main differences between the Marxist-Leninst solution of this question and its bourgeois-democratic interpretation.

An important aspect of the social policy of the accialist state in marital and family relations lies in the progreasive relieving of the family of some of its traditional functions. "The state helps the family by providing and developing a broad system of childcara institutions, by organizing and improving communal sarvicas and public catering, hy paying grants on the birth of a child, by providing children's allowances and benefite for large families, and other forms of family allowances and assistance." But does not this process mean "dissolution" of the family in the socialist

Constitution (Fundament al Law) of the Union of Soviet Bocialist Republics, Art. 35.

^{2.} Ibid., Art. 53.

requisite leading to the anti-humanistic interpretation of Marxios. It is either ecience or humonics, and the possibility of the existence of scientific humanism is believed an obsurdity. This is manifest in the ideas of the French "Marxist" Louis Althusser. He says that Marx remained loaval to the principles of humanism only so long os his theory had not become scientific (he gives 1845 and the "Theses on Feuorbsch" os the "upper" boundary of Marx's humaniom) and otstes that in his nature works Marx adopted the stand of "theoretical anti-humanism". According to Althueser, humanism wos the price Marx paid for the scientific character of his views. "From the point of view of rigid theory, it is possible and thorefore necessary to discuss opsnly the theoretical anti-humanism of Marx We can learn anything about people only on the condition that the philosophical (theoretical) myth obout man is dispelled. Any way of thinking laying claim to being rectored in one form or another, either ss an anthropology or as theoretical humanism, is according to Marx nothing short of sshes from the point of view of theory. "I

This is how science and humanism are turned against osch other. Although Althusser recognises the humanistic character of Marxism in ideology, his education only above that the gop between humanism and science is compounded in his way of thinking by a gap between science and ideology, between theory and practice, which is the direct opposite of revolutionary Marxies.

That ie why the question asked by A. Lefevres in his <u>Dislectical Materialiss</u> is intrinsically fallacious: "What is Marxism?... Is it ideology (the ideology of the proleteriat) or science?" The strength of the ideological impact of Marxiem relies on its scientific cheracter and at the same time Marxim

Louis Althuseer, <u>Pour ert</u>, Maspero, Perie, 1965, p. 236.
 "Marx's theoretical <u>anti-humanism</u> in no way removes the historical existence of humanism... His theoretical antihumanism recognises the need for humanism as an ideology." (Ibid., p. 237).

The Harxist theory of the individual and ways of his

emancipation hes been viciously attacked since its very inception and attempts heve been made to distort its meoning. One of the more popular misinterpretations is to impute antihuanistic meanings to this theory. Presumably, the human individuel finds himself entirely "dissolvad" in faceless social structures: society is everything, while man is nothing. In so-doing, such "interpreters" set the humanism of the philosophical views of the "young Harx" in opposition to the scientism of the "mature Marx", who in his latterday works presented historical processes as "mechanistic" and took the position of "theoretical anti-humanism". What can be said about this wiew?

To begin with, this misrepresentation of Marxism is not original. Way beck Barnstein, Kautsky and other representatives of what was known as "aconomic materialism" beliaved that Marx was not et all interested in the problems of tha spiritual life of society and human existence. They viewed the hightened interest of the classics of Marxiem in the problams of social being es the total leck of any interest in the problems of social coneciousness. According to "sconomio meterialism", all the specific originality of cultural and historical process, including "the world of man", can be derived directly and incediately from the economics. Marx once remarked with bitter irony to Lafergus in connection with this interpretation of his doctrine by French proponents of "economic materialiam" who called themselves "Marxists": "All I know is that I am not a Marxiet". 2 Relepses of "economio materialism", occur sven today in the form of different right-wing reviaionist conceptions and bourgeois technicist theories.

It is an abstract understanding of humanism, which is sat in appendion to science, that is a methodological pre
1. Scientism (from the Latin "scientia", knowledge, science) holds that eciencs and its-principles ere all-important in the world outlook.

^{2.} KMarx, REngels, Selected Correspondence, p. 393.

This shows that Marxism does not at all reject human individuality but, conversely, imparts concrete accial content to ito escence. That is why the way actually to change concrete human individuals is to change in practice concrete social reletions. The actual humanisation of relations between people is the humanication of actual, real reletions between people. Only that humanism is reel which incorporates a echolerly aubstantistion of the possibility of it being implemented in practice and points revolutionary means for doing so. It is this kind of humaniam that is represented by Marxiam that onables a concrete human individual to live the full-blooded life of the human hoing, that is, to become really free only through cogniaing the escential lows of accial development and the revolutionary movement in harmony with those laws.

The Marxist understanding of the social ideal is related not only to the formulation of a acientific theory of ita realisation but also to the revolutionary activity of the masa of the people to trenslate this ideal into practice. Marxism recognises only one way of attaining this ideal - revolutionary practice. It is revolutionary practice alone that represents for man the conditions under which change in his circumstances coincides with the establishment of real humoniam in the process of human activity. "The coincidence of the changing of circumatences and of human activity or eelfchange can be conceived and rationally understood only as revolutionary prectice. "1 Revolutionary practice is the supreme form of the manifestation of true humanism: " ... The class overthrowing it /the ruling class/ can only in a revolution succeed in ridding itself of all the muck of egos and hecome fitted to found society anew."2

The understanding of Marxien se scientific humanion from the point of view of theory, therefore, leads to the recognition of its revolutionary character in accisl practice. Marxiem is not only a meana of explaining the world but also an

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 4.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 53.

ism can only be a science by baing the ideology of the most advanced class of our day, the working class, which has an interest in cognising the objective law-governed processes of social development.

The anti-human interpretation of Marxism provokes numerous attempts to "correct" it. This is the "logic" of any form of revisioniem. It incorporates two positions. At first Marxism is interpreted as being deficient in one respect or another and then components of doctrines which are alien to Marxism are added to it under the plausible pretext of making up for those deficiencies. That is how "perodies of Marxism" come into being and how revisionism links up with bourgeois doctrines seeking to "humanias" Marxism. But does Marxism need this "humaniasma"?

The fact is that the Marxist theory of man and his social nature does not at all mean disregard for the living human individual. The human nature is the "ensemble" of those social relations into which man enters as he comes into this world and which he is creating in his activity. Human existence is the reproduction of that social assense in an individualised form. That is why the Marxist analysis of the human naturs always results in the examination of the individual forms of its manifestation, an analysis of spacific individuals in spacific situations. The ultimate meaning and purpose of this analysis is the revolutionary transformation of these "situations" and the filling of them with humanistic content. "We have, in conclusion," Marx wrote, "the class struggle into which the movement and the analysis of the whole business racolvas itself... " Thus, the sixth thesis of Marx's on Fauerbach (Man's sassance is "the ensamble of the social relations") is connected with his famous sleventh thesis ("The philosophere have only interpreted the world in various ways; the point is to change it*).2

1. Marx, Engols, Selected Correspondence, p. 195.

^{2.} K. Marx, F. Engols, Collected Works, Vol. 5, pp. 4,5.

Chapter IV

THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF SOCIETY

Analysis of the political system and its centralised institution, the stats, is very important for understanding the dynamics of social development. The theory of the stats and the political system of society as a whole holds pride of place in Marxism-Leninism. This is due to the fact that from the outset the state and the political system as a whole play an active role in the life of society and in the regulation of social relations. Small wonder this issue is the subject of keen ideological struggle between the Communists and the proponents of bourgeois democracy.

What is the genesis and nature of the state? What are the characteristic features of the bourgeois political system and tendencies of its development? What are the nature and specific features of the state in the developing countries? What can be said about the state-political system of a new, socislist type?

Genesis and Nature of the State and the Political System of Society as a Whole

Concept of the Political Organisation and Political System of Society The reals of politics includes relations between classss, nations and all people with regard to power, the

stats, etc.

Marx, Engels and Lenin always attached much importance to politice in their theoretical writings and practical work to mobilise the revolutionary forces to win power and effect deep-going social transformations. The attempts by bougeois political scienties to pass themselves off as pioneers in political theory and to prove that scientific research into the problems of politics has no lesting tradition in Marxisz-Leninism are not at all corroboreted by real facts.

Throughout their sctivity Marx and Engels attsched such sttention to research into the nature of politics, political power and the political system of society, formulating and effective tool of remaking it. It enables can both to explain
the existing organisation of the world and also to re-organiee it in accordance with his own interests. "Marxism differs
from all other eocialist theories in the remarkshe way it
combines complete scientific sobriety in the analysis of the
objective etate of affairs and the objective course of evolution with the most exphatic recognition of the importance
of the revolutionary energy, revolutionary creative genius,
and revolutionary initiative of the masses - and also,
of course, of individuals, groups, organisations, and parties
that are able to discover and achieve contact with one or
another cleas." The unbreakeble unity of humanism, acienco-based epproach and revolutionary action sabodied in
Marxism makes it "a guids for action" in the assertion of
real humanism, assely, communica.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 13, p. 36.

cal system is e totality of the institutions of society and its communications through which political power is excercised, and includes all the political organisations of society and all the forms of the citizens' direct participation in politics.

What is meant by political power, the core of which is state power, is the actual cepebility of a given cleas or another social group, or an individual, to exercise its of his will in sociaty in the form of political decisions snd legal rulea; it likawise denotes a certein organiestion (the state, party, srmy, etc.) and practical activities in pursuit of the goals and tesks of thet organisation.

In other words, the political system incorporates the entire gemut of the political life of society, is correlated with the economic and social systems and io likewise rooted in class relations.

The conception of the political system was a logical sequel to the systems approach to the state, replaced the nsrrow understanding of the state as a state machinery, and reflected the idea of the state as "a political system of society" (Karl Marx). This approach is fully in eccord with Lenin's view that politics is "the sphere of relationships of ell clesses and strats to the state and the government, the sphere of the interrelations between ell olasses."

Analysing the composition of the besic elements of the political system of society, we can identify at least four groups:

- political structure (political organisations);
- 2. political and legal rules;
- political relations;
- 4. political consciousness and political culture.

These four groups of components embrace the entire political system of society as "the official expression of civil society. (Karl Marx) and characterise different especte of its political ectivity. The political system of eociety inclu-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 5, p. 422.

trenslating into practice the demands of prolaterian politics se opposed to the policies pursued by the ruling classes. They formulated the general theoretical and methodological principles of the science of politics and, moreover, supplied remerkeble examples of specific analysis of the political process, the functioning of the state, political parties, individual leeders, etc. Such works by Mark and Engals as The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Boneparte, The Cless Struggles in Frence srs good examples of this analysis.

Lenin viewed the theoretical analysis of imperialist. politics as e major task. In his works wa come across all tha besic idees on the dislectical method and ecciological analysis of political phenomena, including definitions of the nature of the etets and other elements of the political system, and the principles of relations between states on the world ecene. Lenin closely scrutinieed all the sapacts of ths political process, thoroughly and painstakingly analysed tha naturs of the institutions of power and edministration, the structure and ectivities of partias and trads unions, tha political struggle of classes and individual groups, tha political behaviour of the mass of the people, their landers and other politicisns, and social psychology. Bsing the 1ssder of the party and the state, he laid the foundations of the theory of administration, which is a major component of the sciencs of politics.

Thees treditions ere now being translated into practice by the communiet and workers' parties, which work out practical policies, supply answers to political problems at their congresses, and also edvance the political theory.

What is the political organisation of society? The political organication of ecciety is a totality of institutions which ere the tools of the formulation and implementation of policies, first end foremost the state, lew end politicel pertise, and elec uses organizations and associations of citizene reguleting politicel relatione in ecciety.

The concept of the political organisation of society is closely linked with the concept of the political system. The political eyetem of society is a broad concept characterising all the especte of the political life of society. The politirsligious orders, guilda and eststs and occupational associations and organisations had a great rols to play in political lifs. Under cspitalism, the atste playa the dominant role in the lifs of society. The importance of political parties grows as well. As the proletariat becomes "a class for itself" rather than "a class in itself", the role of workitself" rather than "a class in itself", the role of workitself rather than "be political aystem of acciety increases substantially. The political system of socialiet society differs fundamentally from those mentioned above. Its mechanism and principles of functioning provide for the maximum possible participation of the mass of the working peopls (all citizens in advanced accialiet eociety) in politics and all the other areas of national life.

The etete playe the deciaive role in the political eyetem of eociety. Unlike any other organization or inetitution, it has the right and espability to make ell members of acciety sbide by the rules leid down by it. Bourgeois ideologists, trying to esmouflage the true nature of the state, often identify it with power in general. There is no need to argue that power is an intrinsic attribute of human acciety (in the past, at present and in the future).

The nature of the atate should be considered not in the context of power in general but in the context of the emergence of private property, exploitation of man by man end the division of eociety into antagonistic classes. It is these factors that gave rise to the state and political relations.

In primitive eociety — due to ite economic system — there was no etate nor any need for them. A certain machinery for the administration of community affaire, known in history as clan and tribal organisation, corresponded to the economic basis of that system. There was no law under conditions of primitive eociety either. Human behaviour was regulated by rules of morality, most of which existed in the form of customs, expressed the interests of all members of eociety and were observed by them according to tradition and out of habit.

The development of the productive forces led to the replacement of primitive-communal reletions of production with

des, first end foremost, the structure of governmental and other political organisations, the forme of participation of the mass of the people in edministration and political control, the mechanism of the participation of the citizenry in politics, etc. Political and legal rules determine in a formalieed manner the character of political relations in society.

An analysis of the role of lew as a social inetitution, its relationship with politice, the correlation of legal norma and the actual status of the individual, national and international legislation on human righta, etc., playe a very important role. Political relations reflact the actual participation of all the social groupe and individuals in the political process. Political consciousness is also a charscteristic of all the participants in political relationships-

There are basic differences between the political aystems of socialist, cepitaliet and developing countries not only from the point of view of their clase character but also from the point of view of the mechanism of functioning of their political systeme. An analysis of the political eyetem of bourgeois society makes it possible, on the one hand, to show the cotual mechanism of the political dominance of monopolice and, on the other, to demonstrate the role played by progressive movements, first and foremost the working class, in the struggle for democracy and for curbing the monopolies eway. It is a major tesk for political scientists to characteries the types of present-day political systems, political regimes, politicel cultures and political movements.

The Genesie of the Stete and Law

The state is a central institution of the political system of society.

The history of social development shows that every socio-economic formetion has its own historical type of the state and the political system of society as e whole. In slave-owning societies, for instence, a great role was played in politice, in eddition to the state, by inetitutione representing social estates and their institutions, secret societies and religious organisations. The role of the state and particularly of the church grew in feudal society. Religioue ideology expressed, se e rule, the political aspiratione of different classee and accial strate. Enighte' and

in the form of law-making. This was how the close relationship of the state and law manifested itself.

Nature of the Stato

In characterising the nature of the state and its role in exploitative acciety,

Engels wrote that "it is, as a rule, the state of the most powerful, economically dominant class, which, through the medium of the state, becomes also the politically dominant class, and thus acquires new mesns of holding down and exploiting the oppressed class" (underlined by the editor).

What is the rols of the state in a society divided into antagonistic classes? The main purpose of the state and law as the most important elements of the superstructure is to protect and strengthen their scenemic basis and to uphold the intersats of the economically dominant class.

Having smarged on the basis of a definite sconomic aystem of society and serving that system by contributing to its consolidation and preserving it, the state at the same time is sequiring reletive independence from tita scenomic basis.

The state not only protects the interests of the dominant class but also regulates relations within that class. Sometimes, to protect the common interests of the dominant class, which it serves, the state may limit to a certain degree the arbitrariness of its individual representatives or groups. Moreover, the resolute struggle of the mass of the population forces the exploiter states to take isolated messures to protect the interests of working people.

The relative independence of the atste sa s component of the superatructure is menifest in the fect that, in siddition to protecting the interate of the dominant class, it reguletes the life of society as an integral organism and managed its sffairs. However, the relative independence of the exploiter state and its law from the economic basis does not mean that they are above classes. When all is said and not mean that they are above classes. When all is not mean that they are shown the cause of meintaining the atabili-

K. Marx and F. Engels, <u>Selected Worke</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 328.

those of slave ownership, rooted in private property. There emerged exploitation of man by man and society became divided into antagonistic classes. The clan and tribal organisation proved unable to reconcile their contradictions. That was why the state and law emerged in its place. The clan system, Engele wrote, was exploded "by the division of society into classes. Its place was taken by the state." Law is the will of the ruling class expressed in logislative form. It omerged along with the state and due to the seme causos.

The emergence of the state and law was a long process, characterised by different features under various historical circumetances. They were determined by economic and esocial causes and also by the historical setting in which different peoples developed. /

What are the distinctive features of the etate as comparod with the clan and tribal organisation of society?

Engels identified two of them, first, the division of the population according to the <u>territorial characteristic</u> rether than blood and tribal relationships, and, second, the existence of <u>public power</u>. Public power does not coincide with but, conversely, rises over the population and is not the power of the public but a power exercised by apecial groups of people in the interests of the economically dominant class. This power, Engels etreseed, not only consists of armed men that also hea such material adjuncts as prisons and institutions of coercion of all kinds which were unknown in class society.²

Along with the above cheracteristica, the state, unlike the clen and tribal organisation, levies <u>texes</u> on people to maintein ite machinery.

The emergence of the state was accompnied by the formation of lew. Unlike the precepts of morality in primitive society, norms of a legal nature were established by the state and punishment was imposed for violating them. The observance of these norms was guaranteed by the coercive strength of the state, which in turn formalised its activity

E. Marx and F. Engela, <u>Selected Works</u> in three volumes, Vol. 3, p. 326.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 327.

of the economic basis with regard to the superstructura demonstrata the causes of the existence of atates and the raplacement of one type of atate by another.

The economic basis of s social formation with s mode of production bossd on private property gives rise to antagonistic classes and the sconomically dominant class ensures its power through the stats. In this way, every satagonistic socio-aconomic formation is characterised by only one type of state, which is replaced as one formation gives way to another.

The practica of world development has even three auccesaivs typos of atata with antagonistic classes, the slave-owning, the feudal and the bourgaois state.

In addition to the basic historical types of the etate, social devalopment knows of secondary types, which amerged as the old aconomic basis was replaced by a new one. The states of this type have been characteristic of the entira history of human society. In the past, they emerged when slave-owning society was being replaced by feudalism or when a democratic revolution was growing into a socialist revolution. The state in some doveloping countries is transitory in nature.

Every type of state functions in certain forme. The form of the atate is understood to include its intarnal atructure, organisation and the way in which stata power is exarcised. It incorporates the form of government, the state atructure and the political regime. States are divided by the form of government into monarchias (from the Graek monerkhie, oneman rule), such as Britain, Nepal and Norway, end republica (from the Latin rea, a thing, matter, affair, and publicus, public, of the whole paople), such as India, Peru and Italy. Depending on their organisation, states are divided into federal or union, e.g., Yugoslevis and Naxico, and unitary, such as Syris and France. Federal states consist of member atatas, each heving supreme bodies of powar and administration, and also e judicial system. In unitary statas power is orgeniaed in eccordance with administrative-territorial divisions

^{1.} The federation should not be mixed up with the confederation, which is an alliance of atotes formed for definite military, economic, and other purposas.

ty of the existing system and the power of the dominant classes.

The neture of any stete and the contents of its activity ere manifest in the <u>functions</u> performed by it. The functions of the state are the hasic arees of its activity in which the class nature of the atste, its social purpose and its role in the life of society at different stages of the letter'e development menifost themselves.

The functions of eny state can be divided into internel end externel. The sim of the internal functions is to ensure the security of the existing system and the hegemony of the dominant clesses, and also to regulate the life of society se a whole.

The external functions consist in protecting the state, its prectige and influence on the international ecene, upholding its relations with other states, defending states with a similar cleas easence or closs in spirit and, in the case of state divided into antagonistic classes, in territorial axpaneion at the expense of other countries.

The functions performed by the stete are divided into besic and secondary. The former are a direct manifestation of the class nature of the state, e.g., the suppression of the working people in exploitative society. Some functions of the letter group help to perfore effectively the functions of the former, e.g., the economic function of the bourgeois state. By cerrying out a number of escondary functions, the state regulates the life of eociety as an integral entity. One exemple is the function of suppressing crims (this very, hooliganism, swindling). Ultimately, all the escondary functione are subordinate to the effective performance of the

Historical Types and Forms of the State To understend the nature of the etate, it is important to know the concrete historical circumstances of its

existence and its close neture, i.e., its <u>type</u>. Every eccioeconomic formation is characterised by a certain type of etete. The law of interaction between relations of production and the productive forces and the lew of the determining role Lest but not leeet, the form of the stote is determined to a cortoin extent by the historical treditions of a given country, by the opecific cherocteristics and political culture of o given people, and olse by other foctors. For instance, the establishment of the fascist regime in Germany in 1933 was coused for the nost part by the specific festures of close struggle and by the economic and eccio-political crisis in the country. The elimination of faccism and the foll of the monorchy in Italy can be explained not only by the changed lineup of close forces but also by the internsting the changed lineup of close forces but also by the internstional cituation. The precervation of monarchy in Britain, in particular, is attributable to the country's historical traditions.

It follows that many fectors, in addition to the economic system, influence the form of the state and sccount for changes in it. It is only a dislectico-materialiat analysis of all the factors with due regard for the political practice of s given country that can explain why precisely this form of the etate has been established in it. At the same time all the factors determining the form of the state, including the economic cystem of eoclety, are subject to the influence of the state. The character and extent of this influence depend to a considerable degree on its form.

2. The Political System of Bourgeois Society Today

Nature and Forms of the Bourgeois State The bourgeois state energed as a result of the victory of the bourgeois revolution,

which crowned the estsblishment of a new economic system in society with the assertion of an appropriate political and legal superstructure. The bourgeois state is "a grandiose institution for the exploitation of the huge majority of the people by a small, ever-diminishing minority".

The victory of cspitalism over feudalism and the assertion of bourgeois statehood was historically progressive.

The bourgeois system freed the exploited from personal legal

^{1.} K. Marx and F. Engels, Selected Worke in one volume, p. 374.

following the "centre-provinces" pattern.

The state can seeume different forms within the fremework of the eams historical type. For instance, there were
elave-owning monerchies and republics. At the same time similar forms ere found in different historical types of the state.
For inetance, both slave-owning and feudal states functioned ee monarchies and republice. Both these forms charecterise
the bourgeoie etete as well. This does not indicate, however,
thet the neture of power and ite form ere totally divorced.
Feudal states for the nost part were nonerchies, whils the
republicen form of government is nore cherecteristic of bourgeois states.

The political regime characterises the political system of a society from the point of view of its functioning. The political regime is a totality of the methods and techniques used by the dominent class to exercise its rule in society. The besic political regimes in present-day bourgeois states include the parliamentary, the authoriterion, the military-dictatorial and the fesciat regimes.

The dominent clase in a society divided into antagonistic cleasee con exercise its power by liberal methods, sating on behalf of the people. This political regime is rafarred to as parliamentary denocracy (from the Greek demos, people, and kratic, power).

Another kind of political regime in antagonistic society is the overt dictstorship of the dominant close based on direct violence. During the eleve-owning and feudel periods the political regimes of this kind were known as <u>eutocratic</u> (from the Graak <u>autokratia</u>, one-man-rule). Nowedays they ere referred to es <u>faeciat</u>, authoritarian or totalitarian (from the Latin <u>totalia</u>, whole, entire, complete), meaning total state control through overt violence.

Whet datermines the form of a etete, ite political regime and what fectors contribute to their change? The economic system, heving a decicive impact on the type of the etete, influences changes in the form of the etate not directly but in e medieted way, through the alignment of force of the antagonistic classee. A considerable influence is exerted on the development of the form of the stete by the intermetional situation in which a given state is emerging and developing-

causes particularly strike the aya in the field of foreign policy, as exemplified by the energone expansion of the intornstional sctivitios and the accalstion of imperialist sctivitios of the United States. This phenomenon is explained mostly by political and idaological respons. The role in which the leaders of that country have cast themselves stems directly from the docine of the US bourgeoisic to hold book the historical process and to raise obstacles in the way of the edvancing national liberation movement. The US foreignpolicy activities intended to accomplish these teaks have become all but the main preoccupation of the White House. All this accounts for the militarisation of the US economy, the unheard of growth of military production, the swelling of the armed forces and the extension of diplomatic, intelligence and ideological activities in other countries. It is in no other area that the social nature of the bourgeois state is so visible ea it is in its relationships with other countries, particularly with the socialist states and the dsveloping nations upholding their independence in struggle sgainst imperialism.

We can identify the following functions of the presentday bourgeois atsts, bearing in mind the direction in which it is developing:

- 1. Protection of the capitaliat mode of production and capitaliet property, of the entire socio-political structure, and law and order satablished in the bourgeois etate.
- 2. Regulation of the scorony and easietance to the development of the productive forces in the interest of monopoly capital.
- 3. Esgulation of accial relations between the capitalista and the workers by the extension of social legislation, by arbitration and by other messures taken with a view to mitigating antagonisms between the classes and moderniaing social structures for the benefit of the espitalist system as whole.
 - 4. Cultural, sducational and idsological influence on the mase madia, including the preae, radio and talsvision, and on cultural and sducational agencies in order to socialise the individual and to educats the entire population in a

dependence. The bourgeoie state, however, failed to sbolish the exploitation of man by man and retained ita basia, the private ownership of the means of production.

As it developed, the bourgeois atate paesed through the period of pre-monopoly capitelism and the period of imperialism. The nature of that state practically has not changed, while the contents and methode of its functioning have been altered to a considerable degree. While during the rise of cepitaliem the state, sa Marx aptly put it, was "the night watchman" of capitaliem, today it has become the "conductor" managing the affairs of the ruling group, monopoly capital. The growing role of the state in regulating every sepect of the life of society is due to the crisis of cspitaliem and to the need to use etate power more extensively to guard the outdated relations of production. The hourgeois state "resorts ever more extensively to euch instrumente se etste-etimulsted monopolistic concentration of production and capital, redistribution by the state of an increasing proportion of the national income, allocation of war contracte to the monopolies, government financing of industrial development and reasarch programmes, the drawing up of economic development programmes on a country-wide acale, the policy of imperialist integration and new forme of capital export. "1

The changes in the character of functioning of the bourgeoie etate have found their reflection in the extension of the traditional and the emergence of new functions.²

The extension of the tasks and functions of the presentday capitalist etsts is called for not only by objective factors, such so the growth of productive forces, the complerification of social life as a whole and the rise of statemonopoly capitalism; it is also generated by the causes which are intrinsic to the very nature of this type of state. These

International Meeting of Communist and Workers' Parties, Moscow, 1969, Peace and Socialism Publishers, Prague, 1969, p. 18.

^{2.} By the function we understand the description of the properties of the state that are manifest in a definite kind of its activities.

Mochanian and Legal System of the Bourgoois State

The nechanian of the bourgeoia state incorporates reprecentetive bedien, bedies

of administration and the judicial cystem. The sray, police, intelligence and counter-intelligence are pleying a nejor role in the mechanian of power. They are the immediate tools of the class deminance of the exploiters and occupy positions of privilege in the state aschinery. In some countries huge powers have been vested in them, cambling then to meddle with impunity in every aspect of the activities of the state (e.g., the CIA and the FBI in the United States).

The parliament has a special role to play smong the inattutions of the bourgooia state. It is used to make the
will of the dominant class acen "the will of the people". The
will of the dominant class acen "the will of the people". The
parliament is rendered obedient to nonopely cepital in many
ways worked out by the bourgeoisio es it has been trying to
gain control of the growing political sctivity of the working people.

Parlismenta play different roles in the nechanism of Parlismenta play different roles in the nechanism of various bourgoeis atates, with the overell tendency being various bloading of their importance. When nemopoly cepital withe leasening of their importance, when nemopoly cepital wishes, it can use the purlisment to "bridle" the executive branch.

Bourgeois ideologists are trying to explain eway the declining role of parliements in capitalist states by the fact that in the past only a "qualified minority" was taking part in their work, whereas today, with universal suffrage introduced, it is becoming more and nore difficult to keep introduced, it is becoming more and nore difficult to keep introduced, it is becoming more and the summer of bourup "the quality of representation". The arguments of bourcapitalist countries do not trust parliaments because, despite all their efforts, the role of the working people in the representative bodies is growing.

The communist and workers' parties in capitalist countries make use of parliaments on a lerge scale to protect the interests of the working people and to expose the undemocratic policies of bourgeois governments.

"The way out of parlismentarism, " Lenin wrote, "is

spirit of bourgeois ideology and cultura.

5. Forsign policy, the primary ain of which is to protect the scononic and political interests of a given bourgaois state on the interestional scene, and to etruggle by ideological, political, econonic and military nethods against communiam and the working-class and national liberation movements all over the world.

Soms scholara identify the suppression and amploitation of the mass of the working people as a function of the bourgoia state on a per with all the other functions performed hy it. This approach, however, is open to criticiem. It is not s function but the nature of any bourgeois steta to kasp the working people within the sxieting node of production and to suploit and supprass them. All the functions of the bourgsoia state are rolated in one way or another to the accomplishment of that major task, and to raduce it to one function, performed along with other state activities, neans inadvartantly to contributs to the propagation of the illusion that the bourgaois etsts devotee a wast portion of ite sctivities to work which banefite eocisty as a whole and to lose sight of the fact that in reality avan those activities in which society has an interest, such as maintenance of law and order, protection of citizens sgainst crims and the development of public aducation and culture, also are subject to the crippling influence of the exploitative nature of the bourgeoie state.

It is e different matter, of course, that the task of suppressing the mass of the working people is performed by verious parts of the machinary of the hourgoois steta in different ways, directly and openly by some and indirectly by othere. Such organs of the ateta as seconst and ragular police, the army, the courts and the procurecy are the immediate tools of class suppression, whereas aducational institutions and reaserch centres, while carrying out the task of raising the aducational and cultural standards (thus banafiting society as e whole, including the working people), are fostering meas culture which by and large helps to preserve the capitalist structure.

ocomonic integration has given rise to inter-state agencies.

The army and police machinery has reached unheard-of dinensions.

The expansion of the sdninistrative functions of the bourgeois state has brought about coneiderably more extensive specialisation and professionalism of ita machinery, and as a consequence its further buresucretisation and the spread of corruption. One result of changes in government is the further exacorbation of antegoniems between the etate, on the one hand, and the moss of the population, on the other.

The vast expansion of the functions of the bourgacie stete today has resulted in the unprecedented ewelling of bureaucracy, which has become a huge machinery with ita own hiererchic atructure, a special code of behaviour, discipline and privileges. Can we say that the vast machinery of the bourgacie etate is relatively independent not only from the bourgacie etate is relatively independent not only from ecciety se a whole but also from the ruling classes to which it ultimetely owen its allegiance? This problem requires it ultimetely owen its allegiance? This problem requires the multi-million etete nechinery, inflated to unbelieveble proportions and isolated from society by a system of rules, regulations and prejudices (and, moreover, reflecting, in addition to everything else, a certain specialisation in society), cannot but help developing a tendency for independence.

This tendency manifests itself in the etesdy and, in a aense, irrational ewelling of etate machinery, and also in the procedures of policy formulation and decision-making, which have become extremely more complex.

There also are other factore which make dependence between the ruling close and the state machinery mediated and between the ruling close and the state, the rise of state-reciprocal. These include, in particular, the rise of state-management of the condition with the resultant huge expansion of the occommic function of the otate; pressure exerted

not, of courae, the abolition of representative institutions and the elective principle, but the conversion of the representative institutions from talking shops into 'working' bodies."

The bourgeois stats operates by icaning legal nots, with bills passed by parliaments and intended to regulate major sepects of the life of the state playing a special role. However, the bourgeoiste resorts more and more often to the breakdown of legality, the law and order for the establishment of which it once fought. Extra-judicial repression, pogroms, acts of violence and political assassinations are common practices in a number of countries. Another manifestation of this tendency is the fact that executive bodies have begun to issue legislative acts quits frequently. Lastly, the practice of "emergency legislation" has become widespread in capitalist countries, enabling their governments to exercise unlimited powers and to suspend not only conventional lawe but even the constitution.

As a result of the extended functions of the bourgeois state under conditions of state-monopoly capitalism and the scientific and technological revolution, its administrative activities have been changed considerably nowadays. The bodies of government energetically intervene in relations between individual groups of monopoly cspital, participate in drawing up, coordinating and financing economic development and research programmes, and actively contributs to the execution of technical policies and to planning personnel training-

As rivalry between composite has grown more acute, the changed role of the bodies of government in bourgeois countries benefite the ruling classes because it helps the outdated machinery of capitalist celf-regulation to continue in existence.

As the administrative powers of the bourgeois atates expand, there have of late smerged economic management and planning bodies, scientific, propagands and information centras, and agencies supervising mass media. Capitalist

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collective Works, Vol. 25, p. 423.

the whols, bourgeois govarnments, particularly in favourable economic conditions created by the introduction of scientific and technical achievements, part with a modicum of the capitalists' profits. Of course, the state bureaucracy, who do not lose anything personally, are more willing to accept this imperative, painful to the copitalists, than the capitalists themselves.

This doss not at all show, however, that bureaucracy is becoming an intermediata force in bourgeois society. To become such, it should have been ready not only to uphold the existing forms of property and the socio-political structures as a whole but also to go over to socialised forms of property, to the socialist system. But even the formulation of this problem with regard to imperialist states sounds a mere nonsense.

The bourgeois states are ruled by the cspitalist class, primarily by monopolies. But as has been noted above, the economy, cultura, police, srmy, foreign-policy agencies, etc. are supervised, as a rula, by career politicians trained and promoted through political parties, the officialdom, etc. Most of them come from the middle strata of the population.

A differentiated analysis of state bureaucracy shows that management of the economy, health care, education and culture, substantially diffaring from supervision of the repressive agencies, auch as the army, police and intelligence service, as playing a great role in present—day imperialist states. is playing a great role in present—day imperialist states influencing the state machinery and to the struggle for its democratisation.

Lastly, much importance is attached to ways and methods by which the mass of the working people and their vanguard, the working class and the communist parties, can influence the activity of the state machinery and the political system as a whole. The atruggle waged by communist parties in perliaments and out of them, the establishment of different ness organisations and pressure group, vigorous influence exerted through the communist and democratic press, redio and televition, atrikes, rallies, demonstrations and infiltration of the state machinery and the army are smong the methods the

on the state by the class forces opposed to and commuting the monopolies; the complication of all the functions involved in running society with its well-developed economy andmass actions during eocial conflicts; and lastly, the great influence of international factors, first and foremost, the changed alignment of world forces in favour of socialiem, democracy and peace. As a result of all this, the state machinery, remaining a guardian of the capitalist system, is gaining more relative independence, in particular, from the dominant class. This independence could be dofined rather as greater freedom of action in protecting the political and social structures as a whole.

The basic interests of the privileged employees of the stete machinery do not go beyond the framework of the intereets of the dominant clasees. The officialdom, who depend for their continued existence on the preservation of the system based on private property, are naturally called upon to serve that system. At the same time they have their own specific interests, which mey differ from the interests of one part of the bourgeoisie or another, or one group of monopoly capital or another. For instence, the industrial officialdom working at state-owned enterprises have an interest in the expansion of those industries and the public sector as a whole. This ambition may run afoul of the interests of individual monopoly amalgamations and provoke e conflict with tham. But when it comes to protecting the ruling cless es a whole egainst the encroachments of the working people, the etete bureaucracy and monopoly capital always put up a eingla front. Social lagiclation exemplifies this relativa independence of the bourgaois etete. Concessions won in thie field by the meee of the working people from the bourgeoie etata in protractad and hard-fought struggle ere often to the prejudice of monopoliee, which have to eesume certein commitments towards workers. These facts only show, however, that bourgeois governments, frightened by the upsurga of the working-cless and liberation movements, are more far-eighted than individual cepitaliete and protect the intereets of the ruling class as a whole. Wiehing to preserve

the whole, bourgeoia governmenta, particularly in favourable economic conditions created by the introduction of acientific and technical schievementa, part with a modicum of the capitaliata' profita. Of course, the state bureaucracy, who do not lose anything personally, are more willing to accept this imperstive, psinful to the capitalists, than the capitalista themselves.

This does not at all show, however, that bureaucracy is becoming an intermediate force in bourgeois society. To become such, it should have been ready not only to uphold the existing forms of property and the socio-political structures as whole but also to go over to socialised forms of property, to the socialist system. But even the formulation of this problem with regard to imperialist states sounds smere nonsense.

The bourgeoia atstea are ruled by the cspitsliet clsss, primsrily by monopolies. But as has been noted above, the economy, culture, police, army, foreign-policy sgencies, etc. are aupervised, as a rule, by career politicians trained and promoted through political parties, the officialdom, etc. Most of them come from the middle strsta of the population.

A differentisted snelysis of state buresucracy shows that management of the economy, health care, education and culture, substantially differing from aupervision of the repressive sgencies, such as the army, police and intelligence service, sgencies, such as the army, police and intelligence service, is playing a great role in present-day imperialiet states. This fact demands a differentiated approach to the problem of influencing the state machinery and to the struggle for its democratisation.

Lastly, much importance is attached to waye and methods by which the mass of the working people and their vanguard, the working class and the communist perties, can influence the activity of the estate machinery and the political system as a whole. The struggle weged by communist parties in parliements and out of them, the cetablichment of different mane ments and out of them, the cetablichment of different mane torganisations and preceure group, vigorous influence exerted organisations and preceure group, vigorous influence exerted through the communist end demonstrations and infiltration of eion, etrikes, rallice, demonstrations and infiltration of the etete mechanicry and the army are among the methods the

etudy of which represents much interest from the point of view of both theory and practice.

Critical or opposition-minded public opinion can heve substantial influence on politice in bourgeois countries. The communist press has been legalised in the overwhelming majority of Western states.

In addition, the press expressing the interests of the democratic forces is relatively widespread. Although the press, radio and television eerving the ruling classes are dominant in all the bourgeois countries, the actual influence of the opposition press on politics in those countries is more considerable than it could have been on the strength of its comparative eize alone. Influence on public opinion is one of the major means by which communist parties in the capitalist world can contribute to the crestion of conditions for democratic reforms.

Crisis of Bourgeoia Democracy From the outset bourgeoie demorracy constituted a covort, camouflaged form of the exer-

of power by the class of cepitelists. But the bourgeoisie the ebeclute minority of the population and for this reason democracy in bourgeois ecciety can never be the genuine rule of the people. To begin with, it is restricted to politics, whereas production management is unconditionally dominated by the class of proprietors and by technocrate feithfully serving their meeters.

One characteristic feature of bourgeoia democracy is its formal neture. The actual atetus of man in society depends on his belonging to a particular cless, on his weelth. In addition, the rights and freedoms of citizene, formal as they are, are usually restricted in many ways.

Bourgeois democracy depende on the passive role of the mass of the population in politics. This characteristic feature of bourgeois democracy is recognised even by bourgeois ideologists. For instance, the well-known British political scientist Georgo Parry hee had to eduit the need for a certain extention of the people's perticipation in politics.

G. Psrry, <u>Participation in Politics</u>. Hanchester University Press, Totewe (N.I.), Rownan & Littlefield, 1972.

Certain bourgeois ideologists, aware that today it is impossible to keep people out of politics, often discuse the long-overdue need for change in the quality of life, meaning, in addition to everything else, a certain participation of the working people in government. By formulating this idea, they, naturally, pursue the cole objective of enhancing the viability of the capitalist system.

The transition of capitaliet society to imperialism in the course of ite development is characterised by a turn from democracy to reaction. "Both in foreign and home policy imperialism etrives towards violations of democracy, towards reaction. In this eense imperialism is indisputably the 'negarition' of democracy in general, of all democracy..." This tion' of democracy in general, of all democracy... "This is due to the fact that imperialism has replaced free enterprise with the unchallenged rule of monopoly capital in the economy and therefore in politics.

The progress made by the proletarist in class struggle, the disillucionment of the mass of the working people, including progressive intellectuals, with capitalism, the growing political awareness and political culture of the working people and eone other factors imposed constraints on the once people and eone other factors imposed constraints on the once of bourgeois democracy. Lenin supplied a brilliant example of the application of dialectice to the problem of attitude to the application of dialectice to the problem of attitude to democracy. "... Socialiem," he wrote, "is impossible without democracy because: (1) the proletariat cannot perform the socialist revolution unless it prepares for it by the etruggle for democracy; (2) victorious socialism cannot consolidate its victory and bring humanity to the withering away of the state without implementing full democracy."

The Communiate identify in capitaliet democracy, on the one hand, forme and methods meeting the needs of the political dominance of the bourgeoieie and, on the other, the righte and freedome won by the working people in persevering etruggle

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 23, p. 43.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 74.

weged over many years. The latter rights include the freedom of the press, of assembly, of demonstrations, the right to etrike, to establish political and professional organisations, and certain concessions in social relations wrenched from the bourgeoisic and formalised in logislation. Those rights and freedoms — curtailed and often formal — are not at all something given once and far all. Conversely, monopoly cepital is doing its utmost to take them back from the mass of the working people. The Communists work, first and forenost, for the restoration of these rights and freedoms whenever they are suppressed, and for their preservation and extension.

Moreover, the Communiata struggle not merely for the restoration of bourgeois democracy. Monopoly cspital, preferring, as a rule, the fascist and authoritarian forms of dominance, nevertheless does not give up its key positions in the economy and politics under conditions of bourgeois democracy. It is wrong to think that monopoly rule and bourgeois democracy are mutuelly exclusive. Imperialism tries to get rid of political democracy but when it fails to do so it exercises ite rule by means of that democracy.

Bourgeois democracy in itself does not give firm guarantees sgsinst evolution towards authoritarianism and avert dictatorahip. On the contrary, monopoliss use it to head for such evolution. The main point is, however, that under bourgeois democracy the vital needs of the broadest strata of the populstion, such as peace, national independence and sovereignty, an agrerien reform in the interests of the toiling pessantry, guaranteed jobs, emancipation of intellectuals from spiritual slavery under the heel of monopoly capital and equality between men and women, cannot be met. New democracy, capable of standing up for the interests of the people, is necessary to meet these needs. That is why the Communists stand for the rejuvenation of democracy as well as for its preservation. They advocate the establishment of a democratic government which, relying on the mass of the working people, could carry through an extensive programme of social and democratic reforms.

The present-dsy situation, characterised by a change in the world elignment of forces in favour of socialism and peece, ie creating more favourable conditions, in perticular, for the etruggle of the democratic forces in capitalist countries. The working clean is striking, first and forement, at copitalist monopoliss, which ere the worst easy of the peacepitalist monopoliss, which ere the worst easy of the peacepitalist conopoliss, which ere the worst easy of the city, santry, craftenen and other petty proprietors in the city, office employees, intellectuals and oven part of medium-aized cepitalists. The moin forces of a nation have an interest in the abolition of undivided monopoly rule. This makes it possible to unite all the democratic movements opposed to the oppression of the financial oligarchy.

In cepitaliat countries Communicta etand for the largeacale democratisation of the entire public life, which
abould affect not only politica, including broader powers
for parliaments and local bodies of self-government, the
for parliament of a fair electoral system, etc. The proletariat
cetablishment of a fair electoral system, etc. The proletariat
cetablishment of a fair electoral system, etc. The proletariat
cetablishment of a fair electoral system, etc. The proletariat
cetablishment of the flaction on terms favouring the
cetablishment of the demands of the peasantry for radical egraripeople, becks the demands of the peasantry for vigorous
and reforms and mobilises the mace of the people for vigorous
opposition to the course of the financial oligarchy and monoopposition to the course of the financial oligarchy and monopolice, which are eccking to abrogate democratic freedoms and
to cetablish an authoritarian regime.

Political Parties and Trade Unions Parties have a great role to plsy in the political system of bourgeois ecciety, because atruggle between them is the

most integral, comprehensive and full-fledged manifeatation of the political atruggle of classes.

The role of parties in bourgeois society has been constantly growing since its very inception. Imperialism has not only sggrsysted the antsgoniams between the proletarist and the bourgeoisie but also dramstically polarised interests inside the capitalist class itself between the monopolies and other the capitalist class itself between the monopolies and other groups of the bourgeoisie. The activity of the mass of the groups in politics has grown considerably. As a consequence, people in politics has grown considerably. As a consequence, the number of perties increased and rivalry between them the number of perties increased and rivalry between them the number of perties increased and rivalry parties became more acute. In terms of their social nature, parties can be divided into proletarian, aemi-proletarian, petty bourgeois, egrarian and bourgeois. Depending on the ideology professed by them and the sins they pursue in politics, they

sre divided into communist, eociel-democratic, liberal, conservative, fascist and othera. Finally, from the point of view of the role played by them in the political system of the country, they are divided into ruling and opposition.

The class structure of bourgeois society and its social relations predetermine the role of political parties in its life. Bourgeois parties are a tool of class domination. Comminist and workers' parties are organisations of the class struggle of the working people under the leedership of the prolestriat. Reformist parties perform the role of an adaptation mechanicm. In some circumstances they join forces with bourgeois parties, usually when a threat to the rule of the bourgeoide ariese. But when there is no such threat, they may exert certain pressure on the ruling classes.

The role played by bourgeois parties in developed capitalist countries may vary se well. In the political system of the United States, for instance, they play for the most part the role of the electoral mechanism. State policy is directly influenced by the National Association of Industrialiets, by major monopolies, including those comprising the military—industrial complex, by the American Chamber of Commerce and also by numerous "lobbies". In West German politics, the parties of the ruling classes play a more significant role and in Italy political parties are attached much importance in the political system of the country.

During the pest few years the aggravation of the general crisis of cspitalism enhanced considerably the role of communist parties. "The international working class and its political vanguard - the Communist and Workers' parties," the 26th CPSU Congress pointed out, "approached the sighties with confidence. They sproached then as active fighters for the rights of the working people, and for pesce and security of nations. The communist movement continued to expand its ranks, and to win increecing influence smong the masses. Today, Communist parties are active in 94 countries. In Western Europe slone, some 800,000 new fighters have joined their ranks in the peet ten years."

^{1.} Documents and Resolutions. The 26th Congress..., p. 21.

It should be pointed out that the policiee of a number of lett-wing socialist end social-democretic parties have shifted to the left for the same reason. As a result, the communist parties cen come into contect and even make alliences with them. Such elliances will undoubtedly edd to the influence of the working people's parties on politics in capitalist countries. In astablishing these contacts, communist parties always bear in mind that any ideological rapprochement of scientific communism and the reformism of the Social-Democrets is out of question.

Politicel perties in capitalist countries formalise their activities by issuing appropriate political ects, the most important of them being programmes, rules or constitutions, manifestoes, statements and declarations. Parties use these documents to formulate and popularise their political ideals, to work out the strategy and tactics of their activities end to define their attitude to major international issues. During the recent period, es contacts between different perties heve become mora extensive, joint atterments, declarations and communiques of two or more parties appear quite often.

The perty leeder has a decisive role to play in making policy and carrying it out in a number of countries. He also directs the party's group in perliament and becomes the head of government (or president) when his perty comes to power. In the United States, for instance, the president is not obliged even in form to follow the directives of his party. The chairman (secretary) of such parties is in fact only responsible for the organisation and carrying out of election campeigns. Even the congresses of these parties are convened on the eve of the next elections.

Trade unions are an important institution in the political system of bourgeois society. They are called upon to protect the economic rights of working people. Some of them are a weapon of the class struggle against capitalist oppression, others are a sort of buffer between enterpreneurs and workers and still others are a tool of resection and play a treacherous role in the workers' struggle. There are capitalist countries in which trade unions have no visible role

in politics.

Bourgeois Theories of the Political System of Society The crisic of the political system of bourgeois eccisty is reflected in bourgeoie theories. A cherocteristic

festure of most of them is idealism. The economic system of bourgeois society, far from being regarded se s factor determining the activity of the bourgeois state, is altogether ignored. All sorts of ideological conceptions, struggle between the contradictory interests of different strats of eociety and the professional skill of politicisms are considered the decisive factors determining the nature of the state.

The cepitalist state is viewed as a "supraclass institution" in all the bourgeois conceptions. At beet some suthors recognise that in the past the bourgeois state had a class character. Anti-communicaties perticular feature of the bourgeois and reformist theories of the state.

The bourgeois theories of the etste can be divided from the point of view of their political character into liberel ,(to which reformist once are lesning), moderate and resotionary. Moreover, each of the above trends is heterogeneous.

The champions of that theory exploit the fact that in the age of imperielian the capitalist atete begen intervene in economics, ideology and culture on a larger scale. Faced with the upsurge of the scientific and technological revolution and the huge development of the productive forces, statemonopoly cepitalism, having inflated its profits to gigantic proportions, has the possibility somewhat to improve the living standards of working people. In addition, the schievements of the proleteriat in its class struggle in capitalist countries and the growing living standards of the population in the countries of the socialist community have forced the bourgeois state to take a number of measures in social effairs. Bourgeois ideologiets are using these facts to proclain that the bourgeois etete has now become a "welfare state."

However, bourgeois scholars themselves have to edmit that the present-day bourgeois atete is far from being a "welfore agoncy". Professor David Morch, for instance, recognises that the activity of the British state in the field of social corvices is obviously discriminatory on class grounds.

One more liberal conception is the dectrine of "plurslistic democracy", rooted in what is known so isstitutionalism, of which Mourice Heriou is commonly considered the founder.

After the Second World War that conception, slightly modernised, became even more widespreed as the theory of "political pluralism", which is being enthusiastically presched by R. Homilton, S. Hook, O. Toffler, D. Bell and others. In occordance with that conception, political power in bourgeoia society is divided between organisations representing the geoia society is divided between organisations representing the interests of different atrasts of society. One consequence of interests of different atrasts of society. The conception of "pluralistic of political power in society. The conception of "pluralistic democracy" in a more liberal form is preached by present day reformist ideologists, such as D. Strachey in Britain.

The theory of the statarecht, or "rule by lsw", is a moderate conception. It becsee widespread at the time of industrial cspitalism, when the bourgeoisie was seeking to fend off excessive interference of the state in its affairs. The ideologists of the bourgeoieie were seeking to restrict the rule of the state by lsw. The ideo of the staterecht was progressive in so far as it stood in opposition to feudal resction. It should be borne in mind, however, that while resction. It should be borne in the laws, the bourgeoisie demanding that the state observe the lsws, the bourgeoisie at the same time wanted it not to interfere with their exploitation of the working people. The right of the bourgeoisie to exploitation was sanctified in law.

Interest in the <u>etaaterecht</u> conception has been revived in the recent period. This conception creates the illueion that under the rule of law citizens are "guarantoed" sgainst the "srbitrariness" of the etate.

The main flaw of this conception is the metsphysical counter-opposition of law to the state. The authore of that

D. March. <u>The Future of the Welfare State</u>, London, 1964, pp. 118, 139.

conception are ignoring the fact that it is precisely the stats that exercises supervision over the observance of law.

The more popular of the reactionary theories of bourgeois statehood is the conception of "the ruling elits" and
its varisties. The bourgeois ideologists' interest in it nowadays can be explained by a move from democracy to reaction
in the politics of bourgeois society. The varieties of the
"ruling slits" conception are intended to justify the crisis
of bourgeois democracy. One of them claims that a "technocratic slite" hes come to rule bourgeois eociety today as
a natural consequence of the scientific and technological revolution, which demands ever higher technical skills from those
who govern society. The proponents of this brand of the conception believe that as a consequence of the "managerial revolution" the state has also fallen within the managers'
grasp and lost its political character. 1

Other bourgeois ideologiste say that power in society has been seized by a political elite. Still others claim that political power in society is shared by several elites, including the technocrats, scientists and politicians. The elites "share power between themselves and counterbalance one another". Hany proponents of this view advocate the destruction of the main institutions of bourgeois democracy, such as the perliament, and the concentration of power in the hands of a small group of people.

The "ruling slite" conception is a justification of the tendency for the substitution of totalitarian regimes for bourgeois democracy.

Awars of the dangerous character of "slitism", the communist and workers' perties are resolutely atruggling against all its manifestations as wall as egainst the idsologies justifying it. All this shows that deep-going and insolvable contradictions characterising today's bourgeois society sme reflected, in particular, in the character of the conceptions of bourgeois scientists regarding the ospitalist state and the nature of the political system of bourgeois society.

^{1.} See J. Galbreith, The New Industrial State, London, 1971.

3. Political Forms of Transition from Capitalian to Socialism

Following a eocialist revolution, there onerges and develope the political system of socialist society, its central inetitution being the state of the working cleee with which all the atrata of the working people are allied.

The founders of ecientific communiem .- Marx and Engels .formulated the idoe of the dictatorehip of the proletariat and defined the more substantial tasks of the state at the initial etago of the revolution, when the bourgeoisie is expropriated and the foundations of a new system built. Developing thoir doctrino, Lenin discovered Soviet government as s form of the dictatorship of the proletarist, put forward the idea of a federation of Soviet socialiet republics and substantisted the principles of reletionshipe between the USSR and bourgeois stetes. Lenin'e ideae beceme embodied in the 1918 Constitution of the Russian Federstion, the 1924 Constitution of the USSR end the 1936 Constitution of the USSE; they are at the basis of the latest, 1977 Soviet Constitution.

A wealth of truly invaluable experience of real eocialiem has been accumulated during the 60 odd yeers of the existence of the Soviet state and 30 years of the functioning of most of the other socialist countries. This is the experience of translating into practice the ideas and forecasts put forward by the founders of scientific communism and at the same time the experience of enriching, developing the Marxist doctrine and spplying it to specific situations.

The countries of the world socialist system have reached different levels in their development and the forms of their stetes are different in many respects. But all of them are stetes of s new, socialist type, bound to replace the bourgeois etste.

Historical Imperstive of the Dictetorship of the Proletsriяt

The proletsrist needs political power "to wrest, by degrees, sll capital from the bourgeoisie to centralise all instruments of production

in the hande of the State, i.e., of the proletariat organised

as the ruling clsas; and to incresse the total of productive forces as rapidly as possible. "1"

Expounding this idea, Marx and Engels drew the concluaion that class struggle necessarily leads to the dictstorahip
of the prolateriat and that this dictstorship alona snaurea
a transition to sociaty without classes and without the atate.
Lenin davelopad the theory of the dictatorship of the proletarist on the basis of the experience of the international
and Ruesian working-class movement in the apoch of imperisliem.

The power of the working class differs from all the previous states chiefly in that coercion towards class anemias is not its min aspect. Relying on its state, the working class directs the gigantic economic, organisational, cultural, educational and ideological work involved in building a new, socialist society. Since the early years following the victory of the revolution it has been organising and leading the mass of the farming population. It involves in building a new life intellectuals, certain groups of whom were initially influenced to a certain extent by the bourgeoisie. As socialist transforcetions are carried out, all the working strate of the population are greduelly embracing the positions of the working class under the deap-going influence of its prograssive world outlook and realiss that socialism mests their vital interests.

The prectice of the USSR and other escialist countries has displayed a tendency for ever broader alliances of democratic forces on which the power of the working people relice from the outset. While in the USSR that alliance initially consisted of the poor peasantry (in addition to the working class) and only partially of the other non-proletarian atrata of the working people, in People's Democracies it included since the victory of the people's revolutions the entire peaceantry, the urban patty bourgeoisie and other democratic forces.

The working closs of accislist countries has set numerous

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engals, Collected Works, Vol. 6, p. 504.

examples of most peinetaking cencern for the moods of the pescantry, concessione to it, compromises with it, otc. These principles are illustrated by a decree on astionslicing the land, adopted in the early years of Soviet government. Similar concessions and compromises were often made with regard to bourgeois intellectuals and other non-prolaterian strata of working people. The practice of Chine and some other People's Democracies has demonstrated the possibility of class compromises with that part of the middle bourgeoisis who are loyal to the rule of the working class.

The reectionary bourgeoisis, vigorously resisting socialist transformations, is a different metter. The working class can never guarantee it that it will never resort to Now we are dealing with a very important matter - the Communists' attitude to the use of coercion struggls for the victory of the new society. Contrary to the clsims of opportunists, coercion in itself is not built into scientific socialism and its ideals. Coercion our ideals," Lenin wrote. "... The entirs trend of development," he etsted, "is towards sbolition of coercive domination of one part of ecciety over another. "1 This great and noble objective is in accord with the means of etruggle chosen by the Communists, who have always been opposed to the tactic of terror against individuals, to conspiracies of emsll groups, to military coups and putches, which have slwsys bean used feirly often by the reactionary bourgeoisie in its struggle egsinet the people. Communism has always advocated a broad and truly democratic movement of the mass of people.

The orders making it possible to coerce psopls and to oppress and humiliste them are profoundly hateful to the class which itself hes for centuries been subjected to oppression, which itself hes for centuries been subjected to oppression, ruthless victimisation and persecution. Neither does the working class urture vengefulness towards its exploiters. It working class now enough to revenge itself but to build a new eccisseauce power not to revenge itself but to build a new eccisseauce power not to revenge itself but to build a new eccisseauce power its fundame goals, the working class of any type. Pursuing its humane goals, the working class each to choose appropriate methods of struggle. The Communists use every possibility to do without violence both in the course of struggle for power and of building socialiem.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 23, p. 69.

Bourgeois propagands tries to present political suppression under the dictatorship of the proletarist exclusively as terror, repression and downright restrictions of democratic rights. But these extreme measures are only used in response to the active resistance of the bourgeoisie itself.

As far as it depends on the working class, it always prefers non-violent methods to repression. Indeed, the broader the stratum of the bourgeoisie is prepared to cooperation with the working class, the essier socialist transformations are carried out, the smaller sacrifices they demand,
the accent the knowledge and organisational skills of the
loyal former capitalists and the intellectuals who leamed
towarde the bourgeoisie in the past become useful to the new
society.

Having unlesshed s civil war, Ruseisn cspitslists and land-owners theseelves compelled Soviet government to resort to repression which only was s response to the violence of the overthrown exploiters. This was recognised by many importial observers. Herbert Wells, who visited Russis in 1920, wrote in his book <u>Russis in the Shodows</u> that it was not communiem but European imperialism that had drawn this hugs, shattered and bankrupt empire into the six-year-long sapping war. Nor was it communies that tortured suffering and perhaps even dying Russia by incessant stacks, invasions and mutinies subsidied from without, and tried to atrangle it with the monstrously ruthless blocksde. The vengeful French creditor and the dumb Britiah journsliat bear far greeter responsibility for this deadly agony than any Communiet.

Diverse Forms of the Dictetorship of the Proletariat The clase nature of power, intended to be a tool of transition to socialism, manifeate itself in diverge forms which reflect the

epecific circumstances of every country and the historical setting of its transition to socielies. That was foreseen by Lenin who wrote: "All nations will arrive at socialies - this is inevitable, but all will do so in not exactly the same way, each will contribute exacthing of its own to some

form of democrecy, to some variety of the dictatorahip of the proleteriat, to the varying rate of socialist transformations in the different aspects of social life."

Relying on general conclusions from historical experience, Marxian-Leninism highlights the following specific forms of the political power of the working claas: the 1871 Parie Commune, Soviet government, and people's democracy. The Paris Commune, es everyone knows, was held up by Marx The Paris Commune, es everyone knows, was held up by Marx and Engels as the first practical embodiment of the dictatorship of the proletariat. The Soviet form of the dictatorship of the proletariat was thoroughly analysed by Lenin. People's democracy emerged after the Second World War and ite significance and apecific features were discussed theoretically in the documents of communist and workers' parties.

The more important factors of the specific form of the power of the working clasa and methoda of ita operation include a more or less favourable alignment of the clasa forces within the country and a broad front of the proletariat's allies; the level of the country's induetrial and cultural allies; the state of war (or peace) at the time of the revolution; the peaceful (or non-peaceful) development of the revolution and the acute forms of class etruggle (in particular, much dependa on whether a civil war breaks out); the international situation, including assistance from other international situation, including assistance from other in the socio-political life. The most important thing here is the alignment of the class forces and the ecope of the front of the proletarian allies.

The world's first victorious power of the working class asserted itself in Russia, a country with a moderately developed capitalist system and strong feudal and autocratic traditions. It was also important that the dominant group of traditions in the country were the peasants. The Russian the population in the country were the peasants. The Russian proletariat, with which the poor strata of the farming population were allied, faced the adversary as represented by the entire landowners' class and the bourgeoisle, while the petty

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 23, p. 69-70.

bourgeoieie was vacilleting, often leaning towards countsrrsvolution (e.g., the Socialiet Revolutionariee' mutiny in 1918), etc.

The Ruseian working class had to cope with the most deepsrate reeistancs of domestic counter-revolution, the forces of which were sugasnted many-fold by accistance from international capital. In addition, it was also important that the dictatorahip of the proletarint in the USER arose from conditions of imperialist war, when militarisation had pervaded all society. Isnin wrote that "a revolution which breaks out during a war ... is e particularly severa cass of child-birth."

Deepite these extremely unfavoureble conditions, the world's first government of the working class displayed the aufficiently typical characteristics of a new socialist state and the entire political system of socialist society.

At the same time the Soviet government displayed at ite sarly stages certain apacific cheracteristics called for by the circumstances in which it had to function. To counter the merciless civil war unlesshed by donestic counter-revolution with the support of international reaction, the Soviet government had temporarily to etrip the bourgeoisis of its electoral rights. Incidentally, n mere two per cent of the population (2.5 million) were denied electoral rights at that time.

Lenin foressw that future revolutione would take place in considerably milder circumstances and that democracy would be developed on a broader scale from the outset. He wrote that wherever the bourgesiese did not put up such vicious resistance ne it did in our country, the new government would be able to work without that violence "that was forced upon us by the Kerenskys and the imperialists." Other countries, Isnin pointed out, would be able to secure the rule of the working class "by a different, more humane road."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 27, p. 498.

^{2.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 29, p. 271.

The countries of people's domocracy onbarked on the socialist road in more favourable domostic and international conditions than the Soviot Union had dono. The forms of political organisation in Peopla's Democracies have a number of common characteristics rected in the present stage of the general crisis of capitalism. This makes it possible to describe peopla's democracy as a new form of the dictatorship of the proletariat. At the same time the political organisation of each of those countries has many specific features related to their economic development levels and historical and national traditions.

The state forms of the Poople's Democracies energed as a consequence of the liberation government of the peoples against fascism and the vestiges of feudalism (where they still remained), and also against foreign oppression. An anti-fascist dsmocratic front took shaps in the course of that struggle. It comprised the working class, which from the outset was the leading forcs of the revolution, the peasantry, most of the intelligentsia, and in certain instances part of the middls bourgeoisis. The transition from the democratic to the socialist stags of revolution in those countries was carried out without civil wars. That was a symptomatic phenomenon, teetifying to class shifts which had taken place in capitalist countries in the present epoch, to the ever greater isolation of the monopoly bourgeoisie and to the broadening of the front of the proletariat's allies in the socialist revolution.

A new major factor which hed a favourable effect on the development of the People's Democracies was the existence of the powerful Soviet socialist state, which rendered comprehensive economic and political assistance to new governments.

People's democracy, unlike Soviet government, did not begin at once to perform the functions of the diotatorship of the proletariat. In some countries the communist and workers' parties did not as yet have firm majorities in parliaments and coalition governments at the initial stage of the revolution. Although they wielded much influence among the people, considerable sections of the peasantry, intelligentain and middle strata of the population followed other

parties; in this situation the state was a form of the democratic power of the people aimed, first and foremost, against fascism and its henchmen. From the point of view of its class nature that power was the revolutionarydemocratic dictatorship of the proletariat and the peasantry.

From the outset the working class played the leading role in the democratic coalition but immediately after the people's democratic revolution it shared power with other classes. It was an intermediate, transitory kind of state, the fate of which depended on the alignment of class forces within the general democratic bloc and on the results of the class struggle between the working people and the right-wing bourgeois elements.

A broader alliance of the class forces on which people's democracy relies has made it possible to expand the limite of political democracy. Restrictions of political rights were only applied to a seall number of the henchmen of the maxi invaders, to the traitors to their people. From the very beginning democracy was extended to all the strata of the peasantry, the urban petty bourgeoisie, the intellectuals and other democratic and national forces.

In China, the people's government relied on a broad accial base at the beginning of the revolution. The national liberation front in that country began to smerge during the war against Japan and united all the strata of the population, including the numerically etrong national bourgeoisie. Host of the desocratic allies of the working class gradually embraced the positions of support for accialien upon the formation of the People's Republic of China.

Although the Sovieto and people's democracy as two forms of the power of the working people led by the working classe are the eass in their fundemental aspecte, there are also differences between them due to specific historical circumstance in which they energed.

First, there are many partice led by Marxiet-Leniniet parties in some People's Democracies. Unlike Enceia, in which not only bourgeois and landowners' but also petty-bourgeois parties were opposed to the proletarian revolution, a number of People's Democracies saw many such partice supporting the transition to the socialist stage of the revolution. They

recognise the leading role of the working class and its Marxist-Leninist party and join it in ensuring the further progress of society along the socialist way.

Second, the communist and workers' parties in People's Democracies exercise their leading role not only through government bodies, trade unions and other mass organisations, ae is the case in the USSR, but also through the people's (or national) front as a new organisational form of the alliance of the working class, the peasantry, the petty bourgeoisie and the intelligentsia.

Third, people's democracy has certain specific features in the organization of the machinery of government and administration. A number of countries made use of certain eretwhile forms of national representation in creating the machinery of the new power, such as transformed parliaments (the National Assembly in Czechoalovakia, the Sejm in Poland, etc.). The breakdown of the old state machinery wos also carried out in different force in those countries. In some of them the more reactionary components of the old machinery that have been in nazi service (such as the army and police) were abolished in the course of democratic transformations and a new, democratic machinery was established. Subsequently the entire machinery of administration was gradually traneformed to meet the needs of building eocialiem.

The participation of the more or lees broad etrata of the bourgeoieie in national alliancee in a number of People's Democracies gave rise to the problem of establishing cooperation with and at the same time of re-educating whole classes which bore an exploitative nature in the paet.

Hietorical experience has provided us with the following modele of basic political regimes in socialist states.

Proletarian democracy, which is characterised as a class democracy, ensuring obvious advantages, in particular, those formalised constitutionally, for the prolotariat in the electoral system and bodies of government before other classes and which overtly curtails desocracy for the propertied classes; this regime is exemplified by Soviet government in the early years of its existence.

<u>Democracy of the wholo people</u>, which is characterised by the consistent implementation of the fundamental characterietics of the escialiet etate (of the whole people) as the power of the mese of the working people led by the working clase undor conditions of victorious escialism and actually expanding real rights and freedoms for the working people; it is the Soviet etate at the present stegs of its development.

People's democracy, which ensures the guidance of the etate hy the working clase with which the passantry and petry bourgeoise are allied but which does not directly curtoil democracy for those representatives of the propertied classes who are loyal to the people's rule; this regime is exemplified by European socialist countries at the early stage of their sxistence. At present they have carried out or are cerrying out the transition to full-scale accialist democracy.

New denocracy which is characterised by an alliance of the clase forces that include, in addition to the working class, the pessantry and the petty bourgeoisis, the national bourgeoisis; descreey is directly curtailed only for the compradors bourgeoisis.

Having built the foundations of socialiem, European escialiat countries went over to complete the construction of socieliet esciety and to build edvanced escialism. As for the Saviet Union, it has built edvanced socialiet esciety with the resultant transformation of the political system in our country.

In this way, the practice of people's democracies has fully corroborated Lemin's ideas that the further history advances the more fovourable conditions will become for the development of the democratio forms of the rule of the working close from the very outset of socielist revolution.

On Future Forms of the Working-Close Power The communiet and workers' parties are doing a good deal to atuay problems of the future forms of power wielded

hy the working cleee, methode of activity of the etate and the party eyetem, the role of demorrory, and waye of its traneition to eccialiem with due regard for the development levele of countries, historical traditions and the political culturee of individual nations.

Today, the non-socialist world is made up by st least three groupe of countries, nemoly, countries of highly doveloped cepitelism, countries of medium-developed cepitelism and developing countries. They differ from one another not only in the levele of economic and industrial dovelopment but also in the levels of social and political devolopment. The degree of the development of the working clees and ite movement, the character of the party system, the role of the etate, the political regime, the level of maee consciousnees and political culture, all domand an indepth concrete analysis of ell the three types of countries and every individual country. The fector of susteined national democretic treditions, 6.g., in France, Italy or Britain, wall-estebliehed cepitalist precticee, or the tredition of authoriterian rulo in many Letin American countries cannot be disregarded in eppraising the present-day bourgeois etate and the level and social content of democracy, and therefore the waye of etruggle for eocialiem and forme of the future rule of the working clses. Relying on the international experience of real socialiem, commumist perties in non-socialist countries analyse in depth the netional circumstancee of every country.

Listed below are the fectors which are bound to influence the forms and methods of rule of the working clese in the more developed cepitalist countries.

It is to be expected that the alignment of class forces will be more fevourable than it was in previous revolutions and that socialist democracy will from the outset rely on a broader base than before. The grounds for this supposition are supplied by the further stratification of classes in capitalist countries as a consequence of the rise of state-monopoly on italism and the isolation of the increasingly narrow oligarchic group of monopolists confronting the people.

Whe struggle for the development and renovation of democracy and for the restriction and then curbing of monopoly rule is becoming the objective of workers, peasants and middle streta of the urban population alike.

The existence of <u>e relatively well-developed working-class movement</u> and also democratic traditions cannot but influence the forms of power of the working class. There is not n aingle capitalist country in which there would be no communist parties or groupe, a mora or less developed trade union movement and organisations of petty bourgeois democracy.

The growth and strengthening of the system of socialist countries is exerting a great revolutionising influence on the whole of social life on earth and on the ways and forms of the peoples' transition to occialism. The achievements of socialism in the economy and culture, in raising the living standards and in extending democracy and froedom are growing from year to year. They are winning over to the aids of accialism millions of working people in capitalist countries. As a result, the number of allies of the working class in every capitalist country is growing, which makes for broader possibilities of using the democratic methods of struggle for socialism.

Lastly, the possibility to evert world wars today may creats a new historical aituetion for transition to escialiem. Up to now ascialiat revolutions were linked with world ware, which generated worldwide criaes - "economic, political, national and international". They expedited revolutions but at the esue time left an indelible imprint on the forme and methoda of close struggle and therefore on the functioning of the dictetorchip of the proleteriat. Transition to socialism under conditions of peace and peaceful coexistence of atstes will create more fevourable conditions for the development of the democratic aspects of proleterian rule.

All these factore give grounds to hope that democracy will be even more important than before both in the course of socialist revolution and in the forms and methode of the exercise of power by the working class.

However, mention should also be made of sdverse factore, auch ea, first and foremost, the unparelleled growth of the

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 23, p. 299.

might of monopoly capital and its coalescence with the state. The state machinery and the army, the bulwarks of the dominant class, have grown immessurably. The unity of international capital, intervening in the affairs of individual cepitalist countries, has also grown. Extremely resctionary forms of government, persecuting the Communists and all the other progressive elements, have become entrenched in a number of countries. It is therefore clear that transition to socialiem in many capitalist countries involves the implementation of general democratic reforms, the reatorstion and renovation of democrecy and curtailment of the rule of monopolies and the army.

Obviously, both the course of accisliet revolution and the forms and methods of working-class rule would be individualised to a considerable degree in those countries where radical reforms could be carried out to limit and eliminsts monopoly rule. These individual characteristics would made themselves felt in at leset three areas, namely, methods of expropriation of the bourgeoisis, the role of perliamentary institutions and the possibility for non-proleterian perties to participate in building socialism under the lesdership of a working-class party.

Of course, the forms of exproprietion of the bourgeoieie which were used in socialiet countries cannot be ruled out. At the seme time the possibility (never renounced by Communists) of buying the implements and means of production from the bourgeoisis (partially and sometimes, perhaps, even in full) has become more realistic.

Communist parties in a number of cepitalist countries proceed from the assumption that in those countries which here solid democretic traditions the working clees may, in the course of clees struggle, turn the parliament into a tool of the mass of the working people. Of course, the traditional parliementery form of government will have to be filled with a new social content. It is also likely, furthermore, that transition to socialism in developed cepitalist countries will be carried out under conditions of a multi-party system with the leeding role of a Harriet-Leninist party. These conclusions are reflected in the policy documents of the communist parties

of Francs, Italy, Britain and other capitalist countries.

Unlike the reformists, however, the Communists helisva that in any case the working class has to carry out a revolution, to satablish its rule capable of defending tha revolutional gains and carrying out socialist transformstions.

Practice shows that the basic and inalienable characteristics of socialist revolution and of building socialism remain effective. In report "The Great October Rovolution and Mankind's Progress" is streesed that "the sum total of experience in the development of world socialism provides convincing evidence, smong other things, of the following:

"the question of power continues to be the main issue in a revolution. It is either the power of the working class, acting in alliance with all the working people, or the power of the bourgeoisie. There is no third possibility;

"transition to socielism is possible only if the working close and ite alliss, having gained real political power, use it to end the socio-economic domination of capitalist and other exploiters;

"socialiem cen be victorious only if the working class end ite vanguard, the Communiets, are able to inspire and unite the working people as a whole in the straggls to build the new society, to transform the economy and all social relstions along socialist lines;

"socialism can consolidate its position only if the working people's power is cepable of defending the revolution against any attacks by the class enemy (and such attacks ere inevitable, both internal and, most of all, external."

These are only some of the lessons of the present-day development of eccialism. They confirm enew the absolute correctness of Lenin, who wrote: "It is the Ruseian model that reveale to all countries something -- and something highly significant -- of their near and inevitable future."

2. V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, p. 22.

^{1.} L.I. Brezhnev, Our Course: Peace and Socialism, Novosti Press Agency Publishing House, Moscow, 1978, p. 179.

4. The Political System of Advanced Socialist Society

Having completed the psriod of transition and the building of a close-knit socialist socisty on which the founders of Marxiam-Leninism concentrated their sttention, the CPSU found itself faced with the new tasks of developing socialism on its own basis. Relying on the scientific forecasts of the founders of our doctrine and analysing newly gained practical experience, the CPSU drafted a programme for the further development of socialism and for transition to communism. The new Soviet Constitution, adopted in 1977, reflected the besic theoretical ideas of the CPSU and other Marxist-Leninist parties of socialist countries.

The political eystem of sdvanced socialist society is the power of the Soviet people, the besic institutions of which ere the state of the whole people and the CPSU; its social role consists in the guidance and supervision of the constructive sociality of the Soviet people in their struggle for the victory of communica.

There are three periods in the development of Soviet society and its political system: the transition period, which sade with building the foundations of socialism and to which the etsts of the dictatorship of the proleterist corresponds; the period of building advanced socialist society, at which the state of the dictatorship of the proleterist grows into a state of the whole peopls; and the present stage, to which the political system of edvenced eocialist eociaty corresponds. The new Soviet Constitution is a prectical embodiment of the ideas of savenced socialism and the state of the whole people as the pivotal ideas determining the development and functioning of the political system et the present stage.

The basic functions of the political system of sdvanced socialism are as follows:

1. <u>Definition of the goals and teaks of society and the drafting of a programs</u> of action in accordance with the intercets of the working class, the toiling peasantry, the people's intelligentsie, ell the nations and nationalities of the country and all the social groups.

The process of building communism as the ultimate goal of social development ie broken down into a number of steges and periode, each of them being characterised by its specific objectives, tasks and ways of eccomplishing them. The rain role in this process is played by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, the laeding and guiding force of the whole of Soviet society, ite political system and all the government and non-government organiestions.

- 2. Organisation of the society's sctivity in the struggle for the sccomplishment of its goale and tacks and mobilistion of resources for this purpose. The exercise of this function involves all the slements of the political system. The CPSU is responsible for political lesdership of society. The Soviet state concentrates directly on the edministration, organisation and control. The trads unions and other mass organisations are playing a great role in the exercise of this function.
 - 3. Strengthening the socio-political and ideological unity of society, enhancement of its social homogeneity and the progress of its socialist outburs. The primary role in the exarcise of this function is played by the CPSU and its ideological ectivity, with government bodies and non-government organisations also contributing substantially to it. It should be pointed out that all the sections of the Constitution defining the foundations of the ecolo-political and economic systems formulate the tasks of the further social and cultural integration of Soviet society.
 - 4. <u>Distribution of wealth</u> in accordance with the interests of eociety es s whole, individual clesses, social groups, nations and netionalities, end every individual. The economic and socio-cultural systems play e major rols in the surciss of this function. However, the decisive say in this matter belongs to the political system, which takes decisions binding on society in the distribution of netional wealth, material and spiritual velues and which plane measures to raise the living and cultural standards of the working people.

All this showe that the main social purposes of the political system of the USER is to ensure the realisation of the potentialities for comprehensive social progress that are inherent in socialist society. By defining the tasks and goals of society, contributing to the mobilisation of its resources, dirsoting the efforts of socisty at achieving common goals and organising their accomplishment, the political system acts as a most important factor of social development as a whol s.

Primary rols is played here by the formulation of policy as an integral whole and of its individual components, including social, scononic, cultursl, scientific and technical policies, and also by the devslopment of long-and short-term plans and programmes of economic, social and cultural devslopment, by the formulation of principal objectives, by decision-making on their basis, by the mobilisation of resourcss and by the organisation of execution and control. These methods are particularly important at the stage of advanced socialist society, which provides possibilities for the implementation of long-term programmes and plans for economic, social and cultural devalopment.

The historical superiority of the political system of socialism over the bourgeois system consists precisely in the fact that it ensures the most favourable conditions for the mobilisation of resources for the benefit of social progress on the basis of planned management of social and economic processes, and also for the development of the social activity and initiative of citizens. The policy of the CPSU and the Soviet etate encourages this comprehensive activity and directe it towards building communism. The state of the whole people

The State of the Whole People

is o major institution of the political system of sdvanced socialism.

The Soviet Constitution formulates the goals, tasks and functions of the etate of the whole people in accordance with the Party's Programme. "The supreme goal of the Soviet state is the building of a claseless, communist ecciety in which there will be public, communiet eelf-government, " the Preamble to the Constitution resdo. "The main eige of the socialist state of the whole people are: to lay the material and technical base of communism, to perfect socialist social relations and transform them into communist relatione, to mould the citizen of communist society, to raise the people's living and cultural standarde, to safsguard the country's accurity, and to further tha consolidation of peace and development of international cooperation.

There is absolute continuity between the state of the whole people and the state which existed at the first two stages of the development of socialism. From its inception the escialist state has inhuilt in it the characteristics of the state of the whole people because it represents the will and intersets of not only the working class but also the working peacentry end intelligentais. The state of the whole people is carrying on the struggle for the implementation of the eine of the dictatorehip of the proletarist, namely, the building of communist society. It is exercising on the international scene the principles which were typical of the dictatorehip of the proletarist, namely, support for and solidarity with all the revolutionary forces of the present epoch. At the sees time there are a musber of features which are typical of the state of the whole people.

First, the acciel base of the socialist state is further expanded as a result of the establishment of the state of the whole people, and it comes to expresa the interests and will of the working class, the pessantry, the intelligentsia, and all the nations and nationalities of the country.

Second, while the atate of the dictatorship of the proletariet was tackling the tacks of building socialiem and advanced eocialist eociety, the state of the whole people diechergee the immediate tack of strengthening edvanced <u>socialism and building communism</u>. It contributes to the enhancement of eocial homogeneity, to the obliteration of subetantial differences between the town and the countryside, between work by hrein and work by hand, and to the further drewing closer together of all the nations and nationalities of the USSR.

Third, the etate of the whole people heralds a new stage in the development of escialist democracy, which is manifest in the organisation and functioning of the entire

Conetitution (Fundemental Law) of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republice, pp. 14-15.

political system, ensuring effective edministration of all the affairs of society, ever more active participation of working people in the affairs of the state, and the combination of real human rights and freedoms with civic responsibility.

Fourth, the state of the whole people signifies a higher level of scientific organisation and menegement on the basis of a qualitative improvement in the political ewareness and political culture of officiels and the mess of the populetion and the use of the echievements of the scientific end technological revolution.

Fifth, the state of the whole people as e component of the world socialist community is promoting friendship, cooperation and comredely mutual essistance with socialist countries on the besis of socialist internetionalism, perticipates in economic integretion and the socialist division of lebour, pursues Leninist peace policy and builds its relations with other states on the besis of the peaceful coexistence of states with different social systems.

The successful functioning of the state of the whole people is conditional on the observance of the principles of democratic centralism and socialist legality.

What are the main tasks of the state of the whole people? In economic reletions the state protects socielist property and creates conditions for its growth. Combining meterial and moral incentives, it tries to ensure that work becomes man's primary vital requirement. Relying on the creetive ectivity of the working people, on socialist emulation and on the achievements of scientific and technical progress, the state ensures the growth of labour productivity, an increase in the efficiency of production, an improvement in the quality of work, and the dynamic, proportionate development of the national economy according to plan. The economy is run on the beais of state economic and accial development plans through combining centraliaed administration with the economic independence and initiative of enterprisea and other organisations and effective use of management accounting, profit, and coat-price. Hajor functions of the state involve the protection and acientific and retional use of the land, mineral resources, anical

and plant life, the pressrvation of pure air and water, and the reproduction of natural resources and the improvement of the environment.

In social relatione, the Soviet stats contributes to the sdvance of social homogeneity, including the oblitoration of class distinctions, substantial differences between the town and the countryside and between work by brain and work by hand, and to the comprehensive development and drawing closer together of all the nations and nationalities of the USSE. It aims at extending real possibilities for all citizens to develop and apply their uresctive powers, capnbilities and gifts with the ultimate objective being the compreheneive and harmonious development of the individual.

The state takes care to improve working conditions, to reduce and eventually eliminate arducus manual work, on the basis of comprehensive mechanisation and automation of production, and is consistently implementing a programme for making agricultural work a variety of industrial work. The state is unswervingly pursuing a course of raising pay rates and the real incomes of the working people in line with the growth of lebour productivity. The function of control over the amount of work and amount of consumption is given a new content. The state, assisted on a large scale by mass organisations and work collectives, ensures the growth and fair distribution of the public consumption funds, and develops the state system of health care, social security, communal services, public catering and utilities.

In culture, the etete improves the integrated system of public education, ensures the planned development of acience and the training of ecientific workers, organiess the introduction of the results of scientific research in the netional economy and other fields, takes care to protect the spiritual values of eociety and to ensure their large-scale use for legal and seathetic education and for raising the cultural standards of the Soviet people.

In foreign policy, the Soviet state is unflaggingly pursuing Leniniet peace policy and working for stronger security for the peoples and for large-scale international cooperation.

Soviet foreign policy, as the Soviet Constitution stresses, is simed at ensuring international conditions favourable for building communism in the USSE, safeguarding the state interests of the Soviet Union, consolidating the positions of world socialism, supporting the struggle of peoples for national liberation and social progress, preventing wars of aggression, achieving universal and complete dissrmament, and consistently implementing the principle of the pesceful coexistence of states with different social systems.

Defence of the socialist homeland is a major function of the state, which ensures the security and defence capability of the country and equips its defence forces with everything they need.

An analysis of today's politics makes it possible to trace a deeper relationship between domestic and forsign policies and to take into account the influence of a number of additional factors in formulating foreign policy, among them protection of national interests by the dominant class in conditions of growing contradictions between imperialist states and the indirect influence of opposition with which the ruling forces have to reckon.

Internstional politics is becoming one of the factors influencing domestic politics particularly strongly in our epoch. Developing countries with yet unstable political inetitutions supply characteristic sxamples in this respect. The processes of their further dsvelopment depend to a large extent not only on internal factors but also on international factors, on the influence of the socialist and the capitalist systems, and on the sxtsnsion of sconomic and political relations with them.

To Lanin goes the credit for the formulation of the principles of the psaceful coexistence of states with different social systems. Hs atrassed that socialism, owing to ite nature of a social system expressing the vital interests of the working class and all the working people, strives to safeguard peace between peoples and states. 2 That is why the

^{1 ·} See V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 30, p. 39.

^{2.} Ibid., Vol. 33, pp. 55, 148-149.

socialiat countries asek to devalop normal economic and political relations with cepiliat countries.

At the seme time a mejor sepect of the activity of socialist countries consists in rendering support to all the revolutionary forces in cepitalist countries and in extending solidarity with the working-class and national liberation movements.

The Party in the Political System

In the political system of sdvanced socialism, the CPSU is the leading and guiding force of Soviet society, the core of this system and of all

the state end sociel organisations.

Equipped with the Marxiet-Leniniat doctrine, the Communiet Party defines the general prospects for the development of ecciety, the line of Soviet domestic and foreign policiee, guides the greet constructivo activity of the Soviet people, and importe a planned and eccentific character to the efforts to build communion.

The concept of political leadership acquiree particularly great importance with regard to the party'e activity at the etege of sdvanced accialism. It is the party that arms the people with an explicit ecientific programme of thair advance. Primary importance is attached to the formulation by the party of ecientific policies in every field, including the economy, eocial relations, culture and international affaire. The function of policy-making end the adoption of fundemental political decisions becomes extremely important as the proceeded of accial development grow more complex, the scientific and technical revolution continues to unfold, and elso es the role and responsibility of the Soviet etste in the international ecene for the destinies of world peace and the eccurity of the nations growe, economic, acientific, technical and cultural contacts with all the states of the world expand and struggle against imperialism is carried on.

^{1.} See V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 28, pp. 292-293.

Primary importance in the party's activities today is attached to the formulation of goals and programmos of social action, including ultimate, intermediate and regional goals in the economic, social and political life. An objective and scientific approach to the formulation of the goals and programmos of action is a major condition of the effective functioning of our political system as a whole.

Ideological education, ralying on a comprehensive approach, has a special rola to play in the party's political leadership. The party inculcates in the peopla political awareness and political cultura, which constitute a major condition for the political activity of all the working people. Based on the economy and class structure, accio-political consciousness is becoming a major independent factor of social life as a whole and of political activity in particular. Relying on Marxism-Leninism, the party is constantly and perseveringly carrying out larga-scale work ained at raising the level of political coneciousnees, or a system of notions developed by social groups and individuals with regard to political power, Political dynamics and administration. Political social consciousness in its most general form is reflected in the political cultura of Soviet society, which io an important factor influencing the development and functioning of the political system and all the political institutions, and on the understanding and observance of political and legal regulations.

Development of Socialiet Democracy development of the political system of Soviet ecciety is the further extension of

eccialist democracy. It means the ever broader participation of working people in menaging the effects of ecciety and the etate, the democratication of the state epperatus, the enhancement of the ectivity of mess organisations, the tightening of people's control, the concolidation of the legal basis in state and public affairs, the extension of publicity and continuous respect for public opinion.

A major means of developing socialist democracy is the continuous extension of the social and political rights of citizens. The 1936 Soviet Constitution fixed the basic

%. .

rights of Soviet peopls, including the right to work, to rest and leisure, to education and to resintenance in eld age. The 1977 Soviet Constitution added to them the rights to health protection, to housing, to enjoying cultural benefits, and also the freedom of scientific, technical and artistic creative work.

The socio-economic rights of the working people include the right to perticipate in the administration of state and public affairs. The main forms of the exercise of this right are as follows: citizens of the USSE slect and may be elected to the Soviets of People's Deputies, participate in the discussion and drafting of bills and decisions of national and local importance, in the work of government bodies and cooperative and other social organisations, in controlling their activities, in managing the production affairs of work collectives, and in meeting at places of residence. Another new constitutional principle is the fixed right of every Soviet citizen to submit proposals to government bodies and mess organisations on improvements in their ectivities and to oriticles abortcomings in their work.

<u>Vork collectives</u> have a special role to play in the political system of advanced socialist sociaty. It is they that are the primary accial groups in which individual and social-political interests are directly synthetised and the political consciousness of the mass of the population is shaped up.

The Soviet political system is characterised by different forms of <u>direct democracy</u>, enabling citizens to
exercise control over the machinery of state administration
immediately and not only through their representative bodies,
and also contributing to more effective relationships between
bodies of government and the population. The forms of direct
democracy include mass-scale discussions of bills, national
economic development plana and other major documents of the
state; participation of the working people in supervision
of the sotivities of production management and in resolving
the problems of work collectivee; officials' reports to citiens; the obligation of government bodies to receive the population and to consider all the proposals, applications and

compleints submitted by citizens; contributions by citizens to the press and their participation in the on-site inspections by newspaper reporters and in bodies of people's control; consumer conferences, etc.

More than two million working people are elected to the Soviete in the USSR and almost 30 million Soviet citizens actively cooperate with the Soviete, accieting voluntarily and free of charge in the great and complex matter of etate administration. Altogether 9 million working people participete in the elective bodies of people's control, closely wetching the activities of different bodies of government and combetting manifestations of red tape and misuse of powers. Altogether 65 per cent of all members of permanent production conferences that have been set up in Soviet industry work directly in production.

The proce and other mass medie are an effective meane of expressing public opinion and fostering communist mores. The overwhelming mejority of periodicale are controlled not by the state but by political and eocial organisations, among them the party, the trade unione, intellectual unions and cooperative eccieties. Regular exchanges of opinion on economic, cultural and ecientific problems, the striving to involve in the work of the prese not only full-time journaliete but aleo rank-and-file workers, large-scale publication of information ebout the functioning of government institutions and popularisetion of advanced experience and ecientific idees are those characteristics which distinguish the socialist press from cepitaliet-controlled publications. The prese is not only a tool of propegands but also a means of control over the emooth functioning of all the inetitutions of eocialist democracy and over the observance of the righte of citizens and eocialiet legality.

The obligation of all the government bodies, mass organisations and officials to show respect for the individual and to protect the righte of Soviet people is an important element of constitutional guarantees. The latest Soviet Constitution guarantees the right of Soviet citizens to legal attitution guarantees the right of Soviet citizens to legal protection against encroachments on their life and health, property, personal freedom, honour and dignity. This regule-

tion axtande substantially the legal guarantees of the rights and fraedoms anjoyed by Soviat peopla, including the nawly adopted norm stipulsting the right of citizens to file complaints against officials with government bodies and mass organisations and have those complaints considered according to the procedures and within the time limits specified by law. Citizens of the USSR also have the right to appeal against actions by officials that contravene the law or excaed their powers, and infringe the rights of citizens, and the right to compensation for damage resulting from unlawful actions by government and non-government organisations, or by officials in the performance of their duties. These new additional lagal guarantaes of the rights and freadoms of citizens constitute s major feature of the functioning of the state of the whole people and the enhancement of control sxercised over its activities by all the working people.

Citizana of the USSR have the right to associate in social organizations that promote their political activity and initiative and contribute to the satisfaction of their various interests.

These rights and freedoms have been granted to the Soviet people in accordance with the interests of the working people and in order to strengthen the socialist system and to build communism, in other words, in full sccordance with the goals proclaimed by the Soviet Constitution.

The ideological and political struggle over the problem of human rights hae laid bare two diametrically opposite approaches, one democratic and the other <u>liberal</u>. Socialism is translating into practice a truly democratic epproach, hased first and foremost on concern for the rights of the mess of the population, the working people. The bourgeois-liheral approach centers on protecting the rights of the privileged elite, first and foremost proprietors and also the technocrate, government officials, higher officers and the upper strats of workers in culture, ecience and art. Socialist democracy lays emphasis primarily on eocial rights, such as the right to work, to rest and leisure, to education and to accidence occasio freedoms, ebove all the freedom from exploitation of

men by man. The liberal-bourgeois approach strosees the narrowly interpreted freedom of speach, freedom of the prees, although it is common knowledge that all the means that guarantee these freedoms, including the newspapere, radio, television and printing facilities, are controlled by monopoly capital.

The eyetem of the obligations of citizene, just as the system of their righte, has an economic, eccio-cultural and political character.

Citizens of eocialiet countries are obliged to respect the constitutions of their countries, to work honeatly and conscientiously, to preserve and protect eocialiet property and to defend their eocialiat homeland.

One of the major duties of citizene in socialist countries is observance of the principles of prolaterian internationalism, including respect for national dignity, promotion of friendship and cooperation with the peoples of other countries and maintenance and consolidation of world passa.

The very nature of the obligations of citizens of socialist countries expresses as effectively so their rights and freedoms the specifics and advantages of socialist demoercy, pervaded with the idea of honest service to other crecy, pervaded with the idea of honest service to other people, to sociaty as a whola, to the state, and to the ceues of security, social progress and peace.

Social Self-Government

Ae communiem is baing built, a gradual transition takas placa to social calf-governm-

ent. Communiet accial asif-government is a non-political, non-atate form of administration of acconomic, accial and cultural processes in a classiase ecciety. It presupposes that active end personal participation of every member of acciety in deciding questions of netional importance and ensures the fullest possible realisation of the ideals of freedom, equality and frate-mile acciety.

lity and fraternity among people.

Transition to communist social salf-government will
result in the withering away of the state, i.a., the replaceresult in the withering away of the state, i.a., the replaceresult in the withering away of the state, i.a., the replaceresult in the withering away of the state, i.a., the replaceresult in the will exact power, following the obliteration of
sometimes and which will
which will no longer be political in nature and which will

bs exercised without a special machinery of compulsion. The process of the withering sway of the state will require certain domeetic and interneticnal conditions.

From the point of view of demestic conditions, the economic prerequisites for the withering oway of the state include highly developed productive forces and the practical implementation of the principle of communian "from osch eccording to his ebilities, to each eccording to his nesda." The main social condition of the withering away of the state is the diseppearance of the classes and of traces of the division of society into classes. In a classless society, people will gradually become used to observing social community rules without etate compulsion. The lew will be replaced by norms of communist morality and by communist customs.

The withering evay of etete compulsion and the substitution of morality for law will not take place evernight but will come into being gredually, as communist society matures. This presupposes the development of n new man, who will be perfectly owers of public interests, imbued with n sence of communist humanism, and possessing thorough knowledge and high cultural standards.

When there are no cleases, there will be no need for the stete. "Stete interference in eocial relations becomes, in one domain sfter another, euperfluous, and then dies out of itself: the government of persons is replaced by the sdministration of thinge, and by the conduct of processes of production. The state is not 'abolished'. It dies out.

The withering away of the etete also depends on external conditions. While there remains the threat of aggression on the pert of imperialist states, the function of defence of the country is preserved in full and will only wither away when socialism ultimately triumphe on a world-wide scale. Therefore, the process of withering away of the state can only be completed when communican fully triumphs within the country and when the rick of attack from without disappears.

^{1.} F. Engels, Anti-Dühring, p. 341.

Transition to communist social self-government is e complex and multifaceted procees, which includes the gredual transformation of the entire political eyetem of socialist society and ell the state and social institutions. There will eventually emerge in the centre and in the localities univereal organizations of a new type concerned with running the affairs of ecciety, organisatione which will embrece everything of the beet which will have been secumulated by that time in the course of the development of state and social organizations and ultimately replace them.

The development of democracy in every eree, the growing role of eocial organications, the enhancement of democratic principles in the functioning of the state machinery and the development of direct forme of menagement of production and the affeire of society as a whole constitute the ways for transition to communist eocial self-government.

Transition to communist social self-government is not an instantaneous set but s gradual dialectical process. It manifests itself in the development and improvement of the entire political system of Soviet society and in the further unfolding of socialist democracy.

5. Political Systems in the Developing Countries of Asis and Africa

The term "developing countries" ie commonly used with reference to Asian and African etstes, with the exception of countries which have resched the imperialist stege of development (such se Jepan and the Republic of South Africa), and also of socialist states. Developing countries here trevelled different roads of political evolution. Most of them had not achieved independent statehood before the end of the Second World Wer, when the colonial system of imperialism had disintegrated. Those countries differ substantially from one another in size, population and technological and scomomic development. For instance, traditional, patrierchal forms of scomomic relations prevail in certain countries of Tropical Africa, whereas capitalist structures have become dominant in most Asian states. But what is most important is that the social orientations of the emergent states are quite different

563

and often totally opposite. These countries are very different. After liberation, some of them have been following the revolutionary-denocratic path. In others capitalist relations have taken root. Some of them are following a truly independent policy, while others are today taking their lead from imperialist policy. In a nutshall, the picture is a fairly mottey one.

In most of the countries belonging to the group under raview (emong them India, Pakiatsm, Zeire, Indoneein and Kenys), tha exploiting olesses or social strata which ultimately represent the interests of the exploiters, succeeded in directing the development of society and the stats along espitalist lines. Another group of atstes (about 20 countries with an aggregate population of almost 150 million), in which power has been taken by revolutionary democrats, have chosen a socialist orientation and by now schieved different stages in creating conditions for building socialism in the future. These two types of political systems pureus diametrically opposite sims, but, neverthelees, there ere certain similarities between them.

Political Systems of Asian and African Countries

The factors responsible for the community of substantial feetures of the political systems under review include

their efforts to ensure independent development and relevant coincidence of national tacks, the beckwardness that is characteristic of all the emergent countries, their lingering dependence, in different forms, on the cepitalist world, and the transitory mature of their cociety.

Many characteristic features of the structure and functioning of the political systems in these countries are determined by the fact that in most of them no single cless concentrates in its hands full political power. The developing countries, with the exception of a handful of them in which state power is exercised by the national bourgooisie (e.g., India) or the feudal lorda (e.g., Onen and Qater), are governed by an alliance of classes, either the national bourgeoisie and feudal lorda (e.g., Morocco and Jordan), or the working people and a certain part of the non-working strate of the

population in socialist-oriented countries. In a number of capitalist-oriented countries in Tropical Africa, where the formation of classes has not yet been completed, power belongs to a specific intermediate social grouping which is evolving into exploiting classes.

Another common feature of the political systems of the developing countries is a special role of the state, which dominates the political systems in most of them. In aspitalist-oriented countries, political porties are viewed first of all es a tool of struggle for state power and a means of retaining it. For this recoon, although certain countries with one-party systems proclais that all the basic institutions of the state are the arms of the ruling party (for instance, this is the cose in Zaire according to its Constitution of 1974), in reclity the latter often is merely an appendage to the state machinery. This also holds true, slthough with recervations, for those socialist-priented countries in which revolutionary-democratic parties, due to a number of reasons do not as yet fulfil in full messure the functions of the leader of the state.

A major political role played by the army is a common fasture of all the developing stotes. Many of them are governed by military regimes, under which all the parties, and other cocial organisations are usually banned. As a consequence, the state as represented by the army leedership, becomes virtually tha only element of the political systam. The military who govern the country express tha interests of certain social and closs forces. Sometimes military dictatorships are a consaquanca of the overthrow of progressive regimes (coups in Indonesia in 1965, in Ghana in 1966, atc.). In other instances, conversely, the take-over by the ermy led by progressive officers payed the way for socialist development.

The political systems of all the countries under review are characterised by the survival of srchaic elsments, and by the strong impact of customs and traditional, including religious, norms of behaviour on politics, which explains the underdeveloped charactar of political culture and democratic institutions. They are integrated and intertwined.

on the one hand, with institutions and principles reflecting the influence of the political system of capitaliem and, on the other, with new elementa caused by the influence of socialist political systems. Naturally, the correlation of these elements differs from one country to another. In Indis. Tuniais and some other countries, for instance, there preeerves an indepth influence of political inetitutions and principles of bourgeois origin, among them the conception of the separation of powers and parliamentarism. The countries of Tropical Africa display strong evidence of the influenca of tribal aristocracy on political processes. Tribal chiefs, although deprived of administrative powers in most cases, exert considerable influence on elections there. Votere, too, usually cost their bellots for a candidate coming from their own tribe. In individual countries tribal chiefs are included in government bodies. The role of citizens, particularly women, in politica the Arab world continues to be regulated to s large extent by Islam. This is also true of eccialistoriented Areb countries. Homover, the mein tendency in these countries, is the growing role of elements representing ectually the ehoots of the escialist political system (the establishment of people's councils, restriction of the political right of exploiters, etc.).

The common features of the political eyetems of developing countries described above reflect predominantly their
outward espects. In reality, however, political insitutions
and principles which are similar in form are designed to meet
the interests of different social and olsse forces and simed
at building either capitalist society or the prorequisites
of eccisisms. That is why it takes an analysic of the social
contents of the political systems of those countries to gain
a thorough understanding of their nature. Such an analysis
makes it possible to demonstrate the basic difference between
the two disnatrically opposite political systems which is
rooted in the character of political power, and to identify
a class (or classes) exercising etate power in countries
with different eccio-political orientations.

Political Systems in Capitalist-Oriented Countries The central institution of the political cystem in a capital-ist-oriented country is the state. Statea differ from one

another from the point of view of their class character and form, and four groups of states can be identified:

- 1. Countries ruled by the national bourgeoisis (e.g., India, Tunioia). Methoda of class domination in these countries, as a rule, have certain bourgeoia-democratic features (such as the multiparty system and the conception of the apparation of powers).
 - 2. Countries ruled by an alliance of exploiting classes, usually the bourgediste and landowners (Indonesia, Morocco, Jordan and others). The political domination of the reactionary forces in them tends to become authoritarian (e.g., the ban on the Communist Party in Indonesia).
 - 3. Countries ruled entirely or predominantly by the feudal lords (such as Saudi Arabia and the Persian Gulf states). The political systems of those stages are more often than not downright reactionery. Even the form of government in most of them still is absolute monarchy, under which in most of them still is absolute monarchy, under which elective government institutions are non-existent and all the political parties banned.
 - 4. Countries in which the formation of claasee has not been completed and which are ruled by an intermediate aocial group which can be characterised as pro-bourgeoia autocracy (individual countries of Tropical Africa). Political ayatems in them also are reactionary.

The form of government in most capitaliat-oriented atatea outwardly resembles the presidency. However, the head of state in those countries often remains in his post for life, and elective government bodies exist only on peper, if at all. That is why such a republic ectually has no republican institutions. Monarchies in the countries of this group licen institutions. Monarchies in the countries, such as leo have specific features. For instance, a great role in the sleeb have specific features of Arab countries, such as Saudi Arabia and the Gulf states, is played not only by the Saudi Arabia and the Gulf states, is played not only by the gonarch, who exercises broad powers, but also by the family council whose advice the head of otate seeks in deciding

usjor political issues.

Generally speaking, the political systems of capitalistoriented countries are characterized by the vast importance
of the subjective factor, by the special role of a political
leader who in the republican form of government is concurrently the head of the only (or ruling) political party, the
president (sometimes also the prima minister, and, finally,
the mouthpiece and often founder of official ideology. A
specific form of consolidating the power of the leader in
certain African countries is the use of the titles of tribal
chiefs. In Migeria, for instance, almost all politicians
of note bear the titles of chiefs of different tribes. In
this way the head of stats becomes the kingpin of the etate
mechinery and the political system as a whole, while the
role of perliament and other government institutions, parties and social organisations is diminahed considerably.

Political parties play an important role in the political systems of many cepitelist-oriented countries. The perty systems differ from one another. There ere nulti-party systems in e number of countries, such as India and Senegal. However, they are different from such systems in developed cepitelist countries. As the authorities frown upon the nulti-perty system, it can be allowed to exist but is denied an effective role in politics.

The one-party eystem is to be found in many capitalietoriented countries, particularly in Africe. As a rule, it is
formalised in lew, with all the other parties, with the exception of the ruling perty of the dominant class of exploiters, being forbidden. The one-party system is usually
associeted with the suthoritarien pro-bourgeois regimes,
which prohibit any opposition and strangle the other parties,
particularly parties of the working class. The strificial fostering of the one-party system in these conditions is a
recctionary development. We should distinguish, however,
between the de jure and de facto one-party system, because
in many capitalist-oriented countries other parties continue
to function illegally.

The dominant classes in a number of countries belonging to this group have not esteblished their political parties

(Saudi Arabia, the Gulf states, otc.). Some of them have no partias altogother, while in others progressive political partics wont underground.

The political regimes of capitalist-oriented countries also differ from one another. A few of them formally have bourgooia-democratic regimes or have some of its institutions (e.g., India, Malayaia, Tuniaia and Morocco). Bourgeoia democratio rights and freedoma are written into law and partially translated into practice in them, different political parties (including, sometimes, even communist parties) exiat and elections are held to the supreme and local bodies of government. But auch regimea are rare in countries belonging to this group. The dominance of the ruling classes in moat of them tanda to become authoritarian, and even if the exiatence of many parties in allowed, only the parties of the exploitera are legalized, while the progressive parties are legally banned. Parliamenta continue to be elective but rapresentatives of working people are actually kept away from the higheat legialative bodies. Totalitarian political regimea emerge in individual countries under which even formal elections are not held and all political parties are banned, with the exception of the ruling one.

A special kind of the political regimea in capitaliatoriented countries is the military regime emerging as a consequence of a military coup d'etat. It is usually considered a transitory regime, although it can remain in power for
years and even decades. Militory regimes in those countyears and even decades. Militory regimes in those countries most often express the interests of the reactionary
classes and atrats. The constitution here is usually
classes and atrats. The constitution here is usually
auspended or repealed, being replaced with decrees of the
military suthorities, the parliament is dissolved, governors
military suthorities, the parliament is dissolved, governors
are eppointed to replace local bodies of self-government, and
are eppointed to replace local bodies of self-government, and
are eppointed to replace local bodies are banned.

Political systems in socia-

Political Systems in Socialist-Oriented Countries Political ayatema in social list-oriented countries are often outwardly aimilar to those in other developing features, for instance, as

countries (there ere euch common features, for instence, as the one-perty eystem, the concentration of state power in the

hands of the nilitary et e certain stage of development, the conception of the separation of powers, the precervation of certain traditional institutions, principles and norms, etc.). The nature of the political system, the mode of its functioning and its goals are different, however. The nain difference is that political power in those countries represents the interests of a broad allience of the national democratic forces, predominantly the working people, rather than the exploiters, and that the actual lawers of government are controlled by revolutionary democrats.

Unlike the cepitalist-oriented countries, the eocialistoriented countries evolve the new conception of the role of the perty in society. Whet is meant here is the vanguerd rsvolutionery-democratic parties that are being formed in some of these countries. The constitutions of many of these countries record tha leeding rola of such e perty. For inetance, under Art. 3 of the 1978 Constitution of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen "the Socialist Party of Yemen, equipped with the theory of scientific socialism, is the leading end guiding force of society and the state. It is the party that definee general prospects for the development of ecciety and the domestic and foreign policies of the state". Allegiance to the theory of scientific socialism end even Marxism-Leeinism is proclaimed in the constitutions and programmatic party documents of a number of other countries and parties (s.g., in the Congo. Banin).

The laading rols of the vanguard party is assn in tha fact that it lays down guidelines for the development of the country es e whole, and defines the ways of building a new state machinery, law and the political system. Por instance, the extraordinary congress of the Socialist Party of Yeasn in 1980 approved the second five-year socio-sconomic development plan of the country and recommended that alsotive bodies of people's control be established. Earlier, in 1978, the Socialist Party of Yesen had formulated at its first congress recommendations on revising the constitution, subsequently andorsed by the Suprace People's Council. The role of the party as the leader of society and the stete is also manifest in the exercise by it of cartein functions of party control.

Under the Rules of the Socialist Party of Yemen, primary party organisations at industrial plants and in offices have the right to control the activities of the management. Cadra policy, that is, the selection of personnel and their assignment to certain jobs depending on their political qualities and officioncy, is an important duty of the vanguard party. In Algeria, for instance, candidates for election to local bodies of government are nominated by organisations of the National Liberation Front. In addition, the Algerian Constitution of 1976 stipulates that candidates to the presidency and deputies to the National People's Assembly can only be nominated by the party.

The conception of "Party supremacy" is being developed in certain countries, such as Guinea and Tanzania. Under that conception the party is assigned the role of political power, while the state is only considered its technical tool. As a result, the party is often vested with the functions of government bodies and the party spparatus and the atstemachinery merge (for instance, local party organisations exercise administrative and judicial powers). However, in many countries this inadequate understanding of the leading role countries this inadequate understanding of the leading role of the party with regard to government bodies is being gradually overcome. Art. 101 of the Algerian Constitution stipulates, for instance, that the party and atste bodies act separately and use different means to attain their common goals and that their powers shall not overlap or become mixed up.

The state representing the interesta of a broad alliance of progressive forces is a major tool of the national-democratic revolution in accialist-oriented countries. For instance, in accordence with Art. 1 of the Conetitution of the People's in accordence with Art. 1 of the Conetitution of the interesta Democratic Republic of Yamen, the atate protects the interesta of the workers, pearsnta, intellectuals, petty bourgeola and all the other working people seeking a trensition to building all the other working people seeking a trensition to building socialism. It is a specific feature of this form of state powsocialism. It is a specific feature of this form of state powsocialism (only exploited or only the working people), but ous classes (only exploitera or only the working people), but on allience of different, sometimes even conflicting clesates and eocial groups. The acciel beae of thet power is very broad, because it embraces the vaet majority of the population,

primerily the working people, with the exception of n handful of exploiters and reactionary elements. Many legislative ects and policy documents in a number of countries atreas thet the lesding role of the working class and other working people is constantly growing in this national-democratic alliance. However, since the proletariat is yet immature, sctual government is exercised by revolutionary democrata who come for the most pert from intermediate social etrata and represent the interests of a broad national-democratic elliance. The forme of eocieliet-oriented atstes ere quite diverse, end three mejor kinds can be identified here. The first is characterised by the central component of the state mechinery, i.e. the elective Supreme People's Council, which exercises legicletive functions and forms the government and e permenent body, like the precidium, which are accountable to it. There is a system of elective people's councils at all levels, to which the sdministretive bodies are accountable. Another specific feeture of thie form of government is the exercise of the functions of the hesd of state by s collective body, such se the Presidium of the Supreme People's Council, the State Council, etc.

The form of government in most socialist-oriented countries, stong them Syris, Algeria, ia cheracterissd by the fact that the usin element of the state machinery ie the President, who eppoints the government, formulates and implements etate policies, and ectuelly supervises the activities of the eupreme legislative body. In addition, the head of state has certein legislative powers and the right to dissolve the parliament. The government is actually eccounteble to the president, who is elected in a general election at the perty's recommendation.

The forms and methode of the exercice of etete power in this group of countries are characterised by the development of democracy for the working people. Sometimes this is recorded in lew. For instance, under the Syrien Constitution, workers and peecants must constitute at least one helf of all deputies to the Supreme People's Council. In Algerie, the working people must control at least two-thirds of easts in

local people's councils. At the sene time the political rights of the exploiters are restricted in a number of countries. Under the election law in the People's Democratic Republic of Yenen, the counter-revolutionary elementa may be stripped of the right to elect and be elected.

By and large, the political systems in socialist-oriented countries are simed st completing the nationel-democretic revolutions and creating objective and subjective conditions for a subsequent transition to building socieliem through a number of intermediate stages. Some of the countries under review, such as the People's Democratic Republic of Yenen, are already raising the question of the greduel development of the national-democretic etate power into the power of people's democracy, which some time in the future will assume the functions of the dictatorehip of the proletsriat.

6. The Development of Political Systems in Letin American Countries

Pormation of Statehood

The principles of bourgeois statehood wers proclaimed and

constitutionally recorded in Latin American countries efter the 1810-1825 War of Independence. But the actual government machaniem fell ahort of these principles. Power was wielded for decades by a small oligarchy of moneybege and landowners, who relied on the army for the performance of police functions. The Catholic Church cerried out ideological functions in that system, and the ruling elite invoked authoritarian methoda of government and recorted to police control.

As a result the politics in Latin American countries are etill characterized by the following features.

First, the srmy plays a special role in the political aystem. Ita exclusive position has been fixed in the constitutions of many Latin American countries and is reflected in official political and legal doctrines, in which the army is regerded as the guarantee of the constitutional form of government and law and order. The Latin American ermy is atructured so as to enable the military commend to exercise political power and even administrative functions. Army

methods in civil administration are commonplace and the military occupy many posts of responsibility. The army was for a long time supplying most presidents, and power often changed hands as a result of plots and military coups. The Latin American countries have seen 535 nilitary coups d'etat during 150 years of their independence, and the number of aborted plots and autinies is in the thousands. The military keep an especially tight hold on the Caribbean countries. The Dominican Republic has been ruled by military dictatorahips for a total of 100 years, Haiti 145 years, El Salvador 80 years and Guatemals 62 years. In Ecuador only one president stayed in office throughout the constitutional four-year term in this century.

Second, the political leeder is playing particularly important role in the political system. The sutceratic character of government in Latin America is reflected in the purely Latin American phenomenon of "coudillo".

One characteristic feature of caudillism is the absence of a clear-cut political programme and a visibly organised political grouping (either ruling or rival party), and diadain for legal forms of power struggle and for legality in the exercise of power. It emounts to personification of political power and the concentration of government authority and military and political lesdership in the hands of one man.

The ceudillo as the head of nation and state stands in opposition to representative institutions and political parties. Any opposition to him is ruthlessly suppressed.

Ceudillism was reflected both in the puppet regimes backed by US monopolies (the regimes of Trujillo, Duvalier, Sonozs and Estista), and in the bourgeois mationalistic movements of the 30s-40s /the "new state" (Estada Novo) of Vergss in Brezil and the Peromiet state in Argentina/. Csudillien is manifest in certain precidential regimes, with the outgoing precident ectually picking up his successor, who is also elected leeder of the ruling party. This practice secounts for the personification of power.

Third, coercive and buresucratic methods of setting up governmental institutions in Letin American countries preveil over democratic ones. The mass of the people in most Letin American countries have no experience in making use of avowed bourgooia-donocratic froodona and institutiona, while the ruling classes prefer brute force to political manoeuvring.

Political parties emerged as a result of bourgeoisdemocratic evolution and the otruggle of the mass of people. But they have not as yet evolved into an effective political mechanion in most countries. As a result, there have emerged "reatricted" party systems, controlled by the army and government bureaucracy. The degree of control varies. In some countrica partias are phoney. In acce Latin American countries a controlled two-party ayaten, patterned on the US ayatem, ia introduced.

Even in those countries where the mechanism of bourgeois democracy was established in the first half of the 20th century and gained ground in traditional atructures it failed the teat of the social crisia and proved fragile and vulnerable. The multi-perty systems that emerged in Chile, Urugusy and Costa Rics ssemed similar to modela of Weatern European bourgeoia democracy. The working class had ita own logal parties, and representatives in legislatures. But in Uruguay the parlismontsry ayatem was destroyed in 1973 by the coup d'otat engineered by pro-imperialist forces, bent on establishing a totalitarian political atructure. The military-fascist coup in Chila halted the revolutionary process and, moreover, abrogated conatitutional legality and trampled underfoot the democratic rights won by the Chiloan people in many years of uphill atruggle against the oligarchy. The following political re-

Political Regimea

gimea can be identified in Latin America:

1. Regimee of limited

bourgeoie democracy. Under this regime bourgeois-democratic freedome are written into law and partially ensured in practice and the political and legal etructuree allow different parties, sometimes even communist parties, to function. Electione have a substantial role to pley in the formation of Bovernment bodies: the main ones are either elected by the citizenry or formed by parliament. The principle of the separation of powere is formally recognised.

These provisions, however, are often disregarded and the freedom of setion of working-closs parties and trade unions restricted. The Communists are persecuted, worker strikes are suppressed with the use of small force, and laws are suppressed by the government.

- The political dominance of the alliance of the bourgeoisie and landowners often involves violations of the principles of bourgeois democracy.
- s) the oligarchic rexime in Paragusy is a nilitary-police dictstorship protecting the interests of the military clique, major landowners, industrialists and businssenen connected with foreign monopolies, prinarily US corporations. The attributes of the bourgeois republic have been formally retained. The socio-political basis of this regims is nntional monopoly or big financial capital.
- b) the constitutionel-authoritarion regins, under which the constitution remains in force, but certain political parties are banned and, moreover, special laws are passed to legalise governmental control over the actions of lagal parties. The perliament is retained but it is often formed on e corporate basis and its activities ere e mere formality. The executive branch of government is dominant and the key pasts are held by the president.
 - c) the military regims established as a result of a military coup. It is usually considered transitory but this transition can take years. Under such regimes the army can act as a national revolutionary force, as the mailed fist of counter-revolutionary alternative, and as a conservative force, a tool of preserving the existing system.

Partiss and Other Political Institutions The political systems of Letin American countries are going through an active crieia, manifested in ideologi-

cal turmoil in the srmy end the religious circles, in the breakdown of treditional conservative and bourgeois-reformist parties and the emergence of new, mass political movements. Patriotic and democratic tendencies began to gain ground in the armed forces of Latin American countries and they no longer give unconditional support to the ruling upper crust.

The pro-imperialist oligarchy of Isndowners and financiers heve lost its eratwhile positions of dominance in meny states. Several social groups and, accordingly, several political movements lay cleim to supremacy in society. Different perty and social groups, seeking power in order to develop new political structures, are clashing in the field of politics. The political activity of the urban middle strata, progressive intellectuals, students, certain groups of government ve intellectuals, students, certain groups of the working employees are growing, and new detechments of the working puletion are joining in political struggle. As the political activity of the mass of the population grows, new parties emerge.

The following main political parties can be identified in Latin America:

1. The conservative cemp. The extreme right-wing forces in Latin America: represent traditional, or historical parties, which appeared et the beginning or in the middle of lest century es the mouthpieces of large landowners and the Catholio hierarchy (conservative) end commercial end industrial bourgeoisie (liberal). There wes a time when these parties were at loggerheeds, vying for power to the point of civil wars. But as the bourgeois end landownere oligarchies took shepe, contradictions between them were smoothed out to such an extent that today it is difficult to tell a conservative from a liberal, while their parties often merge. During their stay in power (the second half of the 19th and the early 20th centuries) the concervatives and the liberale demonstrated their utmost impotence and gradually loet the support of both the university end Catholic intellectuals and their mase social base, the downtrodden and oppreceed peacantry.

The neo-faccist tendencies are growing in the right-wing Camp, although political organisations of neo-feeciets have so far been set up only in a handful of countries. For the most part they are represented by non-party organisations and groups, such se armed faccist gange in Chile, semi-government al organisations in Guatemala and other Central American republice and army clubs in Brazil.

;

- A special group of the right-wing forces is represented by the movemente rellying sround former dictstors, who spoculate for their purposes on the crisis of the traditional political system and who seek support smong marginal urban strats and declassed elements.
- 2. Reformist parties. There are quite a few rofsrmist parties in Latin Americs, including, first and faremost, tha "people'e" and "democratic-revolutionary" parties which emerged during the 1930s and 1940s and which still retsin their mass base. Speaking on behalf of the "middle class", the lesders of those parties proclaim high-acunding programmes of eocial reforms, protection of the democratic freedoms, control over foreign capital and government regulation of the economy. Since the second half of the 1950s thoy have been governing Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Venszuels, the Dominicen Republic, Coeta Rics and Peru and for the most port moved drsmetically to the right. In Mexico, the reformist Institutional Revolutionery Party has consolidated its hold of pawer end hea for over 40 years sow been ensuring the development of the country towards the establishment of state-monopaly structures.

Moat of the Christien Democratic parties in Latin Americs (e.g., in Chile, Costs Rics, Peru) and the SocialChristian Party of Vesezuela advocate "nes-capitalist" reforms, the large-acale attraction of foreign capital, predominantly through inter-governmental channels, Latin American integration and neutralies in foreign policy. These parties retain their mass base.

The left-wing movement is gaining momentum among the Christian Democrats. It is represented both by independent political organisations and groups which have banished right-wing centriat elements from their leadership (El Salvador) or broken off with reformiat and Democratic Christian parties (the Left Christian Movement in Chile and left-wing Catholic groups in Brezil, Argentina and Columbia), and by "rebel" groups within these parties in Peru, Uruguay, Argestina, Vesezuels and Columbia. They are supported by a considerable portion of Catholic workers, progressive intellectuals, the lower clorgy and students. The left-wing Catholics advo-

cate revolutionary change in ecciety, coneistent anti-imperialiet policy, are opposed to cspitalism and exploitation, and for this reason actually belong to the revolutionary camp.

3. Revolutionary parties. The interests of the more consistent revolutionary forces in Latin America are expressed by the communist portice, which have long-standing militant traditions. Some of the communist parties have become mass-scale national parties while othera, despite their snall numerical strength, constitute an important factor of politics in their countries.

Socialist parties conetitute another detachment of the left-wing forces. As a consequence of divisione between the right-wing and left-wing elements in traditional socialist parties and the oscent of the leftist leadership in most of them, fresh prospects for cooperation between these parties and the Communists opened up in the late 1950s.

Ultra-leftiet parties and groupings, which are quite numerous in Latin American countries, present the revolutionery alternative of development in inadequate, often utopian forms. These groupings consist of students, intellectuals and a small number of workers. The ultra-leftiets underestimate the tasks of legal struggle and organisation. Ideologically, they sometimes are apt to embrace anti-communism.

The Communicta are looking perceveringly for attracting "left-wing revolutionariea" aa alliea, while criticising their errors from positions of principle. They attach priority to the organisation of mass-scale movements in their countries and to training a political army of the revolution. In so doing, they, relying on the existing experience of otruggle, admit that, although the working class io the most revolutionew closs, at the stert of the liberation movement the leading role may be assumed by other eocial groups octing fron revolutionery positiono. The experience of peoples of tho continent ahowa that in course of anti-imperialiat and democratic atruggle for liberation new political novenenta and parties of revolutionary-democratic type could born. Sandino Netional Liberation Front is a picturesque example. Thio oxample demonstrates that with the holp of skillful etrategy and tactic the revolutionary edvence-guard is eble to gain

the hegemonic role and to lead the wide mass of population. The primary programme mim of SNLF is creation of a regime of true juetice and escial progress and its activity after Someza tyranny was overthrown was directed to formation of the political etructure which could secure the achievement of this aim.

Impact of Cuban Experience The Cuban ravolution has eeen complex political proceeeea. The bourgeoia military-bureaucratic machinery

in Cube was dismantled for the most part during the democratic stage of the revolution.

The etate of revolutionary democracy in Guba constituted direct preparations for the eatablishment of a proleterian atats. Transition from the revolutionary-democratic dictotorahip to the dictatorahip of the proletariat had been generally completed by the end of 1960. By that time the foundations of the state machinery of a new type had been laid as well. The Cuban revolution rejected as useless in building the new etate machinery the principles of bourgacie parliamentariem. The new state machinery was first built through the sateblishment of non-elective revolutionary edministration, which worked in close contact with mass organisations. Direct democracy also had an important role to play in the functioning of the revolutionary state during the early years of the people's rule.

As the Cuban revolution reached meturity, the main content of etete development became the extension of accialiat desocracy and the encouragement of representative bodies of government. In 1963, the revolutionary government of Cuba ecteblished a new political-edministrative division, with an additional intermediary link, the district, introduced between the province and the municipia. Hambers of district and municipal organisations began to be elected by open ballot with subsequent endorement by higher perty organs. The voting took place at factories, people's estetes and farming essociations with one delegate elected from every 100 people. Deputies to regional and municipal councils were members of numerous commissions concerned with public educe-

tion, health care, read building, etc. These bedies of government paved the way to more mature forms of socialist statehood.

The 1970s saw more energetic activities to promote socialist legality. Revolutionary legal acts on the organisation of the judicial system, on criminal, civil and administrative court procedures, and the family code were drawn up,

The development of socialist democracy was given a discussed and approved. strong impetus by the establishment of experimental bodies of people's government through denocratic elections held in the Matanzas province in 1974. These bodies functioned in Matanzas from September 1974 to October 1976, and their experience was used in holding general elections in October-November 1976.

The First Congress of the Communist Party of Cuba in December 1975 became a landmark in the development of socialist democracy. A new constitution of the Republic of Guba, the first socialist constitution in the Western Hemisphere, was put up for a referendum by the Congress's decision, epproved by the overwhelming majority vote and entered into force

The new constitution was drafted on the basis of general on February 24, 1976. conclusions drawn from Cuba's own experience and also from the experience of the peoples who have embarked on the road of building socialiem much earlier.

The constitution and the laws passed on its basis provide for the establishment of bodies of people's power all over the country. Elections to the prinary organe of people's power, municipal assemblies, are universal, direct and equal (one man - one vote) by secret ballot. The world's lowest voting age was cetablished in Cuba, 16 years. Citizens of that age can also be elected to municipal and provincial sessemblies, and to the National Assessbly upon reaching 18.

Deputies are nominated at the place of their recidence by primary territorial communities, collectives of citizens. Votere also cast their ballota at their pleos of residence. Not more than one candidate is noninsted by every election dietrict. Candidates for deputy to the provincial essemblies of people's power and to the Netional Assembly of People's

Power are nominated by commissions consisting of representatives of political and mass organisations. Members of these commissions are appointed by the numicipality leadership under the chairmanship of the Communist Perty's representative and approved by deputies to the municipality.

The principle of having more than one candidets per sest is observed at every level during the elections, and votes are counted publicly.

The president of the nunicipal executive committee automatically becomes a deputy to the respective provincial assembly. All the other vacancies allested to the nunicipie for representation in the provincial assembly are filled by secret ballot taken at the nunicipal assembly.

Municipal ssssmblies also elect deputies to the National Assembly of Paopla's Power, which in its turn slacts the State Council of the Republic.

Deputies regularly report to their constituents, and can be recalled by their decision. Deputies do not drew salariss but continue to hold their jobs while the stets compansetss than for the expenses incurred by them in discharging their duties as deputy.

The Communist Party of Cuba plays the leeding role in Cuban socialist society. The assumption by the Communist Party of the leeding role in the socialist political system in Cuba had certain specific feetures.

During the descoratic stage of the revolution the main guiding force of the political arganisation of society was the alliance of the revolutionary organisations July 26th Hovement, Revolutionary Directorate and the Popular Socialist Party. However, as the tasks facing the revolution grew more complex, the need for a single Harrist-Leminist party became obvious. Processes of differentiation were taking place smong the revolutionary democrats and the more consistent of the began to go over to the positions of the proletariat. Fidel Castro, the leader of the revolution, played an outstanding role in the transition of the revolutionary democrats to the class positions of the working class. The ideological evolution of the revolutionary democrats to the transition of the sorking class. The ideological evolution of the revolutionary democrats to the class positions of the working class. The ideological evolution of the revolutionary democrats to the class positions of the working class. The ideological evolutions of the revolution of the revolution, played an outstanding role in the transition of the ordinary democrats to the class positions of the working class. The ideological evolution of the revolution, played an outstanding role in the transition of the ordinary democrats to the class, positions of the working class.

ing the basis for their organisational unification.

The organisational merger of the People's Socialist Party, the July 26th Movement and the Revolutionary Directorote in the United Revolutionary Organisations on the basis of Marxism-Loninism bogan in the middle of 1961.

The development of the Cubon revolution involved quite a fsw difficulties. The United Revolutionary Organications were not yst a party in the full sense of the word but a transitory political antiby the aim of which was to prepare the ground for the establishment of a Marxiet-Leniniet party. The restructuring of the URO and the establishment of a United Party of the Socialiet Revolution began as early as 1962, when initial party cells were set up. In October 1965, the decision was taken to name the emergent party the Communiet Party. During that time the leading bodies of the Party wers being formed.

The Party was being formed under difficult conditione, when the main task of the Cuban ravolution was "to survive". Certain mistakss wers made in that situation and the procase of sstabliehment dragged on. During the pset few years, however, the Cuban Communists have done a great deal to improve the forme and methods of their sctivity and the organisational structure of the Psrty, to rsise the ideological and political standarde of ite members and to atrengthen contacte with the

In August 1970, the Politbureau of the Central Committee mage of the population. of the Communiat Party of Cuba passed a decision on dividing the functione of party and government bodies. This division became even more clear-cut following the reorganisation of the Central Committee in 1973. A new etructure was evolved for the entire party apparatua at the national, provincial, district and municipal levele and also in the workers' centrea.

The First Congress of the Communist Party of Cuba took measures further to consolidate the party on the principles of Marxiam-Leniniam. The Rulee and the Programmatic Platforn of the Communiat Party of Cuba, written in the apirit of Marxiam-Leniniem were approved. The Second Congress of the Consumiat Party of Cuba drew much attention to scientific

development of Cuban society perspectives and defined the tasks of the party in building socialism. The congress bacane a notable event not only in the country's life, but in communist, Isbour and national liberation movement as a whole.

150 delegations and observers of communist and labour parties, other progressive parties and national liberation movements took part in its session.

xxx

Two Lines of Political Development A comparative analysis of the tendencies of present-day political systems makes it pos-

sible to drsw conclusions on the general direction of development of political systems in our epoch.

The most salient feature characterising the 20th century is the emergence and development of new types of political systems and diverse political regimes as a result of socialist and national liberation revolutions. This process has swept most of mankind in Europe and Asia, Africa and Latin America.

The period of the most active political reorganisation of society in the pest was wehered in by the bourgsois revolutions of the 18th and 19th centuries. That process was limited for the most part to Europe and the United Stetes of Americs. Having born bourgeois statehood and bourgeoie parlismentary democracy, those revolutions laid the foundations of those political structures which have survived to this day in a modified form in developed capitalist countries. Respite the great historical importance of parlismentarism, however, the creative political processes of the period of the bourgeois revolutions are dwarfed by the gigantic scale, profundity and diversity of the political forms brought to life by the socialist and national liberation revolutions in the present epoch.

World politics today are cheracterised first and foremost by the emergence, consolidation and development of socialist states. The political systems of socialist countries, given all the diversity of specific forms of government and state structure, political regimes and political dynamics, cultures and traditions, constitute an example of the exercise of power by the working class and the working people as a whole. These countries are accumulating velueble experience of the formation of the political organisation of a new type, led by the communist and workers' parties and embracing diverse democratic forms of involving the meas of the people in government.

The cumulative experience of socialiet countries is exerting an ever greater influence on all the political relations in the present epoch. It sets an example for advanced workers, for politically awakened working people in capitalist countries in their struggle for genuine democracy and social progress. This experience is used extensively in many countries which have recently shaken off the fetters of colonial and semi-colonial dependence. The domestic and foreign policise of socialist countries are exerting an ever greater influence on world politics.

The emergence and devolopment of new political systems in Asian, African and Letin American countries es a consequence of national liberation revolutions has great hietoric importance. The political systems that are taking shape in these countries are accumulating new, sometimes quite poculiar experience, which also constitutes one of the more remerkable characteristics of today's world development.

Socialist-oriented developing countriee going through the stage of nstional-democratio revolutions cerry out retrictions of capitalist property and create public sectors in the economy. Feudal relationships are being eredicated and cooperation encouraged in agriculture. In the international ecene they are promoting and extending relations with eccialist countries, whose assistance and support helps them to advance their revolutions.

The alignment of class force is changing in eocialistoriented countries, the working class is emerging and gaining oriented countries, the working class is emerging and gaining maturity, and forming an alliance with the peasantry.

The eocialiet-oriented etates have a number of charocterietice distinguishing them from those developing countries which have chosen the capitalist road. Those countries, os a rule, are governed by alliances of eocial forces, includarule, are governed by alliances of eocial perty bourgeing the working class, the peasantry, the urban petty bourgeing the working class,

oisie and the progressive intelligentsis. Political leadership is usually exercised by the ravolutionary democrats. From the point of view of its nature, the socialict-oriented atted during the stage of national democratic revolution is the revolutionary-democratic dictatorship of the peopls. As the revolution progresses, the role of the working class in politics is bound to grow steadily.

The nature of the socialist-oriented states and the main tasks tackled by thon determine the character of their functions. The domestic functions overcised by those states include the suppression of the classes and social groups which are hostile to the revolution, the organisation of the economy, the eredication of clan and tribal prejudices and the vestiges of colonial mentality, and also the promotion of cultural and educational activities. The external functions are the defence of the country, struggle against inperialism and noo-colonialism, and the extension of friendship and cooperation with socialist and developing states.

Statehood in socialiet-oriented countries has no room for the monarchic form of government. The political basis of the etste ie represented by the government bodies elected denocratically. For instance, councils in the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen are elected by universal, direct and equal suffrage.

The political systems of socialist-oriented countries are evolving towerds descratisation. Some of them are governed by alliances of parties, others by single political organisations. There are in some of these countries mass organisations (fronts), through which the mass of the populs-

^{1.} In the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen, for instance, during the energence of the national atate (1967-1969) power helonged to the mass of the urban and rurel working people, and intellectuals and army officers participated in its exercise. The leading political force was the urban petty bourgeoisie. Between 1969 and 1972, the petty bourgeoisie lost its exonopoly of leedership, which began to switch to workers, hired farmhands and the poor and middle peagants. (See Programse of the Political Organisection of the National Front at the State of the National-Democratic Revolution. Fart II. Special Eulletin of the Institute of Grientel Studies of the USBR Academy of Sciences, No. 1, 1973).

tion are involved in the political lifo.

Having learned at first hand that socialism can only be built on the basis of Marxism-Leninism, a number of countriea, including Angola, Congo, the PDRY and Mozambique, have stated their intention to build socialism on a scientific basis. The number of such countries is constantly growing. Vanguard parties have been established or are going to be formed in some of them (Mozambique, Angola, the Congo, PDRY and Ethiopia) to direct the building of a new society.

The activities of socialist-oriented states are pronouncedly anti-capitalist and democratic. They are carrying out a wide range of social and political measures in the interests of the mass of the working people. Today they face complex tasks and many difficulties on the way to socialist society. Lenin warned on more than one occasion that the road to socialism "will never be straight, it will be

However, advancing on the road of progress and strugincredibly involved. "1 gling for complete freedom from imperialist exploitation and for the right to be masters of their destinies, the developing countries rely on comprehensive support and selfless friendly assistance of the Soviet Union and other socialist countries.

Political relations taking shape within the framework of the system of developing countries are exerting an ever greater influence on the entire system of political relations in the present-dsy world.

Along with the new, revolutionary experience of state development, the present epoch sees a certain modification of the traditional political systems in the developed capitaliet countries of the West. This modification is taking place first and foremost under the influence of internal factors, such as class struggle and the specific forms of state-monopoly capitalism. At the same time the eccialist world and, to a degree, the developing countries are exerting

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 27, p. 130.

an ever greater influence on the political awereness and particularly on the ideology of the ambattled mass of the people.

Bourgeoie parliamentariem, just as the bourgeoia political system as a whols, is gripped by a permanent crisis. The bourgeoie etatea find it particularly excruciating to adapt themselves to a changed system of international relatione evolving under the mighty impact of the world socialiet community end the countries which have emerged from colonial and cemi-colonial dependence, working together for radical changes in the traditional system of international relatione dating beck to the epoch of the unchallenged dominance of cepiteliam and imperieliem, for the triumph of the principlee of peece end peaceful coexistence, for complete equality between statee and for mutually-haneficial cooperation. Tha attempte to edapt the outdated mechaniens and principles of bourgeois democracy to the demanda of our time result in peculiar disfigurement of the political systems of capitalism, torn apart by internal strife, in the steady declina of the role of treditional inatitutiona, first and foremost parliamente, in the inetability of governments, and in the growing contradictione between domeatic and foreign policies.

Chapter V

THE SOCIAL REVOLUTION

Replacement of socio-economic formations always represents a fundamental qualitative leap transforming all the aspects of social life, primarily its basia and auperstructure. For that very reason, such leaps are called accial revolutions. Their role in history and the laws of their origination and development are studied by historical mate-

To master the acience of revolution is an esaential prerialism. requisite for aucceasful revolutionary activity of the party of the working class. This science was developed by the founders of Marxian-Leninism on the basia of profound analysia of the history of the revolutionery movement and generalisation of the practice of the proleteriat's clase struggle. It has been creatively developed in the theoretical documente of the international communist movement, and is continually generalised by the new historical experience of the presentday revolutionary process.

What is a social revolution, and what are its principal historical types? What are the laws of social revolution? And what are the specific of socialist revolution?

1. Ravolution and Ita Role in Social

The concept of social revolution is Devalopment sacentially many-eided. In very gene-The Concept of ral terms, the social revolution Social Revolution

ie e method for recolving the conflict botween productive forces and obsolate production relations which detor their davelopment, and eubasquantly in the entirs political superstructurs, a method for transition from an outdated eccio-economic formation to a more progressive one. This is, in fact, a fundamental qualitative turn in the entire accioeconomic atructure of eocisty. In the preface to his A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy, Mark showed

how the contradiction between the two negacta of the mode of production leads to production relations transforming from forms of development of productive forces into their fetters. He wrote: "Then begins an era of social revolution. The changes in the economic foundation lead sooner or later to the transformation of the whole immense superstructure. In studying such transformations it is always necessary to distinguish between the material transformation of the economic conditions of production, which can ho determined with the precision of natural acience, and the legal, political, religious, artistic or philosophic — in short, ideological form in which can hecome conscious of this conflict and fight it out."

As a form of ascial development, the social revolution is opposits to <u>social evolution</u>, which signifies continuous gradual change in the various aspects of ascial life within the same socio-economic formation.

Marxiat-Leninist theory attachee important significance to the lews of accial revolution. In antegonistic societies, evolution inevitebly leads to aggravation of controdictions in the mode of production, to increeeing need in social revolution. Now, why cannot these contradictions he resolved evolutionally? Because they touch the essence of production relations, on the basis of which evolutional changes occur. However, these relations cannot be replaced by others evolutionally, for they are esfeguarded by the ruling classes, by the political and idealogical apperstructure of the old basis. A resolution of the conflict between productive forces and production relations requires, therefore, fundamental breaking the entire socio-economic and political system, i.e. social revolution.

Despite the fect that they are extreme opposites, revolution and evolution are interconnected. Evolutional changes within the framework of antegoniatic accisties create premises for revolutions. On the other hand, the latter, in eliminating the outdated system of production relations

K. Harx, A contribution to the Critique of Political Economy, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1977, p. 21.

and its corresponding superstructure, opens the way for evolution within the framework of the new socio-economic formation.

From the definition given in the preface to Marx's A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy it is clear that in the concept of social revolution Marx also included the form of struggle used by people to resolve the conflict within the mode of production. Under this angle, the social revolution comes out as a nethod of social trensformetions aimed at fundementally changing the very foundations of the existing system.

As a method of hiatorical activity, revolution is opposite to <u>reform</u>, which is simed at achieving partial changes within the framework of a given system. Merriem-leninism does not deny the significance of reforms, but Leninism does not deny the significance of reforms, but regerde them in connection with the prospects of a revolution. All historical experience proves that reforms represent a subsidiary product of the revolutionary struggle of the messes. Even in ceses when reforms ere not direct results of mess actions by the working people, they are carried on by the ruling classes under the pressure of the popular masses so as not to allow a revolutionary explosion.

With regard to social reforms, Marxiats wege a struggle on two fronts. On the one hand, they resolutely expose reformism, which dissolves revolution in reforms, being guided by the formula "movement is everything, and the guided by the formula "movement is everything, and the ultimate goal is nothing". On the other hend, they come ultimate goal is nothing". On the other hend, they come puts up an insurmountable barrier between reforms and reputs up an insurmountable barrier between reforms and revolution, and behind revolutionary phraecology about ultimate goals losse real foundation for advencing towerds those goals.

The Marxiat dialectical approach to the relationship between reforms and revolution was clearly forsulated by between reforms and revolution was clearly forsulated by Lenin, who wroto: "The concept 'reform', is undoubtedly the copposite of the concept 'revolution'. Failure to remember the line that divides this contrast, failure to remember the line that

these two concepts, constantly leads to very serious mistakes in all historical discussions. But this contrast is not something absolute, this line is not something dead, but alive and changing, and one must he able to define it in each particular case. ¹ The chief target that Marxists-Leninists set for themselves is to turn reforms into strongpoints in the etruggle for fundemental remaking of society, for revolution.

In Marxist literature, the concept of revolution is regarded both in a broad and narrow censs. In the very broad eenee, social revolution encompasses the entire process of trancition from the lower socio-economic formation to the higher one. In this sense, revolution continues over a whole hietoricel epoch to include numerous social and political movements. As elements of social revolution, thees movements objectively serve the tesk of destroying the old system and to prepare the ground for satablishing a new system. The epoch of eocial revolution involves an acute class struggle, in which victories of revolutionary classes alternate with temporary defeate, advances alternate with rolla back, and periods of offeneives with periods of partial restoration, political compromises, and new offensives. The social revolution continues until the new socio-economic formation is eetsblished once and for all in the principal countries involved in world history.

In a nerrover eence, the social revolution implies a transition to a more progreeeive aocial system within one country. For instance, the bourgeoie ravolution in France embreced e period from 1789 to 1791. The transition from cepiteliam to socialism in Euceia began in October 1917 to culminete in the mid-thirties when a socialist eociety hed been built in the USSR.

Finally, in a <u>etill nerrowsr</u> and most widespread eense, the concept of revolution is used to designate a <u>political</u> uphoeval, as a result of which the clase eesence of power <u>cores</u> to be replaced. Lamin noted: "The peecing of etate 1. V.I. Lenin, <u>Collected Works</u>, Vol. 17, pp. 115-116.

power from ono class to another is the first, the principal, the basic sign of a revelution, both in the atrictly scientific and in the practical political meaning of that term." In eccordance with this criterion, we speak of the English bourgeois revolution of 1648, of the French bourgeois revelution of 1789, and of the Great October Socialist Revolution of 1917 in Rusaia.

The narrower definitions of social revolution do not contredict to the wider definition, but enter it as component parts. Indoed, the atruggle for and winning of power by the foremost class is an ossential and most important stage in any social revolution, understood in s broader sense as a transition from one social system to another. Social revolutions in individual countries also represent links of a single process of world historical importance, a process involving a transition from a lower socio-economic formation to s higher one. Noting this circumstance, Marx emphssised that the English and French bourgeois revolutions "reflected the needs of the world at that time rather than the needs of those parts of the world where they occurred, What then is the role of that is England and France".2

Role of Social Revolution

social revolutions in social development? This iasue is a subject of acu-

Bourgeois ideologists and reformists deny or belittle te ideological struggle. the progressive aignificance of eocial revolutions and distort their very essence. They reduce revolution to court coups d'etat. Conversely, others include in revolution essentially all changes in social life. In both cases, the objection tive is one, namely to emasculate from the concept of social revolution ita essence as a means for achieving fundemental qualitative changes in the entire system of social reletions. In our time, bourgeois ideologists and revisionists

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 24, P. 44.

^{2.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 8, p. 161.

sesk to dissolve social revolution in the ecientific and tachnological revolution, which allegadly automatically resolves fundemental social problems to free capitalism from ite intrinsic contradictions and class antagoni

Revolution involves in active political ectivity the broadest popular masses, which in quiet periods of history the ruling classes manege to dehar from politice. In this connection, Lenin said: "At no other time are the mass of the people in a position to come forward so actively as creators of a new social order, as at a time of revolution. At euch times the people are capable of performing miracles..." For that very reason, the rates of social development in revolutionary periods become unusually quick, and the limits of the possible, as Lenin put it, a thousand-fold larger.

Social revolution is a

Historical Types of Sociel Revolutions

Social revolution is a historical catagory. It is connected with a

lengthy period of human history, haginning with the disintegration of the primitive-communal system and culminating with the currently occurring estehlishment of the communist socio-economic formetion. The entire period has hesn full of acute clase struggle and numerous social revolutions, multiform in assence and form. Their insvitehility was caused by the fect that all the consecutively changing systsms of production relations, sterting with primitive-communal ones and ending with cepitaliet relations, had the hall-mark of hietorical narrow-mindsdness and, sooner or later, antered into conflict with the development of productive forces, with the pressing useds of eocial progress. Marxist typology of social revolutions is essentially based on a distinct meterialist criterion, namely the character of the objective teaks teckled by the revolution. The type of revolution depends on whet kind of production relations it destroys, and to what socio-economic formation a transition is made. History knows of four such types of revolution.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 9, p. 113.

The first type involves social revolution which destroyed the primitive-communal system to establish the slave-owner accio-economic formation. That was a profound revolution, which resulted in a fundamental upheaval in production relations; public ownership of the means of production was replaced by private ownership, and a class society and state emerged to take the place of clan organisation and communal self-government.

The progressive aignificance of the social revolution, whereby a transition from the primitive-communal formation to the alave-owning one was completed, was in that it broke the narrow framework of clan and tribal relationships, which had become an obstacle to development of production, division of labour, and growth of culture. As long as human labour afforded but a meagre surplus over the necessary means of subsistence, the outcome of revolution could be but ons, namely the establishment of a system, with which progress would be achieved at this coat of enalsvement of a vest misjority of achieved at this coat of enalsvement of a vest misjority of the population. In those conditions, this was a large step forward, opening the way to further growth of productive forces, division of labour into mental and manual, and development of acience and art.

The second type involves a aocial revolution which secured s transition from the alave-owning socio-economic formation to feudalism. The deep source of this revolution was
the fundamental contradiction of the slave-owning mode of
production: the development of productive forces deep inside
it encountered the limited form of production relotions
it encountered the limited form of production of the mosa of
based on direct physical compulsion to lobour of the mosa of
alsves.

Slaves were the msaa social force that undermined the alsve-owning society, and alsve uprisings occurred throughout the whole history of that acciety. Slave octions were of a spontaneous nature and fulfilled purely destructive functions; in inflicting blows on the slave-owning system, they did not so beyond its fromework. Another social force taking part in the struggle against the slove-owning system were free in the struggle against the slove-owning system were free landholders, articano, and the urban poor subjected to vari-

ous forms of exploitation and persacution. Not infrequently, they joined shave uprioings. Finally, a mojor force that overthrew the slove-owning system were the neighbouring barberian tribes, whose invasions, leeding to the collapse of the Roman Espire, cannot be conceived solely as an external factor. These tribes were within the sphere of slava-owning forms of exploitation; they were subjected to plunder, paid a tribute, and were a source for reinforcing the army of slaves.

Three closely intertwined atreoms, viz. slavo upriaings, the movement of free plebs, and invesions by barbarian tribes, were, in effect, the principal forces of the antislave-owning revolution which cleared the way for the feudal accio-economic formation.

The third type was the bourgeois revolution which hed overthrown the yoke of serfdom and the feudal system to establish the dominance of capitalism. This revolution was preceded by numerous uprisings of peasants, the main oppreeeed class in foudal society, a class subjected to crusl exploitation by feudel lendlords. At times, peasant revolutionary ections ssaumed a netional acops, s.g. the Jacqueria in France (1358), Wat Tyler's rehellion in Britain (1381), the Peasent War in Germany (1524-1525), and the peasant wars in Rusais under the leadership of Ivan Bolotnikov (1606), Stepan Razin (1667-1671), and Emelian Pugechev (1773-1775). Yet, their spontaneity and poor organisation doomed these actions to defeat. To triumph they lacked a leading clees that would be the bearer of a new mode of production. This class emerged on the political acene together with the rise of the capitalist structure within the bosom of the feudal system. The young bourgeoisie, along with the peasantry and plebeian urban atrata, became the motive force of bourgeois revolutions.

In the wake of the bourgeoisie, the proletariat emerged on the political aceme to take an active part in the revolutionary movement with its own demands. The role of the bourgeoisie as the leading revolutionary force began to decline. The bourgeoisie began to show increasing tendency towards a helf-way, compromise outcome of the revolu-

tionary struggle, towards reaching an understanding with reactionary feudal lords at the expense of the working people.

The socialist revolution, which represents the fourth typa of social revolution, puts an end to the last exploiter system - capitalism - to usher an era of the establishment of the communist socio-economic formation.

Such is the very general pattern of the main types of social revolution in human history. Naturally, in real life this is much more complex. The point is that socio-economic formations have never existed in a "pure" form. Hence, a social revolution, too, makes its way through a number of heterogeneous socio-economic relations which affect its essence and cause various deviations from the classical types of social ravolutions. The uneven development of the economy and of class struggla in different countries determines the various aspects of their entry into revolutionary periods. Some revolutions remain incomplete, and this leaves open the question of what typa they belong.

Multiformity of the Revolutionary Process within the framework of each typa of aocial ravolution, there are numarous different varietions

connected with the concrete historical features of the revolutionary process in different countries and in different
perioda. Tha essence of a social revolution lergely depends
on the composition of its motive forces. For instance,
bourgeois revolutions, depending on the acope of the mae
bourgeois revolutions, may be either popular or "uppermont".
In popular revolutions, the masses, as Lenin noted, leave
"on the entire courae of the revolution an imprint of their
own demands, their attempts to build in their own way a new
acciety in place of the old society that was being destroyed."
In upheavala "at the top", certain bourgeois atrata which

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 25, p. 421.

had emerged on the crest of the diacontent with the old system succeed in debarring the meases from active participation in the revolutionary process. This was the case in the unfinished revolution of 1867-1868 in Jspan, in the Young Turk Revolution of 1903, and in the Portuguese Revolution of 1910. The uppermost revolution is characterised by its helfway, incomplete, and compromise nature. The depth of revolutionary changes thus wholly depends on the specific weight of different classes and strate that take part in the revolution, on the extent of their accio-political maturity, and on their mutual relations.

All this results in that each of the basic types of eccial revolution is subdivided into a whole series of subtypes or transitional types. The era of bourgeoia revolutions may cerve as an example of this. It embraces several centurice, and it is natural that over such a lengthy historical period major changes took place in the eocial structure, and in the position and the alignment of various classes and strats. As a result, experience in the revolutionary struggle was socumuleted, the lessone of preceding revolutions were learned, and the reactionary forces involved and the strategy and tectics of their counter-revolutionary actions also changed. Bourgeois rsvolutions also took the sheps of spontaneous peasant wers and uprisings of urben "lower strata"; of broad movements that took on a religioue tinge; and of etrong national actions by an alliance of peasants, pettybourgeoie urban etrata and the emerging prolatariet under the leadership of the bourgeoieie and, at a later stage, of bourgeois-democratic revolutions, some of which were proletsrian se regards leadership and methods of etruggle, as it was in Eussia in 1905-1907. A gradation of subtypea conditioned by these differences is clearly discerned within the framework of a bourgeois revolution. With the advent of capitaliem into the imperialist stage of development, it becomes possible for the proletariet to win hegemony in a bourgeoie-democratic revolution. This createe a new hietorical perspective for ite development into a eocialiat revolution.

In the 19th century, the distinctive feature of bourgecia revolutiona in Latin America was the fect that they were essentielly national liberation in nature. Of paramount importance wes the conflict between the requirements of productive forces, which needed s nationally independent fremework for their development, end foreign domination accompanied by plunder of enalayed countries, by aubjugetion of their economic life to the objectives of this domination. The social foundation of these revolutions was the conflict between the interests of the leeding social forcs in a conquered country end its people as e whole end those of the ruling clesses of feudel-monerchist Spain. The alignment of class forces in the Letin American countries was very psculier: the Creole leedership took the guidance of the liberetion struggle into its hands. The victorious conclusion of the wers for independence in 1810-1826 led to political predominance of end even dominence by the ariatocrecy and landlords. In the further development of Letin American countries following these revolutions the role and significance of big landownership hea increesed in many regions.

In the era of proletarian accial revolutiona uehered in by the Greet October Socieliat Revolution of 1917 in Russia, the revolutionary processes are distinguished by a still greeter divaraity. Socialist revolutions have their distinctive feetures depending on the level of the socio-economic development of the countries where they take place, on the dagree of meturity of the subjective factor, end dapending on what stags of the modern epoch they begin et. The Comintern Programms adopted by its Sixth Congress in 1928 distinguished thrss typss of transition to the dictatorship of ths proletarist: for countries of advenced capitalism, for countries with medium level of development of cepitalism, and for colonial, ssmi-colonial, and dependent countries.

It should also be noted that the ers of transition from capitalism to socialism involves numerous anti-imperialist,

^{1.} See Programme and Rules of the Communist International. Partizdat, Moscow, 1936, pp. 106-110 (in Ruesian).

democratic, anti-fascist, and national liberation revolutions.

It is very important to elucidate tha specifics of this or that revolution. For instance, national liberation revolutions differing in form and content took and take place in former colonies and semi-colonies. Some of them, being assentially bourgeois-democratic, at the same time represent definite links of the world revolutionary process and, in this connection, assume new festures and peculiarities, new developmental prospects. They no longer fully match the old bounderies of hourgeois revolutions.

A historical method is needed in approaching a social revolution. As a mode of schieving a transition from one eccio-economic formation to another, the revolution, from epoch to epoch, assumes new features to become more complicated. In this case, the role therein of popular masses growe. Whereas in revolutions of the epoch of transition from slave-ownership to feudalism, the fruite of mass actions by elsves were virtually fully usurped by an insignificant mejority of exploiters, the situation in bourgeois and particularly in bourgeois-democratic revolution is essentially different. To some extent, the masses of pessents obtain social advantages from these revolutions, and in some casas leave an imprint of their influence on their course. In the early etages of cless society, social revolution involved eeparate uncoordinated movements and uprisinge. Later revolutions increasingly sesume the festures of an integral process, whose elemente become ever more closely interrelated.

With the transition to higher etagee of social development, the objective tasks of social revolutions become more complex. From the chiefly spontaneous and regional action, which it was in the early stages of human society, in the modern era the revolution turns into a world revolutionary process, in which conscious political activity by the foremost class becomes a prerequisite for its development and victory. The social revolution finds its full expression in a socialist revolution, which frees society of all forms of exploitation and oppression to lay down the beginnings of the communict socio-economic formation, in

which, according to Marx, social evolutions will cease to be political revolutions. Such is the origin of social revolution.

2. Objective Conditions and the Subjective Factor of Social Revolution

In its dsvelopment, any revolution passes a number of stages, each of which prepares conditions for the following one. In a very general form, one can distinguish five basic stages in the development of a social revolution: (1) the period of maturation of objective socio-economic prerequisites; (2) the period of a national criais and struggle for political power; (3) the period of a revolutionary situation and mass political unrest; (4) political unheaval and establishment of the authority of a new, mors progressive class; lishment of the authority of a new, mors progressive class; (5) the period of consolidating the results of the revolution. Naturally, a apecific revolution may involve various kinds of deviationa from this periodisation whereby the said periods would be increasingly subdivided, and characterised by blurred and incomplete transitions.

The classes and social strata, which with regard to their objective statua within the system of production relations are interested in the overthrow of the existing relations are interested in the overthrow of the existing system and are able to take part in the struggle for the system and are specified by the system, come out as the victory of a more progressive system, come out as the motive forces of social revolution. For instance, the motive forces of a bourgeois revolution in the current epoch motive forces of a bourgeois revolution in the current epoch motive forces of a bourgeois revolution in the current epoch geois elements in town and country. The motive forces of a accialist revolution are the working class, the poor a socialist revolution are the working class, the poor pessentry, and various other oppressed atrata.

A social revolution occurs only as a result of profound social changes that put the masses into motion. As Lenin noted, "tha only effactive force that comples change is popular revolutionary energy."

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 23, p. 213.

Revolutionary periods in history are distinguished by very quick and very desp eocial changes precisely because they are implemented by the popular masses. Their sctione provide for the most radical eocia-ecanomic and political changes. For exampla, the English baurgeois revolution of the 18th century is usually associated with the name of Clivar Cromwell. However, it is well known that the protactor of England adhered to canservative views and had time and again tried to and the ravolution by a compromise with tha king, and that only powerful pressure from below interfered with these plane.

The history of revolutione provae that only the people are capable of breaking the resistence of the ruling class, to paralyse the repressive bodies of the state machine, and to suppress and destroy the ald superstructure. Hence, the matter of objective socia-aconomic conditions for a revolution should transform into the political movement of the masses, find their reflection in a rapid growth of revolutionary sentiments among the appressed classes, and in their political activity. In other words, this requires a revolutionary situation characterised by mass revolutionary excitement. A revolutionary situation testifies to the fact that revolution has ripened, and that the queetion of winning pawer by the foremost class is now on the agenda. The fallowing basic features distinguish a revolutionary situation.

Firet, "the crieia of the upper etrata", i.e. tha imposaibility for the upper classos to ratain their dominance in the ease form. A crieis in the policy of the ruling clase creates tha fissure in which the discontent and indignation of the oppressed classes breaks through. Lemin noted that for a revolution to occur it is usually insufficient for the "lower strata" to be unwilling to live in the old way, it is also required that the "upper strata" were unable to do eo.

Second, an ecuta aggravation of aocial antagoniana between the ruling class and the oppressed classess. This aggravation may be connected with economic disseters for the broad strate of the population. It may be engendered by lock of social rights, deprivations of the masses, and other foctors that stem from the controdictions of the given eystem and in one way or enother induces the suppressed classes to protost.

Third, considerably incressed political activity and organisation of the meases. In such periods, the revolutionery cleases become free of peasivity and inertia inherent in times of reletively "quiet" development. Militant sentiments ropidly grow, and passions aeeths to splash outside. The meases literally atrein towards politics, and revolutionary organisations come into heing or consolidate.

The time when e revolutionary situation arises and its form and development rete depend on the whole system of sociopolitical relations: on the condition of the state machinery, the strength of the positions of the ruling class, the strength of the revolutionary class and its relations with other asctions of the population and accumulation of that class of political experience.

The revolutionary situation is characterised by mounting dynamism. In its development, it passes through several stages, beginning from scarcely noticeable signs of mass unrest and ending with a national criais which develops into a revolution. The higher the atage of the revolutionary situation, lution. The higher the absumed in its further development by the greater is the role assumed in its further development by the ability and readiness of revolutionary classes to carry the ability and readiness of revolutionary classes to carry the ability and readiness of revolutionary situation attactor becomes decisive. Not every revolutionary situation attactor becomes decisive and turns into a revolution. If protaine the highest stage and turns into a revolution. If protaine the highest stage and turns into a revolution scrive greesive classes are for some reason unprepared for active and organised actions, the revolutionary situation starts to and organised actions, the revolutionary situation starts to abate, mass revolutionary excitement declinee, and the revolutionary fuse disappears; the ruling class then seeke tho means to retain power in its hands.

means to retain power in its hands.

The concept of revolutionary situation is extremely important for the strategy and tactics of the revolutionary working-class movement. History shows that attempts by revolutionaries to overthrow the power of the ruling classes in the absence of a revolutionary situation end in failure.

The theorists of petty-bourgeois revolutioniam claim that everything depends on the will of revolutionaries, whose possibilities are bounded by nothing except their own desirs to stage a revolution. They say that if there is no revolutionary situation, it supposedly can and must be created by the efforts of the conscious minority, by organising a revolutionary "hotbed", or a kind of "detonator" designed to awake the masses and bring them into motion. In the view of voluntarist theorists, s revolutionary "hotbed" represents a likeness of a "little motor", whose work would actuate the "motor" of mass movement, and they do not take into account that fuel and oxygen, i.e. the sympathy of and support by the masses, is needed for the "little motor" to function uninterruptedly. If these are absent, the "little motor" quickly stalls without imparting its impulse to the "big motor". Such is the immutable conclusion of theory repsstedly confirmed by practice.

In condemning subjectivism and voluntarism, Marxism-Leninism at the same time also rejects a passive-onlooker sttitude towards revolution as a fatal process. No revolution,
even with meximally ripe objective conditions, can take
place outside active revolutionary activity of the foremost
classes. Lenin wrote: "It would be a mistake to think that
the revolutionary classes are invariably strong enough to
effect a revolution whenever such a revolution has fully
natured by virtue of the conditions of social and economic
development. No, hugan society is not constituted so rationally or so conventiently for progressive elements. A revolution may be ripe, and yet the forces of its creators may
prove insufficient to carry it out, in which case society
decays, and this process of decay sometimes drags on for
very many years."

Apart from ripe objective conditions, a revolution is in need of a <u>subjective fector</u>, the ability of revolutionary classes for actions that would suffice to resolve pressing revolutionary tasks. The subjective factor is also historical,

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 9, p. 368.

end the demands towards it grow from epoch to epoch. The subjective factor in contemporary ravolutions of necessity involves: (1) revolutionsry ewsrences of the masses, and their resdiness and resolve to fight till victory; (2) organisation of the masses and their vanguard, end unity and solidarity in the struggle; (3) guidance of the messes by e party that is sufficiently experienced, armed with e scientific ideology, and espable of working out a correct stretegy and tactics to consistently implement them. In the early stagee of clase eociety, actions by the oppressed peoples in a eocial revolution were chiefly of a sponteneous neture. A socialiet revolution requiree conscious activity by the working cless guided by a Marxist-Leninist perty.

The presence of both objective prerequisites and the aubjective factor is needed for a social revolution to triumph. Such is the basic law of all great revolutions. The objective requirements

How to Dafend a

of social progress ultimetely predetermins ths victory of a revolution.

However, at every concrete stage, its outcome is not the sene and depends on the existing alignment of class forces, on meturity of the subjective factor, and on the extent of reedinsea of revolutionary classee end political parties to solve the taske that face them. Lenin etressed that "revolutionery periode are mainly such periods in history when the clash of contending social forcea, in a cooperatively short space of time, decidee the question of the country's choice of a direct or a zigzag path of development for a comparatively very long time."1

The founders of Marxies-Leniniss resolutely case up egainst doctrinaire notione, according to which a social revolution is the automatic result of growing productive for cea and de carried out only when objective development itself guaranteea complete succeae without stubborn struggle, with-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 13, p. 22.

out losses, without the rick of temporary defects. Engels wrote: "...In revolution, as in wsr, it is of the highest necessity to stake everything on the decisive movement, whatever the odds may be ... It is a matter of course that, in every struggle, he who takes up the gsuntlet risks being besten, and submit to the yoke without drawing the sword?" Belfless activity by the mass forces of a ravolution is the decisive factor for its successful development and victory.

So far as revolution is an open struggle between antagonistic classes, it always entails violence. Marx believed,
violence to be the midwife of the old acciety when it is
pregnant with the new. The extent and forms of violence
depend on the concrete historical conditions in which a
revolution develops. They vary from arred suppression to
political coercion by peaceful means. Yet, violence itself
is but e means, and the objective is to remake the existing
ecoio-economic structure by very diverse means, and to build
e new society.

Revolution is always resisted by counter-revolution, which represents a resction sgsimst revolution. In its objective sesence, counter-revolution is always regressive, and is directed at preserving or restoring the obsolute social system being swept eway by revolution.

Antsgonism between the forces of revolution and counterrevolution is an objective law of class struggle in the transition from one socio-economic formation to another. This is due to the fact that the ruling classes never eurrender power volunterily, and put up stubborn resistance against the new system.

In some cases, revolution sweepe sway reactionary forces to end in complete victory. This refers to the Great October Socialist Revolution in Russie, and the victorious socialist revolutions in a number of European and Asian countries, and in Cubs. In other cases, counter-revolution takes the upper hand to defeat revolution like, say, the Paris Commune of 1871, the German revolution of 1918, the democratic revoluti-

^{1.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 11, p. 68.

on of the 1930a in Spain, and the national-democratic revolution in Chile. Occasionally the victory of a revolution is followed by temperary restoration of the old order of thinge (the Stuart Restoration in England in the 17th century and the Thermiderean reaction of 1794, which wee followed by rectoration of the Bourhons in France); nevertheless, this can no longer eliminate the deep referme carried out by the revolution.

Counter-rovolutionariea reaort to various forms of etruggle and aubveraive activitiee, including armed actions, civil wars, revolta, conepiracies, aabotage and diversion, intervention by foreign troopa, blockade, etc. A decisive victory of the new cystem deprives counter-revolutionarise of etrength to put up open reaictances, which takes on more concealed forms.

The danger of counter-revolutionary activity grows at the moment of relative equilibrium of clase forces, when the revolutionary classes cannot yet take all power into their hande and achieve a final victory, while the dominant clessee can no longer retain control over the course of svents, and hegin to lose ground. At auch momente the etruggls becomes increasingly scute. Countsr-revolutionaries become more active, they uss the levere of authority they etill have, their positions, influence and the mace media to bring the revolutionary processe to a standetill and turn it backwards. If counter-revolutionaries meet no etrong rebuff, they become more aggressive and seek to take advantage of the unstable political situation. A vivid example of this was the situation in Chile following the advent to power of the government of popular unity. Only by constantly keeping the initiative in the hands of revolutionary forces, only their unity and activity make it possible to stop counter revolution and make it fight on such bridgeheads and in such ways that would meet the interests of the further development of revolution and doom reaction to defeat.

Socially, counter-revolution is based primarily on exploiter classes, which as a result of revolution lose their power, incomes, and privileges. They come out as inspirers and organisers of counter-revolution. Numerically,

these classes conatitute an insignificant minority in society. Hence, to oppose revolution they need in a more or lass broad mass base. To that end, counter-revolution seeks to split the ranks of the oppressed classes by all means, including fraud, promises, hlackmail, slander, and demagogy, to win over politically backward, philistine-minded and wavering sections of the population. Certain atrats of the petty bourgeoisie, which, as Lenia atreased, in periods of increasingly scute class struggle "vacillates between revolution and counter-revolution", may become a social basis for counter-revolutionary sentiments. This besis, for one thing, gives rise to Bonapartism, a specific form of counter-revolutionary personal dictatorship that balences on contradictions of class interests.

Among the sources of the strength of counter-revolution or, as Lenin put it, "reserves of combustibles" are petty-bourgeois vacilistion, sluggishness, force of habit, inter-national ties of mactions, still retained valuebles and riches, and connections with the most skilled personnal in the economy, edministration, information media, and military sffsirs. Counter-revolution takes advantage of the mistakes of revolutionary classes, and also of extremist ections by leftist groups so as to scare away different sections of the public. Ultra-leftist adventurers, by juggling with revolutionary phraseclogy, in reality objectively play the role of socomplices of counter-revolution.

The success of the struggle sgainst counter-revolution depends on how timely and quickly it can be deprived of its sources of strength and isolated from mass social forces and organisations. This requires daring actions directed at immadiately eliminating the hotbeds of counter-revolution, at resolutely suppressing leftiat escapades and, above all, at deepening and culminating the revolution.

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 10, p. 265.

^{2.} Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 463.

Tho Strugglo Againat Countor-Rovolution

In world historical perapoctivo, counter-revolution is doomed. However,

it can dolay social progress and cause sigzags and retreats in devolopment. As a rule, counter-revolution is eccompanied by cruol tarror. This was vividly testified to by the bloody orgios of the men from Versailles after the downfall of the Paris Communo in 1871, by the mass shootings of workers sfter the defest of the Russian bourgeois-democratic revolution of 1905-1907, by the white terror that followed after the Hungarion Soviet Ropublic wes crushed in 1919, by the tragedy of Chile in 1973, and by other inatsuces of counterrevolutionsry violence.

The need to suppress all activity by counter-revolutionary forces is responsible for a mejor law of social revolution, alaw clesrly formulated by Lenin: "No revolution is Worth anything unless it can defend itaelf...

Western sociologists claim that there is a certain fatel "Lsw of Thermidore", sccording to which any revolution culminates in Thermidorean resction. These claims are based on distortion and absolutisation of certain features of bourgeois revolutions. Under the onslaught of the popular massaes, these revolutions often went much further than their bourgeoia lesdera wanted them to go. This "running ahead" was followed by s "rolling back" revolutionary wave. The bourgeoisie, not infrequently in alliance with the overthrown feudal elementa, made efforta to atop the revolution, to turn it back, and to limit it within the framework of their own class interests. These understandable tendencies invariably met counter-action from "below". However, taking advantage of the masses' inexperience, the bourgeoisis made an abrupt turn to establish s reactionary dictatorial regime and thus take away from the people the gains that threatened the foundations of the bourgeois system.

The development of s socialiet revolution may involve

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 28, p. 124.

dangers and "recoils" under the onslaught of petty-bourgsois elements. Lenin said: If we do not boat these olements,
"we shall slide down as the French Esvolution did". However,
these tendencies are overcome by consolidating the political
authority of the working class, and by carrying out messures
that expand the social base of the revolution and make the
non-proletarian etrata of the working people rally round
the working class in building socialism.

To overcome backward trends in the dovelopment of a social revolution and to bring that revolution to fruition, the period of consolidating ito resulte has paramount significance. The objective tecks of that period ore to stabilise the political authority of the foremost class, to implement the economic and social programmes of the revolution, and to take measures to defend its gains from internal and external counter-revolution.

3. Bocielist Revolution

Higher Type of Social Revolutions

Revolutions

a higher type of social revolutions. It involves a complex of destructive and creative teaks, namely for the working class, to goin power; to break down the old stats mechinery; to establish public ownership of the means of production; to create a system of

A socialiot, or proletari-

break down the old stats mochinery; to establish public ownerahip of the means of production; to creato a system of planned management of economic and social processes; to eliminate clees and national entagonisms and emploitetion of man by man; to develop socialist democracy; and to carry out a cultural revolution. A socialist revolution makes a radical turn in development of society, and eignifies a transition from mankind's pre-history to ita reel history.

The principel task of a socialist revolution is "the positive or constructive work of setting up an extremely intricate and delicate system of new organisational relationables extending to the planned production and distribution of the goods required for the existence of tens of millions

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 32,p. 282.

of psopls. Such a revolution can be successfully carried out only if the majority of the population, and primarily the majority of the working people, engage in independent creative work as makers of history.

The desp-rooted economic foundation of socialist revolution is the conflict between the social character of production and the capitaliat form of appropriation. By attaining gigantic dimensions and high degree of socialisation under capitalism, the productive forces enter into contradiction with the existing capitalist relations of production. This in itself, however, does not cause "stagnation" and "automatic collapse of espitaliam". Capitaliat production relations possess certain flexibility, and can react to the objective requirements of the productive forces. The result ia an evolution of cepitaliat forma of ownership from privste individual ownership to private collective ownership, and than to the even more depersonalised form of etate-monopoly ownership, which crestes certsin possibilities for the further growth of the productive forces within the framework of capitaliat mods of production.

The main contradiction of capitalism manifests itself in the sphere of class relations in the form of antagonism between labour and capital, between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie. A accialiat revolution develops from the class bourgeoisie of the working class whose very position in the atruggle of the working class whose very position in the atruggle of capitalist production relations advances it to ayatem of capitalist production relations advances in the role of the principal motive force and the leader of socialist revolution.

The working class is not alone in the struggle for socialism. The social composition of capitalist society is heterogeneous. Besides classes and social groups that have remained from previous socio-economic formations (pessanta, artissns and handicreftsmen, other social atrata), office artissns and handicreftsmen, engineers, technicians and researand professional workers, engineers, technicians and researchers, and also small enterpreneurs grow in number under

39*

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 27, p. 241.

cspitalism. All these social groups experience counting oppression from monopoly cspital. With regard to status, they draw closer to the working class and can side with it in the struggle for a socialist remaking of society. The alliance of the working class with the non-proletarian etrats of the working people is an essential prerequisite for the victory of a socialist revolution.

In ell so far victorious socialist revolutions, the poor pessentry was the chief slly of the working class. This is explained by the fact that the victory of socialiem meete the fundamentel interests of the working peasantry. It is reedily foreseesble that in Latin American countries, where the pessantry constitutes a large part of the populetion, the victory of the working class in the struggle for socialism is unthinkable without an alliance with the former. In developed capitaliet countries, the peasantry is decreasing in number. Nevertheless, the paramount task in the struggle for democracy and socialism in that part of the world is to win over the working pesesntry to the eids of the working class. At the esme time, the number and influence of the scientific and technical intelligenteis and other categories of people occupied in brain work are steadily growing in developed capitalist countries, this being due to the scientific and technological revolution. The fundemental economic and political interests of most intellectuels are closely intertwined with those of the working class. In these conditions, the ellience of workers with intellectuals becomes a significant force in the anti-monopoly struggle. The tesk of winning over the urban middle streta to the side of the working class is also of tremendous importance. Experience shows that their stands may have decisive influence on the outcome of a revolution. The working cless, the working necesentry, the intelligentsic and other social streta objectively interested in crushing the power of capital end in eetablishing socielism constitute the social base, upon which the mass political forces of s accielist revolution form.

A major ect of a socialist revolution is the winning

of political power by the working class. To solve this task, the working class must snjoy the sympathy and support of the majority of working people so that the actions of the rovolutionary vanguard would rely on a movement involving the broad meases.

Alignment of World Forces and the Revolutionary Process

The accialist revolution of today is international in character. It stems from the contradictions

of impsrielism as a world ayatam. However, these contradictions grow unevenly in various countries. As a result of the uneven sconomic and political development of capitalism, some countries become the focuses of the most acute contradictions. In the presence of meture accio-political revolutionary forces, these countries turn into the weakest links onary forces, these countries turn into the weakest links within the capitalist system. That is why socialist revolutions in various countries triumph at different times. Lenin wrots: "Uneven economic and political development is an absolute law of capitalism. Hence, the victory of socialism is possible first in sevaral or even in one capitalist country alone." The revolution initially triumphed in Russia, country alone." The revolution initially triumphed in Russia, the for a breakthrough in the imperialist chain.

The socialiat revolution is unity in multiformity. Historically, tha initiel form of tranaition from capitalism to accialism was the Great October Socialist Revolution. In addition to the general laws inharent in it, the Great October Socialist Revolution also bears the imprint of Russia's ber Socialist Revolution also bears the imprint of Russia's historical peculiarities and the characteristics of ite time. The people's damocratic revolutions in a number of European The people's damocratic revolutions in a number of European countries also had their distinctive features, such as countries development, relatively long period of establishmulti-etege development, relatively long period of establishment of the dictatorship of the proletarist, and intertwining of eocialist tasks with the tasks of the general democratic anti-feeciet struggle. The eocialist revolutions in Korea,

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 21, p. 342.

Vietnam and Cuba also developed in their own peculiar way.

Lenin developed a new approach to the analysis of premises essential for the socialiat ravolution. Previously, in examining this question. Marxiats paid attention chiefly to internal conditions in a givon country. Lenin provod that this approach was already insufficient and showed that it was henceforth necessery to proceed from the state of the entire world capitalist system. Revolution in a givan country is essentially a breakthrough in a weak link in the common chain of imperialism. The Leninist approach to the analysis of the premises of the revolution has sequired a particularly large significance in connection with the shifts that have taken on the world scene in recent decedes. Basides, one should bear in mind that the numerous fectors that started to show at the beginning of the century have now fully developed; simultaneously, new heretofore non-sxistant factors have appeared.

When Lenin was writing <u>Reperialise</u>, the <u>Highest Stage of Capitelism</u> and his other works, in which he advanced the basic tenets of his new theory of socialist revolution, there was still no country in the world where s socialist revolution had triumphed; even after the October Revolution, the victory of socialism in the USSR could not have hesn regarded as final. Today, socialism has fully triumphed in the Soviet Union end has embraced s number of states to produce growing influence on international development.

Previously, on the eve of and following the First World War, the national liberation movement was making its first steps: at that time, a small handful of imperialist powers was subjugating the peoples of Asia, Africa, and Latin America. Today, the national liberation movement has reached a gigantic scope: the colonial system of imperialism has collapsed, naw politically independent states are appearing, and most of them seek to pursue a policy independent of imperialism.

In the current epoch, the epoch of transition from capitalism to accialism, the spproach to an analysis of conditions for maturation of a socialist revolution in a given country, to the course and prospects of that revolution, is changing. An assessment of the internal stage of the world capitalist system as a whole and in each individual country continues to he a starting point of that approach. However, in modern conditions, one cannot limit it solsly to that assessment: one must take into account not only sxisting tendencies in the development of imperialism, but also the new position capitalism takes up in the world, the alignment of forces hetween the world systems, the effect of the successes of socialist and communist construction on the world liheration movement, and the role of the world national liheration struggle.

The fact that the alignment of forces on the world scene is steadily changing, this being characterised by increasing weakening of the system of imperialiem and the growing might of world socialism, has special significance for the prospects of the revolutionary movement. Lenin said for the prospects of the revolutionary movement could be under that after 1917 no international development could be understood correctly without taking into consideration the swistence of Soviet Russia. The more so, no development can be understood today without taking into account the existence of the socialist community.

In our time, the dayslopment of real socialism has great influence on the course of the liberation movement; suggravates all the contradictions of capitalism to irrevocably poss the question of the very existence of the capitalist system itself.

In no way do the international tasks of socialist states stem from any claims to world supramacy by this or that socialist country. Nor are they connected with attempts to impose the socialist way of life on other peoples. Commings are convinced that ideology cannot he brought to other nists are convinced that ideology cannot he brought to other countries hy hayonets. The triumph of socialism in the countries hy hayonets. The triumph of socialism in the capital is a natural and law-governed historical process whols world is a natural and law-governed historical process caused hy internal development conditions in capitalist countries, and it does not need to he either "gosded" or countries, and it does not need to he either "gosded" or socialism in every country is inseparably connected with for socialism in every country is inseparably connected the struggle for socialism in the whole world. In effect,

٠.

the building of socialism in individual countries simultaneously constitutes a contribution to the creation of a new society on a worldwide scale.

On the other hand, as long as there are capitalist states, there is continued need in joint defence of the gains of socialism from all encroachments. This also explains the international nature of the tasks of avery socialist country.

Finally, one cannot ignore the fact that the monopoly bourgeoisie in every capitalist country maintains very extensive international ties and provides mutual support in its struggle sgainst the working class and national liberation movement.

Relying on the strength of world capital, the monopoly bourgeoisie had time and again succeeded in crushing the liberetion movement. Today, all working people seek to counter international alliences of the bourgeoisie with unity and solidarity.

This explains the profound international nature of the tanks of each socialist state. All of them bear responsibility for the destiny of socialism within the framework of the whols community of socialist states, and for the destiny of accialism and progress in the whole world.

Fundamental shifts in the alignment of forces on the international scene in favour of socialism have created a historically new situation, which wes non-existent in the early decades of the 20th century: today, the socialist states and world progressive movements can provide real aid to countries threatened by the forces of international reaction.

Relying on the economic and political support of the accialist system, any world country, irrespective of its level of development, can start the transition to socialism.

The owergence and development of people's democratic revolutions into socialist revolutions has become possible not only by virtue of specific conditions of internal development in a number of European and Asian countries, and in Cuba, but also in connection with the fundamental shifts occurring on the world scene. The existence of the USSR,

its contribution to the victory over Hitlerite fasciem and Jopaneso militarism, and its active moral and material support holped the peoples of these countries schieve socialist revolutions in the least painful ways.

New, more favourable conditions for transition to socialism open up in connection with the possibility to prevent wars in the modern apoch.

It is natural that Communists, as the spokesmen of the aspirations of the masses, do averything to make this possibility a reality. The davelopment of the most up-to-date wespons of mass destruction, viz. the atomic and hydrogen bombs, and also means for delivaring them to any point on the globe, has fundamentally changed the nature of war to make it increasingly destructive. A world war involving that use of thermonuclear wespons would virtually involve the use of thermonuclear wespons would virtually involve. It would lead to complate destruction of the main centres of civilisation and of antire paoples, and would, in fact, bring innumerable calamities to all mankind. Only manmen could wish such a catastropha.

Now, what effect would a world war involving thermonuclear weapons have on socialist revolutions and the struggle of the massas for socialism? Naturally, if imperialist maniacs try to unleash a world war, this would evoke such indignation of the masses that the system of capitalism, which periodically engenders wars, would inevitably perish. However, the working class does not think of cresting a communist civilisation on the ruins of world centres of culturs, on an earth davasted by and contaminated with thermonuclear fallouts, an inevitable consequence of with thermonuclear fallouts, an inevitable consequence of such a war. For some peoples, the queetion of socialies would fall eway altogether, aince they would phyeically disappear from the face of the globs.

It is therefore obvious that a modern nuclear war in iteslf could in no way be a factor that would accelerate iteslf could in no way be a factor that would sceelerate revolution and hasten the victory of socialism. On the contrary, it would throw back mankind, the world revolutionary movement, the building of socialism and communicate by many decedea.

At the same time, it is clear that, under the new alignment of forces, the etruggle against militariem is a unsjor prerequisite to developing tha liberation movement. This is first of all due to the fact that imperialism has presently tied itself and its economics, politics, and ideology with preparations for war to an unprecedented extent. Militarisation of the economy and the arms race in imperialist countries have resched a tremendous acopa, and this has led to deformed changes in the whola structure of the capitalist economy. The foreign policy of US imperialism is based on balancing on the brink of war and on blowing up the myth about communism's "aggreesiveneas". Hence, it is clear that strengthening of peace on earth deals a blow to imperialism in ite most aensitive place — militarism.

Democratic and Socialist Tasks In our time, Lenin's theory on the development of a democratic revolution into a eccialist revolut-

ion has also acquired naw features. It permite to determine correctly the place and prospects of all democratic movemente of today. The present epoch is cheracterised by tramendously incressed significance of democratic tasks, by broad development of democratic movements. This is first of all connected with the further growth of the resctionary and aggreeeive character of imperialism. A special role in this respect was played by the fact that monopoly capitalism had transformed into etate-monopoly capitalism. At present, this procees, indicated already by Lenin, has in the main taken place in all developed capitalist countries. Contrary to the hopes of the bourgeoide, state-monopoly capitalism has not only deepened the treditional contradictions of capitalist society, but also engendered new antagoniems.

The contradiction between lebour and capital, between a handful of monopolists who had concentrated the enormous wealth in their hands, end the working clase has become even more acute.

Capitalien cannot fully eliminate unemployment and ensure all working people good living conditione. State-monopoly cepitalism constantly threatene the accio-economic

gains of the working class. Government control over the sconomic struggls of the prolateriat is becoming increasingly strong, and interference of the bourgeois state in labourcapital rolations is assuming over more diverse forms. However, the ruling circles of imperialist countries find it increasingly difficult to pursue their anti-labour policies, which encounters organised resistance from the working masses which come out for preservation of their social rights.

Along with the principal class contradiction of bourgeois society — between the bourgeoisie and the working clase — the contradiction between monopolies and all the other eocial strata is becoming increasingly acuts. Relying on the state, monopolies have esized all the basic economic and political levers to exploit not only the working class, but also the peasantry, the urban patty bourgeoisis, the intelligenteia, and office employees. The interests and policy of a small group of monopolies come into contradiction on a number of important social problems even with the interests of the non-monopoly bourgeoisis.

The development of state-monopoly capitalism naturally resulte in a greater aspiration of the most powerful imperialist states to world supremacy. The imperialist claims rialist states to world supremacy. The imperialist claims of such powers spread not only to economically underdeveloped each powers spread not only to economically underdeveloped areas of the globe — these age-old objects of colonied areas of the globe — these age-old objects of colonied areas of the globe industrially developed capitalist etets.

All these new phenomena in the development of capitalism have aggravated the iesuee involved in the present-day struggle for democracy.

Concentration of wealth, atrength, power and privileges on one end, in the hands of a small group of monopolies which inspire an spalling arms race, inevitebly causes a counter tendency on the other end — to activate the struggle of the broadest sections of the population for their rights, for reatoration of trampled democracy, against militarists, and against the power of monopolies.

In bourgeois society, the class atrussle therefore develops wide and deep to promote broad democratic covenents combining various classes and social atrata, for instance the novement of peoples for universal peace and sgainst the

danger of a nuclear war; and the struggle in the defence of democratic freedome and institutions, and against the advance of facciem and reaction. These movements also involve actions for nationalisation of capitalist monopoly property, demande for agrarian reforms, and for greater rights to women and young people, the humanistic movement in the defence of culture, and many other mase movements.

Democratic and eocialist tasks in developed capitalist countries are so intertwined that one can hardly demarcate them. Presumably, the revolutionary process will start with resolving general democratic tasks to develop continuously.

In this case, the main forces of reaction, namely the monopoly bourgeois groupe and their direct proxies in governments, would be emashed already at the initial stage. This would open the road for a quick revolution towards a socialist upheavel.

Understandably, the principal requirement for such a development - the guiding role of the working class -- retains ite force. The fect that this is not speculative reasoning is best proven by the experience of people's democratic revolutions in the countries of Central and Southeast Europe, revolutions which had developed on the basic of general democratic anti-feecist movements.

Forme of Transition to Socialiem

The current epoch has also introduced quite many new factors into the issue on specific forms

of transition of power into the hands of the working class, an important component question in Lenin's theory of socialist revolution.

Lenin constantly exphasized that the proletariat must master all the forms of struggle, both non-peaceful and peaceful. He wrote that "in order to accomplish its task the revolutionary clase must be able to master all forms or aspects of social activity without excaption, ... must be prepared for the most rapid and brusque replacement of one form by another." Like before, today this tenet underlies

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, p. 96.

the approach of Marxist-Leninist parties to the ways of winning power by the working class. Any attempt to ignore the diversity of methods for teking power by the working class and to identify revolution under all conditions with armed uprising and civil wer would throw the working cless movement back to blanquism.

In the wake of Marx, Lenin in principle assumed the possibility of e peaceful revolution. Moreover, when in the course of the Russian revolution in April-June 1917 prospects sppeared for taking power by peecsful meens, Lenin suggested to take immediate edvantage of this opportunity, notwithstsnding even the fact that the Communists still had now majority in the Soviets. He wrote that "at that exceptional moment in history, a peaceful development of the revolution is possible and probable if all power is transferred to the Soviets." And only in response to violence by the bourgeoisie (shooting of a peaceful demonstration in July 1917) the slogan of an armed uprising was put forward.

Lenin predicted that different nations would come to socialism not in quite the same way as regards methods for achieving revolution. He underlined the univarsality of the achieving revolution, the principle of the dictatortype of socialist revolution, the principle of the dictatorship of the proleteriat end of the nsw, Soviet democracy, ship of the proleteriat end of the nsw, Soviet democracy, and not their forms. For example, in elucideting the prosend not their forms. For example, in elucideting the prosent pects of the liberation movement in coloniel and dependent pocuntriee, Lenin wrote that "the subsequent revolutione in Oriental countries, which possess much vester populstions Oriental countries, which possess much vester populstions and a much vester diversity of social conditions, will undoubtedly display even greater distinctions than the Russisn revolution".

Historical experience has fully corroborated Lenin's prevision on a large variety of forms in transition to socialism. And one could hardly doubt that in the future the forms of transition to socialism would become even nore diverse, since the concrete conditions in each non-socialist

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 26, p. 37.

^{2.} Ibid., Vol. 33, p. 480.

country ars extronely different.

It fell to the lot of Russia's working class, which schieved the world's first socialist ravolution, to overcome the perticularly fierce resistance of internal counterrevolution and international reaction. For a long time, the Soviet Republic remained alone face to face with a hostile cspitalist world. This explains the fact that tha rsvolution in Russis involved a most sanguinary civil war imposed by internal and external reaction. The task of subsequent revolutions is incomparably essier primarily because they rely on the powerful support of the USSR and the whols socialist system. In mone of the now existing socialist countries have the forms, methods, and ways of the socialist revolution basm a mechanical repetition of outside experience. Take the GDR or Poland, Hungery or Cuba, Mongolis or Yugoslavis - all the socialist countries, in fact, carried out the revolution in their own way, using forms that were dictated by the correlation of class forces in esch of these countries, by the national distinctions and the external situation.

There had been ermed struggle and peeceful forms of passage to the new social system; there had been rapid coming to power of the lebouring classes and processes that had dregged out in time. In some countries the revolution had to defend itself against forsign intervention, others had been spared any outside invasions.

A major factor predstarmining the greater variety of forms in transition to modalism today is the tremendously incressing significance of general democratic tosks and the wide scope of general democratic movements. The working-class revolution, which is based on broader socio-political alliances then before, will assure an overwhelming preponderance of progressive forces ever the reactionary hourgeoisis inside the country. This, in turm, will lead to the creation of a broad democratic unity of forces sround the pro-laterian revolution and the new government, and will permit, emong other things, to use perliamentary forms for transition to eccisiism.

philosophy, can creates for himself a strong foundation for the individual's constructive and productive social activity. Lenin wroto: "... A socislist requires e well-thoughtout and consistent world outlook, so that he may control the evente and not the events him. "1 The Marxist-Leainist world outlook touchoo every nan not only to think but act correctly.

Boing the science on the more general lows of world development, Merxist-Leminist philosophy is at the same time the theoretical foundation of the ideology and policy of the working closs and its portice. Marx wrote: "As philosophy finds ito neterial weepone in the proletsriat, so the proletsrist findo its spiritual weopons in philosophy. "2 The class intercate of the proloteriet coincide with the objective laws of hiotorical devolopment. That is why the proletariat is profoundly interested in the objective reflection of reality which acientific philosophy provides. That is why crestive study of Marxism-Leninism is an important task of all forces struggling for socialion. Engels indicated that "socialiam, Sinca it has become a science, demands that it be pursued as a science, that ia, that it be atudied.

The great materialists Marx, Engels and Lenin called themselves Communists, and they called Communists practical materialiste, seeing in this a profound theoretical and political meaning, since *the political line of Marxism is in-Separably bound up with ita philosophical principles." That is why the defence of the philosophical foundations of Marxiem-Leninism ie a major task of communist and workers! parties. Historical experience shows that the correctness of the party's political line largely depends on a ecientifically-grounded philosophical stand. Where the party's activity stems from a "parody on Marxism" (Lenin), not from materialist dialectics, there are right and 'left' opportun-

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 8, p. 316. 2. K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol. 3, p. 187. J. K. Marx and F. Engele, Solected Works 1076 170

Vol. 2, Progrees Publishers, Moscow, 1976, p. 170.

vol. 2, Progress Publishers, vol. 15, p. 405.
4. v.I. Lenin, Gollected Works,

CONCLUBION

Marxiat-Leniniat philosophy is a scientific, creatively developing teaching about the more general laws of development of nature, society and thought. It emerged as a theoretical answer to the practical problems, whose colution constitutes the historic mission of the proletariat, called upon to put an end to the system of capitalist relations end build a classices, communist society.

Having critically imbided and creatively sasimilated all the achievemente of world philosophical culture. Marxian at the came time become a qualitatively new stage in its development. For the first time in the history of philocophy, the materialist teaching on matter and its cognition was organically connected with a dislectical view on the world and was laid down se a foundation for understanding social phenomena. Materialist dialectica, according to Lenin's ept remerk, is the life-giving hasis, "soul" of Marxiem, and a scientific method for cognising and revolutionary remaking the world. Unlike all the other philosophical systems which restricted themselves to theoretically interpreting the Universe, Marxiet philosophy perceives its task in helping to prectically transform the world on the basis of humanist principles. The creation of dislectical and historical meterialism was e great intellectual feat of Marx, Engels and Lenin, for it permitted mankind to find theoreticallyand historically-grounded ways to freedom and progress.

Now, what does the study of Marxiet-Leninist philosophy give to man? By sruing him with a knowledge of the more general lawe of development of nature, society and thought, it brings within his reach the achievements of world culture, teaches him the art of cognising a contradictory world, end shapee hie intellect.

Marxiet-Leniniet philosophy provides man with a harmonious and ecientifically-grounded world outlook as an organic system of views on the world surrounding him and on his place in this world. By profoundly mastering Marxiet-Leninist philosophical culture, and scientific thought. In thess conditions, the significance of Marxist-Leninist philosophy vastly grows.

Dialsctics tsaches that successes in the struggle of the new against the old do not come about by themselves. Social progress is, in fact, the product of historical creation, the result of conscious and purposefully directed sctivity of the popular massea, who transform the objective possibility of forward devolopment into historical sotivity. This is an expression of the growing role of the subjective factor in the current spoch, the spoch of revolutionary transition from capitalism to socialism and communism. Incressingly new social forces with different political orientations ars becoming involved in the process of revolutionary transformations on the globe. In such conditions, the tesk to creatively master and develop the Marxist-Leninist teaching and to adequately incarnate it in the revolutionary conscioueness and behaviour of the masses assumes special significance. Lenin wrote in this connection: "When the masses ars digesting a new and oxceptionally rich experience of diract revolutionary struggle, the theoretical struggle for a revolutionary outlook, i.s., for revolutionary Marrism, becomes the watchword of the day."1

Marxist-Leninist philosophy always developed in principled struggle against bourgeois ideology and philosophical revisionism. The current epoch is no exception, and is moreover characterised by sharply intensified idsological struggle. The aubstance of its present stags lies in that the accomplices of imperialian are sver more openly directing it into the channel of criticism of real socialism. "For them the main thing is to turn people against socialies,"2 to discredit the socialist ideal by replacing it with the idola of the accio-political realities of bourgeois society. To them, the ideological struggle is assuming a specific

627

40*

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 15, P. 290.

^{2.} Documents and Resolutions. The 26th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, p. 13.

ist devistions and twists in politics.

Where lies the source of strength and visbility of the Marxist-Leninst tesching? First of all in that it is s neterialist teaching ralying on a colid foundation of scientific knowledge verified by historical prectice. Second, in that it is a dialectical teaching, which reflects in its principles, laws and categories the objective dialectics of the world's davelopment. Third, in that it is s humane teaching, which regards s concrete human being as the higheat and evarlesting social value. Fourth, in that it is a craativa teeching, which "does not provide raady-made dogmas, but critaria for further research and the asthed for this research." Fifth, in that it is a proletarian teaching, which is an ideological expression of the political interests of the working clsas, the most progressiva and influential social force of today. Sixth, in that it is a revolutionary teaching, which regards social revolution as the only possible form of transition to a new eociety. Seventh, in that it is a communist teaching, which orients mankind to communist development, and is the philosophy of historical optimiam. Eighth, in that it is an international teaching, which unites all the progressive forces of our time for a struggle against the forces of imperialism and reaction, for peace, democracy and social progrees.

The present-day stage of mankind's development is characterised by axtraordinary dynamic power and multiform social change of truly world historical significance. Tha eteadfast growth of the forcas and influence of raal socialies, the resuacitation to s new life of countries that have liberated themselvas from colonial dependence, the criaic phenomene in the aconomy and politics of capitalist countries, the struggla of peoples for pesca, against tha arms race, the strengthening of the ideological atruggla, and the scientific and tachnological revolution - all these prompt mankind to seek new vital reference-points and precent new demands to people's ideological convictions,

Marx, Engels, <u>Selected Correspondenca</u>, Progress Publiahers, Mascow, 1975, p. 455.

kind of world-visw nibilism is closely connected with proaching pluralims in philosophy and politice, i.e. with proceding multiplicity of "truths" and equipolence of ideological and political trends.

The objective logic of ecientific and eociel development disproves these views. Truth, unlike delueion, always exists in singular fore; the multiformity of weye to attain objective truth does not eignify multiplicity of truthe in one and the same question. The principle of dialectico-materialist monism underlying the Marxiet outlook reliably guarantees the quest of truth from volunteristic distortions and pluralistic vegaries. The progressing ewareness of the need to follow this principle in ecience, philosophy and politice shows in the growing authority of the Marxiet-Leninist teaching in the modern world, in conscious inclination towards ing in the modern world, in conscious inclination towards dency that has come to be a characteristic eign of our time.

The increasing role of science in the life of presentdey eociety shows an organic fusion of the scientific and technological revolution with the eocial conditione under which it takee place, an organic unity of the "technological" and "anthropological" aspects of eociel progress. The answer to the queetion in what direction would human development take, and whether this would be a way of progress and prosperity or a way that would bring mankind to cetaetrophe would depend on the qualities of the social conditions in which the ecientific and technological revolution will develop. Marxiem-Leniniem proceeds from the fact that only encialism can provide humanistic forme to scientific and technological progreas. A mejor social purpose of Marxiet philosophy is to help mankind create and become awere of new value reference-points that would exclude any possibility of utilising the achievementa of acience to the detriment of man.

In this respect, the problem of how to preserve the peace and prevent a world thermonuclear war essumes paramount eignificance. It is an acute today because for the first time in history the means of waging war have by far exceeded its objectives: according to UN assessments, today nuclear weapons can destroy fifteen times all living creatures on earth.

form designed to deideslise the socialist system and belittle the socialist gains. Resultant polemics of Communists with their class opponents is possible only if the former creatively master the Marxist-Leminist world outlook, the theoretical expression of the socialist idsal. As evidenced by historical practice, which never belies reality, the building of socialism and communism is the irreversible process of progressive transformations on our planet, a process that acquires more and more new supporters. The movement towards socialism is irrevocable, as Marxism-Leminism teaches us, and this instils the feeling of historical optimism in all people of good will.

The 20th century is significant not only by incressingly rapid and large-scale progressive social transformations, but also by exceptionally dynamic development of all forms of intellectual culture. In this case, the vanguard role rightfully belongs to science, which is incressingly becoming a direct productive force of social development. Mankind has entered the epoch of "information explosion", an ere involving the appearance of increasingly new branchce of knowledge end more intensive integrational processes in science and culture. Crestive comprehension and use of theee phenomena would be imposeible without synthetic philosophical generalisation, without a scientifically-grounded ideological foundation. The well-known theoretical physicist Max Planck said: "The researcher's outlook will always determine the direction of his work". The great acientific discoveries of our century corroborate these words.

Present-day bourgeoia culture is characterised by opposition of sciance to world outlook. Some treads in bourgeois philosophy deny altogather the presence in science of world-view problems. In proclaiming special scientific knowledge the highest social value, they think that this knowledge is in itself capable of providing nan with orientation in the world. Other philosophical trends, in recognising the specifica and neceesity of world-view problems, ace a major means for forming a life outlook not in acience, but in intuitive inclinations and myatical dreams, i.e. objectively deny the need in a scientific world outlook. It is characteristic that this

The problem of preventing a world thermonuclear war is the principal but not the only problem that troubles mankind today. Progressing internationalisation and intensification of all asports of social life hove resulted in a situation whore mankind has encountored a qualitatively new type of probloms called global. Together with the problem of how to prevent a world wor and halt the arms race, other global isauen are: the problem of optimal correlation between the rates of oconomic and social growth in developed and developing countrios; the problem of rationally utilising natural, primarily unreplenishable reacurces; the problem of protecting man and the environment from the negative consequences of the scientific and tochnological revolution; the problem of providing the world's population with effective sources of food and energy; the problem of utilising the achievements of the acientific and technological revolution for the beaefit of mankind (by eliminating the most dangerous and widespread diseases, exploring space and the World Ocean, and so on); and the pursuance of an active demographic policy. In other words, global problems involve those that affect the interests of all mankind and which, if unresolved, may create a real danger to its future. Being characterised by a broad range of influence on modern society both in space and time, the global problems, in order to be resolved, require all-round, continuous, and aituationally unaffected international cooperation of all the peoples of the world, and maximally conjugated

In tackling global problams, bourgeois ideology proceeds
from two axtremaa: on the one hand, from technological determiniem, which absolutises the role of tachnological cystems
and industrial factors in social devalopment (2. Aron,
and industrial factors in social devalopment (3. Aron,
and industrial factors in social devalopment in the other, from sbatract humaniam, which absolutises
the other, from sbatract humaniam, which absolutises
"human" and moral dimensions of social development. In this
case, the common denominator for both conceptions is the
deaire to integrate capitalism in future buman development.
It should be noted that the "anthropological alant" is becomit ahould be noted that the "anthropological alant" is becomit increasingly popular among a considerable number of
irg increasingly popular among a considerable number of

That is why the sole olternative to the arms race which leads to a world estastrophe is disarmoment, the struggle for pesce.

From time immemorial, peopls have perfected the art of warfare. The present-day political situation makes it urgent to work out a "strategy of peace." In resolving this problem, Marxism is guided by s class, concrete historical approach constituting the principal difference of Marxism from centimental sitruism and pacifism. This approach differentiates between just and unjust peace, between possive (negative) pesce, whose synonym is "cold wer", and active (pocitive) pesce, whose synonym is detente. In perspective, the human ideal is s universal, just and democratic peace based on political and military detente, on all-round intarnational cooperation.

The infreetructure of peace is controversial: along with political, economic, scientific, technological and cultural cooperation there exist political struggle, oconomic competition, and ideological confrontetion. Peace is essentially a unity of cooperation and confrontation, i.o. a unity of opposites. This theoretical tenot of Marxism manifests itself in the peaceful coexistence of states with different social systems.

Peeceful coexistence has become a categorical imperotive of precent-day ecciety. Thousands of millions of people on earth associate their hopee and aspirations with peace. The struggle for peace comes out as a powerful consolidating factor uniting representatives of highly diverse political convictions and orientations, and Communists atom in the vanguard of this struggle. Marxiste-Leminists were slways distinguished by an exceptionally developed feeling of historical reaponsibility for the cause of peace, by understanding of the fact that the struggle for peace is a continuous process requiring constant effort. Not war preparations that doom the peoples to a senseless squandaring of their material and spiritual wealth, but concolidation of peace that is the clue to the future.

Social progress in an antagonistic society is always limited, since it is "only achiaved by a historical process during which individuals are ascrificed." This social aituation, when victorios in history are paid for too dear price, ation, when victorios in history are paid for too dear price, are called "regressive motsmorphosis", and Lenin "civilised barbarism".

Transition of mankind to socialism frees historical progress of its antagonistic form, and fills it with humanistic essence. Only under socialism, Marx wrote, "fill human progress cesse to resembla that hideous, pagan idol, who would not drink the nectar but from the skulla of the slain." Socialist society provides broad opportunities for rapid and all-round social progress. In foreaecing this, Lenin wrote: "... Only accialism will be the beginning of a rapid, genuine, truly mass forward movement, embracing first the majority and then the whole of the population, in all spheres of public and private life." The highest hietorical form of social progress is communist civilisation.

Marxiem was alweys far removed from primitive-utopism concretisations and naiva prophesies on communist organiestion of society. It is for future generations to detail the course of communist construction. Yet, the principal contours of the coming communist acciety were described by the classice of Marxiam quite definitely.

From the Marxiet viewpoint, communiem represents "the world-hietoric existence" "developing the wealth of human nature as an end in itself" (K. Marx). The universel development of productive forces based on the use of the latest schievements of the scientific and technological revolution, comprehensive mechanisation and autometion of social production will be a premise for such development in communication will be a premise for such development in communication of the control of the control of labour and its qualitative trans-

^{1.}K. Marx, Theories of Surplus-Velue, Part II, Progress

^{2.}K. Marx, F. Engele, Collected Worke, Vol. 12, p. 222.
3.V.I. Lenin, Collected Worke, Vol. 25, p. 477.

growing awarenese of the urgent need for moral regulation and humanistic guarantees of eciantific and technological progress, which, in developing spontaneously and not being directed consciously, "leaves a desert sfter itself" (Marx). This approach is distinctly revealed in the latest investigations of the Club of Rome, an international organisation of scientists specialising in the study of global problems of world development. The Club's landers devalop the view that global problems may be recolved only with fundamental transformation of "human quality", which would lead to a "new humanism".

Such arguments are, in affact, a hypertrophied form of the principle, which in its rational shape constitutes the theoretical foundation of Marxism, namely that the actuel "reference-point" in the historical process is the social man. The future etrategy for colving global probleme must therefore combine in itself the ecientific, eocial and humanietic approaches, with the latter having priority influence. In this instance, the statement by the ancient Greek philosopher Protegorss that "man is the measure for all things" acquiree a new profound meaning. Precisely the problem of man and his future is the core around which the solution of sll global probleme chould revolve. And cince communica alone can provide free and all-round human development, the sole possible premies for resolving global issues would be the prospect of global communism. Marxists do not conceal this; however, being realists, they proceed from the fact that this final objective would be achieved gradually, during a historically lengthy period of the coexistence of end struggle between socialism and cepitalism. Hence, the future stretegy for resolving global issues stems from the realities of the present. It clearly defines not only the final objective, but the closer historical reference-points as well. It recognises the need for e broad and constructive dielogue between representatives of various social forces, and provides additional opportunities for developing international cooperation. Only all-round international cooperation could ensure mankind irrevocable progress.

not be reploced by the kingdom of universal anarchy and total pormisaivenoss, but by a system of social self-government, i.e. by direct participation of all the msas of the people in management of public affairs. Social self-government precumes the existence of the new man and, in turn, creates the latter. The distinctive features of the man of the future will be high communist consciousness and organisation, diligence, honesty, decency, loyalty to one's work collective, and readiness and shility to regard public interests as one's own. Such qualities of the free and equal citizens of the new society will make communism s higher organised and coordinated community of people, real masters of creative communist lahour.

Communist society will bring qualitatively new inter-

communist society will hring qualitatively new international relations hased on broad and all-round cooperation.

It may be said that communism will import a new lofty meaning to the very notion "mankind" by transforming the human
ing to the very notion "mankind" by transforming the human
race into a single world community. This will usher up the
era of lofty humaniatic principles of the communist ideal,
era of lofty humaniatic principles of the communist ideal,
which proclaims Peace, Work, Liberty, Equality, Fraternity
and Happiness.

and Happiness.

The modern era is that of triumph of the Marxist-Leniniat teaching. Socialism, which was first a dresm, then a theory, teaching. Socialism, which was first a dresm, then a theory, and then a movement, after the victory of the Great October and then a movement, after the victory of the Great October and then a movement, after the victory of the Great October and then a movement, after the victory of the Great October and then a movement, after a componible teak than the no loftier, nobler, and more responsible teak than the struggle for establishing socialism throughout the world, the struggle for realising the communist ideal.

formation, and this will make it possible to give them
/people/ all-round development and all-round training, so
that they are able to do everyting. "I Communism liberates
man from the life-long chains of one's profession and asserts
as its subject a universally and bermoniously developed individual capable of creatively asserting himself in ony historically developed forms of human activity. Interpenetration
of science and art and aesthetisation of technological processes will make oll kinds of work attractive. From a time
deleted from life, lshour will turn into a form for enrichment
of life, "the highest enjoyment known to us". Transformation of work into s free play of physical and spiritual forces will lead to gradual overcoming of opposites between work
and recreation and make work free and recreation productive.

Free and highly productive work in communist society will allow to schieve full ebundance. The great principle of communism will be the social formuls "from each according to his shilities, to each according to his needs." The implementation of this principle will become possible because work socording to one's shilities would become the primary requirement of each, and the needs themselves would he highly cultural and humanistio. The continuous growth of these needs will promote a search for new social possibilities to satisfy them.

Developed communist society will be cheracterised by complete social equality of all its members. Equality not in the sense of primitive levelling of personalities, but in the sense of creating equal social opportunities for the development of esch individual. Such social equality will eignify the complete triumph of the free individual, whose subjective vital interests will coincide with society's objective interests. Free development of esch individual will condition free development of esch individual

Free development of the individual under communism does not mean disintegration of social ties. Statehood will

^{1.} V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. 31, p. 50.

^{2.} K. Marx, F. Engels, Collected Worke, Vol. 4, 1976, p. 415.